



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**CONSTRUCTABILITY FACTORS IN THE MALAYSIAN
CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY**

MEKDAM A. NIMA

FK 2001 47

**CONSTRUCTABILITY FACTORS IN THE MALAYSIAN
CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY**

By

MEKDAM A. NIMA

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

June 2001



DEDICATION

To my first teachers:

My FATHER and my MOTHER



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**CONSTRUCTABILITY FACTORS IN THE MALAYSIAN
CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY**

By

MEKDAM A. NIMA

June 2001

Chairman: Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Razali Abdul-Kadir

Faculty: Engineering

Advances have been made in the theory and implementation of constructability in many developed countries such as the United States, United Kingdom and Australia. This is not observed in the Malaysian construction industry. This research aimed to narrow this gap. The first objective of this study is to establish statistical models to describe constructability implementation in the Malaysian construction industry so that an insight on the factors contributing to the constructability implementation can be established. The second objective is to evaluate the independent factors affecting constructability implementation in the Malaysian construction industry.

The research findings were based on an industry wide questionnaire survey and four case studies: two highways projects, a cable stayed bridge and a sport complex. These case studies underpinned the results of the survey. A series of logistic predictive models were developed to assist managers in predicting the probabilities of successful implementation of the constructability concepts in their organizations,



based on the estimates and the odds ratios of the independent factors. This provides a quantitative approach to constructability implementation in the Malaysian construction industry.

The study reveals that five out of the eight examined factors significantly ($p < 0.50$) affect constructability implementation. These are organization type, level of education, design experience, construction experience and engineers' attitude. The five significant factors can be used to enhance the Malaysian construction industry. The first significant factor of education level is more difficult to control than the other factors. The second and third significant factors of design experience and construction experience can be controlled through acquiring of knowledge and better access to information. The fourth significant factor of the engineers' attitude towards constructability implementation can be enhanced through publishing constructability guides. The fifth significant factor of organization type entails targeting engineers in client and consultant organizations more than the engineers in contracting and construction management organizations.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**FAKTOR KEBOLEHBINAAN DALAM INDUSTRI PEMBINAAN
MALAYSIA**

Oleh

MEKDAM A. NIMA

Jun 2001

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Ir. Dr. Mohd Razali Abdul-Kadir

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Banyak kemajuan telah dicapai dalam teori dan peningkatan kebolehbinaan di dalam industri pembinaan di kebanyakan negara-negara maju seperti Amerika Syarikat, United Kingdom dan Australia. Perkara ini tidak berlaku di Malaysia. Dengan demikian, tujuan utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengurangkan jurang tersebut. Objektif utama projek ini adalah untuk membina model statistik untuk menerangkan penggunaan kebolehbinaan di dalam industri pembinaan di Malaysia. Objektif kedua ialah untuk menilai faktor-faktor tersendiri yang berkaitan dengan penggunaan kebolehbinaan di dalam industri pembinaan di Malaysia.

Kajian ini dibuat berdasarkan soalselidik berkaitan dengan industri berserta dengan empat kajian kes: dua projek lebuh raya, satu jambatan berkabel dan juga sebuah kompleks sukan. Kajian kes ini menyokong keputusan hasil soalselidik. Satu siri model logistik telah dibentuk untuk membantu pengurus meramal kemungkinan kejayaan dan pengurusan konsep kebolehbinaan dalam sesuatu organisasi,

berdasarkan anggaran kasar dan juga kadar faktor tersendiri. Ini akan memberikan satu analisis kuantitatif terhadap penggunaan kebolehbinaan dalam industri pembinaan di Malaysia.

