



**COMPREHENSIVE GROWTH AND NUTRITIONAL STUDY OF *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. AS SOURCE OF FIBER AND PROTEIN FOR RUMINANT'S DIET**

By

**MOHAMMAD FITRI RIMI BIN HAMIDAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, University Putra Malaysia, in Fullfilment of the Requirement for the Master of Science**

**January 2023**

**FS 2023 4**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree Master of Science

**COMPREHENSIVE GROWTH AND NUTRITIONAL STUDY OF *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. AS SOURCE OF FIBER AND PROTEIN FOR RUMINANT'S DIET**

By

**MOHAMMAD FITRI RIMI BIN HAMIDAN**

**January 2023**

**Chair : Mohd Noor Hisham Mohd Nadzir, PhD**

**Faculty: Science**

Constraints in Napier grass production such as limited land use, labor shortages and long cutting intervals had become the factors that cause the underdeveloped situation on the ruminant industry. As an alternative, the use of water fern species known as mosquito fern (*Azolla filiculoides* Lam.) as a source of fiber and protein in livestock diets is seen as suitable due to its adequate composition of crude protein and crude fiber that required by ruminants which are in the range of 19-25% DM<sup>-1</sup> and 12-18% DM<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Furthermore, this species is very practical and economical to be self-produced by the farmers in their farms. This study was carried out to determine the potential of *A. filiculoides* as an alternative feedstuff in ruminant diet. An objective of Study 1 was to evaluate the potential of different fertilizer (NPK 15:15:15, broiler manure, sheep manure and cow manure) with increasing concentrations of 0.25 g/L (C1), 0.50 g/L (C2), 0.75 g/L (C3), 1.00 g/L (C4) and 1.25 g/L (C5) on growth and nutritive value of *Azolla filiculoides*. Objective of study 2 was to determine the practical procedures between dried (T1), burned (T2) and freshly used (unprocessed) (T3) in preparing a livestock manure according to an optimum concentration for *A. filiculoides* cultivation based from the finding of the first study. Study 3 was conducted to analyze the effectiveness of Azolla meal as an inclusion of 0% (control), 6% (TMR 1), 10% (TMR 2), 17% (TMR 3) and 23% (TMR 4) inclusion in the Napier silage TMR based on digestibility and VFA profile. All experiment was designed according to Complete Randomized Design (CRD) with four replication each. The analysis was carried out according to the method that had been developed in AOAC and the data was analyzed using two or one-way ANOVA according to the factors tested and significant differences were determined through Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). As a result, sheep manure was able to produce a higher fresh weight (136 g m<sup>-2</sup>). In fact, the application of C4 (1.00 g/L) sheep manure in the *Azolla filiculoides* cultivation was able to produce dry

matter: 7.3% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>, ash: 19.6% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>, crude protein: 21.2% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>, ether extract: 4.3% kg DM<sup>-1</sup> and crude fiber: 14.4% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>. Subsequently, the application of T3 procedure for *A. filiculoides* cultivation was able to produce higher yields ( $p < 0.05$ ) with lower fiber components (NDF: 33.02% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>, ADF: % kg DM<sup>-1</sup> and ADL: 8.13% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>) compared to the application of T2 procedure for manure preparation. The value of IVDMD and IVOMD as 82.9% and 43.7% proved that digestibility increased by using Azolla meals in the ruminant dietary feed. Although the IVDMD and IVOMD were decrease with increment of the inclusion, Azolla meal could supply 14.1 MJ/kg ME at 6% inclusion in the ruminant diet. To conclude, *A. filiculoides* at 6% inclusion has show a potential as a practical and economic source of fiber and protein by direct cultivation in the farm employing sheep manure (T3) at 1.00 g/L concentration (C4).

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
Sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KAJIAN KOMPREHENSIF TERHADAP PERTUMBUHAN DAN NUTRISI  
*Azolla filiculoides* Lam. SEBAGAI SUMBER PROTIN DAN SERAT UNTUK  
RUMINAN**

Oleh

**MOHAMMAD FITRI RIMI BIN HAMIDAN**

**Januari 2023**

**Pengerusi : Mohd Noor Hisham Bin Mohd Nadzir, PhD**

**Fakulti : Sains**

Kekangan-kekangan di dalam pengeluaran rumput Napier seperti penggunaan tanah yang terhad, kekurangan tenaga kerja dan pusingan pemotongan yang panjang telah menjadi faktor kepada kemunduran di dalam industri ruminan. Sebagai alternatif, penggunaan spesies pakis air yang dikenali sebagai mosquito fern (*Azolla filiculoides* Lam.) sebagai sumber serat dan protein dalam diet ternakan dilihat sesuai disebabkan komposisi protein kasar dan serat kasar yang mencukupi yang diperlukan oleh ruminan yang masing-masingnya berada di dalam julat 19-25% DM<sup>-1</sup> dan 12-18% DM<sup>-1</sup>. Tambahan pula, spesies ini sangat praktikal dan menjimatkan untuk dihasilkan sendiri oleh penternak ladang. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti potensi *Azolla filiculoides* sebagai bahan alternatif di dalam diet ruminan. Objektif kajian 1 adalah untuk menilai potensi penggunaan baja berbeza (NPK 15:15:15, tinja ayam, tinja bebiri dan tinja lembu) dengan peningkatan konsentrasi 0.25 g/L (C1), 0.50 g/L (C2), 0.75 g/L (C3), 1.00 g/L (C4) dan 1.25 g/L (C5) terhadap pertumbuhan dan nilai nutrisi *A. filiculoides*. Objektif kajian 2 adalah untuk menentukan prosedur proses yang paling praktikal di antara pengeringan (T1), pembakaran (T2) dan penggunaan terus (tanpa proses) (T3) di dalam penyediaan tinja haiwan untuk mengikut konsentrasi untuk pengeluaran *A. filiculoides* berdasarkan penemuan daripada kajian 1. Akhir sekali, kajian 3 adalah bagi menganalisa kesesuaian penggunaan mil *Azolla* sebagai inklusi di dalam TMR silaj Napier pada kadar 0% (control), 6% (TMR 1), 10% (TMR 2), 17% (TMR 3) dan 23% (TMR 4) terhadap kebolehcernaan dan pemprofilan VFA. Semua eksperimen telah direka bentuk mengikut Complete Randomized Design (CRD) dengan empat replikasi setiap kajian. Analisis dijalankan mengikut kaedah yang telah dibangunkan di dalam AOAC dan data telah dianalisis menggunakan ANOVA dua atau satu hala mengikut faktor yang diuji dan perbezaan signifikan ditentukan melalui Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Hasilnya, penggunaan baja daripada sisa tinja ternakan bebiri (F3)

dapat menghasilkan berat basah yang lebih tinggi (136 g/m<sup>2</sup>). Di samping itu, konsentrasi C4 (1.00 g/L) tinja bebiri dalam penanaman *A.filiculoides* mampu menghasilkan DM: 7.3% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>, abu: 19.6% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>, CP: 21.2% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>, EE: 4.3% kg DM<sup>-1</sup> dan CF: 14.4% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>. Seterusnya, penggunaan prosedur T3 untuk penanaman *A.filiculoides* mampu menghasilkan hasil yang lebih tinggi ( $p < 0.05$ ) dengan komponen fiber yang lebih rendah (NDF: 33.02% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>, ADF: % kg DM<sup>-1</sup> dan ADL: 8.13% kg DM<sup>-1</sup>) berbanding penanaman yang menggunakan baja yang diproses dengan prosedur T2. Nilai IVDMD dan IVOMD masing-masing sebanyak 82.9% dan 43.7%. telah membuktikan terhadap peningkatan tahap kebolehcerna melalui penggunaan mil Azolla di dalam diet ternakan ruminan. Walaupun peningkatan peratus inklusi Azolla mil ke dalam campuran TMR telah menyebabkan penurunan nilai IVDMD dan IVOMD yang signifikan, namun dengan hanya 6% inklusi mil Azolla ke dalam campuran TMR telah cukup untuk menghasilkan sebanyak 14.1 MJ/kg ME. Kesimpulannya, mil Azolla berpotensi digunakan pada tahap inklusi 6% sebagai sumber serat dan protin yang ekonomi dan praktikal. Ini kerana tumbuhan ini boleh dihasilkan sendiri di ladang melalui penggunaan terus (T3) tinja ternakan bebiri (F4) pada tahap konsentrasi 1.00 g/L (C4).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim. Alhamdulillah, thank you Allah for His wisdom, strength, and continuous love towards me through good and difficult times in all the ways to finish this project.

I would like to dedicate my gratitude towards my parents, my wife and my child, all my family members and beloved friends for the encouragement which help me in completing this research. Without their tremendous understanding and encouragement in the past few years, it would be impossible for me to complete my study. They serve as an inspiration for me to pursue this undertaking.

It is a genuine pleasure for me to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Mohd Noor Hisham Mohd Nadzir for his willingness in taking me as his student and for the continuous support, patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. All his dedication, patience, comments, knowledges, and motivations throughout this project had been solely and mainly responsible for completing my work. Thanks for the opportunities given for me to explore a wide range of knowledge in animal physiology field. I am extremely thankful to all the supervisory committee including Dr. Mohd Noor Hisham Mohd Nadzir, Dr. Noraini Samat, Prof. Madya Dr. Shamarina binti Shohaimi and Dr. Habsah Bidin for their encouragement, insightful comments, and invaluable advice. Their constant encouragement throughout my journey really helps me to improve my performance. Their immense knowledge and plentiful experience have encouraged me in all time of my academic research and daily life.

