



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**LEARNING PRIMARY SCIENCE IN
A WEB-BASED LEARNING ENVIRONMENT**

ROHAIDA MOHD. SAAT

FPP 2003 10

**LEARNING PRIMARY SCIENCE IN
A WEB-BASED LEARNING ENVIRONMENT**

By

ROHAIDA MOHD. SAAT

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in the School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

May 2003



DEDICATION

To my loving husband, Abdullah, who has understandingly endured the countless days that this study has taken from our relationship.

I further dedicate this thesis to my three children, Nurul Nadhirah, Nurul Afiqah, and Muhammad Akmal who have inspired me to keep going and hope this effort has also inspired them to keep striving for excellence.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**LEARNING PRIMARY SCIENCE IN
A WEB-BASED LEARNING ENVIRONMENT**

By

ROHAIDA MOHD. SAAT

May 2003

Chairperson: Professor Kamariah Abu Bakar, Ph.D.

Faculty: Educational Studies

Learning primary science includes the acquisition of science process skills. Studies have shown that integrated science process skills should be taught through some form of specific training. This study adopts the use of Web-based learning environment in learning science process skills. The purpose of this study was to unravel the learning processes that occurred in the learning of science, particularly the skill of controlling variables, in a Web-based learning environment.

The study employed an exploratory qualitative case study which involved nineteen Grade Five children. The participants were selected using the purposive sampling technique. During the study, children explored the specially designed Web-based instructional material known as 'Science Process Skills in Scientific Exploration', in short SPicE.

The primary data collection techniques used in this study were interviews, children's conversations, observations, children's diary entries and entries from the on-line discussions. Data from interviews, conversations and observations were transcribed while relevant entries from children's diaries and on-line discussions were extracted. Data were analyzed using the constant comparative method of analysis.

The findings of the study suggest that there were three dimensions of learning, the cognitive, interpersonal and intrapersonal dimensions. These learning dimensions were intertwined among each other and were influenced by the design features of SPicE. Besides the acquisition of the intended skills, the findings also indicate that the children acquired other science process skills, manipulative skills as well as computer skills. There were four main factors that influence the acquisition of these skills; the programme, physical setting, the teacher and children's readiness.

Three major conclusions were drawn from this study. First, Web-based learning facilitates science learning. Second, besides the intended learning outcome, learners acquired other related skills such as manipulative skills and computer skills, in the Web-based learning environment. Lastly, skill acquisition in the Web-based learning environment is influenced by various external and internal factors.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBELAJARAN SAINS SEKOLAH RENDAH DALAM
PERSEKITARAN PEMBELAJARAN BERASASKAN JARINGAN**

Oleh

ROHAIDA MOHD. SAAT

Mei 2003

Pengerusi: Profesor Kamariah Abu Bakar, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Pengajian Pendidikan

Pembelajaran sains merangkumi penguasaan kemahiran proses sains. Kajian terdahulu menunjukkan bahawa kemahiran proses sains bersepadu perlu diajar secara terus dengan menggunakan latihan tertentu. Justeru, kajian ini menggunakan persekitaran pembelajaran berasaskan Jaringan dalam pembelajaran sains. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mendalami proses pembelajaran sains, khususnya dalam penguasaan kemahiran mengawal pembolehubah dalam persekitaran pembelajaran yang berasaskan Jaringan.

Kajian kes ini menggunakan kaedah kualitatif yang bersifat tinjauan. Kajian ini melibatkan sembilan belas murid sekolah rendah dan mereka dipilih berdasarkan teknik persampelan bertujuan (purposive). Semasa kajian dijalankan, murid berinteraksi dengan satu bahan pembelajaran sains yang berasaskan Jaringan berjudul 'Kemahiran Proses Sains dalam Penerokaan Saintifik' atau dalam singkatan SPicE. Program ini direka khas untuk penguasaan kemahiran proses sains mengawal pembolehubah.

Data dikutip melalui temubual, perbualan murid, pemerhatian, diari murid dan perbincangan murid secara “Atas Talian” (on-line). Data dari temubual, perbualan dan pemerhatian ditranskripsikan manakala hanya data yang relevan diekstraksikan dari diari murid dan perbualan secara “Atas Talian”. Analisis dilakukan dengan sentiasa membuat perbandingan antara data.

