



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**EVALUATION OF FREE-LIVING NEMATODES PANAGRELLUS  
REDIVIVUS AS STARTER FOOD FOR MALAYSIAN RIVER CATFISH,  
MYSTUS NEMURUS (CUVIER AND VALENCIENNES) LARVAE**

**MANUEL ALBAN LARON**

**FP 2001 21**



**EVALUATION OF FREE-LIVING NEMATODES *PANAGRELLUS REDIVIVUS*  
AS STARTER FOOD FOR MALAYSIAN RIVER CATFISH, *MYSTUS  
NEMURUS* (CUVIER AND VALENCIENNES) LARVAE**

**By**

**MANUEL ALBAN LARON**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment in the Requirement for the Degree of  
Master of Science in the Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**October 2001**



## DEDICATION

This thesis was written in memory of my most beloved father and mother  
Fructouso Laron and Gregoria Alban.

This work is also dedicated to all researchers who had contributed directly  
and indirectly in the quest of knowledge.

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia  
in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**EVALUATION OF FREE-LIVING NEMATODES *PANAGRELLUS*  
*REDIVIVUS* AS STARTER LIVE FOOD FOR MALAYSIAN RIVER  
CATFISH, *MYSTUS NEMURUS* (CUVIER AND VALENCIENNES)  
LARVAE**

By

**MANUEL ALBAN LARON**

**October 2001**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin**

**Faculty: Agriculture**

The performance of *Panagrellus redivivus* on growth and survival of *Mystus nemurus* larvae was assessed in this study. Prior to the assessment study, the optimal stocking condition for *M. nemurus* larvae was determined.

A stocking density of 10 larvae L<sup>-1</sup> gave the highest growth and survival during the 16-days rearing period. However a stocking range of 25-43 larvae L<sup>-1</sup> was recommended for commercial hatchery production. The performance of *P. redivivus* was compared with *Brachionus* spp., *Moina* spp., *Chironomus* spp. and *Artemia* sp. Larvae fed with *Chironomus* spp. exhibited the best growth ( $P < 0.05$ ) compared to those fed with *P. redivivus*, *Brachionus* spp., *Moina* spp. and *Artemia* sp. No significant differences in growth were found among those fed with the later live foods. Nevertheless, these live foods gave

a significantly higher survival than *Chironomus* spp. In the following study, *M. nemurus* larvae fed smaller *P. redivivus* on the first week followed by bigger *Chironomus* spp. showed improved survival and growth than those fed on *Chironomus* spp. or *P. redivivus* alone. This combination also gave better results than those of *Artemia* nauplii.

Another study was conducted to determine the optimal feeding density of *P. redivivus* for *M. nemurus* larvae. The results of two feeding trials showed that the optimum feeding density on the first week and second week of feeding were 60 nematodes mL<sup>-1</sup> and 125 nematodes mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This feeding regime was adopted in the following experiment.

Finally, *P. redivivus* was evaluated as the first food for the weaning of *M. nemurus* larvae to an artificial diet. The results showed no significant effect ( $P > 0.05$ ) of gradual weaning from Day 4 and direct weaning at Day 8 of exogenous feeding with *P. redivivus* as the first food on the survival and growth of catfish larvae. *P. redivivus* was found to be suitable as an *Artemia* replacement (as the first food) for the weaning of *M. nemurus* larvae.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

v

**PENILAIAN NEMATOD *PANAGRELLUS REDIVIVUS* SEBAGAI  
MAKANAN AWAL LARVA IKAN BAUNG, *MYSTUS NEMURUS*  
(CUVIER DAN VALENCIENNES)**

Oleh

**MANUEL ALBAN LARON**

**Oktober 2001**

**Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Dr. Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Prestasi nematod *Panagrellus redivivus* ke atas pertumbuhan dan kemandirian larva ikan baung (*Mystus nemurus*) telah dinilai dalam kajian ini. Sebelum kajian penilaian dijalankan, kadar perlepasan optimum bagi larva ikan baung telah ditentukan.

