

EFFECT OF SIX-WEEK CONTENT KNOWLEDGE WORKSHOP ON WRITTEN TEST PERFORMANCE AMONG PHYSICAL EDUCATION STUDENTS IN CHINA



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2023

FPP 2023 10

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

Dedicated With Love

То

My Beloved Wife, Tian Miao My Cute daughter, Gao Mingxuan My Cute daughter, Gao Mingye



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

EFFECT OF SIX-WEEK CONTENT KNOWLEDGE WORKSHOP ON WRITTEN TEST PERFORMANCE AMONG PHYSICAL EDUCATION STUDENTS IN CHINA

By

GAO YONGQI

March 2023

Chairman : Professor Soh Kim Geok, PhD Faculty : Educational Studies

The employment problem is particularly prominent among college students majoring in physical education teaching, who are pre-service teachers (PE students). In China, students take public institutions' teacher recruitment exams, which comprise 70% written tests and 30% skills. This study investigates the effect of a 6-week content knowledge (CK) workshop on PE students' written test performance. The objectives are to evaluate the impact of the workshop on the basic theoretical knowledge in sports training design (STD) and teaching design (TD), health promotion knowledge in movement energy metabolism (MEM) and movement techniques (KMT), physical movement knowledge in competition venue planning (CVP) and knowledge of movement rules (KMR) on performance in written tests among PE students in China. In addition, this study also aims to evaluate the effect of the workshop on comprehensive CK scores in written test performance among PE students in China.

The Randomised Controlled Trial was adopted. Volunteers from the Ning Xia Normal University of China participated in this study. Fifty-four (54) participants were randomly selected to participate, all of whom were college students majoring in PE teaching in the fourth year. The Chinese standard examination test measured their performance in written tests. The test content included CK related to STD, TD, MEM, KMT, CVP, and KMR. The participants were divided into two groups: an experimental and a control group. Baseline information includes gender, age, home location, father and mother education. There were no significant differences on demographic characteristics between intervention and control group underwent a workshop intervention, while the control group followed standard classes planned by the university. Both groups were followed up for a six-week intervention program, including three tests: a pre-test at week-0, post-test one at week-3, and post-test two at week-6.

Generalized estimating equations and a two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to analyse the data. The results of the GEE showed that the workshop significantly improved students' TD ($\chi 2 = 17.377$, P < 0.001), KMT ($\chi 2 = 10.713$, P = 0.001), and CVP ($\chi 2 = 13.091$, P<0.001) written test performance. However, insignificant written test performance results were reported for STD ($\chi 2 = 0.026$, P = 0.872), MEM ($\chi 2 = 1.945$, P = 0.163), and KMR ($\chi 2=3.226$, P=0.072). Simultaneously, the two-way repeated ANOVA results showed that the workshop significantly improved the students' score of comprehensive content knowledge (F = 19.651, P < 0.001, $\eta 2 = 0.274$). In addition, results also indicated a significant improvement in the written test as early as at 3-weeks of intervention for TD.

In conclusion, the workshop improved students' CK, evidenced in their written test performance, especially in TD, KMT, and CVP. The finding expanded the theory by Ward (2009), which investigated the effect of workshops on the teacher (to ascertain whether CK could be taught better to students after undergoing a workshop). The present study found that students' CK can improve through workshops conducted directly with students to save time and cost while being effective. Future studies should embed the workshop method in year one to three PE classes as this period represents the early stage of learning CK in PE. By graduation, the students' CK is better as they score well on written tests determining their success in applying for a future PE teacher job in China.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KESAN BENGKEL PENGETAHUAN KANDUNGAN ENAM MINGGU TERHADAP PRESTASI UJIAN BERTULIS DALAM KALANGAN PELAJAR PENDIDIKAN JASMANI DI CHINA

Oleh

GAO YONGQI

Mac 2023

Pengerusi : Profesor Soh Kim Geok, PhD Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Masalah pekerjaan amat ketara dalam kalangan pelajar kolej jurusan pengajaran pendidikan jasmani, yang merupakan guru praperkhidmatan (pelajar PE). Di China, pelajar mengambil peperiksaan pengambilan guru institusi awam, yang merangkumi 70%. ujian bertulis dan 30% kemahiran. Kajian ini menyiasat kesan bengkel pengetahuan kandungan (CK) selama 6 minggu ke atas prestasi ujian bertulis pelajar PE. Objektifnya adalah untuk menilai kesan bengkel terhadap pengetahuan teori asas dalam reka bentuk latihan sukan (STD) dan reka bentuk pengajaran (TD), pengetahuan promosi kesihatan dalam MEM dan teknik pergerakan (KMT), dan pengetahuan pergerakan fizikal dalam perancangan tempat pertandingan (CVP).) dan pengetahuan peraturan pergerakan (KMR) dalam prestasi ujian bertulis dalam kalangan pelajar PE di China. Selain itu, kajian ini juga bertujuan untuk menilai kesan bengkel terhadap markah CK komprehensif dalam prestasi ujian bertulis di kalangan pelajar PE di China.

Percubaan Terkawal Rawak diterima pakai. Para peserta merupakan sukarelawan dari Universiti Ning Xia Normal, China. Lima puluh empat (54) peserta telah dipilih secara rawak untuk mengambil bahagian dan kesemuanya merupakan pelajar kolej dalam jurusan pengajaran PE tahun empat. Prestasi ujian bertulis pelajar diukur menggunakan ujian peperiksaan standard negara Cina. Kandungan ujian termasuk CK yang berkaitan dengan STD, TD, MEM, KMT, CVP dan KMR. Subjek dibahagikan kepada dua kumpulan iaitu satu kumpulan eksperimen dan satu kumpulan kawalan. Maklumat asas termasuk jantina, umur, lokasi rumah, pendidikan bapa dan ibu. Tidak terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan pada ciri demografi antara kumpulan intervensi dan kawalan pada garis dasar, yang menunjukkan kedua-dua kumpulan adalah setanding. Kumpulan eksperimen telah menjalani intervensi bengkel, manakala kumpulan kawalan mengikuti kelas standard yang dirancang oleh universiti. Kedua-dua kumpulan telah disusuli untuk program intervensi selama enam minggu dengan tiga ujian: ujian pra pada minggu-0, ujian pasca satu pada minggu-3, dan ujian pasca dua pada minggu-6.