In addition, I would like to thank the Livestock Science Research Centre of MARDI for the permissions to conduct the cultivation, feed analysis and digestibility trial. Thanks to Mr. Faisal Abu Bakar and his team for helping and guiding me throughout my research in MARDI's Centre of Excellent for livestock, Kluang. Big thanks as well to all staff of ruminant digestibility Lab, Feed and Nutrition Program, MARDI Kluang and Feed Quality Lab, Feed and Nutrition Program, MARDI Serdang. Lastly, I would like to thank all the MARDI Feed and Nutrition Program officers including Mr. Hafizuddin Ayob, Mrs. Siti Hajar Zakaria and all staffs of MARDI Feed and Nutrition Program, for their wide range of information and technical support on my study.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Noor Hisham Mohd Nadzir, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Shamarina Shohaimi, PhD**

Profesor Madya  
Faculty of Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Noraini Samat, PhD**

Principle Senior Research Officer  
Livestock Science Research Centre  
Malaysia Agriculture Research and Development Institute (MARDI)  
(Member)

**Habsah Bidin, PhD**

Senior Research Officer  
Livestock Science Research Centre  
Malaysia Agriculture Research and Development Institute (MARDI)  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH BINTI MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | <b>Page</b>  |    |
|---|--|----|
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>                             | iii  |    |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>                              | v  |    |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>                     | vii  |    |
| <b>APPROVAL</b>                             | viii   |    |
| <b>DECLARATION</b>                          | x  |    |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>                       | xvi  |    |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>                      | xviii  |    |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>                | xx   |    |
| <br>  |  |    |
| <b>CHAPTER</b>                              |  |    |
| <br>  |  |    |
| <b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>                      |  |    |
| 1.1   | Research background  | 1  |
| 1.2   | Problem statement  | 3  |
| 1.3   | Significant of study   | 4  |
| 1.4   | Objective of study   | 4  |
| <br><b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>             |  |    |
| 2.1   | Ruminant's industry and sustainable feeding system in Malaysia             | 5  |
| 2.2   | Application of total mixed ration in replacing commercial pallet feed.     | 6  |
| 2.3   | Morphology, taxonomy, and distribution of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i>       | 7  |
| 2.4   | <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> nutrition content and potential as animal feeds | 8  |
| 2.5   | <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultivation                                     | 11 |
| <br><b>3. GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> |  |    |
| 3.1   | Trial and sampling site  | 14 |
| 3.2   | Propagation and cultivation  | 14 |
| 3.3   | Harvesting and yield determination test                                    | 16 |
| 3.4   | Partial drying and samples preparation                                     | 18 |
| 3.5   | Proximate nutritional composition analysis                                 | 18 |
|   | 3.5.1 Determination of laboratory dry matter                               | 18 |
|   | 3.5.2 Determination of ash   | 19 |
|   | 3.5.3 Determination of crude protein                                       | 19 |
|   | 3.5.4 Determination of crude fat   | 20 |
|   | 3.5.5 Determination of crude fiber   | 21 |
| 3.6   | Fiber component analysis   | 22 |
|   | 3.6.1 Determination of NDF   | 22 |
|   | 3.6.2 Determination of ADF and ADL   | 23 |
| 3.7   | Digestibility trial  | 24 |
|   | 3.7.1 Preparation of reagent and chemical                                  | 26 |
|   | 3.7.2 Preparation of in vitro gas production assay                         | 26 |
|   | 3.7.3 Collection of rumen fluid from cannulated bulls                      | 26 |

|           |  |    |
|-----------|--|----|
| 3.7.4     | Determination of accumulated gas production via in vitro rumen fluid incubation assay  | 27 |
| 3.7.5     | Digested residue extraction from in vitro rumen fluid incubation assay and determination of in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD). | 27 |
| 3.8       | Volatile fatty acid analysis   | 28 |
| 3.8.1     | Preparation of supernatant   | 28 |
| 3.8.2     | Determination of concentration of volatile fatty acid  | 28 |
| <br>      |  |    |
| <b>4.</b> | <b>THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT SOURCE OF LIVESTOCK MANURE WITH 5 LEVEL OF CONCENTRATION ON YIELD PRODUCTION AND NUTRITION CONTENT OF <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> Lam.</b>                     |    |
| 4.1       | Introduction   | 29 |
| 4.2       | Material and Method  | 31 |
| 4.2.1     | Experimental location  | 31 |
| 4.2.2     | Experimental design  | 31 |
| 4.2.3     | Preparation of an organic fertilizer from different source for <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultivation  | 32 |
| 4.2.4     | Site preparation   | 34 |
| 4.2.5     | Cultivation process  | 34 |
| 4.2.6     | Harvesting and yield determination test  | 36 |
| 4.2.7     | Proximate nutritional composition analysis   | 36 |
| 4.2.8     | Fiber component analysis   | 36 |
| 4.2.9     | Statistical analysis   | 36 |
| 4.3       | Result and discussion  |    |
| 4.3.1     | Fresh weight, doubling time and relative growth rate of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> from five concentration level of different fertilizer.                                     | 37 |
| 4.3.2     | Nutrient composition of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> from five concentration level of different fertilizer.   | 43 |
| 4.3.3     | Fiber component of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> from five concentration level of different fertilizer.  | 47 |
| 4.4       | Conclusion.  | 50 |
| <br>      |  |    |
| <b>5.</b> | <b>THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT MANURE PREPARATION PROCEDURE ON YIELD, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION AND DIGESTIBILITY OF <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> Lam.</b>  |    |
| 5.1       | Introduction   | 51 |
| 5.2       | Material and Method  | 52 |
| 5.2.1     | Preparation of trial plot  | 52 |
| 5.2.2     | Experimental design  | 52 |
| 5.2.3     | Manure preparation   | 54 |
| 5.2.4     | Cultivation process  | 54 |
| 5.2.5     | Harvesting and yield determination test  | 55 |
| 5.2.6     | Partial drying and sample preparation  | 55 |
| 5.2.7     | Proximate nutritional composition analysis   | 55 |

|  |   |    |
|--|---|----|
| 5.2.8  | Fiber component analysis  | 55 |
| 5.2.9  | Digestible analysis   | 56 |
| 5.2.10   | Statistical analysis  | 56 |
| 5.3  | Result and discussion   | 57 |
| 5.3.1  | Fresh weight and growth performance of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultured with different procedure of manure preparation.  | 57 |
| 5.3.2  | Nutrition composition and fiber component of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultured with different procedure of manure preparation.                                    | 59 |
| 5.3.3  | Digestibility of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultured with different procedure of manure preparation.  | 64 |
| 5.4  | Conclusion  | 68 |
| <p><b>6. EFFECT OF <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> Lam. MEAL INCLUSION IN THE NAPIER SILAGE TOTAL MIXED RATION (TMR) ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND VOLATILE FATTY ACID PROFILE ON RUMINANT</b></p> |   |    |
| 6.1  | Introduction  | 69 |
| 6.2  | Material and method   | 69 |
| 6.2.1  | Research area   | 71 |
| 6.2.2  | Experimental design   | 72 |
| 6.2.3  | Preparation of Napier silage.   | 74 |
| 6.2.4  | Harvesting and meal preparation.  | 74 |
| 6.2.5  | Mixing and preparing total mixed ration (TMR).  | 74 |
| 6.2.6  | Proximate nutritional composition and fiber component analysis.   | 78 |
| 6.2.7  | Digestibility trial   | 78 |
| 6.2.8  | Volatile fatty acid concentration analysis.   | 78 |
| 6.2.9  | Statistical analysis  | 78 |
| 6.3  | Result and discussion   |    |
| 6.3.1  | Nutrient composition and fiber components (%/DM basis) of the total mixed rations (TMR) with the different inclusion percentage of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> meal. | 79 |
| 6.3.2  | Digestibility of total mixed ration of Napier silage with <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> meal inclusion.  | 81 |
| 6.3.3  | Volatile fatty acid profile of total mixed ration of Napier silage with <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> meal inclusion.  | 87 |
| 6.4  | Conclusion.   | 91 |
| <p><b>7. SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b></p>   |   | 92 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1   | Previous study regarding nutritional composition of <i>Azolla</i> spp.   | 8    |
| 2.2   | Comparison of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultivation cost between different cultivation system by using compound and straight fertilizer.  | 12   |
| 4.1   | Growth distribution of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> in the 200-liter water tanks with different fertilizer and concentration level at 14 days cultivation period.  | 38   |
| 4.2   | Fresh weight, doubling time and relative growth rate of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> with different fertilizers in five concentrations level.   | 42   |
| 4.3   | Nutrient composition of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> with different fertilizers in five concentrations level.   | 44   |
| 4.4   | Fiber components of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> with different fertilizers in five concentrations level.   | 48   |
| 5.1   | Density of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> that cultivated using different fertilizer processing procedures   | 57   |
| 5.2   | Yield components of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> that cultivated using sheep manure with different preparation procedure.  | 58   |
| 5.3   | Nutrient composition of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> that cultivated using sheep manure with different preparation procedure   | 60   |
| 5.4   | Fiber components of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> that cultivated using sheep manure with different preparation procedure.  | 61   |
| 5.5   | Accumulated in vitro gas production (GP), in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) and metabolizable energy (ME) of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> using sheep manure with different preparation procedure. | 65   |
| 6.1   | Ingredients of the total mixed rations (TMR) with the different inclusion percentage of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> meal.   | 77   |
| 6.2   | Nutrient composition and fiber components (% DM-1 basis) of the total mixed rations (TMR) with the different inclusion percentage of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> meal.  | 80   |
| 6.3   | In vitro accumulated gas production of 24, 48 and 96 hours, in vitro dry matter digestibility, in vitro organic matter and metabolizable energy of Napier silage total mixed ration (TMR) with different inclusion percentage of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> meal.  | 84   |

