

## DEVELOPMENT OF A PREDICTION MODEL OF ENVIRONMENTALLY-SUSTAINABLE BEHAVIOUR AMONG PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS IN THE MALAYSIAN INSTITUTE OF TEACHER EDUCATION



AINI ARIFAH BINTI ABDUL KARIM

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

July 2021

FPAS 2022 3

## COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

 $\Box$ 



## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to

My late parents: Al-Marhum Prof. Dr. Haji Abdul Karim Haji Tajudin Al- Marhum Puan Hajah Latifah Redah

> Parents in law: Al-Marhum Haji Mohd Amin Abdul Aziz Puan Hajah Khatijah Mohamad

> > My husband: Mohd Irfan Mohd Amin

My lovely kids: Khairin Athirah Mohd Irfan Khalif Safwan Mohd Irfan Khair Wafiy Mohd Irfan

My siblings: Dr. Aini Afifah Abdul Karim Ahmad Azizi Abdul Karim Amir Azlan Abdul Karim Dr. Anwar Azhari Abdul Karim

With love, respect and a bunch of memories Indeed, we belong to Allah and indeed to Him we will return. Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

## DEVELOPMENT OF A PREDICTION MODEL OF ENVIRONMENTALLY-SUSTAINABLE BEHAVIOUR AMONG PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS IN THE MALAYSIAN INSTITUTE OF TEACHER EDUCATION

By

## AINI ARIFAH BINTI ABDUL KARIM

**July 2021** 

# Chairman:Ho Yuek Ming @ Sabrina J. Ho Abdullah, PhDFaculty:Forestry and Environment

The inclusion of ESD in pre-service teacher education programmes is crucial in terms of preparing today's teachers for long-term success since teacher education is a critical component of ESD policy adoption and implementation. More importantly, little is known about the factors that determine the environmentally sustainable behaviour among the pre-service teachers, specifically in Malaysia Institute of Teacher Education (ITE). All the 27 campus of ITE in Malaysia are branded as 'Sustainable ITE' adopting the Eco-Schools programme which aspires to empower pre-service teachers to take action to find solutions to environmental issue in their campus area. Therefore, the main purpose of this study is to develop a preliminary predictive model on factors determining environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers by integrating two theories; Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) and Model of Environmentally Responsible Behaviour (ERB). The development of the new ESB model was applied using a deductive theory-generating research approach and a correlational research design. Seven variables were examined, namely sustainability action skills (SAS), knowledge on sustainability strategies (KSS), knowledge on sustainability issues (KSI), environmentally sustainable attitude (AT), subjective norm (SN), perceived availability of facilities (PAF) and environmentally sustainable behaviour intention (BI). This study also determined the moderating role of field of study and Environment Education course on the relationship between key predictors and environmentally sustainable behaviour. PLS-SEM was applied to capture the causal effect relationship model of these relationships. The population of this study includes 5 randomly selected Institute of Teacher Education employing a stratified proportional sampling technique. The respondents (n = 256) answered the face-to-face questionnaire survey.

The model's testing results revealed that out of 13 path coefficients ( $\beta$ ) in the structural model, 8 paths had statistically significant direct effects on the interrelationships, while

five path did not have any significant effect. The paths that showed significant effects were: BI, SAS, KSS, AT, SN, and PAF on environmentally sustainable behaviour; KSI and SN towards environmentally sustainable behavioural intention. The path with nonsignificant effects was the KSI, towards environmentally sustainable behaviour and SAS. KSI, AT and PAF towards environmentally sustainable behavioural intention. Furthermore, this study also examined the field of study and Environment Education course as moderating variables for the model. In addition to the path coefficients (direct effect relationship), the structural model also revealed 1 coefficient with significant moderating effects out of 12 for the interrelationships among the key predictors and the environmentally sustainable behaviour investigated in the study. The moderating effect was observed for Environment Education course in the relationship between knowledge on sustainability strategies (KSS) and environmentally sustainable behaviour. Overall, the structural model explained about 74.9% of the variance in the environmentally sustainable behaviour of the pre-service teachers in the ITE. In conclusion, this study verifies that environmentally sustainable behaviour intention, sustainability action skills, knowledge on sustainability strategies, attitude, subjective norm and perceived availability of facilities have a major impact on the environmentally sustainable behaviour. The study contributed significantly to the literature by indicating TPB and ERB as the ideal framework to capture the environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers who are in their training. Additionally, this study suggests that the predictive model developed should be suitable to be used for predicting the environmentally sustainable behaviour of future teachers in the Malaysian Institutes of Teacher Education as well as other public universities and other developing countries in the hope of nurturing and cultivating positive behaviours towards mother nature, so as to achieve a more sustainable future for the next generation.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

## PEMBANGUNAN MODEL RAMALAN TINGKAH LAKU KELESTARIAN ALAM SEKITAR DALAM KALANGAN GURU PRA PERKHIDMATAN DI INSTITUT PENDIDIKAN GURU MALAYSIA

Oleh

#### AINI ARIFAH BINTI ABDUL KARIM

Julai 2021

Pengerusi : Ho Yuek Ming @ Sabrina J. Ho Abdullah, PhD Fakulti : Perhutanan dan Alam Sekitar

Penerapan ESD dalam program pendidikan guru pra-perkhidmatan adalah penting dari segi menyediakan guru untuk kejayaan jangka panjang memandangkan pendidikan guru merupakan komponen penting dalam penerimaan dan pelaksanaan dasar ESD. Lebih penting lagi, kajian mengenai faktor yang menentukan tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar dalam kalangan guru pra-perkhidmatan amatlah sedikit, khususnya di Institut Pendidikan Guru Malaysia (IPG). Kesemua 27 kampus IPG di Malaysia dijenamakan sebagai jpg IPG Lestari' di mana kampus-kampus ini mengamalkan program Eco-Schools yang berhasrat untuk memperkasakan guru pra-perkhidmatan dalam penyelesaian isu alam sekitar di kawasan kampus mereka. Oleh itu, tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan model ramalan awal tentang faktor-faktor yang menentukan tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar dalam kalangan guru pra-perkhidmatan dengan mengintegrasikan dua teori; Teori Tingkah Laku Terancang (TPB) dan Model Tingkah Laku Bertanggungjawab terhadap Alam Sekitar (ERB). Pembangunan model ESB ini telah menggunakan pendekatan penyelidikan penjanaan teori deduktif dan reka bentuk penyelidikan korelasi. Tujuh pembolehubah telah dikenal pasti iaitu kemahiran tindakan kelestarian (SAS), pengetahuan tentang strategi kelestarian (KSS), pengetahuan tentang isu kelestarian (KSI), sikap lestari alam sekitar (AT), norma subjektif (SN), persepsi ketersediaan kemudahan (PAF) dan niat dalam tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar (BI). Kajian ini juga menentukan kesan penyederhana bidang pengajian dan kursus Pendidikan Alam Sekitar terhadap hubungan antara peramal utama dan tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar. PLS-SEM telah digunakan untuk menghasilkan model ramalan ini. Populasi kajian ini termasuk 5 Institut Pendidikan Guru (IPG) yang dipilih secara rawak menggunakan teknik persampelan berstrata. Responden (n = 256) telah menjawab soal selidik tinjauan secara bersemuka.

Hasil keputusan ujian model mendapati bahawa daripada 13 pekali laluan ( $\beta$ ) dalam model struktur, 8 laluan mempunyai kesan langsung yang signifikan secara statistik ke

atas perhubungan, manakala lima laluan tidak mempunyai sebarang kesan yang ketara. Laluan yang menunjukkan kesan ketara ialah: BI, SAS, KSS, AT, SN, dan PAF terhadap tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar; KSI dan SN ke arah niat tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar. Laluan yang mempunyai kesan tidak ketara ialah KSI terhadap tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar dan SAS, KSI, AT dan PAF terhadap niat tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar. Seterusnya, kajian ini turut mengkaji bidang pengajian dan kursus Pendidikan Alam Sekitar sebagai kesan penyederhana bagi model tersebut. Sebagai tambahan kepada pekali laluan (hubungan kesan langsung), model struktur juga mendedahkan 1 pekali dengan kesan penyederhana yang ketara daripada 12 hubungan antara peramal utama dan tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar yang dikaji. Dari segi kesan penyederhanaan, kajian ini mendapati bahawa kursus Pendidikan Alam Sekitar memberi kesan penyerdahana antara pengetahuan tentang strategi kelestarian (KSS) dengan tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar. Secara keseluruhannya, model struktur ini menjelaskan kira-kira 74.9% varians dalam tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar dalam kalangan guru pra-perkhidmatan di IPG. Sebagai kesimpulannya, kajian ini mengesahkan bahawa niat tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar, kemahiran tindakan kemampanan, pengetahuan tentang strategi kemampanan, sikap, norma subjektif dan persepsi ketersediaan kemudahan mempunyai kesan besar ke atas tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar. Kajian ini menyumbang secara signifikan kepada literatur dengan menunjukkan TPB dan ERB sebagai rangka kerja yang ideal untuk menerangkan tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar dalam kalangan guru pra-perkhidmatan yang sedang menjalani latihan mereka. Selain itu, kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa model ramalan yang dibangunkan harus sesuai digunakan untuk meramalkan tingkah laku lestari alam sekitar bakal guru di Institut Pendidikan Guru Malaysia serta universiti awam lain dan negara-negara yang sedang membangun dengan harapan ia dapat memupuk sikap positif terhadap alam semula jadi, demi untuk mencapai masa depan yang lebih mampan untuk generasi akan datang.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise and thanks to Almighty Allah, with His blessings, giving me the strength and passion to finally finish the research until this manuscript is completed.

The completion of this research was possible as a result of contributions and support from several individuals and organizations. First, I would like to thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Ho Yuek Ming @ Sabrina J. Ho Abdullah for accepting me and for taking up the responsibility in mentoring and encouraging me through the ups and downs of my Ph.D. journey. I am deeply grateful for your support, kind words, and suggestions that helped through the completion of this research.

I particularly want to thank Professor Dr. Ahmad Fauzi bin Mohd Ayub, my cosupervisor for the endless editing, helping me at various stages of my study. Your selflessness and concern for my success meant the world to me. I am so thankful for the generosity of your precious time by making my journey possible.

I am also grateful to my academic committee member, Dr. Amir Hamzah Sharaai for your suggestions, time, and input throughout my dissertation. My gratitude also goes to every member of the faculty especially the staff for being very helpful throughout my years in the Faculty of Environmental Studies, UPM.

Also, Dr. Aziyah Mohd Yusoff, Institute of Aminuddin Baki and Noor Baizura Harun, for always giving me a listening ear whenever I was down and confused.

I also want to thank Dr. Ramlan Mustapha for his support through the dissertation proposal phase, and during my data analysis process, Dr. Ahamad Shabudin for helping with through my pilot study and validation, Dr. Kartini Abdul Mutalib, Dr. Hanifah Mahat, Dr. Noorzalina Zainuddin, Mr. Gordon Minton and also Mdm Fazida Othman for taking your precious time validating and assessing my questionnaires adaptation. Not forgetting the original owner of the questionnaires who has given me their permission and blessing for me to adapt and adopt their questionnaire, Dr. Mohamad Salisu Khalil (Nigeria), Dr. Ali Khafan Al-Naqbi (UAE), and Dr. Daniel Olsson (Sweden).

I truly must take this opportunity to appreciate and thank Mr. Scott McDonalds, all the way from Queensland, Australia for your dedication in helping with the monitoring of the language style and the writing of my thesis. Your concern at the most exact time came by very handy, nevertheless. Thank you, Scott.

I must also thank Dr. Thavamaran Kanesan for his advice and help as I was going through the correction phase which was quite challenging if it weren't for your team's input and invaluable suggestions. My appreciation also goes to the members of the Institute of Teacher Education Malaysia, and all the coordinators from ITE Bangi Campus, ITE Ipoh Campus, ITE Temenggong Ibrahim Campus, ITE Gaya Campus, and ITE Tengku Ampuan Afzan Campus for assisting me and providing me with essential help in dealing with the random respondents from each ITE during the data collection.

Next, I must not forget to thank Associate Professor Dr. Siti Rabaah Hamzah, who have made my dream to present my work at Tilburg University, The Netherlands possible and successful. To Aimi, Bai, and Fairuz who were with me in London and The Netherlands, those memories that we made together will forever be imprinted in my heart.

To all my colleagues, I must say thank you for checking up on me and encouraging me to go on, especially Dr. Syazwani Sahrir, my most loyal companion who never failed to send me reminders to check on my progress, commitment to completing my dissertation, and words of encouragement.

Also, a huge thanks to my new friend, Dr. Iskandar Padzil, a truly dedicated student who managed to complete his studies before the deadline and at the same time inspired me and motivated me until I managed to reach the finishing line.

All my colleagues from UPM, you know who you are.

My husband who was never tired of pushing me and have always supported my decision. My kids, who were always understanding and forgiving.

My siblings and in-laws, you were all there for me all through the years.

My most loyal maid, Bibik Sumiyati. What would I ever accomplish without you in my life? You are truly my saviour.

My thanks also go to my incredibly gorgeous gym friends and coach for keeping me grounded and sane throughout the years as a postgraduate student.

Similarly, I want to say thank you to Anum, Shaddy, Kareena, and the Gym ladies! You all make me feel so special beyond words and I appreciate your support, strength, and prayers as I ran towards the finish line.

Just anybody who happened to be involved in this sacred journey, be it directly or indirectly, whether I remember it or not, thank you and only Allah can repay you.

