

DYNAMIC TASK OFFLOADING ALGORITHM FOR OPTIMISING 10T NETWORK QUALITY OF SERVICE IN THE MOBILE-FOG-CLOUD SYSTEM



By

NWOGBAGA NWESO EMMANUEL

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2023

FSKTM 2023 4

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DYNAMIC TASK OFFLOADING ALGORITHM FOR OPTIMISING IoT NETWORK QUALITY OF SERVICE IN THE MOBILE-FOG-CLOUD SYSTEM

By

NWOGBAGA NWESO EMMANUEL

March 2023

Chairman: Associate Professor Rohaya binti Latip, PhDFaculty: Computer Science and Information Technology

The application of the Internet of Things (IoT) is increasing to almost all aspects of human endevour. IoT aims at getting everything (wearable, smart cameras, home appliances, vehicles, and hospital equipment) connected to the Internet. These devices continuously generate a massive amount of data on the network. The IoT (mobile) devices that generate these data are limited in terms of processing capacity and energy, because of these limitations of the mobile devices, they cannot process all generated tasks in the IoT application environment. Cloud computing and Fog computing are introduced to assist mobile devices to respond to environmental demand. Most times, the approach of relying on cloud infrastructure for IoT application analysis may be inefficient in terms of the limited battery life of the mobile devices, resource allocation algorithm delay, and computational offloading processes that sometimes increases the response time. Furthermore, many IoT applications are time sensitive such as health monitory systems, augmented reality services, agriculture, pest control, online natural language processing, smart home applications, smart cities, safe driving, waste management, emergency response systems, and traffic control systems. Therefore, offloading a massive amount of data from mobile devices to the fog or cloud introduces another problem of delay in choosing the optimal resources for processing the tasks resulting in incurring delay by the resource allocation algorithms. This problem sometimes makes the application of IoT inefficient in sensitive cases that require low response time. However, the problem of offloading large data sizes for analysis at the remote processing layer (fog or cloud) and efficient scheduling of tasks and resources is addressed in this study. Therefore, an Energy-Efficient Canonical Polyadic Decomposition (EECPD) scheduling algorithm to minimize the mobile device energy consumption in the system is proposed. Secondly, a hybrid Genetic Algorithm and Enhanced Inertia Weight Particle Swarm Optimization (GAEIWPSO) algorithm for optimal resource allocation to minimize the delay is proposed. Finally, a Dynamic Task Offloading Algorithm (DTOA) based on rank accuracy estimation model to efficiently schedule tasks and resources in the Mobile-Fog-Cloud system is proposed. The proposed algorithms achieved minimized data reduction ratio, number of deployed tasks, energy consumption, delay; and in addition, increased throughput, and better resource utilization, which in all enhanced the overall network quality of service. The attribute reduction technique is implemented with Matlab. The EECPD and GAEIWPSO algorithms are implemented with Python and Networkx simulators while DTOA algorithm is implemented with iFogSim to demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed scheme. The results proved that the proposed scheme performed better than the benchmark results.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

ALGORITMA PEMUNGGAHAN TUGASAN DINAMIK UNTUK MENGOPTIMALKAN KUALITI PERKHIDMATAN RANGKAIAN I0T DALAM SISTEM PENGKOMPUTERAN KABUS MUDAH ALIH

Oleh

NWOGBAGA NWESO EMMANUEL

Mac 2023

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Rohaya binti Latip, PhDFakulti: Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Aplikasi Internet Benda (IoT) semakin meningkat kepada hampir semua aspek usaha manusia. IoT bertujuan untuk membolehkan segala-galanya (boleh pakai, kamera pintar, peralatan rumah, kenderaan dan peralatan hospital) disambungkan ke Internet. Perantiperanti ini secara berterusan menjana sejumlah besar data pada rangkaian. Peranti IoT (mudah alih) yang menjana data ini terhad dari segi kapasiti pemprosesan dan tenaga, kerana batasan peranti mudah alih ini, mereka tidak dapat memproses semua tugas yang dijana dalam persekitaran aplikasi IoT. Pengkomputeran Awan dan pengkomputeran Kabus diperkenalkan untuk membantu peranti mudah alih bertindak balas terhadap permintaan persekitaran. Selalunya, pendekatan yang bergantung pada infrastruktur awan untuk analisis aplikasi IoT ini mungkin tidak cekap dari segi jangka hayat bateri yang terhad bagi peranti mudah alih, kelewatan algoritma peruntukan sumber, dan pengkomputeran proses pemunggahan yang kadangkala meningkatkan masa tindak balas. Tambahan pula, banyak aplikasi IoT adalah sensitif masa seperti sistem pemantauan kesihatan, perkhidmatan realiti tambahan, pertanian, kawalan perosak, pemprosesan bahasa semula jadi dalam talian, aplikasi rumah pintar, bandar pintar, pemanduan selamat, pengurusan sisa, sistem tindak balas kecemasan dan sistem kawalan trafik. Oleh itu, memunggah sejumlah besar data daripada peranti mudah alih ke kabus atau awan memperkenalkan satu lagi masalah kelewatan disebabkan pemilihan sumbersumber yang optimal untuk memproses tugasan yang mengakibatkan kelewatan oleh algoritma peruntukan sumber. Masalah ini kadangkala menjadikan aplikasi IoT tidak cekap dalam kes sensitif yang memerlukan masa tindak balas yang rendah. Walau bagaimanapun, masalah memunggah saiz data yang besar untuk analisis pada lapisan pemprosesan jauh (kabus atau awan) dan penjadualan tugas dan sumber yang cekap ditangani dalam kajian ini. Oleh itu, algoritma penjadualan Penguraian Polyadic Canonical Cekap Tenaga (EECPD) untuk meminimumkan penggunaan tenaga peranti mudah alih dalam sistem dicadangkan. Kedua, Algoritma Genetik hibrid dan Pengoptimuman Partikel Berat Inersia Dipertingkat (GAEIWPSO) untuk peruntukan sumber yang optimum bagi meminimumkan kelewatan dicadangkan. Akhir sekali,



Algoritma Pemunggahan Tugas Dinamik (DTOA) berdasarkan model anggaran ketepatan kedudukan untuk menjadualkan tugas dan sumber dengan cekap dalam sistem pengkomputeran kabus mudah alih dicadangkan. Algoritma-algoritma yang dicadangkan mencapai penurunan nisbah pengurangan data, bilangan tugasan yang diatur, penggunaan tenaga, kelewatan; dan tambahan pula meningkatkan daya pemprosesan serta pengunaan sumber yang lebih baik. Teknik pengurangan atribut dilaksanakan dengan Matlab. Algoritma EECPD dan GAEIWPSO dilaksanakan dengan simulator Python dan Networkx manakala algoritma DTOA dilaksanakan dengan iFogSim untuk menunjukkan kecekapan skema yang dicadangkan. Keputusan membuktikan bahawa skema yang dicadangkan menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik daripada keputusan penanda aras.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My immense and profound gratitude goes to the Almighty who protected and provided for me throughout this study. It is not easy to achieve a rewarding project as this hence courtesy demand that those who assisted in one way or the other should be appreciated.

I gratefully acknowledge the co-operation and assistance of my supervisory committee chaired by Associate Professor Rohaya Binti Latip (PhD), Associate Professor Lilly Suriani Affendey (PhD), and Dr. Amir Rizaan Abdul Rahiman. I sincerely express my deepest happiness and gratitude for their guidance, suggestions, and encouragement throughout this work.