6.4 Volatile fatty acid (VFA) profile of Napier silage total mixed ration with different inclusion percentage of *Azolla filiculoides* meal

88



## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 3.1    | Botanical morphology and characteristic of Salviniaceae family and different between <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> and <i>Azolla pinnata</i> .   | 15   |
| 3.2    | Summary of harvesting and yield determination of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i>   | 17   |
| 3.3    | Summary of digestibility trial procedure via in vitro gas production assay  | 25   |
| 4.1    | Summary of experiment for objective 1   | 30   |
| 4.2    | Location of the <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultivation plot and laboratory through Google earth. The cultivation site and all analysis had been done at the pasture research plot and feed quality laboratory respectively. | 31   |
| 4.3    | Diagram of tank's arrangement in five concentration level for each fertilizer.  | 32   |
| 4.4    | Flow cart of an organic fertilizer preparation procedure from different source for <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultivation.  | 60   |
| 4.5    | Flow cart of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultivation and observation procedure.  | 60   |
| 5.1    | Flow cart of experiment for objective 2   | 52   |
| 5.2    | An actual image of experimental site of in vitro digestibility procedures through Google earth. The cannulated animal and pasture analysis lab of had been done at the yellow and turquoise area                            | 53   |
| 5.3    | Diagram of tank's arrangement for <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> cultivation using an organic fertilizer prepared with three different procedures in four replicates.   | 54   |
| 5.4    | Effect of sheep manure different preparation procedure on fresh weight, relative growth rate and doubling time of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> .   | 58   |
| 5.5    | Effect of sheep manure different preparation procedure on nutrient composition of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> .   | 65   |
| 5.6    | Effect of sheep manure different preparation procedure on IVDM, IVOMD and ME of cultivated <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> .   | 67   |
| 6.1    | Flow cart of experiment for objective 3   | 70   |

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| 6.2 | Diagram of samples arrangement in the in vitro gas production assay for digestibility trial.  | 71 |
| 6.3 | Equipment of in vitro gas method by Menke et al., (1979)  | 71 |
| 6.4 | Summary of the Napier silage preparation procedure.   | 73 |
| 6.5 | Summary of total mixed ration mixing procedure for the proximate, digestibility and VFA analysis.                                   | 75 |
| 6.6 | Graf of in vitro gas production profiles for different inclusion level of Azolla meal in total mixed ration (TMR) for ruminant.     | 82 |
| 6.7 | Effect of different increasing inclusion percentage of Azolla meal on the TMR silage in ruminant's diet.                            | 89 |
| 6.8 | Acetic-Propionate ratio of Napier silage total mixed ration (TMR) with different inclusion level of <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> meal | 90 |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| DM                        | Dry matter  |
| CP                        | Crude Protein   |
| CF                        | Crude Fiber   |
| EE                        | Ether Extract   |
| NDF                       | Neutral Detergent Fiber                                 |
| ADF                       | Acid Detergent Fiber                                    |
| ADL                       | Acid Detergent Lignin                                   |
| IVDMD                     | <i>In vitro</i> Dry Matter Digestibility                |
| IVOMD                     | <i>In vitro</i> Organic Matter Digestibility            |
| ME                        | Metabolizable Energy                                    |
| VFA                       | Volatile Fatty Acid                                     |
| $\text{KH}_2\text{HPO}_4$ | Monopotassium phosphate                                 |
| $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ | Disodium phosphate                                      |
| $\text{MgSO}_4$           | Magnesium Sulphate                                      |
| $\text{MnCl}_2$           | Manganese Chloride                                      |
| $\text{CaCl}_2$           | Calcium chloride  |
| $\text{FeCl}_3$           | Ferum chloride  |
| $\text{NaHCO}_3$          | Sodium bicarbonate                                      |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$ | Ammonium bicarbonate                                    |
| $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  | Sodium bicarbonate                                      |
| NaOH                      | Sodium hydroxide  |
| FFAP                      | Free Fatty Acid Phase                                   |
| FID                       | Flame Ionization Detector                               |
| CRD                       | Complete Randomized Design                              |
| A.O.A.C                   | Association of Official Agriculture Chemist             |
| N.P.K                     | Nitrogen Phosphorous Kalium compound                    |
| S.E.M                     | Standard Error of Means                                 |
| $\mu\text{l}$             | Microlitre  |
| $^{\circ}\text{C}$        | Degree Celsius  |
| g                         | Gram  |
| kg                        | Kilogram  |
| ml                        | Millilitre  |
| m                         | Meter   |
| MARDI                     | Malaysia Agriculture Research and Development Institute |
| %                         | Percent   |
| UPM                       | Universiti Putra Malaysia                               |



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background

In Malaysia, agriculture had become one of the important industries since its independence from Colonization Era. Contribution of this industry had become a profitable employment opportunity to supply a domestic need such as food and other requirement goods for the population. An inception of this industry had secured local's food production and ensures dependency on imported food source could be reduced. Despite of agriculture, livestock industry had also been emphasised by the government in line to access this mission. Hence, agriculture in Malaysia had been reported to impart approximately 7.1% from total GDP in 2013 and the livestock's sector had contributed 16.2% (Mustafa et al., 2021). As expected by Malaysian National Agro-food Policy 2011-2020, demand, and production of meat in Malaysia had been emphasized to be increase. An increment into 1.8 million MT with growth 2.4% per annum and 2.1 million MT with 2.7% per annum had been forecast for 10 years periods from 2010 on local's demand and production for meat respectively (Sheng et al., 2010; Zainalabidin et al., 2019). Besides, demand of other livestock's products such as milk and eggs had also expected to rise consequent from the increased of an economic level and affordability of the community whereby had bring to diet alteration. Unfortunately, until now the sector is still raised in a small scale (Wong et al., 2020). Even though effort and positive progress had been observed to establish local livestock industry, our domestic demand and production are still imbalanced (Adnan et al., 2018). Therefore, imported goods from India, Australia and New Zealand such as frozen meats and dairy products were allowed to penetrate into local market as a solution for the shortage (Buda et al., 2021). Currently in 2018, our local's self-sufficient level (SSL) was still low on beef, mutton and milk (Abdullah et al., 2018). The underdeveloped situation of the local ruminant's sector is normally associated with several factors such as the lack of land resources, high feed price, cheaper import substitutes, poor private sector involvement, disease prevention with control and lack of quality breeds, expertise, and workforce (Dardak, 2019). The insufficient local protein sources for domestic market and high dependency on imported meats are hereby are associated feeds production systems for Malaysian ruminant industry. Eventually, research and development should be conducted on the unusable local available sources such as plants or by product to be utilized as an alternative feedstuff for the ruminants and to sustain this industry in Malaysia.

Fiber and protein had become the important components for ruminant diet. For centuries, our farmers had used local forage such as Napier and Guinea grass to feed their goat, sheep, and cattle (Aswanimiyuni et al., 2018). However, fodder sources had become another limitation to our farmers to raise their livestock towards a sustainable production. Most of our land usages have been

transformed into commodity plantations this has reduced available pastureland to support our ruminant's fodder needs (Banu & Fazal, 2016). As a result, farmers could not produce their own forage and tend to use commercial animal feed such as pelleted feed, which can lead to high cost of meat and milk production (Tamizhkumaran & Rao, 2016). Alternatively, certain farmers used the local agricultural by-product such as oil palm frond (OPF), corn stalk and bagasse (Rusli et al., 2021; Agus & Widi, 2018). In fact, palm kernel cake (PKC) or palm oil sludge (POS) were also used as an alternative source of protein and energy for animal (Arief & Satria, 2019; Saminathan et al., 2020). Even though most of our farmers had managed to transform their activities towards semi-intensive and fully intensive systems, by-product from plantations and food industries such as PKC and soybean meal have become more expensive and high-demand. Furthermore, the availability of these products was limited to supply and could not be sustained due to higher price was offered by exporter to support a huge and is seasoned to other more lucrative industries such as construction, papers and cosmetics (Kumar et al. 2020; Sahota. 2014; Moghadase & Hussein. 2012).

Napier grass had become one of the renewable fibers sources, but it requires areas and much manpower to ensure an adequate amount of quality fodder is produced. Shortages of labor and unable to manage the cutting interval at 6 to 8 weeks had decreased the fodder nutritional quality (Zailan et al. 2016b). Thus, alternative fodder source with adequate fiber and protein content is needed to partially replace Napier grass and soybean meal in ruminant diet. Therefore, efforts are taken to discover alternative ruminant feed source rich in fiber and protein that is practical and affordable to farmers.

