

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE ARCHITECTURE AND FRAMEWORK OF A KNOWLEDGE-BASED EXPERT SYSTEM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

MONEEF MOHAMMAD ABDEL- KAREEM JAZZAR

FK 2000 50



DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE ARCHITECTURE AND FRAMEWORK OF A KNOWLEDGE-BASED EXPERT SYSTEM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

BY

MONEEF MOHAMMAD ABDEL-KAREEM JAZZAR

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor Of Philosophy in the Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia

August 2000



DEDICATION

I dedicate this dissertation to my parents, family, and my wife and daughter.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE ARCHITECTURE AND FRAMEWORK OF A KNOWLEDGE-BASED EXPERT SYSTEM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

By

MONEEF MOHAMMAD ABDEL-KAREEM JAZZAR

August 2000

Chairman: Associate Prof. Mohamed Daud, Ph.D., MBA, P.Eng.

Faculty: Engineering

The development of the architecture and framework of a knowledge-based expert system (ES) "JESEIA" for named environmental impact assessment (EIA) was developed using the C Language Integrated Production System (CLIPS) that incorporates relevant expert knowledge on EIA and integrates a computational tool to support the preparation of an EIA study. The research was based on the conceptualization and development of the architecture framework of a knowledge-based expert system that and demonstrates the feasibility of integrating the following aspects: knowledge-based system approach, Object-oriented Expert techniques and rules structuring as knowledge modeling paradigm, database management system as a repository connection between domain knowledge sources and the expert system kernel, and finally EIA as a significant knowledge domain and incremental approach as a development model. This work describes the functional framework



of combining shared knowledge from various experts as knowledge sources through the implementation of a blackboard system approach that organizes the solution elements and determines which information has the highest certainty to contribute to the inference solution. The rules, in the rule base, were developed according to the environmental component classification characteristics with attributes in an object-oriented technique. The developed system considers the robustness, expandability and modularity throughout its development process. The raw knowledge and database were kept in a supportive database developed in the system for further reference or updating through the developed expert system as a built-in functionality as well as through a connection to an external database environment through an open database connectivity mechanism.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

REKABENTUK DAN PEMBANGUNAN SENIBINA DAN RANGKA KERJA UNTUK SISTEM KEPAKARAN YANG BERASASKAN PENGETAHUAN UNTUK PENILAIAN KESAN PERSEKITARAN

Oleh

MONEEF MOHAMMAD ABDEL-KAREEM JAZZAR

Ogos 2000

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Mohamed Daud, Ph.D., MBA, P.Eng.

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Pembangunan senibina dan rangka kerja sistem kepakaran yang berasaskan pengetahuan yang bernama "JESEIA" untuk penilaian kesan persekitaran telah dibangunkan dengan menggunakan "CLIPS" yang bergabung dengan pengetahuan kepakaran yang berkaitan dengan "Environmental impact assessment (EIA) dan menggabungkan alat pengkomputeran untuk menyokong penyediaan pengajian EIA. Penyelidikan ini berasaskan konsep dan pembangunan senibina serta rangka kerja untuk satu sistem kepakaran berasaskan pengetahuan yang menunjukkan kelenturan dalam penggabungan aspek-aspek berikut; pendekatan sistem kepakaran yang berasaskan pengetahuan, teknik berasaskan objek dan perstrukturan peraturan sebagai paradigma pemodelan pengetahuan, sistem pengurusan pengkalan data sebagai satu penyambungan sumber di antara domain sumber pengatahuan dan kernel sistem kepakaran, dan akhirnya, EIA sebagai satu domain pengetahuan yang penting, dan pendekatan peningkatan sebagai satu model pembangunan. Kerja ini menunjukkan