Finally, I acknowledge the Department of Scholarships and Financing, Ministry of Education, for selecting me as one of the scholarship winners and for providing me with funds to support my post-graduate studies. Your support made my experience richer and my knowledge-seeking journey profound and priceless.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

#### Ho Yuek Ming @ Sabrina J. Ho Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry and Environment Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Amir Hamzah bin Sharaai, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Forestry and Environment Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Ahmad Fauzi bin Mohd Ayub, PhD

Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 February 2022

## **Declaration by graduate student**

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:

Name and Matric No.: Aini Arifah Binti Abdul Karim

## **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Ho Yuek Ming @ Sabrina J. Ho Abdullah
Signature:	
Name of Member of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Amir Hamzah bin Sharaai
Signature:	
Name of Member of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Professor Dr. Ahmad Fauzi bin Mohd Ayub

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF APPENDICES	XX
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi

# CHAPTER

1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Research Background	1
	1.2	Sustainability Education	3
	1.3	Sustainability Education in Institute of Teacher Education	4
	1.4	Problem Statements	5
	1.5	Research Objectives	10
	1.6	Research questions	10
	1.7	Limitation of study	11
	1.8	Significance of study	11
	1.9	Operational Definition of terms	13
		1.9.1 Sustainability Education	13
		1.9.2 Education for Sustainable Development (ESD)	13
		1.9.3 Institutes of Teacher Education - Institut	
		Pendidikan Guru (IPG)	13
		1.9.4 Eco-Institutes in Malaysia	14
		1.9.5 Sustainable ITE (IPG Lestari)	14
		1.9.6 Pre-service teachers	15
		1.9.7 Environmentally sustainable behaviour	15
		1.9.8 Knowledge	15
		1.9.9 Environmentally Sustainable Attitude	16
		1.9.10 Subjective norms	16
		1.9.11 Perceived availability of facilities	17
		1.9.12 Environmentally Sustainable Behavioural	
		Intentions	17
		1.9.13 Field of study	17
		1.9.14 Environment Education	18
	1.10	Chapter Summary	18
2	LITE	CRATURE REVIEW	19
	2.1	Introduction	19
	2.2	Sustainable Development Goals – an agenda to transform	
		the world	19
	2.3	Sustainable Development	20

2.4	Education for Sustainable Development – an important tool	
	to achieve the SDGs	20
2.5	Sustainability in the Institutes of Higher Learning	22
2.6	The Evolution of Sustainability Education	25
2.7	Declarations of Sustainability in Higher Education	27
2.8	The Talloires Declaration	29
2.9	Education for Sustainability in the Malaysian Schools	30
2.10	ESD in Institutes of Teacher Education	31
2.11	Sustainable Institute of Teacher Education –Sustainable	
	ITE (IPG Lestari)	32
2.12	Previous literature on pre-service teachers and	
	environmental sustainability	33
2.13	Narrowing the gaps	34
2.14	Definition and terminologies	35
2.15	Underlying theories	37
	2.15.1 Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB)	38
	2.15.2 Model of Environmentally Responsible Behaviour	39
	2.15.3 The three pillars of Sustainability	41
2.16	Theoretical Framework	42
2.17	Variables of study	43
	2.17.1 Environmentally sustainable behaviour (dependent	
	variable)	43
	2.17.2 Knowledge	44
	2.17.3 Environmentally Sustainable Attitude	45
	2.17.4 Subjective Norms	46
	2.17.5 Perceived availability of facilities	46
	2.17.6 Environmentally Sustainable Behavioural Intention	46
	2.17.7 Field of study (Moderating variable)	47
	2.17.8 Environment Education course (Moderating	
	variable)	48
	2.17.9 Relationship between knowledge and environment	
	sustainability behaviour	48
	2.17.10 Relationship between subjective norm and	
	environmentally sustainable behaviour	49
	2.17.11 Relationship between perceived availability of	
	facilities and environmentally sustainable	
	behaviour	49
	2.17.12 Field of study as a moderation between model	
	constructs and Environmentally Sustainable	
	Behaviour	50
	2.17.13 Environment Education as a moderation between	
	model constructs and Environmentally Sustainable	
• • •	Behaviour	51
2.18	Conceptual Framework	52
2.19	Hypotheses	555
2.20	Review on Structural Equation Modelling	57
2.21	Chapter Summary	58
RESE	ARCH METHODOLOGY	50
3.1	Introduction	59
		~ ~ /

 $\mathbf{G}$ 

	٠	٠
v	1	1
л	I	1

	3.2	Research Design	59
	3.3	Population of the Study	60
	3.4	Sampling	63
	3.5	Instrumentation	69
		3.5.1 Demographic Information	70
		3.5.2 Sustainability Action Skills	70
		3.5.3 Knowledge on Sustainability Strategies	71
		3.5.4 Knowledge on Sustainability Issues	71
		3.5.5 Environmentally Sustainable Attitude	72
		3.5.6 Subjective Norms	72
		3.5.7 Perceived availabilities of facilities	72
		3.5.8 Environmentally Sustainable Behavioural Intention	73
		3.5.9 Environmentally Sustainable Behaviour	73
		3.5.10 Translation	74
		3.5.11 Validity of the Instrument	74
		3.5.12 Face validity	75
	3.6	Pilot Study	80
	3.7	Research Procedure	81
	3.8	Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)	83
	3.9	Confirmatory Composite Reliability (CCA)	83
	3.10	Evaluation of Moderation Effect	83
		3.10.1 Testing of the Moderating Effect of Field of Study	84
		3.10.2 Testing of the Moderating Effect of Environment	
		Education Course	85
	3.11	Chapter Summary	86
4	RESI	ILTS AND FINDINGS	87
	4 1	Introduction	87
	4.2	Respondents profile	87 87
	43	Preliminary Data Examination	89
	1.5	4.3.1 Dealing with missing responses	89
		1.5.1 Dealing with missing responses	07
		4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening	90
		4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening 4.3.3 Outliers	90 90
	44	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> </ul>	90 90 91
	4.4	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91
	4.4	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92
	4.4	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93
	4.4	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95
	4.4 4.5	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95
	4.4 4.5	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95
	4.4 4.5	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95
	4.4 4.5	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS)</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 96
	4.4 4.5	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS) Technique</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 95 96
	4.4 4.5 4.6	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS) Technique</li> <li>Assessing the Overall Measurement Model</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 96 99 101
	<ul><li>4.4</li><li>4.5</li><li>4.6</li></ul>	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS) Technique</li> <li>Assessing the Overall Measurement Model</li> <li>4.6.1 Internal Consistency</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 96 99 101 101
	<ul><li>4.4</li><li>4.5</li><li>4.6</li></ul>	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS) Technique</li> <li>Assessing the Overall Measurement Model</li> <li>4.6.1 Internal Consistency</li> <li>4.6.2 Convergent Validity</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 96 99 101 101
	<ul><li>4.4</li><li>4.5</li><li>4.6</li></ul>	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS) Technique</li> <li>Assessing the Overall Measurement Model</li> <li>4.6.1 Internal Consistency</li> <li>4.6.2 Convergent Validity</li> <li>4.6.3 Discriminant Validity</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 96 99 101 101 101 104
	<ul><li>4.4</li><li>4.5</li><li>4.6</li><li>4.7</li></ul>	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS) Technique</li> <li>Assessing the Overall Measurement Model</li> <li>4.6.1 Internal Consistency</li> <li>4.6.2 Convergent Validity</li> <li>4.6.3 Discriminant Validity</li> <li>Descriptive Analysis</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 96 99 101 101 101 101 104 105
	<ul><li>4.4</li><li>4.5</li><li>4.6</li><li>4.7</li></ul>	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS) Technique</li> <li>Assessing the Overall Measurement Model</li> <li>4.6.1 Internal Consistency</li> <li>4.6.2 Convergent Validity</li> <li>4.6.3 Discriminant Validity</li> <li>Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.7.1 Sustainability Action Skills</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 96 99 101 101 101 101 104 105 105
	<ul><li>4.4</li><li>4.5</li><li>4.6</li><li>4.7</li></ul>	<ul> <li>4.3.2 Data cleaning and screening</li> <li>4.3.3 Outliers</li> <li>Multivariate Assumption Test</li> <li>4.4.1 Normality Test- Mardia's coefficient test</li> <li>4.4.2 Homoscedasticity Test</li> <li>4.4.3 Common Method Bias (Harman Single Factor Test)</li> <li>Data Analysis Method</li> <li>4.5.1 Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.5.2 Path Model Estimation</li> <li>4.5.3 Measurement Model</li> <li>4.5.4 Justification of Using Partial Least Squares (PLS) Technique</li> <li>Assessing the Overall Measurement Model</li> <li>4.6.1 Internal Consistency</li> <li>4.6.2 Convergent Validity</li> <li>4.6.3 Discriminant Validity</li> <li>Descriptive Analysis</li> <li>4.7.1 Sustainability Action Skills</li> <li>4.7.2 Knowledge on Sustainability Strategy</li> </ul>	90 90 91 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 95 96 99 101 101 101 101 104 105 105 106

	4.7.3	Knowledge on Sustainability Issues	107
	4.7.4	Environmentally Sustainable Attitude	108
	4.7.5	Subjective Norms	110
	4.7.6	Perceived availabilities of facilities	111
	4.7.7	Environmentally Sustainable Behavioural Intention	112
	4.7.8	Environmentally Sustainable Behaviour	113
	4.7.9	Summary of results and discussion of descriptive	
		statistics	114
4.8	Assessn	nent of the Structural Model	115
	4.8.1	Assessment of Collinearity Issues	116
	4.8.2	Assessment of Path Coefficient	117
	4.8.3	Assessment of R Square, $R^2$ and Adjusted $R^2$	118
	4.8.4	Assessment of the level of effect size $(f^2)$	120
	4.8.5	Assessment of Predictive Relevance, $O^2$ and effect	
		size, q <sup>2</sup>	121
49	Hypoth	eses Testing	123
	491	Direct effect of BL on ESB	125
	492	Direct effect of SAS on ESB	126
	493	Direct effect of KSS on ESB	127
	494	Direct effect of KSI on ESB	128
	495	Direct effect of AT on ESB	120
	496	Direct effect of SN on ESB	129
	497	Direct effect of PAE on ESB	130
	498	Direct effect of SAS on BL	131
	499	Direct effect of KSS on BI	132
	4910	Direct effect of KSI on BI	132
	4 9 11	Direct effect of AT on BL	132
	4 9 12	Direct effect of SN on BI	133
	4 9 13	Direct effect of DAE on BI	134
4 10	Modera	tor Analysis	137
4.10	4 10 1	Moderating Effect of Field of Study	137
	4.10.1	Moderating Effect of Environment Education	157
	4.10.2	courses	130
4.11	The Fin	courses	139
4.11	the Stur		1/1
4.12	Dicouco	ly ion of the Findings	141
4.12	A 12 1	Description on the environmentally systematic	145
	4.12.1	believe and the lass and istant around any sustainable	
		behaviour and the key predictors among pre-service	142
	4 1 2 2	Director for the formational illing and in a lilli	143
	4.12.2	Direct effects of sustainability action skills,	
		knowledge on sustainability strategy and	
		knowledge on sustainability issues,	
		environmentally sustainable attitude, subjective	
		norm, perceived availability of facilities and	
		environmentally sustainable behavioural intention	1 4 4
	4 1 2 2	on environmentally sustainable behaviour	144
	4.12.3	Direct effects of sustainability action skills,	
		knowledge on sustainability strategy and	
		knowledge on sustainability issues,	
		environmentally sustainable attitude, subjective	

6

		norm, perceived availability of facilities on	
		environmentally sustainable behavioural intention	145
	4.12	2.4 Role of field of study and environment education	
		courses as moderating effect on the relationship	
		between all the key predictors and environmental	
		sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers	146
	4.13	Chapter Summary	147
-	CON		140
5	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	148
	5.1	Introduction	148
	5.2	Summary of research	148
	5.3	Implication of Study	153
		5.3.1 Implication on Theory	153
		5.3.2 Practical Implications	156
	5.4	Recommendations for Future Research	157
	5.5	Concluding Comments	158
REFERE	NCES		160
APPEND	ICES		185
BIODATA	A OF ST	UDENT	212
LIST OF	PUBLIC	ATIONS	213

 $\bigcirc$ 

## LIST OF TABLES

]	ſable		Page
	2.1	Some declarations of sustainability in higher education in chronological order adapted from (Disterheft, Caeiro, Azeiteiro, & Leal Filho, 2013)	28
	2.2	Terminology of environmentally responsible behaviour	36
	3.1	List of Institutions of Teacher Education and number of population	62
	3.2	Comparison of the number of samples by expert	64
	3.3	Randomly selected ITE and its population	69
	3.4	Summary of instrumentation adaptation	70
	3.5	Items for measuring Sustainability Action Skills	71
	3.6	Items for measuring knowledge on sustainability strategies	71
	3.7	Items for measuring Knowledge on Sustainability Issues	71
	3.8	Items for measuring Environmentally Sustainable Attitude	72
	3.9	Items for measuring subjective norms	72
	3.10	Items for measuring Perceived Availabilities of Facilities	73
	3.11	Items for measuring Environmentally Sustainable Behavioural Intention	73
	3.12	Items for measuring Environmentally Sustainable Behaviour	74
	3.13	Background expertise of validators	75
	3.14	Relevancy score	76
	3.15	Computation of I-CVI scales	76
	3.16	Comments from each validators and improvements made by the researcher	79
	3.17	Cronbach Alpha Range value and description	80
	3.18	Reliability Coefficients of Constructs at Pilot Study	81
	3.19	Date of data collection	82

G

4.1	Respondents' Demographic Profile	88
4.2	Harman Single Factor Test	94
4.3	Guidelines in deciding on CB-SEM or PLS-SEM	100
4.4	Cross loadings. Alpha's Cronbachs, CR and AVE of each construct and item.	103
4.5	Discriminant Validity Index Summary for the Constructs (Fornell-Larcker criterion)	104
4.6	Descriptive analysis of every item in Sustainability Action Skills	105
4.7	Descriptive analysis of every item from Knowledge on Sustainability Strategy	107
4.8	Descriptive analysis of every item from Knowledge of Sustainability Issues	108
4.9	Descriptive analysis of every item from Environmentally Sustainable Attiude	109
4.10	Descriptive analysis of every item in Subjective Norms	110
4.11	Descriptive analysis of every item in Perceived Availability of Facilities	111
4.12	Descriptive analysis of every item in Environmentally Sustainable Behavioural Intention	112
4.13	Descriptive Analysis of every item in Environmentally Sustainable Behaviour	113
4.14	Full Collinearity Estimates	116
4.15	Path coefficient results	118
4.16	Indices for coefficient of determination (R <sup>2</sup> )	119
4.17	The effect size (f <sup>2</sup> ) of the variables of this study	120
4.18	Predictive power	122
4.19	The predictive relevance of Q <sup>2</sup>	122
4.20	Level of Acceptance for Effect Size of q2	123
4.21	Effect size of q2 for every construct	123

4.22	Hypothesis Testing	124
4.23	Overeall analysis result	135
4.24	Moderation effects of Field of Study between constructs and ESB	138
4.25	Calculating the moderating effect size of Environment Education course	139
4.26	Moderation effects of Environement Education course between constructs and ESB	140
5.1	The outcome of the hypotheses testing	150

(G)

# LIST OF FIGURES

	Figure		Page
	1.1	Funnel transition from the general context to Environemnt Education issues	9
	2.1	Summary of gaps in literature	35
	2.2	Theory of Planned Behaviour (adapted from Ajzen, 1991)	39
	2.3	Model of Environmentally Responsible Behaviour	41
	2.4	Three pillars of sustainability. Source UNESCO (2005)	42
	2.5	The Conceptual Framework for Environmentally Sustainable Behaviour among pre-service teachers in the Institute of Teacher Education	54
	3.1	Locations of ITE across Malaysia	62
	3.2	Danielsoper sample size calculator	66
	3.3	Identification of ITE from each zone by random selection	68
	3.4	Testing of the moderating effect of field of study	85
	3.5	Testing of the moderating effect of Environment Education course	86
	4.1	Overall Summary of Missing Values	90
	4.2	Mardia's coefficient of multivariate kurtosis using Webpower	92
	4.3	Assumption of Homoscedasticity with Randomly Scattered Points	93
	4.4	Measurement Model (Outer Model)	98
	4.5	Deletion and retaining of indicator based on AVE. Source: Hair et al., (2017).	102
	4.6	Steps for Assessing the Structural Model using PLS-SEM. Source: Hair, Hult, Ringle & Sarstedt (2017)	116
	4.7	The Structural Model	136
	4.8	The Final ESB Model	142

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
А	Questionnaire	185
В	Ethical Clearance	194
С	Research Approval	195
D	Permission of Questionnaire Adaptation	201
Е	Letter of Appointment as Validator	205
F	Validator's and Translator Information	211

 $\bigcirc$ 

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMOS	Analysis of Moments Structure		
AVE	Average Variance Extracted		
DESD	Decade of Education for Sustainable Development		
EE	Environment Education		
EPRD	Education Planning and Research Division		
ERB	Environmentally Responsible Behaviour		
ESB	Environmentally Sustainable Behaviour		
ESD	Education for Sustainable Development		
HEI	Higher Education Institution		
IHL	Institute of Higher Learning		
IPG	Institut Pendidikan Guru		
ITE	Institute of Teacher Education		
MOE	Ministry of Education		
NEP	New Ecological Paradigm		
NGO	Non-Government Organisation		
NREB	National Resources and Environment Board Sarawak		
PEB	Pro-environmental Behaviour		
PLS-SEM	Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modelling		
SD	Sustainable Development		
SDG	Sustainable Development Goals		
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling		
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences		
SSE	Sum of Squares Error		

Õ

SST Sum of Squares Total

TPB Theory of Planned Behaviour

UN United Nation

Ć,

- UNEP United Nation Education Program
- UNESCO United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization



## **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Research Background

The preservation of nature and man can never be separated. Indeed, it is undeniable that humans are responsible for every action taken against the environment. Ecosystems and humans complement each other in balancing life. Therefore, we value the environment by preserving and conserving it. In parallel with that, every development done by human beings should consider the environmental impacts, to minimise the environmental effects to achieve sustainable development. Sustainably designed development to meet nondesirable needs or profits can reduce the rate of damage to the earth. Environmental problems have always been a serious issue. The high increase in population is causing many resources needed to accommodate people's lives and consumption.