Dapatan mencadangkan bahawa proses pembelajaran sains dalam persekitaran pembelajaran berasaskan Jaringan melibatkan tiga dimensi, iaitu dimensi kognitif, interpersonal dan intrapersonal. Ketiga-tiga dimensi pembelajaran ini berkait rapat antara satu sama lain dan dipengaruhi ciri reka bentuk SPicE. Selain daripada penguasaan kemahiran yang dirancangkan, dapatan juga menunjukkan bahawa murid menguasai kemahiran proses sains yang lain seperti kemahiran manipulatif dan juga kemahiran komputer. Dapatan juga mencadangkan bahawa terdapat empat faktor yang mempengaruhi penguasaan kemahiran; iaitu program SPicE, susun atur fizikal, guru dan kesediaan murid.

Tiga kesimpulan dapat dibuat daripada dapatan kajian ini. Pertama, pembelajaran berasaskan Jaringan dapat meningkatkan pembelajaran sains. Kedua, murid menguasai kemahiran lain dalam persekitaran pembelajaran berasaskan Jaringan. Akhir sekali, penguasaan kemahiran dalam persekitaran pembelajaran berasaskan Jaringan dipengaruhi beberapa faktor dalaman dan luaran.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful.

Many people helped me through the years that this study has been in process. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Professor Dr. Kamariah Abu Bakar who has guided and inspired me through this work. Her insight, encouragement and understanding have helped me pull through this study. My thanks also go to my other supervisory committee members, Dr. Shamsuddin Ahmad and Dr. Rohani Ahmad Tarmizi. My special thanks too to Professor Dr. Sharan Merriam of University of Georgia, who had followed through my study and gave constructive comments along the way.

Thank you to Universiti Malaya for giving me the opportunity to pursue this study and also to my faculty members who have helped me in so many ways, especially Associate Professor Dr. Sharifah Norul Akmar, Associate Professor Dr. Esther Daniel and Associate Professor Dr. Fatimah Hashim.

To Madam Shamsinar Hayati and Madam Rusmazura Che Halid from Sekolah Kebangsaan Kg. Tunku, and Madam Faridah Darius from Kota Bharu Teachers' Training College, thank you for willingly validated the content of SPicE. And my special thanks also go to Miss Chelvi of Curriculum Development Centre, Ministry of Education who validated my English translated version of the verbal excerpts.

My special thanks also go to the Headmaster and staff of Sekolah Kebangsaan Abu Bakar Baginda, Kajang, who have allowed me to conduct my study there and who have assisted me in making this study possible. I would also like to extend my appreciation to Educational Planning and Research Department, Ministry of Education and the Selangor Education State Department for allowing me to conduct this study without much hassle.

To Mazalan, Hadina and Ng Soo Boon, thank you for the constructive comments in the process of writing this thesis, and who have shared the sweat and tears for being a doctorate student. To Rosma, Hajar, Rodiah, Saadah and others, thank you for the support and company.

I would want to save my warmest thanks for my husband who not only stood by my side in times of hardship, but also assisted me in troubleshooting the technical hitches particularly during my field study. My special thanks also go to my children for accepting the sacrifices a family inevitably experiences when 'Mama' is writing her work. To my mother, with her unfailing faith and prayer for the success of this study – Thank you Mak!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	ix
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
CHAPTER	
I. INTRODUCTION	
Background to the Study	1
The Process Approach	3
Primary Science Education in Malaysia	9
Integration of Technology in Science Education	17
Statement of the Problem	20
Purpose and Research Questions	23
Significance of the Study	24
Limitation of the Study	26
Definition of Terms	27
II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
Introduction	29
Children’s Learning of Science	30
Acquisition of Scientific Knowledge	31
Acquisition of Scientific Skills	42
Other Learning Dimensions in Science Learning	51
Instructional Design Theory	56
Theoretical Framework for the Development of	
Web-Based Instructional Materials	62
Science Process Skills	66
Research Related to Science Process Skills	70
Research Related to Integrated Science Process Skills:	
Controlling Variables	73
Definition of Controlling Variables	73
Approaches to Learning of Controlling Variables	75
Computer in Education	80