GEE dan analisis ukuran berulang dua hala bagi varians (ANOVA) digunakan untuk menganalisis data. Keputusan GEE menunjukkan bahawa bengkel telah meningkatkan prestasi ujian bertulis pelajar ($\chi 2 = 17.377$, P < 0.001), KMT ($\chi 2 = 10.713$, P = 0.001) dengan ketara ($\chi 2 = 13.091$, P<0.001) dan CVP ($\chi 2 = 13.091$, P<0.001). Walau bagaimanapun, keputusan prestasi ujian bertulis yang tidak signifikan telah dilaporkan untuk STD ($\chi 2 = 0.026$, P = 0.872), MEM ($\chi 2 = 1.945$, P = 0.163), dan KMR ($\chi 2=3.226$, P=0.072). Pada masa yang sama, keputusan ANOVA berulang dua hala menunjukkan bahawa bengkel telah meningkatkan markah pengetahuan kandungan komprehensif pelajar secara ketara (F = 19.651, P < 0.001, $\eta 2 = 0.274$). Di samping itu, keputusan juga menunjukkan bahawa untuk TD, peningkatan ketara dalam ujian bertulis telah dilaporkan seawal 3 minggu intervensi.

Kesimpulannya, bengkel ini meningkatkan CK pelajar, terbukti dalam prestasi ujian bertulis mereka, terutamanya dalam TD, KMT, dan CVP. Penemuan ini memperluaskan teori oleh Ward (2009), yang menyiasat kesan bengkel terhadap guru (untuk memastikan sama ada CK boleh diajar dengan lebih baik kepada pelajar selepas menjalani bengkel). Kajian ini mendapati CK pelajar boleh menambah baik bila menggunakan kaedah bengkel dan ia perlu dilakukan terus kepada pelajar untuk menjimatkan masa dan kos secara berkesan. Kajian akan datang perlu menerapkan kaedah bengkel dalam kelas PE tahun satu ke tiga kerana ini adalah peringkat awal pembelajaran CK dalam PE. Apabila tamat pengajian, CK pelajar akan menjadi lebih baik dengan mendapatkan markah yang baik dalam ujian bertulis yang menentukan kejayaan mereka memohon pekerjaan sebagai guru PE di China pada masa hadapan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Completing this doctoral degree has been an experience of great wonder and exploration. I convey sincere gratitude, appreciation, and thanks to the individuals who assisted me and contributed to the successful completion of this study.

First, I express my gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Dr Soh Kim Geok, for her scholarly guidance, exceptional support, dedication, enthusiasm, and passion for teaching. Her advice made this dissertation possible and made my journey exciting, challenging, and thought-provoking. Prof. Soh's rigorous scientific attitude modelled my research and education. The kind and patient academic guidance she has shown me gave me a new understanding of academic research. Indeed, a thousand words cannot express my gratitude to Prof. Soh. It has been a great honour to be her doctoral student.

Appreciation is given to my committee members, Assoc. Prof. Dr Noor Syamilah Zakaria and Assoc. Prof. Dr Roziah Mohd Rasdi for their arduous work and meticulous thinking that improved my research. Thank you for your support, comfort, and encouragement.

I give endless thanks to my family for their unrelenting support, understanding, and concern that enabled me to complete my studies with peace of mind. Their silent dedication allows me always to find my spiritual belonging when I am the most tired.

Finally, I thank all the respected people who supported me in pursuing this doctoral journey.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Soh Kim Geok, PhD

Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Noor Syamilah bin Zakaria, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Roziah binti Mohd Rasdi, PhD

Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 June 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ABSTRACT ABSTRAK ACKNOWL APPROVAL DECLARAT LIST OF TA LIST OF FIG LIST OF AP LIST OF AB	EDG TION BLE GUR PEN BBRE	EMENTS S S ES IDICES EVIATIONS	i iii vi vii xiii xiii xv xvi xvi xvi
CHAPTER			
1 I	NTR	ODUCTION	1
1	.1	Background of the Study	1
1	.2	Statement of the Problem	2
1	.3	Objectives of Study	3
		1.3.1 General Objective	3
		1.3.2 Specific Objectives	3
1	.4	Hypotheses	4
		1.4.1 General Hypotheses	4
		1.4.2 Specific Hypotheses	4
1	.5	Significance of Study	5
		1.5.1 Theoretical Significance	5
		1.5.2 Practical Significance	5
l	.6	Delimitations	6
1	./	Limitations	0
1	.8	Definition of Terms	/
2 I	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	10
2	2.1	Introduction	10
2	2.2	Current Employment Situation of PE Students in China	10
2	2.3	History of Development of CK	10
2	2.4	Definition of CK in the General Education	11
		2.4.1 Shulman's View of CK	11
		2.4.2 Ball et al. 's View of CK	11
		2.4.3 Ward et al.'s View of PE CK	12
	5	2.4.4 He et al. s view of PE CK in China Definition of Terms	12
2	2.3	2.5.1 Written Test	13
		2.5.1 William Test	13
		2.5.2 CK and Written Test Performance	13
		2.5.5 CK Written Test and Employment	14
2	2.6	Effect of CK on PE Students' Learning Performance	14
2	2.7	Effect of Workshop on PE Students' Learning Performance	14
-			15