Kadar perlepasan 10 larva L<sup>-1</sup> telah memberi pertumbuhan dan kemandirian tertinggi dalam tempoh 16 hari pengkulturan. Kadar perlepasan 25-43 larva L<sup>-1</sup> bagaimanapun telah disarankan untuk pengeluaran benih ikan baung secara komersial. Prestasi *P. redivivus* telah dibandingkan dengan *Brachionus* spp., *Moina* spp., *Chironomus* spp. dan *Artemia* sp. Larva yang diberi *Chironomus* spp. menunjukkan pertumbuhan tertinggi ( $P < 0.05$ ) berbanding dengan larva yang diberi makanan hidup lain. Tidak ada perbezaan ketara dalam pertumbuhan didapati di antara makanan hidup selain nematod. Bagaimanapun makanan hidup ini memberikan peratus

kemandirian yang ketara ( $P < 0.05$ ) lebih tinggi daripada *Chironomus* spp. Dalam kajian yang berikutnya, pemberian *P. redivivus* yang bersaiz kecil pada minggu pertama diikuti dengan *Chironomus* spp. yang bersaiz lebih besar pada minggu yang seterusnya dapat mempertingkatkan lagi pertumbuhan dan kemandirian larva berbanding dengan larva yang hanya diberi *Chironomus* spp. atau *P. redivivus* sahaja. Kombinasi ini juga memberi keputusan yang lebih baik dari nauplii *Artemia*.

Satu lagi kajian telah dijalankan untuk menentukan kepadatan optimum pemberian makanan *P. redivivus* kepada larva ikan baung. Keputusan dari dua percubaan menunjukkan kepadatan pemakanan optimum pada minggu pertama dan kedua ialah pada 60 nematod mL<sup>-1</sup> dan 125 nematod mL<sup>-1</sup>, masing-masing. Regim pemberian makanan ini digunakan untuk eksperimen yang seterusnya.

Pada penghujung kajian, prestasi *P. redivivus* sebagai makanan awal dalam penyampihan larva ikan baung ke diet tiruan dinilai. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan tidak ada perbezaan ketara ( $P > 0.05$ ) pada kemandirian dan pertumbuhan larva di antara penyampihan beransur bermula dari hari ke-4, atau penyampihan terus pada hari ke-8 selepas larva memulakan pemakanan eksogenus. Dalam kajian ini, *P. redivivus* didapati sesuai sebagai pengganti *Artemia* (sebagai makanan awal) dalam penyampihan larva ikan baung (*Mystus nemurus*).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincerest gratitude is extended to Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin (my committee chairman), for his unselfish support, continuing guidance, interest, and enthusiasm throughout the completion of this programme.

My sincerest appreciation is also extended to Professor Dr. Fatimah Md. Yusoff and Dr. Che Roos Saad members of my supervisory committee, for their valuable suggestion and for going through the manuscript.

I would also like to thank:

- The Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center (SEAFDEC) Aquaculture Department for granting me a study leave and the European Union for providing the research grant (ERBUC18CT 98-0333) for this project;
- The staff of Aquatic Biotechnology Laboratory, Annie, Abdolsamad, Emil, Hamid Khoda Bakshsh, Ladan, Reza and Hanib Ali for their technical assistance during the conduct of this study;
- Eduard and Hazel for helping me in the preparation of my graph and other computer works, Evelyn, Andy Fermin, Glo, Dondie, Adel and Kennedy for editorial assistance, Dr. Roustaian for helping in the proximate analysis and Dr. Sanjoy for scanning the photographs;
- The staff of Aquatic Resources Technology Laboratory, Institute of Bioscience, UPM especially Mr. Jasni for their value assistance;
- To all my friends and colleagues from SEAFDEC-BFS and UPM, Mr. Albert Gabriel and Mr.& Mrs. Romeo Lomoljo and family and the Filipino community in Serdang for their support, encouragement, concern, and joyous company;
- My family and most of all my sister Rose, for their love, understanding, financial, moral and spiritual, faith and concern;

And above all, to the God Almighty for making all this possible.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	lii
ABSTRAK.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	vii
APPROVAL SHEETS .....	viii
DECLARATION .....	x
LIST OF TABLES .....	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	xviii
 CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION .....	1
Background of the Study .....	1
Statement of the Problem .....	2
Objectives .....	4
II LITERATURE REVIEW .....	5
Use of Live Food Organism.....	5
Nutritional Value of Live Food Organisms.....	9
Role of Live Food in the Digestive Processes of Larval Fish	13
Optimum Stocking Density .....	15
III GENERAL METHODOLOGY .....	18
Location of the Study.....	18
Experimental Animals.....	18
Mass Production of Live Food .....	19
Experimental Set-up .....	31
Feeding Protocol .....	32
Water Management .....	32
Sampling .....	33
Proximate Analyses of Live Food and Fish Samples .....	34
Moisture .....	34
Ash.....	34
Total Protein.....	35
Total Lipid.....	35
Fatty Acid .....	35
Carbohydrate .....	37
Statistical Analyses.....	38
IV EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT STOCKING DENSITIES ON GROWTH, SURVIVAL AND BODY COMPOSITON OF MALAYSIAN RIVER CATFISH, <i>MYSTUS NEMURUS</i> (C&V) LARVAE .....	39
Introduction .....	39