The continuing growth in population as well as urbanization is projected to rapidly add 2.5 billion people to the world's urban population by the year 2050 (United Nation, 2014). Now, the world has reached 7.6 billion people. With this increase, the use of natural resources will increase and the rate of waste is expected over 40 percent of the total existing natural resources (Baniah Mustam, 2015). Industrial development and the process of urbanization also lead to uneven distribution of development thus creating environmental problems. The use of natural resources, logging trees, residential buildings, planting of plants for the necessities of life has slightly changed the environment. The welfare of human life requires good economic growth. Production activities and economic growth are the cause of the occurrence of environmental degradation.

The deterioration of environmental quality stems from the human desire to develop the nation but instead, it disrupts the nature of the environment (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2013). Economically, Malaysia, as a wealthy developing country, is growing rapidly with the manufacturing industry, especially electronics, chemicals, and rubber. But rising production rates causing an increase in the release of organic gas pollution, chemicals, and dust instead (Aja, Al-kayiem, Zewge, & Joo, 2016).

Therefore, it is inevitable that Malaysia shall face environmental issues and the extinction of resources. As a developing country, land development activities and projects involving the opening of new settlements and farms, logging, construction of physical infrastructures such as roads, urban development, and construction of physical projects such as housing and industrial are not unusual. All these activities create a problem of soil erosion that pollutes the river water in terms of suspended matter, colour, turbidity, organic matter and problems river sedimentation. Consequently, a large number of human wastes including domestic, industrial, commercial, and transportation

waste finally end up in the water. A great number of rivers are actually greatly polluted to the extent that they cannot be recovered. (Yuk Feng Huang, Shin Ying Ang, 2015)

Besides that, air pollution in this country can be considered as dangerous. This applies largely due to human activity. Department of Environment (2013) reported 4,611 open burning cases detected in 2013 where 858 cases involving agricultural areas, 739 forest burning cases and 640 cases involving bush burning. Other open burning involves burning rubbish in housing and burning areas for activities, such as religious or worship. In addition, air pollution is also a result of smoke emissions and pollutant gases such as carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC), nitrogen oxides (NOx) and particulate released through the exhaust of motor vehicles where at the end of 2013 only a total of 23,705,794 motor vehicles have been registered in Malaysia. Researchers are of the view that many of the events that occurred were from uncontrolled activity, negligence, greed, lack of self-awareness, and selfishness. Besides, it is also caused by non-conceptualized development in sustainable development, the lack of laws involving consumer protection as well as weak enforcement of these laws resulting in a lack of protection for a pristine environment.

One of the most significant current discussions in moral philosophy is that the quality of human life is determined by attitude and personality traits embedded in the individual. Education is an alternative to instilling an awareness of the environment (Zanaton Iksan, 2015). This is because the formation and active involvement of each community member towards the environmental issues are clear from education. Environmental education is said to be important in increasing awareness of environmental quality (Monroe et al., 2016). Future generations can control and add prosperity to their lives and future through environmental education (Baniah Mustam, 2015).

In fact, unplanned development activities and negligence towards the environmental aspects also threaten the future of future generations. It is undeniable that this situation is very serious and affects the independence, sustainability of civilization and prosperity (Arora et al., 2018). Increasing understanding, and environmental awareness are two important elements in building national capacity towards sustainable development (A. Hassan, Osman, & Pudin, 2009). Hence, this study is one of the efforts to identify the implementation of sustainable development education in the national education system and its impact on society.

It is inevitable that the responsibility to inculcate awareness and consciousness towards the environment is not only directed towards the green activists or environmentalists, but also to all stages and levels of society. Hence, teachers are seen as effective agents of change to mobilize the concept of education for sustainable development (Hanifah, Shaharudin, Mohmadisa, Nasir, & Yazid, 2015).

Furthermore, this study includes the need to foresee the transitioning of the general environmental education, to issues of environmentally sustainable behaviour. Because of the growing number of environmental concerns caused by human behaviour, pro-

environmental behaviour has become a hot topic in environmental sustainability study. (Blok, Wesselink, Studynka, Kemp, 2015). Individuals who practise pro-environmental behaviour aim to take measured actions to promote constructive changes in the environment and limit the impacts of human neglect. (Carmi, Arnon, Orion, 2015). Individuals may not pursue pro-environmental behaviour despite its relevance owing to a variety of factors such as time, expense, and effort. Individuals' intentions to be environmentally friendly may be influenced by their views, motivations, and environmental commitment. (Khare, 2015). Furthermore, highly educated persons with extensive environmental awareness and drive are more likely to engage in this responsible behaviour. (Chakraborty, Singh, Roy, 2017). Most individuals' self-identity and biospheric values may drive them to engage in pro-environmental behaviour. (Ruepert, Keizer, Steg, Maricchiolo, Carrus, Dumitru, Mira, Stancu, Moza, 2016). Besides, pro-environmental behaviour is mostly affected by factors such as environmental commitment (Han &Hyun, 2016), pro-environmental or green lifestyle lifestyle (Mohd Suki, 2016), self-efficacy (Huang, 2016) and environmental awareness or consciousness.

Therefore, individual behavioural change may be easily cultivated among current generations, hence colleges, universities, and training centres play an important role in developing pro-environmental behaviour. (Massaro, Dumay, Garlatti & Dal Mas, 2018 & Ting & Cheng, 2017).

As a result, in order to achieve the sustainability goals, people's individual environmental behaviours must be cultivated initially at an early age. Students should practise proenvironmental behaviour since they will be exposed to the effects of environmental challenges in the future and can help to spur environmental activities. (Vicente-Molina, Fernandez-Sainz, Izagirre-Olaizola, 2013). In this respect, this research took place in several Institute of Teachers Education (ITE) in Malaysia which aims determine whether the environmentally sustainable behaviours performed by the pre-service teachers were derived from their own initiatives in performing pro-environmental behaviour or were prompted by the programs conducted in the campus. Thus, the focus was on pre-service teachers' awareness on environmentally sustainable behaviour as voluntarily performed based on their awareness that emerged as an impact of the environmentally as part of the rules and regulations set by the ITE to conserve and preserve the campus surrounding.

#### **1.2** Sustainability Education

Environmental education in schools is typically at the centre of improving the national education system, as it raises awareness of the urgency of environmental conservation and addressing pollution. The current Malaysian curriculum raises concerns about whether climate change and sustainable development issues are being addressed in current environmental education in order to create awareness and encourage positive behaviour toward environmental conservation in Malaysia. (Kamaruddin, Othman, Md Sum & Abdul Rahim, 2019). According to Kamaruddin et. Al (2019), There seems to be no specific subject on environmental education in Malaysian school curricula, resulting

in poor public awareness and civic consciousness on environmental conservation and preservation, as well as a lack of understanding and exposure to various issues on sustainability and environment, knowledge on sustainable environment, and any updated information on environment. As a result, environmental education in Malaysia must be examined to determine that knowledge of environmental protection from all perspectives, including legislation and policies, is incorporated in the latest educational syllabus.

Sustainability is a notion in product, commodities, and service development that entails fulfilling current demands without jeopardising future generations' capacity to meet their own needs. The idea of sustainability recognises that the environment is a finite resource. As a result, it is critical to use the environment and its resources wisely and to conserve them for the sake of the Earth, mankind, and all living creatures. For this particular research, an emphasis is being made to study the factors affecting environmentally sustainable behaviour. Human beings as individuals as have a moral duty to each other, future generations, and other species to keep the world alive, regardless of who we are, where we live, or what we do. Our current decisions and behaviours have far-reaching long-term consequences for future generations. Environmentally sustainable behaviour guarantees that we make ethical decisions that ensure everyone has a secure and liveable future (Mensah, 2019). Future generations will be deprived if we exhaust the Earth's resources. This study fits into the current body of knowledge by the development of the predictive model, using the Theory of Planned Behaviour as well as the Model of Environmentally Responsible Behaviour, that is discussed further in the next chapters. At the same time, this study is investigating what are the factors that influence the preservice teachers to behave sustainably, and if it is possible to encourage and empower environmentally sustainable actions.

## 1.3 Sustainability Education in Institute of Teacher Education

The Institute of Teacher Education Division, which is part of the Ministry of Education (MOE), is a fundamental foundation that fully monitors ITE. They had assigned full responsibility for developing and preparing the programmes, curriculum, modules, and syllabus for the ITE courses. The Institute of Teacher Education Division frequently holds seminars, courses, and training for lecturers to ensure they are on the right path and are aware of changes in syllabus, modules, and so on. IPG-Lestari programme, which is being introduced a joint venture with Institutes of Teacher Education Malaysia, MOE (headquarters) in all the 27 Institutes of Teacher Education in Malaysia, is expected to offer a better understanding as to how future teachers should identify environmental issues and work on solutions by collaborating (plan their actions, get the whole institute involve, generating interest, work with external agencies) with broader audience within the educational institutes as well as with local communities. As a result. All the 27 Institutes of Teacher Education in Malaysia were branded as 'Sustainable ITE' starting in 2012. Nevertheless, the Malaysian Institutes of Teacher Education Division, MOE plays an integral part to boost environmental awareness among trainee teachers, in order to prepare themselves mentally and physically due to teach in schools in future as well as providing an environmental learning climate among the trainees during their studies at ITE. At the same time, producing teachers who aware of environmental issues, by applying the concept of learning about environment, for environment and through environment. This study is looking into how the pre-service teachers' behaviour changed to the better after receiving a substantial amount of exposure on environmental sustainability and how they will integrate their environmentally sustainable behaviour into teaching the young students in the future. Problems occurred while implementing environmental sustainability in schools such as having less knowledge about environmental and sustainability among teachers is addressed in this research.

#### 1.4 Problem Statements

This study aims at an in-depth understanding of the issue of environmentally sustainable behaviour. This problem has received substantial interest in educational contexts from many scholars. Many researchers and academicians around the world have been interested in the role of education, particularly teacher education, in promoting sustainable living and development over the last two decades or so (Alvarez-Garca et al., 2018; Kalsoom and Khanam, 2017; Kalsoom et al., 2017; Merritt et al., 2019; Tomas et al., 2017; UN, 2015). Individuals' capacity to conduct in a more sustainable manner can be built through ESD by expanding their knowledge, skills, and abilities (Longhurst et al., 2014; Merritt et al., 2019).

Teachers' ability will always be a topic of scholarly debate, as the effectiveness of the teacher has a significant impact on students' learning and accomplishment (Darling-Hammond, 2017; Kearney and Garfield, 2019; Laurie et al., 2016). Effective teacher development programmes, according to Darling-Hammond (2017), are the key to teacher effectiveness. As a result, pre-service teachers' professional development should be centred on effective ESD implementation and children's development. (Faulkner et al., 2017; Mckeown, 2014). Teacher education serves to professionalise the teaching profession, improve student learning and growth, and promote ESD (Al-Zboon, 2016; Faulkner et al., 2017; Mckeown, 2014). Teachers' education has remained a critical component in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) set forth by the United Nations (Leal Filho et al., 2018). UNESCO (2014) stressed teacher education as a critical component of ESD policy adoption and implementation. ESD is strategically important in terms of preparing future instructors who will be able to educate others for long-term growth (Ferreira et al., 2007). As a result, the inclusion of ESD in pre-service teacher education programmes is crucial in terms of preparing today's teachers for longterm success. Unfortunately, the majority of teachers are uninformed about sustainability, and most teacher education/training institutes do not provide any curriculum to help them improve their sustainability expertise (Merritt et al., 2019). Teachers have a critical role in the evolution of schools and society as a whole (Ferreira et al., 2007). A well-planned and well-established process of learning that may lead to meaningful reform in people's thoughts is required to allow social transformation and attainment of SD (Gs) (Bürgener and Barth, 2018).

ESD delivers a well-thought-out and well-established foundation for raising individual awareness, strengthening their decision-making abilities, and acting sustainably (Laurie et al., 2016; Pigozzi, 2007). It is a well-known fact that ESD provides the necessary

learning process to spark social transformation, and numerous educational institutions have implemented projects ranging from school gardens to sustainability certification to change people's attitudes (Van Poeck, Konig, and Wals, 2018). Education, according to Bokova (2015), is essential for achieving sustainable development since it has a huge impact on how people think and act. Many reports published under the auspices of the United Nations and its sister organisations, such as UNESCO, have emphasised the importance of education, specifically teacher education, in ensuring a sustainable future (Huckle and Wals, 2015; UNDP, 2015; UNECE, 2013; UNESCO, 2014; Vladimirova and Le Blanc, 2016).

This study depicted that Malaysia is still facing quite a challenge in achieving sustainable development. Hanifah Mahat in 2017 discovered that an atrocious negligence towards the sustainability education in the Malaysian school's classroom. It could be related to what Reza (2016) discovered which was teacher-centred pedagogical strategies does not seem to be effective in order to incorporate sustainability knowledge. Furthermore, Chinedu & Mohamed (2017) reported that practices of environmental behaviour among teachers were not in concert with the level of environmental concern and knowledge. Additionally, pre-service teachers do not have strong environmental knowledge and self-efficacy beliefs related to environmental education (Keles 2017 & Sadik & Sadik 2014). In fact, in 2018, Kukkonen, Kärkkäinen, & Keinonen also discovered that teacher education students had very weak ecological knowledge although they were the occasional doers in their everyday activities.

The National Report of Malaysia on Development of Education (Ministry of Education, 2004) ESD, in particular, stated that the concepts and components of environmental sustainability education is being implemented across the curriculum at all levels of schooling (Hanifah, Shaharudin, Mohmadisa, Nasir, & Yazid, 2015). The Ministry has used the infusion and integration approach, whereby sustainability issues were infused and integrated into subjects at the school level (Hanifah et al., 2015). The teaching of sustainability education is closely related to the nation's aim of developing a society that is sensitive and possesses appropriate knowledge, skills and values towards environmental issues and able to contribute to the solutions of the sustainability education problems (Rubab, Aziz, Usman, & Amjad, 2020). However, the attitude and action of Malaysian students towards environmental problems indicated that students were not very enthusiastic in solving environmental problems (Wee, Ariffin, Ng, and Shabudin, 2017). The environmental education is not translated into habits and lifestyles of the students, which is essential especially in changing the mind-set of the citizens, as well as in inculcating the younger generation's sustainable lifestyles (Im, King, & Othman, 2014)

The Malaysian teaching system has been blamed for abysmal negligence towards the sustainability education in the classroom (Hanifah Mahat, 2017). Unfortunately, a very limited number of teachers know how to actively combine their instructional strategies or learning activities through practice and change. Insufficient knowledge of sustainable behaviour among teachers is a barrier to the integration of sustainability practices into the classroom. A number of research reports indicate that teacher-centred pedagogical strategies seem not to be effective for learners to successfully relate and incorporate

sustainability knowledge (Reza, 2016). Teachers do not internalize the topics related to the environment to such an extent which is enough to become a member of an environmental community, participate in seminars/conferences related to environmental issues, or follow such issues on their own volition through media. This may result from the theoretical teaching of sustainable education, rather than applied.

