



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**MODELLING OF SOLAR RADIATION INTERCEPTION AND  
BIOMASS PRODUCTION IN AN INTERCROPPING SYSTEM OF  
RUBBER WITH BANANA AND PINEAPPLE**

**MOHAMADU BOYIE JALLOH**

**FP 2003 20**

**MODELLING OF SOLAR RADIATION INTERCEPTION AND BIOMASS  
PRODUCTION IN AN INTERCROPPING SYSTEM OF RUBBER WITH  
BANANA AND PINEAPPLE**

**By**

**MOHAMADU BOYIE JALLOH**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia in Fulfilment of Requirement for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**October 2003**



*This Thesis is dedicated to:*

*My Late*

*Guardian, Constance Agatha Cummings-John (Mammy)*

*Grandfather, Musa Jalloh (Grandpa)*

*Who were always hungry and enthusiastic in their desire and support to see me attain the highest of levels in the Academia.*

*May God Grant them Eternal Rest.*

*My Dear Parents*

*Chernor Jalloh and Fatmata Jalloh*

*The enduring parental and other support, love, encouragement patience and understanding toward me, and my siblings are priceless.*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**MODELLING OF SOLAR RADIATION INTERCEPTION AND BIOMASS  
PRODUCTION IN AN INTERCROPPING SYSTEM OF RUBBER WITH  
BANANA AND PINEAPPLE**

**By**

**MOHAMADU BOYIE JALLOH**

**October 2003**

**Chairman : Associate Professor Jamal bin Talib, Ph.D.**

**Faculty : Agriculture**

Simulation modelling is a powerful approach for studying complex intercropping systems in entirety and a complementary tool to conventional field experiments.

This study aimed to: 1) construct a dynamic model to simulate the biological productivity of an immature rubber (R), banana (B) and pineapple (P) intercropping system based on the interception and utilisation of incident solar radiation (SR), 2) evaluate growth and yield of the intercrop components using the model, 3) compare production for various cropping scenarios and 4) investigate the likelihood and effects of water stress on crop growth using a simple water budget.



A FORTRAN computer model, **SURHIS** (**S**haring and **U**tutilisation of **R**adiation intercepted in a **H**edgerow-**I**ntercropping **S**ystem), was developed for simulating daily SR interception and growth of R-B-P intercropping system. SR interception was modelled using a modified Monsi-Saeki equation by including a clump factor to account for the loss in intercepted SR resulting from the wide row spacing between the crops. Crop growth was modelled based on the net biomass resulting from the difference between crop photosynthesis and respiration.

Simulation results showed that increments in the leaf area index (LAI) had a greater effect on SR interception by component crops compared to height increments. Changes in height affected only fractional interception, whereas LAI increments affected both fractional and total interception.

The crop growth modules were sufficiently accurate in estimating LAI and dry matter yield (DMY) but less precise for crop height. The girth of rubber was estimated with good accuracy. The general trend in overestimation for later part of the simulation period can be attributed to model assumptions for potential production conditions.

The intercropping system showed a DMY productivity advantage of 81% over the component monocrops grown at optimum population densities (PD). Higher PD resulted in greater DMY but fruit weight per plant of B and P in the

monocrop systems reduced with increased PD. There was no deleterious effect from resource competition between R, B and P on the growth of rubber.

The model estimated 24 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of carbon sequestration by the three crops over 265 days after planting, with R, B and P, contributing 10, 13 and 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

The water budget analysis for the field plot in Taiping, showed that soil moisture storage resulting from normal rainfall was sufficient to supply the water requirements of all crops. Cumulative water requirements of R, B and P, 280 days after planting were 364, 920 and 494 mm, respectively.