Materials and Methods .....	41
Experimental Fish .....	41
Experimental Design .....	41
Larval Rearing .....	41
Carcass Analysis .....	42
Data Analysis .....	42
Results .....	43
Discussion .....	50
Conclusion and Recommendations .....	55
V EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF LIVE FOOD ON GROWTH, SURVIVAL AND BODY COMPOSITION OF <i>M. NEMURUS</i> (C&V) LARVAE .....	56
Introduction .....	56
Materials and Methods .....	57
Location of the Study.....	57
Mass Production of Live Food Organisms.....	58
Experimental Fish .....	58
Experimental Set-up.....	58
Feed and Feeding Management .....	59
Growth Measurement and Survival.....	59
Water Management and Biochemical Analysis.....	60
Data Analysis.....	60
Results .....	60
Growth and Survival of Catfish Larvae .....	60
Proximate Analysis of Live Food and Fish Samples...	64
Physico-chemical Parameters .....	69
Discussion .....	70
Survival of Catfish Larvae. ....	70
Growth of Catfish Larvae.....	72
Physico-chemical Parameters.....	74
Proximate Analyses of Live Food and Fish Samples...	75
Conclusion and Recommendations .....	79
VI EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF LIVE FOOD ON GROWTH AND SURVIVAL OF <i>M. NEMURUS</i> C&V) LARVAE .....	80
Introduction .....	80
Materials and Methods .....	81
Results.....	84
Discussion .....	90
Conclusion and Recommendation.....	93
VII EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT FEEDING DENSITIES OF..... PANAGRELLUS REDIVIVUS ON GROWTH AND SURVIVAL OF <i>M. NEMURUS</i> (C&V) LARVAE.....	94
Introduction .....	94
Materials and Methods .....	96

	Feeding Trials.....	96
	Live Food Preparation.....	98
	Water Quality.....	98
	Data Analysis.....	99
	Results.....	99
	Growth and Survival of Fish Larvae.....	99
	Water Quality.....	106
	Discussion .....	107
	Conclusion and Recommendations. ....	111
VII	WEANING OF <i>M. NEMURUS</i> LARVAE FROM <i>P. REDIVIVUS</i> TO AN ARTIFICIAL DIET .....	112
	Introduction.....	112
	Materials and Methods. ....	114
	Results. ....	116
	Discussion .....	121
	Conclusion and Recommendations. ....	124
IX	GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	125
	General Discussion .....	125
	Conclusion and Recommendations .....	132
	BIBLIOGRAPHY. ....	133
	APPENDICES. ....	152
	VITA.....	160

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Optimal stocking densities for larviculture of selected fish species.....	16
2	Means of physico-chemical parameters in rearing tanks during 16-days of rearing period.....	44
3	Relative growth rate (RGR, % d <sup>-1</sup> ) of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae at different stocking densities at 4-day intervals reared for a period of 16-days.....	45
4	Survival, final body weight, final total length and specific growth rate of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae at different stocking density reared for a period of 16-days.....	46
5	Proximate composition (% dry matter) of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae at different stocking density.....	50
6	Survival, final body weight, final total length and specific growth rate of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae fed with different live food reared for a period of 16-days of feeding.....	61
7	Proximate composition (% dry matter basis) of different live foods organisms.....	65
8	Body composition (% dry matter basis) of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae fed with different live foods after 16-days of feeding.....	65
9	Fatty acid composition (percent of total FAME) of different live food organisms.....	67
10	Fatty acid composition (percent of total FAME) of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae fed with different live food after 16-days of feeding.....	68
11	Physico-chemical parameters of rearing water of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae during feeding trial.....	70
12	Survival obtained by different investigators utilizing different live foods for catfish species .....	71