There is widespread opinion that teachers' conceptions of pedagogy play a crucial role in their effectiveness as primary mediators between the subject and the learner (Kyridis, Mavriki & Tsakridou, 2005). This was further highlighted by Finger (1994) in her study explaining the relationship between environmental sustainability knowledge and action. Finger (1994) suggested that the major challenge for educators stems from the fact that individuals are already highly aware and concerned when it comes to environmental issues and problems, yet do not display the corresponding environmental behaviour. Finger (1994) also asserted that the fall in developing appropriate behaviours is due to the ineffective teaching strategies used to deliver the environmental education.

Teachers lack the knowledge, requisite skills and action regarding the teaching of environmental education. Keles (2017) reported that the participating pre-service teachers do not have strong environmental knowledge and self-efficacy beliefs related to environmental education. It was discovered that their knowledge on issues like nuclear waste and air pollution is especially weak (Sadik & Sadik, 2014). However, their understanding of environmental issues and recognition of environmental problems was only at the surface level. The findings also indicated that awareness and sensitivity towards environmental issues were very low. Furthermore Chinedu & Mohamed (2017) reported that although Malaysian in-service teachers possessed a considerable level of environmental knowledge, they lacked a general understanding of the underlying causes of environmental sustainability problems. They also reported that the practices of environmental behaviour among teachers were not in concert with the level of environmental concern and knowledge. This is probably due to the fact that the framework for implementation of sustainable education is uncoordinated and not structured towards effectiveness within the National Education System (Nair, Mohamed, & Marimuthu, 2013). Therefore, this can definitely present some challenges especially to the future educators in terms of advancing the development of ecological knowledge as well as global issues.

There is a dire need for the development of teachers' understandings of sustainability so that teachers can plan and teach sustainability education programs effectively to future generations. Chinedu and Mohamed (2017) reported that there are still quite a number of teachers who never participated on any professional development on Environment Education. There is lack of standardized structure, uniformity, and framework regarding the sustainability education throughout the teacher training institutions. Moreover, there is over-emphasis on passing the exam rather than focus on the practical teaching skills, knowledge, and competencies in the teacher training institutions in Malaysia.

The relationships between the attitudes, subjective norms, perceived availability of facilities, knowledge and behavioural intention has not yet been clarified in the

Malaysian context, so it is not obvious which one is more dominant towards the environmentally sustainable behaviour. Therefore, the goal of the present study is to investigate the relationships between the attitudes, subjective norms, perceived availability of facilities, knowledge and behavioural intention of Malaysian pre-service teachers as catalysts to their participation in sustainable behaviour program such as the Sustainable ITE. Finding the relationships between these variables also facilitates the creation of learning approaches for different studies that teach sustainability in Malaysia at all levels of education. Additionally, in a study on green purchasing by Joshi and Rahman (2015) authors revealed that limited availability and difficulties in accessing facilities like green products were a substantial barriers for the consumer to buy (Padel & Foster, 2005; Young, Hwang, environmentally sustainable products McDonald, & J. Oates, 2010). The lack of facilities has always been a hindrance towards encouraging environmental and sustainable behaviour. Moreover, Khalil (2018) suggested that facilitating condition provides a significant effect on recycling behaviour among households and thus making them convenient and accessible to people which inevitably encourages recycling behaviour (Khalil, 2018) which is part of a sustainable behaviour.

In sum, there is a lack of works that have employed empirically validated theories to examine the key factors influencing environmentally sustainable behaviour among preservice teachers. Therefore, this research is narrowing several the gaps in this area. Thus, a predictive model should be developed to enhance the understanding of environmentally sustainable behaviour particularly amongst pre-service teachers in Malaysia. This study shall benefit relevant organisations in regard to creating effective guidelines and behavioural interventions in terms of governing appropriate mitigation measures. Thus, more insights into socio-psychological factors influencing the behavioural modification providing an in-depth description of how to achieve a sustainable society and consequently enhance the quality of sustainability education in this country.



## 1.5 Research Objectives

The general objective of this study is to develop a model that predicts the environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers training in the Malaysian Institute of Teacher Education. The specific objectives of this study are as follows:

- 1. To describe sustainability action skills, knowledge of sustainability strategies, knowledge of sustainability issues, environmentally sustainable attitude, perceived availability of facilities, subjective norms, environmentally sustainable behavioural intention and environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers in Malaysia.
- 2. To determine the direct effect of behavioural intention and environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers in Malaysia.
- 3. To determine the direct effect between sustainability action skills, knowledge of sustainability strategies, knowledge of sustainability issues, environmentally sustainable attitude, perceived availability of facilities, subjective norms towards and environmentally sustainable behavioural intention environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers in Malaysia.
- 4. To examine the role of field of study on the relationship between predictors and environmentally sustainable behaviour and the role of Environment Education course on the relationship between predictors and environmentally sustainable behaviour.
- 5. To develop a prediction model to predict factors that influence environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers in Malaysia.

#### 1.6 Research questions

Based on the first objective, the study addressed the following research questions:

- 1. What are the pre-service teachers' perception towards sustainability action skills, knowledge of sustainability strategies, knowledge of sustainability issues, environmentally sustainable attitude, perceived availability of facilities, subjective norms, environmentally sustainable behavioural intention and environmentally sustainable behaviour?
- 2. What is the direct effect of behavioural intention and environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers in Malaysia?
- 3. What are the direct effects between sustainability action skills, knowledge of sustainability strategies, knowledge of sustainability issues, environmentally sustainable attitude, perceived availability of facilities, subjective norms towards and environmentally sustainable behavioural intention

environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers in Malaysia?

4. What are the moderating effects of field of study and Environment Education course on the relationship between key predictors and environmentally sustainable behaviour?

## 1.7 Limitation of study

The scope of this study is limited to the pre-service teachers studying in the Malaysian Institutes of Teacher Education. Although there are other Higher Education Institutions (HEI) that trains future teachers. For example, the faculty of education from any public universities also trains future teachers; this study is focusing on the participants who are selected from Institutes of Teacher Education from each zone in Malaysia. The reason for this selection is discussed in subsection 2.11.

There are 27 Institutes of Teacher Education in Malaysia which are divided into five zones. The zones are Central Zone, Southern Zone, Northern Zone and East Coast Zone in peninsular Malaysia including Sabah and Sarawak (East Malaysia Zone). One Institute of Teacher Education was selected from each zone from Peninsular Malaysia covering a total of five (5) Institutes of Teacher Education.

Furthermore, this study is designed only to measure the key predictors towards environmentally sustainable behaviour at a specific point in time. From this data alone we cannot conclude that an intervention (such as an education program) which increases an individual's knowledge, or attitude will correspondingly increase their participation in environmentally sustainable behaviours. This study brings us to examine the relationship between all the key predictors and environmentally sustainable behaviours and whether field of study moderates the relationship between knowledge of sustainability action skills, knowledge for sustainability strategies and knowledge for sustainability issues and environmentally sustainable behaviours. Rather, this survey alone is insufficient to draw a conclusion regarding the efficiency of the Eco-Institutes Program that was introduced by the WWF in 2012. Nevertheless, in order to further evaluate the relationship between changes in the key predictors and changes in behaviour, other types of research design should be applied. Ultimately, the survey's quantification of every key predictor is not intended to produce precise measurements but instead to extract relationships among a large population that would be impossible using the usual qualitative or case study approach.

#### **1.8** Significance of study

This research was carried out with the view that the findings will be significant to environmentally sustainable education in Malaysia. This study is most probably the unique study of its kind to predict environmentally sustainable behaviour that contributes to the sustainability education. It will pave the way for the implementation and evaluation of the environmentally sustainable education by producing valid and reliable instruments for this purpose. It is also suggested that these instruments can be used for future research in the educational research disciplines.

In the practical perspectives, the findings of this research will contribute some empirical overview for further investigation regarding the variables and key determinants of the structural model and gives proven data of relationships between the variables. Besides, this study will also provide proof and evidence on the positive impacts of education for sustainable development. The research outcome of this study provides constructive sources to educational administrators, planners, and relevant educational ministry for establishing national and institutional strategies for the environmental sustainability in the future.

On the other hand, this research contributes to the Sustainable Development Goals by suggesting some solutions and design strategies that can contribute to creating good lives for the community today and in the future, with the goal of addressing the global challenges of dealing with complex societal problems at the interaction between nature and society (Schäfer et al. 2010).

Furthermore, this study can be advantageous theoretically, since it contributes to the body of knowledge by the combination of two theories, namely The Theory of Planned Behaviour by Ajzen (1991) and the Model of Environmentally Responsible Behaviour (1986).

In terms of method, this study contributes a predictive model based on the factors driving environmentally sustainable behaviour between the predictors of environmentally sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers in Malaysia – using PLS SEM as well as testing moderating effect of environment education course and field of study on the predictive model. In addition, the proposed predictive model provides a comprehensive explanation on the factors that influence pre-service teachers' sustainable behaviour. This model will encourage researcher to conduct further studies in environmental sustainability education. The model can be used in predicting factors that influence the environment behaviour among students in any institutions of higher learning. However, although the model is derived from higher learning institutions, it can be used by any organisation such as primary and secondary schools or any institutions especially in the education sector.

In the context of policy, this study can support and encourage initiatives aimed at reorienting existing educational structures and practices to address sustainable development at all levels.

## 1.9 Operational Definition of terms

The main definitions of terms for this study are as follows:

## 1.9.1 Sustainability Education

Sustainability education as referred to Sterling (2004) is a catch to include all the environmental education (EE), education for sustainable development (ESD) and education for sustainability (EfS). Beyond these terms, sustainable education is mostly used in order to suggest a shift of environmental paradigm, rather than a modification of the existing program.

## **1.9.2 Education for Sustainable Development (ESD)**

Education for Sustainable Development refers to the learning required to maintain and improve the quality of life for future generations. Holfelder (2019) defines ESD as a way towards a better life for present and future generations. It is a dynamic process that requires each individual to identify their potential and improve the quality of life. Siraj-Blatchford, Smith, & Samuelsson (2010) sees ESD as an education mission to find the balance between human well-being and economic development alongside cultural traditions and respect for natural resources. Jagger (2020) defines ESD as a learning to understand human and environmental interactions and how the environment is being managed wisely and responsibly towards the preservation of earth.

According to UNESCO, education for sustainable development (ESD) involves the integration of key sustainable development issues into teaching and learning which may include, climate change, biodiversity, disaster risk reduction, sustainable consumption and poverty reduction. It also requires participatory teaching and learning methods which motivate and empower learners to change their behaviours as well as taking action for sustainable development.

#### 1.9.3 Institutes of Teacher Education - Institut Pendidikan Guru (IPG)

The Institutes of Teacher Education are teacher training institutions for the training of primary school teachers (Mahmud, Nasri, Samsudin, & Halim, 2018). The institutes offer a total of six major programs offering a degree and diploma in the education field. Upon completing their studies in the Institutes, the student teachers are sent to the Malaysian National Schools under the Ministry of Education all over the country to begin their service as teachers. In this research, the institution for teacher education used are government owned training institutions responsible for preparing teachers for the primary education of Malaysian education system (Goh, Canrinus, & Wong, 2020).

## 1.9.4 Eco-Institutes in Malaysia

Ministry of Education on advocating an Environmental Education (EE) policy, WWF-Malaysia launched a program introduced Eco-Schools and Eco-Institutes programmes (WWF-Malaysia, 2012a). An MOU was signed in July 2012 between WWF and Malaysia's Ministry of Education. This program has been adopted to guide educational institutions to become environmentally sustainable or green, using the Foundation of Environmental Education's (FEE) Seven Step Methodology from the Eco-Schools programme. Specific skills building activities for trained teachers and lecturers were held. More than 300 teacher trainees as well as 100 lecturers were trained since 2014 in regard to environment and sustainability.

## 1.9.5 Sustainable ITE (IPG Lestari)

IPG-Lestari programme, which is being introduced a joint venture with Institutes of Teacher Education Malaysia, MOE (headquarters) in all the 27 Institutes of Teacher Education in Malaysia, is expected to offer a better understanding as to how teacher trainees can organise themselves to identify environmental issues and work on solutions by collaborating (plan their actions, get the whole institute involve, generating interest, work with external agencies) with broader audience within the educational institutes as well as with local communities. All Institutes of Teacher Education in Malaysia were branded as 'Sustainable ITE' starting in 2012. Sustainable ITE was introduced in conjunction to the Eco-Institute's program which is a collaborative network between Institute of Teacher Education and WWF (World Wide Fund for Nature), Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI), University Sains Malaysia (USM) and Natural Resources and Environment Board Sarawak (NREB) which was signed in July 2012. The programme adopts its concept from Eco-Schools programme and it aspires to empower pre-service teachers to take action to find solutions to environmental issue in their campus area. This program is in line with the ESD implementation. Since ESD in higher education institutions can manifest itself in a variety of ways, some institutions employ a formal learning approach that includes organised and systematic content as well as a sustainable development syllabus. Other institutions on the other hand, take an informal learning approach by incorporating experiential learning. In Malaysia, the MOE has made significant efforts to introduce sustainable development issues in tertiary institutions across all disciplines, either in a subject dedicated specifically to sustainable development or in a topic of a subject that focuses on sustainable development. Thus, it can be stated that Malaysia has addressed issues of sustainable development in the social. economic, and environmental dimensions. In the Institute of Teacher Education, the ESD is implemented by a program called the IPG Lestari (Sustainable ITE) which is a cocurricular program. At the same time, there are also elective courses called the Environment Education that is offered to the pre-service teachers.

14

## **1.9.6 Pre-service teachers**

The term pre-service teachers is used to all students studying in the Teacher Education Institutions undergoing training to become primary school teacher in the Malaysian Education System. Besides the term pre-service teachers, the researcher also uses the term future teachers and student teachers.

## 1.9.7 Environmentally sustainable behaviour

Environmentally sustainable behaviour is the set of effective and deliberate actions directed towards the conservation and /or preservation of physical and cultural resources, integrity of animal and plant species, and individual and social well-being and safety of present and future generations" (Juárez-Nájera, Rivera-Martínez, & Hafkamp, 2010). The sustainable behaviour that is measured in this study covers the three pillars of sustainability by UNESCO (2005) where their behaviour must reflect social, environment and economy aspects as well.

