



**IDENTIFICATION OF IMPORTANT PLANT AREAS USING GIS-BASED  
MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION-MAKING IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

**By**

**HAMIDAH BINTI MAMAT**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**September 2022**

**FPAS 2022 27**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

## **IDENTIFICATION OF IMPORTANT PLANT AREAS USING GIS-BASED MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION-MAKING IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

By

**HAMIDAH BINTI MAMAT**

**September 2022**

**Chair : Prof. Gs. Ts. Mohd Hasmadi Ismail, PhD**  
**Faculty : Forestry and Environment**

The Important Plant Areas (IPAs) framework developed by Plantlife International in the early 2000s aims to protect wild plants and fungi and contributes to the fifth target of the CBD Global Strategy for Plant Conservation (GSPC). In addition, national implementation contributes to the sixth target of the Malaysian National Policy on Biological Diversity 2016-2025. IPA initiative focused on plant conservation through three key criteria, i.e., presence of threatened species, exceptional botanical richness, and threatened habitats. These criteria were developed for global implementation, hence a likelihood of unsuited use of the criteria and thresholds in Malaysia.

A review of studies revealed that the IPA criteria were strict for implementation and the method used for IPA identification was varied and uncertain in determining the criterion weights. The preferred method used in IPA was the scoring method because of its simplicity, ease of use, and comprehensibility. However, the scoring method suffered from judgment uncertainty, the influence of the weighted score on each criterion was not understood, and the limited ability to complement biodiversity-related parameters. Herbarium databases were widely used in IPA identification; however, the database was associated with the biasness towards collections efforts, resulting inaccurate population counts, which would inadvertently classify areas with massive collection data as species-rich areas.

IPA identification is divided into two phases. First, identification of all potential IPAs and second, decision-making in selecting the final IPAs. This study moulded a preliminary solution in the first phase in the state of Perak to address issues of criterion weights, judgement uncertainty and biases in herbarium collections by proposing three complementarity techniques, namely multi-criteria decision-making - analytical hierarchy process (MCDM-AHP), species distribution modelling (SDM) and GIS-based multi-criteria decision-making (GIS-MCDM). The MCDM-AHP technique was used to solve criterion weights and judgment uncertainty issues. Collection bias in the herbarium database was reduced using SDM utilising the Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt) to identify the Dipterocarpaceae species richness areas in Perak. GIS-MCDM, which combined MCDM-AHP and SDM to acquire information for decision-making, was used to identify the IPAs.

As a result, on the weights and judgment uncertainty issues, threatened habitats were given the highest weight, followed by threatened species, endemism, and botanical richness. The results of species modelling revealed that 65% of the dipterocarp species richness areas were in the centre and south Perak and the remaining 35% were found in northern areas. IPA weights and indexes were incorporated into the GIS-MCDM environment, and as a result, eight dipterocarp IPAs were identified in the state of Perak. Six were in the protected areas, while two IPAs were outside of the protected areas.

This study has improved the existing method for site-based plant conservation by providing a smaller-scale map of IPAs with more significant detailed information related to threatened species, botanical richness, threatened habitats, and endemic species. GIS-MCDM used in this study enhanced the IPAs identification technique by incorporating GIS-based decision-making, species modelling, and spatial analysis. This enabled stakeholder to analyse spatial data, maps, charts, and reports in graphical formats for decision-making.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENGENALPASTIAN KAWASAN PENTING TUMBUHAN  
MENGUNAKAN TEKNIK PEMBUATAN KEPUTUSAN PELBAGAI  
KRITERIA BERASASKAN GIS DI SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**HAMIDAH BINTI MAMAT**

**September 2022**

**Pengerusi : Prof. Gs. Ts. Mohd Hasmadi Ismail, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Perhutanan dan Alam Sekitar**

Rangka kerja Kawasan Penting Tumbuhan (IPA) yang dibangunkan oleh Plantlife International pada awal tahun 2000 bertujuan untuk memelihara tumbuhan liar dan kulat, menyumbang kepada Sasaran kelima, Konvensyen Kepelbagaian Biologi. Pelaksanaannya di peringkat nasional menyumbang kepada Sasaran keenam, Dasar Kepelbagaian Biologi Kebangsaan 2016-2025. IPA memberi tumpuan kepada pemuliharaan tumbuhan menggunakan tiga kriteria utama iaitu kewujudan spesies terancam, kekayaan botani yang luar biasa, dan habitat terancam. Kriteria ini dibangunkan untuk pelaksanaan global, justeru kemungkinan kriteria dan ambangnya tidak sesuai bagi Malaysia.

Kajian literasi berkaitan aplikasi IPA melaporkan bahawa kriteria IPA adalah ketat untuk digunakan, metod yang digunakan untuk mengenalpasti kawasan adalah berbeza-beza dan ketidakpastian wujud dalam menentukan pemberat bagi setiap kriteria. Kaedah yang banyak digunakan dalam penentuan IPA ialah kaedah skor kerana ia ringkas, mudah digunakan dan difahami. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah skor cenderung kepada ketidakpastian penilaian, pengaruh pemberat pada setiap kriteria yang tidak difahami, dan keupayaan terhad untuk melengkap parameter biodiversiti. Pangkalan data herbarium banyak digunakan dalam pembangunan IPA, namun isu yang timbul dari penggunaan pangkalan data ini adalah berat sebelah dari segi pensampelan menyebabkan kiraan populasi yang tidak tepat iaitu kawasan yang mempunyai kutipan data yang banyak mungkin dikelaskan sebagai kawasan kekayaan spesies.

Pengenalpastian IPA dibahagikan kepada dua fasa, pertama pengenalpastian kawasan yang berpotensi sebagai IPA dan kedua keputusan akhir bagi penentuan IPA. Kajian ini merangka penyelesaian pada fasa pertama untuk menangani isu berkaitan dengan pemberat kriteria, ketidakpastian penilaian, dan berat sebelah dalam koleksi herbarium dengan mencadangkan tiga kaedah iaitu Membuat Keputusan Pelbagai Kriteria - Proses Hierarki Analitik (MCDM- AHP), Pemodelan Taburan Spesies (SDM) dan Membuat Keputusan Berbilang Kriteria Berasaskan GIS (GIS-MCDM). MCDM-AHP digunakan untuk menyelesaikan isu kriteria dan ketidakpastian penilaian. Berat sebelah pengumpulan dalam pangkalan data herbarium dikurangkan melalui SDM menggunakan Entropi Maksimum (MaxEnt) dengan mengenal pasti kawasan kekayaan spesies Dipterokarpa di Perak. GIS-MCDM yang menggabungkan MCDM-AHP dan SDM digunakan untuk mengenal pasti IPA.

Hasil akhir bagi penentuan pemberat dan ketidakpastian penilaian menunjukkan kriteria habitat terancam diberi pemberat tertinggi, diikuti dengan spesies terancam, endemisme dan kekayaan botani. Pemodelan taburan spesies pula menunjukkan 65% daripada kawasan kekayaan dipterokarpa terletak di bahagian tengah dan selatan Perak dan baki 35% di kawasan utara. Pemberat kriteria dan indeks IPA telah diintegrasikan ke dalam persekitaran GIS-MCDM. Hasilnya lapan IPA bagi kaum Dipterokarpa telah dikenalpasti di negeri Perak, enam daripadanya terletak di dalam kawasan perlindungan, sementara dua lagi berada di luar kawasan perlindungan.

Kajian ini telah menambah baik metodologi sedia ada untuk pemuliharaan berasaskan tapak bagi tumbuhan dengan menyediakan peta IPA berskala kecil dengan maklumat lebih terperinci berkaitan spesies terancam, kekayaan spesies, habitat terancam dan spesies endemik. GIS-MCDM yang digunakan di dalam kajian ini telah menambah baik pengenalpastian IPA dengan menggabungkan pembuatan keputusan ke dalam GIS, pemodelan spesies, dan analisis spatial, bagi membolehkan pihak berkepentingan menganalisis data spatial, peta, carta dan laporan dalam format grafik untuk membuat keputusan.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, all praises to Allah S.W.T. for giving me good health and easing my endeavour in completing this study. Alhamdulillah, thank you Allah.

My sincere thanks to all important persons whose assistance and support have been meaningful in making this research possible. My deepest gratitude goes to my helpful and understanding supervisor, Lt. Cdr. Prof. Gs. Ts. Dr. Mohd Hasmadi Ismail RMNVR, for his guidance, support, and encouragement throughout my PhD study. His constructive criticisms and insightful comments have helped me shape the direction of this study. My greatest appreciation is also extended to my co-supervisors, Dr. Lillian Chua Swee Lian and supervisory committee Prof. Datin Dr. Faridah Hanum Ibrahim, and Associate Professor Dr. Pakhriazad Hassan Zaki, for their guidance, supportive advice, and comments. Their dedication and enthusiasm for scientific research and knowledge have always been sources of scientific progress.

I want to express my sincere thanks to all staff in the Forest Biodiversity Division, Forest Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM), especially Lau Kah Hoo, Wendy Yong Sze Yee, Siti Eryani, Suhaida Mustafa, and Nor Ezzawanis for their help and interesting scientific discussions throughout this study. Special thanks to my fieldwork team, Hatta, Bad, Zam, Azmi, Wan, Alang, Fizi and Ayau. Their support, friendship, and encouragement made my PhD study a journey of happiness. I extend my sincere thanks to biodiversity experts for their important contribution to the surveys conducted in this study. Without their precious input, this study would not have been possible.

I am greatly thankful to the Director General of FRIM for granting permission and encouragement to further my study and *Hadiah Latihan Persekutuan* (HLP), Public Service Department Malaysia, for financial assistance during this study. My gratitude goes to Dr. Mohd Khairul Nizam Zainan Nazri and Dr. Arman Shah Abdullah, thesis management and thesis writing mentors, who helped, guided and motivated me to complete this study. My sincere thanks to Platinum 4.3 friends who are always there for me and make my PhD journey a joyful one.