My gratitude goes to Professor Agashi P. Nwogbaga (PhD, Professor in the Mathematics Department at Wesley College USA), for his fatherly role throughout my studies, right from primary school to this level.

I would like to extend my gratitude and thanks to all the academic and administrative staff of Universiti Putra Malaysia for their help throughout my stay in Malaysia. I extend my thanks to all my friends and colleagues for their support.

I am deeply grateful to my wife Nwogbaga, Lucy Nneka for her tolerance, understanding, endurance, support, and most importantly for taking care of our family alone throughout the period of this study.

Finally, I would like to express my unreserved gratitude to Mr Pius E. Mbam for all his financial assistance, spiritual support, both for me and to support my family throughout my stay in Malaysia.

I am sincerely grateful to you all.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Rohaya binti Latip, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Lilly Suriani Affendey, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Amir Rizaan bin Abdul Rahiman, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 July 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|---|---|--|
| APPROVA DECLARA LIST OF T LIST OF F LIST OF A | LEDGEMENTS L ATION 'ABLES | i iii v vi viii xiii xiii xiv xv xvi |
| СНАРТЕН | | |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION1.1Background of the Study1.2Motivation for the Study1.3Problem Statement1.4Objectives of the Study1.5Research Scope1.6Organization of the Thesis | 1 1 2 4 5 6 6 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW2.1Introduction2.2Cloud Computing2.2.1Cloud Computing Service Models2.2.1.1Software as a Service2.2.1.2Platform as a Service2.2.1.3Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS)2.2Challenges of cloud computing2.3Fog Computing2.4Mobile Computing2.5Mobile - Fog - Cloud Computing2.6Canonical Polyadic Decomposition Data Reduction2.7Genetic Algorithm (GA)2.8Task Scheduling Algorithms2.9Resource Allocation Algorithms2.10Offloading Algorithms2.11Summary | $ \begin{array}{r} 8\\ 8\\ 8\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 12\\ 12\\ 16\\ 17\\ 19\\ 22\\ 27\\ 32 \end{array} $ |
| 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 3.1Introduction3.2Research Steps3.3Performance Metrics3.3.1Data reduction ratio3.3.2Number of Deployed Tasks3.3.3Energy Consumption | 34 34 36 37 37 37 |

| | | 3.3.4 Delay | 38 |
|---|----------------------|--|--|
| | | 3.3.5 Response Time | 38 |
| | | 3.3.6 Throughput | 39 |
| | | 3.3.7 Resource Utilization | 39 |
| | 3.4 | | 40 |
| | 3.5 | Summary | 40 |
| 4 | ENE | RGY EFFICIENT CANONICAL POLYADIC | |
| 4 | | RGY EFFICIENT CANONICAL POLYADIC OMPOSITION (EECPD) TASK SCHEDULING | |
| | | ORITHM FOR OPTIMIZING IOT NETWORK | |
| | | LITY OF SERVICE | 41 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 41 |
| | 4.1 | Proposed Energy Efficient Canonical Polyadic | 41 |
| | 4.2 | Decomposition (EECPD) Task Scheduling Algorithm | 42 |
| | 12 | | 42 |
| | 4.3 4.4 | Experimental Setting for EECPD Algorithm Results and Discussions | |
| | 4.4 | | 47 |
| | | 4.4.1 Data Reduction Ratio | 47 |
| | | 4.4.2 Number of Deployed Tasks at the Mobile Layer | 50 |
| | 4.5 | 4.4.3 Energy Consumption at the Mobile Layer | 51 |
| | 4.5 | Summary | 53 |
| | | EUWPSO) ALGORITHM FOR OPTIMAL RESOURCE OCATION IN MOBILE-CLOUD COMPUTING TEM Introduction Proposed Hybrid Genetic Algorithm and Enhanced Inertia Weight Particles Swarm Optimization (GAEIWPSO) Algorithm Experimental Setup for GAEIWPSO Algorithm Results and Discussions 5.4.1 Delay 5.4.2 Energy Consumption for GAEIWPSO Summary | 54 54 55 59 60 60 61 62 |
| 6 | BASI (RAE OFFI | LOADING IN MOBILE-FOG-CLOUD COMPUTING | |
| | SYST | | 63 |
| | 6.1 | Introduction | 63 |
| | 6.2 | Rank Accuracy Estimation Model (RAEM) | 64 |
| | 6.3 | Proposed Dynamic Task Offloading Algorithm (DTOA) | 65 |
| | 6.4 | Experimental Setup for DTOA Algorithm | 69 |
| | 6.5 | Results and Discussions | 69 |
| | | 6.5.1 Response Time | 70 |
| | | 6.5.2 Throughput | 71 |
| | | 6.5.3 Resource Utilization | 72 |
| | 6.6 | Summary | 73 |
| | | | |

 \bigcirc

| 7 | CON | CLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS | 74 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-----|
| | 7.1 | Introduction | 74 |
| | 7.2 | Conclusion | 74 |
| | 7.3 | Research contributions | 75 |
| | 7.4 | Recommendation for future works | 76 |
| | FEREN | | 77 |
| APPENDICES BIODATA OF STUDENT | | 89 | |
| | | 105 | |
| LIS | ST OF P | UBLICATIONS | 106 |



 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Parameters Settings for CPD Data Reduction | 46 |
| 2 | Parameters Settings for EECPD Algorithm | 46 |
| 3 | CPD Data Reduction Ratio | 47 |
| 4 | CNN and CPD Data Reduction Ratio Compared | 50 |
| 5 | Number of deployed tasks at the Mobile devices | 51 |
| 6 | Energy Consumed by the Deployed Tasks at Mobile | 52 |
| 7 | Parameters Settings for GAEIWPSO Algorithm | 60 |
| 8 | Delay | 60 |
| 9 | Energy Consumption for GAEIWPSO | 62 |
| 10 | Parameters Settings for DTOA Algorithm according to | 69 |
| 11 | Response Time | 71 |
| 12 | Throughput | 72 |
| 13 | Resource Utilization | 73 |
| | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1 | Mobile - Cloud Computing Environment | 13 |
| 2 | Simple view of the Mobile-Fog-Cloud Paradigm | 15 |
| 3 | A Third–Order Tensor | 16 |
| 4 | Research Framework | 35 |
| 5 | System Model for EECPD Algorithm | 41 |
| 6 | CPD data reduction ratio achieved by Rank_1 Values | 49 |
| 7 | CNN and CPD Data Reduction Ratio Compared | 50 |
| 8 | Number of Deployed Tasks at the Mobile Layer | 51 |
| 9 | Energy Consumption of the Mobile Devices | 52 |
| 10 | System Model for GAEIWPSO Algorithm | 55 |
| 11 | Tasks – Resources Initialization Process | 58 |
| 12 | Delay | 61 |
| 13 | Energy Consumption for GAEIWPSO | 62 |
| 14 | System Model for DTOA Algorithm | 64 |
| 15 | Response Time | 71 |
| 16 | Throughput | 72 |
| 17 | Resource Utilization | 73 |

