



**FACTOR INFLUENCING USE OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY AMONG
SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS**

By

SITI SYUHADA BINTI ABU HANIFAH

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

September 2022

FPP 2022 53

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

All praise to the Almighty Allah that I am here to see this day. This thesis is dedicated to my late mentor, Professor Dr Ganakumaran Subramaniam. May your beautiful soul find eternal peace. My dearly loving parents, Abu Hanifah Abdul Rahman and Che Som Mustafa. Professor Dr Ahmad Fauzi Mohd Ayub and Dr Norliza Ghazali, my supervisory committees. Muhamad Syaamil Bin Abdul Razak, my loving husband, and finally, Annisaa' Irdinaa Muhamad Syaamil, my dearest daughter.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

FACTOR INFLUENCING USE OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS

By

SITI SYUHADA BINTI ABU HANIFAH

September 2022

Chair : Norliza Ghazali, PhD
Faculty : Educational Studies

In recent years, the use of digital technology has become vital for individuals to survive in a digital society. In education, digital technology use is becoming a critical component of the development of effective pedagogical practise and student learning enhancement. To meet the demand, this study summarises the findings of an investigation on factors affecting teachers' digital technology use using an adaption of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Usage of Technology (UTAUT) and European Framework for Educators' Digital Competences (DigCompEdu). The purpose of this study is to predict a model of the factors that influence secondary school teachers' digital technology utilization. This will be accomplished by determining the level of teachers' digital competence, establishing relationships between constructs such as personal innovativeness, ICT facility, technology self-efficacy, subjective norms, attitude toward digital technology, and digital competence and finally, developing a model. A survey was conducted with 363 secondary school teachers from 50 public secondary schools in 11 districts of Pahang. To obtain the sample, proportionate stratified sampling was used. A quantitative study using the correlational technique has been proposed to address it. The participants were asked to complete a questionnaire related to the constructs. The findings indicate that teachers have an average level of digital competence. In terms of the model, it has been established that personal innovativeness, self-efficacy in technology, subjective norms, and digital competence have a significant effect on teachers' use of digital technology. However, it was discovered that ICT facilities have no measurable impact on use of digital technology. The overall structural model with six paths has explained 37.6 percent of the variance for use of digital technology among secondary school teachers. The final section of the paper examined possible causes for the study's findings and made recommendations for further research. These findings emphasise the importance of increasing teachers' digital competence as well as numerous factors that influence teachers' use of digital technology in order to fulfil the expectations of future qualified professions and thus prepare students for the digital world.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI PENGGUNAAN TEKNOLOGI DIGITAL DALAM KALANGAN GURU SEKOLAH MENENGAH

Oleh

SITI SYUHADA BINTI ABU HANIFAH

September 2022

Pengerusi : Norliza Ghazali, PhD
Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Sejak kebelakangan ini, penggunaan teknologi digital telah menjadi perkara penting bagi semua individu untuk bertahan dalam masyarakat digital. Dalam pendidikan, penggunaan teknologi digital telah menjadi komponen penting dalam pembangunan amalan pedagogi yang berkesan dan peningkatan pembelajaran pelajar. Untuk memenuhi keperluan, kajian ini meringkaskan penemuan penyiasatan terhadap faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi penggunaan teknologi digital guru dengan menggunakan Teori *Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology* (UTAUT) dan *European Framework for Educators' Digital Competences* (DigCompEdu). Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk meramal model faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi penggunaan teknologi digital guru sekolah menengah. Ini akan dicapai dengan menentukan tahap kecekapan digital guru, mewujudkan hubungan antara konstruk seperti inovasi peribadi, kemudahan ICT, efikasi sendiri dalam teknologi, norma subjektif, sikap terhadap teknologi digital, dan kecekapan digital dan akhirnya, membangunkan sebuah model. Satu tinjauan telah dijalankan dengan 363 guru sekolah menengah dari 50 sekolah menengah awam di 11 daerah negeri Pahang. Bagi mendapatkan sampel, pensampelan berstrata berkadar digunakan. Kajian kuantitatif menggunakan teknik korelasi telah dicadangkan untuk menjalankan kajian ini. Para peserta diminta melengkapkan soal selidik yang berkaitan dengan konstruk. Penemuan menunjukkan bahawa guru mempunyai tahap purata kecekapan digital yang sederhana rendah. Dari segi model, telah dibuktikan bahawa inovasi peribadi, efikasi sendiri dalam teknologi, norma subjektif, dan kecekapan digital mempunyai kesan yang signifikan terhadap penggunaan teknologi digital guru. Walau bagaimanapun, didapati bahawa kemudahan ICT dan sikap terhadap teknologi digital tidak mempunyai kesan yang boleh diukur terhadap penggunaan teknologi digital. Model struktur keseluruhan dengan 6 laluan telah menjelaskan 37.6 peratus daripada varians penggunaan teknologi digital dalam kalangan guru sekolah menengah. Bahagian terakhir penyelidikan ini mengkaji kemungkinan penemuan kajian dan membuat cadangan untuk penyelidikan lanjut.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With the name of Allah, the Most Compassionate and Most Merciful

First and foremost, I want to express my gratitude to Allah for blessing me with a sound mind, excellent health, and the ability to complete my thesis in the given period. Through this incredible journey, I have learned that writing a thesis is a learning experience that would not have been possible without His assistance and blessing.

I am grateful to my supervising committees, Professor Dr. Ahmad Fauzi Mohd Ayub and Dr. Norliza Ghazali, for guiding and aiding my studies, as well as for their patience and encouragement during my thesis writing process. It is an honor to pay tribute to the most compassionate soul, the late Professor Dr. Ganakumaran Subramaniam. I would not be here pursuing my studies if it were not for you. It saddens my heart that you will not be able to see my journey to success. Additionally, I would like to express my most sincere appreciation to Dr. Teh Pei Ling, Dr. Zaira Abu Hassan Shaari, Dr. Ali Ahmad Seman, Mr. Thurairaja Shanmugam, Mr. Aslam Khan Samahs Khan, Datin Dr. Raja Mazuin Aziz, and Nurhezrin Anuar. Not even once did they hesitate to provide academic or motivational support to assist me in completing the study.

My deepest gratitude goes to my mom and dad, Che Som Mustafa and Abu Hanifah Abdul Rahman, for their unwavering love, care, and blessings. You always been my inspiration to pursue whatever I want in my life. I will never be able to repay both of you. I pray that God may bless both of you with good health, happiness, and heaven in the afterlife. To my siblings, I am thankful to have both of you as additional lifelines through whom I may seek advice and emotional support.

I feel grateful to my dearest spouse, Muhamad Syaamil Abdul Razak, who was always there for me through the ups and downs. Your unfailing support and patience were the fuel that kept me going. I'm grateful for everything that you have done. Thank you once again. Finally, thank you to my sweet angel, Annisaa' Irdinaa Muhamad Syaamil, for bringing the light into my darkest times and joy to my heart. I hope that my persistence, determination, sweat, and tears will shape you into a better person than I was.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Norliza Ghazali, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ahmad Fauzi Mohd Ayub, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 May 2023

Declaration by the Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Siti Syuhada binti Abu Hanifah,

Declaration by Members of the Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory

Committee:

Dr. Norliza Ghazali

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory

Committee:

Professor Dr. Ahmad Fauzi Mohd Ayub

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	iv
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Digital Technology in Education	1
1.3 Digital Technology Utilization in Education	2
1.4 Statement of the Problem	3
1.5 Research Objectives	6
1.6 Research Hypotheses	6
1.7 Significance of the Study	6
1.8 Limitations of the Study	8
1.9 Definition of Terms	9
1.9.1 Personal Innovativeness	9
1.9.2 ICT Facility	9
1.9.3 Technology Self-efficacy	9
1.9.4 Subjective Norm	9
1.9.5 Attitude towards Digital Technology	10
1.9.6 Digital competence	10
1.9.7 Use of Digital Technology	10
1.10 Summary	10
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 The Development of Digital Technology in Malaysian Education	11
2.3 Teachers' Use of Digital Technology	13
2.4 Digital Technology Utilization among Malaysian Teachers	14
2.5 Factor Influencing Digital Technology Use	16
2.5.1 Personal Innovativeness	16
2.5.2 ICT Facility	17
2.5.3 Technology Self-Efficacy	18
2.5.4 Subjective Norm	19
2.5.5 Attitude towards Digital Technology	21
2.5.6 Digital Competence	22

2.6	Related Theories	23
2.6.1	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)	23
2.6.2	European Framework for the Digital Competence of Educators (DigCompEdu)	25
2.7	Theoretical Framework	28
2.8	Conceptual Framework	30
2.9	Summary	32
3	METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Research Design	33
3.3	Population of Study	33
3.4	Sampling	35
3.5	Instrumentation	38
3.5.1	Demographic Information	39
3.5.2	Part 1: Personal Innovativeness	39
3.5.3	Part 2: ICT Facility	40
3.5.4	Part 3: Technology Self-efficacy	40
3.5.5	Part 4: Subjective Norms	41
3.5.6	Part 5: Attitude towards Digital Technology	42
3.5.7	Part 6: Digital Competence	43
3.5.8	Part 7: Use of Digital Technology	45
3.6	Back-to-Back Translation	46
3.7	Validity and Reliability of Instrument	47
3.7.1	Validity	47
3.7.2	Reliability	48
3.7.3	Pilot Study	48
3.8	Ethical Considerations	49
3.9	Data Collection	49
3.10	Exploratory Data Analysis	50
3.10.1	Boxplots	50
3.10.2	Histogram	50
3.10.3	Skewness and Kurtosis	51
3.10.4	Normal Probability Plot (Q-Q Plot)	51
3.10.5	Detrended Normal Q-Q Plots	51
3.10.6	Multicollinearity Assumption	51
3.10.7	Tolerance and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)	52
3.11	Data Analysis	52
3.11.1	Descriptive Statistics	53
3.11.2	Inferential Statistics	53
3.12	Exploratory Factor Analysis	53
3.13	Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)	56
3.14	Assessing Confirmatory Factor Analysis	57
3.14.1	Goodness-of-Fit	57
3.14.2	Construct Validity	58
3.14.3	Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Individual Constructs	59
3.14.4	Summary of CFA Analysis	70
3.15	Assessing the Overall Measurement Model	71

3.15.1	Discriminant Validity	72
3.15.2	Test For Normality	73
3.15.3	Test For Outliers	74
3.15.4	Summary of Measurement Model	76
3.16	Chapter Summary	78
4	RESULTS AND FINDINGS	79
4.1	Introduction	79
4.2	Demographic Information	79
4.3	Descriptive Statistics	80
4.4	Assessing Structural Model	83
4.5	Influence of Exogenous Variables on The Endogenous Variable	86
4.6	Development of Model that Predicts the Teachers' Use of Digital Technology	87
4.7	Chapter Summary	88
5	SUMMARY, DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	89
5.1	Introduction	89
5.2	Summary of the Study	89
5.3	Discussion	90
5.3.1	Level of Teachers' Digital Competence	90
5.3.2	Factors Influencing Use of Digital Technology	90
5.3.3	Predictive Model	94
5.4	Conclusion	95
5.5	Implications	96
5.5.1	Theoretical Implications	96
5.5.2	Practical Implications	97
5.6	Recommendations for Further Research	98
5.7	Chapter Summary	99
	REFERENCES	100
	APPENDICES	132
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	182
	PUBLICATION	183

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1 Smart School Qualifications Standard Report 2018	34
3.2 Population of study	34
3.3 Study Sample	36
3.4 Distribution of sample numbers for each selected school	37
3.5 Code for each Study Dimension	38
3.6 Questionnaire Components	39
3.7 Items for Measuring Personal Innovativeness	39
3.8 Items for ICT Facility	40
3.9 Items for Measuring Technology Self-efficacy	40
3.10 Items for Measuring Subjective Norms	41
3.11 Items for Measuring Attitude towards Digital Technology	42
3.12 Items for Measuring Digital Competence	43
3.13 Six DigCompEdu Area	44
3.14 Score and level of digital competence	45
3.15 Items for Measuring Use of Digital Technology	46
3.16 Summary of the Experts' Feedbacks	47
3.17 Cronbach's Alpha analysis of experts	48
3.18 Reliability of the Constructs	49
3.19 Descriptive Statistics of Normality	51
3.20 Correlation Coefficient Between the Constructs	52
3.21 Collinearity of Statistics	52
3.22 KMO and Bartlett's test for All Constructs	54
3.23 Result of EFA and Reliability Test	55
3.24 Three Categories of Model Fit and Their Level of Acceptance	57

3.25	CFA for Individual Constructs	60
3.26	Fit Indices of the Revised CFA Model for Personal Innovativeness	63
3.27	Fit Indices of the Revised CFA Model for Technology Self-efficacy	65
3.28	Fit Indices of The Revised CFA Model for Attitude towards Digital Technology	67
3.29	Fit Indices of The Revised CFA Model for Digital Competence	68
3.30	Fit Indices of The Revised CFA Model for Use of Digital Technology	70
3.31	Factor Loadings, AVE and CR of Items in CFA	70
3.32	Discriminant Validity Index Summary of Variables in the Measurement Model	73
3.33	Assessment of Normality	73
3.34	Mahalanobis distance	74
3.35	Parameter Summary for the Measurement Model	76
3.36	Unstandardized and Standardized Regression Weights for the Measurement Model	77
4.1	Respondents' Gender, Age and Race	79
4.2	Respondents' Qualifications, Teaching Experience and Teaching with Digital Technology Experience	80
4.3	Descriptive Statistics of Personal Innovativeness, ICT Facility, Technology Self-efficacy, Subjective Norm, Attitude towards Digital Technology, Digital Competence and Use of Digital Technology	81
4.4	Device Ownership	81
4.5	Number of Computer Lab at School	82
4.6	Level of Digital Competence	82
4.7	Time Spent Using Digital Technology	83
4.8	Unstandardized and Standardized Regression Weights for The Structural Model	85
4.9	Influence of Personal Innovativeness, ICT Facility, Technology Self-efficacy, Subjective Norm, Attitude towards Digital Technology and Digital Competence on Use of Digital Technology	87
4.10	Squared Multiple Correlation(R ²) for Variable in The Study	87