Meanwhile, Malaysia is well endowed with varieties of aquatic ecosystems including rivers, lakes, reservoirs, swamps, mangroves, estuaries, lagoons and the seas. Other local aquatic plants such as *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Monochoria vaginalis* (pickerel weed), *Pistia stratiotes* (water lettuce), *Salvinia molesta*, *Lemna minor* (common duckweed) and *Azolla spp* had been lushly occupy most of these areas. The forested wetland in Malaysia is estimated to cover about 4.39 million ha and 2.5% of the area which is around 1.1 million ha are belong to freshwater swamp (Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, 2014). However, the uncontrolled population of the floating aquatic plants has been reported to cause a negative impact on aquatic ecology. Therefore, previous researchers have realized the potential of these plants as a source of additional fiber and protein for livestock. Hence, studies related to utilization of an aquatic plant as an animal feed was conducted many years prior. However, *A. filiculoides* species was found to be more suitable than other aquatic plant species due to its growth potential and nutrient content (Kamarudin et al. 2019). This species prevailed in irrigated areas and able to propagate at 2.9 g/m<sup>2</sup> per day due to a shorter doubling time. In fact, this species able to produce 22.48% kg/dm crude protein (CP), 14.70% kg/dm crude fiber (CF), 37.6% kg/dm neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and 8.03% kg/dm lignin (ADL) in the wild environment (Kollah et al., 2016). An ability to absorbed 2.6 t N/ha and 0.43 t P/ha was made this species a good bio-phytoremediation agent as reported by Costa et al. (1999). This allows farmers to fully utilize the available

resources on the farm to reduce the cost of their livestock. Even though, there is a perception that labelled this species as an invasive species or in some area they were recognized as one of the noxious weeds (Barreto et al., 2000). This species has a great potential to produce as a high-quality fodder for livestock (Nasir et al., 2022). *Azolla filiculoides* is a species of fern, it is an aquatic plant that is grow in slow-moving water bodies such as pond. Thus, this will help farmer that raising the animal farming in urbanization area to produce fodder. Next, the fronds of this plant have angular overlapping shape and usually each frond is 1 or 2 millimeters long. Moreover, the leaves contain cyanobacteria that known as *Anabaena azollae*. Symbiotic relationship between *Azolla filiculoides* and *Anabaena azolla* is one of the factors that related with phosphate autogenesis ability from existent phosphorus in the enviroment. Hence, the growth rate of *Azolla filiculoides* was good in the area with lack phosphorus element. In addition, presence of *Anabaena azolla* helps to fixes nitrogen from the atmosphere to the form that can be utilized by the *Azolla filiculoides* (Bhuvaneshwari & Singh, 2015).

The nitrogen element that contains in *Azolla filiculoides* can be transferred to the animal as they eat the fodder which consists of Azolla. Whereby, the nitrogen is very crucial for the building blocks of protein, namely amino acids. Eventually, a comprehensive study is required to discover the potential of this species from the production stages to the nutritional aspect including their fiber component and finally their digestibility in ruminants when used in the diets.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Morphologically, *Azolla filiculoides* may seem like just ordinary floating plant on water, but it has huge potential to be use as fodder. The *Azolla filiculoides* has been recommended for feeding the livestock as it has potential feeding ingredient (Lumpkin, 1984). This because the *Azolla filiculoides* have high nutritive value and may be able to produce four to five times amount of protein compared to other Napier grass. *Pennisetum purpureum* is an example of Napier grass that is only able to produce about 14.62% of crude protein (Haryani et al., 2018) while *Azolla filiculoides* have 21.4% of crude protein (Alalade and Iyayi, 2006). Other than that, *Azolla filiculoides* is also excellent fodder to feed chicken, duct and Japanese quails as 20% of commercial feed can be replace by inclusion of fresh *Azolla filiculoides* in their diet (Subudhi & Singh, 1978). Unfortunately, studies on the growth performance of *Azolla filiculoides* by using different type of fertilizers and their optimum concentration to culture the Azolla are very limited.

People in Asian countries such as China and Vietnam extensively use *Azolla filiculoides* in rice paddy cultivation and as high-protein sources of food for their livestock (Pereira, 2017; Bhujel & Rizal, 2022). In addition, Malaysian was also use *Azolla filiculoides* as a source of protein to feed their livestock. However, this species was still not widely used in Malaysia due to our farmers unawareness about Azolla supplementation to improve herd quality. Other

than that, farmers also do not aware that feeding their livestock with *Azolla* can lower the feeding cost as *Azolla* are highly propagated in short period of time. However, there are limited information regarding literature on the propagation of *Azolla* in short period of time by using different type of fertilizer and concentration. The null hypothesis of this study is *Azolla filiculoides* was not practical and economical to be produced by using livestock manure and unable to be utilized as source of fiber and protein for ruminant. Oppositely, as an alternative hypothesis this species is practical to be cultivated with minimum cost by using fertilizer from manure and suitable to be used in ruminant's diet.

### 1.3 Significance of study

The sustainable availability of the fodder for livestock is one of the major concerns among the farmer and agencies such as Malaysia Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI). This study will not only give significantly benefit to Malaysia but also for other countries that native range of distribution of *Azolla filiculoides* such as India, China, Japan, Africa and Australia in cultivating practice of *Azolla filiculoides*

In Malaysia, this study also give benefit to the agencies that involve in the animal husbandry and rearing such as Department of Veterinar, Malaysia Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI), Farmers' Organization Authority (FOA), Department of Veterinary Services (DVS), Department of Agriculture (DOA). The researcher from those agencies can have more understanding about the nutritional composition of *Azolla*. Agencies can have more understanding about the nutritional composition of *Azolla*. Thus, can advise farmers to practice cultivation of *Azolla* and substitute into their livestock diet.

Hence, the result of this study can help farmers to cultivate and process the *Azolla filiculoides* to produce an alternative fodder that is high in protein and fiber for livestock. In addition, *Azolla filiculoides* is easy to cultivate, low cost and readily available which will ease the farmer in *Azolla filiculoides* cultivation. Moreover, the cultivation of *Azolla filiculoides* in one hectare can produce about 820-1220 g of fresh *Azolla* per day (Aziz, 2012). Therefore, probably *Azolla filiculoides* one of the more efficient feed substitutions for livestock.

### 1.4 Objective of study

This research was conducted to provide optimal requirement and information through the cultivation and nutrition study of cultivated *Azolla filiculoides*. Thus, the objectives of this study were:

- i. To evaluate the growth performance, fresh weight, nutrient composition, and fiber component of cultivated *Azolla filiculoides* from different fertilizers with increasing concentrations.
- ii. To compare different procedures on sheep manure preparation as a fertilizer for *Azolla filiculoides* cultivation on fresh weight, growth performance, nutrient composition, fiber component and digestibility.
- iii. To identify the optimum inclusion level of *Azolla filiculoides* meal in the Napier silage total mixed ration (TMR) on the digestibility and volatile fatty acid profile for ruminant's diet.

It is hoped that this study will provide information on the potential of aquatic macrophyte *Azolla filiculoides* as a alternative source for fiber and protein for ruminant's diet, which will improve our local ruminants industry.





## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, F. A., Jx, A., & Noor, M. S. Z. (2020). The adoption of innovation in ruminant farming for food security in Malaysia: A narrative literature review. *Journal of Critical Reviews*.
- Acharya, P., Mohanty, G. P., Pradhan, C. R., Mishra, S. K., Beura, N. C., & Moharana, B. (2015). Exploring the effects of inclusion of dietary fresh Azolla on the performance of White Pekin broiler ducks. *Veterinary world*, 8(11), 1293.
- Adnan, N., Nordin, S. M., & Ali, M. (2018). A solution for the sunset industry: Adoption of Green Fertiliser Technology amongst Malaysian paddy farmers. *Land use policy*, 79, 575-584.
- Adzman, N., Goh, S. J., Johari, A., Alam, M. Z., & Kamaruddin, M. J. (2022, April). Preliminary study on Azolla cultivation and characterization for sustainable biomass source. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 2259(1), 12-18.
- Agus, A., & Widi, T. S. M. (2018). Current situation and future prospects for beef cattle production in Indonesia—A review. *Asian-Australasian journal of animal sciences*, 31(7), 976.
- Akhtar, M., Sarwar, N., Ashraf, A., Ejaz, A., Ali, S., & Rizwan, M. (2021). Beneficial role of Azolla sp. in paddy soils and their use as bioremediators in polluted aqueous environments: implications and future perspectives. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 67(9), 1242-1255.
- Akinyeye, R. O. (2011). Physico-chemical properties and anti-nutritional factors of palm fruit products (*Elaeis Guineensis* Jacq.) from Ekiti State Nigeria. *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry (EJEAFCh)*, 10(5), 2190-2198.
- Alalade, O. A., & Iyayi, E. A. (2006). Chemical composition and the feeding value of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) meal for egg-type chicks. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 5(2), 137-141.
- Alhrouf, H.H., M.W. Akash, & R.K.Hajazin. (2018). Effect of farm yard manure and NPK on the yield and some growth components of tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*). *Research on Crops*, 19 (4), 655-658.
- Al-Taey, D. K., Alazawi, S. S., Al-Shareefi, M. J., & Al-Tawaha, A. (2018). Effect of saline water, NPK and organic fertilizers on soil properties and growth, antioxidant enzymes in leaves and yield of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* var. Parris Island). *Research on Crops*, 19(3), 441-449.
- Anand, T., & Geeta, N. P. (2007). Azolla as a Biofertilizer in Coffee Plantations. *I Need Coffee. Html*, 14, 65.