13	Feeding treatments tested for rearing <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae.....	82
14	Mean survival, final mean body weight, final mean total length and normalized biomass indices of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae fed with different live food for 16 days .....	85
15	Mean specific growth rate (SGR % d <sup>-1</sup> ) of <i>M. nemurus</i> determined at 4-days interval.....	85
16	Feeding density used for rearing <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae for Experiment 2.....	97
17	Means of survival, final mean body weight, final mean total length and specific growth rate of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae fed with <i>P. redivivus</i> at different feeding density reared for a period of 16-days (Experiment 1).....	100
18	Means survival, final mean body weight, final mean total length and specific growth rate of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae fed with <i>P. redivivus</i> at different feeding densities reared for 12-days (Experiment 2).....	103
19	Means of physico-chemical parameters in rearing tanks during 16- days rearing period (Experiment 1).....	106
20	Means of physico-chemical parameters in rearing tanks during 12- days rearing period (Experiment 2).....	107
21	Optimum feeding densities on different live foods used by different authors for larval rearing of fish.....	110
22	Weaning schemes used for rearing <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae.....	115
23	Means of physico-chemical parameters in rearing tanks during the 16-days rearing period (Values in the parenthesis are ranges).....	117
24	Survival, final mean body weight, final mean total length and Specific growth rate of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae at different weaning schemes reared for a period of 16 days.....	118
25	Percentage composition of the artificial diet fed to <i>Mystus nemurus</i> larvae (Eguia, 1998).....	158

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Live food organisms used for rearing catfish larvae .....	20
2	<i>Moina</i> spp. outdoor culture set-up .....	23
3	<i>Brachionus</i> spp. outdoor set-up .....	25
4	<i>Chironomus</i> spp. outdoor set-up .....	26
5	Tanks used for hatching <i>Artemia</i> cyst.....	27
6	<i>Panagrellus redivivus</i> culture set-up .....	30
7	Glass aquaria used in experimental set-up (30 L capacity) ...	31
8	Glass aquaria used in experimental set-up (10 L capacity) ...	32
9	Water quality monitoring apparatus used during the conduct of experiment .....	33
10	Changes in mean a) total length b) body weight of <i>M.</i> <i>nemurus</i> larvae at 4-days interval.....	47
11	Survival a) specific growth rate b) of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae reared for a period of 16-days.....	48
12	Optimum stocking density for hatchery production based on (a) acceptable body weight (b) acceptable total length of <i>M.</i> <i>nemurus</i> larvae.....	52
13	Survival of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae reared for a period of 16- days.....	62
14	Changes in mean a) body weight b) total length of <i>M.</i> <i>nemurus</i> larvae at 4-day intervals .....	63
15	Survival of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae reared for a period of 16-days	86
16	Changes in mean (a) total length (b) body weight of <i>M.</i> <i>nemurus</i> larvae at 4-day interval.....	87

17	Size of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae fed with different combinations of live feeds after 16-days of feeding.....	90
18	Mean (a) body weight (b) total length of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae reared for a period of 16- days (Experiment 1).....	101
19	Survival of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae reared for a period of 16-days terval in (Experiment 1).....	102
20	Mean (a) body weight (b) total length of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae reared for a period of 12-days (Experiment 2) .....	104
21	Survival of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae reared for a period of 12-days (Experiment 2) .....	105
22	Changes in mean a) body weight b) total length of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae at 4- day intervals .....	119
23	Survival rate a) specific growth rate b) of <i>M. nemurus</i> larvae reared for a period of 16 days .....	120

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AOAC	Association of Official Analytical Chemist
BW	Body weight
BSA	Bovine Serum Albumin
C & V	Cuvier and Valenciennes
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	Chloroform
CH <sub>3</sub> OH	Methanol
CRD	Complete Randomized Design
DHA	Decosahexanoic acid
DMRT	Duncan Multiple Range Test
DW	Dry weight
DO	Dissolved oxygen
EFA	Essential fatty acid
Expt.	Experiment
FAME	Fatty acid methyl esters
HCL	Hydrochloric acid
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Sulfuric acid
HUFA	Highly unsaturated fatty acid
MSA	Methanol sulfonic acid
MUFA	Mono unsaturated fatty acid
N	Normality



NaCl	Sodium chloride
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NPK	Nitrogen Potassium Phosphorous
NPU	Net protein utilization
RGR	Relative growth rate
RPM	Revolution per minute
SAS	Statistical analysis system
SEAFDEC	Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center
SFA	Saturated fatty acid
SRG	Specific growth rate
SEM	Standard error of mean
TRT	Treatment
µm	Microgram
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
UV	Ultra violet
YSI	Yellow spring instrument

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

#### Background of the Study

Baung or river catfish, *Mystus nemurus* (C&V) is one of the popular indigenous and well-accepted freshwater food fishes in Malaysia (Khan et al., 1990). This species has a high dress-out percentage (44.1%), which is comparable to that of poultry (Kamarudin et al., 1987). Its lean portion contains high protein (92.2% DW) and low fat (1.3% DW). The fish flesh has a soft texture, tastes well and contains fewer bones (Thalathiah et al., 1988).