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)	24
2.2	DigCompEdu Areas and Scopes	26
2.3	DigCompEdu Competences and Their Connections	26
2.4	DigCompEdu Progression Model	27
2.5	Theoretical Framework	30
2.6	Conceptual Framework	31
3.1	Initial CFA Model for Personal Innovativeness	62
3.2	Revised CFA Model for Personal Innovativeness	63
3.3	Initial CFA Model for ICT Facility	63
3.4	Initial CFA Model for Technology Self-efficacy	64
3.5	Revised CFA Model for Technology Self-efficacy	64
3.6	Initial CFA Model for Subjective Norm	65
3.7	Revised CFA Model for Subjective Norm	65
3.8	Initial CFA Model for Attitude towards Digital Technology	66
3.9	Revised CFA Model for Attitude towards Digital Technology	66
3.10	Initial CFA Model for Digital Competence	67
3.11	Revised CFA Model for Digital Competence	68
3.12	Initial CFA Model for Use of Digital Technology	69
3.13	Revised CFA Model for Use of Digital Technology	69
3.14	The Proposed Measurement Model	72
4.1	The Proposed Structural Model	84

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A	Research Authorization	132
B	Research Instrument	135
C	Research Instrument Use Authorization	169
D	Language Experts' Endorsement	171
E	Research Instrument Experts' Endorsement	174
F	Boxplots	177
G	Histogram	178
H	Normal Probability Plot (Q-Q PLOT)	180
I	Detrended Normal Q-Q PLOT	181

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	Attitude towards Digital Technology
AGFI	Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index
AVE	Average Variance Extracted
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CR	Critical Ratio
DC	Digital Competence
DIT	Diffusion of Innovation Theory
GFI	Goodness of Fit Index
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
ICTF	ICT Facility
MLE	Maximum Likelihood Estimation
MI	Modification Index
MM	Motivational Model
MPCU	Model of PC Utilization
RMSEA	Root Mean Squares Error of Approximation
PI	Personal Innovativeness
SCT	Social Cognitive Theory
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling
SN	Subjective Norm
TAM	Technology Acceptance Model
TSE	Technology Self-efficacy
UDT	Use of Digital Technology

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Malaysia has an extensive history of embracing digital technology in various sectors. The government has significantly made investments in developing and implementing digital solutions. The Malaysian government establish the goal of becoming a well developed digital economy by 2030. Consequently, the national administration implemented several initiatives to support this objective, including the National Digital Infrastructure Plan and the Digital Malaysia Framework.

In the Malaysian healthcare sector, digital technology has been used to improve patient care and streamline administrative processes. The Electronic Health Record system has been developed by the Malaysian Ministry of Health (MOH) to provide a centralized database of patient health information. Digital technology has also been used to improve operational efficiency and increase competitiveness in the business sector. For instance, numerous Malaysian companies implemented digital solutions such as cloud computing, artificial intelligence, and e-commerce platforms to enhance their business operations. Additionally, the Malaysian government has established several digital free trade zones to encourage the development of digital businesses and attract foreign investment. Meanwhile in the education sector, digital technology is progressively utilized to support teaching and learning. The Malaysian Ministry of Education (MOE) launched several initiatives to provide students with digital devices and access to digital learning resources. In the healthcare sector, digital technology has been used to improve patient care and streamline administrative processes.

The utilization of digital technology in Malaysia focuses on exploring the benefits and challenges of implementing digital solutions in various sectors. This utilization also emphasize on the potential impact of these solutions on the economy, society, and individuals.

1.2 Digital Technology in Education

The integration of digital technology in education is essential to gain better quality of education (Makarova & Makarova, 2018). Morris and Rohs (2021) stressed that the use of digital technology in education has the potential to encourage self-directed learning. Digital technology also transforms the traditional learning system to modern and digitalized learning system (Cloete, 2017). The vast benefits through digital technology encourage countries around the world to incorporate digital technology in their curricula and reforming their educational policies.

Malaysia established the Education Blueprint 2013–2025, consisting of 11 shifts to transform and enhance the Malaysian education system (Ministry of Education Malaysia, 2013). The seventh shift in this plan is the ICT policy. This policy concentrates on three specific goals: accommodating all the schools across the country with online access and a virtual learning environment, upgrading online content to share best practices, and optimizing ICT use for distance and self-directed learning. In Wave 1 (2013–2015), MOE initiated a project, 1BestariNet provides network infrastructure and a virtual learning environment (VLE) platform. More digital devices, particularly tablets or smartphones, are provided to students and teachers.

By the end of 2015, teachers should possess a minimal level of ICT literacy, and the ministry would improve its training programs to ensure this. The administration's criteria for ICT literacy are based on the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) rubric for ICT proficiency. The then existing ICT services such as EduWeb TV would be transferred into the VLE and integrated in its various database and management information systems. Next, Wave 2 (2016–2020) focused on introducing ICT innovations. The ministry concentrated on developing and testing specialized programs for populations with particular needs during this period of time. In addition, as a continuation of the Wave 1 program, numerous digital gadgets and programs applied in the school system. Wave 3 (2021–2025) would concentrate on boosting ICT utilization among students and teachers.

1.3 Digital Technology Utilization in Education

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest among educators in digital technology utilization. As part of its goal to build a digitally knowledgeable society, the MOE has promoted incorporation of digital technology in the educational settings. As a result, there has been substantial growth in the use of digital technology in educational institutions and subsequently, a growing need to comprehend how educators integrate digital technology into their teaching practices.

Kumar et al. (2020) investigated the adoption of Google Classroom (GC) as a learning tool by lecturers and undergraduate students. The researchers pointed out that GC was generally well-received and presented as a good learning environment. Participants in the study believed that GC could be utilized in other courses since it permits for a paperless classrooms, more straightforward assessments, and easy access to learning materials. The following research by Phoong et al. (2019) scrutinised at how Smart Classroom affected 72 undergraduates studying Mathematics. Smart classrooms were viewed positively by the participants as engaging and entertaining learning environments, while also assuring higher concentration, curiosity, and overall academic growth. Anmary and Mohammed (2022) stated that English as Second Language (ESL) students could memonteously benefit from using video presentations to develop their presentation abilities in public speaking. This study described that video presentations increase students' motivation and satisfaction at the end of the lesson.

Mun et al. (2019) adopted active learning with a Digital Smart Board (DSB) program to teach mathematics in primary schools. According to the study's findings, active learning employing a DSB program has qualities that can effectively improve student learning. Furthermore, Lim and Md Noor (2019) analyzed the efficacy of digital storytelling in increasing students' writing ability at the secondary school level. The data determined that students significantly improved their writing performance after four treatments using digital storytelling tools. Following that, Abdul Samat and Abdul Aziz (2020) emphasized on teaching indigenous primary school students in Johor comprehension skills through audio, video, and still images. The application of multimedia learning in the instruction of reading comprehension is beneficial since incorporating different media elements facilitates the comprehension process.

Educators have utilized various digital technologies to complement their instructions inside and outside the classroom for years. In general, digital technology offers educators a wide range of tools to enhance and enrich their teaching while supporting student learning in fresh and innovative ways.

1.4 Statement of the Problem

Teachers and organizers of extracurricular activities acknowledge the appropriateness of using digital tools, which, in turn, enriches the variety of educational experiences (Ovcharuk et al., 2020). Following the Malaysian teachers' inadequacy access to digital technology reported by SSQS 2018, Ebrahimi and Yeo (2018) revealed that digital technology is exclusively utilized for education only by 57 per cent of teachers in Johor, Malaysia. There were 120 teachers and 120 pupils from thirty public schools in Johor participated in the study. Following that, Abdullah et al. (2019) established that the use of digital technology in Malaysia among mathematics teachers remains low in comparison to South Korean teachers. This was found in a study among 71 mathematics teachers from Malaysia and 51 teachers of mathematics from South Korea. These studies highlight that the use of digital technology among teachers in Malaysia is still not noticeable despite numerous initiatives across the nation have been executed. In consequence, this compels the researcher to investigate more on the possibility of ICT facilities impacting digital technology use among teachers.

In the Malaysian public schools, ICT facility or infrastructure accessibility by the teachers was an issue as well. A study by Cheok et.al (2017) revealed that poor internet connection and facilities such as computers were some of the challenges that influenced 60 teachers from two Malaysian states in using digital technology during lesson. The scenario does not change after a couple of years. Razak et al. (2019) also investigated 14 teachers from two Malaysian public primary schools. The findings uncovered the same results where inadequate ICT facilities at schools was still among the challenges faced by the teachers. The research of Hasin and Khalid (2021) highlighted that rural schools in Malaysia have inadequate technological facilities. They added that certain schools lack the necessary technological infrastructure and supplementary resources to facilitate the teaching and learning process. These factors influence the digital technology utilization of teachers for instructional purposes. The above studies can infer

that, teachers' use of digital technology were influenced by the accessibility of ICT facilities.

Educators also asserted that lack of support by school management and colleagues was a dominant barrier in using digital technology for teaching and learning (Mynaríková & Novotný, 2020). A study on teachers showed that teachers' use of digital technology was determined by school principals as the drivers towards digital technology integration in the classroom (Baharuldin et al., 2019). On the other hand, another study by Hafiza Hamzah et al. (2021) in Hulu Langat, Selangor, involving 400 secondary school teachers concur that principals support the use of ICT and digital technologies throughout the curriculum. Both findings revealed a correlation between the social circle of teachers and their use of digital technology. Given this, social circle or subjective norms can be deduced as one of the factors that influence teachers to use digital technology.

Although ICT facilities are available at school, teachers were still less competent to take advantage of the opportunity (Joo et al., 2018). This situation emerges when the educators were less confident and not able to use digital technology as an effective facilitator. This sign indicates that teacher's self-efficacy levels in using technology were still at an alarming level (Hatlevik & Hatlevik, 2018). Izhar et al. (2021) discovered in their study among 182 Malaysian secondary school teachers that they do not have sufficient knowledge and abilities to convert hardcopy resources to softcopy and publish them digitally or online. A lack of confidence in their capacity to teach online was a result of their poor knowledge and experience in online teaching. Therefore, it was difficult for them to prepare teaching materials that work for students with different levels of ability, develop strategies that work for all students, and plan synchronous lessons. Therefore, the researcher presumes that the study of teacher's technology self-efficacy is very important as mentioned by several researchers (Hatlevik & Hatlevik, 2018; Birisci & Kul, 2019; Thurm & Barzel, 2020).

The current scenario suggests indirectly that teachers need to know how to use online learning platforms to ensure that teaching and learning are effective, and that digital technology is utilized well. This effort is to support the 4th Wave of Smart School: Consolidation and Sustainability (2011-2020). An assessment was introduced to the Malaysian teachers through an online diagnostic test to find out the level of their digital competence. The latest data obtained by MOE was in 2014 (Malaysian Ministry of Education, 2013), and has not been officially updated since. It is crucial to conduct such evaluations as it would assist teachers in evaluating their level of digital competence and determining which areas need more training and professional development.

According to Smart School Qualification Standards Report 2018 (SSQS) (Ministry of Education, 2018), Malaysian teachers' digital competence was reported to be outstanding however the use of digital technology was found to be lower than anticipated. It describes teachers' digital competence in technology operation, pedagogy, professional development, and from the perspectives of social, ethical, and cyber security ranges from 4.31 to 4.78 – each item in SSQS measured in the form of a point (The point with the greatest value is 5.00, while the point with the lowest value is 0.00). Teachers and students use of the virtual learning environment (VLE) was, on the other hand, only

documented at 2.68 and 2.73 respectively for teachers and pupils. This finding was contradicted with several researchers who stated that teachers' use of digital technology becomes higher as the level of digital competence becomes better. Therefore, it is essential to investigate and explore more on the connection between digital competence and use of digital technology.

Additionally, the researcher takes note of several study gaps in the research area. Firstly, studies on schoolteachers' digital competence level in Malaysia are still needed to be implemented intensively since most research was held in overseas contexts. Krumsvik et al. (2016) explored the factors that predict teachers' digital competence levels in upper secondary schools in Norway. Benali et al. (2018) meanwhile studied teachers' digital competence of 160 Moroccan English teachers. In addition, Dias-Trindade and Moreira (2020) assessed high school teachers' digital competence level in Portugal. In Malaysia, Naim and Abdul Razak (2020) studied the factors that influence ESL lecturers' digital competence. Similarly, a study by Hizam et al. (2021) was conducted comprising university lecturers. This study fills the gap by identifying the level of schoolteachers' digital competence in one of the states in Malaysia.

Next, the researcher ascertained that several scholars had investigated the use of digital technology by Malaysian teachers. Belgheis and Kamalludeen (2018) assessed teachers' intentions to implement GeoGebra in teaching mathematics. Yew and Tan (2020) studied on teachers' intentions to utilize online educational technologies concerning their ICT competency, infrastructure, online resources, and working environment. In addition, Mohamed Jamrus and Razali (2021) reported on English teachers' readiness, acceptance, and intention to adopt Augmented Reality (AR) in teaching reading. Besides that, Rashid et al. (2021) explored the attitudes and tendencies of teachers to employ VLE as a teaching tool. Recently, Zainal and Saimin (2021) conducted an exploratory study but focusing on teachers' digital technology cognition upon digital technology use. However, the emphasis of the previously cited studies was on teachers' intention, readiness, and acceptance of digital technology rather than the actual use of digital technology. The researcher believes that a study concentrating on the use of digital technology itself would fill the observed research gaps.