Kajian ini mendapati lima daripada lapan faktor yang dikaji memberi kesan yang bererti ($P < 0.05$) kepada penggunaan kebolehbinaan. Ia terdiri daripada jenis organisasi, tahap pembelajaran, pengalaman merekabentuk, pengalaman dalam pembinaan dan juga persepsi jurutera. Lima faktor tersebut boleh digunakan untuk meningkatkan keupayaan industri pembinaan di Malaysia. Faktor ketara yang pertama adalah daripada segi tahap pembelajaran dimana ia sangat sukar dikawal berbanding dengan faktor lain. Faktor ketara yang kedua dan ketiga adalah faktor pengalaman merekabentuk dan juga pengalaman pembinaan dimana ia bergantung kepada pencarian pengetahuan dan kemudahan mendapatkan maklumat. Faktor ketara yang keempat adalah sikap jurutera terhadap penggunaan kebolehbinaan yang mana ia boleh dibentuk dan ditingkatkan melalui buku panduan. Faktor ketara kelima adalah jenis organisasi yang lebih memfokus kepada jurutera-jurutera dari organisasi perunding dan klien berbanding dengan jurutera-jurutera dari organisasi pembinaan dan pengurusan pembinaan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the assistance and the support of the many dedicated people who were genuine in their desire to contribute to see this thesis come to fruition.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohammad Razali Abdul-Kadir, chairman of the supervisory committee for overseeing this thesis, who contributed a great deal to this study. He was more than an adviser to me. His brotherly care and his valuable background in Engineering Management have all been a great help to me in writing the thesis.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to the members of the supervisory committee, Professor Ir. Abang Abdullah Abang Ali and Professor Ir. Dr. Radin Umar Radin Sohadi for their invaluable comments and input.

Many thanks go to my wife Jamila and my eldest son Tammam for their help in typing and preparing the figures. Many thanks also to my son Bassam who was born during the third semester of my doctorate study and learned to walk on the draft of my thesis in the fifth semester.

I am indebted to Mr. Muhaned Aljabiry, my brother in-law, Senior Transportation Engineer and Chief of the Co-operative Agreements Branch at the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), USA, for his invaluable discussions via the



Internet, during the period of my study. I would like to acknowledge Mr. Aljabiry's assistance in proof reading the text of the thesis. He has always said, "I feel honored to contribute to your thesis and I am very proud of all your accomplishments".

I wish to express special thanks to my sister Angham in California for her hard work in formatting my thesis.

I wish to send my thanks, across the continents, to my sister Dr. Alhan in Jordan, my brother, Electrical Engineer, Humam in Austria and my brother, Computer Engineer, Aws in Belgium for their continuous encouragement and being so proud of me. I am also very proud of them.

I also wish to extend my sincere appreciation to Dr. Steve Scott, professor at the University of Newcastle, UK; Dr. Chad Perry, professor at the University of Southern Queensland, Australia; and Mr. Martin Wilson of Taywood Engineering Ltd., UK for providing me with their resourceful literature.

I would like to thank Mr. Manan Amir and Jamaluddin Harun, directors at Khairi Consult Sdn. Bhd., for providing me with the documents required during the case studies of the research. Many thanks go to Mr. Tuan Mohd Ridhwan and Mrs. Rosleena Alias for their professional assistance in drawing the Figures for the thesis, using Auto CAD.



I wish to thank Mr. Law Teik Hua of the Road Safety Research Center in Universiti Putra Malaysia for assisting in the statistical matters of the research. I wish to thank Madam Tan Bee Hoon of the English Language Department, Faculty of Modern Language Studies in Universiti Putra Malaysia for editing the thesis. I also wish to thank Madam Noor Hajar Abu Bakar of the UPM library for her assistance in providing me with many valuable references from abroad.

My sincere gratitude to all the owners, developers, consultants and construction companies and organizations for their co-operation in answering the questionnaires and participating in the interviews for the thesis.

To my father and my mother, my first teachers in life and school, to whom I have dedicated my thesis and whom I love very much, thank you for your love, support and encouragement.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Introduction	1
1.2 Historical Background	2
1.2.1 Engineering in Antiquity	3
1.2.2 Beginning of the Absence of Constructability	4
1.2.3 Solutions to the Absence of Constructability	6
1.3 Research Objectives	7
1.4 Justification of Objectives	8
1.5 Guide to the Thesis	10
2 CONSTRUCTABILITY RELATED ISSUES	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Constructability Definition	11
2.3 The Engineered Construction Phases	15
2.3.1 Transition Phase	18
2.3.2 Conceptual Planning and Design Phases	18
2.3.3 Procurement Phase	20
2.3.4 Construction Phase	22
2.3.5 Start-up Phase	23
2.4 Construction Management Approach	23
2.5 Fast-Track Method	24
2.6 Summary	26
3 PROJECT CONSTRUCTABILITY ENHANCEMENT CONCEPTS	28
3.1 Introduction	28
3.2 Project Constructability Enhancement Concepts	29