## 1.9.8 Knowledge

Knowledge is defined as the awareness, familiarity, or understanding of something, such as facts, descriptions, information or skills, which is acquired along with experience or education by discovering, perceiving, or learning. Knowledge can refer to a theoretical or practical understanding of a particular topic or a subject. Hines, Hungerford, & Tomera (1987) published their Model of Responsible Environmental Behaviour in 1987, and it was a modified version which was based on Ajzen and Fishbein's theory of planned behaviour (Ajzen, 2002; Hines et al., 1987; Sia, Hungerford, & Tomera, 1986). According to this model, the knowledge variables that explains an environmentally responsible behaviour of a person includes: 1. Knowledge for action skills: Individuals with knowledge on life skills so that they take the right action is dealing with any situation. 2. Knowledge of issues: The individual has to be familiar with the environmental issues surrounding them as well as its causes. 2. Knowledge of action strategies: The individual has to know the strategies needs to be taken in order to lower the impact on the environmental issues (Hines et al., 1987; Sia et al., 1986). For this research study, knowledge is one of the independent variables. Knowledge is referred to as the pre-service teacher's perception of how much information they know about certain skills or action in regard to sustainability. The operational definition for each construct for knowledge is elaborated as follow:

#### i. Sustainability action skill

Action skills for sustainability entail the ability to transform the current patterns of action by making value judgements about different ways to act. In this study Action skills treated as independent variable which is one of the factors that contribute to environmentally sustainable behaviour. It is a kind of knowledge about how pre-service teachers perceive themselves reacting towards certain situation that they might have learnt in any phase of their life before.

#### ii. Knowledge for sustainability strategies

Another independent variable which is also treated as a factor that might contribute towards environmentally sustainable behaviour. This variable is about how the preservice teachers perceive themselves as someone who knows what are the strategies that should be taken in order to keep the resources in the world sustainable. This variable is also about how the individual perceive themselves as someone who know the strategic actions that needs to be taken in order to lower the impact on the environmental issues.

#### iii. Knowledge for sustainability issues

Another factor that might contribute to environmentally sustainable behaviour based on the Model of Responsible Environmental Behaviour. For this particular study, a person who would behave in an environmentally sustainable behaviour must know the issues on sustainability as well as any issues in sustaining the resources on the earth. The common sustainability issues that have always been discussed are for example climate change, energy resources, biodiversity, inequality, water scarcity, food production and many more, are quite large and complex which involves governments, global citizens, institutions and NGOs. Thus, having knowledge on the issues can give them more awareness and contribute individuals to behave in a more environmentally sustainable behaviour.

#### 1.9.9 Environmentally Sustainable Attitude

Attitude refers to an enduring combination of motivational, emotional, perceptual and cognitive processes with respect to some aspect of our environment (Eilam & Trop, 2012; Krech & Crutchfield, 1948a). Environmental attitudes are perceived commonly as preconditions for achieving environmental behaviour (Keles, 2017). Under this construct, the researcher wants to find out the pre-service teachers' attitude towards performing environmentally sustainable behaviour. In this study, the variable attitude refers to the psychological emotion of the whole evaluation of performing sustainable behaviour of the preservice teachers.

#### 1.9.10 Subjective norms

Subjective norms refer to the belief that an important group of people or person will support and approve a particular behaviour. Subjective norms are determined by the perceived social pressure from others for an individual to behave in a certain way and their motivation to adhere with those people's views (Hines et al., 1987). Here the researcher wants to find out the extent of influence of people surrounding like family, teachers or friends in terms of environmental sustainable behaviour. This variable refers

to one's perception of the social pressure as well as expectation from significant people around them as to perform a sustainable behaviour.

#### 1.9.11 Perceived availability of facilities

Perceived availabilities of facilities is the individual's perception on the availability of facilities to assist them in engaging in environmentally sustainable behaviour (Khalil et. al, 2018). This study is referring this variable in which it extends the perception of availability and accessibility of certain facilities or amenities that can assist them in performing sustainable behaviour. For instance, in order to separate waste, there must be a separate bin provided as one of the facilities in the pre-service teachers' residency.

## 1.9.12 Environmentally Sustainable Behavioural Intentions

Environmental Sustainability Behavioural Intention defined as the willingness to perform certain action (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975). Intentions are self-instructions to perform particular behaviours or to obtain certain outcomes (Triandis, 1980) and are usually measured by endorsement of items such as "I intend to do …" Forming a behavioural or goal intention signals the end of the deliberation about what one will do and indicates how hard one is prepared to try, or how much effort one will exert, in order to achieve desired outcomes (Ajzen, 2002; Sheeran, Milne, Webb, & Gollwitzer, 2005). Intentions thus are assumed to capture the motivational factors that influence a behaviour (Ajzen, 1991). Theories of attitude–behaviour relations, models of sustainable environmental behaviour (summaries by Abraham, Sheeran, & Johnston, 1998; Eagly, A.H., Chaiken, 1993; Gollwitzer & Moskowitz, 1996; Maddux, 1995; Norman & Conner, 1996; Vancouver & Austin, 1996).

#### 1.9.13 Field of study

ITE uses the term 'Option' to indicate the course major which the pre-service teachers are majoring in. If a pre-service teacher majors in science, that would mean he/she will have the probability of becoming a science teacher once he/she embarks on his/her career as a teacher. This study is looking at how the different fields can affect the strength of the relationship between predictors and the dependant variable. During this particular study, there are 11 subject options that were discovered which are Malay language, Teaching English as a Second Language, Islamic Studies, Science, Mathematics, History, Music, Chinese language, Tamil language, Counselling, and Early Childhood Education. Field of Study for this particular research is looking at three important fields which are Science (Mathematics and Science major), Language (Malay Language, TESL, Chinese Language and Tamil Language) and Social Studies (Islamic Studies, Counselling, History, Early Childhood Education and Music). By the end of this research, the difference field of study of the pre-service teachers is tested as the moderator to see if there are significance in the ESB Model for pre-service teachers.



## 1.9.14 Environment Education

Environmental Education is a lifelong, holistic learning process directed at creating responsible individuals who identify and explore environmental issues, participate in problem solving, and take solid action to develop a sustainable environment. For the purpose of this research Environment Education is a course that can be taken by the preservice teachers, optionally. Although it is not a mandatory course, pre-service teachers are encouraged to enrol as to enhance their knowledge and practice in environment and sustainability.

## 1.10 Chapter Summary

This chapter presented an introduction to this thesis, which included the research background and an overview of the particular context in which this research took place. A broad focus was to determine the factors that influence Environmentally Sustainable behaviour among pre-service teachers, followed by a focus on the pre-service teacher's about environmentally sustainability. As background, this context would enable better engagement with the nuances of this study and its emphasis on pre-service teacher's knowledge about the sustainability within the broader context of Malaysia. Other compilations included sections on the significance of the study, its aims and scopes, research questions, research methods, and a glossary of terms. The next chapter provides a comprehensive literature review on the areas of research relevant to the context of this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Ab Hamid, M. R., Sami, W., &Sidek, M. M. (2017). Discriminant validity assessment: Use of Fornell l& Larcker criterion versus HTMT criterion. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 890(1), 012163. https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/890/1/012163/pdf
- Abraham, C., Sheeran, P., & Johnston, M. (1998). From health beliefs to self-regulation: Theoretical advances in the psychology of action control. *Psychology and Health*, *13*(4), 569–591. https://doi.org/10.1080/08870449808407420
- Abrahamse, W., & Steg, L. (2013). Social influence approaches to encourage resource conservation: A meta-analysis. *Global Environmental Change*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.07.029
- Abubakar, I. R., Al-Shihri, F. S., & Ahmed, S. M. (2016). Students' assessment of campus sustainability at the University of Dammam, Saudi Arabia. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), 8(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/su8010059
- Ahmad, M. S., Bazmi, A. A., Bhutto, A. W., Shahzadi, K., & Bukhari, N. (2016). Students' Responses to Improve Environmental Sustainability Through Recycling: Quantitatively Improving Qualitative Model. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 11(1), 253–270. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-014-9366-7
- Aibinu, A. A., & Al-Lawati, A. M. (2010). Using PLS-SEM Technique to Model Construction Organizations' Willingness to Participate in E-bidding. Automation in Construction, 19(6), 714–724. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2010.02.016
- Aja, O. C., Al-kayiem, H. H., Zewge, M., & Joo, M. S. (2016). Overview of Hazardous Waste Management Status in Malaysia World's largest Science, Technology & Medicine Open Access book publisher, (October). https://doi.org/10.5772/63682
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). Understanding attitudes and predicting social behaviour. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall. *Englewood Cliffs*.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behaviour. Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 179–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
- Ajzen, I. (2002). Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Theory of Planned Behaviour*. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
- Al-Naqbi, A. K., & Alshannag, Q. (2018). The status of education for sustainable development and sustainability knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours of UAE University students. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 19(3), 566–588. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-06-2017-0091

- Alabaster, T., & Hawthorne, M. (1999). Information For Environmental Citizenship. *Sustainable Development*, 7(1), 25–34. https://doi.org/10.5840/enviroethics20083027
- Alkaher, I., & Goldman, D. (2018). Characterizing the motives and environmental literacy of undergraduate and graduate students who elect environmental programs–a comparison between teaching-oriented and other students. *Environmental Education Research*, 24(7), 969–999. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2017.1362372
- Aminrad, Z., Zarina, S., Sayed Zakariya, B., Hadi, A. S., & Sakari, M. (2013). Relationship Between Awareness, Knowledge and Attitudes Towards Environmental Education Among Secondary School Students in Malaysia. World Applied Sciences Journal, 22(9), 1326–1333. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.22.09.275
- Amoah, A., & Addoah, T. (2021). Does environmental knowledge drive proenvironmental behaviour in developing countries? Evidence from households in Ghana. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 23(2), 2719–2738. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00698-x
- Andić, D., & Vorkapić, S. T. (2017). Teacher Education for Sustainability: The Awareness and Responsibility for Sustainability Problems. *Journal of Teacher Education for Sustainability*, 19(2), 121–137. https://doi.org/10.1515/jtes-2017-0018
- Ardoin, N. M., Bowers, A. W., & Gaillard, E. (2020). Environmental education outcomes for conservation: A systematic review. *Biological Conservation*, 241(August 2019), 108224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108224
- Arkkelin, D. (2014). Using SPSS to Understand Research and Data Analysis. *Psychology Curricular Materials* 2014, 194. Retrieved from http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.139.2050&rep=rep1&t ype=pdf
- Arora, N. K., Fatima, T., Mishra, I., Verma, M., Mishra, J., & Mishra, V. (2018). Environmental sustainability: challenges and viable solutions. Environmental Sustainability (Vol. 1). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-018-00038-w
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Razavieh, A., Sorensen, C. K., & Walker, D. A. (2019). Introduction to Research in Education (10th ed.).
- Ashmann, S., & Franzen, R. L. (2017). In what ways are teacher candidates being prepared to teach about the environment? A case study from Wisconsin. *Environmental Education Research*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2015.1101750
- Association of University Leaders for a Sustainable Future. (1990). Association of University Leaders for a Sustainable Future The Talloires Declaration. *The*

Talloires	Declaration,	1.	Retrieved	from
http://www.uls	f.org/programs_talloire	s.html		

- Axelrod, L. J., & Lehman, D. R. (1993). Responding to environmental concerns: What factors guide individual action? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *13*(2), 149–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944(05)80147-1
- Bagozzi, R. R. (1988). On the Evaluation of Structural Equation Models I ~ LI, 16(1).
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company. *American Psychological Association*.
- Baniah Mustam. (2015). Penerapan Informal dan Formal Pendidikan Alam Sekitar di Suatu Kawasan Tercemar. UNIVERSITY MALAYA.
- Bartlett II, J. E., Kotrlik, J. W., & Higgins, C. C. (2001). Determing appropriate sample size in survey research. *Information Technology, Learning, and Performance Journal*, 19(1), 43–50.
- Becker, J., Klein, K., & Wetzels, M. (2012). Hierarchical Latent Variable Models in PLS-SEM : Guidelines for Using Reflective-Formative Type Models. *Long Range Planning*, 45(5–6), 359–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.10.001
- Bergman, B. G. (2016). Assessing impacts of locally designed environmental education projects on students' environmental attitudes, awareness, and intention to act. *Environmental Education Research*, 22(4), 480–503. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2014.999225
- Biel, A., Von Borgstede, C., & Dahlstrand, U. (1999). Norm perception and cooperation in large scale social dilemmas. In *Resolving social dilemmas: Dynamic, structural, and intergroup aspects.*
- Birdsall, S. (2014). Measuring student teachers' understandings and self-awareness of sustainability. *Environmental Education Research*, 20(6), 814–835. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2013.833594
- Blanco-Portela, N., Benayas, J., Pertierra, L. R., & Lozano, R. (2017). Towards the integration of sustainability in Higher Eeducation Institutions: A review of drivers of and barriers to organisational change and their comparison against those found of companies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 166, 563–578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.252
- Blok, V., Wesselink, R., Studynka, O., & Kemp, R. (2015). Encouraging sustainability in the workplace: A survey on the pro-environmental behaviour of university employees. In *Journal of Cleaner Production*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.07.063
- Bolderdijk, J. W., Lehman, P. K., & Geller, E. S. (2018). Encouraging Pro-Environmental Behaviour with Rewards and Penalties. In *Environmental Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119241072.ch27

- Booi Chen, T., & Teck Chai, L. (2010). Attitude towards the Environment and Green Products: Consumers' Perspective. *Management Science and Engineering*, 4(2), 27–39. Retrieved from www.cscanada.net%5Cnwww.cscanada.org
- Boomsma, A., & Hoogland, J. J. (2001). The Robustness of LISREL Modeling Revisited, 1–25.
- Boon, H., & Wilson, K. (2011). Pre-Service Teachers' Preparedness for Sustainability Education - A Case Study. *Proceedinds of Australian Teacher Educators'* Association 2011 Conference., (July 2010), 4–7.
- Borden, R. J., & Schettino, A. P. (1979). Determinants of environmentally responsible behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Education*, *10*(4), 35–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1979.9941906
- Boubonari, T., Markos, A., & Kevrekidis, T. (2013). Greek pre-service teachers' knowledge, attitudes, and environmental behaviour toward marine pollution. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 44(4), 232–251. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.2013.785381
- Brandon, G., & Lewis, A. (1999). Reducing household energy consumption: A qualitative and quantitative field study. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 19(1), 75–85. https://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.1998.0105
- Brevik, L. M., Gunnulfsen, A. E., & Renzulli, J. S. (2018). Student teachers' practice and experience with differentiated instruction for students with higher learning potential. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 71, 34–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.12.003
- Bronfman, N. C., Cisternas, P. C., López-vázquez, E., Maza, C. De, & Oyanedel, J. C. (2015). Understanding Attitudes and Pro-Environmental Behaviours in a Chilean Community. *Sustainability*, 14133–14152. https://doi.org/10.3390/su71014133
- Bürgener, L., & Barth, M. (2018). Sustainability competencies in teacher education: Making teacher education count in everyday school practice. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 174, 821–826. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.263
- Carfora, V., Caso, D., Sparks, P., & Conner, M. (2017). Moderating effects of proenvironmental self-identity on pro-environmental intentions and behaviour: A multi-behaviour study. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 53, 92–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2017.07.001
- Cebrián, G., & Junyent, M. (2015). Competencies in education for sustainable development: Exploring the student teachers' views. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(3), 2768–2786. https://doi.org/10.3390/su7032768
- Chen, M. F., & Tung, P. J. (2010). The moderating effect of perceived lack of facilities on consumers' recycling intentions. *Environment and Behaviour*, 42(6), 824–844. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916509352833

- Chen, Y. H., & Chengalur-Smith, I. (2015). Factors influencing students' use of a library Web portal: Applying course-integrated information literacy instruction as an intervention. *Internet and Higher Education*, 26, 42–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.04.005
- Cheng, E. W. L. (2001). SEM being more effective than multiple regression in parsimonious model testing for management development. *Journal of Management Development*, 20(7), 650–667.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The Partial Least Squares Approach to Structural Equation Modeling. In George A. Marcoulides (Ed.), *Modern Methods for Business Research*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Chin, W. W. (2010). *How to Write Up and Report PLS Analyses*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8
- Chinedu, C. C., & Mohamed, W. A. W. (2017). A document analysis of the visibility of sustainability in TVE teacher education programme: The case of a Malaysian HEI. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 25(May), 201–215.
- Chuvieco, E., Burgui-burgui, M., Vicente, E., Silva, D., & Hussein, K. (2018). Factors affecting environmental sustainability habits of university students: Intercomparison analysis in three countries (Spain, Brazil and UAE). *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *198*, 1372–1380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.07.121
- Clayton, S., & Myers, G. (2009). Conservation psychology: understanding and promoting human care for nature. Wiley Blackwell (2ND ed.). Wiley Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892910000457

Cochran, W. G., & Wiley, J. (1977). Sampling Techniques. (3rd, Ed.).

- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Sciences*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2017). Research Methods in Education. Research Methods in Education. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315456539
- Çoker, E. N., & van der Linden, S. (2020). Fleshing out the theory of planned of behaviour: Meat consumption as an environmentally significant behaviour. *Current Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-019-00593-3
- Cordano, M., Welcomer, S., Scherer, R. F., Pradenas, L., & Parada, V. (2011). A crosscultural assessment of three theories of pro-environmental behaviour: A comparison between business students of chile and the united states. *Environment* and Behaviour, 43(5), 634–657. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916510378528
- Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S. J., Kubiszewski, I., ... Turner, R. K. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services.

*Global Environmental Change*, 26(1), 152–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002

Creswell, J., & Creswell, D. (2018). Research Design. SAGE Publications, Inc.

- Creswell, J. W. (2015). A concise introduction to mixed methods research. Doc1.Bibliothek.Li.
- Cuadrado, L. E. I., Riesco, Á. M., & De La Paz López, F. (2016). Artie: An integrated environment for the development of affective robot tutors. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, *10*(AUG), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2016.00077
- de Leeuw, A., Valois, P., Ajzen, I., & Schmidt, P. (2015). Using the theory of planned behaviour to identify key beliefs underlying pro-environmental behaviour in high-school students: Implications for educational interventions. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 42, 128–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.03.005
- Delors, J. (1998). Education for the Twenty First Century: Issues and Prospects. UNESCO Publishing.
- Department of Environment. (2013). Malaysia Environmental Quality Report 2013. Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- Diamantopoulos, A., & Siguaw, J. A. (2006). Formative versus reflective indicators in organizational measure development: A comparison and empirical illustration. *British Journal of Management*, 17(4), 263–282. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2006.00500.x
- Disterheft, A., Caeiro, S., Azeiteiro, U. M., & Filho Leal, W. (2013). Sustainability assessment tools in higher education institutions: Mapping trends and good practices around the world. *Sustainability Assessment Tools in Higher Education Institutions: Mapping Trends and Good Practices Around the World*, (November), 1–417. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02375-5
- Dreyer, J. (2014). The Effectiveness Of Environmental Education Workshops For Teachers, Learners And Schools In Malaysia. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*.
- Duerden, M. D., & Witt, P. A. (2010). The impact of direct and indirect experiences on the development of environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *30*(4), 379–392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2010.03.007
- Dunlap, R. E., & Liere, K. D. Van. (1978). The "New Environmental Paradigm," 37–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1978.10801875

- Dwyer, W. O., C.Leeming, F., Cobern, M. K., & Porter, B. E. (1993). Critical Review of Behavioural Interventions to Preserve the Environment. *Environment and Behaviour*, 25(3), 275–321.
- Eagly, A.H., Chaiken, S. (1993). The Psychology of Attitudes. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Fort Worth, TX. The Psychology of Attitudes. Fort.
- Eilam, E., & Trop, T. (2012). Environmental attitudes and environmental behaviourwhich is the horse and which is the cart? *Sustainability*, 4(9), 2210–2246. https://doi.org/10.3390/su4092210
- Eldridge, S. M., Lancaster, G. A., Campbell, M. J., Thabane, L., Hopewell, S., Coleman, C. L., & Bond, C. M. (2016). Defining Feasibility and Pilot Studies in Preparation for Randomised Controlled Trials : Development of a Conceptual Framework, 1– 22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150205
- Erdogan, M., Akbunar, S., Asik, U. O., Kaplan, H., & Kayir, C. G. (2012). The Effects of Demographic Variables on Students' Responsible Environmental Behaviours. *Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 46(2), 3244–3248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.044
- Eze, E. (2020). Sociographic analysis of climate change awareness and proenvironmental behaviour of secondary school teachers and students in Nsukka Local Government Area of Enugu State, Nigeria. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 29(1), 89–105. https://doi.org/10.1080/10382046.2019.1657683
- Falk, R. F., & Miller, N. B. (1992). A Primer for Soft Modeling. Akron, Ohio : University of Akron Press, 1992.
- Félonneau, M., & Becker, M. (2008). Pro-Environmental Attitudes And Behaviour: Revealing Perceived Social Desirability Pro-environmental attitudes and behaviour: Revealing perceived social desirability. *Revue Intercationale de Psychologie Sociale*, 21(4), 25–53.
- Ferguson, J. G., Leff, H. L., & Gordon, L. R. (1974). Cognitive Set and Environmental Awareness. *Environment and Behaviour*, 6(4), 395–447.
- Field, A. (2016). An Adventure in Statistics: The Reality Enigma.
- Finger, M. (1994). From Knowledge to Action? Exploring the Relationships Between Environmental Experiences, Learning, and Behaviour. *Journal of Social Issues*. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1994.tb02424.x
- Fornell, C., & Bookstein, F. L. (1982). Two Structural Equation Models : LISREL and PLS Applied to Consumer Exit-Voice Theory, *XIX*(November), 440–452.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*(1), 39–50.

- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education, 8th Edition (2012). Climate Change 2013 - The Physical Science Basis.
- Fraenkel, J., Wallen, N., & Hyun, H. (2016). *How to Design and Evaluate Research* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Francis, J., Eccles, M. P., Johnston, M., Walker, A. E., Grimshaw, J. M., Foy, R., ... Bonetti, D. (2004). Constructing questionnaires based on the theory of planned behaviour: A manual for health services researchers. City, University of London Institutional Repository. London: City Research Online.
- Gamba, R. J., & Oskamp, S. (1994). Factors Influencing Residents' Participation in Comingled Curbside Recycling Programs. *Environment and Behaviour*, 26(5), 587–612.
- Gan, D. (2018). Self-efficacy for promoting EfS among pre-service teachers in Israel. *Environmental Education Research*, 24(7), 1062–1075. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2017.1396288
- Gefen, D., & Rigdon, E. E. (2011). E DITOR 'S C OMMENTS An Update and Extension to SEM Guidelines for Administrative, 35(2), 1–7.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D., & Boudreau, M.-C. (2000). Structural Equation Modeling and Regression: Guidelines for Research Practice. *Communications of the Association* for Information Systems, 4(October). https://doi.org/10.17705/1cais.00407
- Geisser, S. (1975). The predictive sample reuse method with applications. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 70(350), 320–328. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1975.10479865
- Gendall, P., Smith, T. W., & Russell, D. (1995). Knowledge of Scientific and Environmental Facts: A Comparison of Six Countries. *Marketing Bulletin*, 6(January 1995), 65–74.
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2003). SPSS for Windows Step by Step: Answers to Selected Exercises. A Simple Guide and Reference. https://doi.org/9780335262588
- Gericke, N., Boeve-de Pauw, J., Berglund, T., & Olsson, D. (2018). The Sustainability Consciousness Questionnaire: The theoretical development and empirical validation of an evaluation instrument for stakeholders working with sustainable development. *Sustainable Development*, (October 2017), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1859
- Goh, P. S. C., Canrinus, E. T., & Wong, K. T. (2020). Preservice teachers' perspectives about coherence in their teacher education program. *Educational Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2019.1584856

- Goldman, D., Yavetz, B., & Pe'er, S. (2006). Environmental literacy in teacher training in Israel: Environmental behaviour of new students. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 38(1), 3–22. https://doi.org/10.3200/JOEE.38.1.3-22
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Moskowitz, G. B. (1996). Goal effects on action and cognition. *Social Psychology: Handbook of Basic Principles.*, 361–399.
- Gonzalez, A., & Descamps-Julien, B. (2004). Population and community variability in randomly fluctuating environments. *Oikos*, *106*(1), 105–116. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12925.x
- Grønhøj, A., & Thøgersen, J. (2012). Action speaks louder than words: The effect of personal attitudes and family norms on adolescents' pro-environmental behaviour. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 33(1), 292–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2011.10.001
- Hair, J., Hollingsworth, C. L., Randolph, A. B., & Chong, L. (2017). An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-04-2016-0130
- Hair, J. J., Hult, G., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), 390.
- Hair, Joe F, Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM : Indeed a Silver Bullet, 19(2), 139–151. https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202
- Hair, Joseph F., Babin, B. J., & Krey, N. (2017). Covariance-Based Structural Equation Modeling in the Journal of Advertising: Review and Recommendations. *Journal* of Advertising. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2017.1281777
- Hair, Joseph F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014a). Multivariate Data Analysis. *Pearson New International Edition*.
- Hair, Joseph F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014b). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (7th ed.). Pearson Education Limited.
- Hair, Joseph F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2012). Partial Least Squares: The Better Approach to Structural Equation Modeling? *Long Range Planning*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.09.011
- Hair, Joseph F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling: Rigorous Applications, Better Results and Higher Acceptance. Long Range Planning, 46(1–2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2013.01.001
- Hair, Joseph F., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2017). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. Handbook of Market Research. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8\_15-1

- Halpenny, E. A. (2010). Pro-environmental behaviours and park visitors: The effect of place attachment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *30*(4), 409–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2010.04.006
- Han, J. H., Lee, M. J., & Hwang, Y. S. (2016). Tourists' environmentally responsible behaviour in response to climate change and tourist experiences in nature-based tourism. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), 8(7), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/su8070644
- Hanifah, M., Mohmadisa, H., Nasir, N., Yazid, S., & Saiyidatina Balkhis, N. (2018). Mapping of Student Sustainable Development Education Knowledge in Malaysia using Geographical Information System (GIS). World Journal of Education, 8(1), 27. https://doi.org/10.5430/wje.v8n1p27
- Hanifah, M., Shaharudin, I., Mohmadisa, H., Nasir, N., & Yazid, S. (2015). Transforming Sustainability Development Education in Malaysian Schools through Greening Activities. *Review of International Geographical Education Online* ©*RIGEO*, 5(1), 78–94.
- Hanifah Mahat. (2017). Kesedaran Pendidikan Pembangunan Lestari Menerusi Program Sekolah Lestari Dalam Kalangan Pelajar Satu Kajian Pentingnya Pengetahuan Guru dalam Pelaksanaan Program Sekolah Lestari di Malaysia, (March).
- Haron, S. A., Paim, L., & Yahaya, N. (2005). Towards sustainable consumption : an examination of environmental knowledge among Malaysians, (September), 426– 436.
- Hasan, S. N. M. S., Harun, R., & Hock, L. K. (2015). Application of Theory of Planned Behaviour in Measuring the Behaviour to Reduce Plastic Consumption Among Students at Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia. Procedia Environmental Sciences, 30, 195–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2015.10.035
- Hassan, A., Osman, K., & Pudin, S. (2009). The adults non-formal environmental education (EE): A Scenario in Sabah, Malaysia. *Procedia Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 1(1), 2306–2311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2009.01.405
- Hassan, N., Othman, S. N., & Yaacob, N. A. (2018). Determinants of Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) in Measuring Sustainable Behaviour Among Students of Public Universities in Malaysia. *Journal of Information System and Technology Management*, 3(7), 1–12. Retrieved from www.jistm.com
- Heimlich, J. E., & Ardoin, N. M. (2008). Understanding behaviour to understand behaviour change: a literature review, 4622. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620802148881
- Henseler, J., Dijkstra, T. K., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Diamantopoulos, A., Straub, D. W., ... Calantone, R. J. (2014). Common Beliefs and Reality About PLS: Comments on Rönkkö and Evermann (2013). Organizational Research Methods. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428114526928

- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling, 115–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8
- Heyl, M., Díaz, E. M., & Cifuentes, L. (2013). Environmental attitudes and behaviours of college students: A case study conducted at a Chilean university. *Revista Latinoamericana* de *Psicologia*, 45(3), 487–500. https://doi.org/10.14349/rlp.v45i3.1489
- Hill, A., Han, Y., Taylor, J. E., Shealy, T., Pearce, A., & Mohammadi, N. (2019). Empirical examination of pro-environmental behaviours in traditional, green featured, and LEED certified buildings. *Energy Procedia*, 158, 3982–3987. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2019.01.843
- Hines, J. M., Hungerford, H. R., & Tomera, A. N. (1987). Analysis and synthesis of research on responsible environmental behaviour: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 18(2), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1987.9943482
- Ho, Y., Kamaruddin, M. K. I., & Ismail, A. (2016). Integration of sustainable consumption education in the Malaysian School Curriculum: Opportunities and barriers. SHS Web of Conferences 26, 01061.
- Holfelder, A. K. (2019). Towards a sustainable future with education? *Sustainability Science*, *14*(4), 943–952. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-019-00682-z
- Hollweg, K., Taylor, J., Bybee, R., Marcinkowski, T., McBeth, W., & Zoido, P. (2011). Developing a framework for assessing environmental literacy. North American Association for Environmental Education, 122.
- Holm, T., Sammalisto, K., Grindsted, T. S., & Vuorisalo, T. (2012). A Model for Enhancing Education for Sustainable Development with Management Systems : Experiences from the Nordic Countries Process framework for identifying sustainability aspects in university curricula and integrating education for sustainable developm. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *106*(September), 164–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.059
- Holmbeck, G. N. (1997). Toward Terminological, Conceptual, and Statistical Clarity in the Study of Mediators and Moderators: Examples From the Child-Clinical and Pediatric Psychology Literatures, *65*(4), 599–610.
- Hopper, J. R., & Nielsen, J. M. (1991). Recycling as altruistic behaviour: Normative and Behavioural Strategies to Expand Participation in a Community Recycling Program. *Environment and Behaviour*, 23(2), 195–220. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916591232004
- Hox, J., & Bechger, T. (1998). An Introduction to Structural Equation Modeling. *Family Science Review*, *11*, 354–373.

- Hung, T., Jan, F., & Yang, C. (2013). Conceptualizing and measuring environmentally responsible behaviours from the perspective of community-based tourists. *JTMA*, 36, 454–468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2012.09.012
- Hungerford, H. R., & Volk, T. L. (1990). Changing Learner Behaviour Through Environmental Education. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 257–270.
- Im, T. C., King, E. M., & Abdul Razak Othman. (2014). Promoting Environmental Education in Malaysian Preschools. *Journal of Food System Research*, 14(2), 70– 75. https://doi.org/10.5874/jfsr.14.2\_70
- Iman, F., Miarsyah, M., & Sigit, D. V. (2019). The effect of intention to act and knowledge of environmental issues on environmental behaviour. JPBI (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Indonesia), 5(3), 529–536. https://doi.org/10.22219/jpbi.v5i3.8842
- Jagger, S. (2020). An Ecology of Environmental Education, 765–778. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56988-8\_27
- Johar, F., & Razak, M. R. (2015). The Right Attitude to Sustain the Green Neighbourhoods. *Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 202(December 2014), 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.216
- Johnson, B., & Cincera, J. (2015). Examining the Relationship between Environmental Attitudes and Behaviour in Education Programmes. *Sociální Studia*, (December).
- Joshi, Y., & Rahman, Z. (2015). Factors Affecting Green Purchase Behaviour and Future Research Directions. International Strategic Management Review (Vol. 3). Holy Spirit University of Kaslik. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ism.2015.04.001
- Juárez-Nájera, M., Rivera-Martínez, J. G., & Hafkamp, W. A. (2010). An explorative socio-psychological model for determining sustainable behaviour: Pilot study in German and Mexican Universities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(7), 686– 694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.09.018

Junyong, I. (2017). Introduction of a pilot study, 70(6), 601-605.