Most importantly, from the bottom of my heart I am extremely thankful to my wonderful family, who never fail to give their endless support and trust in me. I am deeply grateful to my late parents (Almarhum Mamat Ismail & Almarhumah Munah Said), husband (Fakhrul Anuar Othman), children (Hakimi, Yana, Zana & Firas), sisters (Long, Ngah & Acik), and brother. Their love and encouragement have been a source of strength throughout my journey as a PhD student.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 28 September 2022 to conduct the final examination of Hamidah binti Mamat on her thesis entitled "Identification of Important Plant Areas Using GIS-Based Multi-Criteria Decision-Making in Peninsular Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Latifah binti Abd Manaf, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Forestry and Environment  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Mohammad Firuz bin Ramli, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Forestry and Environment  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Tamaki (Itaya) Akemi, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Graduate School of Bioresources  
Mie University  
Japan  
(External Examiner)

---

**SITI SALWANA ABD GANI, PhD**

Associate Professor ChM. and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 Januari 2023



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Hasmadi bin Ismail, PhD**

Professor Gs.Ts.  
Faculty of Forestry and Environment  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Faridah Hanum binti Ibrahim, PhD**

Professor  
Institute of Ecosystem Science Borneo  
Universiti Putra Malaysia, Bintulu Campus  
(Member)

**Pakhriazad bin Hassan Zaki, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Forestry and Environment  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Lillian Chua Swee Lian, PhD**

Director  
Forest Biodiversity Division  
Forest Research Institute Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 February 2023

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Hamidah binti Mamat

## Declaration by Members of the Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research and the writing of this thesis were done under our supervision;
- supervisory responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2015-2016) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Lt. Cdr. Prof. Gs. Ts. Dr. Mohd  
Hasmadi Ismail

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Datin Dr. Faridah  
Hanum Ibrahim

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor Dr.  
Pakhriazad Hassan Zaki

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Lillian Chua Swee Lian

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
	<b>APPROVAL</b>	vii
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvii
 <b>CHAPTER</b>		
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 General background	1
	1.2 Problem statements	3
	1.3 Research questions	5
	1.4 Aim and objectives	5
	1.5 Scope of study	6
	1.6 Significance of study	6
	1.7 Organisation of the thesis	7
 <b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Biodiversity and conservation	9
	2.3 Introduction to Malaysia	10
	2.3.1 Biodiversity conservation frameworks in Malaysia	11
	2.3.2 The Dipterocarpaceae in Perak	16
	2.4 Important Plant Areas (IPAs)	17
	2.4.1 Challenges to global IPA development	21
	2.5 GIS-based multi-criteria decision-making (GIS-MCDM)	26
	2.5.1 Multi-criteria decision-making – Analytical Hierarchy Process (MCDM-AHP)	27
	2.5.2 Species distribution modelling (SDM)	32
	2.5.3 SDM for biodiversity conservation in Malaysia	35
	2.6 Summary of research gap	40
 <b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
	3.1 Introduction	42
	3.2 Phase 1: Appropriate methodology for Malaysian IPA	44
	3.2.1 Study area	44
	3.2.2 Occurrence record	45

3.3	Phase 2: Protocol for Malaysian IPA criterion weights and index	49
3.3.1	Identification of criteria	49
3.3.2	Survey campaign	55
3.3.3	Evaluation of criteria weights and priority	56
3.4	Phase 3: Identification of species richness areas	57
3.4.1	Study areas	57
3.4.2	Species Distribution Modelling (SDM)	58
3.4.3	Occurrence data	58
3.4.4	Ground-truthing	59
3.4.5	Sampling intensity	60
3.4.6	Environmental data	61
3.4.7	Model calibration	62
3.4.8	Model validation	63
3.5	Phase 4: Produce an IPA using the developed criterion weights and index	64
3.5.1	Dipterocarp database	64
3.5.2	Criteria weights and index of IPA	65
3.5.3	GIS-MCDM	67
3.5.4	Combining criterion map and index	72
3.6	Summary	74
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	
4.1	Introduction	75
4.2	Phase 1: Methodology for Malaysian IPA	75
4.2.1	List of Terengganu IPAs	75
4.2.2	Proposed methodology for Malaysian IPA	77
4.3	Phase 2: Protocol for Malaysian IPA criterion weights and index	80
4.3.1	Pairwise comparisons	80
4.3.2	Malaysian IPA index	86
4.4	Phase 3: Identification of species richness areas	84
4.4.1	Model validation	84
4.4.2	Contribution of environmental variables	87
4.4.3	Species richness map	87
4.5	Phase 4: Produce an IPA using the developed criterion weights and index	89
4.6	Summary	94
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	
5.1	Introduction	95
5.2	Challenges in Terengganu IPA	95
5.3	Criterion weights and index for Malaysian IPA	96
5.4	Identification of species richness areas	97
5.5	Identification of dipterocarp IPAs in Perak	99

5.6	Summary	101
<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>		
6.1	Summary	103
6.2	General conclusion	104
6.3	Recommendations	105
<b>REFERENCES</b>		107
<b>APPENDICES</b>		127
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>		176
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		177



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Frameworks or concepts applied for the designation of conservation priority areas in Malaysia	12
2.2	Summary of IPA criteria and description based on Darbyshire et al. (2017)	18
2.3	Summary of the global IPA applications	19
2.4	Summary of criteria used in identifying IPA	22
2.5	Summary of IPA applications based on a complementarity method	24
2.6	MCDM technique	28
2.7	AHP numerical scale developed by Saaty (1980)	31
2.8	A comparison matrix table	31
2.9	Species distribution models based on presence-only method	33
2.10	SDM applications for biodiversity conservation in Malaysia	37
3.1	Classes for IPA suitability	47
3.2	Description of the criteria	53
3.3	List of environmental variables used as input to the modelling	62
3.4	List of criterion weights	66
4.1	List of Terengganu IPAs	77
4.2	Summary of the criterion weights	83
4.3	Classes for IPAs suitability	89
4.4	Summary of dipterocarp IPAs in Perak	91

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	The state of Perak	16
2.2	GIS-MCDM environment which supported by MCDM-AHP and SDM methods	27
2.3	Three levels of AHP hierarchy. The structured hierarchy is a summary of revised IPA criteria mentioned by Darbyshire et al. (2017)	30
3.1	A research framework for the study	43
3.2	Study area	44
3.3	(a) Species distribution map; (b) Number of specimens in each grid	45
3.4	Number of species in each grid	47
3.5	Hotspot map	48
3.6	IPAs based on priority I, II and III	48
3.7	Research approach	49
3.8	A structured Malaysian IPA hierarchy which developed based on IPA criteria by Darbyshire et al. (2017). The hierarchy describes the goal in the first level, four objectives in the second level, eight attributes in the third level, and nine criteria in the fourth level	50
3.9	A breakdown of the respondents' backgrounds in each survey round. Thirty-one experts participated in each round	56
3.10	The state of Perak in Peninsular Malaysia	57
3.11	Species distribution modelling approach	58
3.12	Plot setting design	60
3.13	(a) Distribution of 5,566 dipterocarp occurrence data on 100 sq.km grid (b) Species richness of 105 dipterocarp species from 5,566 occurrence data on 100 sq.km grid	61



3.14	(a) Distribution of the 2,425 dipterocarp species (b) pie chart indicates the species number, with <i>Shorea</i> being the highest followed by <i>Dipterocarpus</i> and <i>Hopea</i> (c) pie chart of the species on Malaysia Red List, with the majority are endemic species while vulnerable are the least	64
3.15	A structured Malaysian IPA hierarchy and weights (in bracket)	65
3.16	GIS-MCDM approach	67
3.17	Distribution of threatened species in Perak	68
3.18	Species richness areas of dipterocarp at a spatial resolution of 30 arc seconds.	69
3.19	Distribution of threatened habitats in Perak- (I) Freshwater swamp, (II) Limestone areas, (III) Mangrove, (IV) Coastal hill dipterocarp & heath forest	71
3.20	Distribution of endemic dipterocarp in Perak	72
3.21	Spatial join analysis combined weights of species richness, threatened habitat, threatened species and endemic species to the 30 arc-second grids	73
4.1	IPA for Terengganu	76
4.2	Proposed criteria for Malaysian IPA	78
4.3	A conceptual framework for Peninsular Malaysia IPA	79
4.4	Criterion weights and ranking in the second level hierarchy	80
4.5	Criterion weights and ranking in the third level hierarchy	81
4.6	Criterion weights and ranking in the fourth level hierarchy	82
4.7	Maps of independent data (blue colour) and prediction models (red colour) for <i>S. multiflora</i> and <i>S. parvifolia</i> spp. <i>parvifolia</i>	85
4.8	Average relative importance (percent contribution) of the environmental variables of 80 dipterocarps species	86

4.9	Geographical distribution of predicted species richness areas of 80 dipterocarp species in Perak at a spatial resolution of 30 arc-seconds	88
4.10	Map of dipterocarp IPAs in Perak. The IPAs are selected from high priority classes and numbered according to weights of each sites	90



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	Analytical hierarchy process
Asl	Above sea level
AUC	Area under the curve
CBD	United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species
CR	Consistency ratio
CR	Critically endangered
CSV	Comma-separated value
DEM	Digital elevation model
EN	Endangered
FR	Forest reserve
FRIM	Forest Research Institute Malaysia
GIS-MCDM	GIS-based multi-criteria decision-making
GLM	Generalized linear model
GSPC	Global Strategy for Plant Conservation
HCV	High conservation values
IBA	Important Bird Areas
IPAs	Important Plant Areas
KATS	Ministry of Water, Land and Natural Resources
KEP	Kepong herbarium
MaxEnt	Maximum Entropy
MCDM	Multi-criteria decision-making
MNSPC	Malaysian National Strategy for Plant Conservation

MTP	Minimum presence logistic
MyBIS	Malaysia Biodiversity Information System
NDVI	Normalised differential vegetation index
NPBD	National Policy on Biological Diversity
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
SAN	Herbarium of Forest Research Centre, Sabah
SAR	Herbarium of Forest Research Centre, Sarawak
SDM	Species distribution modelling
SING	Singapore herbarium
Sq.km	Square kilometer
SRTM	Shuttle radar topography mission
TIPA	Tropical Important Plant Areas
VU	Vulnerable

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General background

Malaysia, located in the Indo-Malaya region, is one of the twelve megadiverse countries in the world. The Indo-Malaya (tropical Asia) region ranked the second-highest tree species richness in the world, with 13,739 species (BGCI, 2021). The total forested area of Peninsular Malaysia is 5.69 million ha constituting about 43.3% of entire land areas, with 4.80 million ha (84.3%) of these areas gazetted as Permanent Reserve Forest (PRFs) (Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia, 2020). Geographically, Malaysia is divided into Peninsular Malaysia to the West and Sabah and Sarawak to the East, with a total land area of 131,598 sq.km and 200,565 sq.km, respectively. In terms of tree, Malaysia comprises 9.2% (5422 species) of the world's diversity; of which 1616 (29.8%) are endemic (BGCI, 2021). Peninsular Malaysia and East Malaysia have an estimated 8,300 and 12,000 plant species, with 30% and 42% endemic to those regions, respectively (Saw et al., 2010).