rangka kerja fungsi yang menggabungkan pengetahuan yang dikongsi dari pada pelbagai kepakaran sebagai sumber pengetahuan melalui pelaksanaan pendekatan papan hitam yang mengaturkan unsur-unsur penyelesaian dan menentukan maklumat berkenan yang mempunyai kepastian yang tertinggi dalam penyumbangannya kepada penyelesaian. Pengaturannya, yang berasaskan pengaturan, telah dibangunkan berdasarkan komponen persekitaran yang berdasarkan pengelasan ciri-ciri dengan atribut dalam teknik berdasarkan objek. Sistem yang dibangunkan mempertimbangkan keupayaan tahan lasak, perkembangan dan pemodulan melalui proses pembangunannya. Pengetahuan dan pengkalan data yang mentah telah disimpan di dalam pengkalan data penyokongan yang dibangunkan di dalam sistem ini. Salah satu fungsinya adalah sebagai rujukan selanjutan dan juga kerja kemaskini yang menggunakan sistem kepakaran yang telah dibangunkan sebagai satu fungsi yang telah dibina-dalam atau dengan menggunakan penyambungan pengkalan data persekitaran luaran melalui satu mekanisma penyambungan pengkalan data yang terbuka.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the following persons for their valuable support and contribution in making this dissertation a reality. The author expresses his extreme appreciation, gratitude and sincere thanks to these people:

To the supervisory committee chairman, Associate Professor Dr. Mohamed Bin Daud, and members Dato' Professor Dr. Muhamad Zohadie Bardaie, Associate Professor Dr. Salim Said and Dr. Abd Rahman Ramli for their invaluable advice, constructive criticism and suggestions. They have made themselves available, given guidance, and have been very kind to me throughout this study.

To Deputy Dean, Associate Professor Dr. Desa Ahmad, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, Mr Azlan Abdul Azziz, Dr. Mohannad Jazzar, Dr. Kader Mohammed, for their help and support during the past years in UPM.

To the Government of Malaysia, through Intensified Research in Priority Areas (IRPA) projects, and Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for funding this project which made the study possible and successful.

To my parents, brothers and sisters and beloved wife and daughter, and for Uncle Zaki and Khalah Hussun for their moral support, encouragement, patience, endurance and sacrifice.

Last but not least, to all whom have been helped me directly or indirectly to the completion of this study; my most great thankfulness.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|---------------------|--|-----------|
| DEDICATION ABSTRACT | ON | ii iii |
| ABSTRAK | | V |
| | EDGEMENTS | vii |
| | SHEETS | viii |
| | ION FORM | X |
| | ABLES | xiii |
| | GURES | xiv |
| LIST OF A | BBREVIATIONS | xvi |
| CHAPTER | | |
| I | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| _ | Motivation | 1 |
| | Problem Statement | 5 |
| | Research Objectives | 7 |
| | Scope and Limitation of the Study | 9 |
| II | LITERATURE REVIEW | 11 |
| | Environmental Impact Assessment | 11 |
| | Computer Application That Support | |
| | Environmental Studies | 19 |
| | Knowledge-Based Expert Systems and | |
| | Problem-Solving | 22 |
| | Expert System Methodologies and | |
| | Strategies | 34 |
| | Knowledge Elicitation | 39 |
| | Knowledge Representation and Modeling | 43 |
| | The Choice of an Expert System | |
| | Development Tool | 48 |
| | Conclusion | 52 |
| III | METHODOLOGY | 53 |
| | Expert Domain Knowledge | 54 |
| | Knowledge Acquisition and the Modeling | |
| | Process | 56 |
| | Knowledge Database Management | 62 |
| | Expert System Module Integration | 66 |
| | EIA Matrix Implementation | 69 |
| | EIA Report Constructing | 71 |
| | Expert System Prototyping | 74 |