 \bigcirc

 2.9 Conceptual Framework 3 METHODOLOGY Introduction Research Design Location of the Study Population and Sampling I. Target Population 2.2 Calculation for Sam1 ple Size 3.4.1 Target Population 3.4.2 Calculation for Sam1 ple Size 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process 5.5 Instrumentation 5.2 Examination papers 5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention Training Program 6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 6.3 Validation of the Method 7.1 Reliability of the Method 7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 8.2 Controlling Participants 8.4 Confounding Force Paraison 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.9.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 		2.8	Theoretical Framework	18
 METHODOLOGY Introduction Research Design Location of the Study Population and Sampling I Target Population 2 Calculation for Sam1 ple Size 3.4.1 Target Population 3.4.2 Calculation for Sam1 ple Size 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process Instrumentation S.1 Daily Instruments S.2 Examination papers S.3 Validation of Methods Intervention Training Program A.6.1 Intervention Training Program A.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures A.6.3 Validity of Intervention Pilot Study A.7.1 Reliability of the Method X.2 Variables in Examination Paper 8 Controlling Confounding Factors A.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol A.8.2 Controlling Test Protocol A.8.3 Controlling Procedures A.9.1 Application for Permission A.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.9.4 Confounding Covariates 10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 1 Introduction 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 TD Score 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 		2.9	Conceptual Framework	21
 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Research Design 3.3 Location of the Study 3.4 Population and Sampling 3.4.1 Target Population 3.4.2 Calculation for Sam1 ple Size 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Proparation 3.8.2 Controlling Test Proparation 3.8.4 Confounding Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4 1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 	3	MET	HODOLOGY	23
 3.2 Research Design 3.3 Location of the Study 3.4 Population and Sampling 3.4.1 Target Population 3.4.2 Calculation for Sam1ple Size 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validation of Methods 3.6.1 Intervention Procedures 3.6.3 Validation of Method 3.7.1 Reliability of Intervention 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.3 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Commerkensive CK 		3.1	Introduction	23
 3.3 Location of the Study 3.4 Population and Sampling 3.4.1 Target Population 3.4.2 Calculation for SamIple Size 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Test Proparation 3.8.1 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		3.2	Research Design	23
 3.4 Population and Sampling 3.4.1 Target Population 3.4.2 Calculation for Sam1ple Size 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Overshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.3 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.4 Confounding Factors 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.1 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		3.3	Location of the Study	25
 3.4.1 Target Population 3.4.2 Calculation for Sam1ple Size 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention Training Program 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Proparation 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Introduction 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		3.4	Population and Sampling	25
 3.4.2 Calculation for Sam Iple Size 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Proparation 3.8.3 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Introduction 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Commerbensive CK 			3.4.1 Target Population	25
 3.4.3 Criteria for Sampling 3.4.4 Sampling Process 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.4 Confrounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.4.2 Calculation for Sam1ple Size	26
 3.4.4 Sampling Process 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3 4 3 Criteria for Sampling	27
 3.5 Instrumentation 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.4.4 Sampling Process	28
 3.5.1 Daily Instruments 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		35	Instrumentation	29
 3.5.2 Examination papers 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		5.5	3.5.1 Daily Instruments	29
 3.5.3 Validation of Methods 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.5.2 Examination papers	30
 3.6 Intervention 3.6 Intervention 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMR Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3 5 3 Validation of Methods	31
 3.6.1 Intervention Training Program 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		36	Intervention	31
 3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		5.0	3.6.1 Intervention Training Program	31
 3.6.3 Validity of Intervention 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.6.2 Intervention Workshop Procedures	33
 3.7 Pilot Study 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.6.3 Validity of Intervention	35
 3.7.1 Reliability of the Method 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		37	Pilot Study	35
 3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		5.7	3.7.1 Reliability of the Method	36
 3.8 Controlling Confounding Factors 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.37 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.7.2 Variables in Examination Paper	36
 3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9 Data Collection for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		38	Controlling Confounding Eactors	37
 3.8.2 Controlling Test Preparation 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		5.0	3.8.1 Controlling Test Protocol	37
 3.8.3 Controlling Participants 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.8.2 Controlling Test Prenaration	37
 3.8.4 Confounding Covariates 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.8.3 Controlling Participants	37
 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9 Data Collection Procedures 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.8.4 Confounding Covariates	37
 3.9.1 Application for Permission 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		39	Data Collection Procedures	38
 3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		5.9	3.9.1 Application for Permission	38
 3.9.3 Formal Data Collection 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			3.9.2 Basic Work Preparation Before Data Collection	38
 3.10 Statistical Analyses 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			393 Formal Data Collection	38
 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		3 10	Statistical Analyses	39
 4 RESULTS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		0.110		0,
 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 	4	RESU	JLTS	41
 4.2 Tests of Statistical Assumptions 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		4.1	Introduction	41
 4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		4.2	Tests of Statistical Assumptions	41
 4.2.2 Normality Test 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			4.2.1 Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics	41
 4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			4.2.2 Normality Test	42
 4.3 Test of Hypotheses 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			4.2.3 Test of Homogeneity of Variances	44
 4.3.1 STD Score 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 		4.3	Test of Hypotheses	45
 4.3.2 TD Score 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			4.3.1 STD Score	45
 4.3.3 MEM Score 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			4.3.2 TD Score	47
 4.3.4 KMT Score 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			4.3.3 MEM Score	50
 4.3.5 CVP Score 4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK 			4.3.4 KMT Score	53
4.3.6 KMR Score 4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK			4.3.5 CVP Score	56
4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK			4.3.6 KMR Score	58
			4.3.7 Score of Comprehensive CK	61

G

5	DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION AND				
	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDY				
	5.1	Introduc	tion	65	
	5.2	Discussi	on	65	
		5.2.1	Effect of CK Workshop on Basic Theoretical		
			Knowledge	66	
		5.2.2	Effect of CK Workshop on Health Promotion		
			Knowledge	67	
5.2.3 Effect of CK Workshop on Physical Movement Knowledge					
				68	
		5.2.4	Effect of CK Workshop on Comprehensive CK	69	
	5.3	5.3 Conclusion 7 5.4 Implications 7			
	5.4				
5.4.1 Theoretically Implication				70	
		5.4.2	Practical Implications	71	
	5.5	Recommendations for Future Work			
REFE	ERENC	ES		72	
APPENDICES				79	
BIODATA OF STUDENT				112	
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS 11				113	

(G)

LIST OF TABLES

		_	
Table		Page	
2.1	Definition of CK Based on Expert Views	13	
2.2	Population Gap Form	15	
2.3	Intervention Gap Form	17	
3.1	Effect Size Calculation for Research Variables (Mean \pm SD)	26	
3.2	Exclusion and Inclusion Criteria	27	
3.3	Intervention Design	32	
3.4	Validity of Intervention Experts	35	
3.5	Test-retest Reliability of Instruments	36	
3.6	Statistical Analyses	40	
4.1	Baseline Characters of Demographics for Separate Groups and all Participants	42	
4.2	Results of Normality Tests for All Research Variables	43	
4.3	Test of Homogeneity of Variances for All Variables	44	
4.4	Descriptive Statistics (Mean and SD) of STD Scores for Both Groups across the Time	45	
4.5	Results of Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) on STD Score	45	
4.6	Pairwise Comparison of STD Scores between Control and Intervention at 3-Time Points	46	
4.7	Pairwise Comparison of STD Mean Scores across Time	47	
4.8	Descriptive Statistics (Mean and SD) of TD Scores for Both Groups across the Time	48	
4.9	Results of GEE on TD Score	48	
4.10	Pairwise Comparison of TD Scores between Control and Intervention at 3-Time Points	49	
4.11	Pairwise Comparison of TD Mean Scores across Time	49	
4.12	Descriptive Statistics (Mean and SD) of MEM Score for Both Groups across the Time Points	50	

4.13	Results of GEE on MEM Score	51
4.14	Pairwise Comparison of MEM Scores between Control and Intervention at 3-Times Points	51
4.15	Pairwise Comparison of MEM Mean Scores across Time	52
4.16	Descriptive Statistics (Mean and SD) of KMT Scores for Both Groups across the Time	53
4.17	Results of GEE on KMT Scores	54
4.18	Pairwise Comparison of KMT Scores between Control and Intervention at 3-Time Points	54
4.19	Pairwise Comparison of KMT Mean Scores across Time	55
4.20	Descriptive Statistics (Mean and SD) of CVP Scores for Both Groups across the Time	56
4.21	Results of GEE on CVP Score	56
4.22	Pairwise Comparison of CVP Scores between Control and Intervention at 3-Time Points	57
4.23	Pairwise Comparison of CVP Mean Score across Time	58
4.24	Descriptive Statistics (Mean and SD) of KMR Scores for Both Groups across the 3-Time Points	59
4.25	Results of GEE on KMR Score	59
4.26	Pairwise Comparison of KMR Scores between Control and Intervention at 3-Times Points	60
4.27	Pairwise Comparison of KMR Mean Score across Time	60
4.28	Descriptive Statistics (Mean and SD) for Score of Total Comprehensive CK	61
4.29	Summary of Repeated Measures ANOVA Results for Total Comprehensive CK	62
4.30	Pairwise Comparison Score for Total Comprehensive CK between Groups at 3-Time Points	63
4.31	Pairwise Comparison of Knowledge Mean Score Across Time for Both Groups	63