The researcher found it challenging to find developed models that included the digital competence construct to extend the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT). For instance, Guillén-Gámez et al. (2020) used Pedagogical Digital Competence (PDC) exclusively to investigate the digital competence level of teachers and their use of Moodle modules. Similarly, Zhang et al. (2021) used UTAUT and Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK) in their research. In the meantime, Rahimi and Tafazoli (2022) merged a few 21st-century Digital Competence Framework constructs to extend the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). Consequently, it is expected that the model developed by the researcher integrating the construct of digital competence from the Digital Competence of Educators (Digcompedu) Framework would bridge the gap.

1.5 Research Objectives

The main purpose of this research was to develop a model of the factors that influence secondary school teachers in utilizing digital technology. Therefore, the following are the particular aims:

1. To identify the level of secondary school teacher's digital competence.
2. To determine the relationship between personal innovativeness, ICT facility, technology self-efficacy, subjective norms, attitude towards digital technology and digital competence with use of digital technology among secondary school teachers.
3. To develop a model that predicts factors that influence the use of digital technology among secondary school teachers.

1.6 Research Hypotheses

H₀ 1: Personal innovativeness has a significant influence on use of digital technology.

H₀ 2: ICT facility has a significant influence on use of digital technology.

H₀ 3: Technology self-efficacy has a significant influence on use of digital technology.

H₀ 4: Subjective norm has a significant influence on use of digital technology.

H₀ 5: Attitude towards digital technology has a significant influence on use of digital technology.

H₀ 6: Digital competence has a significant influence on use of digital technology.

1.7 Significance of the Study

The goal of reforming Malaysia's education system is to prepare students holistically with all the possibilities and concerns for success in the twenty-first century (Malaysian Ministry of Education, 2013). To achieve the goal, digital technology utilization in education is necessary and a necessity.

Teachers with high level of digital competency are better equipped to support students effectively using digital technology for learning. This integration in their teaching practices will enhance students engagement and achievement. On this note, this study

provides data on teachers' digital competence levels in six areas of the DigCompEdu Framework. Teachers can use the data to identify areas they would need to improve their digital competency and to track their progress over time. From there, they can set their goals, prioritize professional development, and stay up to date with the latest technology. In addition to that, schools may utilize the data to identify areas they need to provide additional support and resources for their teachers and to evaluate the impact of professional development initiatives. The data are also beneficial for policymakers to make informed decisions about investment in teacher training and professional development. Following that, they can evaluate the effectiveness of current policies and initiatives to ensure that resources are used effectively and efficiently to assist teachers in utilizing digital technology. As for the researchers, they can use the data to acquire a deeper comprehension of the elements that contribute to teachers' digital competency and to identify best practices to support teachers in digital technology use. Here, the establishment of new programs and policies begin to facilitate teachers' technology adoption.

The proposed model of the study adds the digital competence variable to extend the UTAUT model. The UTAUT provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how and why individuals adopt the technology. The proposed model significantly contributes to the further development of theories in the field of information technology and communication. In addition, it increases the empirical evidence, which helps to support or challenge existing theories in the field. Apart from that, the proposed model assists researchers for a more nuanced understanding of how the level of digital competence affects technology adoption and usage. Besides, training providers can also use the information from the model to develop more effective marketing and training strategies. Furthermore, it also helps inform the design of technology solutions. For example, designers can employ this data to construct user-friendly interfaces and simplify the adoption process for individuals with lower levels of digital competence to enhance their use of digital technology.

This study has several practical impacts in the field of education. One of these is the improvement of teaching and learning process. By understanding how educators are utilizing digital technology in their classrooms, school leaders can identify best practices and implement them to enhance teaching and learning outcomes. The study can also help ensure that digital technology is effectively integrated in classrooms. Therefore, it will be a more meaningful and productive use of digital technology, which can improve student engagement and academic performance. Through the study, school leaders would be able to provide targeted professional development to support teachers effectively integrate technology in their teaching practices by identifying areas where teachers need additional training or support. Policy making at the local, state, and national levels will be advised so that the policies that encourage and facilitate the use of digital technology in the classroom can be enforced and sustained.

Overall, the study gives a better picture of technology adoption and usage, allowing stakeholders to make improved and informed decisions and take more effective actions to assist digital technology use. This helps to improve teaching and learning, making it easier to use technology in the classroom, and shapes education policies.

1.8 Limitations of the Study

This study is carried out through a survey method and data is collected through the use of questionnaires adapted from several previous researchers to determine the relationship and predictive factors on use of digital technology among secondary school teachers in Pahang. Thus, there are some limitations on some aspects of this study such as the scope, population and study sample, research questionnaire and study analysis.

The scope of this study covers public secondary school teachers in Pahang. The selection of teachers in Pahang was to determine the impact on the teachers' use of digital technology to deliver the lessons. The rationale for choosing Pahang as the study location is based on the report issued by the Ministry of Education through the SSQS (Smart School Qualification Standard) score in 2018 where schools in Pahang has the lowest score in using digital technology compared to teachers from other states. This shows that the use of digital technology among teachers in the state of Pahang is not as expected as it should be.

The study population includes all teachers in secondary schools. The selection of teachers was made assuming all teachers use digital technology to teach in their class. The study sample was randomly selected from the population of teachers in Pahang. As a result, the findings of this study can only be used to describe the population of secondary school teachers in the selected state.

Study data was collected using a questionnaire distributed to teachers via online and the answers obtained were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) and SEM (Structural Equation Modelling) software. Items measured are limited to the objectives of the study only. On that account, the accuracy of the study data is highly dependent on the extent to which the respondents honestly answered the questionnaire provided.

The analysis of this study involves the process of data analysis using SPSS software for descriptive analysis and SEM for inference analysis for the purpose of testing the variables of this study. Selected study variables based on Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), and Digital Competence of Educators Framework (DigCompEdu) adapted by Venkatesh, Morris, and Davis (2003), Redecker and Punie (2017) that focus only on personal innovativeness, ICT facility, technology self-efficacy, subjective norms, attitude towards digital technology, digital competence and use of digital technology among secondary school teachers. Other factors were not considered, hence, this research focuses only on the indicated factors.

1.9 Definition of Terms

The following terms are defined within the context of this study:

1.9.1 Personal Innovativeness

Personal innovativeness is the degree to which an individual perceives that employing a specific information technology will enhance his or her learning or job performance (Davis, 1989). Also, personal innovativeness is the willingness to accept new technologies (Agarwal & Prasad 1998). In this study personal innovativeness is the extent to which an individual is prepared to adopt digital technology and feels that digital technology will improve his or her teaching effectiveness.

1.9.2 ICT Facility

Pradhan, Mallik, and Bagchi (2018) described an ICT facility as a digital telephone network, mobile phones, internet capacity, internet servers, and fixed broadband. It was described by UNESCWA (2016) as a collection of technologies that aid in the smooth operation of organizations. These services are crucial to an organization's day-to-day operations and successful service delivery. Among them are hardware, software, networking, and implementation. In the context of this study, ICT facility is defined as any facility, tools, hardware or software whether in schools or at home and utilized by the teachers to use digital technology.

1.9.3 Technology Self-efficacy

Technology self-efficacy is the notion that one possesses the necessary and appropriate talents and skills to handle a technology-related activity effectively (McDonald & Siegall, 1992). Technology self-efficacy refers to a teacher's perceived capacity to integrate digital tools into classroom and to provide meaningful education utilizing relevant digital resources (Holden & Rada, 2011). For this study, technology self-efficacy refers to teachers' belief on their capability to use digital technology.

1.9.4 Subjective Norm

Fishbein and Ajzen (1975) described subjective norm as an individual belief that most influential individuals in his life believe he should or should not engage in the particular behaviour. Subjective norm is also defined by Chiou (1998), as a result of an individual thoughts about important referent others' expectations and ability to keep up with these referents. In this study, subjective norm refers to social circle of a teacher that directly or indirectly influence the teacher to use digital technology.

1.9.5 Attitude towards Digital Technology

Attitude is determined by the perceived consequences associated with a behavior. (Chiou, 1998). Attitude towards technology is defined as positive affect towards technology (Edison & Geissler, 2003). According to Venkatesh et al. (2003), attitude toward technology is defined as an individual's total expressive response to utilizing a system. In this study, attitude towards digital technology refers to an individual's personal reaction to the use of digital technology.

1.9.6 Digital competence

Generally, digital competence may be described as the capacity to confidently, critically, and creatively utilize ICT to fulfill objectives relating to work and employment, study, leisure, and being part of society (Ferrari, 2013). Krumsvik (2007) defines a teacher's digital competence as a teacher's capacity to utilise ICT with an excellent understanding of teaching strategy via ICT and to be aware of how this may affect students' learning techniques and educational development (p.68). Digital competence in this study refers to teachers' ability to use digital technology confidently, critically, and creatively to convey the content of the lesson to the students.

1.9.7 Use of Digital Technology

Ng (2015) described digital technologies as electronic devices used for educational, social, and recreational purposes in formal and informal contexts. Desktop computers, mobile devices, digital recording devices, data logging equipment and accompanying probes, interactive whiteboards, Web 2.0 technologies and other online resources, and the range of commercially available offline educational software packages are all examples of digital technology in education. UNESCO (2018) via UNESCO ICT Competency Framework for Teachers states that digital technology is synonymous with ICT and includes computers, mobile phones, digital cameras, satellite navigation systems, electronic instruments and data recorders, radio, television, computer networks, satellite systems, and nearly everything that manages and distributes information electronically, including both hardware and software. Use of digital technology in this study refers to the degree to which teachers integrating technological tools and resources to improve their teaching.

1.10 Summary

The background of the study was deliberated in this chapter. It is initiated with the research background of the study before briefly reviewing the evolution of digital technology in education and digital technology utilizations among educators. This chapter also examined the study's issue statements, aims, research hypotheses, limitation, and definitions of terms. The subsequent chapter will examine the literature reviews on the factors that contribute to teachers' utilization of digital technology, related theories supporting the study, and the conceptual framework.

REFERENCES

- Abd Majid, F., & Mohd Shamsudin, N. (2019). Identifying factors affecting acceptance of virtual reality in classrooms based on technology acceptance model (TAM). *Asian Journal of University Education*, 15(2), 51. <https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v15i2.7556>
- Abd Rahman, S. F., Md Yunus, M., & Hashim, H. (2021). Applying UTAUT in predicting ESL lecturers intention to use flipped learning. *Sustainability*, 13(15), 8571. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158571>
- Abdullah, A. H., Shin, B., Jumaat, N. F., Kohar, U. H., Ashari, Z. M., & Rahman, S. N. (2019). Mirror-mirror on the wall, which teachers use educational technology in mathematics classroom-malaysians or South Koreans? *2019 IEEE International Conference on Engineering, Technology and Education (TALE)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tale48000.2019.9225917>
- Abdul Samat, M. S., & Abdul Aziz, A. (2020). The effectiveness of multimedia learning in enhancing reading comprehension among Indigenous pupils. *Arab World English Journal*, 11(2), 290-302. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol11no2.20>
- Abramova, N., & Grishchenko, N. (2020). ICTs, labour productivity and employment: Sustainability in industries in Russia. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 43, 299-305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.02.161>
- Admiraal, W., Lockhorst, D., Smit, B., & Weijers, S. (2013). The integrative model of behavior prediction to explain technology use in post-graduate teacher education programs in The Netherlands. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 2(4). <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v2n4p172>
- Agarwal, R., & Prasad, J. (1998). A conceptual and operational definition of personal Innovativeness in the domain of information technology. *Information Systems Research*, 9(2), 204-215. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.9.2.204>
- Ahmad, N. L., Ismail, Z., Yusuf, R., & Awang, H. (2020). Exploring factors that influence the use and Acceptance of virtual learning environment on Teaching and learning accounting. *Psychology & Education*, 57(8), 558-573. <http://www.psychologyandeducation.net/>
- Ahmed, W., Akter, H., & Ali, J. (2021). Crafting the digital competence behavior among female students in developing countries context. *2021 International Conference on Innovation and Intelligence for Informatics, Computing, and Technologies (3ICT)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/3ict53449.2021.9581717>
- Ahn, S., Jo, W., & Chung, D. (2019). Factors Affecting Users to Adopt Voice Shopping: Empirical evidence from the UTAUT model. *The Korea Society for Innovation Management & Economics*, 27(4), 111-144. <https://doi.org/10.14386/sime.2019.27.4.111>

- Ai, F., Chen, Y., Guo, Y., Zhao, Y., Wang, Z., & Fu, G. (2019). *Concept-aware deep knowledge tracing and exercise recommendation in an online learning system*. In *Proceedings of The 12th International Conference on Educational Data Mining (EDM 2019)* (pp. 240 - 245). Collin F. Lynch, Agathe Merceron, Michel Desmarais, & Roger Nkambou (eds.). <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED599194.pdf>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-t](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-t)
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior*. Prentice Hall.
- Akgün, F. (2017). Investigation of instructional technology acceptance and individual Innovativeness of academicians. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 291-322. <https://doi.org/10.17569/tojqi.292135>
- Aktaş, B. Ç., & Can, Y. (2019). The effect of WhatsApp usage on the attitudes of students toward English self-efficacy and English courses in foreign language education outside the school. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 11(3), 247-256. <https://doi.org/10.26822/iejee.2019349249>
- Al Khateeb, A. A. (2017). Measuring digital competence and ICT literacy: An exploratory study of in-service English language teachers in the context of Saudi Arabia. *International Education Studies*, 10(12), 38. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v10n12p38>
- Ala-Mutka, K. (2011). Mapping Digital Competence: Towards a Conceptual Understanding. *Academia.edu*. <https://www.academia.edu/download/62706965/MappingDigitalCompetence20200401-127207-1xyc56f.pdf>
- Alawamleh, M., Al-Twait, L. M., & Al-Saht, G. R. (2020). The effect of online learning on communication between instructors and students during COVID-19 pandemic. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 11(2), 380-400. <https://doi.org/10.1108/aeds-06-2020-0131>
- Albirini, A. (2006). Teachers' attitudes toward information and communication technologies: The case of Syrian EFL teachers. *Computers & Education*, 47(4), 373-398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2004.10.013>
- Aldahdouh, T. Z., Nokelainen, P., & Korhonen, V. (2020). Technology and social media usage in higher education: The influence of individual Innovativeness. *SAGE Open*, 10(1), 215824401989944. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019899441>
- Alghazi, S. S., Kamsin, A., Almaiah, M. A., Wong, S. Y., & Shuib, L. (2021). For sustainable application of mobile learning: An extended UTAUT model to examine the effect of technical factors on the usage of mobile devices as a learning tool. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1856. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041856>