LIST OF ALGORITHMS

| Algorithm Pag | | Page |
|---------------|---|------|
| 1 | Selection Operator of GA Algorithm | 18 |
| 2 | Crossover Operator of GA Algorithm | 18 |
| 3 | Mutation Operator of GA Algorithm | 19 |
| 4 | Offline Scheduling Algorithm | 21 |
| 5 | Online Scheduling Algorithm | 22 |
| 6 | Improved Weight Particles Swarm Optimization (IWPSO) | 27 |
| 7 | Offloading Scheme at the IoT layer | 30 |
| 8 | Data validating scheme at the smart gateway | 31 |
| 9 | Dynamic Offloading Scheme | 32 |
| 10 | Energy Efficient Canonical Polyadic Decomposition (EECPD) Task Scheduling Algorithm | 45 |
| 11 | Hybrid Genetic Algorithm and Enhanced Weight Particles Swarm Optimization (GAEIWPSO) | 59 |
| 12 | Dynamic Tasks Offloading Algorithm (DTOA) based on rank estimation | 66 |
| | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| CNN | Convolutional Neural Network |
|----------|--|
| CPD | Canonical Polyadic Decomposition |
| DTOA | Dynamic Task offloading Algorithm |
| EECPD | Energy-efficient canonical Polyadic decomposition |
| GA | Genetic Algorithm |
| GAEIWPSO | Hybrid genetic algorithm and enhanced inertia weight particle swarm optimisation |
| NIST | National Institute of Standards and Technology |
| PSO | Particle Swarm Optimisation |
| RAEM | Rank accuracy estimation model |
| UPM | Universiti Putra Malaysia |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Cloud computing emerged in the field of distributed computing as the provision of ondemand computing services (Shen, Li, Yan, & Wu, 2010). There are so many definitions of cloud computing both in academia and industry, but the U.S. NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) definition seems more appropriate because it includes the most common key terms commonly used in the Cloud Computing environment. They defined cloud computing as a model for allowing suitable, access to a shared pool of ondemand network configurable computing devices or resources (such as servers, services, applications, and storage) that can be easily provisioned and released with reduced effort or service provider management interaction (Dillon, Wu, & Chang, 2010). Cloud services range from applications, storage, and networks, to services that are offered over the internet usually on a pay-as-you-use basis. Instead of owning computing infrastructure or data centers, companies may decide to rent access to applications, storage, or processing power from cloud providers. Cloud computing enables organizations to cut costs and the complexity of owning and maintaining their own computing infrastructure, and instead pay for what they use only when they use it. On the other hand, the cloud providers benefits from the significant economies of scale by offering their services to the users of their infrastructures and services. Because of these advancements in the area of distributed computing, the limited processing, storage and high cost of maintenance of IT infrastructures are minimized. These improvements gave rise to the use of IoT devices which are gaining entrance in all sphere of human endevour.

The term IoT covers several topics in the area of the application of sensors to monitor, measure, and communicate environmental information. The architecture always differs from problems to available resources and skills of the administrator. Because of the above reasons, it is always difficult to provide a single architecture to identify the IoT (Di Martino et al., 2018). The use of smart sensors and devices is becoming more common in everyday life. IoT has become a pervasive reality. Smartphones are the most common IoT device which every person carries around. Smartphones with all the sensors have to remain the major means of connection to the internet. There are other smart sensors in our environment used for different applications such as in the smart city, smart home, security, internet of vehicular networks, telemedicine, etc. (Nguyen, Thi, Binh, & Anh, 2019). IoT refers to the ability of smart devices to sense signals from the environment, collect data and sometimes analyze the data and share the data across the internet or local area network and also respond based on the information received from the processed data. One of the main features of IoT devices is sharing data. Sharing is the only way data can be resourcefully handled and used for various purposes. The following are different ways that IoT has been applied:

 wearable and phones are used for tracking movement; registering exercises, habits, and daily activities. Wearable devices can detect dangers if equipped with special sensors and can communicate such dangers to the wearer or other people elsewhere via the internet.

- self-parking cars use proximity sensors to detect nearby objects.
- the vehicle tracking system uses IoT devices with GPS devices or even smartphones to determine the position of the vehicle or to detect traffic congestion on the road.
- domestic gadgets use IoT to provide real smart home experiences like an intelligent bulb that can be turned on or off based on people's movements. Indoor temperature can be controlled based on environmental conditions etc.

However, IoT devices have different approaches to how they interact among themselves and with the outside world. The differences in the approaches are a result of the differences in their characteristics. There are different scenarios or paradigm which is made up of one or combinations of the following:

- a. Mobile Computing
- b. Mobile Edge Computing or Fog Computing
- c. Cloud Computing

There are situations where two or three of the above basic paradigms are combined depending on the need of the network administrator. In all these architectures, if the data is not executed at the user device (mobile computing) then the data needs to be offloaded (moved) from the point of generation to the point of execution. Moving data/offloading involves an additional delay in getting the desired result.

In this research, the problems of mobile devices in mobile edge computing are considered. The study focused on how to optimize the device energy consumption, delay, and response time of the IoT devices by proposing task scheduling, resource allocation, and offloading algorithms respectively to solve the problems. Specifically, this study proposed a canonical Polyadic decomposition-based tasks scheduling algorithm for mobile device energy consumption, an enhanced hybrid meta-heuristics algorithm for minimizing delay, and computation offloading for reducing the response time, improving the network throughput, and resource utilization.

1.2 Motivation for the Study

There are so many IoT devices connected in the present-day cyber-physical environment (smart home, Telemedicine, self-driving cars, smart city, etc.). Within these IoT application environments, various devices are interconnected through advanced wireless technologies for different purposes such as security, communication, social media, surveillance, messaging, defense, health monitoring, etc. These deployments are usually associated with different deployment models including mobile computing, edge computing or fog computing, and cloud computing. All these deployments aim at improving the quality of service in the system in terms of energy consumption of the mobile devices, delay in receiving task request responses, network throughput, and resource utilization. The radical changes in society due to the increasingly pervasive use of information and communications technology in all sphere of human endeavour is raising research concerns. Such advancement is triggered by the introduction of the Internet of Things, in which smart sensing devices can be incorporated into the objects surrounding human daily activities. This increase in the application of mobile devices increases the network traffic, energy consumption of the mobile devices, delay in responding to device requests, and increases the mobile device usage of its processing ability. Internet connection extends IoT further than traditional smart devices like smartphones to a various range of devices and things such as sensors, machines, cars, etc. to accomplish many different applications and services such as healthcare, telemedicine, traffic control, energy management, vehicular networks, etc. The increasing demand for the analysis of the data generated by these IoT devices, manage the data, and store such data by the applications built on top of such sensory networks demands new architectures, such as mobile edge computing or fog computing, cloud computing, or a combination of these architectures, which are presently the hot topics in research (Di Martino et al., 2018). This internet connectivity of IoT devices generates a large volume of data that needs to be processed. These huge amounts of data need to be stored, processed, and analyzed to obtain valuable information needed by the user on time. Again, the number and complexity of applications and services are also growing speedily, which entails more scalable processing approaches.

The limitations of smart devices such as battery life, processing capability, storage, and network resources are the main challenges facing the application of IoT in so many areas, especially when it involves time-sensitive tasks such as health-related areas, traffic, and intrusion detection. These problems can be minimized by offloading time-consuming and resource-intensive tasks to a higher computing platform like Fog computing or Cloud computing while the less time-consuming and low-resource intensive tasks are handled at the smart devices.

The Cloud computing paradigm, which supports ubiquitous access to share and provides resources to users flexibly via virtualization, can be a good platform for IoT applications.

However, when combining IoT and Cloud computing, introduces a new problem, the transmission delay (Nguyen et al., 2019).