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Theoretical Framework adapted from Ward's PE Theory	20
2.2	Conceptual Framework adapted from the Devrilmez Theory	22
3.1	Research Design	24
3.2	Research Variables	25
3.3	Sample Size Calculation Based on the Repeated Measure Within- between Interaction	27
3.4	Consort Diagram	29
3.5	Workshop Teaching Procedures	33
3.6	Intervention Procedures	34
3.7	Data Collection Overview	39
4.1	STD Mean Score of Control and Experimental Group	47
4.2	TD Mean Scores of Control and Experimental Groups	50
4.3	MEM Mean Scores of Control and Experimental Groups	53
4.4	KMT Mean Scores of Control and Experimental Groups	55
4.5	CVP Mean Scores of Control and Experimental Group	58
4.6	KMR Mean Scores of the Control and Experimental Groups	61
4.7	Score of Comprehensive CK Level of Intervention Group and Control Group	64

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		
А	PRISMA	79
В	Protocol	81
С	Measurement	96
D	Ethic Clearance Letter from the Universiti Putra Malaysia	98
Е	Information Sheet and Informed Consent Form of the Respondents	106
F	Experimental Protocol (Student)	110
G	Ningxia Normal University	111

G

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

СК	Content knowledge
SCK	Specialized content knowledge
ССК	Common content knowledge
PE	Physical Education
PE Students	Physical education teaching students
STD	Sports training design
TD	Teaching design
MEM	Movement energy metabolism
CVP	Competition venue planning
KMT	Knowledge of movement techniques
KMR	Knowledge of movement rules
EAQs	Essay questions
MAQs	Memory questions
CAQs	Choose the question
T/FAQs	True and false questions
NIQs	Noun interpretation
SAQs	Short answer questions
GEE	Generalized estimating equations
СКРЕ	Content knowledge physical education

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

In recent years, with the expansion of college enrolment and the increasing number of graduates, the unemployment problem caused by a lack of jobs for graduates has become a widespread concern in society(Wang, 2023). In 2022, there were nine million college graduates in China(Jin et al., 2022). Statistics show that in 2001, 340,000 college graduates out of 1.15 million failed to secure a job in China, yielding an employment rate of 70%. Unemployment increased to 370,000 in 2002, 690,000 in 2004, and 790,000 in 2005 (Li, 2021). The above statistics corroborate the poor employment status of Chinese college graduates, especially in PE teaching, who are pre-service teachers (PE students) (Liu, 2014).

Physical education content knowledge (CK) workshops are an innovative way to engage in instructional practice and teach PE curriculum (Jin, 1996). Typically comprising oral exams and group discussion sessions (Fang, 2007), the CK workshop stimulates students to think and explore issues in addition to promoting practice and reflection (Gao et al., 2022; Ørngreen et al., 2017). The workshop focuses on improving student performance in written tests to ensure that each student achieves 90% fidelity (Sinelnikov et al., 2015). This study used the workshop's oral exams, group discussions, and effective feedback teaching methods.

Usually, students score poorly on written tests due to low CK. For instance, in recruiting teachers in Yun Cheng City, Shanxi Province, only 84.7% of final candidates were employed following the written test performance results in 2015 (Luo et al., 2023). In contrast, in the recruitment of teachers in Shanxi in 2013, 98.5% of the final candidates were ranked in their requested positions, and only three (1.5%) succeeded in overtaking others through interviews (Wen et al., 2012). Only those with more than 70% written examination scores are eligible for an interview, thus reducing the chances for low scorers to be appointed. Therefore, improving the CK among graduate students is crucial for doing well in the written examination.

According to "Investigation and Research on Learning Interest of PE Majors' Professional Theory Courses," 50% of PE students are uninterested in learning CK. Only 22.5% were interested in CK (Yu et al., 2011) . Limited attention to CK weakens knowledge in this area (Guo et al., 1994). According to another survey, "Analysis of the Present Situation of PE Students' Attitudes towards Theoretical Courses in Henan Institute of Science and Technology," only 45% of college and university students consider CK critical (Yu, 2011).

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Inferior performance on written tests is one of the reasons for students' unemployment (Rong et al., 2015). According to survey research, Chinese students' written test performance is deficient. For example, in a CK examination of fourth-year students, more than 55% scored less than 60 out of 100 points for their written performance (Yang et al., 2015). Written tests examine a graduate's writing performance, which is proportional to employment, while skills examine a graduate's practical ability (Li, 2021). According to "Order No. 6 of the Ministry of Personnel," graduates in public institutions were required to sit for prescribed examinations, comprising 70% written and 30% skill tests (Li, 2016). The employment process started with a written test followed by an interview. Written test scores were listed in descending order to determine the list of candidates to be interviewed.

To decrease the difficulty of finding employment for students majoring in PE, the Chinese Ministry of Education issued a policy to incorporate relevant CK in PE teaching practice (Xia, 2010). Furthermore, according to the "*National College PE Curriculum Teaching Guidance Outline*", teachers must pay attention to combining skills and CK by incorporating CK in practical teaching skills to improve students' CK (Chen et al., 2010). The government has made efforts to solve this issue. However, the high number of unemployed PE graduates indicates that the government is still unsuccessful.

Numerous factors contribute to the low CK of PE students, one of which is students' learning motivation. According to Hu (2003), PE teaching in colleges and universities only assists students in learning basic PE skills, passing school examinations, and completing credits while ignoring students' need to attain CK(Hu et al., 2003).

Research has investigated the role of workshops for teachers (Ward, 2014) in improving teachers' CK and, consequently, students' CK. Teachers attend CK workshops to enhance their skills in teaching CK to students. However, Ward et al. (2014) found workshops only focused on teachers but not teaching students directly. Additionally, the workshops only emphasised physical skills (Kim, 2016; Sinelnikov et al., 2015; Ward et al., 2015)while ignoring the need to attain writing skills. As such, it has been found that teachers who underwent a CK and skills workshop for badminton twice a day for four hours significantly enhanced their students' badminton skills (Ward, 2014). In addition, teachers from a secondary school in Flanders, Belgium, improved their students' swimming performance after attending a three-hour swimming skills workshop (Iserbyt et al., 2016) ...

No studies have been conducted in Chinese sports universities. The effectiveness of CK workshops for students has yet to be explored comprehensively. Liu (2023) in Research on the application of cloud classrooms and workshop practice teaching in kinesiology teaching to highlight the scarcity of evidence of such workshop training in China(Liu et al., 2022). Hence, researchers must investigate the effect of CK workshops on PE students' written test performance. Such research is necessary to resolve the current employment problem faced by PE students in China.