Research Related to the Use of Computer Technology in Science Teaching	84
Research Related to the Use of Web-based Instruction in Science Teaching	88
Factors that Facilitate the Acquisition of Science Process Skills among Children	93
Summary of the Literature	96
III. DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A WEB-BASED INSTRUCTION: SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS IN SCIENTIFIC EXPLORATION (SPicE)	
Introduction	99
History of the Web	100
Why Web-Based Instruction?	102
Application of the Instructional Design Theory	107
The Instructional Design Model of SPicE	109
The SPicE Team	120
The SPicE Site	121
Features of SPicE	130
Learner Controlled	130
Hierarchical Sequenced	133
Simulation	134
Hands-On Activities	135
Interactivity	136
Feedback	137
Beta Testing of SPicE	141
Chapter Summary	146
IV. METHODOLOGY	
Introduction	147
Design of the Study	148
Case Study	150
Selection of Site and Subjects	153
Duration of the Study	156
Research Procedure	157
Data Collection	161
Interviews	162
Conversations	164
Observations	165
Children's Diaries	166
Electronic Discussion	167
Context of the Study	169
Data Analysis	171

Validity and Reliability	185
Researcher Biases and Assumption	188
Summary	189
V. FINDINGS	
Introduction	190
Learning Process in the Web-Based Learning Environment	191
Cognitive Dimension	192
Interpersonal or Social Dimension	212
Intrapersonal Dimension	224
Interrelatedness of the Learning Process	228
The Connections between the Learning Process and Instructional Design of SPicE	231
Types of Skill that Children Acquired in the Web-based Learning Environment	234
Science Process Skills	235
Manipulative Skills	247
Computer Skills	248
Factors that Influence Skill Acquisition	251
Facilitating Factors	251
Inhibiting Factors	265
Chapter Summary	272
VI. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
Introduction	276
Summary	277
Conclusions and Discussion	283
Web-Based Learning Environment Facilitates Science Learning	283
Web-Based Learning Environment Facilitates Acquisition of Other Related Skills	300
Factors Affecting Skill Acquisition	310
Implications for Theory and Practice	317
Methodological Reflections	322
Recommendations for Future Research	324
Chapter Summary	326
REFERENCES	328

APPENDIX		
A1	Storyboard	348
A2	Sample of Storyboard	349
B	Pilot Study Handout I	350
C	Pilot Study Handout II	355
D	Excerpts from 'Diari Saya'	356
E	Fieldwork Schedule	357
F	Letter of Consent	358
G	Students' Lesson Plan	359
H	Teacher-Student Conversation / Interview Guide	363
I	Audit Trail	369
J1	Sample of Verbal Data	372
J2	Sample of the Verbal Matrix	376
J3	Sample of the Second Matrix of the Verbal Data	378
J4	Sample of Video Data	380
J5	Sample of the Video Matrix	384
J6	Children's Diaries	387
J7	Sample of Matrix of Diary Entries	391
J8	Entries from SPicE Forum	395
J9	Analysis of Forum	399
J10	Sample of the Field Notes	403
J11	Sample of the Main Matrix	406
K	Translation of Excerpts	410
L	Credentials	423
VITA		424

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Piaget's Stages of Cognitive Development	33
2	A Conceptual Model for Affective Development	55
3	Summary of the Learning Theories: Cognitive Skill Acquisition	63
4	The Science Process Skills	69
5	Levels of Controlling Variables	75
6	Summary of the Design Features of SPicE	140
7	Group and Group Members	159
8	Summary of Types of Data	169
9	Matrix of Sections of SPicE and the Learning Dimensions	182
10	Cognitive Dimension	195
11	Interpersonal Dimension	214
12	Intrapersonal Dimension	224
13	Analysis of the Forum Participation	253