- Al-Marroof, R. S., Salloum, S. A., Hassanien, A. E., & Shaalan, K. (2020). Fear from COVID-19 and technology adoption: The impact of Google meet during coronavirus pandemic. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1830121>
- Almås, A. G., & Krumsvik, R. (2007). Digitally literate teachers in leading edge schools in Norway. *Journal of In-Service Education*, 33(4), 479-497. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13674580701687864>
- Amid, A., & Din, R. (2021). Acceptance and use of massive open online courses: extending UTAUT2 with personal innovativeness. *Journal of Personalized Learning*, 4(1), 57-66.
- Alshammari, S. H., & Rosli, M. S. (2020). A Review of Technology Acceptance Models and Theories. *Innovative Teaching and Learning Journal*, 4(2), 12–22. <http://161.139.21.34/itlj/index.php/itlj/article/view/56>
- Alshurafat, H., Al Shbail, M. O., Masadeh, W. M., Dahmash, F., & Al-Msiedeen, J. M. (2021). Factors affecting online accounting education during the COVID-19 pandemic: An integrated perspective of social capital theory, the theory of reasoned action and the technology acceptance model. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(6), 6995-7013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10550-y>
- Al-Sulami, Z. A., & Hashim, H. S. (2020). Proposed knowledge management conceptual model to enhance teaching activities throughout Web 2.0 technology for academicians. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 928(3), 032079. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/928/3/032079>
- Altalhi, M. (2020). Toward a model for acceptance of MOOCs in higher education: The modified UTAUT model for Saudi Arabia. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(2), 1589-1605. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10317-x>
- Alturas, B. (2021). Models of acceptance and use of technology research trends: Literature review and exploratory bibliometric study. *Studies in Systems, Decision and Control*, 13-28. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64987-6_2
- Amhag, L., Hellström, L., & Stigmar, M. (2019). Teacher educators' use of digital tools and needs for digital competence in higher education. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 35(4), 203-220. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2019.1646169>
- Amid, A., & Din, R. (2021). Acceptance and Use of Massive Open Online Courses: Extending UTAUT2 with Personal Innovativeness. *Journal of Personalized Learning*, 4(1), 57-66. <https://spaj.ukm.my/jplearning/index.php/jplearning/article/view/165>
- Anthony, B. (2021). An exploratory study on academic staff perception towards blended learning in higher education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(3), 3107-3133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10705-x>

- Antonietti, C., Cattaneo, A., & Amenduni, F. (2022). Can teachers' digital competence influence technology acceptance in vocational education? *Computers in Human Behavior, 132*, 107266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107266>
- Arfi, W. B., Nasr, I. B., Kondrateva, G., & Hikkerova, L. (2021). The role of trust in intention to use the IoT in eHealth: Application of the modified UTAUT in a consumer context. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 167*, 120688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120688>
- Arif, M., Ameen, K., & Rafiq, M. (2018). Factors affecting student use of web-based services. *The Electronic Library, 36*(3), 518-534. <https://doi.org/10.1108/el-06-2016-0129>
- Arkorful, V., Barfi, K. A., & Aboagye, I. K. (2021). Integration of information and communication technology in teaching: Initial perspectives of senior high school teachers in Ghana. *Education and Information Technologies, 25*(5), 3503-3514. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10426-7>
- Arpaci, I., & Basol, G. (2020). The impact of preservice teachers' cognitive and technological perceptions on their continuous intention to use flipped classroom. *Education and Information Technologies, 25*(5), 3503-3514. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10104-8>
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Sorensen, C. K., & Walker, D. A. (2014). *Introduction to research in education* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Ateş, H., & Garzón, J. (2022). Drivers of teachers' intentions to use mobile applications to teach science. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(2), 2521-2542. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10671-4>
- Awang, Z., Lim, S. H., & Zainudin, N. F. (2018). *Pendekatan mudah SEM: Structural equation modelling*. MPWS Rich Resources.
- Azar, A. S., & Tan, N. H. (2020). The application of ICT techs (mobile-assisted language learning, Gamification, and virtual reality) in teaching English for secondary school students in Malaysia during COVID-19 pandemic. *Universal Journal of Educational Research, 8*(11C), 55-63. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.082307>
- Bakar, N. S., Maat, S. M., & Rosli, R. (2020). Mathematics teacher's self-efficacy of technology integration and technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Journal on Mathematics Education, 11*(2), 259-276. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.11.2.10818.259-276>
- Baharuldin, Z., Jamaluddin, S., & Shaharom, M. S. (2020). The role of school administrative support and primary school teachers' ICT literacy to integrate ICT into the classrooms in Pahang, Malaysia. *International Online Journal of Educational Leadership, 3*(1), 26-42. <https://doi.org/10.22452/iojel.vol3no1.3>
- Bandalos, D. L., & Finney, J. S. (2018). Factor Analysis Exploratory and Confirmatory. In *The Reviewer's Guide to Quantitative Methods in the Social Sciences* (2nd ed., p. 25). Routledge.

- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: W.H. Freeman & Co.
- Bariu, T. N. (2020). Status of ICT infrastructure used in teaching and learning in secondary schools in Meru County, Kenya. *European Journal of Interactive Multimedia and Education*, 1(1), e02002. <https://doi.org/10.30935/ejimed/8283>
- Baş, G., Kubiátko, M., & Sünbül, A. M. (2016). Teachers' perceptions towards ICTs in teaching-learning process: Scale validity and reliability study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 61, 176-185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.022>
- Basilaia, G., & Kvavadze, D. (2020). Transition to Online Education in Schools during a SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic in Georgia. *Pedagogical Research*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.29333/pr/7937>
- Belgheis, S., & Kamalludeen, R. (2018). The intention to use GeoGebra in the teaching of Mathematics among Malaysian teachers. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 6(1), 109-115. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1165486>
- Benali, M., & Azzimani, T. (2018). Digital competence of Moroccan teachers of English. *International Journal of Education and Development using ICT*, 14(2), 100-120. <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/184691/>
- Bentler, P. M. (1990). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107(2), 238-246. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.107.2.238>
- Bentler, P. M., & Bonett, D. G. (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 88(3), 588-606. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.88.3.588>
- Bernacki, M. L., Greene, J. A., & Crompton, H. (2020). Mobile technology, learning, and achievement: Advances in understanding and measuring the role of mobile technology in education. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 60, 101827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2019.101827>
- Bervell, B., & Arkorful, V. (2020). LMS-enabled blended learning utilization in distance tertiary education: Establishing the relationships among facilitating conditions, voluntariness of use and use behaviour. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-0183-9>
- Bikar, S. S., Sharif, S., Talin, S., & Rathakrishnan, R. (2020). Students' perceptions about the use of minimalist robotic games in geography education. *Review of International Geographical Education Online*, 10(4), 584-595. <https://doi.org/10.33403/rigeo.739383>
- Birisci, S., & Kul, E. (2019). Predictors of technology integration self-efficacy beliefs of Preservice teachers. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 10(1), 75-93. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cet.512537>

- Bonnes, C., Leiser, C., Schmidt-Hertha, B., Rott, K. J., & Hochholdinger, S. (2020). The relationship between trainers' media-didactical competence and media-didactical self-efficacy, attitudes and use of digital media in training. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 24(1), 74-88. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijtd.12171>
- Bradley, N. (1999). Sampling for internet surveys. An examination of respondent selection for internet research. *Market Research Society. Journal*, 41(4), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/147078539904100405>
- Byrne, B. M. (2016). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming* (3rd ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Cabero-Almenara, J., Barroso-Osuna, J., Gutiérrez-Castillo, J., & Palacios-Rodríguez, A. (2021). The teaching digital competence of health sciences teachers. A study at andalusian universities (Spain). *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 2552. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052552>
- Cabero-Almenara, J., Romero-Tena, R., & Palacios-Rodríguez, A. (2020). Evaluation of teacher digital competence frameworks through expert judgement: The use of the expert competence coefficient. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 9(2), 275. <https://doi.org/10.7821/naer.2020.7.578>
- Cabrera-Sánchez, J., Ramos-de-Luna, I., Carvajal-Trujillo, E., & Villarejo-Ramos, Á. F. (2020). Online recommendation systems: Factors influencing use in e-Commerce. *Sustainability*, 12(21), 8888. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12218888>
- Caena, F., & Redecker, C. (2019). Aligning teacher competence frameworks to 21st century challenges: The case for the European digital competence framework for educators (<scp>Digcompedu</scp>). *European Journal of Education*, 54(3), 356-369. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12345>
- Callimaci, A., & Fortin, A. (2022). Intended use of educational technology after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877x.2022.2079970>
- Cao, J., Shang, Y., Mok, Q., & Lai, I. K. (2019). The impact of personal Innovativeness on the intention to use cloud classroom: An empirical study in China. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 179-188. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9895-7_16
- Cardona, M., Kretschmer, T., & Strobel, T. (2013). ICT and productivity: Conclusions from the empirical literature. *Information Economics and Policy*, 25(3), 109-125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2012.12.002>
- Carretero, S., Vuorikari, R., & Punie, Y. (2017). *DigComp 2.1: The digital competence framework for citizens with eight proficiency levels and examples of use* (EUR 28558 EN). Joint Research Centre, European Commission. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2760/38842>

- Cattaneo, A. A., Antonietti, C., & Rauseo, M. (2022). How digitalised are vocational teachers? Assessing digital competence in vocational education and looking at its underlying factors. *Computers & Education*, 176, 104358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104358>
- Cette, G., Nevoux, S., & Py, L. (2021). The impact of ICTs and digitalization on productivity and labor share: Evidence from French firms. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2020.1849967>
- Chau, K. T., & Nasir, N. A. (2021). The effect of a visual novel application on students' learning motivation in biology for secondary school in Malaysia. *2021 6th International Conference on Multimedia and Image Processing*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449388.3449399>
- Chauhan, H., Pandey, A., Mishra, S., & Rai, S. K. (2021). Modeling the predictors of consumers' online purchase intention of green products: The role of personal innovativeness and environmental drive. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 23(11), 16769-16785. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01337-9>
- Chen, H., & Boore, J. R. (2010). Translation and back-translation in qualitative nursing research: Methodological review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 19(1-2), 234-239. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.02896.x>
- Chen, J., Li, R., Gan, M., Fu, Z., & Yuan, F. (2020). Public acceptance of driverless buses in China: An empirical analysis based on an extended UTAUT model. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2020, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4318182>
- Chen, K. (2019). Factors affecting mobile shoppers' continuation intention of coffee shop online store: A perspective on consumer tolerance. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce Studies*, 10(2), 203-238. <https://doi.org/10.7903/ijecs.1729>
- Cheok, M. L., Wong, S. L., Ayub, A. F., & Mahmud, R. (2017). Teachers' Perceptions of E-Learning in Malaysian Secondary Schools. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 5(2), 20-33. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1142390.pdf>
- Chiou, J. S. (1998). The effects of attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control on consumers' purchase intentions: The moderating effects of product knowledge and attention to social comparison information. *Proc. Natl. Sci. Council*, 9(2), 298-308. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.202.7526&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Cho, E., & Kim, S. (2014). Cronbach's coefficient Alpha. *Organizational Research Methods*, 18(2), 207-230. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428114555994>
- Christensen, R. (2002). Effects of technology integration education on the attitudes of teachers and students. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 34(4), 411-433. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2002.10782359>

- Christensen, R., & Knezek, G. (2015). *The technology proficiency self-assessment questionnaire (TPSA): Evolution of a self-efficacy measure for technology integration*. In *KEYCIT 2014: Key competencies in informatics and ICT* (pp. 311-318). Universitätsverlag Potsdam. <https://publishup.uni-potsdam.de/opus4-ubp/frontdoor/deliver/index/docId/7032/file/cid07.pdf>
- Cloete, A. L. (2017). Technology and education: Challenges and opportunities. *HTS Theologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 73(3). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v73i3.4589>
- Cochran, W. G. (1977). *Sampling techniques* (3rd ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research methods in education* (8th ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Compeau, D., Higgins, C. A., & Huff, S. (1999). Social cognitive theory and individual reactions to computing technology: A longitudinal study. *MIS Quarterly*, 23(2), 145. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249749>
- Compeau, D. R., & Higgins, C. A. (1995). Computer self-efficacy: Development of a measure and initial test. *MIS Quarterly*, 19(2), 189. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249688>
- Criollo-C, S., Guerrero-Arias, A., Jaramillo-Alcázar, Á., & Luján-Mora, S. (2021). Mobile learning technologies for education: Benefits and pending issues. *Applied Sciences*, 11(9), 4111. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11094111>
- Crompton, H. (2017). *ISTE standards for educators: A guide for teachers and other professionals*. International Society for Technology in Education.
- Csordás, A. (2020). Diversifying effect of digital competence. *Agris on-line Papers in Economics and Informatics*, 12(01), 3-13. <https://doi.org/10.7160/aol.2020.120101>
- D. Yazon, A., Ang-Manaig, K., Buama, C. A., & Tesoro, J. F. (2019). Digital literacy, digital competence and research productivity of educators. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 7(8), 1734-1743. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2019.070812>
- Darazha, I., Lyazzat, R., Ulzharkyn, A., Saira, Z., & Manat, Z. (2021). Digital competence of a teacher in a pandemic. *2021 9th International Conference on Information and Education Technology (ICIET)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/iciet51873.2021.9419644>
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
- Demir, K., & Akpınar, E. (2018). The effect of mobile learning applications on students' academic achievement and attitudes toward mobile learning. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 6(2), 48-59. <https://doi.org/10.17220/mojet.2018.02.004>