The model has immediate applications in prioritising research in R-B-P, intercropping systems. With appropriate modifications, the model can be readily adopted for productivity analysis of similar cropping systems involving other crops.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PERMODELAN PINTASAN RADIASI SOLAR DAN PENGHASILAN  
BIOMASS DALAM SISTEM TANAMAN SELANG ANTARA GETAH DENGAN  
PISANG DAN NENAS**

**Oleh**

**MOHAMADU BOYIE JALLOH**

**Oktober 2003**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Jamal bin Talib, Ph.D.**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Model simulasi merupakan satu pendekatan yang berkesan untuk mengkaji sistem tanaman selang yang kompleks secara menyeluruh dan menjadi alat pelengkap kepada kajian ladang yang konvensional.

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk: 1) membina model dinamik yang boleh mensimulasikan potensi pengeluaran biologi sistem tanaman selang getah belum matang (R), pisang (B) dan nenas (P) berasaskan kepada pintasan dan penggunaan radiasi solar (SR) insiden, 2) menilai tumbesaran dan hasil komponen tanaman selang dengan menggunakan model, 3) membandingkan hasil pengeluaran akibat senario penanaman yang berbagai dan 4) menyiasat kemungkinan kejadian tegasan air dan kesannya terhadap tumbesaran tanaman-tanaman dengan menggunakan bajet air yang mudah.

Satu model komputer FORTRAN, **SURHIS** (*Sharing and Utilisation of Radiation intercepted in a Hedgerow-Intercropping System* atau Perkongsian dan Penggunaan Pintasan Radiasi dalam Sistem Tanaman Selang Berpagar) dibena untuk mensimulasikan pintasan SR dan tumbesaran tanaman harian bagi sistem tanaman selang R-B-P. Pintasan SR dimodelkan dengan menggunakan persamaan Monsi-Saeki dengan memasukan factor *clump* untuk mengambil kira kehilangan pintasan SR akibat dari jarak baris antara tanaman yang lebar. Tumbesaran tanaman dimodelkan berdasarkan kepada *net biomass* yang dihasilkan akibat dari perbezaan antara fotosintesis dan respirasi tanaman.

Keputusan simulasi menunjukkan bahawa penambahan dalam indeks luas daun (LAI) membawa kesan yang lebih besar keatas pintasan SR berbanding dengan kesan akibat penambahan ketinggian tanaman-tanaman komponen. Perubahan ketinggian tanaman memberi kesan kepada hanya pintasan *fractional*, sementara penambahan LAI memberi kesan kepada kedua-dua pintasan *fractional* dan jumlah.

Modul tumbesaran tanaman adalah mencukupi dan segi ketepatannya bagi menganggarkan LAI dan hasil bahan kering (DMY) tetapi kurang tepat untuk ketinggian tanaman. Anggaran ukuran lilitan batang getah diperolehi dengan ketepatan yang baik. Kecenderungan terlebih anggaran (*overestimation*) pada bahagian masa simulasi yang terkemudian mungkin disebabkan oleh andaian yang dibuat untuk pengeluaran hasil potensi.



Sistem tanaman selang ini menunjukkan pengeluaran DMY 81% lebih baik dari sistem tanaman tunggal (monocrop) apabila ditanam pada kepadatan populasi (PD) tanaman optimum. Lebih tinggi PD, mengakibatkan lebih tinggi DMY, tetapi berat buah per tanaman B dan P berkurangan dengan penambahan PD. Tiada terdapat kesan buruk dari persaingan SR antara R, B dan P terhadap tumbesaran getah.

Model menganggarkan 24 t ha<sup>-1</sup> karbon yang telah digunakan oleh ketiga-tiga tanaman 265 hari selepas ditanam di mana R, B dan P menyumbang 10, 13 dan 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> masing-masingnya.

Analisis bajet air bagi plot tanah di Taiping menunjukkan bahawa simpanan kelembapan tanah yang diperolehi dari hujan adalah mencukupi untuk memenuhi keperluan air tanaman-tanaman tersebut. Keperluan air kumulatif untuk tanaman R, B dan P, 280 hari selepas ditanam adalah 364, 920 dan 494 mm masing-masingnya.