- Anitha, K. C., Rajeshwari, Y. B., Prasanna, S. B., & Shree, J. S. (2016). Nutritive evaluation of Azolla as livestock feed. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*, 4(6), 670-674. [http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2016.4\(Issue6\).670.674](http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2016.4(Issue6).670.674)
- Ao, T., Paul, M. A., Pescatore, A. J., Macalintal, L. M., Ford, M. J., & Dawson, K. A. (2019). Growth performance and bone characteristics of broiler chickens fed corn-soy diet supplemented with different levels of vitamin premix and sources of mineral premix. *Journal of Applied Animal Nutrition*, 7(6), 1-5.
- AOAC. (2005). Official method of Analysis. 18th Edition, *Association of Officiating Analytical Chemists*, Washington D.C.
- Arief, J. N., & Satria, B. (2019). Response of Etawa dairy goat to provision of probiotics in ration containing by-product of palm oil industry. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci*, 7(11), 999-1005.
- Assefa, S., & Tadesse, S. (2019). The principal role of organic fertilizer on soil properties and agricultural productivity-a review. *Agri Res and Tech: Open Access J*, 22(2), 46-49. DOI: [10.19080/ARTOAJ.2019.22.556192](https://doi.org/10.19080/ARTOAJ.2019.22.556192)
- Astuti, L. P., & Indriatmoko, I. (2018). Ability Aquatic Plants to Reduce Organic Matters and Phosphate Pollution for Improve Water Quality. *Jurnal Teknologi Lingkungan*, 19(2), 183-190.
- Aswanimiyuni, A., Mohamad, N. I., Haryani, H., Norfadzrin, F., & Nurzillah, M. (2018). A comparison of feed intake and growth performance of goats fed guinea grass and Napier grass. *Malaysian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 9, 13-18.
- Atil, O. (2009). Enhancing the MPOB-Q-Palm Kernel Cake in Poultry Diet. *Animal Feedstuffs in Malaysia—Issues, Strategies and Opportunities. The Academy of Sciences Malaysia*, 57-67.
- Azab, E., & Soror, A. F. S. (2020). Physiological behavior of the aquatic plant *Azolla* sp. in response to organic and inorganic fertilizers. *Plants*, p. 9(7), 924.
- Azhari, N. A. A., Shamsudin, R., Kasim, S., & Rahmat, M. A. S. (2022). Effects of Formulation of Soilless Growth Media from *Azolla Pinnata* sp on Water Absorption, Water Loss, and Water Retention. *Advances in Agricultural and Food Research Journal*, 3(1), 1-11
- Aziz, A. (2012). New method of large-scale production of *Azolla pinnata* var. *pinnata* R. Brown: a multipurpose crop. *International Journal of Applied Agriculture Research*, 7(1), 1-9.
- Balaji, K., Jalaludeen, A., Churchill, R. R., Peethambaran, P. A., & Senthilkumar, S. (2009). Effect of dietary inclusion of Azolla (*Azolla*

- pinnata) on production performance of broiler chicken. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 44(2), 195-198.
- Banu, N., & Fazal, S. (2016). Urban Fringe: Dairying Activity as Livelihood Option. In *Livelihood and Wellbeing in the Urban Fringe*. 93-114
- Barreto, R., Charudattan, R., Pomella, A., & Hanada, R. (2000). Biological control of neotropical aquatic weeds with fungi. *Crop Protection*, 19(8-10), 697-703. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194\(00\)00093-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194(00)00093-4)
- Basak, B., Pramanik, M. A. H., Rahman, M. S., Tarafdar, S. U., & Roy, B. C. (2002). Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) as a feed ingredient in broiler ration. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 1(1), 29-34.
- Becerra, M., Preston, T. R., & Ogle, B. (1995). Effect of replacing whole boiled soya beans with azolla in the diets of growing ducks. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 7(3), 32-38.
- Becking, J. H. (1979). Environmental requirements of Azolla for use in tropical rice production. In *Nitrogen and rice symposium proceedings*. 345-373
- Bhaskaran, S. K., & Kannapan, P. (2015). Nutritional composition of four different species of Azolla. *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 5(3), 6-12.
- Bhat, T. A., Ahmad, L., Ganai, M. A., & Khan, O. A. (2015). Nitrogen fixing biofertilizers; mechanism and growth promotion: a review. *Journal of Pure Applied Microbiology*, 9(2), p. 1675-1690.
- Bhatt, N., Singh, N. P., Singh, A. K., Kandpal, D., Chaudhary, P., & Patoliya, P. (2020). Azolla - A potent unconventional feed and its effect of feeding on various livestock species. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*; 8(2): 1693-1698
- Bhujel, A., & Rizal, G. (2022). Exploring use of Azolla as the potential livestock feed resource: A review. *Bhutan Journal of Animal Science*, 40-47.
- Bhuvaneshwari, K., & Singh, P. K. (2015). Response of nitrogen-fixing water fern Azolla biofertilization to rice crop. *3 Biotech*, 5(4), 523-529.
- Blummel, M., & Ørskov, E.R., (1993). Comparison of in vitro gas production and nylon-bag degradability of roughage in predicting feed intake in cattle. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 40, 109 - 119.
- Boateng, M. Okai, D. B. Baah, J. & Donkoh, A. (2008). Palm kernel cake extraction and utilization in pig and poultry diets in Ghana. 20, Article #99. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> Jun, 2022, from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd20/7/boat20099.htm>
- Brouwer, P., Schluempmann, H., Nierop, K. G., Elderson, J., Bijl, P. K., van der Meer, de Visser, W., Jan Reichart, G., Smeekens S., and van der



- Werf, A. (2018). Growing Azolla to produce sustainable protein feed: the effect of differing species and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on biomass productivity and chemical composition. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 98(12), 4759-4768. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.9016
- Buda, M., & Mohamed, Z. (2021). Impact of Different Importation Policies Scenarios on Beef Industry in Peninsular Malaysia. *AGRARIS: Journal of Agribusiness and Rural Development Research*, 7(1), 24-35.
- Cary, P. R., & Weerts, P. G. (1992). Growth and nutrient composition of *Azolla pinnata* R. Brown and *Azolla filiculoides* Lamarck as affected by water temperature, nitrogen and phosphorus supply, light intensity and pH. *Aquatic Botany*, 43(2), 163-180.
- Castro, C. S., Herrera, P., Leal, C. C., & Burgos, A. A. (2003). *In vitro* gas production and digestibility of Mucuna bean. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 1(2-3), 77-80.
- Chapman, A. L., Shaw, W., & Renaud, S. (1981). Effect of temperature on the growth and acetylene reduction activity of *Azolla pinnata* from the Darwin region of northern Australia. *Journal of the Australian Institute of Agricultural Science*, 47(4), 223-225.
- Costa, M. L., Santos, M. C., & Carrapiço, F. (1999). Biomass characterization of *Azolla filiculoides* grown in natural ecosystems and wastewater. *Hydrobiologia*, 415, 323-327. DOI: 10.1023/A:1003824426183
- Costarelli A, Cannavò S, Cerri M, Pellegrino RM, Reale L, Paolocci F., & Pasqualini S (2021) Light and Temperature Shape the Phenylpropanoid Profile of *Azolla filiculoides* Fronds. *Frontier Plant Science* 12(727667). 1-18. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2021.727667
- Dardak, R.A. (2019). Malaysia's Agrofood Policy (NAP 2011-2020)-Performance and New Direction (*Serdang: FFTC Agricultural Policy Platform*)
- Das, M., Rahim, F. I., & Hossain, M. (2018). Evaluation of fresh *Azolla pinnata* as a low-cost supplemental feed for Thai Silver Barb *Barbonymus gonionotus*. *Fishes*, 3(1), 15. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes3010015>
- Delgado-Pertíñez, M., & Horcada, A. (2021). Better Animal Feeding for Improving the Quality of Ruminant Meat and Dairy. *Foods*, 10(5), 1076. DOI: [10.3390/foods10051076](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10051076)
- Du, Y., Cui, B., Wang, Z., Sun, J., & Niu, W. (2020). Effects of manure fertilizer on crop yield and soil properties in China: A meta-analysis. *Catena*, 193, 104-617.

- El-Sobky, E. S. E. (2017). Effect of burned rice straw, phosphorus and nitrogen fertilization on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, 62(1), 113-120. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aosas.2017.05.007>
- El-Waziry, A., Alkoaik, F., Khalil, A., Metwally, H., & Fulleros, R. (2016). Nutrient components and in vitro digestibility of treated and untreated date palm wastes with mushroom (*Pleurotus florida*). *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci*, 4(4), 195-199. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14737/journal.aavs/2016/4.4.195.199>
- Escoto, D. F., Gayer, M. C., Bianchini, M. C., da Cruz Pereira, G., Roehrs, R., & Denardin, E. L. (2019). Use of *Pistia stratiotes* for phytoremediation of water resources contaminated by clomazone. *Chemosphere*, 227, 299-304. DOI:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.04.013
- Ezieshi, E. V., & Olomu, J. M. (2007). Nutritional evaluation of palm kernel meal types: 1. Proximate composition and metabolizable energy values. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 6(21).
- Fasakin, E. A. (1999). Nutrient quality of leaf protein concentrates produced from water fern (*Azolla africana* Desv) and duckweed (*Spirodela polyrrhiza* L. Schleiden). *Bioresource Technology*, 69(2), 185-187.
- Freer, M. (Ed.). (2007). Nutrient requirements of domesticated ruminants. *Commonwealth Science and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO) publishing*.
- Ganguly, A., Das, S., Bhattacharya, A., & Singh, P. (2013). Studies on the production of xylose from water hyacinth. *Advances in Chemical Science*, 2(1), 1-7.
- Goering, H. K., & Van Soest, P. J. (1970). *Forage fiber analyses (apparatus, reagents, procedures, and some applications)* (No. 379). US Agricultural Research Service.
- Golzary, A., Hosseini, A., & Saber, M. (2020). *Azolla filiculoides* as a feedstock for biofuel production: cultivation condition optimization. *International Journal of Energy and Water Resources*, 5(1), 85-94.
- Grinnell, N. A., van der Linden, A., Azhar, B., Nobilly, F., & Slingerland, M. (2022). Cattle-oil palm integration—a viable strategy to increase Malaysian beef self-sufficiency and palm oil sustainability. *Livestock Science*, 259, 104902
- Gupta, S. K., Chandra, R., Dey, D., Mondal, G., & Shinde, K. P. (2018). Study of chemical composition and mineral content of sun dried *Azolla pinnata*. *J. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(6), 1214-1216.