| IV | EXPERT SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND | |
|-----|---|-----|
| | DEVELOPMENT PROCESS | 79 |
| | Conceptual Framework and Design | 80 |
| | Knowledge Base and Database Handling | 86 |
| | Knowledge Representation | |
| | Techniques | 88 |
| | Productions and Rules Representation | 00 |
| | Technique | 95 |
| | Frames and Object-Oriented | 9. |
| | | 99 |
| | Knowledge Representation | |
| | EIA Database Handling Process | 110 |
| | The Implementation of Blackboard System | 441 |
| | Approach | 115 |
| | EIA Selector Development | 124 |
| | Designing The System User Interface | 133 |
| V | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 138 |
| | JESEIA: Expert System For EIA | 139 |
| | User-Level Security System | |
| | Implementation | 146 |
| | EIA Category Selector | 153 |
| | Project Site Selection | 169 |
| | EIA Report Generator Start-Up | 184 |
| | Database and Knowledge Manipulation | 191 |
| | EIA Matrix Scoring | 207 |
| | File Management and Recovery System | 20, |
| | Support | 215 |
| | Validation and Verification of JESEIA | 220 |
| | validation and verification of Jesera | 220 |
| VI | APPLYING THE SYSTEM ON THE PROPOSED | |
| | UNIVERSITY TECHNOLOGY PETRONAS AT | |
| | TRONOH, MALAYSIA: A CASE STUDY | 228 |
| | Introduction | 228 |
| | Project Site | 229 |
| | Project Description | 229 |
| | JESEIA's Results on the Proposed UTP | 233 |
| | Existing Environment | 236 |
| | Air Quality and Noise | 248 |
| | Residual Impacts | 249 |
| | Conclusion | 249 |
| | | |
| VII | CONCLUSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH | 250 |
| | Major Findings | 255 |
| | Suggestions for Future Work | 250 |



| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 261 |
|------------------------------|-----|
| APPENDIX Source Code Listing | 285 |
| VITA | 367 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Classes and Objects | 100 |
| 2 | Some Environmentally Sensitive Areas | 133 |
| 3 | Main Menu Items and Description | 143 |
| 4 | User Level Authorization Functions | 151 |
| 5 | "Loading" Class - Attributes and Functions | 155 |
| 6 | "Category" Class - Attributes and Functions | 156 |
| 7 | "CatInfo" Class - Attributes and Functions | 158 |
| 8 | "Catsys" Class - Attributes and Functions | 159 |
| 9 | "Site" Class - Attributes and Functions | 173 |
| 10 | Matrix Score Values | 208 |
| 11 | Estimated University Population | 235 |
| 12 | 24-Hours Wind Percentage Frequency of Various | |
| | Directions and Speed, (1968 – 1997), IPOH | 242 |
| 13 | Streamflow Runoff | 245 |
| 14 | Extreme Flows (approximation) | 245 |
| 15 | Water Sampling Sites | 246 |
| 16 | Water Quality sampling | 247 |
| 17 | Air Quality at the Project Site | 248 |
| 18 | Noise Levels from the Project Site | 248 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | Human Effects | 13 |
| 2 | Expert System Main Components | 26 |
| 3 | Expert System Meta-Knowledge | 45 |
| 4 | Expert System Main Components | 54 |
| 5 | Architecture of the Knowledge Acquisition | |
| | Process | 58 |
| 6 | Knowledge Modeling Architecture | 61 |
| 7 | Interdependence of ES and DBMS | 64 |
| 8 | Module Integration in the Expert System | 69 |
| 9 | Beneficial and Adverse Scores in an EIA | |
| | Matrix | 71 |
| 10 | EIA Report Construction | 72 |
| 11 | ES Prototype Development Phases | 77 |
| 12 | The Framework Architecture | 83 |
| 13 | Knowledge Model Development Procedure | 84 |
| 14 | Repository Scheme | 85 |
| 15 | Human Problem Solving versus Computer | |
| | Problem Solving | 91 |
| 16 | Levels of the Knowledge-Based Systems | 92 |
| 17 | A Rule Structure | 96 |
| 18 | A Rule Example | 97 |
| 19 | A Frame Structure | 99 |
| 20 | Main Subject Levels | 103 |
| 21 | Object-Attribute-Value (OAV) Representation | 105 |
| 22 | OAV Representation with Multiple Attributes | 105 |
| 23 | An EIA Class-&-Object Diagram | 106 |
| 24 | Class-Object Interconnecting Messages | 109 |
| 25 | EIA Components of Object-Class Inheritance | 112 |
| 26 | EIA Database Handling | 114 |
| 27 | The Basic Blackboard Approach | 117 |
| 28 | Blackboard Levels | 118 |
| 29 | The Blackboard Architecture | 120 |
| 30 | EIA Knowledge-Based System Implementing the | |
| 0.4 | Blackboard Approach Architecture | 123 |
| 31 | The EIA Selector Architecture | 126 |
| 32 | System Interface Design - the Main Window | 136 |
| 33 | Direct Manipulation and Control Panel Frame | 137 |
| 34 | JESEIA System - Main Module Structure | 140 |
| 35 | The Main Flowchart of JESEIA | 141 |
| 36 | JESEIA Main Frame Window | 145 |
| 37 | JESEIA Main Menu Bar Functions | 145 |
| 38 | JESEIA License Agreement | 150 |
| 39 | User Authorization Levels | 150 |