In aquaculture, the fish is considered a “new” species and its production in Malaysia has only become significant in 1993 due to the success in its artificial breeding program (Thalathiah et al., 1992; Kamarudin, 1999). Baung is now raised either semi-intensively in ponds and pens or intensively in floating cages. Its 1998 production was estimated at 587 tons and valued at US\$ 1,259,400.00 (FAO, 1998).

*Mystus nemurus* spawns throughout the year (Khan et al., 1990) and induced breeding of this fish is a routine practice in several hatcheries. However, the supply of fingerlings is still insufficient for grow-out ponds due to low survival of larvae in most of the hatcheries in the country. In this regard, the successful large-scale rearing of *M. nemurus* larvae has yet to be

refined. If unchecked this, could become the major constraint to the flourishing river catfish industry in the country.

### Statement of the Problem

A successful larval mass production of fishes and crustaceans primarily depends on the availability of an abundant source of suitable food at their earlier stages (Matlak and Matlak, 1976; Chauduri, 1979; Izjuterdo et al., 1989). Among the various species of live food organisms, zooplankton *Brachionus* spp. (Watanabe et al., 1983; Juario et al., 1984; Villegas, 1990) and *Artemia* species (Lovell, 1990) have been most extensively used in the larval rearing of various kinds of marine and freshwater fish species of commercial importance.

At present, research work in improving the nutritional value of live food organisms is mostly focused on *Artemia* and *Brachionus* species. In practice, most of the farmers and hatchery operators are totally dependent on using *Artemia* sp. as food for fish and crustacean larvae during larval rearing. In addition to occasional shortages of supply, although it is mostly readily available for aquaculture use, this imported live food species in the form of cysts (eggs) is very expensive and assurance of high quality standard and consistent supply is not guaranteed. Variation in the hatching quality exists among sources of *Artemia* and its nutritional value also varies from time to time and from places of origin (Sorgeloos et al., 1986; Lovell, 1990).

Alternative measures should be made in order to help minimize the importation and use of *Artemia* sp. Indigenous species of live food organisms which, have great potential as larval feed and can be easily mass produced at low cost should be used as substitutes. Information on these live food organisms is lacking and a study is needed to evaluate the potential of selected live food organisms for Malaysian catfish, *M. nemurus* larvae.

The results of this study could improve the existing larviculture technology through the utilization of various species of live food organisms. Furthermore, it could also help in refining the larval rearing techniques of *M. nemurus* towards achieving better growth, survival and quality fry production.

### Objectives of the Study

- a. To determine the optimum stocking density of *Mystus nemurus* larvae.
- b. To evaluate the potential of *Panagrellus redivivus* and other selected live food organisms for larval rearing of *Mystus nemurus* larvae.
- c. To determine the optimum feeding density of *P. redivivus* for larval rearing of *Mystus nemurus* larvae.
- d. To evaluate the effects of different combinations of live food on growth and survival of *Mystus nemurus* larvae.
- e. To determine the performance of *Panagrellus redivivus* on growth and survival of *Mystus nemurus* larvae during weaning.

## CHAPTER II

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### Use of Live Food Organisms

It has been widely recognized that many of the cultured larval fishes are planktivores and that they are totally dependent on live food organisms. It has been reported that fish larvae showed poor growth and survival when they are exclusively nourished by dry feeds. The digestive enzyme system of the newly hatched larvae is believed to be not fully developed for the utilization of dry feeds (Sharma, 1991). However, live food organisms when consumed contain necessary digestive enzymes, which facilitate food digestion in fish larvae. These exogenous enzymes either participate directly in the digestive process or indirectly activate the endogenous production of enzymes by the larvae (de Verga and Bohm, 1992).

Several groups of zooplankton may be used for feeding larval fish, prawns and crabs. A suitable and appropriate food for the larvae, however, is seldom provided because of certain constraints such as lack of information on the larval mouth size or gape and the undeveloped jaws of the larvae by the time the yolk is completely absorbed. Some of the commonly used live food organisms for larviculture include rotifers, cladocerans, copepods, free-living nematodes and *Artemia* nauplii.