1.3 Objectives of Study

1.3.1 General Objective

The general objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of a six-week CK workshop on the written test performance of PE students in China. The details of the objectives are to:

- 1. Investigate the effects of the six-week CK workshop on PE students' written test scores on basic theoretical knowledge in China.
- 2. Investigate the effects of the six-week CK workshop on PE students' written test scores on health promotion knowledge in China.
- 3. Investigate the effects of the six-week CK workshop on PE students' written test scores on competition venue planning in China.
- 4. Investigate the effects of the six-week CK workshop on PE students' written test scores on the comprehensive CK in China.

1.3.2 Specific Objectives

The specific objectives of this study compartmentalised the general aim to examine the effects of the six-week CK workshop on the written test performance of Chinese PE students. The detailed objectives are:

- 1. To examine the effects of the six-week CK workshop on the written test performance on sports training design (STD) among PE students in China.
- 2. To examine the effects of the six-week CK workshop on the written test performance on teaching design (TD) among PE students in China.
- 3. To examine the effects of the six-week CK workshop on the written test performance on movement energy metabolism (MEM) among PE students in China.
- 4. To examine the effects of the six-week CK workshop on the written test performance on knowledge of movement techniques (KMT) among PE students in China.
- 5. To examine the effects of the six-week CK workshop on the written test performance on CVP among PE students in China.
- 6. To examine the effects of the six-week CK workshop on the written test performance on knowledge of movement rules (KMR) among PE students in China.
- 7. To examine the effects of the six-week CK workshop on the scores of the comprehensive CK performance in a written test among PE students in China.

1.4 Hypotheses

1.4.1 General Hypotheses

The general objective of this study was to investigate the effects of a six-week CK workshop on the written test performance among PE students in China. Accordingly, the following hypotheses were developed for evaluation:

- H₀1: There is no significant difference in the written test results on basic theoretical knowledge between the control and experimental groups of PE students in China.
- H₀2: There is no significant difference in the written test results on health promotion knowledge between the control and experimental groups of PE students in China
- H₀3: There is no significant difference in the written test results on physical movement knowledge between the control and experimental groups of PE students in China.
- H₀4: There is no significant difference in the written test results on the score of comprehensive CK between the control and experimental groups of PE students in China.

1.4.2 Specific Hypotheses

The specific objectives of this study examined the impact of the six-week CK workshop on the written test scores of Chinese PE students. The related particular hypotheses were:

- $H_0 1.1: \quad \mbox{There is no significant difference between the control and experimental groups} \\ \mbox{in STD written test performance among PE students in China.}$
- $H_01.2$: There is no significant difference between the control and experimental groups in TD written test performance among PE students in China.
- H₀2.1: There is no significant difference between the control and experimental groups in MEM written test performance among PE students in China.
- $H_02.2$: There is no significant difference between the control and experimental groups in KMT written test performance among PE students in China.
- $H_03.1$: There is no significant difference between the control and experimental groups in CVP written test performance among PE students in China.
- H₀3.2: There is no significant difference between the control and experimental groups in KMR written test performance among PE students in China.
- H₀4: There is no significant difference between the control and experimental groups in the comprehensive CK written test score performance among PE students in China.

1.5 Significance of Study

1.5.1 Theoretical Significance

The present study utilized Ward's and Devrilmez's theories as its reference. According to Ward's (2014) theory, workshop-based interventions improved teachers' CK (Ward, 2014). This finding was confirmed by Hasties' (2021) research, which inferred that a workshop could positively influence teachers' CK (Hastie, 2021). However, Ward's theory focused solely on the teacher, and the workshop did not focus directly on students. Since Ward's (2014) approach did not explore the effects of the workshop on students' written test performance, the current study expands on the theory in this aspect. The present study targeted the workshop intervention directly at PE students and their CK to explore whether it has a similar effect as the interventions for teachers.

In contrast, the previous study used different assessment methods. For example, using a questionnaire, Devrilmez's (2019) theoretical analysis evaluated students' CK using only 27 multiple-choice questions (E. Devrilmez et al., 2019). The present research contributes by using improved examination papers to assess students' CK. The examination paper included essays, memory questions (MAQs), multiple choice, true or false, and short answer questions. This assessment form is similar to the actual test and can better predict the students' written test achievement.

1.5.2 Practical Significance

The practical significance of the present study draws from Li et al.'s (2019) "Technical Movement Analysis and Instructional Step Design" and Sheng et al.'s (2021) "Basketball Teaching and Training: The Application of a Course Model Based on Real Combat Situations." Based on these references, a six-week CK workshop was designed for students (Li, 2019; Sheng et al., 2021).

The current study conducted the six-week workshop for PE students following a protocol based on the interventions for six different CK knowledge points. One CK knowledge point was to be completed per week during the 6-week intervention. The intervention order was: Week 1 - STD (i.e., basketball); Week 2 - TD (i.e., basketball); Week 3 - MEM (i.e., basketball); Week 4 -KMT (i.e., basketball); Week 5 - CVP (i.e., Track and Field); and Week 6 - KMR (i.e., Track and Field). Hence, future research on student-focused CK workshops may reference the present six-week workshop protocol. Moreover, PE teachers, coaches, and other PE training institutions may use the workshop as a guideline to develop their students' CK.

1.6 Delimitations

This study examined the effects of a six-week CK workshop on written test performance among Chinese graduates. Therefore, this study only covered college graduates in China, specifically fourth-year students majoring in PE. These students would eventually have to participate in teacher recruitment to become in-service teachers.

The present study focused solely on the workshop's impact on students' written test performance. CK is a specific subject matter taught by teachers to undergraduate college students. It is closely related to the content of the written test that PE students take for the teacher recruitment examination. Specifically, the assessment contains topics on PE training design, basketball class hours, teaching plan, energy supply system, movement, prescription, track and field venue planning, and basketball rules. Written test performance was the only variable used to measure students' CK in the present study. The examination paper utilized was sourced from the Ministry of Education China, which stipulated the examination paper's content which determined the research scope (Xia, 2010). As a result, the written test content set in this study is similar to standards set by the Ministry of Education in China.

1.7 Limitations

Various psychological factors, such as the student's learning motivation and learning fatigue, could have affected the results of this study's experiment. For example, according to Alexander (1983), individual learning motivation can influence student performance. During the experimental period, students could have been motivated at times but demotivated at other times, depending on emotions and mood.

To minimise the impact of these factors on the trial results, the researcher guided the students to maintain a good learning attitude. Publicity materials were distributed to the students before the experiment. The researcher also explained the importance of the research to stimulate the students' motivation to participate. Moreover, the six-week CK workshop was incorporated as an undergraduate elective. The students were informed that their performance in the six-week intervention plan would be assessed through courses. Hence, making the students more committed and motivated to join the study.

1.8 Definition of Terms

The essential terms used in this study are CK, written test performance, workshop, and PE student. This section provides the conceptual and operational definitions of these critical terms.