Malaysia's transition from a low-income country to a high-income country profoundly affects its land-use patterns. Malaysia lost around 29.4% of its forest cover between 1973 and 2015 (Chua et al., 2022). In Peninsular Malaysia, between 1960s and 1970s, a significant land-use change occurred when vast forested areas were converted to rubber and later oil palm plantations (Saw & Sam, 2000). At present, rising land-use changes and conversion to plantations, agricultural lands, and urbanization are significant contributors to biodiversity loss in Peninsular Malaysia (Syahida-Emiza et al., 2013). Land-use changes have exerted pressure on Malaysia's biodiversity, causing many plant populations to become vulnerable where half of the nation's plant diversity faces various threats (Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, 2016). Malaysia Plant Red List recorded nearly 28.64% of the peninsula's plants as threatened, and at least 98% of 96 endemic taxa assessed as threatened (Yong et al., 2021). Some species are experiencing extinction, such as *Begonia eiromischa* (Begoniaceae) (Kiew, 1990), *Oreogrammitis crispatula*, and *O. kunstleri* (Grammitidaceae) (Chua, 2010). Natural habitat destruction and population reductions, which result in population and species loss, appear to be ongoing and accelerating across Malaysia (Chua et al., 2022). Therefore, strategies to reduce biodiversity loss should be pursued, and one strategy regarded as clearly a priority is establishment of conservation areas (Eken et al., 2004; Sutherland, 2008).

Conservation areas are regions that harbour significant biodiversity or are critical for maintaining ecosystem services (HCV Malaysia, 2021). In Malaysia,

conservation areas framework to protect biodiversity and ecosystem conducted through species-based or landscape-based approaches; which are classified as Protected Areas (Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, 2019), High Conservation Values Areas (HCV Malaysia, 2018; HCV Malaysia, 2021), Key Biodiversity Areas (Ahmad Ruzman et al., 2021), Environment Sensitive Areas (PLANMalaysia, 2021) and Important Bird Areas (Yeap et al., 2007). Except for Important Bird Areas, which focused on bird conservation, most frameworks concentrated on the broadest aspects of environmental features such as natural, ecological, cultural values, biological, environmental and social. Plants are often underrepresented in conservation planning schemes. In areas recognised as important for fauna, plants are rarely considered in the management strategies despite their high botanical importance (Corlett, 2016; Darbyshire et al., 2017). Thus, there is a clear and urgent need to make information on plants available to decision-makers for maximum impact on environmental decision-making. Information on plants, such as threats, populations, and locations, is essential to support the existing conservation framework. Site-based plant conservation measures should complement to Malaysia's current frameworks to ensure plants are not neglected in biodiversity planning.

Numerous international environmental initiatives have been launched to aid in selecting biodiversity conservation areas, either focused on identifying or developing networks of areas important for biodiversity. For the plant in situ conservation, the Important Plant Areas (IPAs) concept developed by Plantlife International in the early 2000s, which currently benefits the plant conservation efforts in 70 countries worldwide (Couch et al., 2019), is considered optimal for implementation (Salma et al., 2019). The concept was inspired by the International Bird Areas (IBA) concept and is defined as the most important area in the world for wild plant diversity that can be managed and protected (Plantlife International, 2018). IPA initiative focuses on identifying critical areas for plant conservation using three key criteria, i.e. presence of threatened species, exceptional botanical richness, and threatened habitats (Anderson, 2002; Anderson et al., 2016; Darbyshire et al., 2017). The IPA identification is divided into two stages. The first stage identifies all potential IPAs that meet one or more criteria, while the second involves the national IPA constituency selecting the most critical sites (Anderson, 2002; Darbyshire et al., 2017).

Over the last two decades, there has been an increasing number of IPAs in Europe, North Africa, and the Middle East. This framework, unfortunately, is not widely used in tropical countries. Realising this implementation gap, further improvements and revisions were made to suit the needs of the tropical countries (Darbyshire et al., 2017), which are now known as Tropical Important Plant Areas (TIPA). In addition, further improvements to the criteria have been rendered by introducing new elements such as socio-economic and culturally significant plants, which are currently implemented in the tropical countries such as Bolivia, Guinea (Couch et al., 2019; Couch et al., 2020), Indonesian New

Guinea, Mozambique, Uganda, the British Virgin Islands (Dani Sanchez et al., 2019), and Cameroon (Cheek et al., 2021).

In Malaysia, the forested areas are conserved in the network of national parks, wildlife sanctuaries, state parks, and protection forests within the Permanent Reserve Forest. Therefore, strategic conservation efforts are needed to safeguard plants outside these protected areas. IPAs can be established on any land regardless of its status, including protected areas, private and state lands; thus, this framework can be used to identify important plants outside the existing network of protected areas. Although IPAs do not constitute a legal designation, the identified IPAs can be used as multiple-used areas to support and complement existing Protected Areas and Protection Forests. Likewise, it underpins the approaches of High Conservation Values Areas, Key Biodiversity Areas, and Important Bird Areas.

IPA framework directs in situ plant conservation and may assist Malaysia in determining plant conservation areas by providing clear evidence of the biodiversity value of a site. Findings arising from this study could help stakeholders and decision-makers to identify conservation actions, site protection, and management for these crucial plant areas.

## **1.2 Problem statements**

Even though plants are essential components that support the diversity of other organism and humans, the conservation of plant diversity has received significantly less attention than animal (Oldfield, 2010; Goettsch et al., 2015; Corlett, 2016; Darbyshire et al., 2017). Peninsular Malaysia has implemented frameworks for the protection of important biodiversity and ecological areas; however, a framework for in situ plant protection is still lacking. The plant protection framework is crucial for plant conservation, underpins the existing biodiversity frameworks and assists decision-makers in determining conservation zones that enable conservation measures to be adequately addressed (Kjetil Bevanger, 2019).

The floristic province of Peninsular Malaysia has been studied throughout the years, i.e., phytogeography and floristic composition (Corner, 1960; Ashton, 1990; Wong, 1998; Kiew & Saw, 2019), centre for plant diversity (Davis et al., 1994), hotspots areas (Myers et al., 2000) and regional species intensity index (Ng et al., 2022); however detailed spatially explicit analysis of floristic compositions are still lacking. These information gaps need to be rapidly filled because plant habitats are already exposed to numerous threats (Yong et al., 2021; Chua et al., 2022) with three extinct species in Peninsular Malaysia. Therefore, further research is needed at a finer scale to ensure that key natural

areas are adequately protected and managed to preserve the species and its habitats.

IPA framework can be utilised in Malaysia to assist in identifying key natural areas to ensure that these areas are adequately protected and managed to preserve species and their habitats. However, IPA framework was designed for global use; therefore, the criteria and thresholds may not be considered ideal in all countries (Darbyshire et al., 2017). For the implementation in Malaysia, methods and protocols must be refined to accommodate national capacities in identifying the critical areas for plants.

Studies have shown that the scoring method is preferred for selecting potential IPAs because of its simplicity and ease of understanding. This method assigns a rating to each grid based on its biodiversity significance, where grids that are important regions for plant diversity are given high values. The scoring method has been successfully used to identify IPAs of Al-Abbasi et al. (2010); Blasi et al. (2011); Sérgio et al. (2012); Özden et al. (2016); Bou Dagher-Kharrat et al. (2018); Sayadi et al. (2022). It has, however, several drawbacks in that it does not have a standard scoring method (Walsh, 2019), suffers from judgment uncertainty, the influence of the weighted score on each criterion is not understood (Sánchez de Dios et al., 2017), and it has limited ability to complement biodiversity-related parameters (Ribeiro et al., 2017). Even though criterion weights are critical aspects influencing IPAs designation, the method focused on resolving judgement uncertainty and determining appropriate criterion weight remains unexplored. A scoring method is beneficial when comprehensive data on plants and habitats are available. In cases where the data is scarce, the scoring method should combine additional complementarity methods to improve analytical sensitivity (Marignani & Blasi, 2012; Sánchez de Dios et al., 2017; Walsh et al., 2019).

In a tropical country with a megadiverse, detailed species data is lacking and almost impossible to gather through plant inventories, which require high cost, is labour intensive, and time-consuming. Nevertheless, the herbarium database remains the most practical and popular plant data source for biodiversity analysis (Lavoie, 2013). The main challenge and limitation are collection bias (Raes et al., 2009; Daru et al., 2018; Meineke & Daru, 2021). Herbarium databases are useful in the identification of IPA, but such collections tend to exhibit under or over-representation of specific taxa because of the bias towards sites that are accessible (Walsh et al., 2019), sampling methods and intensities. Herbarium databases are also biased in temporal coverage (Meineke & Daru, 2021). As a result, old records may not have precise information on the locality and current land use (Sánchez de Dios et al., 2017). These biases led to the distribution data challenges, particularly in poorly inventoried or surveyed areas. This causes inaccurate population counts (Magurran, 2004), which would inadvertently but



inappropriately classify areas with massive collection data as species-rich areas (Sánchez de Dios et al., 2017).

IPA identification in this study considered difficulties or limitations of data on species distributions, population sizes, threats, and resources to gather such data. This study utilised three methods to address the concerns raised in IPA applications which are (i) Criterion weights and judgement uncertainty and (ii) Biases in herbarium collections. First, the multi-criteria decision-making – Analytical Hierarchy Process (MCDM-AHP) method was used to resolve the criterion weights and judgement uncertainty issues, and species distribution modelling (SDM) was applied to enhance the quality of species richness areas identification. Finally, results from MCDM-AHP and SDM were integrated into the GIS environment utilising the GIS-MCDM. The combination of MCDM-AHP and SDM has yet to be tested in any IPA development and is regarded as a valuable tool for decision-making in spatial planning aimed at plant conservation in Malaysia.