According to (John A. Stankovic, Tu Le, and Abdeltawab Hendawi, 2019) the number of IoT devices connected to the internet will increase to 75.4 billion by 2025. With this dramatic increase in the number of connected devices, the traditional centralized Cloud architecture processing characteristics whereby computing and storage resources are centralized at a remote location and placed in several data centers will not be able to service the requirements of IoT applications need. This is because of the distance and bandwidth issues between the Cloud and IoT devices. The data transmission between the IoT devices and the Cloud through the Internet will worsen traffic congestion. The transmission delay will affect the network quality of service (QoS), which will affect the main aim of IoT applications, especially for time-sensitive tasks. To address the transmission delay, cisco introduced Fog computing. The concept of Fog computing is to extend Cloud computing capabilities closer to IoT devices. The devices at the edge of the network such as routers, switches, gateways, smartphones, personal computers, etc. that have more processing capability, communication capability, and storage can be used as Fog devices. Fog computing transforms a network edge into a distributed computing infrastructure, which enables the implementation of IoT applications by the users. Fog computing architecture brings the cloud capabilities closer to the IoT devices thereby reducing the transmission and making the processing and storage faster. Fog devices can be deployed anywhere closer to the user and within the network connectivity such as power poles, vehicles, organization buildings, factory premises, and commercial centers.

With Fog computing, the resources are at the edge of the network closer to the user, the time it takes for data to reach a processing node is therefore reduced hence Fog computing optimizes task transmission time. However, the processing capability of a Fog Node is higher than the processing capability at the user devices but less than the processing capability at the cloud node. Therefore, it is not every task that can be handled at the Fog node. Fog nodes can handle small tasks or processing requests with a short delay while heavy tasks requiring high computational capability will be prioritized to be processed on cloud computing infrastructure. Therefore, Fog computing complements Cloud computing to form a new computing paradigm, Cloud–Fog computing.

Though Cloud–Fog computing architecture has several advantages, such as low response time, reduced network traffic, and more energy efficiency, however, this Cloud–Fog computing architecture also comes with its challenges. The problems of Cloud–Fog computing architecture are computational tasks scheduling, resource allocation, and offloading. Cloud–Fog computing architecture is a highly distributed system that needs efficient and scalable tasks scheduling, resource allocation, and offloading algorithms that can withstand the scalability nature of IoT applications in society today.

1.3 Problem Statement

There are so many mobile devices in the present environment that produce signals, which need to be processed to enable the system to take the necessary action required by the user. Mobile/IoT devices are always limited in power supply as they are powered by batteries. Inefficient task scheduling causes the network to increase the energy consumption of mobile devices. Most of the time, it cannot meet up with the time required to respond to task requests based on the incoming data (H. Li, Ota, & Dong, 2018).

Cloud computing was introduced to ameliorate the limitation of mobile devices. The distance between the mobile devices and the cloud infrastructure together with network bandwidth issues introduced another problem of delay in resources allocation. The fog was introduced to minimize the distance traveled in sending data from the mobile device to the point of processing. Though Fog computing is seen as the cloud closer to the user, it is not a substitute for the cloud. It only complements the cloud because its storage and processing capability cannot be compared to that of the cloud.

Another problem of fog computing is the problem of computation offloading which deals with deciding on which task to offload and where to process the task. The decision on whether to process the task at the mobile layer, fog/edge layer, or cloud layer is known as computation offloading (Gnana Jeevan & Maluk Mohamed, 2018), which is still another problem in this area. Computation offloading is a challenge in Fog computing. This challenge is because the sources of the tasks to be offloaded are many and they are to be offloaded to different processing devices. It is therefore an NP-hard problem (Braun et al., 2001; Madni, Latiff, Coulibaly, & Abdulhamid, 2016). These types of problems do not have one straight solution. To solve this type of problem, there are two approaches which are the heuristics approach and the meta-heuristic approach.

This research focused on the problem of mobile devices' tasks scheduling, resource allocation, and computation offloading to address high energy consumption, delay in selecting the processing device, and high response time in IoT applications. This research also aims at addressing the problem of offloading computational intensive tasks with large data presented in (Alli & Alam, 2019). Solutions are proposed to address the following specific problems at the mobile device in the mobile-fog-cloud computing architecture.

The specific problems addressed in this research are the problems of:-

- i. high energy consumption by mobile devices during scheduling large input tasks generated from the environment. This problem is addressed through the data reduction ratio and the number of deployed tasks (H. Li et al., 2018).
- ii. choosing the optimal resource by resource allocation algorithms which causes a delay in the IoT environment because the resources are dynamically changing and the resource allocation algorithms need to dynamically recalculate the available processing capacities to determine which resource to be selected for the task processing (Deng, Sun, Li, Luo, & Wan, 2021).
- iii. high response time, low throughput, and uneven resource utilization issues resulting from offloading tasks with large data sizes by computation offloading algorithms (Alli & Alam, 2019).

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The aim is to optimize the IoT quality of service. To achieve this aim, an attribute reduction algorithm was proposed to minimize the data size of the scheduled tasks. Followed by a hybrid meta-heuristic algorithm in particular the hybrid genetic algorithm and enhanced inertia weight particle swarm optimization for resource allocation. The optimal device selection algorithm enhanced resource allocation to reduce the overall transmission delay in IoT task processing. Finally, the computation offloading algorithm was proposed to optimize the overall network quality of service in Mobile-Fog-Cloud computing architecture.

The following are the specific objectives of the study:

- i. to propose an Energy-Efficient Canonical Polyadic Decomposition (EECPD) algorithm to addresses the problem of tasks scheduling in Mobile-Cloud system which leads to mobile devices' high energy consumption by reducing the input data size at the user device.
- ii. to develop a hybrid Genetic Algorithm and Enhanced Inertia Weight Particle Swarm Optimization (GAEIWPSO) algorithm to addresses the transmission delay problem of resource allocation in choosing the optimal device, which prolongs the device usage and increases energy consumption of the device.
- iii. to propose a Dynamic Task Offloading Algorithm (DTOA) based on a Rank Accuracy Estimation Model (RAEM) for solving the offloading problems in Mobile-Fog-Cloud system which result to high response time, low throughput, and uneven resource utilization of the existing offloading algorithms.

1.5 Research Scope

The focus of this research is on the task scheduling algorithm, resource allocation algorithm, and computational offloading algorithm used for task processing in Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) and Mobile Cloud Computing (MCC) architecture. The task scheduling algorithm used image data sets for determining the data reduction ratio. The scheduling algorithm is limited to determining the number of deployed tasks on the mobile layer of the MEC environment.

The second algorithm focused on resource allocation. The algorithm focused on a Metaheuristic approach to reduce delay and energy consumption of the mobile layer in a mobile edge computing environment. The last algorithm is the offloading algorithm which focused on reducing response time in MCC that involves 10 mobile devices, five fog devices, and one hybrid cloud.

1.6 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis is on the topic "Computational offloading based on attributes reduction approach for optimization of IoT network quality of service (QoS) in the mobile-fogcloud system". This work contributed to mobile edge computing task scheduling algorithms, resource allocation algorithms, and computation offloading algorithms. The remainder of this thesis is organized as follows-

Chapter 2 is dedicated to the literature review on cloud computing, fog computing, and mobile computing. The reviews of related work in task scheduling, resource allocation, and computation offloading are also presented, which is the scope of this study. The problems of these areas are presented. The existing solutions in this field are illustrated, discussed, and their drawbacks are highlighted.

Chapter 3 presented the system's model and methodology used for research.

Chapter 4 presented the proposed Energy-Efficient Canonical Polyadic decomposition (EECPD) based task scheduling algorithm, the experimental setup, and the evaluation of the results.

Chapter 5 presented the proposed hybrid Genetic Algorithm and Enhanced Inertia Weight Particle Swarm Optimization (GAEIWPSO) algorithm by discussing the proposed algorithm, experimental setup, and evaluation of results.