- Department of Education, Republic of South Africa. (2004). *White Paper on e-Education: Transforming Learning and Teaching through Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs)* (26762).
<https://www.dhet.gov.za/sites/PolicyRepository/SitePages/White%20Papers.aspx>
- Devisakti, A., & Muftahu, M. (2022). Digitalization in higher education: does personal innovativeness matter in digital learning? *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-10-2021-0182>
- Drossel, K., Eickelmann, B., & Gerick, J. (2016). Predictors of teachers' use of ICT in school – the relevance of school characteristics, teachers' attitudes and teacher collaboration. *Education and Information Technologies*, 22(2), 551-573.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-016-9476-y>
- Devisakti, A., & Muftahu, M. (2022). Digitalization in higher education: does personal innovativeness matter in digital learning? *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-10-2021-0182>
- Dias-Trindade, S., & Moreira, J. A. (2020). Assessment of high school teachers on their digital competences. *Magis, Revista Internacional de Investigación en Educación*, 13, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.11144/javeriana.m13.ahst>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., Jeyaraj, A., Clement, M., & Williams, M. D. (2017). Re-examining the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT): Towards a revised theoretical model. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 21(3), 719-734. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9774-y>
- Ebrahimi, S. S., & Yeo, k. J. (2018). The use of technology at Malaysian public high schools. *Merit Research Journal of Education*, 6(3), 54-60.
- Edison, S. W., & Geissler, G. L. (2003). Measuring attitudes towards general technology: Antecedents, hypotheses and scale development. *Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for Marketing*, 12(2), 137-156.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jt.5740104>
- Erarslan, A. (2019). Instagram as an Education Platform for EFL Learners. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 18(3), 54-69.
<https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1223776.pdf>
- Erdoğan, D. G., & Güneş, D. Z. (2013). The relationship between individual Innovativeness and change readiness conditions of students attending faculty of education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 106, 3033-3040.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.349>
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255-284.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2010.10782551>

- Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Sadik, O., Sendurur, E., & Sendurur, P. (2012). Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship. *Computers & Education*, 59(2), 423-435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.02.001>
- European Parliament and Council. (2006). *Recommendation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 on key competences for lifelong learning*. Official Journal of the European Union. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reco/2006/962/oj>
- F. Fanni, F., Rega, I., & Cantoni, L. (2013). Using Self-Efficacy to measure primary school teachers' perception of ICT: results from two studies. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*, 9(1), 100-111. <http://ijedict.dec.uwi.edu/viewarticle.php?id=1528>
- Farjon, D., Smits, A., & Voogt, J. (2019). Technology integration of pre-service teachers explained by attitudes and beliefs, competency, access, and experience. *Computers & Education*, 130, 81-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.11.010>
- Farooq, M. S., Salam, M., Jaafar, N., Fayolle, A., Ayupp, K., Radovic-Markovic, M., & Sajid, A. (2017). Acceptance and use of lecture capture system (LCS) in executive business studies. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, 14(4), 329-348. <https://doi.org/10.1108/itse-06-2016-0015>
- Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWi). (2016). *Digital strategy 2025*. https://www.de.digital/DIGITAL/Redaktion/EN/Publikation/digital-strategy-2025.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=9
- Ferrari, A. (2013). *Digcomp: A framework for developing and understanding digital competence in Europe* (EUR 26035 EN). JRC, European Commission. <http://digcomp.org.pl/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/DIGCOMP-1.0-2013.pdf>
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*. Addison-Wesley.
- Flórez-Aristizábal, L., Cano, S., Collazos, C. A., Benavides, F., Moreira, F., & Fardoun, H. M. (2019). Digital transformation to support literacy teaching to deaf children: From storytelling to digital interactive storytelling. *Telematics and Informatics*, 38, 87-99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2018.09.002>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312>
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2018). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Fraillon, J., Ainley, J., Schulz, W., Friedman, T., & Duckworth, D. (2020). *Preparing for life in a digital world: IEA international computer and information literacy study 2018 international report* (ISBN 978-3-030-38781-5). Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-38781-5>

- From, J. (2017). Pedagogical digital competence—Between values, knowledge and skills. *Higher Education Studies*, 7(2), 43. <https://doi.org/10.5539/hes.v7n2p43>
- Garver, M. S., & Mentzer, J. T. (1999). Logistics research methods: Employing structural equation modeling to test for construct validity. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 20(1), 33-57.
- Garzón Artacho, E., Martínez, T. S., Ortega Martín, J. L., Marín Marín, J. A., & Gómez García, G. (2020). Teacher training in lifelong learning—The importance of digital competence in the encouragement of teaching innovation. *Sustainability*, 12(7), 2852. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072852>
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2020). *IBM SPSS statistics 26 step by step: A simple guide and reference* (16th ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Ghomi, M., & Redecker, C. (2019). Digital competence of educators (DigCompEdu): Development and evaluation of a self-assessment instrument for teachers' digital competence. *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Computer Supported Education*, 1(1), 541-548. <https://doi.org/10.5220/0007679005410548>
- Gibbone, A., Rukavina, P., & Silverman, S. (2010). Technology integration in secondary physical education: Teachers' attitudes and practice. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.18785/jetde.0301.03>
- Gil-Flores, J., Rodríguez-Santero, J., & Torres-Gordillo, J. (2017). Factors that explain the use of ICT in secondary-education classrooms: The role of teacher characteristics and school infrastructure. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 68, 441-449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.057>
- Gikandi, J., Morrow, D., & Davis, N. (2011). Online formative assessment in higher education: A review of the literature. *Computers & Education*, 57(4), 2333-2351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.06.004>
- Godhe, A. (2019). Digital Literacies or digital competence: Conceptualizations in nordic curricula. *Media and Communication*, 7(2), 25-35. <https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v7i2.1888>
- Goel, L., & Schnusenberg, O. (2019). Why some people multitask better than others: Predicting learning. *Information Systems Management*, 36(1), 15-23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2018.1553646>
- Goh, C. F., Hii, P. K., Tan, O. K., & Rasli, A. (2020). Why do University teachers use E-learning systems? *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 21(2), 136-155. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v21i2.3720>
- Gokhale, A. A., Brauchle, P. E., & Machina, K. F. (2013). Scale to measure attitudes toward information technology. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education*, 9(3), 13-26. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jicte.2013070102>

- Gomez, F. C., Trespalacios, J., Hsu, Y., & Yang, D. (2021). Exploring teachers' technology integration self-efficacy through the 2017 ISTE standards. *TechTrends*, 66(2), 159-171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-021-00639-z>
- Gowreea, Y., & Depryck, K. (2019). *Adult educators' beliefs about their ICT competencies and their professional use of ICTs. DigCompEdu and StepUp2ICT as frameworks for professional development*. In J. Theo Bastiaens (Ed.), *Proceedings of EdMedia + Innovate Learning* (pp. 1304-1313). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/210138/>
- Gudmundsdottir, G. B., & Hatlevik, O. E. (2017). Newly qualified teachers' professional digital competence: Implications for teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(2), 214-231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2017.1416085>
- Guggemos, J., & Seufert, S. (2021). Teaching with and teaching about technology – Evidence for professional development of in-service teachers. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 115, 106613. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106613>
- Guillén-Gámez, F. D., Mayorga-Fernández, M. J., Bravo-Agapito, J., & Escribano-Ortiz, D. (2020). Analysis of teachers' pedagogical digital competence: Identification of factors predicting their acquisition. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 26(3), 481-498. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-019-09432-7>
- Gunasinghe, A., Hamid, J. A., Khatibi, A., & Azam, S. F. (2019). The adequacy of UTAUT-3 in interpreting academician's adoption to E-Learning in higher education environments. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, 17(1), 86-106. <https://doi.org/10.1108/itse-05-2019-0020>
- Gunasinghe, A., Hamid, J. A., Khatibi, A., & Azam, S. F. (2019). Academicians' acceptance of online learning environments: A review of information system theories and models. *Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 31-39. <https://doi.org/10.34257/gjcssthvol19is1pg31>
- Gunasinghe, A., Hamid, J. A., Khatibi, A., & Azam, S. F. (2020). The viability of UTAUT-3 in understanding the lecturer's acceptance and use of virtual learning environments. *International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(4), 458. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijtel.2020.110056>
- Gunawan, H., Sinaga, B. L., & WP, S. P. (2019). Assessment of the readiness of micro, small and medium enterprises in using E-money using the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) method. *Procedia Computer Science*, 161, 316-323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.11.129>
- Gupta, R., Bhardwaj, G., & Singh, G. (2019). Employee perception and behavioral intention to adopt BYOD in the organizations. *2019 International Conference on Automation, Computational and Technology Management (ICACTM)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icactm.2019.8776815>

- Gurjar, N., & Sivo, S. (2022). Predicting and explaining pre-service teachers' social networking technology adoption. *Italian Journal of Educational Technology*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.17471/2499-4324/1245>
- Gutiérrez-Castillo, J. J., Cabero-Almenara, J., & Estrada-Vidal, L. S. (2017). Design and validation of an instrument for evaluating the digital competence of university students. *Revista Espacios*, 38(10), 16. <http://hdl.handle.net/11441/54725>
- Habibi, A., Razak, R. A., Yusop, F. D., Muhaimin, M., Asrial, A., Mukminin, A., & Jamila, A. (2022). Exploring the factors affecting pre-service science teachers' actual use of technology during teaching practice. *South African Journal of Education*, 42(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v42n1a1955>
- Hafifah, G. N., & Sulisty, G. H. (2020). Teachers' ict literacy and ict integration in elt in the Indonesian higher education setting. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 21(3), 186-198. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.762050>
- Hair, J., Black, B., Babin, B., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Handoko, B. L. (2019). Application of UTAUT theory in higher education online learning. *Proceedings of the 2019 10th International Conference on E-business, Management and Economics - ICEME 2019*, 259–264. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3345035.3345047>
- Hasin, I., & Khalid M. Nasir, M. (2021). The effectiveness of the use of information and communication technology (ICT) in rural secondary schools in Malaysia. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, 8(1), 59-64. <https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.509.2021.81.59.64>
- Hateftabar, F. (2022). Analyzing the adoption of online tourism purchases: Effects of perceived tourism value and personal innovativeness. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2022.2071682>
- Hatlevik, I. K., & Hatlevik, O. E. (2018). Examining the relationship between teachers' ICT self-efficacy for educational purposes, collegial collaboration, lack of facilitation and the use of ICT in teaching practice. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00935>
- Hatlevik, O. E. (2016). Examining the relationship between teachers' self-efficacy, their digital competence, strategies to evaluate information, and use of ICT at school. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 61(5), 555-567. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2016.1172501>
- Hatlevik, O., Guðmundsdóttir, G., & Loi, M. (2015). Examining factors predicting students' digital competence. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 14, 123-137. <https://doi.org/10.28945/2126>
- Henderson, M., Henderson, M. J., & Romeo, G. (2015). *Teaching and digital technologies: Big issues and critical questions*. Cambridge University Press.

- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115-135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>
- Herlisya, D., & Wiratno, P. (2022). Having good speaking English through Tik Tok application. *Journal Corner of Education, Linguistics, and Literature*, 1(3), 191-198. <https://doi.org/10.54012/jcell.v1i3.35>
- Hero, J. (2020). Exploring the Principal's Technology Leadership: Its Influence on Teachers' Technological Proficiency. *International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR)*, 4(6), 4-10. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED606393>
- Herring Watson, J. (2022). *Preservice and First-Year Teachers' Intention to Use and Actual Use of Technology-Enabled Learning: A Case Study* [Doctoral dissertation]. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2652820881?pq-origsite=gscholar&fromopenview=true>
- Hewson, C., & Buchanan, T. (2013). Ethics guidelines for internet-mediated research. *The British Psychological Society, Leicester*. <http://oro.open.ac.uk/42132/>
- Hillmayr, D., Ziernwald, L., Reinhold, F., Hofer, S. I., & Reiss, K. M. (2020). The potential of digital tools to enhance mathematics and science learning in secondary schools: A context-specific meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 153, 103897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103897>
- Hizam, S. M., Akter, H., Sentosa, I., & Ahmed, W. (2021). Digital competency of educators in the virtual learning environment: A structural equation modeling analysis. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 704(1), 012023. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/704/1/012023>
- Hlodan, O. (2010). Mobile learning anytime, anywhere. *BioScience*, 60(9), 682. <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2010.60.9.4>
- Holden, H., & Rada, R. (2011). Understanding the influence of perceived usability and technology self-efficacy on teachers' technology acceptance. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 43(4), 343-367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2011.10782576>
- Holzmann, P., Schwarz, E. J., & Audretsch, D. B. (2018). Understanding the determinants of novel technology adoption among teachers: The case of 3D printing. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 45(1), 259-275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-018-9693-1>
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1-55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>