Model ini boleh digunakan untuk menentukan keutamaan bagi penyelidikan sistem tanaman selang R-B-P. Dengan modifikasi tertentu, model ini juga sesuai digunakan untuk membuat analisis pengeluaran sistem penanaman selang yang melibatkan tanaman yang lain.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This thesis is the culmination of my Doctoral studies in the Faculty of Agriculture and as such I would like to take this opportunity to thank all staff members and my fellow students for making my studies so stimulating and rewarding.

Very special thanks and appreciation go to both Prof. Dr. Wan Sulaiman Wan Harun and Associate Prof. Dr. Jamal Talib for financially-enabling me pursue this PhD program under their projects funded by the Malaysian Government through the IRPA scheme. I would also like to thank them both for chairing my supervisory committee, though at different times, as the former gracefully handed over to the latter due to retirement from active service with UPM. They availed themselves at all times for guidance and advice that were very valuable in making this thesis a reality. They have both been very great captains, inspiring, supportive and very fatherly during the course of this program.

Sincere appreciation also goes to the other members of my Supervisory Committee; Associate Professor Dr Mohd. Fauzi Ramlan, Associate Professor Dr Rajan Amartalingam and Dr Christopher Teh Boon Sung for their constructive and valuable suggestions and guidance which contributed greatly in shaping this



thesis. I am grateful for the particular contribution from Dr Christopher to the development of RADINT.

Special thanks to the Malaysian Rubber Board (MRB) and the Rubber Industry Smallholder Development Authority (RISDA) for allowing data to be collected from their plots located in Taiping, Perak.

It would be a remiss if I do not thank Faculty of Agriculture staff, colleagues and friends, and especially my Medical Doctors and Supervisory committee; all of whom were very caring, supportive and understanding when I was temporarily indisposed due to health reasons. They contributed immeasurably to enable me continue my PhD program to completion.

Special thanks go to Dr Abdul R Bah, Dr Moses Lahai and all my fellow compatriots in Malaysia, for all the support and for being there always especially at times when it mattered most. Thanks also to the Soil Physics Unit staff; Haj. Mohktar, Haj. Aziz, Mr Haniff and Mr Ariffin and the Plant Physiology laboratory staff; Messrs Mazlan and Azhar for all their valuable assistance during field and laboratory work. Thanks to Mr Ramli Yusof for always helping when I had maintenance problems with my computer. Thanks to Zainuddin Mohd Ali for being very helpful on many occasions. Thanks to Dr Anuar Rahim for letting me know a lot about the SAS statistical software package.



Thanks to Wageningen Agricultural University, for providing me, free of charge, the FSE software and thanks also to Gon van Laar and Bas Bouman for technical support in using FSE and I wish to acknowledge the use of SUCROS1, which is the framework of the crop modules.

Sincere appreciation and thanks to Dr J Jones, Prof J D H Keatinge and Dr Julian Park for recommending me to pursue my PhD in UPM. Special thanks to Dr Mohd Imran Khan, Dr Mary Huang, Hazel Roy and particularly Madhumathi Pillai for their friendship and support.

Last, but by no means least, I would like to thank my parents, younger siblings, (Alpha Momodu, Kadijatu, Lamarana, Salamatu and Musa), the entire Cummings-John Family, other relations and friends, who have persevered and endured my absence from home and all those who in diverse ways assisted me during my stay in Malaysia.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>I INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
Background	1
Problem Statement and Rationale of the Study	3
Objectives of the Study	8
General Hypothesis	9
<b>II LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
Introduction	10
Crop Production	10
The Crop	11
The Environment	12
Intercropping Systems	13
Advantages of Intercropping	14
Competition for Resources	16
Competition for Radiation	17
Mechanisms of Radiation Competition	18
The Tree-Crop Interface	19
Modelling as a Tool in Crop Production Systems Studies	20
Crop Production Levels	22
Types of Models	23
Radiation Interception Models	24
Crop Growth Models	27
Carbon Sequestration	29
<b>III MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>31</b>
Description of the Model	31
Underlying Model Assumptions	33
The Radiation Interception and Sharing Module	33
Theoretical Framework of RADINT	35