### **1.3 Research questions**

With all the issues stated earlier. The following research questions are formed:

1. What approach and criteria are applicable for identifying Malaysian IPA?
2. How much weight should be assigned to score Malaysian IPA criteria?
3. What is the appropriate method to reduce biases from herbarium data so that species richness areas can be defined more precisely?
4. Can the developed criterion weight and index determine Malaysian IPAs?

### **1.4 Aim and objectives**

This research aims to identify significant areas for plant conservation using the IPA framework. The objectives are as follows:

1. To propose an appropriate methodology for the development of Malaysian IPA;
2. To develop a protocol for Malaysian IPA criterion weights and index;

3. To improve the identification of species richness areas; and
4. To produce an IPA using the developed criterion weights and index.

### **1.5 Scope of the study**

The IPA designation was divided into two phases. This study focused on the first phase, which involved the development of methodology and criteria weights protocol to identify the prospective IPAs. The second stage, in which stakeholders and decision-makers assessed the final IPAs, was not cover in this study.

A preliminary study was conducted in Terengganu; however, the final IPA identification was conducted in Perak, which focused on the Dipterocarpaceae plant family. The dipterocarps was selected because Malaysia is the dipterocarp hotspot area (Chua et al., 2022), and they are dominant in the forests and occur in almost all habitats (Symington, 2004). Perak is home to 115 dipterocarp species, the third highest in Peninsular Malaysia (Yong et al., 2021). The state of Perak is one of Malaysia's richest states in terms of biodiversity and has a high number of Critically Endangered taxa (Chua et al., 2010). The primary source flora database was obtained from Kepong Herbarium (KEP) and additional information on the distribution was compiled from the SING herbarium and other literature.

### **1.6 Significance of study**

Malaysia is aware of the issues associated with the loss of natural habitats and remains committed to conserving its biodiversity. The commitments are reflected in the ratification of several multilateral environmental agreements, such as the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES). Malaysia's National Policy on Biological Diversity 2016-2025 (NPBD) provides the overall guidance for biodiversity conservation and management in the country. The policy aligns with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, specifically the CBD Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020. IPA framework can assist Malaysia in meeting Target 6 of the NPBD, highlighting the protection of at least 20% of terrestrial areas by 2025 (Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, 2016). Internationally, the IPA framework contributes to the fifth target of the CBD Global Strategy for Plant Conservation (GSPC) by providing information on plants to monitor the conservation status and lobbying for the protection of conservation sites.

IPA is regarded as one avenue for plant conservation in Malaysia, alongside the Malaysia Red List. The Malaysia Red List assessments are used to meet IPA's threatened species criterion for the site-based conservation effort. IPAs can complement existing legally conserved areas such as Protection Forests under the Permanent Reserved Forests and Protected Areas in the same manner as Key Biodiversity Areas, High Conservation Value Areas, and Important Bird Areas. Designation of these multiple-use areas is considered complementary and mutually supportive since it offers the necessary site-based protection for biotic assemblages and the ecosystem. Site-based conservation safeguards abiotic ecosystem components such as water, soil, and air, all of which are necessary for the life of flora, fauna and humans. As part of the determination of site-based conservation measures, it is intended that a variety of biodiversity attributes associated with the site, such as areas of species richness, endemic species, threatened species, and threatened habitats, will be identified.

This research demonstrated the use of MCDM-AHP, SDM and GIS-MCDM for Malaysian IPA. These combined techniques are expected to improve and enhance the existing methodology for site-based plant conservation efforts. In addition, this study utilised species distribution modelling (SDM) to reduce biases and limitations associated with the herbarium database. SDM technology is expected to reduce biases, therefore filling in gaps in low collection intensity or unexplored botanical areas, thus supporting the IPA botanical richness criterion.

## **1.7 Organisation of the thesis**

The thesis was organized as follows:

**Chapter 1** presents an overview of the entire study. It comprises the general background, problem statements, research questions, aim and objectives, scopes of the study, significance of the study, and organisation of the thesis.

**Chapter 2** comprises a literature review on biodiversity and conservation areas. This chapter also reviews issues, challenges and previous studies that have been conducted on IPA, GIS-MCDM, MCDM-AHP and SDM. From the review, research gaps are identified, and the design of the study is developed based on the findings.

**Chapter 3** explains the research methods used to conduct this study. The research framework is presented first. Then, this chapter describes four phases of the study, which are arranged according to the research objectives. The phases include proposing an appropriate IPA methodology for Malaysian IPA, developing a protocol for Malaysian IPA criterion weights and index,

identification of species richness areas and lastly, producing an IPA using the developed criterion weights and index.

**Chapter 4** describes in detail the results and output obtained from the four phases of the study. This chapter highlights challenges and the proposed methods for Malaysian IPA. Further, this chapter also presents criterion weights and index derived from data obtained through questionnaire surveys of Malaysian biodiversity experts. Results of species richness modelling areas in Perak and finally eight IPAs areas identified using GIS-MCDM.

**Chapter 5** discusses the overall research findings of the study. This chapter is divided into four phases and written in response to the research objectives and research questions.

**Chapter 6** summarises the overall research findings, which are concluded by looking into the significant findings, the contributions of this study, the drawbacks endured, and several recommendations for future studies in plant conservation.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, S. M. C., Suratman, M. N., & Gisip, J. (2021). Floristic Composition and Stand Structure of Freshwater Swamp Forest at Parit Forest Reserve, Perak, Malaysia. *ASM Science Journal*, 14(April), 126–134.
- Abellán, P., Sánchez-Fernández, D., Velasco, J., & Millán, A. (2005). Conservation of freshwater biodiversity: A comparison of different area selection methods. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 14(14), 3457–3474. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-004-0550-1>.
- Abidin, K. Z., Lihan, T., Taher, T. M., Nazri, N., Zaini, I. H. A., Mansor, M. S., ... Nor, S. M. (2019). Predicting Potential Conflict Areas of the Malayan Sun Bear (*Helarctos malayanus*) in Peninsular Malaysia Using Maximum Entropy Model. *Mammal Study*, 44(3), 193–204. <https://doi.org/10.3106/ms2018-0064>.
- Aburas, M. M., Abdullah, S. H. O., Ramli, M. F., & Asha'Ari, Z. H. (2017). Land Suitability Analysis of Urban Growth in Seremban Malaysia, Using GIS Based Analytical Hierarchy Process. *Procedia Engineering*, 198(September 2016), 1128–1136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.07.155>.
- Ahmad Fitri, Z., Hashim Nik Hazlan, N., Ali Nik Norafida, N., Said Nizam, M., & Latiff, A. (2021). A Preliminary Checklist of Flowering Plants in Pangkor Selatan Forest Reserve, Perak, Peninsular Malaysia. *American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 9(4), 258. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajaf.20210904.23>.
- Ahmad Ruzman, N. H., Shahfiz, M. A., Munian, K., Fauzi, N. F. M., Azahar, M. A., Zam Beri, A. Z., ... Mahyudin, N. A. A. (2021). Key Biodiversity Areas (KBA): An Important Approach in Mainstreaming Biodiversity Conservation in Malaysia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 842(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/842/1/012054>.
- Al-Abbasi, T. M., Al-Farhan, A., Al-Khulaidi, A. W., Hall, M., Llewellyn, O. A., Miller, A. G., & Patzelt, A. (2010). Important Plant Areas In The Arabian Peninsular. *Edinburgh Journal of Botany*, 67 (01), 25. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960428609990217>.
- Almasieh, K., Mirghazanfari, S. M., & Mahmoodi, S. (2019). Biodiversity hotspots for modeled habitat patches and corridors of species richness and threatened species of reptiles in central Iran. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 65(6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-019-1335-x>.
- Amaludin, N. A. (2012). *Modelling Tropical Trees Species Distributions for Improved Conservation Assessments of Dipterocarps in Malaysia and the*

*Philippines University of Aberdeen*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom.

- Amri, M., Angelakis, C., & Logan, D. (2021). Utilizing asynchronous email interviews for health research: overview of benefits and drawbacks. *BMC Research Notes*, *14*(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-021-05547-2>.
- Anderson, S. (2002). *Identifying Important Plant Areas*. Plantlife International.
- Anderson, S., Darbyshire, I., Halski, B., & Anderson S, Darbyshire I, H. B. (2016). *Important Plant Areas*. In: *RBG Kew, State of the World's Plants Report-2016*.
- Aqilah AA, R., Maryati, M., Lili, T., & Mohd-Saman, M. . (2019). Species Distribution Modelling to Assist Biodiversity and Conservation Management in Malaysia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, *269*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/269/1/012041>.
- Ashton, P. (1990). Plant Conservation in the Malaysian Region. In L. S. W. Yap S.K. (Ed.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Conservation of Tropical Biodiversity*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.: Malayan Nature Society.
- Ashton, P. S. (2019). Plant conservation in the Malaysian Region. In L. S. W. Y. S.K. (Ed.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Conservation of Tropical Biodiversity* (Vol. 151, pp. 8–9). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.: Malayan Nature Society.
- Bana e Costa, C. A., & Vansnick, J. C. (1994). MACBETH - An interactive path towards the construction of cardinal value functions. *International Transactions in Operational Research*, *1*(4), 489–500. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0969-6016\(94\)90010-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0969-6016(94)90010-8).
- BGCI. (2021). *State of the World's Trees*. *State of the World's Tree*. BGCI, Richmond, UK. <https://doi.org/10.5822/978-1-61091-756-8>.
- Blasi, C., Marignani, M., Copiz, R., Fipaldini, M., Bonacquisti, S., Del Vico, E., ... Zavattero, L. (2011). Important Plant Areas in Italy: From data to mapping. *Biological Conservation*, *144* (1), 220–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.08.019>
- Booth, T. H. (2018). Why understanding the pioneering and continuing contributions of BIOCLIM to species distribution modelling is important. *Austral Ecology*, *43*(8), 852–860. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12628>.
- Booth, T. H., Nix, H. A., Busby, J. R., & Hutchinson, M. F. (2014). Bioclim: The first species distribution modelling package, its early applications and

relevance to most current MaxEnt studies. *Diversity and Distributions*, 20(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12144>.