- Huang, F., Teo, T., Sánchez-Prieto, J. C., García-Peñalvo, F. J., & Olmos-Migueláñez, S. (2019). Cultural values and technology adoption: A model comparison with university teachers from China and Spain. *Computers & Education, 133*, 69-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.01.012>
- Hui, H. B., & Mahmud, M. S. (2022). Teachers' perception towards DELIMa learning platform for mathematics online teaching and learning in Sibuh district. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 12*(11). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v12-i11/15240>
- Hurt, H. T., Joseph, K., & Cook, C. D. (1977). Scales for the measurement of innovativeness. *Human Communication Research, 4*(1), 58-65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.1977.tb00597.x>
- Ibieta, A., Hinostroza, J. E., Labbé, C., & Claro, M. (2017). The role of the internet in teachers' professional practice: Activities and factors associated with teacher use of ICT inside and outside the classroom. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 26*(4), 425-438. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939x.2017.1296489>
- Iloäki, L., Kantosalo, A., & Lakkala, M. (2011). *What is digital competence?* In *Linked portal* (pp. 1-12). European Schoolnet (EUN). <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/33734457.pdf>
- In, J. (2017). Introduction of a pilot study. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology, 70*(6), 601-605. <https://doi.org/10.4097/kjae.2017.70.6.601>
- Instefjord, E. J., & Munthe, E. (2017). Educating digitally competent teachers: A study of integration of professional digital competence in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 67*, 37-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.05.016>
- INTEF. (2017). *Common Digital Competence Framework for Teachers*. https://aprende.intef.es/sites/default/files/2018-05/2017_1024-Common-Digital-Competence-Framework-For-Teachers.pdf
- Islamoglu, H., Kabakci Yurdakul, I., & Ursavas, O. F. (2021). Pre-service teachers' acceptance of mobile-technology-supported learning activities. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 69*(2), 1025-1054. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-09973-8>
- Ismail, M. I., & Abdul Aziz, a. (2019). TS25 schoolteachers' perceptions of differentiated learning in diverse ESL classrooms. *Journal of Education and Social Sciences, 13*(1), 95-107.
- Izhar, N. A., Al-dheleai, Y. M., & Si Na, K. (2021). Teaching in the time of COVID-19: The challenges faced by teachers in initiating online class sessions. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 11*(2). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v11-i2/9205>
- Jacob, O. N., Jegede, D., & Musa, A. B. (2020). Administration of Information Communication Technology (ICT) in Nigerian secondary schools: Challenges and the ways forward. *Electronic Research Journal of Engineering, Computer and Applied Sciences, 2*, 50-63.

- Jahanshahi, D., Tabibi, Z., & Van Wee, B. (2020). Factors influencing the acceptance and use of a bicycle sharing system: Applying an extended unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT). *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 8(4), 1212-1223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2020.08.002>
- Jeng, C. (2019). The role of trust in explaining tourists' behavioral intention to use E-bookings services in Taiwan. *Journal of China Tourism Research*, 15(4), 478-489. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19388160.2018.1561584>
- Jesionkowska, J., Wild, F., & Deval, Y. (2020). Active learning augmented reality for STEAM education—A case study. *Education Sciences*, 10(8), 198. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10080198>
- Joo, Y. J., Park, S., & Lim, E. (2018). Factors Influencing Preservice Teachers' Intention to Use Technology: TPACK, Teacher Self efficacy, and Technology Acceptance Model. *Educational Technology & Society*, 21(3), 48-59. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2147866157/fulltextPDF/166F351327D14576PQ/1?accountid=27932>
- Jong, B., & Tan, K. H. (2021). Using Padlet as a technological tool for assessment of students writing skills in online classroom settings. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 9(2), 411-423. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.61.2021.92.411.423>
- Junnonyang, E. (2021). Integrating TAM, perceived risk, trust, relative advantage, government support, social influence and user satisfaction as predictors of mobile government adoption behavior in Thailand. *International Journal of eBusiness and eGovernment Studies*, 13(1), 159-178. <https://doi.org/10.34111/ijefs.202113108>
- Kamalasena, B. D., & Sirisena, A. B. (2021). Factors influencing the adoption of E-learning by University students in Sri Lanka: Application of UTAUT-3 model during COVID-19 pandemic. *Wayamba Journal of Management*, 12(2), 99. <https://doi.org/10.4038/wjm.v12i2.7533>
- Kamaruddin, K., Abdullah, C. A., Idris, M. N., & Nawi, M. N. (2017). Teachers' level of ICT integration in teaching and learning: A survey in Malaysian private preschool. *AIP Conference Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5005408>
- Karpati, A. (2011). *Digital literacy in education* (ISSN 2221-8378). UNESCO Institute for Information Technologies in Education. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000214485>
- Karthigesu, K., & Mohamad, M. (2020). Primary school teachers' perceptions on the integration of interactive whiteboard (IWB) during reading instructions. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i2/6977>
- Karuppanan, S., & Mohammed, L. A. (2020). Predictive Factors Associated with Online Learning during Covid-19 Pandemic in Malaysia: A conceptual Framework. *International Journal of Management and Human Science (IJMHS)*, 4(4), 19-29. <http://www.ijmhs.org>

- Kassim, H. M., & Said, N. M. (2020). The integration of mobile learning among esl teachers to enhance vocabulary learning. *Education and Social Sciences Review*, 1(2), 28. <https://doi.org/10.29210/07essr55400>
- Khechine, H., Raymond, B., & Augier, M. (2020). The adoption of a social learning system: Intrinsic value in the UTAUT model. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(6), 2306-2325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12905>
- Kim, J., & Lee, K. S. (2020). Conceptual model to predict Filipino teachers' adoption of ICT-based instruction in class: Using the UTAUT model. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2020.1776213>
- Klimova, B. (2019). Impact of mobile learning on students' achievement results. *Education Sciences*, 9(2), 90. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci9020090>
- Kline, R. B. (2016). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling* (4th ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Korzynski, P., Paniagua, J., & Rodriguez-Montemayor, E. (2019). Employee creativity in a Digital Era: The mediating role of social media. *Management Decision*, 58(6), 1100-1117. <https://doi.org/10.1108/md-05-2018-0586>
- Kourouthanassis, P. E., Giaglis, G. M., Karaiskos, D., & Zamani, E. (2014). Adoption behaviour differences for mobile data services: M-internet vs. M-portals. *International Journal of Mobile Communications*, 12(3), 207. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmc.2014.061459>
- Krumsvik, J. (2011). Digital competence in the Norwegian teacher education and schools. *Högre Utbildning*, 1(1), 38-51. <https://hogreutbildning.se/index.php/hu/article/view/874/1817>
- Krumsvik, R. J. (2008). Situated learning and teachers' digital competence. *Education and Information Technologies*, 13(4), 279-290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-008-9069-5>
- Krumsvik, R. J. (2014). Teacher educators' digital competence. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 58(3), 269-280. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2012.726273>
- Krumsvik, R. J., Jones, L. Ø., Øfstegaard, M., & Eikeland, O. J. (2016). Upper secondary school teachers' digital competence: Analysed by demographic, personal and professional characteristics. *Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy*, 11(3), 143-164. <https://doi.org/10.18261/issn.1891-943x-2016-03-02>
- Kumar, R. (2019). *Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Kumar, J. A., Bervell, B., & Osman, S. (2020). Google classroom: Insights from Malaysian higher education students' and instructors' experiences. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(5), 4175-4195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10163-x>

- Kyriazos, T. A. (2018). Applied psychometrics: Sample size and sample power considerations in factor analysis (EFA, CFA) and SEM in general. *Psychology*, 09(08), 2207-2230. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2018.98126>
- Lai, Y., Saab, N., & Admiraal, W. (2022). University students' use of mobile technology in self-directed language learning: Using the integrative model of behavior prediction. *Computers & Education*, 179, 104413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104413>
- Lawrence, J. E., & Tar, U. A. (2018). Factors that influence teachers' adoption and integration of ICT in teaching/learning process. *Educational Media International*, 55(1), 79-105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09523987.2018.1439712>
- Lee, K. Y., Sheehan, L., Lee, K., & Chang, Y. (2021). The continuation and recommendation intention of artificial intelligence-based voice assistant systems (AIVAS): The influence of personal traits. *Internet Research*, 31(5), 1899-1939. <https://doi.org/10.1108/intr-06-2020-0327>
- Lee, M. (2019). Effects of personal Innovativeness on mobile device adoption by older adults in South Korea: The moderation effect of mobile device use experience. *International Journal of Mobile Communications*, 17(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmc.2019.10015526>
- Lee, Y., & Lee, J. (2014). Enhancing pre-service teachers' self-efficacy beliefs for technology integration through lesson planning practice. *Computers & Education*, 73, 121-128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.01.001>
- Leech, N. L., Barrett, K. C., & Morgan, G. A. (2015). *IBM SPSS for intermediate statistics: Use and interpretation* (5th ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Li, Y., Garza, V., Keicher, A., & Popov, V. (2018). Predicting high school teacher use of technology: Pedagogical beliefs, technological beliefs and attitudes, and teacher training. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 24(3), 501-518. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-018-9355-2>
- Lim, P. R., & Md Noor, N. (2019). Digital storytelling as a creative teaching method in promoting secondary school students' writing skills. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM)*, 13(07), 117. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v13i07.10798>
- Liu, S., Chen, Y., Huang, H., Xiao, L., & Hei, X. (2018). Towards smart educational recommendations with reinforcement learning in classroom. *2018 IEEE International Conference on Teaching, Assessment, and Learning for Engineering (TALE)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tale.2018.8615217>
- Lock, S. Y., & Abdullah, M. S. (2021). A review of UTAUT and extended model as a conceptual framework in education research. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Dan Matematik Malaysia*, 11, 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.37134/jpsmm.vol11.sp.1.20.21>

- Loudova, I., & El-Hmoudova, d. (2019). *Integrating ICT as pedagogical and innovative tool in secondary school classes*. In *10th ICEEPSY 2019 International Conference on Education & Educational Psychology* (pp. 248-252). The European Proceedings of Social & Behavioural Sciences (EpSBS). <https://doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2019.11.22>
- Lucas, M., Bem-Haja, P., Siddiq, F., Moreira, A., & Redecker, C. (2021). The relation between in-service teachers' digital competence and personal and contextual factors: What matters most? *Computers & Education*, *160*, 104052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104052>
- Lukas, B. A., & Yunus, M. M. (2021). ESL teachers' challenges in implementing E-learning during COVID-19. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *20*(2), 330-348. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.20.2.18>
- Lupton, D. (2018). 'Better understanding about what's going on': Young Australians' use of digital technologies for health and fitness. *Sport, Education and Society*, *25*(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2018.1555661>
- Machmud, M. T., Widiyan, A. P., & Ramadhani, N. R. (2021). The development and policies of ICT supporting educational technology in Singapore, Thailand, Indonesia, and Myanmar. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, *10*(1), 78. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i1.20786>
- Mahamud, S., Fam, S., Saleh, H., Kamarudin, M. F., & Wahjono, S. I. (2021). Predicting Google classroom acceptance and use in STEM education: Extended UTAUT2 approach. *2021 2nd SEA-STEM International Conference (SEA-STEM)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/sea-stem53614.2021.9668096>
- Ma, X., & Ye, L. (2018). Career goal-based E-learning recommendation using enhanced collaborative filtering and PrefixSpan. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, *10*(3), 23-37. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijmbl.2018070103>
- Maher, D. (2020). Pre-Service Teachers' Digital Competencies to Support School Students' Digital Literacies. In *Handbook of research on literacy and digital technology integration in teacher education* (p. 18). IGI Global.
- Makarova, E., & Makarova, E. (2018). Blending pedagogy and digital technology to transform educational environment. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science Engineering and Education*, *6*(2), 57-65. <https://doi.org/10.5937/ijcrsee1802057m>
- Malykhin, O., Aristova, N., Kovalchuk, V., Opaliuk, T., & Yarmolchuk, T. (2020). Higher school teachers' digital competence: Strategies for self-assessment and improvement. *SOCIETY. INTEGRATION. EDUCATION. Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference*, *2*, 41-51. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17770/sie2020vol2.4901>
- Marsh, H. W., & Hocevar, D. (1988). A new, more powerful approach to multitrait-multimethod analyses: Application of second-order confirmatory factor analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *73*(1), 107-117. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.73.1.107>

- McDonald, T., & Siegall, M. (1992). The effects of technological self-efficacy and job focus on job performance, attitudes, and withdrawal behaviors. *The Journal of Psychology*, 126(5), 465-475. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.1992.10543380>
- Mei Wei, L., Yan Piaw, C., & Kannan, S. (2016). Relationship between principal technology leadership practices and teacher ict competency. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Management*, 4(3), 13-36. <https://doi.org/10.22452/mojem.vol4no3.2>
- Menon, D. (2022). Purchase and continuation intentions of over -the -top (OTT) video streaming platform subscriptions: A uses and gratification theory perspective. *Telematics and Informatics Reports*, 5, 100006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2022.100006>
- Meyers, L. S., Gamst, G., & Guarino, A. (2016). *Applied multivariate research: Design and interpretation*. SAGE Publications.
- Michels, M., Bonke, V., & Musshoff, O. (2020). Understanding the adoption of smartphone apps in crop protection. *Precision Agriculture*, 21(6), 1209-1226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-020-09715-5>
- Milenkova, V., Keranova, D., & Peicheva, D. (2019). Digital skills and new media and information literacy in the conditions of digitization. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 65-72. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20135-7_6
- Mills, G. E., & Gay, L. R. (2019). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications* (12th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Ministry of Education Malaysia. (2013). *Malaysia education blueprint, 2013-2025: Preschool to post-secondary education* (ISBN 978-983-3444-54-0). <https://www.moe.gov.my/menumedia/media-cetak/penerbitan/dasar/1207-malaysia-education-blueprint-2013-2025/file>
- Ministry of Information and Communication Technology. (2003). *Thailand Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Master Plan (2002-2006)* (ISBN 974-229-544-1). https://www.nectec.or.th/en/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/ict_masterplan_2002-2006.pdf
- Mirete, A. B., Maquilón, J. J., Mirete, L., & Rodríguez, R. A. (2020). Digital competence and University teachers' conceptions about teaching. A structural causal model. *Sustainability*, 12(12), 4842. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12124842>
- Mohajan, H. K. (2017). Two criteria for good measurements in research: Validity and reliability. *Annals of Spiru Haret University. Economic Series*, 17(4), 59-82. <https://doi.org/10.26458/1746>
- Mohamed Jamrus, M. H., & Razali, A. B. (2021). Acceptance, readiness and intention to use Augmented Reality (AR) in teaching English reading among secondary school teachers in Malaysia. *Asian Journal of University Education (AJUE)*, 17(4), 312-326. <https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v17i4.16200>

