

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

PEDO-TRANSFER FUNCTION FOR SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OF PADDY SOILS

AIMRUN WAYAYOK

FK 2001 34



PEDO-TRANSFER FUNCTION FOR SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OF PADDY SOILS

By AIMRUN WAYAYOK

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia

August 2001





To my dearest parents





Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.

PEDO-TRANSFER FUNCTION FOR SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OF PADDY SOILS

By

AIMRUN WAYAYOK

August 2001

Chairman: Associate Professor Ir. Mohd. Amin Mohd. Soom, Ph.D.

Faculty: Engineering

Soil saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_s) is an important soil physical property, especially for determining infiltration rate, irrigation practice, drainage design, run off, deep percolation, groundwater recharge and in simulating leaching and other agricultural and hydrological processes. Several laboratory and field methods can be used to determine K_s. Unfortunately, laboratory and field determinations are usually time consuming, expensive and labour intensive.

Deep percolation (DP) is the vertical movement of water beyond the root zone to the water table. It is an important component in the calculation of irrigation requirement and irrigation efficiency. Pedo-transfer functions (PTF) serve to translate the basic information found in the soil survey into a form useful for broader applications through empirical regression of functional relationships, such as simulation modelling. PTFs have not been applied to paddy soils in the study area. A lot of field measurements will require high labour input hence high cost.



This study attempts to simplify the determination of K_s . The main objectives of this study were to seek a simplified method for determining K_s values based on PTF and estimate DP losses in paddy field based on the dominant K_s of the soil profile.

Soil samples were collected randomly depending on the soil series within the 2,300 ha Sawah sempadan compartment rice cultivation area. There are five dominant soil series namely, Jawa (Sulfic Tropaquept), Sedu (Typic Sulfaquept), Sempadan (Sulfic Tropaquept), Karang (Typic Sulfaquept) and Telok (Typic Sulfaquept). Both field work and laboratory work were carried out. The samples were then analysed for the following properties: moisture content in volume basis, bulk density, particle size distribution, organic carbon, pH, electrical conductivity, particle density and moisture content at 33 kPa. The parameters were then used as inputs for developing a K_s model by using SAS (Statistical Analysis System) and SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) tools. The K_s values were obtained by using falling head method. Microlysimeter method was adopted to measure the DP loss.

The results of the study showed that the high spatial variability of the saturated hydraulic conductivity in the paddy was high. The best regression model for estimating K_s was based on eight soil properties. Five of the eight parameters are the textural attributes, namely clay (C), medium sand (MS), very fine sand (VFS), fine sand (FS) and silt (Si). Others are bulk density (D_b), organic carbon (OC) and moisture content at 33 kPa ($\theta_{1/3}$). The best model found from this study was K_s = $e^{[1\ 285-0\ 967\ (D_b)-8\ 36\times10-2\ (C)+8\ 55\times10-2\ (OC)-0\ 134\ (MS)-0\ 943\ (ln\theta_{1/3})-0\ 349\ (lnVFS)+0\ 413\ (lnFS)-2\ 145\ (lnSi)-0\ 411\ (FS)]}$ ($R^2=0.49$).



The results of DP study showed that DP loss could be estimated by knowing the average values of K_s of the three layers (topsoil, hardpan and subsoil). DP loss is best related to K_s by a power function, DP = 3.29 $K_s^{0.42}$ (r = 0.60**). These models still need to be further calibrated or validated with other existing data as the input parameters in order to make it more useful.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

FUNGSI PERALIHAN TANAH UNTUK KEBERKONDUKAN HIDRAUL TEPU BAGI TANAH SAWAH

Oleh

AIMRUN WAYAYOK

Ogos 2001

Pengurusi: Profesor Madya Ir. Mohd. Amin Mohd. Soom, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Keberkondukan hidraul tepu tanah (K_s) adalah sifat fizikal tanah yang penting, terutamanya untuk menentukan kadar resapan, amalan pengairan, rekabentuk sistem saliran, air larian, resapan dalam, imbuhan air bumi, simulasi pelarutresapan dan lainlain proses pertanian dan hidrologi. Terdapat banyak kaedah makmal dan lapangan yang boleh digunakan untuk menentukan nilai K_s. Walau bagaimanapun, penentuan menggunakan kaedah analisis makmal dan kajian lapangan ini mengambil masa yang lama, kos yang tinggi dan tenaga kerja yang ramai.