- Boroushaki, S., & Malczewski, J. (2010). Using the fuzzy majority approach for GIS-based multicriteria group decision-making. *Computers and Geosciences*, 36 (3), 302–312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2009.05.011>.
- Bottero, M., Comino, E., Duriavig, M., Ferretti, V., & Pomarico, S. (2013). The application of a Multicriteria Spatial Decision Support System (MCSDDS) for the assessment of biodiversity conservation in the Province of Varese (Italy). *Land Use Policy*, 30 (1), 730–738. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2012.05.015>.
- Bou Dagher-Kharrat, M., El Zein, H., & Rouhan, G. (2018). Setting conservation priorities for Lebanese flora—Identification of important plant areas. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 43 (January), 85–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2017.11.004>.
- Boyd, D. S., & Foody, G. M. (2011). An overview of recent remote sensing and GIS based research in ecological informatics. *Ecological Informatics*, 6(1), 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2010.07.007>.
- Briscoe, D., Hiatt, S., Lewison, R., & Hines, E. (2014). Modeling habitat and bycatch risk for dugongs in Sabah, Malaysia. *Endangered Species Research*, 24(3), 237–247. <https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00600>.
- Brown, J. L. (2014). SDMtoolbox: the next generation python-based GIS toolkit for landscape genetic, biogeographic and species distribution model analysis 2.0 User Guide. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*.
- Bruchmann, I. (2014). Facing the biodiversity challenge: Plant endemism as an appropriate biodiversity indicator. In *Concepts and Values in Biodiversity*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203073964>.
- Brummitt, N., Araújo, A. C., & Harris, T. (2021). Areas of plant diversity—What do we know? *Plants People Planet*, 3 (1), 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10110>.
- Carpenter, G., Gillison, A.N. & Winter, J. (1993). DOMAIN: a flexible modelling procedure for mapping potential distributions of plants and animals. *Biodiversity Conservation*, 2,667–680. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00051966>.
- Cheek, M., Ndam, N., & Budden, A. (2021). Notes on the threatened lowland forests of Mt Cameroon and their endemics including *Drypetes burnleyae*

- sp. nov., with a key to species of *Drypetes* sect. *Stipulares* (Putranjivaceae). *Kew Bulletin*, 76(2), 223–234. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12225-021-09947-2>.
- Chen, Y., Yu, J., & Khan, S. (2010). Spatial sensitivity analysis of multi-criteria weights in GIS-based land suitability evaluation. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 25 (12), 1582–1591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.06.001>.
- Chua, L.S.L., Suhaida, M., Hamidah, M., Saw, L. G. (2010). *Malaysia Plant Red List. Peninsular Malaysia Dipterocarpaceae*. Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Chua, L. S. L., Nurulhuda, H., Hamidah, M., & Saw, L. G. (2004). Conservation status of *Hopea subalata* (Dipterocarpaceae) in peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 16(3), 271–282.
- Chua, L.S.L. (2010). *Species Assessment and Conservation in Peninsular Malaysia*. (E. Parris, B.S., Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G. & Soepadmo, Ed.) (Vol 1). Series I Fern and Lycophytes. Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Chua, L.S.L., & Saw, L. G. (2010). Malaysia plant red list guide for contributors. *Forest Research Institute Malaysia and Ministry of Natural Resource and Environment Malaysia*, 1–14.
- Chua, L.S.L., Suhaida, M., Hamidah, M., & Saw, L. G. (2010). Malaysia Plant Red List. Peninsular Malaysian Dipterocarpaceae, 230. <https://doi.org/ISBN978-967-5221-34-7>.
- Chua, L.S.L., Sang, J., Pereira, J. T., Khoo, E., & Maycock, C. R. (2022). Current state of knowledge on the extinction risk of Malaysian tree species: Proximate needs to mitigate loss. *Plants People Planet*, (July), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10320>.
- Cianfrani, C., Le Lay, G., Hirzel, A. H., & Loy, A. (2010). Do habitat suitability models reliably predict the recovery areas of threatened species? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 47(2), 421–430. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01781.x>.
- Clements, G. R., Rayan, D. M., Aziz, S. A., Kawanishi, K., Traeholt, C., Magintan, D., ... & Tingley, R. (2012). Predicting the distribution of the Asian tapir in Peninsular Malaysia using maximum entropy modeling. *Integrative Zoology*, (7), 400–406. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749>.
- Corlett, R. T. (2016). Plant diversity in a changing world: Status, trends, and



- conservation needs. *Plant Diversity*, 38 (1), 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pld.2016.01.001>.
- Corner, E. J. H. (1960). The Malayan flora. In R. D. Purchon (Ed.), *Proceedings of the Centenary and Bicentenary Congress of Biology* (pp. 21–24). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: University of Malaya Press.
- Couch, C., Cheek, M., Haba, P., Molmou, D., Williams, J., Magassouba, S., Doumbouya, S., Diallo, Y. M. (2019). *Threatened habitats and Tropical Important Plant Areas (TIPAs) of Guinea, West Africa*.
- Couch, C., Molmou, D., Magassouba, S., Doumbouya, S., Diawara, M., Diallo, Y.M., Keita, S.M., Kon'è, F., Diallo, M.C., Kourouma, S., Diallo, M.B., Keita, M. S., & Oulare, A., Darbyshire, I., Lughadha, E.N., Van Der Burgt, X., Larridon, I., Cheek, M. (2020). An analysis of Species Conservation Action Plans in Guinea. *BioRxiv*, 0–3. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.27.920751>
- Couch, C., Cheek, M., Haba, P., Molmou, D., Williams, J., Magassouba, S., ... Yaya Diallo, M. (2019). *Threatened habitats & Tropical Important Plant Areas (TIPAs) of Guinea, West Africa*.
- Cowling, R. M., Pressey, R. L., Sims-Castley, R., Le Roux, A., Beard, E., Burgers, C. J., & Palmer, G. (2003). The expert or the algorithm? - Comparison of priority conservation areas in the Cape Floristic Region identified by park managers and reserve selection software. *Biological Conservation*, 112(1–2), 147–167. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207\(02\)00397-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(02)00397-X).
- Dani Sanchez, M., Clubbe, C. and Hamilton, M. A. (2019). *Identifying and Conserving Tropical Important Plant Areas in the British Virgin Islands (2016-2019): Final Technical Report*. Surrey, UK. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13716.45441>.
- Darbyshire, I., Anderson, S., Asatryan, A., Byfield, A., Cheek, M., Clubbe, C., ... Radford, E. A. (2017). Important Plant Areas: revised selection criteria for a global approach to plant conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 26(8), 1767–1800. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-017-1336-6>.
- Daru, B. H., Park, D. S., Primack, R. B., Willis, C. G., Barrington, D. S., Whitfeld, T. J. S., ... Davis, C. C. (2018). Widespread sampling biases in herbaria revealed from large-scale digitization. *New Phytologist*, 217(2), 939–955. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14855>.
- Davis, S. D., Heywood, V. H., & Hamilton, A. C. (1994). *Centres of plant diversity: a guide and strategy for their conservation*. World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) and IUCN. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1997.00655-5.x>.

- DeMers, M. N. (2000). *Fundamentals of Geographic Information Systems*. John Wiley & Sons. United States of America. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2009.04.004>.
- Edwards, W., & Barron, F. H. (1994). SMARTS and SMARTER: Improved simple methods for multiattribute utility measurement. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *60*(3), 306.
- Eken, G., Bennun, L., Brooks, T. M., Darwall, W., Fishpool, L. D. C., Foster, M., ... Tordoff, A. (2004). Key Biodiversity Areas as Site Conservation Targets. *BioScience*, *54* (12), 1110. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2004\)054\[1110:KBAASC\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[1110:KBAASC]2.0.CO;2).
- Ergu, D., Kou, G., Peng, Y., & Shi, Y. (2011). A simple method to improve the consistency ratio of the pair-wise comparison matrix in ANP. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *213*(1), 246–259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2011.03.014>.
- Evangelista, P. H., Kumar, S., Stohlgren, T. J., Jarnevich, C. S., Crall, A. W., Norman, J. B., & Barnett, D. T. (2008). Modelling invasion for a habitat generalist and a specialist plant species. *Diversity and Distributions*, *14*(5), 808–817. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00486.x>.
- Faridah-Hanum, I. (2015). *Forest Biodiversity. Importance of Species Composition Studies*. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia. (2020). *Annual Report*.
- Forestry Department Terengganu. (2017). *Forestry Department Terengganu. Yearly Report 2017*.
- Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia. (2020). *FDPM Annual Report*.
- Garcia-garcia, G. (2022). Using Multi-Criteria Decision-Making to optimise solid waste management. *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, *37*, 100650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2022.100650>.
- Goettsch, B., Hilton-Taylor, C., Cruz-Piñón, G., Duffy, J. P., Frances, A., Hernández, H. M., ... Gaston, K. J. (2015). High proportion of cactus species threatened with extinction. *Nature Plants*, *1* (10), 15142. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2015.142>.
- Guisan, A., & Thuiller, W. (2005). Predicting species distribution: Offering more than simple habitat models. *Ecology Letters*, *8*(9), 993–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00792.x>.