Content Knowledge (CK)

Conceptual Definition: Content Knowledge (CK) refers to the subject matter knowledge one needs to teach a subject (Ward, 2009). Specifically, it involves knowing how to perform an activity and what to teach during the activity (Ward, 2009; Ward et al., 2018). CK can be assessed by the number of courses taken, the grades obtained from content courses, and the standardized CK tests for specific subjects such as PE (Educational Testing Service [ETS], 2016).

Operational Definition: CK includes theories, principles, and concepts of PE that teachers must know to educate PE students (Li et al., 2019). The Chinese teacher recruitment examination is not limited to a specific course. Based on the outline of this teacher recruitment examination, CK is defined as basic theoretical, health promotion, and physical exercise knowledge (Li, 2016).CK is majoring in PE teaching, who are preservice teachers (PE students) need knowledge (He, 2018).

Written Test (Performance)

Conceptual Definition: The written test is a test corresponding to the interview. It assesses the applicant's knowledge level and is administered on paper or through a computer as an exam. Thus, a test taker of the written test could respond to specific items by writing or typing within a given space on the test or a separate form or document (Li, 2022).

The written test performance is a piece of information, often a number, which conveys an examinee's performance on a test. It may formally be defined as a summary of the evidence contained in an examinee's responses to the items of a test related to the construct(s) being measured (Li, 2020; Yang, 2019).

Operational Definition: A test in which individuals must answer questions in writing. There are seven primary formats of written tests: multiple-choice, yes-no, matching, fillin-the-blank, short answer and answer questions, and short essays. Each written test format has advantages and disadvantages (Xia, 2010). The multiple formats can effectively measure differences in writing quality and ability as tools to screen talent in qualification examinations (Mao, 2019a, 2019b). The written examination for recruiting PE teachers includes essays, memory, multiple choice, true or false, and short answer questions (Haibin et al., 2014). Written test performance indicates an individual's ability to excel in an academic subject and gain the necessary skills and knowledge, as indicated by the written test scores obtained by students after their studies (Ma et al., 2009). This study's total written test performance was based on the sum of scores for the various questions, totalling 100 points (Ma et al., 2009).

Workshop

Conceptual Definition: A workshop may be defined as a specific working method that involves uniting people to acquire new knowledge, exchange experiences, demonstrate creativity, and find solutions to various problems (Ørngreen et al., 2017).

Operational Definition: An advantage of workshops is the possibility of processing various teaching content for students and teachers. Besides being more engaging and exciting for students, it provides teachers with the knowledge they would otherwise not gain through traditional teaching (Ørngreen et al., 2017). When utilized to teach PE, the workshop approach allows the interconnection of activities that encourage proper growth and development by achieving targeted educational goals (Jevtić et al., 2019). In addition, based on the CK acquired, the workshop focuses on improving written test achievements (Sheng, 2021). The critical developmental goal of the workshop is to enhance students' written test performance. This study focused on the workshop's effect on classroom studies, oral test exchanges, and written test performance of Chinese PE majors. The workshop was held once a week for three hours.

Physical Education Students (Pre-service Teacher)

Conceptual Definition: Physical Education, often abbreviated to Phys Ed. or PE, is taught worldwide in schools. It is usually conducted during primary and secondary education and encourages psychomotor learning in a play and movement exploration setting to promote health and physical fitness (Anderson, 1989; He et al., 2020).

Physical Education student: Majoring in PE teaching, who are pre-service teachers (PE students). A student who masters the basic theory of educational psychology, sports biological science, sports social science, and sports technology. By graduation, the student comprehensively masters essential technologies and PE skills while having established expertise in overall development. Furthermore, the student becomes competent in teaching PE, after-school sports training, sports department management, and scientific research (Li, 2016; Li et al., 2021).

Operational Definition: Physical education is not limited to primary and secondary education. Colleges and universities also teach PE in a four-year pedagogical undergraduate major known as the science of PE (Xia, 2010).

Physical education student: majoring in PE teaching, who are pre-service teachers (PE students). According to the "Sports and Health Teaching Reform Guidance Outline (Trial)," the student's length of study is four years, during which they must achieve 140

to 170 credits (Xia, 2010). The future employment goal of these students is to engage in teaching related to PE in schools or other educational institutions (Gu, 2013; Su, 2021). The PE students involved in the present study were pre-service PE teachers, which refers to students majoring in PE teaching in colleges and universities who will become on-the-job teachers after graduation (He, 2018).

Comprehensive Content Knowledge (Score of Comprehensive Content Knowledge)

Conceptual Definition: It is a comprehensive examination set up for the open recruitment of teachers in primary and secondary schools. The public subjects of the written examination are the vocational aptitude test and comprehensive application ability (*Interim Provisions on Public Recruitment of Public Institutions*).

Score of Comprehensive Content Knowledge: Score of Comprehensive Content Knowledge: Written test subject category, primary and secondary school teacher examination papers total score 100 points (*Interim Provisions on Public Recruitment of Public Institutions*).

Operational Definition: Comprehensive Content Knowledge includes: STD, TD, MEM, KMT, CVP, and KMR (Xia, 2010).

Score of Comprehensive Content Knowledge: Score of Comprehensive Content Knowledge= (STD +TD +MEM + KMT +CVP + KMR) score=100 (Xia, 2010).

REFERENCES

- Anderson, D. (1989). The discipline and the profession. Foundations of Canadian physical education, recreation, and sports studies. Dubuque, IA: Wm. C. C. Brown. Publishers, USA.
- Ball, D. L.et al., (2008). Content knowledge for teaching: What makes it special?
- Bian, B. C. j.et al., (2015). Analysis on the effect and influencing factors of the training of on-the-job health personnel in Xizang Province. *Xizang Science and technology Journal*(8), 3.
- Bolarinwa, O. A. (2015). Principles and methods of validity and reliability testing of questionnaires used in social and health science researches. *Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 22(4), 195.
- Cavallo, D. N.et al., (2012). A social media–based physical activity intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 43(5), 527-532.
- Chang, S. H.et al., (2020). The effect of a content knowledge teacher professional workshop on enacted pedagogical content knowledge and student learning in a throwing unit. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 25(5), 493-508.
- Chen et al. (2010). Design and application of College Sports Theory Online Examination system. 32(21), 131-134.
- Cheng, l.et al.,. (2010). Design and application of College Sports Theory Online Examination system. *Journal of Wuhan University of Technology*, 32(21), 131-134.
- Cramer, H.et al., (2016). Is one yoga style better than another? A systematic review of associations of yoga style and conclusions in randomized yoga trials. *Complementary therapies in medicine*, 25, 178-187.
- Dervent, F.et al., (2020). A national analysis of the content knowledge of Turkish physical education teacher education students. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 25(6), 613-628.
- Devrilmez, E.et al., (2019). A test of common content knowledge for gymnastics: A Rasch analysis. *European Physical Education Review*, 25(2), 512-523.
- Devrilmez et al. (2019). A test of common content knowledge for gymnastics: A Rasch analysis. *European Physical Education Review*, 25(2), 512-523.
- Even, R. (1990). Subject matter knowledge for teaching and the case of functions. *Educational studies in mathematics*, 21(6), 521-544.
- Fang, Q. (2007). Scientific setting of written test interview finalist criteria. *Journal of leadership Science*(11), 36-36.