Resapan dalam (DP) adalah pergerakan air secara menegak melalui zon akar ke aras air bumi. Ini merupakan komponen penting bagi pengiraan keperluan dan kecekapan pengairan. Fungsi Peralihan Tanah (Pedo-transfer functions-PTF) menyediakan penterjemahan maklumat asas yang di perolehi daripada analisis tanah kepada bentuk yang bersesuaian di dalam aplikasi umum melalui regresi empirikal hubungan berfungsi, seperti pemodelan simulasi. Pada masa ini, PTF belum lagi digunakan di kawasan kajian tanah sawah. Oleh kerana pengambilan banyak data di

UPM

kerja intensif dan kos yang tinggi, kajian yang dilakukan akan memudahkan penentuan nilai K_s disamping menjimatkan kos dan tenaga.

Tujuan utama kajian ini ialah untuk memperolehi kaedah ringkas bagi menentukan nilai K_s berasaskan PTF dan menganggar kehilangan DP di sawah melalui nilai K_s yang dominan bagi profil tanah.

Sampel tanah diambil secara rawak dan bergantung kepada siri tanah di antara petak sempadan sawah yang mencakupi kawasan seluas 2300 ha. Di dalam kajian ini, kerja-kerja di lapangan dan kerja-kerja di makmal telah dijalankan. Terdapat lima siri tanah yang dominan iaitu Jawa (Sulfic Tropaquept), Sedu (Typic Sulfaquept), Sempadan (Sulfic Tropaquept), Karang (Typic Sulfaquept) dan Telok (Typic Sulfaquept). Sampel yang diperolehi dianalisis berdasarkan ciri-ciri berikut iaitu kelembapan tanah berasaskan isipadu, ketumpatan pukal, taburan saiz zarah, karbon organik, pH, keberkondukan elektrik, ketumpatan zarah dan lembapan pada 33 kPa. Kemudiannya, parameterparameter tersebut digunakan bagi membangunkan model K_s dengan perisian SAS (Statistical Analysis System) dan SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science). Nilai K_s diperolehi dengan menggunakan kaedah penurunan turus di makmal. Kaedah 'microlysimeter' digunakan bagi menentukan kehilangan DP di lapangan.

Hasil kajian yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa keberubahan kawasan adalah tinggi bagi kekonduksian hidraulik tepu. Model regresi yang terbaik bagi menentukan K_s bergantung kepada 8 sifat tanah. Lima daripada lapan parameter adalah taburan saiz



zarah, iaitu tanah liat (C), pasir sederhana (MS), pasir sangat halus, pasir halus dan kelodak manakala yang selebihnya adalah ketumpatan pukal, karbon organik dan lembapan pada 33 kPa. Model yang terbaik diperolehi daripada kajian adalah K_s = $e^{[1.285-0.967]}$ b 1/3 = 0.49).

Nilai DP yang diperolehi menunjukkan kehilangan DP boleh dianggarkan dengan memperolehi nilai purata K_s bagi 3 lapisan tanah (tanah atas, tanah keras dan tanah bawah). Kehilangan DP adalah lebih baik dikaitkan dengan K_s melalui fungsi kuasa seperti DP = $3.29~K_s^{0.42}$

atau disahkan menggunakan data yang sedia ada dari lokasi lain.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd. Amin Mohd. Soom, for his untiring guidance, advice, support and encouragement throughout my study program. I am very much grateful to the members of my supervisory committee, Dr. Abdul Aziz Zakaria and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Mokhtaruddin Manan, for their valuable suggestions and opinions that led to refinement of the manuscript.

I am very grateful to Malaysian Centre for Remote Sensing (MACRES) for providing the fund.

I am thankful to Mr. Ghazali Kassim (technicians of Soil and Water lab) for his guidance and assistance, and also not forgetting to all Soil Science lab staffs for their valuable assistances.

I would like to express my special thanks to all of my friends and my colleagues for their moral support during my lab works.

I would like to extend my heartfelt thanks to my parents, my brothers and my sisters for their love, understanding and encouragement to complete this study.