	3.2.1 Project Constructability Enhancement during Conceptual Planning	29
	3.2.2 Project Constructability Enhancement during Design and Procurement	45
	3.2.3 Project Constructability Enhancement during Field Operations	69
	3.3 Summary	78
4	CONSTRUCTABILITY IMPLEMENTATION, OUTCOME, BARRIERS, AND FACTORS	80
	4.1 Introduction	80
	4.2 The Constructability Program Phases	80
	4.3 Constructability Implementation Outcome	82
	4.4 Barriers to Constructability Implementation In the United States	86
	4.5 Dependent and Independent Variables	88
	4.5.1 Dependent Variables	89
	4.5.2 Independent Variables	90
	4.5.3 Summary of the Explanatory Independent variables	94
	4.6 Hypotheses Formulation	95
	4.7 The Null and Alternative Hypotheses	95
	4.8 Summary	96
5	CONSTRUCTABILITY AND CONSTRUCTION PERSONNEL	98
	5.1 Introduction	98
	5.2 Construction Personnel's Role in Enhancing Constructability	99
	5.2.1 The Role of the Owner in Enhancing Constructability	100
	5.2.2 The Role of the Engineer in Enhancing Constructability	106
	5.2.3 The Role of the Contractor in Enhancing Constructability	120
	5.2.4 The Role of the Professional Construction Manager in Enhancing Constructability	131
	5.3 Summary	132
6	METHODOLOGY	133
	6.1 Introduction	133
	6.2 Interviews and Open Questionnaire	133
	6.3 Questionnaire Design	136
	6.4 The Final Questionnaire and its Administration	138
	6.5 Sample Size and Response Rate Determination	138
	6.6 The Criterion and Characteristics for Good Measurement	140
	6.6.1 Reliability	141
	6.6.2 Validity	143
	6.6.3 Sensitivity	144



6.7	Summary	145
7	RESULTS AND MODELS	146
7.1	Introduction	146
7.2	Descriptive Statistical Analysis of Each Constructability Concept	147
7.2.1	Results of Each Constructability Concept	147
7.2.2	Ranking the Constructability Concepts	157
7.3	Testing the Hypotheses	158
7.4	The meaning and Interpretation of P-values	159
7.5	Specification and Goodness of Fit of the Twenty-three 2MCIs	159
7.6	Summary	193
8	CASE STUDIES	194
8.1	Introduction	194
8.2	Definition of a Case Study	195
8.3	Interviews	196
8.4	Objectives of Case Studies	196
8.5	Research Questions of the Case Studies	197
8.6	Composition of Case Studies	198
8.7	First Case Study: West Port Project	199
8.7.1	Project Particulars	199
8.7.2	Sources of Information	200
8.7.3	Project Background	200
8.7.4	Project Description	201
8.7.5	Chronological Events of Initiating and Constructing the Project	202
8.7.6	Constructability Concepts in the Project	203
8.8	Second Case Study: Kuala Kangsar – Gerik Highway, Package 3	213
8.8.1	Project Particulars	213
8.8.2	Sources of Information	214
8.8.3	Project Background	214
8.8.4	Project Description	214
8.8.5	Chronological Events of Initiating and Constructing the Project	215
8.8.6	Constructability Concepts in the Project	217
8.9	Third Case Study: Kuala Selangor Second Bridge	226
8.9.1	Project Particulars	226
8.9.2	Sources of Information	226
8.9.3	Project Background	227
8.9.4	Project Description	227
8.9.5	Chronological Events of Initiating and Constructing the Project	228
8.9.6	Constructability Concepts in the Project	229

8.10	Fourth Case Study: Eight Sports Complexes	239
8.10.1	Project Particulars	239
8.10.2	Sources of Information	240
8.10.3	Project Background	240
8.10.4	Project Description	241
8.10.5	Chronological Events of Initiating and Constructing the Project	241
8.10.6	Constructability Concepts in the Project	242
8.11	Conclusions and Summary	248
9	DISCUSSION	266
9.1	Introduction	266
9.2	Discussion of the Descriptive Statistics Outcomes	266
9.3	Assessing the Independent Variables	271
9.4	Factors Affecting Constructability Implementation in the Malaysian Construction Industry	273
9.4.1	Organization Type	273
9.4.2	Level of Education	277
9.4.3	Design Experience	280
9.4.4	Construction Experience	284
9.4.5	Opinions and Attitude towards the Constructability Concepts	287
9.4.6	Project Type, Specialization and Position	291
9.5	Comprehensive Discussion	292
9.6	Summary	293
10	CONCLUSIONS	294
10.1	Introduction	294
10.2	Factors Affecting Constructability Implementation	294
10.2.1	Factors Weights in the Models	295
10.2.2	The Five Significant Factors	296
10.2.3	Implications of the Significant Factors	297
10.3	Recommendations	298
10.4	Proposals for Further researches	300
	REFERENCES	302
	APPENDICES	311
	VITA	331

