



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**INFLUENCE OF HUMIC ACID ON WATER QUALITY AND GROWTH  
OF ALGAE IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEM**

**HAMID KHODA BAKHSH**

**FP 2001 7**

**INFLUENCE OF HUMIC ACID ON WATER QUALITY AND GROWTH OF  
ALGAE IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEM**

**By**

**HAMID KHODA BAKHSH**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the  
Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**October 2001**



## **DEDICATION**

**To my parents**

*Yahya Khoda Bakhsh*

*Mansoureh Kiamary*

**For their true love, favor and effort, now there is only memory of my father, he  
passed away during my study in Malaysia**

**To my wife**

*Sanaz*

**For her attention and cooperation during my study**

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree Master of Science

**INFLUENCE OF HUMIC ACID ON WATER QUALITY AND GROWTH OF ALGAE IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEM**

By

**HAMID KHODA BAKHSH**

**October 2001**

**Chairman : Dr. Anuar Abdul Rahim**

**Faculty : Agriculture**

One of the approaches to improve aquaculture production is through improvement of fertilization program for phytoplankton production and water quality variables. There are serious problems with the use of raw organic fertilizer in ponds and environment. Oxygen depletion, degradation of water quality, reduced light penetration, and spread of diseases frequently occur after large doses of manure are added to a pond at irregular intervals. This study was conducted to investigate the influence of humic acid (HA) on primary productivity (phytoplankton production) and water quality variables in a freshwater aquatic ecosystem.

Two experiments were carried out to evaluate effects of humic acid alone or in combination with inorganic (urea and single super phosphate) or organic fertilizer (cow manure) on the phytoplankton productivity. Prior to the experiments, HA contents of cow manure (CM), chicken manure, compost and tropical peat were estimated to determine the suitable source (quantity) for HA extraction. The results showed that tropical peat contained 46.5% (dry weight basis) HA and 11.6-17.2 folds



higher than the other organic fertilizers. Humic acid from the tropical peat was used in the subsequent experiments.

An experiment was conducted at the Gharehsoo Station of Fisheries Research Centre of Mazandaran (FRCM) in North of Islamic Republic Iran (July and August 1999) to study the effects of HA and inorganic fertilizer (urea and single super phosphate) on growth of selected freshwater phytoplankton and water quality variables. The experiment was carried out in eighteen 1.5 Liter transparent plastic bottles (control, 25 ppm HA+UP, 50 ppm HA+UP, 100 ppm HA, 100 ppm HA+UP, 150 ppm HA+UP). Three species of green algae (*Chlorella vulgaris*, *Scenedesmus quadricauda*, and *Oocystis solitaria*) and a species of blue-green alga (*Oscillatoria agardii*) were selected for the study.

The results showed that highest population (bloom) was achieved in week 3 by 100 ppm HA. All treatments with a combination of HA and urea-phosphate led to a blue-green algal (*Oscillatoria agardii*) dominance with a low phytoplankton bloom and low total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) ratio (0.7-1.03). The green algal dominance was associated with a high TN:TP ratio (16-17) in culture media. pH of HA treatment was within the suitable range (7.84-8.51) for phytoplankton production. Light penetration correlated well with blue-green algal population.

Another experiment was also carried out at the Aquatic Resource Technology Centre, Institute of Bioscience, Universiti Putra Malaysia (Oct. and Nov. 1999) to determine the effects of HA, CM and their combination on the growth of freshwater algae and water quality variables (unfertilized, 100 ppm HA, 1gram per liter CM, 70% HA + 30% CM). The experiment was conducted in twelve glass aquaria (60 cm x 30 cm x 30 cm). The results showed that the total phytoplankton population in fertilized aquaria was higher than that of unfertilized aquaria. The highest bloom of

phytoplankton was observed when a combination of HA and CM was used. Liquid HA (100 ppm) encouraged growth of edible green algae and the highest population of Chlorophyceae and Bacillariophyceae were observed in the mixture of HA and CM. Cow manure treatment encouraged the growth of blue-green algae (Cyanophyceae). Chlorophyceae population showed positive correlation with  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}:\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$  ratio. The population of periphyton increased with time and the highest population increase was achieved with CM followed by the combination of HA and CM, humic acid alone and the control (unfertilized), respectively.