- Kaiser, F. G., Hübner, G., & Bogner, F. X. (2005). Contrasting the theory of planned behaviour with the value-belief-norm model in explaining conservation behaviour. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 35(10), 2150–2170. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2005.tb02213.x
- Kaiser, F. G., Oerke, B., & Bogner, F. X. (2007). Behaviour-based environmental attitude : Development of an instrument for adolescents, 27, 242–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2007.06.004
- Kalsoom, Q., Khanam, A., & Quraishi, U. (2017). Sustainability consciousness of preservice teachers in Pakistan. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 18(7), 1090–1107. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-11-2016-0218

- Kalsum, N., & Isa, M. (2016). Sustainable campus and academic staffs awareness and behaviour in Malaysia 's institutions of higher learning: A case study of UPSI. *Malaysia Journal of Society and Space*, 6(6), 89–99.
- Kaplan, S., & Kaplan, R. (2009). Creating a larger role for environmental psychology: The Reasonable Person Model as an integrative framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.10.005
- Karpudewan, M., Ismail, Z., & Mohamed, N. (2011). Greening a Chemistry Teaching Methods Course at the School of Educational. *Journal of Education for Sustainable Development*, 5(2), 197–214. https://doi.org/10.1177/097340821100500210
- Keles, O. (2017). Investigation of Pre-Service Science Teachers' Attitudes towards Sustainable Environmental Education. *Higher Education Studies*, 7(3), 171. https://doi.org/10.5539/hes.v7n3p171
- Kensler, L. A. W. (2013). An elicitation study using the Theory of Planned Behaviour, *4*(January).
- Khalil, M. S. (2018). An Integrated Model for Explaining Household Recycling Behaviour Intention. University Putra Malaysia.
- Khalil, M. S., Abdullah, S. H., Manaf, L. A., Sharaai, A. H., & Nabegu, A. B. (2017). Examining the moderating role of perceived lack of facilitating conditions on household recycling intention in Kano, Nigeria. *Recycling*. https://doi.org/10.3390/recycling2040018
- Kieu, T. K., Singer, J., & Gannon, T. J. (2016). Education for sustainable development in Vietnam: lessons learned from teacher education. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-05-2015-0098
- Kiriakidis, S. (2017). Perceived Behavioural Control in the Theory of Planned Behaviour: Variability of Conceptualization and Operationalization and Implications for Measurement. *Strategic Innovative Marketing*, 197–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33865-1
- Kline, R. B. (2010). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. *Structural Equation Modeling* (Vol. 156). https://doi.org/10.1038/156278a0
- Koch, S., Barkmann, J., Strack, M., Sundawati, L., & Bögeholz, S. (2013). Knowledge of indonesian university students on the sustainable management of natural resources. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), 5(4), 1443–1460. https://doi.org/10.3390/su5041443
- Kock, N., & Lynn, G. S. (2012). Lateral Collinearity and Misleading Results in Variance-Based SEM : An Illustration and Recommendations. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 13(7), 546–580.

- Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002a). Mind the Gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behaviour? *Environmental Education Research*, 40(2), 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1080/1350462022014540
- Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002b). Mind the Gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behaviour? *Environmental Education Research*, 40(2), 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1080/1350462022014540
- Krech, D., & Crutchfield, R. S. (1948a). Theory and problems of social psychology. Theory and problems of social psychology. New York, NY, US: McGraw-Hill. https://doi.org/10.1037/10024-000
- Krech, D., & Crutchfield, R. S. (1948b). Theory and problems of social psychology. Theory and problems of social psychology. New York, NY, US: McGraw-Hill. https://doi.org/10.1037/10024-000
- Kukkonen, J., Kärkkäinen, S., & Keinonen, T. (2018). Examining the Relationships between Factors Influencing Environmental Behaviour among University Students. *Sustainability*, 10(11), 4294. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10114294
- Kuo, S. Y., & Jackson, N. L. (2014). Influence of an environmental studies course on attitudes of undergraduates at an engineering university. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 45(2), 91–104. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.2013.853643
- Kyridis, A., Mavrikaki, E., Tsakiridou, H., Daikopoulos, J., & Zigouri, H. (2005). An analysis of attitudes of pedagogical students towards environmental education in Greece. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 6(1), 54–64. https://doi.org/10.1108/14676370510573131
- Larson, L. R., Stedman, R. C., Cooper, C. B., & Decker, D. J. (2015). Understanding the Multi-dimensional Structure of Pro-environmental Behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 43, 112–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.06.004
- Lasen, M., Tomas, L., & Hill, A. (2015). Potential of service-learning to promote sustainability competencies in pre-service teachers: a case study. *Teaching Education*, 26(4), 341–365. https://doi.org/10.1080/10476210.2015.1018157
- Lateh, H., & Muniandy, P. (2013). Pre-Service Teachers Attitude towards Teaching Environmental Education (EE) during Practicum in Malaysian Primary Schools. *Journal of Environmental Protection*. https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2013.42024
- Leal Filho, W., Raath, S., Lazzarini, B., Vargas, V. R., de Souza, L., Anholon, R., ... Orlovic, V. L. (2018). The role of transformation in learning and education for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 199, 286–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.07.017

- Leidner, D. E., Lo, J., & Preston, D. (2011). An Empirical Investigation of the Relationship of IS Strategy with Firm Performance. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 20(4), 419–437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2011.09.001
- Lelono, A. D., Herdiansyah, H., Darmajanti, L., Soesilo, T. E. B., Hasibuan, H. S., & Dwipayana. (2018). Pro-Environmental Behaviour in Travel Mode Choice of Graduate Students: Case Study in Jakarta. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 65. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20186509001
- Liao, C., & Li, H. (2019). Environmental education, knowledge, and high school students' intention toward separation of solid waste on campus. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16091659
- Lin, H., Fan, W., & Chau, P. Y. K. (2014). Determinants of users' continuance of social networking sites: A self-regulation perspective. *Information and Management*, 51(5), 595–603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2014.03.010
- Ling, G. M., Tong, D. Y. K., & Ahmed, E. M. (2018). Exploring households' recycling behaviour in a world heritage city, Melaka. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 54(2018), 27–38. https://doi.org/10.17576/pengurusan-2018-54-03
- Loewenstein, G. F., Hsee, C. K., Weber, E. U., & Welch, N. (2001). Risk as Feelings. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(2), 267–286. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.2.267
- Lozano, R., Lukman, R., Lozano, F. J., Huisingh, D., & Lambrechts, W. (2013). Declarations for sustainability in higher education: Becoming better leaders, through addressing the university system. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 48, 10– 19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.10.006
- Lynn. (1986). Determination and Quantificatiom of Content Validity. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.092098
- Lyons, E., & Breakwell, G. M. (1994). Factors predicting environmental concern and indifference in 13- to 16-year-olds. *Environment and Behaviour*. https://doi.org/10.1177/001391659402600205
- Maddux, J. E. (1995). Self-efficacy, adaptation, and adjustment: theory, research, and application. The Plenum series in Social/Clinical psychology. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6868-5
- Mader, C. (2012). How to Assess Transformative Performance towards Sustainable Development in Higher Education Institutions. *Journal of Education for Sustainable* Development, 6(1), 79–89. https://doi.org/10.1177/097340821100600114

- Mageswary Karpudewan, Zurida Ismail, & Norita Mohamed. (2013). Pre-service teachers ' understanding and awareness of sustainable development concepts. *Asia Pacific Journal of Educators and Education*, 28, 117–130.
- Mahat, H., Suhaily, M., Che, Y., & Ahmad, N. I. (2015). Kajian Tahap Amalan Kelestarian dalam Kalangan Murid Prasekolah Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia Daerah Hulu Langat, Selangor, *3*(1), 25–35.
- Mahmud, S. N. D., Nasri, N. M., Samsudin, M. A., & Halim, L. (2018). Science teacher education in Malaysia: challenges and way forward. *Asia-Pacific Science Education*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41029-018-0026-3
- Manfredo, M. J., Bruskotter, J. T., Teel, T. L., Fulton, D. C., Oishi, S., Uskul, A. K., ... Sullivan, L. (2017). Revisiting the challenge of intentional value shift: reply to Ives and Fischer. *Conservation Biology*, *31*(6), 1486–1487. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13026
- Marcinkowski, T. (2001). Predictors of responsible environmental behaviour: A review of three dissertation studies. *Essential Readings in Environmental Education*, (1973), 247–276.
- Marczyk, G. R., DeMatteo, D., & Festinger, D. (2005). *Essentials of Research Design* and Methodology. Wiley Blackwell.
- Mardia, B. Y. K. V. (1970). Measures of Multivariate Skewness and Kurtosis with Applications. *Biometrika*, 57(3), 519.
- Maree, T., & Humbani, M. (2015). Attitudes and Subjective Norms Towards Ecofriendly Vehicles and Environmentally Friendly Behaviour. In 27th Annual SAIMS Conference 2015.
- McKeown, R., & Hopkins, C. (2007). International Network of Teacher Education Institutions: Past, Present and Future. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 33(2), 149–155. https://doi.org/10.1080/02607470701259408
- Meijers, M. H. C., & Stapel, D. A. (2011). Me tomorrow, the others later: How perspective fit increases sustainable behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *31*(1), 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2010.06.002
- Mensah, J. (2019). Sustainable development: Meaning, history, principles, pillars, and implications for human action: Literature review. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 5(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2019.1653531
- Mesmer-Magnus, J., Viswesvaran, C., & Wiernik, B. M. (2012). The role of commitment in bridging the gap between organizational sustainability and environmental sustainability. In *Managing human resources for environmental sustainability*. (pp. 155–186). Mesmer-Magnus, Jessica: magnusj@uncw.edu: Jossey-Bass/Wiley.

- Meyers, L. S., Gamst, G. C., & Guarino, A. J. (2016). *Applied Multivariate Research: Design and Interpretation* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Miafodzyeva, S., & Brandt, N. (2013). Recycling behaviour among householders: Synthesizing determinants via a meta-analysis. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 4(2), 221–235. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-012-9144-4
- Milfont, T. L., & Duckitt, J. (2010). The environmental attitudes inventory: A valid and reliable measure to assess the structure of environmental attitudes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30(1), 80–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2009.09.001
- Ministry of Education. (2004). "Development of Education". National Report Malaysia, the Development of Education.
- Ministry Of Education. (2019). Ministry of Education.
- Mohmad Hassan, N. N. N., Mohd Abdul Kadir, J., & Abd Aziz, N. N. (2020). Examining a TPB Model towards Intention to Use Biodegradable Drinking Straw Using PLS-SEM. Environment-Behaviour Proceedings Journal, 5(15), 13–18. https://doi.org/10.21834/ebpj.v5i15.2428
- Monroe, M. C., Ballard, H. L., Oxarart, A., Sturtevant, V. E., Jakes, P. J., & Evans, E. R. (2016). Agencies, educators, communities and wildfire: partnerships to enhance environmental education for youth. *Environmental Education Research*, 22(8), 1098–1114. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2015.1057555
- Moon, M., Habib, M., & Attiq, S. (2015). Analyzing the sustainable behavioural intentions: Role of norms, beliefs and values on behavioural intentions. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences (PJCSS)*, 9(2), 524–539.
- Morren, M., & Grinstein, A. (2016). Explaining environmental behaviour across borders: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 47, 91–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2016.05.003
- Nair, S. M., Mohamed, A. R., & Marimuthu, N. (2013). Malaysian teacher trainees' practices on science and the relevance of science education for sustainability. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 14(1), 71–89. https://doi.org/10.1108/14676371311288967
- Nan, Z., Xun-hua, G. U. O., & Guo-qing, C. (2007). Extended Information Technology Initial Acceptance Model and Its Empirical Test. Systems Engineering- Theory and Practice, 27(9), 123–130.
- Nazifah Shaik Ismail. (2013). Environmental Literacy of Pre-Service Teachers At an Institue of Teacher Education in Malaysia.
- Newman, J. M., & Krzystofiak, F. (1993). from the SAGE Social Science Collections . Rights Reserved . *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 503(1), 122–136.

- Nguyen, Q. A., Hens, L., Nguyen, N., MacAlister, C., & Lebel, L. (2020). Explaining Intentions by Vietnamese Schoolchildren to Adopt Pro-Environmental Behaviours in Response to Climate Change Using Theories of Persuasive Communication. *Environmental Management*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-020-01334-0
- Nordlund, A. M., & Garvill, J. (2002). Value structures behind proenvironmental behaviour. *Environment and Behaviour*. https://doi.org/10.1177/001391602237244
- Norman, P., & Conner, M. (1996). The role of social cognition models in predicting health behaviours: Future directions. *Predicting Health Behaviour: Research and Practice with Social Cognition Models*, 1–45.
- Nurwidodo, N., Amin, M., Ibrohim, I., & Sueb, S. (2020). The role of eco-school program (Adiwiyata) towards environmental literacy of high school students. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(3), 1089–1103. https://doi.org/10.12973/EU-JER.9.3.1089
- Okumah, M., Martin-Ortega, J., Novo, P., & Chapman, P. J. (2020). Revisiting the determinants of pro-environmental behaviour to inform land management policy: A meta-analytic structural equation model application. *Land*, 9(5), 1–33. https://doi.org/10.3390/LAND9050135
- Olsson, D. (2018). Consciousness Student Sustainability Consciousness. Karlstad University Studies.
- Olsson, D., & Gericke, N. (2016). The adolescent dip in students ' sustainability consciousness Implications for education for sustainable development, 8964(February). https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.2015.1075464
- Oreg, S., & Katz-Gerro, T. (2006). Predicting proenvironmental behaviour crossnationally: Values, the theory of planned behaviour, and value-belief-norm theory. *Environment* and Behaviour, 38(4), 462–483. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916505286012
- Osbaldiston, R., & Schott, J. P. (2012). Environmental sustainability and behavioral science: Meta-analysis of proenvironmental behavior experiments. *Environment and behavior*, 44(2), 257-299
- Padel, S., & Foster, C. (2005). Exploring the gap between attitudes and behaviour: Understanding why consumers buy or do not buy organic food. *British Food Journal*, 107(8), 606–625. https://doi.org/10.1108/00070700510611002
- Pakpour, A. H., Zeidi, I. M., Emamjomeh, M. M., Asefzadeh, S., & Pearson, H. (2014). Household waste behaviours among a community sample in Iran: An application of the theory of planned behaviour. *Waste Management*, 34(6), 980–986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.10.028

Pallant, J. (2001). SPSS Survival Manual. Philadelphia: Open University Press.