Chapter 6 discussed the Dynamic Tasks Offloading Algorithm (DTOA) based on the Rank Accuracy Estimation Model (RAEM), the experimental setup, and the evaluation of the result.

Chapter 7 concluded the study, its research contributions, and recommendations for future works.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, A., & Tripathi, S. (2021). Particle swarm optimization with adaptive inertia weight based on cumulative binomial probability. *Evolutionary Intelligence*, 14(2), 305–313. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12065-018-0188-7
- Ahmad, A., & Omar, O. (2019). Energy Consumption in Internet of Things (IOT). International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER), 7(8), 3– 11.
- Al-Fuqaha, A., Guizani, M., Mohammadi, M., Aledhari, M., & Ayyash, M. (2015). Internet of Things: A Survey on Enabling Technologies, Protocols, and Applications. *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, 17(4), 2347–2376. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2015.2444095
- Al-Hassan, W., Fayek, M. B., & Shaheen, S. I. (2006). PSOSA: An optimized particle swarm technique for solving the urban planning problem. 2006 International Conference on Computer Engineering and Systems, ICCES'06, 401–405. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCES.2006.320481
- Ale, L., Zhang, N., Fang, X., Chen, X., Wu, S., & Li, L. (2021). Delay-Aware and Energy-Efficient Computation Offloading in Mobile-Edge Computing Using Deep Reinforcement Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, 7(3), 881–892. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCCN.2021.3066619
- Alli, A. A., & Alam, M. M. (2019). SecOFF-FCIoT: Machine learning based secure offloading in Fog-Cloud of things for smart city applications. *Internet of Things*, 7(2019), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2019.100070
- Arumugam, M. S., & Rao, M. V. C. (2006). On the performance of the particle swarm optimization algorithm with various inertia weight variants for computing optimal control of a class of hybrid systems. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2006, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1155/DDNS/2006/79295
- Asif, M., Nagra, A. A., Ahmad, M. Bin, & Masood, K. (2022). Feature Selection Empowered by Self-Inertia Weight Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization for Text Classification. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 36(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2021.2004345
- Aslanpour, M. S., Gill, S. S., Mary, Q., & Toosi, A. N. (2020). Performance Evaluation Metrics for Cloud, Fog and Edge Computing: A Review, Taxonomy, Benchmarks and Standards for Future Research. *Internet of Things*, (September). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2020.100273
- Atlam, H. F., Walters, R. J., & Wills, G. B. (2018). Fog computing and the internet of things: A review. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 2(2), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc2020010
- Avgeris, M., Dechouniotis, D., Athanasopoulos, N., & Papavassiliou, S. (2019). Adaptive resource allocation for computation offloading: A control-theoretic

approach. *ACM Transactions on Internet Technology*, 19(2). https://doi.org/10.1145/3284553

- Bebortta, S., Senapati, D., Panigrahi, C. R., & Pati, B. (2022). An Adaptive Modeling and Performance Evaluation Framework for Edge-Enabled Green IoT Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking*, Vol. 6, pp. 836–844. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGCN.2021.3127487
- Bonomi, F., Milito, R., Zhu, J., & Addepalli, S. (2012). Fog computing and its role in the internet of things. MCC'12 - Proceedings of the 1st ACM Mobile Cloud Computing Workshop, 13–15. https://doi.org/10.1145/2342509.2342513
- Braun, T. D., Siegel, H. J., Beck, N., Bölöni, L. L., Maheswaran, M., Reuther, A. I., ... Freund, R. F. (2001). A Comparison of Eleven Static Heuristics for Mapping a Class of Independent Tasks onto Heterogeneous Distributed Computing Systems. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 61(6), 810–837. https://doi.org/10.1006/jpdc.2000.1714
- Chang, C., Srirama, S. N., & Mass, J. (2015). A Middleware for Discovering Proximity-Based Service-Oriented Industrial Internet of Things. *Proceedings - 2015 IEEE International Conference on Services Computing, SCC 2015*, 130–137. https://doi.org/10.1109/SCC.2015.27
- Chen, J., Du, T., & Xiao, G. (2021). A multi-objective optimization for resource allocation of emergent demands in cloud computing. *Journal of Cloud Computing*, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13677-021-00237-7
- Chen, Z., Wang, Y., Chan, T. H. T., Li, X., & Zhao, S. (2022). A Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm with Sigmoid Increasing Inertia Weight for Structural Damage Identification. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 12(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/app12073429
- Cichocki, A. (2014). Era of Big Data Processing: A New Approach via Tensor Networks and Tensor Decompositions. 1–30. https://doi.org/abs/1403.2048
- Cichocki, A., Zdunek, R., & Amari, S. I. (2009). Nonnegative matrix and tensor factorization. In *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* (Vol. 25). https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2008.4408452
- Computing, F. (2016). Fog Computing and the Internet of Things: Extend the Cloud to Where the Things Are. In *In Technical Report. Cisco Systems*. https://doi.org/10.1080/02763893.2013.858092
- Coutinho, E. F., de Carvalho Sousa, F. R., Rego, P. A. L., Gomes, D. G., & de Souza, J. N. (2015). Elasticity in cloud computing: a survey. *Annales Des Telecommunications/Annals of Telecommunications*, 70(7–8), 289–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12243-014-0450-7
- Cui, L., Xu, C., Yang, S., Huang, J. Z., Li, J., Wang, X., ... Lu, N. (2018). Joint optimization of energy consumption and latency in mobile edge computing for internet of things. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 6(3), 4791–4803. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2018.2869226

- Dastjerdi, A. V., & Buyya, R. (2016). Fog Computing: Helping the Internet of Things Realize Its Potential. *Computer*, 49(8), 112–116. https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2016.245
- Deng, X., Sun, Z., Li, D., Luo, J., & Wan, S. (2021). User-Centric Computation Offloading for Edge Computing. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 8(16), 12559–12568. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2021.3057694
- Dev, K., Maddikunta, P. K. R., Gadekallu, T. R., Bhattacharya, S., Hegde, P., & Singh, S. (2022). Energy Optimization for Green Communication in IoT Using Harris Hawks Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking*, Vol. 6, pp. 685–694. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGCN.2022.3143991
- Di Martino, B., Rak, M., Ficco, M., Esposito, A., Maisto, S. A., & Nacchia, S. (2018). Internet of things reference architectures, security and interoperability: A survey. *Internet of Things*, 1–2, 99–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2018.08.008
- Dillon, T., Wu, C., & Chang, E. (2010). Cloud Computing : Issues and Challenges. 2010 24th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications, 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1109/AINA.2010.187
- Ebadi, Y., & Navimipour, N. J. (2018). An energy-aware method for data replication in the cloud environments using a T abu search and particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, (July 2017), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.4757
- Eberhart, Ressell C.; Kennedy, J. (1995). Particle Swarm Optimization. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*, 1942–1948. Retrieved from https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/40021910174/
- Eberhart, R. C., & Shi, Y. (2001a). Particle swarm optimization: Developments, applications and resources. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Evolutionary Computation, ICEC, 1*(February 2001), 81–86. https://doi.org/10.1109/cec.2001.934374
- Eberhart, R. C., & Shi, Y. (2001b). Tracking and optimizing dynamic systems with particle swarms. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Evolutionary Computation, ICEC, 1,* 94–100. https://doi.org/10.1109/cec.2001.934376
- Elgendy, I. A., Zhang, W. Z., He, H., Gupta, B. B., & Abd El-Latif, A. A. (2021). Joint computation offloading and task caching for multi-user and multi-task MEC systems: reinforcement learning-based algorithms. *Wireless Networks*, 27(3), 2023–2038. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11276-021-02554-w
- Fang, J., Shi, J., Lu, S., Zhang, M., & Ye, Z. (2021). An efficient computation offloading strategy with mobile edge computing for iot. *Micromachines*, 12(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/mi12020204
- Farahbakhsh, F., Shahidinejad, A., & Ghobaei-Arani, M. (2021). Multiuser contextaware computation offloading in mobile edge computing based on Bayesian

learning automata. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, 32(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1002/ett.4127