- Hamblen, C., Canney, S. (2013). *Conservation (2nd ed.)* (2nd.). Cambridge University Press.
- Hamdan, O., Khali Aziz, H., Shamsudin, I., Raja Barizan, R.S. (Ed.). (2012). *Status of Mangrove in Peninsular Malaysia*. Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Hamdan, O., Muhamad Afizzul, M. Siti Yasmin, Y. (2020). Vegetation Indices for Identifying Melaleuca Forest from Multispectral Satellite Sensors. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 540(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/540/1/012009>.
- Hamdan, O., Muhamad Afizzul, M. (2020). Extends and distribution of mangrove in Malaysia. In P. Hamdan, O., Tariq Mubaraq, H., Ismail (Ed.), *Status of mangroves in Malaysia* (pp. 2–41). Status of mangrove in Malaysia. Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Hamdan, O., Muhamad Afizzul, M., & Kassim, A. R. (2017). Synergetic of PALSAR-2 and sentinel-1A SAR polarimetry for retrieving aboveground biomass in dipterocarp forest of Malaysia. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 7(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app7070675>.
- Hamidah, M., Chua, L. S. L., Suhaida, M., Yong, W. S. Y., & Kew, R. (2011). *Botanical Gazetteer for Peninsular Malaysia. Research Pamphlet No. 131*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39869-8>.
- Hamidah, M., Mohd Hasmadi, I., Chua, L. S. L., Lau, K. H., Faridah-Hanum, I., Yong, W. S. Y., & Pakhriazad, H. Z. (2020). Towards identification of important plant areas (IPA) for Peninsular Malaysia. Methodology and future directions. *Heliyon*, 6(7), e04370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04370>.
- Hamidah, M., Mohd Hasmadi, I., Chua, L. S. L., Yong, W. S. Y., Lau, K. H., Faridah-Hanum, I., & Pakhriazad, H. Z. (2022). Development of a protocol for Malaysian Important Plant Areas criterion weights using Multi-criteria Decision Making - Analytical Hierarchy Process (MCDM-AHP). *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 34 (January), e02033. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02033>.
- Hamilton, A., Hamilton, P. (2006). *Plant conservation: an ecosystem approach* (1st ed). Earthscan.
- Han, Y., Dong, S., Wu, X., Liu, S., Su, X., Zhang, Y., ... Swift, D. (2019). Integrated modeling to identify priority areas for the conservation of the endangered plant species in headwater areas of Asia. *Ecological Indicators*, 105 (November 2018), 47–56. [https://doi.org/ 10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/)

j.ecolind.2019.05.064.

- Hashim, Z., Abdullah, S. A., & Nor, S. M. (2017). Stakeholders analysis on criteria for protected areas management categories in Peninsular Malaysia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 91(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/91/1/012014>.
- Hawk, A. M. (2017). *Habitat Modeling Of A Rare Endemic Trillium Species (Trillium Simile Gleason: A Comparison Of the Methods Maxent and Domain for Modeling rare species rich habitat*. Western Carolina University.
- HCV Malaysia Toolkit Steering Committee. (2018). Malaysian National. *Malaysian National Interpretation for the Identification of High Conservation Values*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 96.
- HCV Malaysia Toolkit Steering Committee. (2021). *Malaysian National Interpretation for the Management and Monitoring of High Conservation Values*. HCV Malaysia Toolkit Steering Committee. Kuala Lumpur. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2011.01151.x>.
- Henrique, P., Santos, D., Miranda, S., Ornaghi, D., Anna, S., Henrique, C., ... Carvalho, H. D. (2019). The analytic hierarchy process supporting decision making for sustainable development: An overview of applications. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 212, 119–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.270>.
- Hirzel, A. H., Helfer, V., & Metral, F. (2001). Assessing habitat-suitability models with a virtual species. *Ecological Modelling*, 145(2–3), 111–121. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800\(01\)00396-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00396-9).
- Hirzel, Alexandre H., & Arlettaz, R. (2003). Modeling Habitat Suitability for Complex Species Distributions by Environmental-Distance Geometric Mean. *Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-003-0040-3>.
- Ho, D., Newell, G., & Walker, A. (2005). The importance of property-specific attributes in assessing CBD office building quality. *Journal of Property Investment and Finance*, 23 (5), 424–444. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14635780510616025>.
- Hrivnák, M., Slezák, M., Galváneš, D., Vlčko, J., Belanová, E., Rízová, V., ... Hrivnák, R. (2020). Species richness, ecology, and prediction of orchids in central Europe: Local-scale study. *Diversity*, 12 (4), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/D12040154>.
- Hu, W., Wang, Y., Zhang, D., Yu, W., Chen, G., Xie, T., ... Chen, B. (2020). Mapping the potential of mangrove forest restoration based on species

- distribution models: A case study in China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 748, 142321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142321>.
- Hummel, J. M., Bridges, J. F. P., & IJzerman, M. J. (2014). Group decision making with the analytic hierarchy process in benefit-risk assessment: A tutorial. *Patient*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40271-014-0050-7>.
- Hwang, H. L., & Yoon, K. (1981). *Multi attribute decision making. Methods and application. A state of the art survey*. New York: Springer Verlag. Berlin.
- Ishizaka, A., & Labib, A. (2009). Analytic Hierarchy Process and Expert Choice: Benefits and limitations. *OR Insight*, 22 (4), 201–220. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ori.2009.10>.
- Ishizaka, A., & Siraj, S. (2017). Are multi-criteria decision-making tools useful? An experimental comparative study of three methods. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 264 (2), 462–471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.05.041>.
- IUCN. (2021). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2021–3. Retrieved December 30, 2021, from <https://www.iucnredlist.org>.
- Jankowski, P. (1995). Integrating geographical information systems and multiple criteria decision-making methods. *International Journal of Geographical Information Systems*, 9 (3), 251–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02693799508902036>.
- Jian, Sq., Zhu, Ts. & Hu, C. (2022). Integrating potential distribution of dominant vegetation and land use into ecological restoration in the Yellow River Basin, China. *J. Mt. Sci.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-021-6966-1>.
- Juiling, S. (2021). *Predicting the impacts of climate change on the distribution of eleven ficus species - a food source of orangutan in Sabah, Malaysia*. (Unpublished master dissertation). Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia.
- Juiling, S., Leon, S. K., Jumian, J., Tsen, S., Lee, Y. L., Khoo, E., ... Maycock, C. R. (2020). Conservation assessment and spatial distribution of endemic orchids in Sabah, Borneo. *Nature Conservation Research*, 5(September), 136–144. <https://doi.org/10.24189/ncr.2020.053>.
- Julia, S., Chong, L., Vilma, B., Esther, S. & Pearce, K. G. (2014). *Sarawak Plant Red List. Book Sarawak Plant Red List Dipterocarpaceae: Series I: Dipterocarpus, Dryobalanops & Shorea*. Sarawak Forestry Corporation Sdn.

Bhd.

- Kamyo, T., & Asanok, L. (2020). Modeling habitat suitability of *Dipterocarpus alatus* (Dipterocarpaceae) using MaxEnt along the Chao Phraya River in Central Thailand. *Forest Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21580103.2019.1687108>.
- KATS (Ministry of Water Land and Natural Resource). (2018). Forest Area by Region (1990-2014). Retrieved September 6, 2018, from <http://www.nre.gov.my/en-my/Forestry/Pages/Forest-Area-By-Region.aspx>.
- Keeney, R. L., & Raiffa, H. (1979). Decisions with Multiple Objectives: Preferences and Value Trade-Offs. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 9(7), 403. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1979.4310245>.
- Khodri, N. F., Lihan, T., Mustapha, M. A., Taher, T. M., Arifin, N. A. T., Abdullah, N. I., & Nor, S. M. (2021). Prediction of leopard habitat suitability in Taman Negara main forest complex, Malaysia. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 42(3), 806–811. [https://doi.org/10.22438/JEB/42/3\(SI\)/JEB-11](https://doi.org/10.22438/JEB/42/3(SI)/JEB-11).
- Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G., Soepadmo, E., Boyce, P. C. (Ed.). (2011). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia, Series II: Seed Plants* (Vol. 2). Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G., Soepadmo, E. (Ed.). (2012). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia, Series II: Seed Plants* (Vol. 3). Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G., Soepadmo, E. (Ed.). (2010). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia Series II: Seed Plants*, 6. Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G. & Soepadmo, E. (Ed.). (2013). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia, Series II: Seed Plants* (Vol. 4). Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G. & Soepadmo, E. (Ed.). (2015). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia, Series II: Seed Plants* (Vol. 5). Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G. & Soepadmo, E. (Ed.). (2018). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia Series II: Seed Plants* (Vol. 7). Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Kiew, R., Rafidah, A. R., Ong, P. T., & Ummul-Nazrah, A. R. (2017). Limestone

treasures: Rare plants in Peninsular Malaysia—What they are, where they grow and how to conserve them. *Malaysian Naturalist*, 71(1)(December), 32–41.

Kiew R. Chung R.C.K. Saw L.G. Soepadmo, E. (Ed.). (2017). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia Series II: Seed Plants* (Vol. 6). Forest Research Institute Malaysia.

Kiew, R., & Saw, L. G. (2019). Corner's Riau Pocket and other phytogeographical provinces in Peninsular Malaysia. *Gardens' Bulletin Singapore*, 71(suppl.2), 525–538. [https://doi.org/10.26492/gbs71\(suppl.2\).2019-25](https://doi.org/10.26492/gbs71(suppl.2).2019-25).

Kiew, Ruth. (1990). Conservation of plants in Malaysia. *Plant Diversity of Malesia*, (Kluwer Academic Publishers), 313–322.

Kjetil Bevanger. (2019). Global Biodiversity Threats and Development Trends. In T. Pullaiah (Ed.), *Global Biodiversity. Volume 1. Selected countries in Asia* (pp. 1–32). Apple Academic Press, Inc.

Knight, A. T., Cowling, R. M., Rouget, M., Balmford, A., Lombard, A. T., & Campbell, B. M. (2008). Knowing but not doing: Selecting priority conservation areas and the research-implementation gap. *Conservation Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.00914.x>.

Kordi, M., & Brandt, S. A. (2012). Effects of increasing fuzziness on analytic hierarchy process for spatial multicriteria decision analysis. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 36 (1), 43–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2011.07.004>.

Kubler, D., Hildebrandt, P., Günter, S., Stimm, B., Weber, M., Mosandl, R., ... Silva, B. (2016). Assessing the importance of topographic variables for the spatial distribution of tree species in a tropical mountain forest. *Erdkunde*, 70(1), 19–47. <https://doi.org/10.3112/erdkunde.2016.01.03>.

Kubler, S., Robert, J., Derigent, W., Voisin, A., & Le, Y. (2016). A state-of-the-art survey & testbed of fuzzy AHP (FAHP) applications. *Expert Systems With Applications*, 65, 398–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2016.08.064>.

Lah, N. Z. A., Yusop, Z., Hashim, M., Salim, J. M., & Numata, S. (2021). Predicting the habitat suitability of melaleuca cajuputi based on the maxent species distribution model. *Forests*, 12(11), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f12111449>.

Lai, Y. J., Liu, T. Y., & Hwang, C. L. (1994). TOPSIS for MODM. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 76(3), 486–500. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217\(94\)90282-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(94)90282-8).