Incident Radiation Interception and its Partitioning	36
Fractional Intercepted Radiation	41
Clump Factor Calculation	42
The Crop Growth Modules	43
General Description of the Crop Growth Modules	44
Assimilation of Single Leaves	44
Instantaneous Canopy CO <sub>2</sub> Assimilation	46
Daily Total Canopy CO <sub>2</sub> Assimilation	46
Maintenance and Growth Respiration	47
Daily Biomass Accumulation	49
Dry Matter Partitioning	50
Phenological Development	51
Leaf Area Increment	53
Plant Height Increment	54
Rubber Crop Growth Module	54
Banana Crop Growth Module	54
Pineapple Crop Growth Module	55
The Field Experimental Sites	58
Types of Planting Materials	59
Cultural Practices	59
The Field Layout	60
Crop Data	60
Climatic Data	62
Model Development	63
The Modular Approach	65
The State-Variable Approach	66
The FORTRAN Simulation Environment (FSE) System	66
Flow Charts	67
Model Input Variables, Parameters and Coefficients	71
Determination of Canopy Radiation Extinction Coefficient (k)	72
Model Simulation Runs	73
Model Evaluation	73
Sensitivity Analysis	74
Land Equivalent Ratio	74
Estimation of Carbon Sequestration	75
Soil Water Budget	75
Calculation Procedure	76
Soil Moisture Characteristics	76
Rooting Depth	77
Available Water Holding Capacity per Rooting Depth	77
LAI and Crop Development Stage (DVS)	77
Crop Coefficient	78
Crop Water Requirement	78
Water Added to Soil	78

	Available Water Storage	78
	Statistical Analyses and Graphical representations	79
<b>IV</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>80</b>
	Introduction	80
	Environmental Variables	80
	Radiation Interception and Radiation Use Efficiency (RUE)	82
	Effect of LAI Increment on Radiation Interception	83
	Effect of Crop Height Increment on Radiation Interception	85
	Fractional Interception Dynamics of the Whole System	87
	RUE of Banana and Pineapple	95
	Simulation of Growth and Development of the Crops	99
	Crop Phenology	99
	Dynamics of Crop Dry Matter Yield (DMY)	101
	Total Dry Matter (TDM)	102
	Evaluation of Simulation Model Results	103
	Partitioning of Dry Matter	105
	Dynamics of Crop Leaf Area Index (LAI)	108
	Evaluation of the Model Simulation Results	108
	Comparison between Observed and Simulated LAI	112
	Relationship between Green Leaf Weight and LAI	115
	Dynamics of Crop Height	118
	Evaluation of the Model Simulation Results	119
	Comparison between Observed and Simulated Height	123
	Dynamics of Rubber Girth	126
	Evaluation of the Model Simulation Results	126
	Comparison between Observed and Simulated Girth	128
	Model Performance Comparisons for Simulated Crop Variables	129
	Sensitivity Analysis	130
	Radiation Interception Module Sensitivity Analysis	130
	Crop Modules Sensitivity Analysis	131
	Empirical Regression Models	133
	LAI	134
	Height	137
	Girth	139
	Comprehensive Mathematical Relationships for Dry Matter Yield	140
	Quantifying the Intercropping Advantage	142
	LER in terms of Dry Matter Yield	143
	Carbon Sequestration	144
	Plant Density Effects on Dry Matter Yield and Harvest Index	146
	Soil Water Budget and the Likelihood of Water Stress to Crops	147
<b>V</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>153</b>
	Objective 1 – Model Development	153