- Mohd Ariffin, N. H., Ahmad, F., & Mohd Haneef, U. (2020). Acceptance of mobile payments by retailers using UTAUT model. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 19(1), 149. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v19.i1.pp149-155>
- Moreno Rodríguez, M. D., Gabarda Méndez, V., & Rodríguez Martín, A. (2018). Information literacy and digital competence in student teachers. *Teachers, Journal of Curriculum and Teacher Training*, 22(3), 253-270. <https://doi.org/10.30827/faculty.v22i3.8001>
- Mulyana, A., Briandana, r., & Rekarti, E. (2020). ICT and Social Media as a Marketing Communication Platform in Facilitating Social Engagement in the Digital Era. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 13(5), 1-16. https://www.ijicc.net/images/vol_13/Iss_5/13501_Mulyana_2020_E_R.pdf
- Mun, S. H., Abdullah, A. H., Mokhtar, M., Ali, D. F., Jumaat, N. F., Ashari, Z. M., Samah, N. A., & Rahman, K. A. (2019). Active learning using digital smart board to enhance primary school students' learning. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (IJIM)*, 13(07), 4. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v13i07.10654>
- Munuyandi, T. A., Husain, S., Abdul Jabar, M. A., & Jusoh, Z. (2021). Effectiveness of Quizizz in interactive teaching and learning Malay grammar. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 17(3), 109. <https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v17i3.14516>
- Murphy, A., Farley, H., Lane, M., Hafeez-Baig, A., & Carter, B. (2014). Mobile learning anytime, anywhere: What are our students doing? *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, 18(3). <https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v18i3.1098>
- Mussa, I. H., & Sazalli, N. A. (2021). The readiness of Iraqi EFL teachers to use mobile learning in teaching English in schools. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 24(2), 880. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v24.i2.pp880-887>
- Mustafa, M., Alzubi, S., & Alshare, M. (2020). The moderating effect of demographic factors acceptance virtual reality learning in developing countries in the Middle East. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 12-23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6634-9_2
- Mynaříková, L., & Novotný, L. (2020). Knowledge society failure? Barriers in the use of ICTs and further teacher education in the Czech Republic. *Sustainability*, 12(17), 6933. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12176933>
- Naciri, A., Baba, M. A., Achbani, A., & Kharbach, A. (2020). Mobile learning in higher education: Unavoidable alternative during COVID-19. *Aquademia*, 4(1), ep20016. <https://doi.org/10.29333/aquademia/8227>
- Naim, S., & Razak, N. A. (2020). Effect of personal and professional characteristics towards ESL lecturers' digital competence. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(4), 1029-1049.

- Natarajan, U., Lim, K. Y., & Laxman, K. (2021). A national vision for information and communication technologies in education: Reflections on Singapore's ICT technologies Masterplans. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 35(5), 943-954. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijem-11-2020-0532>
- Nelson, M. J., Voithofer, R., & Cheng, S. (2019). Mediating factors that influence the technology integration practices of teacher educators. *Computers & Education*, 128, 330-344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.023>
- Njiku, J., Mutarutiny, V., & Maniraho, J. F. (2020). *Mathematics teachers' technology integration self-efficacy and technology use*. In *International Conference to Review Research in Science, Technology and Mathematics Education* (pp. 283-289). Homi Bhabha Centre for Science Education.
- Ng, W. (2015). *New digital technology in education: Conceptualizing professional learning for educators*. Springer.
- OECD. (2019). *PISA 2021 ICT framework*. <https://www.oecd.org/pisa/sitedocument/PISA-2021-ICT-Framework.pdf>
- Ogodo, J. A., Simon, M., Morris, D., & Akubo, M. (2021). Examining K-12 teachers' digital competency and technology self-efficacy during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 21(11), 13-27. <https://doi.org/10.33423/jhetp.v21i11.4660>
- Ojo, O., & Adu, E. (2018). The effectiveness of information and communication technologies (ICTs) in teaching and learning in high schools in Eastern Cape province. *South African Journal of Education*, 38(Supplement 2), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v38ns2a1483>
- Oktaviani, L., & Mandasari, B. (2020). Powtoon: A digital medium to optimize students' cultural presentation in ELT classroom. *TEKNOSASTIK*, 18(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.33365/ts.v18i1.526>
- Omar, A., Mohd Zahari, M. A., & Sintian, M. (2019). Confidence and Success of Teachers Integrating ICT in TAF Enhancing Student Interests in Malay Literature. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)*, 8(3), 3861-3868. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.C5086.098319>
- Ottestad, G., & Gudmundsdottir, G. B. (2018). Information and communication technology policy in primary and secondary education in Europe. *Springer International Handbooks of Education*, 1343-1362. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71054-9_92
- Ovcharuk, O., Ivaniuk, I., Soroko, N., Gritsenchuk, O., & Kravchyna, O. (2020). The use of digital learning tools in the teachers' professional activities to ensure sustainable development and democratization of education in European countries. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 166, 10019. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202016610019>

- Oye, N. D., A.Iahad, N., & Ab.Rahim, N. (2012). The history of UTAUT model and its impact on ICT acceptance and usage by academicians. *Education and Information Technologies*, 19(1), 251-270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-012-9189-9>
- Pallant, J. (2020). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS* (7th ed.). London: Routledge.
- Palvalin, M., Lönnqvist, A., & Vuolle, M. (2013). Analysing the impacts of ICT on knowledge work productivity. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 17(4), 545-557. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-03-2013-0113>
- Papadopoulos, T., Baltas, K. N., & Balta, M. E. (2020). The use of digital technologies by small and medium enterprises during COVID-19: Implications for theory and practice. *International Journal of Information Management*, 55, 102192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102192>
- Papanastasiou, E. C., & Angeli, C. (2008). Evaluating the Use of ICT in Education: Psychometric Properties of the Survey of Factors Affecting Teachers Teaching with Technology (SFA-T3). *Educational Technology & Society*, 11(1), 69-86. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.634.3694&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Peral-Peral, B., Villarejo-Ramos, Á. F., & Arenas-Gaitán, J. (2019). Self-efficacy and anxiety as determinants of older adults' use of internet banking services. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 19(4), 825-840. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-019-00691-w>
- Perifanou, M., Economides, A. A., & Tzafilkou, K. (2021). Teachers' digital skills readiness during COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 16(08), 238. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i08.21011>
- Pettersson, F. (2017). On the issues of digital competence in educational contexts – a review of literature. *Education and Information Technologies*, 23(3), 1005-1021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9649-3>
- Phoong, S. Y., Phoong, S. W., Moghavvemi, S., & Sulaiman, A. (2019). Effect of smart classroom on student achievement at higher education. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 48(2), 291-304. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239519870721>
- Pinho, C., Franco, M., & Mendes, L. (2020). Application of innovation diffusion theory to the E-learning process: Higher education context. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(1), 421-440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10269-2>
- Pirzada, K., & Khan, F. (2013). Measuring Relationship between Digital Skills and Employability. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 5(24), 124-133. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2382939

- Portillo, J., Garay, U., Tejada, E., & Bilbao, N. (2020). Self-perception of the digital competence of educators during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-analysis of different educational stages. *Sustainability*, *12*(23), 10128. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122310128>
- Pradhan, R. P., Mallik, G., & Bagchi, T. P. (2018). Information communication technology (ICT) infrastructure and economic growth: A causality evinced by cross-country panel data. *IIMB Management Review*, *30*(1), 91-103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2018.01.001>
- Puriwat, W., & Tripopsakul, S. (2021). Explaining social media adoption for a business purpose: An application of the UTAUT model. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 2082. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042082>
- Raman, A., & Rathakrishnan, M. (2018). FROG VLE: Teachers' technology acceptance using UTAUT model. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (IJMET)*, *9*(3), 529-538. https://iaeme.com/Home/article_id/IJMET_09_03_055
- Raman, K., Othman, N., Mohd Affandi, H., & Md. Rawi, I. I. (2020). Factors affecting teachers attitude towards designing virtual learning environment. *Environment-Behaviour Proceedings Journal*, *5*(SI3), 173-179. <https://doi.org/10.21834/ebpj.v5isi3.2560>
- Rana, K., & Rana, K. (2020). ICT integration in teaching and learning activities in higher education: A case study of Nepal's teacher education. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, *8*(1), 36-47. <https://doi.org/10.17220/mojet.2020.01.003>
- Rahimi, A., & Tafazoli, D. (2022). The role of university teachers' 21st-century digital competence in their attitudes toward ICT integration in higher education: Extending the theory of planned behavior. *The JALT CALL Journal*, *18*(2), 238-263. <https://doi.org/10.29140/jaltcall.v18n2.632>
- A Rashid, A. H., A Shukor, N., Tasir, Z., & Si Na, K. (2021). Teachers' perceptions and readiness toward the implementation of virtual learning environment. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, *10*(1), 209. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i1.21014>
- Raygan, A., & Moradkhani, S. (2020). Factors influencing technology integration in an EFL context: Investigating EFL teachers' attitudes, TPACK level, and educational climate. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, *35*(8), 1789-1810. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2020.1839106>
- Razak, N. A., Ab Jalil, H., & Ismail, I. A. (2019). Challenges in ICT integration among Malaysian public primary education teachers: The roles of leaders and stakeholders. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, *14*(24), 184. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v14i24.12101>
- Rea, L. M., & Parker, R. A. (2014). *Designing and conducting survey research: A comprehensive guide* (4th ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.

- Redecker, C. (2017). *European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu* (EUR 28775 EN). Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. <https://doi.org/10.2760/159770>, JRC107466
- Rogers, E. M. (1995). *Diffusion of innovations*. Free Press, New York.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Simon & Schuster.
- Rogers, E. M. (2010). *Diffusion of innovations* (4th ed.). Simon & Schuster.
- Rohatgi, A., Scherer, R., & Hatlevik, O. E. (2016). The role of ICT self-efficacy for students' ICT use and their achievement in a computer and information literacy test. *Computers & Education*, 102, 103-116. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.08.001>
- Romero-Rodríguez, J., Alonso-García, S., Marín-Marín, J., & Gómez-García, G. (2020). Considerations on the implications of the Internet of things in Spanish universities: The usefulness perceived by professors. *Future Internet*, 12(8), 123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi12080123>
- Ronaghi, M. H., & Forouharfar, A. (2020). A contextualized study of the usage of the Internet of things (IoTs) in smart farming in a typical Middle Eastern country within the context of unified theory of acceptance and use of technology model (UTAUT). *Technology in Society*, 63, 101415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101415>
- Rondan-Cataluña, F. J., Arenas-Gaitán, J., & Ramírez-Correa, P. E. (2015). A comparison of the different versions of popular technology acceptance models. *Kybernetes*, 44(5), 788-805. <https://doi.org/10.1108/k-09-2014-0184>
- Roy, S. K., Singh, G., & Shabnam, S. (2021). Modelling customer engagement behaviour in smart retailing. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, 25. <https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v25i0.2967>
- Sadaf, A., Newby, T. J., & Ertmer, P.A. (2012). Exploring pre-service teachers' beliefs about using Web 2.0 technologies in K-12 classroom. *Computers & Education*, 59(3), 937-945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.04.001>
- Salkind, N. J. (2018). *Exploring research* (9th ed.). Pearson Education Limited.
- Salloum, S. A., Al-Emran, M., Shaalan, K., & Tarhini, A. (2018). Factors affecting the E-learning acceptance: A case study from UAE. *Education and Information Technologies*, 24(1), 509-530. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-018-9786-3>
- Sangeeta, & Tandon, U. (2020). Factors influencing adoption of online teaching by school teachers: A study during <scp>COVID</scp> -19 pandemic. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 21(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2503>
- Santos, C., Pedro, N., & Mattar, J. (2021). Digital competence of higher education professors. *Obra digital*, (21), 69-92. <https://doi.org/10.25029/od.2021.311.21>

- Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Tondeur, J. (2019). The technology acceptance model (TAM): A meta-analytic structural equation modeling approach to explaining teachers' adoption of digital technology in education. *Computers & Education, 128*, 13-35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.009>
- Scully, D., Lehane, P., & Scully, C. (2021). 'It is no longer scary': Digital learning before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in Irish secondary schools. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 30*(1), 159-181. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939x.2020.1854844>
- Semerçi, A., & Aydın, M. K. (2018). Examining high school teachers' attitudes towards ICT use in education. *International Journal of Progressive Education, 14*(2), 93-105. <https://doi.org/10.29329/ijpe.2018.139.7>
- Senali, M. G., Iranmanesh, M., Ismail, F. N., Rahim, N. F., Khoshkam, M., & Mirzaei, M. (2022). Determinants of intention to use E-WallEt: Personal Innovativeness and propensity to trust as moderators. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 1-13*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2022.2076309>
- Shifflet, R., & Weilbacher, G. (2015). Teacher Beliefs and Their Influence on Technology Use: A Case Study. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 15*(3), 368-394. <https://citejournal.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/v15i3socialstudies1.pdf>
- Shuhidan, S. M., Shuhidan, S. M., Abu Bakar, S. A., & Abd Hakim, A. A. (2016). Digital competency standard at schools. *International Information Institute (Tokyo), 19*(7), 2937-2941. https://search.proquest.com/openview/0b4c6b7_d6518328210790547db01f17f/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=936334
- Siddiquah, A., & Salim, Z. (2017). The ICT facilities, skills, usage, and the problems faced by the students of higher education. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 13*(8). <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00977a>
- Skolverket. (2011). *Curriculum for the compulsory school, preschool class and school-age educare* (ISBN 978-913832734-0). Swedish National Agency for Education. <https://www.skolverket.se/download/18.31c292d516e7445866a218f/1576654682907/pdf3984.pdf>
- Solas, E., & Sutton, F. (2018). Incorporating digital technology in the general education classroom. *Research in Social Sciences and Technology, 3*(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.46303/ressat.03.01.1>
- Srivastava, K., & Dey, S. (2018). Role of Digital Technology in Teaching-Learning Process. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 23*(1), 74-79. <https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-2301057479>
- Sulaiman, J., & Ismail, S. N. (2020). Teacher competence and 21st century skills in transformation schools 2025 (TS25). *Universal Journal of Educational Research, 8*(8), 3536-3544. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080829>