- Lannuzel, G., Balmot, J., Dubos, N., Thibault, M., & Fogliani, B. (2021). High-resolution topographic variables accurately predict the distribution of rare plant species for conservation area selection in a narrow-endemism hotspot in New Caledonia. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02126-6>.
- Latif A. (2019). Biodiversity in Malaysia. In T. Pullaiah (Ed.), *Global Biodiversity. Volume 1. Selected countries in Asia* (pp. 307–350). Apple Academic Press Inc.
- Lavoie, C. (2013). Biological collections in an ever changing world: Herbaria as tools for biogeographical and environmental studies. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 15(1), 68–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2012.10.002>.
- Liew, T. S., Price, L., & Clements, G. R. (2016). Using Google Earth to improve the management of threatened limestone karst ecosystems in Peninsular Malaysia. *Tropical Conservation Science*, 9(2), 903–920. <https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291600900219>.
- Liu, C., Newell, G., & White, M. (2016). On the selection of thresholds for predicting species occurrence with presence-only data. *Ecology and Evolution*, 6(1), 337–348. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1878>.
- Ma, Y., Chen, G., Edward Grumbine, R., Dao, Z., Sun, W., & Guo, H. (2013). Conserving plant species with extremely small populations (PESP) in China. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 22(3), 803–809. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-013-0434-3>.
- Magurran A.E. (2004). *Measuring Biological Diversity*. (B. J. Magurran, A. E., & McGill, Ed.). Blackwell Publishing.
- Malczewski, J. (2006). GIS-based multicriteria decision analysis: A survey of the literature. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 20(7), 703–726. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658810600661508>.
- Malczewski, J., & Rinner, C. (2015). *Multicriteria Decision Analysis in Geographic Information Science*. Springer New York Heidelberg Dordrecht London. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74757-4>.
- Margules, C. R., & Pressey, R. L. (2000). Systematic conservation planning. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35012251>.
- Marignani, M., & Blasi, C. (2012). Looking for important plant areas: Selection based on criteria, complementarity, or both? *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 21(7), 1853–1864. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-012-0283-5>.



- Maycock, C. R., Khoo, E., Kettle, C. J., Pereira, J. T., Sugau, J. B., Nilus, R., ... Burslem, D. F. R. P. (2012a). A Revised Conservation Assessment of Dipterocarps in Sabah. *Biotropica*, 44 (5), 649–657. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2011.00852.x>.
- Maycock, C. R., Khoo, E., Kettle, C. J., Pereira, J. T., Sugau, J. B., Nilus, R., ... Burslem, D. F. R. P. (2012b). Using high resolution ecological niche models to assess the conservation status of *Dipterocarpus lamellatus* and *Dipterocarpus ochraceus* in Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of Forest Science*, 28(3), 158–169.
- Mehrabian, A. R., Sayadi, S., Majidi Kuhbenani, M., Hashemi Yeganeh, V., & Abdoljabari, M. (2020). Priorities for conservation of endemic trees and shrubs of Iran: Important Plant Areas (IPAs) and Alliance for Zero Extinction (AZE) in SW Asia. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japb.2019.09.010>.
- Meineke, E. K., & Daru, B. H. (2021). Bias assessments to expand research harnessing biological collections. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.08.003>.
- Mendoza-Fernández, A., Pérez-García, F. J., Medina-Cazorla, J. M., Martínez-Hernández, F., Garrido-Becerra, J. A., Sánchez, E. S., & Mota, J. F. (2010). Gap Analysis and selection of reserves for the threatened flora of eastern Andalusia, a hot spot in the eastern Mediterranean region. *Acta Botanica Gallica*, 157 (4), 749–767. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.2010.10516245>.
- Merow, C., Smith, M. J., & Silander, J. A. (2013). A practical guide to MaxEnt for modeling species' distributions: What it does, and why inputs and settings matter. *Ecography*, 36(10), 1058–1069. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2013.07872.x>.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. (2016). *National Policy on Biological Diversity 2016-2025*. Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. (2019). *Master List of Protected Areas. A Tool for National Biodiversity Conservation Management 29 and Planning*. Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- Mohamed, S. A., Wamalwa, M., Obala, F., Tonnang, H. E. Z., Tefera, T., Calatayud, P. A., ... Ekesi, S. (2021). A deadly encounter: Alien invasive *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Africa and indigenous natural enemy, *Cotesia icipe* (Hymenoptera, Braconidae). *PLoS ONE*, 16(7 July), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253122>.

- Mohd Fakhrur Razi, M., Eida Nadirah, R., Nurhayati, M., Misran, M. F. R., Roslin, E. N., & Nur, N. M. (2020). Ahp-consensus judgement on transitional decision-making: With a discussion on the relation towards open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 6(3), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6030063>.
- Mohd Hasmadi, I. (2009). Developing Policy for Suitable Harvest Zone using Multi Criteria Evaluation and GIS-Based Decision Support System. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 1, 105–117. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v1n2p105>.
- Mohd Taher, T., Lihan, T., Tajul Arifin, N. A., Khodri, N. F., Ahmad Mustapha, M., Abdul Patah, P., ... Mohd Nor, S. (2021). Characteristic of habitat suitability for the Asian elephant in the fragmented Ulu Jelai Forest Reserve, Peninsular Malaysia. *Tropical Ecology*, 62(3), 347–358. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42965-021-00154-5>.
- Mu, E & Pereyra-Rojas, M. (2017). *Practical Decision Making: An Introduction to the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) Using Super Decisions V2*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33861-3>.
- Murray-Smith, C., Brummitt, N. A., Oliveira-Filho, A. T., Bachman, S., Moat, J., Lughadha, E. M. N., & Lucas, E. J. (2009). Plant diversity hotspots in the Atlantic coastal forests of Brazil. *Conservation Biology*, 23(1), 151–163. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.01075.x>.
- Mustajoki, J., & Marttunen, M. (2017). Comparison of multi-criteria decision analytical software for supporting environmental planning processes. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 93, 78–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.02.026>.
- MyBIS (Malaysia Biodiversity Information System). (2022). Protected Areas. Retrieved March 5, 2022, from [www.mybis.gov.my](http://www.mybis.gov.my).
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Fonseca, G. A. B., & Kent, J. (2000). Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*, 403(6772), 853–858. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501>.
- Nazeri, M., Kumar, L., Jusoff, K., & Bahaman, A. R. (2014). Modeling the potential distribution of sun bear in Krau wildlife reserve, Malaysia. *Ecological Informatics*, 20, 27–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2014.01.006>.
- Newton, A. (2007). *Forest Ecology and Conservation: A Handbook of Techniques*. *Forest Ecology and Conservation: A Handbook of Techniques*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198567448.001.0001>.

- Ng, F.S.P., Zubir, I., Zurfatiha, Z., Sharma, D.S.K., Latiff, A., Hazrina, Z., Shahira, A., Shah-Redza, H. (2022). Specification in the Malay Peninsular in Relation to Dipterocarp forest height, structure, turnover, and reproductive biology. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 34 (1), 103–113.
- Norizah, K., & Mohd Hasmadi, I. (2012). Developing priorities and ranking for suitable forest road: Allocation using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) in peninsular Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*, 41(10), 1177–1185.
- Oldfield, S. (2010). Plant conservation: Facing tough choices. *BioScience*, 60(10), 778–779. <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2010.60.10.2>.
- Özden, O, Mustafa Kemal, M & Salih, G. (2016). Important Plant Areas Along The Kyrenia Mountains, Cyprus. *International Scientific Publications*, 10, 349–359.
- Parris, B.S., Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G., Soepadmo, E. (Ed.). (2010). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia, Series I: Ferns and Lycophytes* (Vol.1). Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Parris, B.S., Kiew, R., Chung, R.C.K., Saw, L.G. (Ed.). (2013). *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia, Series II: Seed Plants* (Vol. 2). Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Pearce, J. L., & Boyce, M. S. (2006). Modelling distribution and abundance with presence-only data. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43(3), 405–412. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01112.x>.
- Peterson, A. T., & Vieglais, D. A. (2001). Predicting species invasions using ecological niche modeling: New approaches from bioinformatics attack a pressing problem. *BioScience*, 51 (5), 363–371. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2001\)051\[0363:PSIUEN\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0363:PSIUEN]2.0.CO;2).
- Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P., & Schapire, R. E. (2006). Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, 190(3–4), 231–259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026>.
- Phillips, S. J., & Dudík, M. (2008). Modeling of species distribution with Maxent: new extensions and a comprehensive evaluation. *Ecography*, 31(December 2007), 161–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0906-7590.05203.x>.
- Phillips, S. J., Dudík, M., & Schapire, R. E. (2004). A maximum entropy approach to species distribution modeling. *Twentyfirst International Conference on Machine Learning ICML 04*, 69, 83. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1015330.1015412>.
- Phua, M. H., & Minowa, M. (2005). A GIS-based multi-criteria decision making

approach to forest conservation planning at a landscape scale: A case study in the Kinabalu Area, Sabah, Malaysia. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 71(2–4), 207–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2004.03.004>.

PLANMalaysia. (2021). *Nasional Physical Plan 4*. Putrajaya.

Plantlife International. (2018). Important Plant Area (IPA) Criteria. Retrieved April 26, 2018, from <http://www.plantlifeipa.org/criteria>.

Préau, C., Trochet, A., Bertrand, R., & Isselin-Nondedeu, F. (2018). Modeling potential distributions of three European amphibian species comparing enfa and maxent. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*, 13(1), 91–104.

Punde, S. (2007). Prioritising areas for Forest Conservation in the Konkan region of the Western Ghats hotspot ( India ) – a pilot study, 34.

Raes, N., Roos, M. C., Slik, J. W. F., Van Loon, E. E., & Steege, H. Ter. (2009). Botanical richness and endemism patterns of Borneo derived from species distribution models. *Ecography*, 32 (1), 180–192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.05800.x>

Reza, M. I. H., Abdullah, S. A., Nor, S. B. M., & Ismail, M. H. (2013). Integrating GIS and expert judgment in a multi-criteria analysis to map and develop a habitat suitability index: A case study of large mammals on the Malayan Peninsula. *Ecological Indicators*, 34 (October 2017), 149–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.04.023>.

Ribeiro, B. R., Brum, F. T., Pressey, R. L., & Loyola, R. (2017). Scoring methods do not provide reliable conservation priorities for marine biodiversity protection. *Biological Conservation*, 210, 349–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.02.038>.