I am thankful to Allah for making all things possible, Alhamdulillah.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page | |
|-------------------|--|-------------|--|
| DEDICATION | 1 | ii | |
| ABSTRACT | | iii | |
| ABSTRAK | | vi | |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | ix | |
| APPROVAL S | | Х | |
| DECLARATION | | X11 | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | | xiii xvi | |
| | LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES | | |
| | | xvii xix | |
| | LIST OF PLATES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS | | |
| CHAPTER | | | |
| I | INTRODUCTION | | |
| | General | 1 | |
| | Statement of the Problem | 3 | |
| | Objectives of the Study | 3 | |
| II | LITERATURE REVIEW | | |
| | Introduction | 4 | |
| | The Relationship between Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity | _ | |
| | (K _s) and Soil Properties | 5 | |
| | Pedo-Transfer Function (PTF) Hydraulia Conductivity (V.) Model Development | 10 12 | |
| | Hydraulic Conductivity (K _s) Model Development Deep Percolation Loss in a Paddy Field | 20 | |
| | Estimation of Deep Percolation (DP) Based on K _s | 23 | |
| | • | 23 | |
| III | THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK | | |
| | Introduction | 24 | |
| | Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (K _s) | 24 | |
| | Soil Reaction (pH) Electrical Conductivity (EC) | 29 31 | |
| | Organic Carbon (OC) and Organic Matter (OM) | 32 | |
| | Soil Water Characteristics | 33 | |
| | Bulk Density (D _b) | 35 | |
| | Soil Particle Density (D _p) | 36 | |
| | Soil Porosity (S_t) | 37 | |
| | Particle Size Distribution (PSD) | 38 | |
| | Deep Percolation Loss (DP) | 40 | |
| | Statistical Analysis Systems | 42 | |
| | Simple Linear Regression | 43 | |



| | Multiple Linear Regression | 44 |
|----|--|----|
| | Polynomial Regression | 44 |
| | Linearization of Nonlinear Model | 45 |
| | Nonlinear Regression | 46 |
| | Modelling Procedures | 46 |
| | Basic Steps Provide Universal Framework | 46 |
| | Basic Steps of Model Building | 47 |
| | A Variation on the Basic Steps | 48 |
| | Model Building Sequence | 49 |
| IV | METHODOLOGY | |
| | Study Area | 50 |
| | Location and Topography of the Study Area | 50 |
| | Soil Characteristics | 50 |
| | Field Work | 51 |
| | Soil Sampling | 51 |
| | Field Measurement of Deep Percolation (DP) Loss | 53 |
| | Laboratory Work | 59 |
| | Soil Preparation | 59 |
| | Drying | 59 |
| | Sieving | 59 |
| | Measurements for Undisturbed Soils | 59 |
| | Soil Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (K _s) | 59 |
| | Bulk Density (D _b) | 64 |
| | Soil Water Content (θ) | 65 |
| | Soil Water Content at 33 kPa ($\theta_{1/3}$) | 66 |
| | Measurements for Disturbed Soils | 68 |
| | Analysis of Soil Physical Properties | 68 |
| | Soil Particle Size Distribution (PSD) | 68 |
| | Geometric Mean Particle Diameter (GMD) | 68 |
| | Particle Density (Dp) | 69 |
| | Soil Porosity (S_t) | 69 |
| | Void Ratio | 70 |
| | Effective Porosity (ϕ_e) | 70 |
| | Analysis of Soil Chemical Properties | 71 |
| | Soil pH in Water | 71 |
| | Electrical Conductivity (EC) | 71 |
| | Organic Carbon (OC) and Organic Matter (OM) | 71 |
| | Statistical Analysis | 73 |
| V | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | |
| | Site Condition | 74 |
| | Results of Soil Properties and K _s Model Analysis | 75 |
| | Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (K _s) | 75 |
| | Moisture Content (θ) | 77 |
| | Bulk Density (D _b) | 78 |