- Fu, H.et al., (2022). An empirical study on covariate selection in value-added evaluation. *Chinese examination journal*(03), 24-31. https://doi.org/10.19360/j.cnki.11-3303/g4.2022.03.004
- Gao, Y.et al., (2022). EFFECT OF CONTENT KNOWLEDGE ON THE EMPLOYMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION STUDENTS IN CHINA. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 98-108.
- Grossman, P. L.et al., (2005). Teachers of substance: Subject matter knowledge for teaching. *Profesorado, Revista de currículum y formación del profesorado, 9*(2), 1–25-21–25.
- Gu, Y.et al., (2018). Reflection and exploration on pre-service PE teacher education from the perspective of PCK. *journal of beijing university of physical education*, 41(11), 89-95. https://doi.org/10.19582/j.cnki.11-3785/g8.2018.11.013
- Gu, Z. (2013). The improvement of the training methods of college career guidance teachers. *Journal of Sichuan University of Science and Technology: Social Science Edition*, 28(3), 4.
- Guo et al. (1994). Research on the teaching content of physical education theory course in colleges and universities. *sports science*(05), 31-35.
- Haibin, X.et al.,. (2014). Study on the reference and Enlightenment of Bloom's Education Theory to Chinese School Physical Education. *Journal of Jilin Institute of Physical Education*(2), 3.
- Hastie, P. A. (2021). A primer on content knowledge in physical education research. *Journal of teaching in physical education*, 41(1), 165-170.
- He, Y. (2018). Research on Development and Application of Chinese PE Teacher Subject Content Knowledge (CK) assessment tool East China Normal UniversityECNUJ.
- He, Y. a.et al.,. (2020). Knowledge research of Chinese physical education teachers: transmutation of subject, focus and outlook. *Journal of Chengdu Physical Education University*, 46(06), 27-33. https://doi.org/10.15942/j.jcsu.2020.06.005
- Hu, J., & Xie, X. (2003). On the Reform of Curriculum Structure of Sports Management Specialty from Employment Situation. *Journal of Wuhan Physical Education University*(06), 157-160. <u>https://doi.org/10.15930/j.cnki.wtxb.2003.06.051</u>
- Hu, J. a., & Xuefeng, X. (2003). On the Reform of Curriculum Structure of Sports Management Specialty from Employment Situation. *Journal of Wuhan Physical Education University*(06), 157-160. https://doi.org/10.15930/j.cnki.wtxb.2003.06.051
- Hua, Y., & Likun, S. (2010). On the relationship between test reliability and validity. *Cultural and educational materials*, *No.518*(30), 122-123.

- Iserbyt, P., & Coolkens, R. (2020). Content development as a function of content knowledge courses in preservice physical education teachers. *International Journal of Kinesiology in Higher Education*, 4(2), 41-54.
- Iserbyt, P.et al., (2017). The effect of a specialized content knowledge workshop on teaching and learning Basic Life Support in elementary school: A cluster randomized controlled trial. *Resuscitation*, *112*, 17-21.
- Iserbyt, P.et al., (2016). The influence of content knowledge on teaching and learning in traditional and sport education contexts: An exploratory study. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 21(5), 539-556.
- Jevtić, B.et al., (2019). Developing social competencies of pupils through workshops in physical education classes. *Facta Universitatis, Series: Physical Education and Sport*, 259-275.
- Jin, j. (1996). Thoughts on setting up the course of Physical Education Theory in Normal universities. *Journal of Lishui Teachers College*(06), 52-54.
- Jin, J.et al.,. (2021). Executive Validity of experimental intervention in physical education: Definition, conceptual framework and evaluation system. *Journal of Chengdu Physical Education University*, 47(3), 6.
- Jin, W.et al., (2022). Research on Innovation of graduate Employment Education Management under the background of Big data. *Employment of college students in China*, *No.510*(24), 29-35. https://doi.org/10.20017/j.cnki.1009-0576.2022.24.005
- Junyu, D., & Huan, X. (2018). The germination, practice and enlightenment of sports action research abroad. *journal of beijing university of physical education*, 41(10), 9.
- Kallio, H.et al., (2016). Systematic methodological review: developing a framework for a qualitative semi-structured interview guide. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 72(12), 2954-2965.
- Kim, I. (2016). Exploring changes to a teacher's teaching practices and student learning through a volleyball content knowledge workshop. *European Physical Education Review*, 22(2), 225-242.
- Kim, I.et al.,. (2020). Teaching pickleball with in-depth content knowledge in middle school physical education. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 91(8), 29-38.
- Kim, I.et al., (2018). The influence of content knowledge on pedagogical content knowledge: An evidence-based practice for physical education. *Journal of teaching in physical education*, *37*(2), 133-143.
- Labonte, R.et al., (1999). A story/dialogue method for health promotion knowledge development and evaluation. *Health Education Research*, 14(1), 39-50.

- Lan, Z.et al., (2021). Application effect of workshop teaching mode in cardiopulmonary resuscitation teaching for TCM trainees. *Journal of Guangxi University of Chinese Medicine*, 24(1), 3.
- Li et al. (2019). Technical Action Analysis and Instructional Step Design. *People's* Sports Publishing House 1-9.
- Li, J. (2020). On the Rules of Public Recruitment of Teachers by Local Governments. *Journal of Teacher Education Research*, 32(03), 60-66. https://doi.org/10.13445/j.cnki.t.e.r.2020.03.009
- Li, J. Y. (2018). The Type and reflection on the written test mode of local government's open Recruitment of teachers. *Teacher education research*, *30*(01), 33-40. https://doi.org/10.13445/j.cnki.t.e.r.2018.01.006
- Li, L. (2022). Progress in the application of muscle energy technology. Sports vision journal, No.42(06), 74-77.
- Li, s. (2021). Study on the Employment Status and Development Countermeasures of Physical Education Graduates in Hebei Province.
- Li, s. (2016). Reflections on the Cultivation of Physical Education Professionals in Physical Education Colleges and Universities -- Starting from the implementation of National Teacher Qualification Examination System. *Journal of Nanjing University of Physical Education (Social Science Edition)*, 30(03). https://doi.org/10.15877/j.cnki.nsic.2016.03.016
- Li, W. (2019). Technical Action Analysis and Instructional Step Design. *People's Sports Publishing House*, 1-9.
- Li, X. (2018). Structured interview techniques. *Employment of college students in China*, No.411(21), 28-29.
- Li, Y., & Hao, G. (2018). Effects of different teaching methods in basic life support training. *Journal of contemporary Chinese Medicine*, 25(24), 4.
- Li, Y.et al., (2021). Thinking on the Construction of New Teaching Mode of Physical Education and Health Curriculum -- Based on the Instruction Outline of Teaching Reform of Physical Education and Health (Trial). *Journal of physical education*, 28(6), 75-81.
- Liu, l. (2014). *The Employment Status and Countermeasures of Social Sports graduates in Shandong Province* Shandong Normal University].
- Liu, R. D., Baolin; Zhang, Danqing; Liu, Yang; Mao, Lijuan. (2021). The Methodology and Report Quality Evaluation of Chinese Sports Randomized Controlled Trials (2010-2020). Journal of Sports Science, 041(005), 88-96.
- Liu, X.et al., (2022). Research on the application of cloud classroom and workshop practice teaching in kinesiology teaching. *Higher medical education in China*(10), 64-65.