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Superordinate Learning	39
2	Model of Cognitive Skills Acquisition as Perceived by the Researcher	47
3	The Flow of Information as Generally Conceptualized in Information-Processing Theory	49
4	Case Model of Memory Capacity	50
5	Instructional Systematic Design	58
6	Model for Designing CLEs	60
7	Schematic Diagram of the Theoretical Framework for the Development of SPicE	65
8	Task Analysis of Controlling Variables	115
9	Overview of SPicE	122
10	SPicE Homepage	123
11	SPicE Main Menu	123
12	SPicE Introduction Page	124
13	Simulated Activities	126
14	<i>Persaingan</i> Activity	126
15	Level 3 of <i>Persaingan</i> Activity	127
16	Level 3 of <i>Panas! Panas!</i> Activity	128
17	Linkages in Controlling Variables Frame	132
18	Link to Students' Worksheet	132

Figure		Page
19	Levels of Difficulties in <i>Tanjakan</i> Activities	133
20	Immediate Feedback	139
21	Research Procedure	161
22	Framework for Data Analysis	184
23	Learning Process in Web-based Learning Environment	192
24	Display Showing Different Coloured and Shaped Sails	197
25	Display Showing Different Shapes of the Anterior Part of the Car Model	198
26	Procedures of the Hands-On Activity	200
27	SPicE-Generated Graph	210
28	The SPicE Quiz	221
29	Interrelated Between Learning Dimensions	230
30	Measurement Reading Process	239
31	Group Working Together	264
32	Group Working Individually	264
33	Lay-Out of the Computer Laboratory	266
34	The Computer Laboratory Setting	266
35	Model of Science Learning in a Web-based Environment	274

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background to the Study

Science education has been a vital part in the school setting in many countries across the world. This is because science and technology is regarded as the prime mover of the economic development of a nation (Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment, 2000). Developed countries such as the United States of America, Germany, United Kingdom, Japan and Australia have introduced science as early as kindergarten or at primary level. The United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (in short UNESCO), recommends that science be taught in primary schools, as the teaching and learning of science has direct influence on how children think logically about the everyday phenomena and how they solve daily problems (as cited in Ministry of Education Malaysia, 1993a). Such intellectual skills nurtured at an early age, will be a valuable asset to the children, as the learning of science fosters creative and innovative minds.

Tilgnes (1990) pointed out several benefits of learning science at an early age. The learning of science helps students to acquire the habit of questioning, the ability to evaluate premises and variables, the desire to search for patterns and meanings of a collection of data, and to approach logically the solution of

problems. In short, students are able to acquire a systematic method of studying the things around them.

Another reason for introducing science at an early age is that children are known to possess universal qualities regardless of their individual genetic or cultural differences. They are curious, persistent, interpretive, energetic and adventurous (Kellough, Carin, Seefeldt, Barbour, and Souviney, 1996) which are analogous to the nature of science. In view of this, science is deemed suitable to be taught at an early age as the characteristics of children complement the nature of science.

In fact the teaching of science at an early age is widely practised in most countries in the world. Science education including science at elementary or primary level worldwide has undergone several changes and innovations, aimed at improving the quality of science education. In the mid 1950's rigorous science curriculum reform took place in the United States of America, especially when the Soviet Union launched its earth orbiting satellite Sputnik in October 1957 (Bybee and DeBoer, 1994). The American government then became enthusiastically involved in improving the teaching of science. Among the innovations that have gained much attention is the inquiry approach in teaching science. As DeBoer (1991) stated, "If a single word had to be chosen to describe the goals of science educators during the 30-year period that began in the late 1950's, it would have to be INQUIRY" (p.206).



Inquiry was popularized by John Dewey back in the early 1900's, though it was commonly known as problem solving (Barr, 1994). Inquiry is synonymous to science since the nature of which Schwab (1962) argues is itself an inquiry process, where one finds the source and the cause of phenomena. Through this process, students are actively solving problems and discovering scientific knowledge. This marks the beginning of the process approach adopted in science education. The process approach focuses on many skills that human use to construct knowledge, to represent ideas, and to communicate information. The acquisition of these skills will enable students to solve problems better.

The Process Approach

Since the mid-1900's, there has been a growing support for the teaching of science processes as a part of school curriculum (Finley, 1983). In this respect, Gagne (1963) views science process skills as the foundation for scientific inquiry and knowledge is developed inductively from sensory experience. According to Gagne (1963), basic science process skills include observation, inference, classification, predicting, collecting and recording data, and measurement. These skills are simpler and provide a foundation for learning the integrated or more complex skills which includes controlling variables, interpreting data, defining operationally, formulating hypotheses, and experimentation.