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
6.1 Reliability Analysis for all the 53 Items	142
6.2 Reliability Analysis for the last 46 Items	142
7.1 Variables Characteristics in the Sample	148
7.2 Ranking the Degree of Importance and the Degree of Application of the Constructability Concepts	157
7.3 Interpretation of P-Value	159
7.4 Original Explanatory Variables for Modelling Constructability Implementation in the Malaysian Construction Industry	162
7.5 Explanatory Variables for Modelling Constructability Implementation in the Malaysian Construction Industry	163
7.6 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C1	170
7.7 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C2	171
7.8 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C3	172
7.9 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C4	173
7.10 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C5	174
7.11 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C6	175
7.12 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C7	176
7.13 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C8	177
7.14 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C9	178
7.15 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C10	179
7.16 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C11	180
7.17 Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C12	181



7.18	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C13	182
7.19	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C14	183
7.20	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C15	184
7.21	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C16	185
7.22	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C17	186
7.23	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C18	187
7.24	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C19	188
7.25	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C20	189
7.26	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C21	190
7.27	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C22	191
7.28	Multivariate Analysis and Final Model for Concept C23	192
9.1	Significance at 5% Level of the Eight Explanatory Variables in the Twenty-Three Models	272



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Engineered Construction Process	16
2.2	Equilibrium of Schedule, Cost and Quality	17
2.3	Ability to Influence Schedule, Cost and Quality	17
2.4	Transition Phase: Inputs and Outputs	19
2.5	Traditional versus Phased Construction	25
8.1	Layout Plan of West Port Project	250
8.2	Constructability Problems in the Drainage System	251
8.3	The Problems of Pavement Dismantling	252
8.4	The Process of Launching a Girder for the Elevated Structure	253
8.5	The Problems Faced during Launching Some Girders	254
8.6	Details of the Elevated Highway at Jalan Kem, Port Klang	255
8.7	The Problem of Compaction and the Result after the Project Start-Up	256
8.8	The Constructability Problem of Fixing the Gantries	257
8.9	Layout Plan of Kuala Kangsar - Gerik Highway Project	258
8.10	Micro Pile Alternatives	259
8.11	Innovation in Construction Equipment	260
8.12	Layout Plan of Kuala Selangor Second Bridge	261
8.13	An Artist Rendition of the Proposed Cable Stayed Bridge over Sungai Selangor, Kuala Selangor, Selangor, Malaysia	262
8.14	General Arrangement of Kuala Selangor Second Bridge	263
8.15	Ground Floor Plan of the Sport Complex of Johor	264
8.16	Cross Sections X-X and Y-Y of the Sport Complex of Johor	265



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2MCI	Model of Constructability Implementation in the Malaysian Construction Industry
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
A/E	Architect/Engineer
BCA	Building and Construction Authority, Singapore
CAD	Computer Aided Design
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board, Malaysia
CII	Construction Industry Institution, USA
CIIA	Construction Industry Institution, Australia
CIRIA	The Construction Industry Research and Information Association, UK
Ci	Constructability Concept Symbol
CM	Professional Construction Manager
E/C	Engineering/Construction Contractor
E/P/C	Engineering/Procurement/Construction Contractor
FIDIC	International Conditions of Contract
j	Number of the Constructability Concept
k	Respondent Number
PC	Personal Computer
PMC	Project Management Consultant
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
RE	Resident Engineer
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
SX	Vertical Summations of X_j
SY	Vertical Summations of Y_j
X_j	Importance Degree of Concept C_j from Respondents Viewpoint
XX	Horizontal Summations of X_j
Y_j	Application Degree of Concept C_j in Respondents Organisations
YY	Horizontal Summations of Y_j



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Introduction

Construction is considered to be one of the largest and most challenging industries in the world. It touches all aspects of human lives by providing factories, airports, roads, hospitals, schools, canals, bridges, and all sorts of structures and facilities to be used for the comfort of man and the betterment of life.