- Haegele, T., & Arjarn, W. (2021). Role of cutting type and planting density for the production of biomass from napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* SCHUMACH.). *Suranaree Journal of Science & Technology*, 28(1).
- Hafizah, Q., Hanum, H., & Damanik, M. M. B. (2020). Providing Azolla and goat manure to increase nutrient N and growth of lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Jurnal Pertanian Tropik*, 7, 40-46. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32734/jpt.v7i1,April.3597>
- Hamidan, M. F. R., Nazri, A. I. M., Bidin, H., Samat, N., & Nadzir, M. N. H. M. (2020). 2.7 The effect of different concentration of livestock fertilizers on growth performances of *Azolla pinnata*. In *Malaysia International Biology Symposium 2019 (i-SIMBIOMAS 2019)*. 88.
- Hany Handajani. 2011. Optimization of Nitrogen and Phosphorus in Azolla growth as biofertilizer. *Makara, Teknologi Vol. 15 (2)*: 142-146
- Haryani, H., Norlindawati, A. P., Norfadzrin, F., Aswanimiyuni, A., & Azman, A. (2018). Yield and nutritive values of six Napier (*Pennisetum purpureum*) cultivars at different cutting age. *Malaysian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 9(2), 6-12.
- Hassanzadeh, M., Zarkami, R., & Sadeghi, R. (2021). Uptake and accumulation of heavy metals by water body and *Azolla filiculoides* in the Anzali wetland. *Applied Water Science*, 11(6), 1-8.
- Hedayetullah, M., Zaman, P., & Kundu, R. (2018). Pangola grass (Digit or woolly finger grass). *Forage Crops of the World, Volume II: Minor Forage Crops*. Apple Academic Press. 59-66.
- Hepworth K, M. Neary, & T. Hutchens. (2006). Managing Internal Parasitism in Sheep and Goats. *Purdue University Cooperative Extension Service, West Lafayette*: 1-9
- Hossain, M. A., Shimu, S. A., Sarker, M. S. A., Ahsan, M. E., & Banu, M. R. (2021). Biomass Growth and Composition of *Azolla (Azolla Pinnata* R. BR.) Supplemented With Inorganic Phosphorus in Outdoor Culture. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, 19(1), 177-184.
- Hossain, M. E., Sikder, H., Kabir, M. H., & Sarma, S. M. (2015). Nutritive value of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). *Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research*, 5(2), 40-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1981.tb01710.x>
- Hungate, R. E. (2013). The rumen and its microbes. *Elsevier*.
- IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

- Ibtisham, F., Nawab, A. A. M. I. R., Li, G., Xiao, M., An, L., & Naseer, G. (2018). Effect of nutrition on reproductive efficiency of dairy animals. *Medycyna weterynaryjna*, 74(6), 356-361.
- Indira, D., Rao, K. S., Suresh, J., Naidu, K. V., & Ravi, A. (2014). Optimum conditions for culturing of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*). *International Journal Advanced Research of Biology Science*, 1(2), 87-89
- Jackson, T. A. (1980). The effect of defoliation on yield of radish. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 94(3), 415-419. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.1980.tb03957.x>
- Jouany, J. P., & Ushida, K. (1999). The role of protozoa in feed digestion-Review. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 12(1), 113-128. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.1999.113>
- Jouany, J. P., & Ushida, K. (1999). The role of protozoa in feed digestion-Review. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 12(1), 113-128.
- Kaiser, A.G. and K.L. Kerr (2003). More Accurate Laboratory Tests for Assessing Silage Quality. *Final Report for DRDC Project DAN 100 (RIRDC Project DRD-4A)*. 9-19.
- Kamaruddin, N. A., Yusuf, N. M., Ishak, M. F., & Kamarudin, M. S. (2019). Study on Chemical Composition of *Azolla filiculoides* and *Hydrilla verticillata*. *Journal of Agrobiotechnology*, 10(1S), 68-74.
- Katole, S. B., Lende, S. R., & Patil, S. S. (2017). A review on potential livestock feed: Azolla. *Livestock Research International*, 5(1), 1-9.
- Khare, A., Baghel, R. P. S., Gupta, R. S., Nayak, S., Khare, V., Patil, A., ... & Singh, V. P. (2014). Milk production of indigenous cattle fed supplements of mustard oil cake or azolla meal (*Azolla filiculoides*). *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 26(4), 65.
- Khursheed, I., Masud, S., Khan, A., Khan, N., Kour, S., Dua, S., & Khursheed, I. (2019). Proximate evaluation of *Azolla pinnata* as sustainable feed supplement for poultry. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(3), 3157-3160.
- Khursheed, I., Masud, S., Khan, A., Khan, N., Kour, S., Dua, S., & Khursheed, I. (2019). Proximate evaluation of *Azolla pinnata* as sustainable feed supplement for poultry. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(3), 3157-3160.
- Kim, S. H., Alam, M. J., Gu, M. J., Park, K. W., Jeon, C. O., Ha, J. K., ... & Lee, S. S. (2012). Effect of total mixed ration with fermented feed on ruminal in vitro fermentation, growth performance and blood characteristics of Hanwoo steers. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 25(2), 213.

- Kollah, B., Patra, A. K., & Mohanty, S. R. (2016). *Aquatic microphylla* Azolla: a perspective paradigm for sustainable agriculture, environment and global climate change. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(5), 4358-4369. DOI: 10.1007/s11356-015-5857-9
- Kösesakal, T. (2014). Effects of seasonal changes on pigment composition of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. *American Fern Journal*, 104(2), 58-66.
- Kösesakal, T., & Yıldız, M. (2019). Growth performance and biochemical profile of *Azolla pinnata* and *Azolla caroliniana* grown under greenhouse conditions. *Archives of Biological Sciences*, 71(3), 475-482.
- Kraiprom, T., & Tumwasorn, S. (2017). Optimum proportion of sweet corn by-product silage (SCW) and rice straw in total mixed ration using *in vitro* gas production. *Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 51(2), 79-83.
- Kum, W. H., & Zahari, M. W. (2011). Utilisation of oil palm by-products as ruminant feed in Malaysia. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 23(1), 1029-1035.
- Kumar G. V, S. S. Deshmukh, V. Jagadeeswary. (2022). Innovations in Dairy Management. *National Institute of Agricultural Extension Management (MANAGE), Veterinary College, Hassan, Karnataka Veterinary Animal and Fisheries Sciences University (B), Karnataka, India.*
- Kumar, G., & Chander, H. (2017). Study on the Potential of *Azolla pinnata* as livestock Feed Supplement for climate Change adaptation and Mitigation. *Asian Journal of Advance Basic Science* 5(2), 65-68.
- Kumar, P.R., Sreelekshmi, K.S., Anjana, S.B., Harikrishnan, S., Santhanu, G. N., & Leena V.P. (2020). Role of Agricultural Wastes in Construction Industry. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 9 (3), 66-69.
- Kumar, U., Nayak, A. K., Panneerselvam, P., Kumar, A., Mohanty, S., Shahid, M., ... & Nayak, P. K. (2019). Cyanobiont diversity in six *Azolla* spp. and relation to *Azolla*-nutrient profiling. *Planta*, 249(5), 1435-1447.
- Lee, J., Lee, S. S., Kim, C. L., Choi, B. H., Lee, S. H., Kim, D. K., & Ryu, C. H. (2021). Effect of forage sources in total mixed ration (TMR) on *in vitro* rumen fermentation of goat. *J Korean Grassl Forage Sci*, 41, 102-109.
- Liu, X. M., Gu, W. R., Li, C. F., Jing, L. I., & Shi, W. E. I. (2021). Effects of nitrogen fertilizer and chemical regulation on spring maize lodging characteristics, grain filling and yield formation under high planting density in Heilongjiang Province, China. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 20(2), 511-526.
- Lumpkin, T. A., (1984). Assessing the potential for *Azolla* use in the humid tropics. *International Rice Commission News*, (33), 30- 33.