| | Particle Density (Dp) | 7 9 |
|--------------|---|------------|
| | Soil Porosity (S _t) | 80 |
| | Void Ratio | 80 |
| | Very Fine Sand (VFS) | 82 |
| | Fine Sand (FS) | 83 |
| | Medium Sand (MS) | 84 |
| | Coarse Sand (CS) | 84 |
| | Sand (S) | 85 |
| | Silt (Si) | 86 |
| | Clay (C) | 87 |
| | Geometric Mean Particle Diameter (GMD) | 88 |
| | Soil Texture | 89 |
| | Organic Carbon (OC) | 90 |
| | Soil pH in Water | 91 |
| | Electrical Conductivity (EC) | 92 |
| | Soil Water Content at 33 kPa $(\theta_{1/3})$ | 93 |
| | Effective Porosity (ϕ_e) | 94 |
| | Mean Soil Depth (MSD) | 95 |
| | K _s Model Analysis | 98 |
| | Results of Deep Percolation (DP) Study and DP Model | |
| | Analysis | 104 |
| | Results of DP Losses in Relation to K _s | 104 |
| | DP Model Development Based on K _s | 106 |
| VI | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION | 115 |
| REFERENCES | | 117 |
| APPENDICES | | 123 |
| APPENDIX A | Soil Properties | 124 |
| APPENDIX B | Standard Methods of Soil Analysis | 140 |
| APPENDIX C | Examples of Calculations | 157 |
| APPENDIX D | • | 166 |
| BIODATA OF T | THE AUTHOR | 183 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Γable | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1 | K_s Values and Basic Soil Properties Used in the Modelling of the K_s . | 96 |
| 2 | Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (K_s) and Deep Percolation Rate (DP) of Five Soil Series. | 105 |
| 3 | Summary of DP = $a(K_s)^b$. | 107 |

.



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | The Relationship between Hydraulic Conductivity and Soil Water Content $K(\theta)$ for Various Soils (Mualem, 1976). | 7 |
| 2 | The Relationship between Hydraulic Conductivity and Soil Water Suction (Gardner, 1960). | 8 |
| 3 | Vertical Saturated Flow in a Column of Soil (Brady, 1974). | 26 |
| 4 | Comparative Rates of Irrigation Water Movement into a Sandy Loam and a Clay Loam Soils (Brady, 1974). | 27 |
| 5 | Soil Particle Size Distribution (Brady, 1974). | 39 |
| 6 | Soil Textural Classification (Brady, 1974). | 39 |
| 7 | The Flow Chart Showing the Basic Model Fitting Sequence (William, 2001). | 49 |
| 8 | Soil Series Map of Sawah Sempadan (MACRES, 2000). | 54 |
| 9 | Shows the Plot Numbers of the Study Area (MACRES, 200). | 55 |
| 10 | Micro-lysimeter A (Closed Bottom) and B (Opened Bottom). | 56 |
| 11 | Farm Irrigation System. | 57 |
| 12 | Falling Head Permeability Apparatus (Carter, 1993). | 62 |
| 13 | The Essential Components for the Determination of Soil Water Content at 33 kPa Using Pressure Plate Method (Klute, 1986). | 67 |
| 14 | Variability of the Observed K_s and the Predicted K_s . | 102 |
| 15 | The Distribution of Residuals and Observed K _s . | 103 |



| 16 | The Relationship between DP and K _s of the Topsoil Layer. | 108 |
|----|--|-----|
| 17 | The Relationship between DP and K _s of the Hardpan Layer. | 109 |
| 18 | The Relationship between DP and K _s of the Subsoil Layer. | 110 |
| 19 | The Relationship between DP and Average K _s . | 111 |
| 20 | Comparison of the Observed DP and Estimated DP. | 113 |
| 21 | The Distribution of Residuals and Observed DP. | 114 |



LIST OF PLATES

| Plate | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1 | Micro-lysimeter Installation for Both A and B Types. | 58 |
| 2 | Site Location of the Micro-lysimeter Installation within a Plot. | 58 |
| 3 | Measurement of Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity by Falling Head Method. | 63 |
| 4 | A View of the Soil Profile Showing Dark Brown Topsoil and Grey Subsoil at about 40 cm Depth. | 65 |



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

K_s Soil saturated hydraulic conductivity (cm s⁻¹).

DP Deep percolation (mm day⁻¹).

PTF Pedo-transfer function.

 $\partial H/\partial z$ Gradient of the hydraulic head H.

Z Gravitational head.
 h_m Matric pressure head.
 h_a Pneumatic pressure head.

OC Organic carbon.

 θ_v Volumetric water content. θ_w Water content on mass basis.

 ε Porosity of porous material (cm³ cm⁻³). k' Intrinsic hydraulic conductivity (cm²).

 $r_1, r_2..., r_n$ Mean radius of n pores (cm).

 M_d Particle size distribution median (μ m).

GSDI Grain size distribution index.

GMPS Geometric mean particle size (mm).