- Liu, Y.et al., (2002). Adjustment of covariates for evaluating treatment effects in clinical trials. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics in China*(03), 257-262.
- Luo, I., & Ding , J. (2023). Research and practice on practical teaching system of employment and Entrepreneurship for college students. *Employment and security*, No.303(01), 130-132.
- Ma, X., & Yi, J. (2009). A Brief Discussion on the Quality Cultivation of Students majoring in Physical Education -- Based on the written test of Physical Education Teacher Recruitment in primary and secondary schools. *Journal of Jiangxi Agricultural University: Social Science Edition*(4).
- Mao et al. (2015). "Inquiry Learning", "Innovative Spirit and Ability" and "Problem String". *Journal of physical education*, *35*(06), 26-28.
- Mao, z. (2019a). The Achievements of school sports in 70 years of New China and the development direction of the New Era. *Journal of Tianjin Institute of Physical Education*, 34(06), 461-465. https://doi.org/ 10.13297/j.cnki.issn1005-0000.2019.06.001
- Mao, Z. (2019b). The Achievements of school sports in 70 years of New China and the development direction of the New Era. *Journal of Tianjin Institute of Physical Education*, 34(6), 5.
- Ørngreen, R., & Levinsen, K. (2017). Workshops as a Research Methodology. *Electronic Journal of E-learning*, *15*(1), 70-81.
- Palao, J. M.et al., (2015). The impact of video technology on student performance in physical education. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 24*(1), 51-63.
- Rong, H.et al., (2015). Study on the influence of college students' sports Participation on employability. *Movement*, *No.105*(01), 58-60+119.
- Sheng, H.et al., (2021). Basketball Teaching and Training: The Application of a Course Model Based on Real Combat Situations. *People's Sports Publishing House*, 1-17.
- Sheng, L. (2021). The role of workshop training mode in improving the nursing ability of middle-level nurses in TCM syndrome differentiation. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine Management*.
- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational researcher*, 15(2), 4-14.
- Sinelnikov, O. A. et al., (2016). Changing beginning teachers' content knowledge and its effects on student learning. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 21(4), 425-440.
- Sinelnikov, S.et al., (2015). Using leading indicators to measure occupational health and safety performance. *Safety science*, 72, 240-248.

- Su, Z. j. (2021). New requirements for training normal university students. Chinese school sports, 040(008), P.41-42.
- Tao, L., & Xuwei, P. (2001). The problems and basic ideas of physical education in colleges and universities. *Journal of Shanghai University of Physical Education*(S1), 3.
- Tsuda, E. (2017). Examining the Impact of a Content Knowledge Professional Development Workshop Using a Knowledge Packet on a Teacher's Pedagogical Content Knowledge and Student learning in an Upper Elementary Tennis Unit The Ohio State University].
- Wang, F. (2023, 2023-01-03). State Council employment report: Public employment services tilted toward college graduates. 002.
- Wang, T., & Li, W. The historical evolution of American physical education Curriculum teaching model and its practical enlightenment. *Journal of Chengdu Physical Education University*, 46(6), 7.
- Ward, P. (2009). Content matters: Knowledge that alters teaching. *Historic traditions* and future directions of research on teaching and teacher education in physical education, 345-356.
- Ward, P. (2013). The role of content knowledge in conceptions of teaching effectiveness in physical education. *Research Quarterly for exercise and sport*, 84(4), 431-440.
- Ward, P. (2014). A response to the conversations on effective teaching in physical education. *Research Quarterly for exercise and sport*, 85(3), 293-296.
- Ward, P.et al.,. (2018). Chinese secondary physical education teachers' depth of specialized content knowledge in soccer. *Journal of teaching in physical* education, 37(1), 101-112.
- Ward, P.et al., (2015). Effects of improving teachers' content knowledge on teaching and student learning in physical education. *Research Quarterly for exercise and sport*, 86(2), 130-139.
- Wei, S.et al., (2022). Design and implementation of college sports training system based on artificial intelligence. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 13(Suppl 3), 971-977.
- Wen, Z.et al., (2012). Investigation on the employment status of social sports graduates in Shanxi Universities. Sports research and education, 27(05), 37-41. https://doi.org/10.16207/j.cnki.2095-235x.2012.05.016
- Xia, j. (2010). Physical education theory question question proposition width thinking. *Sports culture guide*, *No.98*(08), 79-82+90.
- Yan, Y. (2015). The Transformation of Teacher's Role from the Transformation of Knowledge Nature: A Summary based on history and practice. *Contemporary educational science*(20), 4.

- Yang, C. (2019). Study on the quality of written test for public recruitment. Journal of Jilin University of Agricultural Science and Technology, 28(04), 57-60+119-120.
- Yang et al. (2015). An experimental study of workshop model embedded in English Translation Teaching. *Educational academic monthly*, *No.281*(12), 97-103. https://doi.org/10.16477/j.cnki.issn1674-2311.2015.12.015
- Yu, j., & Zhang, w. (2011). Investigation and research on Learning interest of PE major students in Professional Theory Course. *China Science and Education Innovation Guide*, No.597(13), 202.
- Yu, Z. (2011). Analysis on the current situation of Physical Education Major students' attitude towards theoretical course learning in Henan Institute of Science and Technology. Sports World (Academic Edition), No.695(01), 11-12. https://doi.org/10.16730/j.cnki.61-1019/g8.2011.01.016
- Yuan, X., & Yang , Y. (2018). Reliability and validity analysis of final examination results of physical education major. *Journal of Chifeng University (Natural Science Edition)*, 34(04), 159-160. https://doi.org/10.13398/j.cnki.issn1673-260x.2018.04.059
- Zhao, Q.et al., (2016). Characteristics, Ideas and Inspirations -- Study on the Curriculum of Physical Education Major in Ohio State University. *journal of beijing university of physical education*, 39(12), 7.

Zhou, J. (2017). Sports knowledge paradigm. Shandong Normal University.