- Feng, Y., Teng, G. F., Wang, A. X., & Yao, Y. M. (2007). Chaotic inertia weight in particle swarm optimization. Second International Conference on Innovative Computing, Information and Control, ICICIC 2007. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICIC.2007.209
- Flores, H., Hui, P., Tarkoma, S., Li, Y., Srirama, S., & Buyya, R. (2015). Mobile code offloading: From concept to practice and beyond. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 53(3), 80–88. https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2015.7060486
- Flores, H., & Srirama, S. N. (2013). Poster: Mobile code offloading: Should it be a local decision or global inference? *MobiSys 2013 - Proceedings of the 11th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*, 539– 540. https://doi.org/10.1145/2462456.2465722
- Flores, H., Su, X., Kostakos, V., Ding, A. Y., Nurmi, P., Tarkoma, S., ... Li, Y. (2017). Large-scale offloading in the Internet of Things. 2017 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops, PerCom Workshops 2017, 479–484. https://doi.org/10.1109/PERCOMW.2017.7917610
- Gai, K., Qiu, M., Zhao, H., Tao, L., & Zong, Z. (2016). Dynamic energy-aware cloudletbased mobile cloud computing model for green computing. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, *59*, 46–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2015.05.016
- Gao, Yue-lin; Xiao-hui, An; Jun-min, L. (2008). A particle swarm optimization algorithm with logarithm decreasing inertia weight and chaos mutation. 2008 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Security.
- Gaurav, K. (2018). iFogSim: An Open Source Simulator for Edge Computing , Fog Computing and IoT. *OpenSource*, 1–8. Retrieved from https://opensourceforu.com/2018/12/ifogsim-an-open-source-simulator-foredge-computing-fog-computing-and-iot/
- Gill, S. S., Chana, I., Singh, M., & Buyya, R. (2018). Chopper: An intelligent qos-aware autonomic resource management approach for cloud computing. *Cluster Computing*, *21*(2), 1203–1241. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-017-1040-z
- Gill, S. S., & Shaghaghi, A. (2020). Security-Aware Autonomic Allocation of Cloud Resources. *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*, *32*(3), 15–22. https://doi.org/10.4018/joeuc.2020070102
- Gnana Jeevan, A. N., & Maluk Mohamed, M. A. (2018). DyTO: Dynamic Task Offloading Strategy for Mobile Cloud Computing Using Surrogate Object Model. *International Journal of Parallel Programming*, 48(3), 399–415. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10766-018-0563-0
- Gopi, R; Suganthi, S. T; Rajadevi, R; Johnpaul, P; Bacanin, N; & Kannimuthu, S. (2021). An enhanced green cloud based queue management (GCQM) system

to optimize energy consumption in mobile edge computing. *Wireless Personal Communications*, *117*(4), 3397–3419.

- Gubbi, J., Buyya, R., Marusic, S., & Palaniswami, M. (2013). Internet of Things (IoT): A vision, architectural elements, and future directions. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, *29*(7), 1645–1660. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2013.01.010
- Guerron, X., Abrahao, S., Insfran, E., Fernandez-Diego, M., & Gonzalez-Ladron-De-Guevara, F. (2020). A Taxonomy of Quality Metrics for Cloud Services. *IEEE* Access, 8, 131461–131498. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3009079
- Guimin, C., Xinbo, H., Jianyuan, J., & Zhengfeng, M. (2006). Natural exponential inertia weight strategy in particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation (WCICA)*, 1(June 2014), 3672–3675. https://doi.org/10.1109/WCICA.2006.1713055
- Guo, S., Xiao, B., Yang, Y., & Yang, Y. (2016). Energy-efficient dynamic offloading and resource scheduling in mobile cloud computing. *Proceedings - IEEE INFOCOM*, 2016-July. https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM.2016.7524497
- Guo, Y., Zhao, R., Lai, S., Fan, L., Lei, X., & Karagiannidis, G. K. (2022a). Distributed Machine Learning for Multiuser Mobile Edge Computing Systems. *IEEE Journal on Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, Vol. 16, pp. 460–473. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTSP.2022.3140660
- Guo, Y., Zhao, R., Lai, S., Fan, L., Lei, X., & Karagiannidis, G. K. (2022b). Distributed Machine Learning for Multiuser Mobile Edge Computing Systems. *IEEE Journal on Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, *16*(3), 460–473. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTSP.2022.3140660
- Gupta, H., Dastjerdi, A. V., Ghosh, S. K., & Buyya, R. (2016). *iFogSim : A Toolkit for Modeling and Simulation of Resource Management Techniques in Internet of Things , Edge and Fog.* 1–22.
- Han, P., Du, C., Chen, J., Ling, F., & Du, X. (2021). Cost and makespan scheduling of workflows in clouds using list multiobjective optimization technique. *Journal* of Systems Architecture, 112(June). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysarc.2020.101837
- Harshman, R. A. (1970). FOUNDATIONS OF THE PARAFAC PROCEDURE: MODELS AND CONDITIONS FOR AN "EXPLANATORY" MULTIMODAL FACTOR ANALYSIS. UCLA Working Papers in Phonetics, 16(4), 1–84. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0036023613040165
- Hartmann, M., Hashmi, U. S., & Imran, A. (2022). Edge computing in smart health care systems: Review, challenges, and research directions. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, 33(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/ett.3710
- Hitchcock, F. L. (1927). Multiple Invariants and Generalized Rank of a P-Way Matrix or Tensor. *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, 7(1–4), 39–79.

https://doi.org/10.1002/sapm19287139

- Houssein, E. H., Gad, A. G., Wazery, Y. M., & Suganthan, P. N. (2021). Task Scheduling in Cloud Computing based on Meta-heuristics: Review, Taxonomy, Open Challenges, and Future Trends. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 62(May 2020), 100841. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2021.100841
- Huan, V. C. M. Z., Kai, J., Xuxun, L., Xiuhua, L., & Leung. (2022). Deep Reinforcement Learning for Energy-Efficient Computation Offloading in Mobile-Edge Computing. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 9(2), 1517–1530. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2021.3091142.
- Iamnitchi, A., Datta, A., Montresor, A., Higashino, T., Barcellos, M., Garcia Lopez, P., ... Felber, P. (2015). Edge-centric Computing: Vision and Challenges. ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, 45(5), 37–42. https://doi.org/10.1145/2831347.2831354
- James, M., Chui, M., Bisson, P., Woetze, J., Dobbs, R., Bughin, J., & Aharon, D. (2015). Unlocking the potential of the Internet of Things. *McKinsey*, 1–4. Retrieved from http://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/digital-mckinsey/ourinsights/the-internet-of-things-the-value-of-digitizing-the-physical-world
- John A. Stankovic, Tu Le, Abdeltawab Hendawi, Y. T. (2019). Hardware/Software Security Patches for Internet of Trillions of Things. University of Virginia, 1– 11. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/pdf/1903.05266.pdf
- Katoch, S., Chauhan, S. S., & Kumar, V. (2021). A review on genetic algorithm: past, present, and future. In *Multimedia Tools and Applications* (Vol. 80). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-020-10139-6
- Kavis, M. J. (2014). Architecting the Cloud: Design Decisions for Cloud Computing Service Models (SaaS, PaaS, and IaaS). https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118691779
- Kentzoglanakis, K., & Poole, M. (2009). Particle swarm optimization with an oscillating inertia weight. *Proceedings of the 11th Annual Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO-2009*, 1749–1750. https://doi.org/10.1145/1569901.1570140
- Khetwal, A., Rostami, J., Frough, O., & Nelson, P. P. (2021). Comparison between discrete event simulation approach and various existing empirically-based models for estimation of TBM utilization. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*.
- Kiani, A. T., Nadeem, M. F., Ahmed, A., Khan, I. A., Alkhammash, H. I., Sajjad, I. A., & Hussain, B. (2021). An improved particle swarm optimization with chaotic inertia weight and acceleration coefficients for optimal extraction of PV models parameters. *Energies*, 14(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/en14112980
- Kuang, Z., Ma, Z., Li, Z., & Deng, X. (2021). Cooperative computation offloading and resource allocation for delay minimization in mobile edge computing ☆. *Journal of Systems Architecture*, 118(July 2020), 102167.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysarc.2021.102167