- Luthfi, N., Restitrisnani, V., & Umar, M. (2018). The optimization of crude fiber content of diet for fattening madura beef cattle to achieve good A:P ratio and low methane production. *In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 119(1), 012-056. IOP Publishing. DOI:10.1088/1755-1315/119/1/012056
- Mahadi, M. A., Dadari, S. A., Tanimu, B., Kuchinda, N. C., Sharifai, A. I., & Bature, M. S. (2013). Effects of weed control and cow dung manure on growth performance of quality protein maize in Samaru, Zaria, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 21(2), 85-95. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/njbas.v21i2.1>
- Majumdar J, Rajagopal V, Shantaram MV. (1993). Rock phosphate is an effective P carrier for Azolla. *International Rice Reserach Notes* 18(1), 40.
- Mako, A. A. (2013). Performance of West African Dwarf goats fed graded levels of sun-cured water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes* Mart. Solms-Laubach) replacing Guinea grass. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 25(7), 2013.
- Mako, A. A., Babayemi, O. J., & Akinsoyinu, A. O. (2011). An evaluation of nutritive value of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes* Mart. Solms-Laubach) harvested from different water sources as animal feed. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 23(5), 10.
- Mani, A. M. M. (2019). Utilization leaf meal of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) as a replacement protein source for growing Awassi lambs. *International Journal of Veterinary Science*, 8(1), 54-60.
- Mathur, G. N., Sharma, R., & Choudhary, P. C. (2013). Use of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) as cattle feed supplement. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 2(1), 73-75.
- McDonald, P., Edward, R.A, Greenhalgh, J.F.D., Morgan, C.A., Sinclair, L.A. & Wilkinson, R.G. (2010). *Animal Nutrition 7<sup>th</sup> Edition*, New York : Prentice Hall/Pearson, 165-166.
- McDonald, T. J., Nichols, B. M., Harbac, M. M., Norvell, T. M., & Paterson, J. A. (2010). Dry matter intake is repeatable over parities and residual feed intake is negatively correlated with dry matter digestibility in gestating cows. *Proceedings Western Section, American Society of Animal Science*, 61, 21-24.
- Menke, H.H. and Steingass, H. (1988) Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and in vitro gas production using rumen fluid. *Animal Research and Development*, 28, 7-55.
- Menke, K. H. (1988). Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid. *Animal research and development*, 28, 7-55.

- Menke, K. H., Raab, L., Salewski, A., Steingass, H., Fritz, D., & Schneider, W. (1979). The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedingstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 93(1), 217-222.
- Mertens, D. R., & Grant, R. J. (2020). Digestibility and intake. *Forages: the science of grassland agriculture*, 2, 609-631.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. (2014). Fifth National Report to the convention on biological diversity, Putrajaya: *Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Malaysia*, 1-99.
- Miranda, A. F., Kumar, N. R., Spangenberg, G., Subudhi, S., Lal, B., & Mouradov, A. (2020). Aquatic plants, *Landoltia punctata*, and *Azolla filiculoides* as bio-converters of wastewater to biofuel. *Plants*, 9(4), 437. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9040437>
- Mishra, D. B., Roy, D., Kumar, V., Bhattacharyya, A., Kumar, M., Kushwaha, R., & Vaswani, S. (2016). Effect of feeding azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) meal on the performance, nutrient utilization and carcass characteristics of Chabro chicken. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 51(3), 259-263.
- Mitchell, D. S. and N. M. Tur. (1975). The Rate of Growth of *Salvinia molesta* (S. *Auriculata* Auct.) in Laboratory and Natural Conditions. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 12 (1). 213-225. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2401730>
- Moghadase, A. & Hussein, R. (2012). Use of agricultural waste in the pulp and paper industry. *The 1<sup>st</sup> International and 4<sup>th</sup> National Congress on Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*.
- Mohammad Fitri Rimi, H., Muhammad Faisal, A.B., Mohd Hafizzudin, A., Habsah, B., Shohaimi, S., Noraini S. and Mohd Noor Hisham, M.N. (2021). Biomass production and nutritional composition of *Azolla filiculoides* cultivated in a different livestock manure. *Proceeding of 40<sup>th</sup> Malaysian Society of Animal Production Annual Conferences*, 237-239.
- Mosha, S. S. (2018). A review on significance of Azolla meal as a protein plant source in finfish culture. *Journal of Aquaculture Research Development*, 9(2) 1-7. DOI: 10.4172/2155-9546.1000544
- Mowa, E., Akundabweni, L., Chimwamurombe, P., Oku, E., & Mupambwa, H. A. (2017). The influence of organic manure formulated from goat manure on growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 12(41), p. 3061-3067.
- Murillo-Ortiz, M., Herrera-Torres, E., Corral-Luna, A., & Pamanes-Carrasco, G. (2018). Effect of inclusion of graded level of water hyacinth on *in vitro*

gas production kinetics and chemical composition of alfalfa hay based beef cattle diets. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*, 52(9), 1298-1303.

- Mus, F., Crook, M. B., Garcia, K., Garcia Costas, A., Geddes, B. A., Kouri, E. D., Peters, J. W. (2016). Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation and the Challenges to Its Extension to Nonlegumes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82(13), 3698–3710. DOI:10.1128/aem.01055-16
- Mustafa, H., Ahmed, F., Zainol, W. W., & Mat Enh, A. (2021). Forecasting the Impact of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) on International Tourist Arrivals to Langkawi, Malaysia: A PostCOVID-19 Future. *Sustainability*, 13(23), 13372.
- Naghshi, H., Khojasteh, S., & Jafari, M. (2014). Investigation the effect of different levels of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) on performance and carcass characteristics of cobb broiler chicks. *International Journal Farming and Allied Science*, 3, 45-9.
- Nasir, N. A. N. M., Kamaruddin, S. A., Zakarya, I. A., & Islam, A. K. M. A. (2022). Sustainable alternative animal feeds: Recent advances and future perspective of using azolla as animal feed in livestock, poultry and fish nutrition. *Sustainable Chemistry and Pharmacy*, 25, 100581.
- National Research Council. (2016). Nutrient requirements of beef cattle. 7th Revived Edition. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- Nordiah, B., Muta Harah, Z., Japar Sidik, B. and Wan Hazma, W.N. (2012). *Azolla pinnata* Growth Performance in Different Water Source. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 15(13), 621-628
- Owens, F. N., & Basalan, M. (2016). Ruminant fermentation. *Rumenology*, 63-102.
- Parashuramulu, S., Swain, P. S., & Nagalakshmi, D. (2013). Protein fractionation and in vitro digestibility of Azolla in ruminants. *Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research*, 3(3), 129-132.
- Pereira, A. L. (2018). The Unique Symbiotic System between a Fern and a Cyanobacterium, Azolla-Anabaena azollae: Their Potential as Biofertilizer, Feed, and Remediation. *Symbiosis*. 1-18. <http://doi:10.5772/intechopen.70466>
- Pereira, A. L., Martins, M., Oliveira, M. M., & Carrapiço, F. (2011). Morphological and genetic diversity of the family Azollaceae inferred from vegetative characters and RAPD markers. *Plant systematics and evolution*, 297, 213-226.
- Pillai, P. K., Premalatha, S., & Rajamony, S. (2002). Azolla-A sustainable feed substitute for livestock. *Leisa India*, 4(1), 15-17.



- Putri, E. M., Zain, M., Warly, L., & Hermon, H. (2019). In vitro evaluation of ruminant feed from West Sumatera based on chemical composition and content of rumen degradable and rumen undegradable proteins. *Veterinary world*, 12(9), DOI:1478.10.14202/vetworld.2019.1478-1483
- Rakhshae, R., Khosravi, M., & Ganji, M. T. (2006). Kinetic modeling and thermodynamic study to remove Pb (II), Cd (II), Ni (II) and Zn (II) from aqueous solution using dead and living *Azolla filiculoides*. *Journal of Hazardous materials*, 134(1-3), p. 120-129.
- Rashad, S. (2021). An overview on the aquatic fern *Azolla* spp. as a sustainable source of nutrients and bioactive compounds with resourceful applications. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 25(1), 775-982.
- Rashmi, I., Roy, T., Kartika, K. S., Pal, R., Coumar, V., Kala, S., & Shinoji, K. C. (2020). Organic and inorganic fertilizer contaminants in agriculture: impact on soil and water resources. In *Contaminants in agriculture*. p. 3-41
- Rawat, N., Kumari, K., Singh, F., & Gilhare, V. R. (2015). Effect of *Azolla*-supplemented feeding on milk production of cattle and production performance of broilers. *Applied Biological Research*, 17(2), 214-218.
- Rayne, N., & Aula, L. (2020). Livestock manure and the impacts on soil health: A review. *Soil Systems*, 4(4), 64.
- Rezai, G., Shamsudin, M. N., & Mohamed, Z. (2016). Urban agriculture: A way forward to food and nutrition security in Malaysia. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 216, 39-45.
- Rosali, M. H. (2015). The Development and Future Direction of Malaysia's Livestock Industry the Development and Future Direction of Malaysia's Livestock Industry. *FFTC Agricultural Policy Platform*.
- Roy, D., Kumar, V., Kumar, M., Sirohi, R., Singh, Y., & Singh, J. K. (2016). Effect of feeding *Azolla pinnata* on growth performance, feed intake, nutrient digestibility and blood biochemical's of Hariana heifers fed on roughage based diet. *Indian Journal of Dairy Science*, 69(2), 190-196.
- Rusli, N. D., Ghani, A. A. A., Mat, K., Yusof, M. T., Zamri-Saad, M., & Hassim, H. A. (2021). The potential of pretreated oil palm frond in enhancing rumen degradability and growth performance: A review. *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*.
- Russell, J. B. (1998). The importance of pH in the regulation of ruminal acetate to propionate ratio and methane production *in vitro*. *Journal of dairy science*, 81(12), 3222-3230.
- Sabarariah, B., Norfadzrin, F., Mohamad, N. I., Aswanimiyuni, A., & Haryani, H. (2018). Effect of probiotics in fermented palm kernel meal (FPKM) and

total mixed ration (TMR) to improve milk production in Mafriwal dairy cattle of Malaysia Veterinary Institute (IVM) farm. *Malaysian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 9(1), 45-51.