- Sun, P. P., & Mei, B. (2020). Modeling preservice Chinese-as-a-second/foreign-language teachers' adoption of educational technology: A technology acceptance perspective. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 35(4), 816-839. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2020.1750430>
- Sundqvist, K., Korhonen, J., & Eklund, G. (2021). Predicting Finnish subject-teachers' ICT use in home economics based on teacher- and school-level factors. *Education Inquiry*, 12(1), 73-93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20004508.2020.1778609>
- Sullivan, T., Slater, B., Phan, J., Tan, A., & Davis, J. (2019). M-learning : Exploring mobile technologies for secondary and primary school science inquiry. *Teaching Science*, 65(1), 13-16.
- Sungur-Gül, K., & Ateş, H. (2021). Understanding pre-service teachers' mobile learning readiness using theory of planned behavior. *Educational Technology & Society*, 24(2), 44-57. <https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/understanding-pre-service-teachers-mobile/docview/2515016475/se-2>
- Sutton, B. (2013). *The Effects of Technology in Society and Education* [Master's thesis]. <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12648/5368>
- Sá, M. J., & Serpa, S. (2020). COVID-19 and the promotion of digital competences in education. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(10), 4520-4528. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.081020>
- Sánchez-Prieto, J. C., Huang, F., Olmos-Migueláñez, S., García-Peñalvo, F. J., & Teo, T. (2019). Exploring the unknown: The effect of resistance to change and attachment on mobile adoption among secondary pre-service teachers. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(5), 2433-2449. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12822>
- Susilawati, S., & Supriyatno, T. (2020). Online learning through WhatsApp group in improving learning motivation in the era and post pandemic COVID -19. *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, dan Pengembangan*, 5(6), 852. <https://doi.org/10.17977/jptpp.v5i6.13670>
- Swaran Singh, C. K., Masa Singh, T. S., Abdullah, N. Y., Moneyam, S., Ismail, M. R., Ong, E. T., Karupayah, T., Chenderan, K., Rajendra Singh, M. K., & Swaran Singh, J. K. (2020). Rethinking English language teaching through Telegram, WhatsApp, Google Classroom and Zoom. *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy*, 11(11), 45-54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.31838/srp.2020.11.9>
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2019). *Using multivariate statistics* (7th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Talwar, S., Dhir, A., Khalil, A., Mohan, G., & Islam, A. N. (2020). Point of adoption and beyond. Initial trust and mobile-payment continuation intention. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 55, 102086. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102086>

- Tanaka, J. S., & Huba, G. J. (1985). A fit index for covariance structure models under arbitrary GLS estimation. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 38(2), 197-201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1985.tb00834.x>
- Tang, C., Mao, S., Naumann, S. E., & Xing, Z. (2022). Improving student creativity through digital technology products: A literature review. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 44, 101032. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101032>
- Tang, K., Hsiao, C., Tu, Y., Hwang, G., & Wang, Y. (2021). Factors influencing university teachers' use of a mobile technology-enhanced teaching (MTT) platform. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(5), 2705-2728. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-10032-5>
- Taylor, S., & Todd, P. (1995). Assessing IT usage: The role of prior experience. *MIS Quarterly*, 19(4), 561. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249633>
- Teo, T., & Huang, F. (2018). Investigating the influence of individually espoused cultural values on teachers' intentions to use educational technologies in Chinese universities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 27(5-6), 813-829. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2018.1489856>
- Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A., & Howell, J. M. (1991). Personal computing: Toward a conceptual model of utilization. *MIS Quarterly*, 15(1), 125. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249443>
- Thuong, T. H. (2022). The impact of the use of Facebook on developing writing skills in learning English as a foreign language. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(5). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2022.v04i05.037>
- Thurm, D., & Barzel, B. (2020). Effects of a professional development program for teaching mathematics with technology on teachers' beliefs, self-efficacy and practices. *ZDM*, 52(7), 1411-1422. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-020-01158-6>
- Tomczyk, Ł. (2020). Attitude to ICT and self-evaluation of fluency in using new digital devices, websites and software among pre-service teachers. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 15(19), 200. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i19.16657>
- Tondeur, J., Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Baran, E. (2018). A comprehensive investigation of TPACK within pre-service teachers' ICT profiles: Mind the gap! *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 33(3). <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.3504>
- Tourón, J., Martín, D., Navarro, E., Pradas, S., & Íñigo, V. (2018). Validación de constructo de un instrumento para medir la competencia digital docente de los profesores (CDD) | Construct validation of a questionnaire to measure teachers' digital competence (TDC). *Revista Española de Pedagogía*, 76(269), 25-54. <https://doi.org/10.22550/REP76-1-2018-02>

- Tretinjak, M. F., & Andelic, V. (2016). Digital competences for teachers: Classroom practice. *2016 39th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO)*.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/mipro.2016.7522250>
- Triandis, H. C. (1979). *Values, attitudes, and interpersonal behavior*. University of Nebraska Press.
- Tusiime, W., Johannesen, M., & Gudmundsdottir, G. (2019). Developing Teachers' Digital Competence: Approaches for Art and Design Teacher Educators in Uganda. *International Journal of Education and Development using ICT (IJEDICT)*, 15(1), 133-149. <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/209738/>
- Ursavaş, Ö. F., Yalçın, Y., & Bakır, E. (2019). The effect of subjective norms on preservice and in-service teachers' behavioural intentions to use technology: A multigroup multimodel study. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(5), 2501-2519. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12834>
- U.S. Department of Education. (2017). *Reimagining the Role of Technology in Education: 2017 National Education Technology Plan Update (NETP17)*.
<https://tech.ed.gov/files/2017/01/NETP17.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Education. (2000). *National Education Technology Plan (2000) E-learning: Putting a world-class education at the fingertips of all children. The national educational technology plan (ED444604)*. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED444604.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Education. (2004). *National Education Technology Plan (2004) toward a new golden age in American education: How the internet, the law, and today's students are revolutionizing expectations (ED484046)*.
<https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED484046.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Education. (2010). *Transforming American Education National Education Technology Plan 2010: powered by technology (ED512681)*.
<https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED512681.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Education. (2016). *National Education Technology Plan (2016): Future ready learning reimagining the role of technology in education (NETP16)*.
<https://tech.ed.gov/files/2015/12/NETP16.pdf>
- Umar, I. N., & Yusoff, M. T. (2014). A study on Malaysian teachers' level of ICT skills and practices, and its impact on teaching and learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 979-984. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.331>
- UNESCO. (2011). *UNESCO ICT competency framework for teachers (ISBN 978-92-3-01053-9)*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://iite.unesco.org/pics/publications/en/files/3214694.pdf>
- UNESCO. (2018). *UNESCO ICT competency framework for teachers (ISBN 978-92-3-100285-4)*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000265721>

- United States Department of Education. (1996). *Getting America's students ready for the 21st century: Meeting the technology literacy challenge : a report to the nation on technology and education* (ED398899). <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED398899.pdf>
- Ursavaş, Ö. F., Yalçın, Y., & Bakır, E. (2019). The effect of subjective norms on preservice and in-service teachers' behavioural intentions to use technology: A multigroup multimodel study. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 50*(5), 2501-2519. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12834>
- Usman, H., Projo, N. W., Chairy, C., & Haque, M. G. (2021). The exploration role of sharia compliance in technology acceptance model for e-banking (case: Islamic bank in Indonesia). *Journal of Islamic Marketing, 13*(5), 1089-1110. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jima-08-2020-0230>
- Van Acker, F., Van Buuren, H., Kreijns, K., & Vermeulen, M. (2013). Why teachers use digital learning materials: The role of self-efficacy, subjective norm and attitude. *Education and Information Technologies, 18*(3), 495-514. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-011-9181-9>
- Van Raaji, E. M., & Schepers, J. J. (2008). The acceptance and use of a virtual learning environment in China. *Computers & Education, 50*(3), 838-852. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2006.09.001>
- Vargo, D., Zhu, L., Benwell, B., & Yan, Z. (2020). Digital technology use during Covid-19 pandemic: A rapid review. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 3*(1), 13-24. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbe2.242>
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal Field studies. *Management Science, 46*(2), 186-204. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926>
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly, 27*(3), 425. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *MIS Quarterly, 36*(1), 157. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41410412>
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J., & Xu, X. (2016). Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology: A synthesis and the road ahead. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 17*(5), 328-376. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00428>
- Vuorikari, R., Punie, Y., Carretero, S., & Van Den Brande, G. (2016). *DigComp 2.0: The digital competence framework for citizens* (JRC101254). Publications Office of the European Union. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2791/607218>
- Walker, Z., Kho, H. H., Tan, D., & Lim, N. (2019). Practicum teachers' use of mobile technology as measured by the technology acceptance model. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education, 40*(2), 230-246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2019.1671808>

- Wang, J., Li, X., Wang, P., Liu, Q., Deng, Z., & Wang, J. (2021). Research trend of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology theory: A bibliometric analysis. *Sustainability*, *14*(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010010>
- Wang, J., Tigelaar, D. E., & Admiraal, W. (2019). Connecting rural schools to quality education: Rural teachers' use of digital educational resources. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *101*, 68-76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.07.009>
- Wen, K. Y., & Kim Hua, T. (2020). ESL teachers' intention in adopting online educational technologies during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, *7*(4), 387-394. <https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.509.2020.74.387.394>
- Whittaker, T. A., & Schumacker, R. E. (2022). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modelling* (5th ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Widyanti, A., Hasudungan, S., & Park, J. (2020). E-Learning readiness and perceived learning workload among students in an Indonesian university. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning*, *12*(1), 18-29. <https://doi.org/10.34105/j.kmel.2020.12.002>
- Willis, R. L., Lynch, D., Fradale, P., & Yeigh, T. (2018). Influences on purposeful implementation of ICT into the classroom: An exploratory study of K-12 teachers. *Education and Information Technologies*, *24*(1), 63-77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-018-9760-0>
- Wu, X., & Lai, I. K. (2021). The acceptance of augmented reality tour app for promoting film-induced tourism: The effect of celebrity involvement and personal innovativeness. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, *12*(3), 454-470. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jhtt-03-2020-0054>
- Yeap, C. F., Suhaimi, N., & Nasir, M. K. (2021). Issues, challenges, and suggestions for empowering technical vocational education and training education during the COVID-19 pandemic in Malaysia. *Creative Education*, *12*(08), 1818-1839. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2021.128138>
- Yeo, S., Rutherford, T., & Campbell, T. (2022). Understanding elementary mathematics teachers' intention to use a digital game through the technology acceptance model. *Education and Information Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11073-w>
- Yeoh, S. Y., & Chin, P. N. (2022). Exploring home health-care robots adoption in Malaysia: Extending the UTAUT model. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijphm-11-2020-0099>
- Yew Kai Wen, K., & Hua, Tan, K. (2020). ESL teachers' intention in adopting online educational technologies during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, *7*(4), 387-394. <https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.509.2020.74.387.394>

- Yi, M. Y., Fiedler, K. D., & Park, J. S. (2006). Understanding the role of individual Innovativeness in the acceptance of IT-based innovations: Comparative analyses of models and measures. *Decision Sciences*, 37(3), 393-426. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5414.2006.00132.x>
- Yildiz Durak, H. (2018). Examining the acceptance and use of online social networks by preservice teachers within the context of unified theory of acceptance and use of technology model. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 31(1), 173-209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-018-9200-6>
- Yong, A. G., & Pearce, S. (2013). A beginner's guide to factor analysis: Focusing on exploratory factor analysis. *Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology*, 9(2), 79-94. <https://doi.org/10.20982/tqmp.09.2.p079>
- Yuen, K. F., Khanh Huyen, D. T., Wang, X., & Qi, G. (2020). Factors Influencing the Adoption of Shared Autonomous Vehicles. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(4868). <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134868>
- Yulia, H. (2020). Online learning to prevent the spread of pandemic corona virus in Indonesia. *ETERNAL (English Teaching Journal)*, 11(1), 48-56. <https://doi.org/10.26877/eternal.v11i1.6068>
- Zain, S. (2021). Digital transformation trends in education. *Future Directions in Digital Information*, 223-234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-822144-0.00036-7>
- Zainal, S. I., & Saimin, R. (2021). Exploring digital technology framework: Through the lenses of a qualitative study. *Sains Insani*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.33102/sainsinsani.vol6no2.321>
- Zhang, X., King, A., & Prior, H. (2021). Exploring the factors influencing Chinese music teachers' perceptions and behavioural intentions in using technology in higher education: A pilot study. *Music & Science*, 4, 205920432110448. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20592043211044819>
- Štemberger, T., & Čotar Konrad, S. (2021). Attitudes towards using digital technologies in education as an important factor in developing digital competence: The case of Slovenian student teachers. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 16(14), 83. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i14.22649>