Objective 2 – Model Evaluation	154
Radiation Interception Module	155
Crop Growth Modules	156
Sensitivity Analysis	157
Empirical Regression Models	157
Objective 3 – Cropping Scenario Comparisons	158
Dry Matter Comparisons	158
Fruit Weight	158
Objective 4 – Water Stress Likelihood and its Effects	159
Recommendations for Future Research	161
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>VITA</b>	<b>195</b>





## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
3.1	Some of the parameters and coefficients used in SURHIS	71-72
4.1	Summary of Comparisons of Model Performance for Simulated Variables for the Three Different Evaluation Methods	129
4.2	The simulated potential dry matter yield (DMY) for up to 265 days after planting and fresh fruit weight at harvest of banana for the UPM field plot for three cropping scenarios	143
4.3	The simulated potential dry matter yield (DMY) for up to 265 days after planting and fresh fruit weight at harvest of pineapple for the UPM field plot for three cropping scenarios	143
4.4	The simulated potential dry matter yield for up to 265 days after planting of rubber for the UPM field plot for two cropping scenarios	144

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	(a) Total annual production of rubber in the World and Malaysia and (b) Percentage of World total rubber and oil palm production and area harvested by Malaysia	2
1.2	(a) Total annual production of banana in the World and Malaysia and (b) Percentage of World total production and area harvested by Malaysia	6
1.3	(a) Total annual production of pineapple in the World and Malaysia and (b) Percentage of World total production and area harvested by Malaysia	7
2.1	Types of crop models and some of their capabilities	24
3.1	Schematic diagram of the model components showing their inter-relationships	32
3.2	Diagrammatic representation of a vertical canopy-overlap scenario in the intercropping system	38
3.3	Relational diagram of the conceptual model of the crop growth modules for production level 1	45
3.4	Field layout showing planting arrangement of the double – hedgerows intercropping system	61
3.5	Steps used in the development of the model	64
3.6	Flow Chart of SURHIS	68-69
3.7	Flow Chart of Subroutine RADINT	70
4.1	The daily mean temperature and monthly standard deviation for the periods of study for the field plots in (a) UPM, and (b) Taiping	81
4.2	The daily total solar radiation and monthly standard deviation for the periods of study for the field plots in (a) UPM and (b), Taiping	82

4.3	The influence of increment in the LAI on radiation interception by (a) rubber, (b) banana and (c) pineapple	84
4.4	The effect of LAI of component crops on the quantum of total incident radiation flux (TIRFLX) intercepted by all three crops	85
4.5	The influence of increment in height on radiation interception by (a) rubber, (b) banana and (c) pineapple	86
4.6	The effect of height of component crops on the quantum of total incident radiation flux (TIRFLX) intercepted by all three crops	87
4.7	The (a) Percentage fractional interception with corresponding (b) leaf area indices and (c) heights, of component crops for the Taiping field plot	88
4.8	The (a) Percentage fractional interception, with corresponding (b) leaf area indices and (c) heights, of component crops for the UPM field plot	89
4.8.1	The (a) Percentage fractional interception, with corresponding (b) leaf area indices and (c) heights, of component monocrops for the UPM field plot	92
4.9	The relationship between simulated banana dry matter yield and cumulative intercepted PAR for the Taiping and UPM field plots	96
4.10	The relationship between measured banana dry matter yield and cumulative intercepted PAR for the UPM field plot	96
4.11	The relationship between simulated pineapple dry matter yield and cumulative intercepted PAR for the Taiping and UPM field plots	98
4.12	The relationship between measured pineapple dry matter yield and cumulative intercepted PAR for the UPM field plot	98
4.13	The (a) phenological or development stages, of the crops and (b) the corresponding cumulative temperature during their growth cycles	100