GSD Geometric standard deviation of the particle size distribution.

 $\varnothing_{\mathbf{e}}$ Effective porosity.

ESP Exchangeable sodium percentage.

R² Coefficient of multiple determination.

r Correlation coefficient.

GMD Geometric mean diameter (mm).

D_b Bulk density (g cm⁻³).
D_p Particle density (g cm⁻³).
PSD Particle size distribution.

S_t Porosity (%). OM Organic matter.

EC Electrical conductivity (dS m⁻¹).

 θ Moisture content (%).

 $\theta_{1/3}$ Moisture content at 33 kPa (%).

DGPS Differential global positioning system.

ET Evapotranspiration (mm day⁻¹).

a Cross sectional area of the standpipe (cm²).

A Cross sectional area of the core or brass ring (cm²).

d Internal diameter of the standpipe (mm).
D Internal diameter of the brass ring (mm).
L Length of the sample in the brass ring (cm).



t Time required for the water level in the standpipe to fall from H_1 to H_2 (s).

H Height of water in the standpipe relative to the datum (cm).

V Volume of the cylindrical core (cm³).

h Cylindrical core height (cm).

N₁ Normality of the ferrous solution (N).

 N_2 Normality of $K_2Cr_2O_7$ (N).

VFS Very fine sand FS Fine sand MS Medium sand CS Coarse sand

C Clay Si Silt S Sand



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

General

Soil saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_s) is an important soil physical property, especially for determining infiltration rate, irrigation practice, drainage design, run off, groundwater recharge and in simulating leaching and other agricultural and hydrological processes. Several laboratory and field methods can be utilized to determine K_s. Unfortunately, laboratory and field determinations are usually time consuming, expensive and labour intensive.

A study has shown that determining the K_s using Double ring infiltrometer method may require 120 minutes (2 hrs). Rainfall simulator, Guelph permeameter and Guelph infiltrometer may take 125 minutes, 65 minutes and 60 minutes, respectively (Gupta et al., 1993). Some research results indicated that something in the order of 1,300 measurements would have to be made in a 10 ha.-field to accurately measure the K_s to within 10 percent of the mean value (Warrick and Nielsen, 1980). Field soils, on the other hand, exhibit large spatial variabilities in their hydraulic properties, especially their hydraulic conductivity. This variability implies that a large number of field measurements may be required to characterise a given field or area (Jabro, 1992).



Water use in paddy soils losses by deep percolation (DP) where it is the vertical movement of water beyond the root zone or puddle/hardpan soil to the water table. The DP loss is an important component in the calculation of irrigation requirement and irrigation efficiency. Losses by DP experienced will increase water requirement. In drought season, DP losses will affect equitable distribution. Hence knowledge of areas with high DP loss can avoid water distribution to those areas. This will improve the planning of irrigation water supply.

Normally, the determination of soil saturated hydraulic conductivity is based on direct and indirect methods. The direct methods are laboratory and field methods such as Falling head, Auger hole and Guelph permeameter. The indirect method is estimation method such as simulation model. The purpose of the indirect method is to facilitate as good as possible an estimate of Ks based upon its accuracy and efficiency.

When measured hydraulic conductivity is not available, it is a common practice to estimate hydraulic conductivity from routinely measured soil physical and chemical properties, such as particle size distribution, bulk density, organic matter content and so on (Rawls et al., 1982). These estimated functions are often referred to as pedo-transfer functions (PTF) (Bouma, 1992; Bouma and Van Lanen, 1987). Pedo-transfer functions relate different basic soil characteristics or soil properties with one another or land qualities (Bouma, 1989). They serve to translate the basic information found in the soil survey into a form useful for broader applications through empirical regression of functional relationships, such as simulation modelling (Wagenet et al., 1991).



Statement of the Problem

Pedo-transfer functions (PTF) have not been applied to paddy soils in the study area. A lot of field measurements will require high labour input hence high cost. This study will simplify the determination of saturated hydraulic conductivity. If the obtained model or empirical function can be used properly, it can reduce time, cost and labour.

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study were to seek a simplified method for determining saturated hydraulic conductivity values and estimate deep percolation losses in paddy field. The specific objectives were:

- 1. To develop a saturated hydraulic conductivity model based on easily measured soil properties or pedo-transfer function (PTF).
- 2. To develop a deep percolation losses model based on dominant K_s values of the soil profile.