- Kumari, S., Singh, S., & April, M. (2017). Fog Computing: Characteristics and Challenges. 6(2), 113–117.
- Lai, S., Zhao, R., Tang, S., Xia, J., Zhou, F., & Fan, L. (2021). Intelligent secure mobile edge computing for beyond 5G wireless networks. *Physical Communication*, 45, 101283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phycom.2021.101283
- Le, D. Van, & Tham, C. (2018). A Deep Reinforcement Learning based Offloading Scheme in Ad-hoc Mobile Clouds. *IEEE INFOCOM 2018 - IEEE Conference* on Computer Communications Workshops (INFOCOM WKSHPS), 760–765.
- Li, G., Yan, J., Chen, L., Wu, J., Lin, Q., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Energy Consumption Optimization With a Delay Threshold in Cloud-Fog Cooperation Computing. *IEEE Access*, 7, 159688–159697. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2950443
- Li, H., Ota, K., & Dong, M. (2018). Learning IoT in Edge: Deep Learning for the Internet of Things with Edge Computing. *IEEE Network*, 32(1), 96–101. https://doi.org/10.1109/MNET.2018.1700202
- Li, H. R., & Gao, Y. L. (2009). Particle swarm optimization algorithm with exponent decreasing inertia weight and stochastic mutation. 2009 2nd International Conference on Information and Computing Science, ICIC 2009, 1(3), 66–69. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIC.2009.24
- Luan, T. H., Gao, L., Li, Z., Xiang, Y., Wei, G., & Sun, L. (2016). Fog Computing: Focusing on Mobile Users at the Edge. 1–11. Retrieved from http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01815
- Ma, X., Lin, C., Zhang, H., & Liu, J. (2018). Energy-aware computation offloading of IoT sensors in cloudlet-based mobile edge computing. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 18(6), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18061945
- Madni, S. H. H., Latiff, M. S. A., Coulibaly, Y., & Abdulhamid, S. M. (2016). Resource scheduling for infrastructure as a service (IaaS) in cloud computing: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 68, 173– 200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2016.04.016
- Madni, S. H. H., Latiff, M. S. A., Coulibaly, Y., & Abdulhamid, S. M. (2017). Recent advancements in resource allocation techniques for cloud computing environment: a systematic review. *Cluster Computing*, 20(3), 2489–2533. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-016-0684-4
- Mahmud, R., Kotagiri, R., & Buyya, R. (2018). Fog Computing: A Taxonomy, Survey and Future Directions. 103–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5861-5_5
- Malik, R. F., Rahman, T. a, Hashim, S. Z. M., & Ngah, R. (2007). New Particle Swarm Optimizer with Sigmoid Increasing Inertia Weight. *International Journal of Computer Science and Security. IJCSS*, 1(1), 35–44.

- Min, M., Xiao, L., Chen, Y., Cheng, P., Wu, D., & Zhuang, W. (2019). Learning-Based Computation Offloading for IoT Devices with Energy Harvesting. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 68(2), 1930–1941. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2018.2890685
- Minh, Q. N., Nguyen, V. H., Quy, V. K., Ngoc, L. A., Chehri, A., & Jeon, G. (2022). Edge Computing for IoT-Enabled Smart Grid: The Future of Energy. *Energies*, 15(17). https://doi.org/10.3390/en15176140
- Mohammed Sadeeq, M., Abdulkareem, N. M., Zeebaree, S. R. M., Mikaeel Ahmed, D., Saifullah Sami, A., & Zebari, R. R. (2021). IoT and Cloud Computing Issues, Challenges and Opportunities: A Review. *Qubahan Academic Journal*, 1(2), 1– 7. https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v1n2a36
- Mustafa, S., Nazir, B., Hayat, A., Khan, A. U. R., & Madani, S. A. (2015). Resource management in cloud computing: Taxonomy, prospects, and challenges. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, 47, 186–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2015.07.021
- Nevins, M. R., Macal, C. M., & Joines, J. C. (1998). A Discrete-Event Simulation Model for Seaport Operations. *Simulation*, 70(4), 213–223. https://doi.org/10.1177/003754979807000401
- Nguyen, B. M., Thi, H., Binh, T., & Anh, T. T. (2019). applied sciences Evolutionary Algorithms to Optimize Task Scheduling Problem for the IoT Based Bag-of-Tasks Application in Cloud – Fog Computing Environment. *Applied Sciences* (*Switzerland*). https://doi.org/10.3390/app9091730
- Nik Bessis; Ciprian Dobre. (2014). Big Data and Internet of Things: A Roadmap for Smart Environments. In *Studies in Computational Intelligence* (Vol. 546). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05029-4 6
- Nikabadi, A.; Ebadzadeh, M. (2008). Particle swarm optimization algorithms with adaptive Inertia Weight: A survey of the state of the art and a Novel method. *IEEE Journal of Evolutionary Computation*.
- P. Mell and T. Grance. (2009). Draft nist working definition of cloud computing v15.
- Peng, G., Wu, H., Wu, H., & Wolter, K. (2021). Constrained Multi-objective Optimization for IoT-enabled Computation Offloading in Collaborative Edge and Cloud Computing. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2021.3067732
- Pervez, Z., Lee, S., & Lee, Y. K. (2010). Multi-tenant, secure, load disseminated SaaS architecture. *International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology, ICACT*, 1, 214–219.
- Phan, A. H., Tichavský, P., & Cichocki, A. (2017). Blind source separation of single channel mixture using tensorization and tensor diagonalization. *Lecture Notes* in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 10169 LNCS(14), 36–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53547-0 4