- Pan, S., Chou, J., Morrison, A. M., & Lin, M. (2018). Will the Future Be Greener? The Environmental Behavioural Intentions of University Tourism Students, (1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10030634
- Pérez-Rodríguez, U., Varela-Losada, M., Álvarez-Lires, F. J., & Vega-Marcote, P. (2017). Attitudes of preservice teachers: Design and validation of an attitude scale toward environmental education. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *164*, 634–641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.06.245
- Peter, C. J., Libunao, W. H., & Abdul Latif, A. (2016). Extent of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) Integration in Malaysian Community Colleges. *Journal of Technical Education and Training (JTET)*, 8(1), 1–13.
- Podsakoff, P. M., Mackenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of Method Bias in Social Science Research and Recommendations on How to Control It. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100452
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behaviour Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 36*(4), 717–731.
- Ramkissoon, H., Smith, L. D. G., & Weiler, B. (2013). Testing the dimensionality of place attachment and its relationships with place satisfaction and proenvironmental behaviours: a structural equation modelling approach. *Tourism Management*, 36, 552–566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2012.09.003
- Raoprasert, T., & Islam, S. M. N. (2010). Structural Equation Modeling : Results and Analysis. In *Designing an Efficient Management System* (pp. 111–147). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-2372-1
- Rauf, E., & Schmitt, P. (2015). Sustainability in higher education : a systematic review with focus on management education. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.118
- Razman, R., Abdullah, A. H., Abd Wahid, A. Z., & Muslim, R. (2017). Web Content Analysis On Sustainable Campus Operation (SCO) Initiatives. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 87, 01020. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/20178701020
- Rees, W. E. (1992). Ecological footprints and appropriated carrying capacity: What urban economics leaves out. *Environment & Urbanization*, 4(2), 121–130. https://doi.org/10.1177/095624789200400212
- Rehman Khan, S. A., & Yu, Z. (2021). Assessing the eco-environmental performance: an PLS-SEM approach with practice-based view. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 24(3), 303–321. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2020.1754773
- Reza, M. I. H. (2016). Sustainability in higher education: Perspectives of Malaysian higher education system. *SAGE Open*, 6(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244016665890

Ringle, C. M., Wende, S., & Will, S. (2005). SmartPLS 2.0 (M3) Beta. Hamburg.

- Rohana Othman, Rosta Harun, Ismi Arif Ismail, & Azizi Muda. (2013). The Effect Of Teaching And Learning Of Environmental Education Through Mural Painting Activity In Enhancing The Knowledge And Awareness Of Secondary School Students Towards The Environment. Asia Pacific Journal of Educators and Education, 28, 11–31.
- Rosazman Hussin, & Velan Kunjuraman. (2015). Exploring strategies for sustainable 'ecocampus': The experience of Universiti Malaysia Sabah. *Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 11(3), 84–96.
- Rubab, I., Aziz, A. B., Usman, A., & Amjad, A. (2020). Global Citizenship Education: Values to be taught in the Light of the Rising Identity Crisis. *Pakistan Vision*, 21(1), 386.
- Ryan, A., Tilbury, D., Blaze Corcoran, P., Abe, O., & Nomura, K. (2010). Sustainability in higher education in the Asia-Pacific: developments, challenges, and prospects. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 11(2), 106–119. https://doi.org/10.1108/14676371011031838
- Saadatian, O., Haw, L., Mat, S., & Sopian, K. (2012). Perspective of sustainable development in Malaysia. *International Journal of Energy and Environment*, 6(2), 260–267.
- Sadik, F., & Sadik, S. (2014). A Study on Environmental Knowledge and Attitudes of Teacher Candidates. *Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 116, 2379–2385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.577
- Santos, M. (2017). Global justice and environmental governance: An analysis of the Paris Agreement. *Revista Brasileira de Politica Internacional*, 60(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7329201600116
- Saphores, J. D. M., Ogunseitan, O. A., & Shapiro, A. A. (2012). Willingness to engage in a pro-environmental behaviour: An analysis of e-waste recycling based on a national survey of U.S. households. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 60(April 2019), 49–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.12.003
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Henseler, J., & Hair, J. F. (2014). On the Emancipation of PLS-SEM: A Commentary on Rigdon (2012). *Long Range Planning*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2014.02.007
- Schleicher, A. (2012). *Preparing Teachers and Developing School Leaders for the 21st Century*. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264174559-en

Schneider, B., & Cheslock, N. (2003). Measuring Results.

Schumacker, R., & Lomax, R. (2011). The beginners guide to Structural Equation Modeling. PSY633: Structural Equation Modeling. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412973380.n17

- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). Reserach Methods for Bussiness A Skill-Bulding Approach. *Printer Trento Srl*.
- Sheeran, P. (2002). Behaviour Relations: A Conceptual and Empirical Review. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 12(December 2012), 1–36.
- Sheeran, P., Milne, S., Webb, T. L., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2005). Implementation intentions and health behaviour. In *Predicting health behaviour Research and practice with social cognition models*.
- Shephard, K., Rieckmann, M., & Barth, M. (2019). Seeking sustainability competence and capability in the ESD and HESD literature: an international philosophical hermeneutic analysis. *Environmental Education Research*, 25(4), 532–547. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2018.1490947
- Sia, A. P., Hungerford, H. R., & Tomera, A. N. (1986). Selected predictors of responsible environmental behaviour: An analysis. *Journal of Environmental Education*. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1986.9941408
- Sidique, S. F., Lupi, F., & Joshi, S. V. (2010). The effects of behaviour and attitudes on drop-off recycling activities. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2009.07.012
- Siraj-Blatchford, J., Smith, K. C., & Samuelsson, I. P. (2010). Education for Sustainable Development in the Early Years, (January), 1–47.
- Sivek, D. J., & Hungerford, H. (1990). Predictors of responsible behaviour in members of three wisconsin conservation organizations. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 21(2), 35–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1990.9941929
- Soper, D. (2012). A-priori sample size calculator for structural equation models. Retrieved from https://www.danielsoper.com/statcalc/calculator.aspx?id=89
- Sosik, J. J., Kahai, S. S., & Piovoso, M. J. (2009). Silver Bullet or Voodoo Statistics? A Primer for Using the Partial Least Squares Data Analytic Technique in Group and Organization Research. Group & Organization Management, (February). https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601108329198
- Stables, A., & Scott, W. (2002). The quest for holism in education for sustainable development. *Environmental Education Research*, 8(1), 53–60. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620120109655
- Steg, L., & Vlek, C. (2009). Encouraging pro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research agenda. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29(3), 309– 317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.10.004
- Sterling, S. (2004a). An Analysis of Development of Sustainability Education Internationally: Evolution, Interpretation and Transformative Potential. In J. Blewitt & C. Cullingford (Eds.), *The Sustainability Curriculum: The Challenge for Higher Education* (pp. 43–60). London: Earthscan. Retrieved from

https://books.google.com.my/books?hl=en&lr=&id=9Zqo\_OdtvusC&oi=fnd&pg =PA43&dq=sterling+2004+sustainability+education&ots=xkoxRdz4aF&sig=2xl hl-HkImfBl07ovZt275xs2qw&redir\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=sterling 2004 sustainability education&f=false

- Sterling, S. (2004b). An Analysis of the Development of Sustainability Education Internationally: Evolution, Interpretation and Transformative Potential. In *The Sustainability Curriculum: The Challenge for Higher Education* (p. 20).
- Stern, P. C. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behaviour. Journal of Social Issues, 56(3), 407–424. https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00175
- Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., Abel, T., Guagnano, G. A., & Kalof, L. (1999). A value-beliefnorm theory of support for social movements: The case of environmentalism. *Human Ecology Review*, 6(2), 81–97.
- Stone, M. (1974). Cross-Validatory Choice and Assessment of Statistical Predictions. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological), 36(2), 111– 133. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1974.tb00994.x
- Sullivan, G. M., & Feinn, R. (2012). Using Effect Size—or Why the P Value Is Not Enough. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, (September), 279–282.
- Sund, P. (2015). Experienced ESD-schoolteachers' teaching an issue of complexity. *Environmental Education Research*, 21(1), 24–44. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2013.862614
- Swaim, J. A., Maloni, M. J., Napshin, S. A., & Henley, A. B. (2014). Influences on Student Intention and Behaviour Toward Environmental Sustainability, 465–484. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1883-z
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). Using Multivariate Statistics 5. Boston: Pearson Allyn and Bacon. https://doi.org/10.1037/022267
- Tabernero, C., Hernández, B., Cuadrado, E., Luque, B., & Pereira, C. R. (2015). A<br/>multilevel perspective to explain recycling behaviour in communities. Journal of<br/>Environmental Management, 159, 192–201.<br/>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.05.024
- Tan, B.-C., & Lau, T.-C. (2009). Examining Sustainable Consumption Patterns of Young Consumers: Is There a Cause for Concern? *The Journal of International Social Research*, 2(9), 8.
- Tan, B. C., & Lau, T. C. (2010). Attitude towards the Environment and Green Products: Consumers' Perspective. *Management Science and Engineering*, 4(2), 27–39. Retrieved from www.cscanada.net

- Tan, C. L., Goh, Y. N., Yeo, S. F., Ching, S. L., & Chan, H. S. (2017). An examination of the factors influencing the green initiative and competitiveness of private higher education institutions in Malaysia. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 51(February 2018).
- Tavri, P. (2019). A successive pro-environmental behaviour framework. *Proceedings of Institution of Civil Engineers: Waste and Resource Management*, 172(1), 14–27. https://doi.org/10.1680/jwarm.18.00005
- Tenenhaus, M., Vinzi, V. E., Chatelin, Y.-M., & Lauro, C. (2005). PLS Path Modeling. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 48, 159–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2004.03.005
- Tilbury, D. (2011). Higher Education 's Commitment to Sustainability: From Understanding to Action, (July), 1–21.
- Tinsley, H. E. A., & Tinsley, D. J. (1987). Uses of Factor Analysis in Counseling Psychology Research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.34.4.414
- Triandis, H. C. (1980). Values, attitudes, and interpersonal behaviour. *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*. *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*.
- UNESCO. (2005). United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development ( 2005-2014): International Implementation Scheme. *Sustainable Development*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2
- UNESCO. (2007). *Quality Education For All: A Human Rights Issue. Education.* United Nation Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation.
- UNESCO, Buckler, C., & Creech, H. (2014). Shaping the Future We Want. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://doi.org/10.5363/tits.11.4\_46
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2013). World Economic and Social Survey 2013: Sustainable Development Challenges. United Nations, Department for Economic and Social Affairs. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2009.06.002
- Urbach, N., Smolnik, S., & Riempp, G. (2010). An empirical investigation of employee portal success. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 19(3), 184–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2010.06.002
- van der Ploeg, F. (2010). Natural resources: Curse or blessing? *CESifo Working Paper,* No. 3125, Center for Economic Studies and Ifo Institute (CESifo), Munich.
- Vancouver, J. B., & Austin, J. T. (1996). Goal constructs in psychology: Structure, process, and content. *Psychological Bulletin*, 120(3), 338–375.
- Vega-Marcote, P., Varela-Losada, M., & Álvarez-Suárez, P. (2015). Evaluation of an educational model based on the development of sustainable competencies in basic

teacher training in Spain. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(3), 2603–2622. https://doi.org/10.3390/su7032603

- Viechtbauer, W., Smits, L., Kotz, D., Budé, L., Spigt, M., Serroyen, J., & Crutzen, R. (2015). A simple formula for the calculation of sample size in pilot studies. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 68(11), 1375–1379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.04.014
- Vining, J., Ebreo, A., Bechtel, R., & Churchman, A. (2002). Emerging theoretical and methodological perspectives on conservation behaviour. *Urbana*, 51(August), 61801.
- Vita, G., Ivanova, D., Dumitru, A., García-Mira, R., Carrus, G., Stadler, K., ... Hertwich, E. G. (2020). Happier with less? Members of European environmental grassroots initiatives reconcile lower carbon footprints with higher life satisfaction and income increases. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 60(October 2019), 101329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.101329
- Wang, B., Li, J., Sun, A., Wang, Y., & Wu, D. (2020). Residents' green purchasing intentions in a developing-country context: Integrating PLS-SEM and MGA methods. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12010030
- Wee, M. I., Ariffin, F. N., Ng, T. F., & Shabudin, A. F. A. (2017a). Awareness and attitudes towards sustainable development amongst higher education students in Penang, Malaysia. *World Sustainability Series*, 49–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47877-7\_4
- Wee, M. I., Ariffin, F. N., Ng, T. F., & Shabudin, A. F. A. (2017b). Awareness and Attitudes Towards Sustainable Development Amongst Higher Education Students in Penang, Malaysia. Handbook of Theory and Practice of Sustainable Development in Higher Education, 49–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47877-7
- Weinberger, Y., & Dreyfus, A. (2013). Teacher college students' views of controversial evironmental issues: Ambivalence and readiness to adopt a stance. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 8(4), 627–643. https://doi.org/10.12973/ijese.2013.224a
- Whitmarsh, L. (2011). Scepticism and uncertainty about climate change: Dimensions, determinants and change over time. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(2), 690–700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.01.016
- Wolf, E. J., Harrington, K. M., Clark, S. L., & Miller, M. W. (2013). Sample Size Requirements for Structural Equation Models. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164413495237
- Wong, K. K. (2013). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Techniques Using SmartPLS. *Marketing Bulletin*, 24(1), 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128

- WWF-Malaysia. (2012a). Eco-Schools Programme Building Paths to Improve Students 'Environmental Literacy and Skills.
- WWF-Malaysia. (2012b). MOE. Retrieved May 2, 2018, from https://www.wwf.org.my/index.cfm?uNewsID=14462
- Yang, C. L., Huang, C. Y., & Hsiao, Y. H. (2021). Using social media mining and plssem to examine the causal relationship between public environmental concerns and adaptation strategies. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18105270
- Yavetz, B., Goldman, D., & Pe'er, S. (2014). How do preservice teachers perceive "environment" and its relevance to their area of teaching? *Environmental Education Research*, 20(3), 354–371. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2013.803038
- Young, W., Hwang, K., McDonald, S., & J. Oates, C. (2010). Sustainable consumption: green consumer behaviour when purchasing products. *Sustainable Development*, 9999(9999), n/a. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sd.394
- Yuek Ming, H. (2007). Universiti Putra Malaysia Environmental Citizenship Among Pre-Service Teachers In Malaysian Teachers Training Colleges.
- Yuk Feng Huang, Shin Ying Ang, K. M. L. and T. S. L. (2015). Quality of Water Resources in Malaysia. *Research and Practices in Water Quality*, 270. https://doi.org/10.5772/58969
- Zailinawati Abu Hassan, Schattner, P., & Mazza, D. (2006). Doing a Pilot Study : Why is it Essential? *Malaysian Family Physician*, 1(2), 70–73.
- Zainura Zainon Noor. (2016). Towards Sustainable Household Waste Management in Urban Areas: Determinants That Hindered The Recycling Activities in The City of Johor Bahru, Malaysia. *Malaysia Sustainable Cities Program*, 1–20.

Zanaton Iksan. (2015). Self-Application Study (Kakas), 2.

- Zhang, H., & Lei, S. L. (2012). A structural model of residents' intention to participate in ecotourism: The case of a wetland community. *Tourism Management*, 33(4), 916–925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2011.09.012
- Zheng, Q. J., Xu, A. X., Kong, D. Y., Deng, H. P., & Lin, Q. Q. (2018). Correlation between the environmental knowledge, environmental attitude, and behavioural intention of tourists for ecotourism in China. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, 16(1), 51–62. https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1601\_051062
- Zikmund, W. G. (2003). Business Research Methods (7th Edition). *Thompson South-Western: Ohio*.