Schwab's idea on inquiry and Gagne's idea of science process skills have been embraced in projects such as SAPA (Science - A Process Approach) Project,



Warwick Process Science, *Science in Process* (Woolnough, 1991). The developers of these projects believe that science is best taught as a procedure of inquiry that is a process of finding out, which involves the development of certain attitudes and skills. This enhances the development of specific thinking skills believed to be used by scientists in their work. This claim is supported by a study done by Davis (1979). Davis reported that SAPA students scored higher than students in the control groups on a subtest of Torrance Test of Creativity. In addition, these SAPA students were also found to produce more and a greater variety of ideas or questions. Bredderman (1982) reviewed more than 60 studies reported over a 15-year period which involved classrooms using process-oriented curricula. The results of these studies revealed a consistent pattern where children in the process-oriented classrooms outperformed the students in the control group, in all categories: creativity, attitudes, logic, and science content.

Although there is an increased popularity in the process approach in science curriculum, some science educators (Millar and Driver, 1987) have criticized this approach. Millar and Driver argue that there is no one scientific method as scientists work in a variety of ways. They also question whether science processes are situation specific or transferable, as people do generalize and transfer what they have learned from one situation to another. Some science educators (Ramsey and Howe, 1969; Millar and Driver, 1987) even believe that process skills such as hypothesis formation, are intuitive and cannot be taught, but many (Harlen, 1999; Pappelis and Pohlmann, 1980) signify the importance



of science process skills. These skills are involved in scientific inquiry and discovery, where through these processes scientific knowledge is constructed.

Process skills are sometimes known as the basic problem solving skills, scientific method, scientific thinking, critical thinking, inquiry skills, and intellectual skills (Helgeson, 1994). Almekinders, Thijs and Lubben (1998) also referred to process skills as procedural understanding. According to them, the learning of science not only gives the understanding of the science content and methods of inquiry, but includes the understanding of methods and procedures of practical inquiry. Despite differences in the terms used, there is considerable agreement about what these terms mean. They are general descriptors of logical and rational thinking which are used in many areas of human endeavour. If used in some context in science, they are known as scientific process skills (Harlen, 1999; Millar and Driver, 1987). Therefore, science process skills are considered the vital skills needed in the learning of science since they involve the process of scientific inquiry. As cited by Harlen (1999),

“...Learning with understanding in science involves testing the usefulness of possible explanatory ideas by using them to make predictions or to pose questions, collecting evidence to test the prediction or answer the questions and interpreting the results; in other words, using the science process skills”
(p. 131).

Looking back at the importance of introducing science at the primary level and accepting the argument that science process skills are part and parcel of science education, one main question arises. Are the science process skills being taught at primary level the same as at the secondary level? Sometimes these skills seem

to be hierarchical in nature. For example, before students can classify, they need to master the skill of observation. This is in line with SAPA project's argument, that the basic skills provide the basis for the learning of the more complex skills (Gagne, 1963). If this hierarchy exists, should the basic skills be more appropriately taught at primary level and the more complex skills be taught at secondary level?

Besides, these complex skills or integrated skills such as formulating hypotheses and controlling variables require one to operate at the Formal Operational Stage of Piaget's Stages of Cognitive Development Model (Inhelder and Piaget, 1958). In fact Brotherton and Preece (1995) found that there is a relationship between science process skills and Piagetian Reasoning Patterns. The integrated skills require a higher cognitive demand. Analysis of test items in the *Assessment of Performance Unit (APU)* by Adey and Harlen (1986), also found that these items on process skills have the same characteristics as the *Level of Demand in Piagetian Term*. The so-called complex science process skills require higher cognitive demands on the students.

However, many countries such as the United States, United Kingdom and Malaysia include these integrated skills in the primary science curriculum. Studies by Inhelder and Piaget (1958), Shayer, Kuchermann and Wylam (1976) and Palanisamy (1986) have shown that most primary school students are operating at the concrete operational stage. Some characteristics of students at this stage are that they are able to think logically, able to perform mental operations