Sahota, A. (Ed.). (2014). Sustainability: how the cosmetics industry is greening up. *John Wiley & Sons*.

Samantha G. & Tamang Y. (1993) Feeding value of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) an aquatic fern in Black Bengal goats, *Indian Journal Animal Science*, 63, p. 188-190.

Saminathan, M., Mohamed, W. N. W., NOH, A., Ibrahim, N. A., Fuat, M. A., Dian, N. L. H. M., & Ramiah, S. K. (2020). Potential of feeding crude palm oil and co-products of palm oil milling on laying hens' performance and egg quality: A review. *J. Oil Palm Res*, 32, 547-558.

Sarker, N. R., Yeasmin, D., Tabassum, F., & Habib, M. A. (2018). Effect of paddy-straw based Total Mixed Ration (TMR) on milk yield, milk composition and rumen parameters in lactating Red Chittagong cows. *Bangladesh Journal of Livestock Research*, 69-81. DOI:10.3329/bjlr.v0i0.45449

Sarria, P., & Preston, T. R. (1995). A chick assay method for the evaluation of non-conventional protein sources derived from Nacadero (*Trichanthera gigantea*) and Azolla (*Azolla filiculoides*). *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 7(3).

Saunders, R. M. K., & Fowler, K. (1992). A morphological taxonomic revision of Azolla Lam. section Rhizosperma (Mey.) Mett. (Azollaceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 109(3), 329-357. <http://doi:10.1111/j.1095-8339.1992.tb00277.x>

Saunders, R. M., & Fowler, K. (1992). A morphological taxonomic revision of Azolla LAM. Section Rhizosperma (MEY.) METT. (Azollaceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 109(3), 329-357. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1992.tb00277.x>

Seephueak, W., Ngampongsai, W., & Chanjula, P. (2011). Effects of palm oil sludge in concentrate on nutrient utilization and rumen ecology of Thai native cattle fed with hay. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science & Technology*, 33(3). 271-280

Shaltout, K. H., El-Komi, T. M., & Eid, E. M. (2012). Seasonal variation in the phytomass, chemical composition and nutritional value of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. along the water courses in the Nile Delta, Egypt. *Feddes Repertorium*, 123(1), 37-49.

Sheng, C. C., & Daud. A. H. (2016). Manual teknologi pencedungan padi secara mekanikal. *Institut Penyelidikan dan Kemajuan Pertanian Malaysia (MARDI)*. 1-27.

- Sheng, T. Y., Shamsudin, M. N., Mohamed, Z., Abdullah, A. M., & Radam, A. (2010). Demand Analysis of Meat in Malaysia. *Journal of Food Products Marketing*, 16(2), 199–211. DOI: 10.1080/10454440903415105
- Shukla, M., Bhattacharyya, A., Shukla, P. K., Roy, D., Yadav, B., & Sirohi, R. (2018). Effect of Azolla feeding on the growth, feed conversion ratio, blood biochemical attributes and immune competence traits of growing turkeys. *Veterinary World*, 11(4), 459 DOI: [10.14202/vetworld.2018.459-463](https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2018.459-463)
- Singh, D. P., & Singh, P. K. (1995). Response of *Azolla caroliniana* and rice to phosphorus enrichment of the Azolla inoculum and phosphorus fertilization during intercropping. *Experimental agriculture*, 31(1), p. 21-26
- Sivasankari, B., & Ravindra. D. (2016). A study on chemical analysis of water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*), water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*). *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 5(10). 17566-17570
- Stafford, P. J. (2003). AZOLLACEAE. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 123(1-2), 9–17. [http://doi:10.1016/s0034-6667\(02\)00149-5](http://doi:10.1016/s0034-6667(02)00149-5)
- Stephen, E. C., Adebisi, A. K., Chinedu, I., & Samuel, A. A. (2017). Chemical composition of Water lily (*Nymphaea lotus*) bulbs. *American Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*, 4(2), 7-12.
- Stewart, R. M., & Boyd, W. A. (1999). The Grass Carp stocking rate model (AMUR/STOCK). *Aquatic Plant Control Technical Note MI-03*. 1-14.
- Subudhi, B. P. R., & Singh, P. K. (1978). Nutritive value of the water fern *Azolla pinnata* for chicks. *Poultry Science*, 57(2), 378-380. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0570378>
- Sujatha, T., Kundu, A., Jeyakumar, S., & Kundu, M. S. (2013). Azolla supplementation: Feed cost benefit in duck ration in andaman islands. *Tamilnadu Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences* 9 (2). 130 - 136,
- Suleiman, M., Khadija, A. Y., Nasiru, Y., Garba, A. A., Alhassan, M., & Bello, H. J. (2020). Proximate, minerals and anti-nutritional composition of water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*) grass. *Earthline Journal of Chemical Sciences*, 3(1), 51-59.
- Suntharalingam, C. (2019). Marketing mix of Milk and dairy products in peninsular Malaysia. *Food Value Chain in ASEAN: Case Studies Focusing on Local Producers. ERIA. Research Project Report FY2018*, (5), 116-33.
- Tack, F. M. G., Singh, S. P., & Verloo, M. G. (1997). Comparison of ashing procedures for the determination of trace elements in plant leaves. *AGROCHIMICA-PISA-*, 41, 182-185.

- Tambi, M. F., & Dardak, R. A. (2020). Emerging Industry Revolution 4.0 and Its Relationship with the Agriculture Sector in Malaysia. *FFTC Agricultural Policy Platform (FFTC-AP)*. <https://ap.ffc.org.tw/article/2618>
- Tamizhkumaran, J. and Rao, S.V.N. (2016). Why Cultivation of Azolla as Cattle Feed Not Sustainable. *Research Article*, 348-349.
- Theodorou, M. K., Williams, B. A., Dhanoa, M. S., McAllan, A. B., & France, J. (1994). A simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. *Animal feed science and technology*, 48(3-4), 185-197. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401\(94\)90171-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401(94)90171-6)
- Usman, M. (2015). Cow dung, goat and poultry manure and their effects on the average yields and growth parameters of tomato crop. *Journal of biology, agriculture and healthcare*, 5(5), 7-10.
- Utomo, R., Noviandi, C. T., Umami, N., & Permadi, A. (2019). Effect of Composted Animal Manure as Fertilizer on Productivity of *Azolla Pinnata* Grown in Earthen Ponds. *OnLine Joirnal Biology Science*, 19, 232-236.
- Vahedi, V., Hedayat-Evrigh, N., Holman, B. W., & Ponnampalam, E. N. (2021). Supplementation of macro algae (*Azolla pinnata*) in a finishing ration alters feed efficiency, blood parameters, carcass traits and meat sensory properties in lambs. *Small Ruminant Research*, 203, 106498.
- Van Hove, C., & Lejeune, A. (2002). The Azolla: anabaena symbiosis. In *Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* 102 (1), 23-26.
- Van Soest, P.J., Robertson J.B., & Lewis B.A. (1991). Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non-starch carbohydrates in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 74 (10), 3583-3597. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(91\)78551-2](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2)
- Wang, L., Zhang, G., Li, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Effects of high forage/concentrate diet on volatile fatty acid production and the microorganisms involved in VFA production in cow rumen. *Animals*, 10(2), 223.
- Wilkinson, J. M., Lee, M. R., Rivero, M. J., & Chamberlain, A. T. (2020). Some challenges and opportunities for grazing dairy cows on temperate pastures. *Grass and Forage Science*, 75(1), 1-17.
- Wong, C. C., Moog, F., & Chen, C. P. (2019). Forage and ruminant livestock integration in tree crop plantations of Southeast Asia. In *Grasslands: Developments Opportunities Perspectives* (pp. 403-431). CRC Press.

- Wong, C. Y., & Lim, G. (2020). A typology of agricultural production systems: Capability building trajectories of three Asian economies. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 61(1), 37-53.
- Zailan, M. Z., Yaakub, H., & Jusoh, S. (2016a). *In vitro* digestibility and gas production characteristics of four Napier (*Pennisetum purpureum*) cultivars as fresh fodder. *Malaysian Journal of Animal Science*, 19(2), 95-105.
- Zailan, M. Z., Yaakub, H., & Jusoh, S. (2016b). Yield and nutritive value of four Napier (*Pennisetum purpureum*) cultivars at different harvesting ages. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 7(5), 213-219. DOI: 10.5251/abjna.2016.7.5.213.219
- Zainalabidin, F. A., Hassan, F. M., Zin, N. S. M., Azmi, W. N. W., & Ismail, M. I. (2019). Halal system in meat industries. *Malaysian Journal of Halal Research*, 2(1), 1-5.
- Zimmerman, W. J. (1985). Biomass and pigment production in three isolates of *Azolla* II. Response to light and temperature stress. *Annals of Botany*, 56(5), 701-709.