- Prasanth, A. (2012). Cloud Computing Services : A Survey. International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 8887), 46(3), 25–29.
- Sabireen, H., & Neelanarayanan, V. (2021). A Review on Fog Computing: Architecture, Fog with IoT, Algorithms and Research Challenges. *ICT Express*, 7(2), 162– 176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icte.2021.05.004
- Samanataray, S., & Sahoo, A. (2021). A Comparative Study on Prediction of Monthly Streamflow Using Hybrid ANFIS-PSO Approaches. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-021-2223-y
- Satyanarayanan, M., Bahl, P., Cáceres, R., & Davies, N. (2009). The case for VM-based cloudlets in mobile computing. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 8(4), 14–23. https://doi.org/10.1109/MPRV.2009.82
- Satyanarayanan, M., Simoens, P., Xiao, Y., Pillai, P., Chen, Z., Ha, K., ... Amos, B. (2015). Edge analytics in the internet of things. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 14(2), 24–31. https://doi.org/10.1109/MPRV.2015.32
- Shakarami, A., Ghobaei-Arani, M., & Shahidinejad, A. (2020). A survey on the computation offloading approaches in mobile edge computing: A machine learning-based perspective. *Computer Networks*, 182(August). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2020.107496
- Shakarami, A., Shahidinejad, A., & Ghobaei-Arani, M. (2020). A review on the computation offloading approaches in mobile edge computing: A game-theoretic perspective. *Software Practice and Experience*, *50*(9), 1719–1759. https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.2839
- Shakarami, A., Shahidinejad, A., & Ghobaei-Arani, M. (2021). An autonomous computation offloading strategy in Mobile Edge Computing: A deep learningbased hybrid approach. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, Vol. 178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2021.102974
- Shen, Z., Li, L., Yan, F., & Wu, X. (2010). Cloud computing system based on trusted computing platform. 2010 International Conference on Intelligent Computation Technology and Automation, ICICTA 2010, 1, 942–945. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICTA.2010.724
- Shi, B., Meng, B., Yang, H., Wang, J., & Shi, W. (2018). A Novel Approach for Reducing Attributes and Its Application to Small Enterprise Financing Ability Evaluation. *Complexity*, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1032643
- Shi, Y., & Eberhart, R. (1998). Modified particle swarm optimizer. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Evolutionary Computation, ICEC, (February 2015), 69– 73. https://doi.org/10.1109/icec.1998.699146
- Singh, S., Chana, I., & Buyya, R. (2017). STAR: SLA-aware Autonomic Management of Cloud Resources. *IEEE Transactions on Cloud Computing*, 7161(c), 1–1. https://doi.org/10.1109/tcc.2017.2648788

- Singh, S., Chana, I., Singh, M., & Buyya, R. (2016). SOCCER: Self-Optimization of Energy-efficient Cloud Resources. *Cluster Computing*, 19(4), 1787–1800. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-016-0623-4
- Singh, S., Garraghan, P., & Buyya, R. (2019). ROUTER: Fog enabled cloud based intelligent resource management approach for smart home IoT devices. *The Journal of Systems and Software*, 154, 125–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2019.04.058
- Song, T., Pu, H., Schonfeld, P., Zhang, H., Li, W., Hu, J., ... Wang, J. (2021). Biobjective mountain railway alignment optimization incorporating seismic risk assessment. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 36(2), 143– 163. https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12607
- Sreedevi, A. G., Nitya Harshitha, T., Sugumaran, V., & Shankar, P. (2022). Application of cognitive computing in healthcare, cybersecurity, big data and IoT: A literature review. *Information Processing and Management*, 59(2), 102888. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.102888
- Tahirkheli, A. I., Shiraz, M., Hayat, B., Idrees, M., Sajid, A., Ullah, R., ... Kim, K. Il. (2021). A survey on modern cloud computing security over smart city networks: Threats, vulnerabilities, consequences, countermeasures and challenges. *Electronics (Switzerland)*, 10(15). https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10151811
- Tang, C., Zhu, C., Wu, H., Li, Q., & Rodrigues, J. J. P. C. (2022). Toward Response Time Minimization Considering Energy Consumption in Caching-Assisted Vehicular Edge Computing. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 9(7), 5051–5064. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2021.3108902
- Ullrich, O., & Lückerath, D. (2017). An Introduction to Discrete-Event Modeling and Simulation. *SNE Simulation Notes Europe*, 27(1), 9–16. https://doi.org/10.11128/sne.27.on.10362
- Varghese, B., & Buyya, R. (2018). Next generation cloud computing: New trends and research directions. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 79, 849–861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.09.020
- Varghese, B., Wang, N., Barbhuiya, S., Kilpatrick, P., & Nikolopoulos, D. S. (2016). Challenges and Opportunities in Edge Computing. *Proceedings - 2016 IEEE International Conference on Smart Cloud, SmartCloud 2016*, (November), 20– 26. https://doi.org/10.1109/SmartCloud.2016.18
- W. Hackbusch. (2012). *Tensor Spaces and Numerical Tensor Calculus* (Vol. 42). Retrieved from https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783540306634
- Wei, Z., Yu, X., & Zou, L. (2022). Multi-Resource Computing Offload Strategy for Energy Consumption Optimization in Mobile Edge Computing. *Processes*, 10(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/pr10091762
- Wu, H., Sun, Y., & Wolter, K. (2018). Energy-Efficient Decision Making for Mobile Cloud Offloading. IEEE Transactions on Cloud Computing, 7161(c).

https://doi.org/10.1109/TCC.2018.2789446

- Xiao, S., Liu, C., Li, K., & Li, K. (2020). System delay optimization for Mobile Edge Computing. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 109, 17–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.03.028
- Xin, J., Chen, G., & Hai, Y. (2009). A particle swarm optimizer with multi-stage linearly-decreasing inertia weight. *Proceedings of the 2009 International Joint Conference on Computational Sciences and Optimization, CSO 2009*, 1, 505– 508. https://doi.org/10.1109/CSO.2009.420
- Xing, Chen; Ming Li, Hao Zhong; Yun, Ma; Ching-Hsien, H. (2021). DNNOff: Offloading DNN-Based Intelligent IoT Applications in Mobile Edge Computing. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 1–10.
- Xu, M., Zhou, Q., Wu, H., Lin, W., Ye, K., & Xu, C. (2022). PDMA: Probabilistic service migration approach for delay-aware and mobility-aware mobile edge computing. *Software - Practice and Experience*, 52(2), 394–414. https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.3014
- Yang, L., Zhong, C., Yang, Q., Zou, W., & Fathalla, A. (2020). Task offloading for directed acyclic graph applications based on edge computing in Industrial Internet. *Information Sciences*, 540, 51–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2020.06.001
- Zhang, Jian, Sheng, J., Lu, J., & Shen, L. (2021). UCPSO: A Uniform Initialized Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm with Cosine Inertia Weight. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8819333
- Zhang, Jie, Zhou, Z., Li, S., Gan, L., Zhang, X., Qi, L., ... Dou, W. (2017). Hybrid computation offloading for smart home automation in mobile cloud computing. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*.
- Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Sun, R., Jing, S., & Yang, B. (2008). A Task Scheduling Algorithm Based on PSO for Grid Computing. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research*, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.5019/j.ijcir.2008.123
- Zhang, Q., Yang, L. T., Chen, Z., & Li, P. (2018). High-order possibilistic c-means algorithms based on tensor decompositions for big data in IoT. *Information Fusion*, 39, 72–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2017.04.002
- Zhang, T., & Mao, S. (2022). Energy-Efficient Federated Learning with Intelligent Reflecting Surface. *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking*, Vol. 6, pp. 845–858. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGCN.2021.3126795
- Zhao, W. (2010). An initial review of cloud computing services research development. *Proceedings - 2010 2nd International Conference on Multimedia Information Networking and Security, MINES 2010*, 324–328. https://doi.org/10.1109/MINES.2010.75

- Zhong, X., Wang, X., Yang, T., Yang, Y., Qin, Y., & Ma, X. (2022). POTAM: A Parallel Optimal Task Allocation Mechanism for Large-Scale Delay Sensitive Mobile Edge Computing. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 70(4), 2499–2517. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2022.3151064
- Zhu, Q., Si, B., Yang, F., & Ma, Y. (2017). *Task Offloading Decision in Fog Computing* System. 59–68.



()