Saaty, T. L. (1980). The Analytic Hierarchy Process. In *Education*. New York: McGraw-Hill International Book Co. New York. <https://doi.org/10.3414/ME10-01-0028>.

Saaty, T. L. (1990). How to make a decision: The analytic hierarchy process. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 48(1), 9–26. [https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217\(90\)90057-I](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(90)90057-I).

Salma N. Talhouk, M. Itani, and M. A.-Z. (2019). Biodiversity in Lebanon. In T. Pullaiah (Ed.), *Global Biodiversity. Volume 1. Selected countries in Asia* (pp. 259–306). Apple Academic Press Inc.

Sánchez-Fernández, D., Lobo, J. M., Abellán, P., & Millán, A. (2011). How to identify future sampling areas when information is biased and scarce: An

example using predictive models for species richness of Iberian water beetles. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 19(1), 54–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2010.05.003>.

Sánchez de Dios, R., Cabal Ruano, C., Domínguez Lozano, F., Sainz Ollero, H., & Moreno Saiz, J. C. (2017). The role of criteria in selecting important areas for conservation in biodiversity-rich territories. *Diversity and Distributions*, 23(4), 368–380. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12535>.

Saw, L.G. Sam, Y.Y. (2000). Conservation of Dipteroocarpaceae in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 12(3), 593–615.

Saw, L. G. (2010). Vegetation of Peninsular Malaysia. In E. S. & P. B. R Kiew, RCK Chung, LG Saw (Ed.), *Flora of Peninsular Malaysia* (Volume 1 S). Kuala Lumpur: Forest Research Institute Malaysia.

Saw, L. G., Chua, L. S. L., Suhaida, M., Yong, W. S. Y., & Hamidah, M. (2010). Conservation of some rare and endangered plants from Peninsular Malaysia. *Kew Bulletin*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12225-011-9251-6>.

Sayadi, S., Mehrabian, A., & Mostafavi, H. (2022). Diversity centers and distribution patterns of Eudicot crop wild relatives of Iran: priorities for conservation and important plant areas. *Journal of Wildlife and Biodiversity*, 6(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.22120/jwb.2021.526979.1219>.

Sérgio, C., Garcia C. A., Hespagnol, H., Vieira, C., Stow, S. & L. (2012). Bryophyte diversity in the Peneda-Gerês National Park (Portugal): Selecting Important Plant Areas (IPA) based on a new survey and past records Cecília. *Botanica Complutensis* 36:, 36(0), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.5209/rev>.

Shafaghat, A., Ying, O. J., Keyvanfar, A., Jamshidnezhad, A., Ferwati, M. S., Ahmad, H., ... Khorami, M. (2019). A treatment wetland park assessment model for evaluating urban ecosystem stability using analytical hierarchy process (AHP). *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques*, 7(1), 81–91.

Shahfiz, M.A., Munian K, Ruzman N H A, Zakaria, N. A., Fauzi, N.F.M. (2021). Introduction of biological parameters for Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) classification for permanent forest reserve: a case study based on small vertebrates' assessments in Selangor. *Selangor IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ*, 736 012066.

Sofaer, H. R., Jarnevich, C. S., Pearse, I. S., Smyth, R. L., Auer, S., Cook, G. L., ... Hamilton, H. (2019). Development and Delivery of Species Distribution Models to Inform Decision-Making. *BioScience*, 69(7), 544–557. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biz045>.

- Srivastava, V., Griess, V. C., & Keena, M. A. (2020). Assessing the Potential Distribution of Asian Gypsy Moth in Canada: A Comparison of Two Methodological Approaches. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57020-7>.
- Stockwell, D., & Peters, D. (1999). The GARP modelling system: Problems and solutions to automated spatial prediction. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, *13*(2), 143–158. <https://doi.org/10.1080/136588199241391>.
- Suhaida, A., Alias, M. S., & Salman, S. (2015). Analysing Elephant Habitat Parameters using GIS, Remote Sensing and Analytic Hierarchy Process in Peninsular Malaysia. *Pertanika J. Sci. & Technol*, *23*(1), 37–50.
- Sutherland, W. J. (2008). *The conservation handbook: research, management and policy*. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Swets, J. A. (1988). Measuring the Accuracy of Diagnostic Information. *Science*, *240*(4857):(1285–1293). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118341544.ch5>.
- Syahida-Emiza, S., Kiew, R., Haron, N. W., & Staples, G. (2013). Distribution, conservation status and threats to *Erycibe* species (Convolvulaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, *25*(3), 325–338.
- Symington, C. F. (2004). *Foresters' Manual of Dipterocarps*. (S. Ashton, P.S. & Appanah, Ed.). Malayan Forest Records No.16. Forest Research Institute Malaysia & Malaysian Nature Society.
- Taher, T. M., Lihan, T., Mustapha, M. A., & Nor, S. M. (2018). Habitat selection of *Tragulus napu* and *Tragulus javanicus* using MaxEnt analysis. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *1940*, 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5027973>.
- Tan, J. P. C., Kiew, R., Saw, L. G., & Ummul-Nazrah, A. R. (2014). Three new species from Gunung Kanthan, a Limestone tower karst in Perak, Malaysia. *Phytotaxa*, *177*(3), 146–154. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.177.3.2>.
- Teo, S., & Phua, M.-H. (2012). Modeling the Natural Occurrence of Selected Dipterocarp Genera in Sarawak, Borneo. *Journal of Forest and Environmental Science*, *28* (3), 170–178. <https://doi.org/10.7747/jfs.2012.28.3.170>.
- Tian, D., Xie, Y., Barnosky, A. D., & Wei, F. (2019). Defining the balance point between conservation and development. *Conservation Biology*, *33*(2), 231. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13221>.
- Tzeng, G. H., & Huang, J.J. (2011). Multiple attribute decision making: methods

and applications. *CRC Press*.

Urbina-Cardona, N., Blair, M. E., Londoño, M. C., Loyola, R., Velásquez-Tibatá, J., & Morales-Devia, H. (2019). Species Distribution Modeling in Latin America: A 25-Year Retrospective Review. *Tropical Conservation Science*, *12*(40). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940082919854058>.

USGS. (2017). *Landsat 8*. <https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov>.

Van Proosdij, A. S. J., Sosef, M. S. M., Wieringa, J. J., & Raes, N. (2016). Minimum required number of specimen records to develop accurate species distribution models. *Ecography*, *39*(6), 542–552. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01509>.

Velasquez, M., & Hester, P. T. (2013). An Analysis of Multi-Criteria Decision Making Methods. *International Journal of Operations Research*, *10*(2), 56–66. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12586-2>.

Venette, R. C. (2017). Climate Analyses to Assess Risks from Invasive Forest Insects: Simple Matching to Advanced Models. *Current Forestry Reports*, *3*(3), 255–268. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-017-0061-4>.

Vythalingam, L. M., Raghavan, R., Hossain, M., & Bhassu, S. (2021). Predicting aquatic invasions in a megadiverse region: Maximum-entropy-based modelling of six alien fish species in Malaysia. *Wiley*, *32*(01), 157–170. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.36-3926>.

Walsh, A., Sullivan, C. A., Waldren, S., & Finn, J. A. (2019). Development of a scoring method to identify important areas of plant diversity in Ireland. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, *47*(June 2018), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2018.10.002>.

Walsh, Aidan. (2016). *Methods for the Identification of Important Areas of Plant Diversity in Ireland*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.

Wan Razali, W. M., Wan, W. A., Ashari, M., Using, V., In, Q., & Forests, T. (1997). Natural forest dynamics. II. Sampling of tree volume using quadrats in tropical forests of Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, *10*(2), 141–154.

Wedley, W. C. (1993). Consistency prediction for incomplete AHP matrices. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, *17*(4–5), 151–161. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-7177\(93\)90183-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-7177(93)90183-Y).

Williams, S. (2009). *The identification and conservation of Important Plant*

*Areas: a case study from the Turks and Caicos Islands.* (unpublished master dissertation). Imperial College London, United Kingdom.

- Wilting, A., Cord, A., Hearn, A. J., Hesse, D., Mohamed, A., Traeholdt, C., ... Hofer, H. (2010). Modelling the species distribution of flat-headed cats (*Prionailurus planiceps*), an endangered South-East Asian small felid. *PLoS ONE*, *5*(3). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009612>.
- Wong, K. M. (1998). Patterns of Plant Endemism and Rarity in Borneo and the Malay Peninsula. In P. L. Cheng, I.P. & Porter (Ed.) (pp. 139–169). Rare, Threatened and Endangered Floras of Asia. Institut of Botany, Academia Sinica Monograph, Taipei.
- Yahi, N., Vela, E., Benhouhou, S., De Belair, G., & Gharzouli, R. (2012). Identifying Important Plants Areas (Key Biodiversity Areas for Plants) in northern Algeria. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*, *04*(08), 2753–2765. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o2998.2753-65>.
- Yeap. C.A., Sebastian, A.C., Davison, G.W.H. (2007). *Directory of Important Bird Areas in Malaysia. Key sites for conservation.* Kuala Lumpur.
- Yong, W.S.Y., Chua, L.S.L., Lau, K.H., Siti-Nur Fatinah, K., Cheah, Y.H., Yao, T.L., Rafidah, A.R., Lim, C.L., Syahida-Emiza, S., Ummul-Nazrah, A.R., Nor-Ezzawanis, A.T., Chew, M.Y., Siti-Munirah, M.Y., Julius, A., Phoon, S.N., Sam, Y.Y., Nadiyah, I., Ong, R. C. . (2021). *Malaysia Red List: Plants of Peninsular Malaysia* (Vol.1 P1). Research Pamphlet No. 151. Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Yusop, S. M., & Mustapha, M. A. (2018). Mapping distribution of *Rastrelliger kanagurta* in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) of Malaysia using maximum entropy modeling approach. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *1940*. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5027966>.
- Zainora Asmawi, M., Ngaimin, N., Mahamod, N. Z., Noor, N. M., & Omar, H. (2017). Assessing the forestry environmental condition using GIS-AHP approach in the Forest Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM) campus, Malaysia. *Advanced Science Letters*, *23*(7), 6372–6376. <https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2017.9272>.
- Zhang, K., Zhang, Y., Jia, D., & Tao, J. (2020). Species distribution modeling of *Sassafras tzumu* and implications for forest management. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *12*(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104132>.