| 40 | Switch User ID Verification | 152 |
|-----|---|------------|
| 41 | EIA Selector | 154 |
| 42 | A Warning Message for a Selected Category | 162 |
| 43 | (A) Category Selection List | 165 |
| 43 | (B) Waste Treatment and Disposal Category | |
| | Panel | 165 |
| 44 | Project Site Selection | 170 |
| 45 | Site Selection Warning Dialogue | 182 |
| 46 | Site Selection Warning Dialogue | 182 |
| 47 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 48 | Site Selection Menu - Selecting a District Site Selection - Problems Encountered | 183 183 |
| | | |
| 49 | Site Selection Result Dialogue | 183 |
| 50 | The EIA Report Generator Window Screen | 405 |
| - 4 | Shot A | 185 |
| 51 | The EIA Report Generator Window Screen | |
| | Shot B | 186 |
| 52 | JESEIA Text Editor Functions | 188 |
| 53 | Fetching and Executing Database Commands | 194 |
| 54 | The ODBC Connection | 197 |
| 55 | Rules For Opening Database Connection | 198 |
| 56 | User Selection of JESEIA Database or FoxPro | |
| | Database | 199 |
| 57 | JESEIA Database Connection Interface | |
| | Window | 200 |
| 58 | Database Sources Connected To JESEIA | 201 |
| 59 | Database Tables - Invoking "Filter & Show | |
| | Module" | 203 |
| 60 | The External Database Main Window | 205 |
| 61 | The External Database Entry Window | 206 |
| 62 | About The External Database | 206 |
| 63 | EIA Matrix Component Module Selection | 209 |
| 64 | Manipulating a Knowledge Chunk in the EIA | 212 |
| | Matrix | |
| 65 | Selecting another Category During a Session | 217 |
| 66 | Buffering Results in the Working Window | 219 |
| 67 | UTP Location Site, Key Plan | 230 |
| 68 | UTP Location Site | 231 |
| 69 | UTP Location Site, Master Plan | 232 |
| 70 | UTP Project, JESEIA Initial Descriptions | 234 |
| 71 | UTP Project, JESEIA EIA Selector Module | 235 |
| 72 | Mean Monthly Rainfall (1981 – 1997), IPOH | 233 |
| / _ | | 238 |
| 73 | Airport Mean Monthly Raindays (1981 – 1997), IPOH | 230 |
| /3 | | 220 |
| 74 | Airport | 238 |
| 74 | Mean Monthly Temperature (1968 – 1997), IPOH | 720 |
| | Airport | 239 |



| 75 | Mean Daily Sunshine Hours (1968–1997), IPOH | |
|----|--|-----|
| | Airport | 239 |
| 76 | Mean Monthly Evaporation (1974 – 1997), IPOH | |
| | Airport | 240 |
| 77 | 24 Hours Mean Relative Humidity (1968 – 1997), | |
| | IPOH Airport | 240 |
| 78 | 24-hours Wind Rose (1968 – 1997), IPOH | 241 |
| 79 | Sg. Kinta Catchement | 243 |
| 80 | Drainage Pattern Of the Project Area | 244 |



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI Artificial Intelligence

DE Domain Expert

DOE Department Of Environment

EIA Environmental Impact Assessment

EQA Environmental Quality Act

ES Expert Systems

IE Inference Engine

KA Knowledge Acquisition

KBS Knowledge Base Systems

KE Knowledge Engineer

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

ODBC Open Data Base Connectivity

UTP University Technology Petronas



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Motivation

Over the past decade, there has been a remarkable and refreshing interest in the environmental issues and sustainable development worldwide. To develop an area, for example, the important aspect is to make the best use of land and other natural resources while avoiding any damage or deterioration to the environment. Civilization basically depends on ecosystems that are being altered drastically by human actions. Better management of on going activities prior to any project implementation should be conducted in harmony with the environment. Environmental impact assessment (EIA) plays a major role in decision making and careful planning in protecting the environment from being adversely misused.