4.14	The simulated Total Dry matter weight for Banana parent crop and two ratoons for the Taiping field plot	102
4.15	The simulated Total Dry matter weight for Banana parent crop and two ratoons for the UPM field plot	103
4.16	The observed and simulated dry matter yield of banana parent plants for the UPM field plot	104
4.17	The observed and simulated dry matter yield of pineapple plants for the UPM field plot	105
4.18	The dynamics of percent dry matter content of component plant parts of banana parent-plants for the UPM field plot	106
4.19	The dynamics of percent dry matter content of component plant parts of pineapple plants for the UPM field plot	107
4.20	The observed and simulated LAI of rubber plants for the Taiping field plot	108
4.21	The observed and simulated LAI of rubber plants for the UPM field plot	109
4.22	The observed and simulated LAI of banana parent-plants for the UPM field plot	110
4.23	The observed and simulated LAI of banana ratoon-1 plants for the UPM field plot	110
4.24	The observed and simulated LAI of banana ratoon-2 plants for the UPM field plot	111
4.25	The observed and simulated LAI of pineapple plants for the UPM field plot	111
4.26	Comparison between observed and simulated LAI for rubber plants for the Taiping field plot	112
4.27	Comparison between observed and simulated LAI for rubber plants for the UPM field plot	113
4.28	Comparison between observed and simulated LAI for banana parent-plants for the UPM field plot	113



4.29	Comparison between observed and simulated LAI for banana ratoon-1 plants for the UPM field plot starting at harvest of parent plants	114
4.30	Comparison between observed and simulated LAI for banana ratoon-2 plants for the UPM field plot starting at harvest of ratoon-1 plants	114
4.31	Comparison between observed and simulated LAI for pineapple plants for the UPM field plot	115
4.32	The relationship between LAI and simulated green leaf weight (WLG) for rubber from planting to 4yrs old for the Taiping field plot	116
4.33	The relationship between LAI and simulated green leaf weight of rubber for the UPM field plot	117
4.34	The relationship between LAI and measured green leaf weight (WLG) for the parent-crop cycle of banana for the UPM field plot	117
4.35	The relationship between LAI and measured green leaf weight (WLG) of the parent-crop cycle of pineapple for the UPM field plot	118
4.36	The observed and simulated height of rubber plants for the Taiping field plot	119
4.37	The observed and simulated height of rubber plants for the UPM field plot	120
4.38	The observed and simulated height of banana parent-plants for the UPM field plot	121
4.39	The observed and simulated height of banana ratoon-1 plants for the UPM field plot	121
4.40	The observed and simulated height of banana ratoon-2 plants for the UPM field plot	122
4.41	The observed and simulated height of pineapple plants for the UPM field plot	122

4.42	Comparison between observed and simulated height for immature rubber plants for the Taiping field plot	123
4.43	Comparison between observed and simulated height for immature rubber plants for the UPM field plot	123
4.44	Comparison between observed and simulated height for banana parent-plants for the UPM field plot	124
4.45	Comparison between observed and simulated height for banana ratoon-1 plants for the UPM field plot starting at harvest of the parent-plants	124
4.46	Comparison between observed and simulated height for banana ratoon-2 plants for the UPM field plot starting at harvest of the ratoon-1 plants	125
4.47	Comparison between observed and simulated height for pineapple plants for the UPM field plot	125
4.48	The observed and simulated girth growth for rubber plants for the Taiping field plot	127
4.49	The observed and simulated girth of rubber plants for the UPM field plot	127
4.50	Comparison between observed and simulated girth for rubber plants for the Taiping field plot	128
4.51	Comparison between observed and simulated girth for rubber plants for the UPM field plot	128
4.52	Sensitivity of simulated radiation interception by rubber, banana and pineapple to changes in canopy radiation extinction coefficients (k)	131
4.53	Sensitivity of simulated dry matter yield of rubber, banana and pineapple to changes in maximum leaf photosynthesis rate (P <sub>MAX</sub> )	132
4.54	Sensitivity of simulated dry matter yield of rubber, banana and pineapple to changes in initial efficiency of leaf photosynthesis (EFF)	133