With the development of technology and the emergence of the metropolitan society led by the industrial revolution, the construction industry flourished and became increasingly complex. A person used to conceive a project, design it and build it on his own. Nowadays, there are specialists in the construction industry who contribute in every aspect of the construction process.

As discussed in Chapter Five of this thesis, a construction project is dependent upon numerous parties that contribute in one form or another to its successful completion. In order to coordinate the efforts of many participants in a construction project and to meet budgeting and scheduling requirements, the construction industry established the field of Construction Management to be used as a tool to ensure the successful completion of construction projects. Since then, the field of Construction Project Management passed through remarkable developmental stages and became one of the most important subjects to be studied and researched. Unfortunately, the

same may not be said about the discipline of constructability whereby application and research has started only recently.

“Constructability” is a relatively new term attracting the attention of many industrial and academic organizations. In the developed countries, and within the last twenty years, a measurable interest has developed in the constructability concept.

American and British references differ in the definition of the term “constructability”. American literature refers to it as “constructability” whereas British literature refers to it as “buildability”. It must, however, be indicated that the term “constructability” may be used for all types of “structural and civil” construction work, whereas the term “buildability” may be associated with the construction of buildings only. For this reason and for the purpose of this thesis, the term “constructability” will be used throughout, except on certain occasions where quotations from British literature are made. Even in the USA, and until 1987, researchers were not unanimous about the use of the term “constructability”. Some researchers write it: “constructibility”, while others write it “constructability”.

1.2 Historical Background

Construction Engineering is one of the oldest practical arts in the world. There is evidence of construction engineering works that dates as far back as fifty centuries ago. Engineering, long before it was called engineering, made its contribution to human societies in works such as irrigation, flood control, drainage, road and building construction. In every civilization, there are men who are eager and willing

to use the resources in nature to provide conveniences to their society.

1.2.1 Engineering in Antiquity

The Committee on Construction and Management of the American Society of Civil Engineering wrote in one of its journals, a legend about constructability (ASCE, 1991). The legend states that Hamid, one of the superintendents building the Great Pyramid, complained to the pharaoh that the blocks coming in were designed so large that installation into their final positions was too difficult, required too many men, led to unsafe work practices, and took too long. He also complained about the cutting of the blocks at the quarry. The blocks were not always true shapes, the surfaces were too rough, and required much rework at the site to make them fit. The blocks arrived at the site too late. The pharaoh, as a result of these complaints, insisted on an aggressive constructability program. He brought in Hamid to sit down with the designers and block suppliers. The designers were forced to consider rigging and manpower constraints, and accordingly reduced the size of the blocks. The quarry had to improve their quality control and deliver on time. Further, the ensuing pyramids were installed 13.5% faster at an overall saving of cost of 23.8%. These improvements lasted until the lessons learned were lost and design and construction went back to their old ways (ASCE, 1991).



1.2.2 Beginning of the Absence of Constructability

Until the early nineteenth century, architects were the master builders. They performed the design, purchased the materials, hired the craftsmen, and managed the construction. Some architects spent their entire lifetime working on a single project. There were no such things as project schedules and cost control. The architect or master builder possessed simple technology and very few types of construction materials. It should be pointed out that often the owners were not interested in a return on their investment in a tangible sense. The projects might have been monuments to their ego, such as the Pyramids, the Palace of Versailles, and the Taj Mahal (Goldhaber et al., 1977).

As industry expanded and the demand for commercial usage increased, investors began to put into their consideration new constructions as means to increase revenues. Obviously these mandated new methods were faster and more effective for completing a project. Investors could no longer wait a lifetime for returns on their investments. In the course of advancement in technology, the owners demanded more complex projects that could incorporate functional requirements of light, power, vertical transportation, central air-conditioning, and plumbing. More equipment and materials became available. New construction techniques enabled constructors to considerably reduce project schedules from a lifetime to a few years. Special skills were evolved, and architects became concerned primarily with functions and appearances, while designers specialized in specific design disciplines (Goldhaber et al., 1977).