EIA is essentially a planning tool for preventing environmental problems due to an action. It seeks to avoid costly mistakes in project implementation, either because of the environmental damages that are likely to arise during project implementation or because of modifications that may be required subsequently in order to make the action environmentally acceptable.

EIA was first legislated for in the USA by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in 1969 (Jain et al., 1977), and since then has become law in many countries including Canada, Japan, Europe and many developing countries. In Malaysia, the environmental management has been implemented over the past two decades (ENSEARCH, 1996), both as an importer as well as an exporter of environmental pollution control technologies and expertise. Currently, environmental impact assessment approval is an essential requirement for most of the development projects to be undertaken in Malaysia under section 34A of the Environmental Quality Act (EQA) 1974 (DOE, 1995a). EQA provides the power to the Minister of Science, Technology and Environment to prescribe, by order, any activity that may have significant environmental impacts as a prescribed activity. Therefore, a report describing the impact(s) of such activity on the environment has to be submitted to the Director General of Environmental Quality (DOE, 1995a, 1995b). The activity can be approved only if the Director General of



Environmental Quality is convinced that the activity has no damaging impact(s) on the environment.

The Environmental Quality (Prescribed Activity) (Environmental Impact Assessment) Order, 1987 lists nineteen categories of activities as prescribed activities for which an EIA report is required to be submitted to the Director General in order to obtain an approval (DOE, 1995a, 1995b). The problem is that there are no computerized standard procedures, followed by consultants, in preparing the EIA. More problems had to be encountered by the Department of Environment (DOE) in evaluating (to approve, reject or approve with conditions) the report. If the report is approved, yet the DOE is facing a lot of problems, due to the shortage on manpower to verify that the developer or the project manager is actually complying with the approved guidelines.

Based on the recent literature review, there is no computerized system done to produce EIA reports. Expert systems (ES) and knowledge based systems (KBS) are intended to help in solving problems that are traditionally solved by using expert human judgement and experience. The kind of knowledge for solving such a problem, which is dealt with in the ES and the KBS, therefore is non-algorithmic, subjective and rare. The two main human resources involved in the development of the expert system consist



of Domain Experts (DE) and the Knowledge Engineer (KE). The knowledge engineer's role is to glean the "appropriate knowledge" either from the domain expert or through the combination of domain expert knowledge and field research results, and transform that knowledge into a form that is suitable to be used for the ES. The methodology of combining interviews with field experts and formal field research that was successfully developed by Daud (1994) entitled "An expert system for predicting distribution and consumption of irrigation water in a paddy irrigation scheme" has been used as the basis in developing the ES.

What is an expert system? Definitions of expert systems are varying. Some definitions are based on functions. Some definitions are based on structures. Some definitions have both functional and structural components. Many early definitions assume rule-based reasoning. Professor Edward Feigenbaum of Stanford University has defined an expert system as "... an intelligent computer program that uses knowledge and inference procedures to solve problems that are difficult enough to require significant human expertise for their solution" (Giarrantano and Riley, 1994). In other word, an expert system is a sophisticated computer program that emulates the decision-making ability of a human expert. The term emulates means that the expert system is intended to act in all respects like a human expert.



Problem Statement

The main objective of environmental impact assessment is to ensure that potential problems are foreseen and addressed at an early stage in the project planning and design. For the proposed development projects, EIA is used as a management tool for project initiators as well as project approving authorities to make decisions on the project. In Malaysia, there is no computerized standard procedures followed by consultant in preparing EIA reports. The lack of information exchange and expertise are the major problems in preparing the EIA reports. Those problems formulate good reasons to look into the use of computers, and in particular into the new technologies like expert systems and knowledge based systems.

Information derived from an EIA should be used to design economically and environmentally sustainable projects. As such, EIA should not be viewed as an obstacle. The basic objective of using expert systems and knowledge-based system approaches to environmental impact assessment is to incorporate expertise into a computerized system. Expertise is normally obtained from data collection, knowledge and heuristics that are relevant to an EIA study. EIA deals with many complex procedures that draw on numerous disciplines. It requires, in fact, a multidisciplinary team of experts. A knowledge-based component for such an EIA system