Both experiments indicated that HA alone is suitable for freshwater algal production and with better water quality variables. Besides, humic acid has the capability of reducing the negative effect of high dosage of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) in treatments. Humic acid act as supplier and storehouse for N and P for algae and phytoplankton in aquatic ecosystem. However an inclusion of an organic fertilizer (CM) seemed to speed up and improved the efficiency of HA on phytoplankton population ( $8410 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$ ). The addition of liquid HA did not have undesirable effect on water quality variables (pH, dissolved oxygen, and light penetration).

Abstrak tesis dipersembahkan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KESAN ASID HUMIK TERHADAP MUTU AIR DAN TUMBESARAN ALGA  
DI DALAM EKOSISTEM AIR TAWAR**

Oleh

**HAMID KHODA BAKHSH**

**Oktober 2001**

**Pengerusi: Dr. Anuar Abdul Rahim**

**Fakulti: Pertanian**

Satu pendekatan untuk meningkatkan pengeluaran akuakultur adalah melalui perbaikan program pembajaan dan mutu air. Terdapat beberapa masalah serius akibat penambahan baja organik ke kolam dan persekitaran. Pengurangan oksigen, mutu air, pengurangan kemasukan cahaya, dan perkembangan penyakit sering berlaku setelah banyak kuantiti baja organik ditambah ke dalam kolam. Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menyelidik kesan asid humik (HA) terhadap penghasilan fitoplankton dan mutu air pada ekosistem akuakultur air tawar.

Dua eksperimen telah dijalankan untuk menilai kesan asid humik dan kombinasinya dengan baja organik atau bukan organik terhadap produktiviti fitoplankton. Sebelum kajian pembajaan dijalankan, kandungan asid humik di dalam tahi lembu, tahi ayam, kompos dan gambut tropika dinilai untuk menentukan sumber terbaik untuk pengekstratan asid humik. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa tanah gambut tropika mengandungi 46.5% (berat kering) asid humik dan 11.6 – 17.2 ganda lebih tinggi daripada sumber organan lain. Asid humik dari gambut tropika digunakan untuk eksperimen-eksperimen berikutnya.

Satu eksperimen telah dijalankan di Pusat Penyelidikan Perikanan Stesen Gharehsoo di Mazandaran (FRCM) di utara Iran (Julai 1999) untuk mengkaji kesan



asid humik dan baja bukan organik (urea dan fosfat ) terhadap pertumbuhan fitoplankton air tawar dan mutu air. Eksperimen tersebut dijalankan menggunakan lapan belas botol plastik lutsinar berukuran 1.5 liter (control, 25 bsj HA+UP, 50 bsj HA+UP, 100 bsj HA, 100 bsj HA+UP, 150 bsj HA+UP). Spesies-spesies alga hijau (*Chlorella vulgaris*, *Scenedesmus quadricauda*, *Oocystis solitaria*) dan satu spesies alga biru-hijau (*Oscillatoria agardii*) telah dipilih untuk dikaji. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa puncak perkembangan populasi tertinggi dicapai pada minggu ke 3 dengan rawatan 100 bsj asid humik. Semua rawatan kombinasi asid humik dan urea-fosfat membawa kepada kedominan alga biru-hijau (*Oscillatoria agardii*) dengan perkembangan fitoplankton yang rendah. Kedominan alga biru-hijau berkait rapat dengan jumlah N: jumlah P (TN:TP, 0.7-1.03) yang rendah dalam media. Kedominan alga hijau berhubung kait dengan TN:TP (16-17) yang tinggi pada media kultur. pH pada rawatan asid humik adalah pada paras berkesesuaian (7.84-8.51) untuk penghasilan phytoplankton. Penebusan cahaya berkait rapat dengan populasi alga biru hijau.

Eksperimen juga telah dijalankan di Pusat Sumber Teknologi Akuatik, Institut Biosains, Universiti Putra Malaysia (Oktober and November 1999) untuk menentukan kesan asid humik, tahi lembu dan kombinasinya terhadap tumbesaran alga air tawar dan mutu air (kawalan, asid humik, tahi lembu (CM), HA + CM). Eksperimen tersebut dijalankan menggunakan dua belas akuarium kaca (60cm x 30cm x 30cm). Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa jumlah populasi fitoplankton di dalam akuarium yang dibaja adalah lebih tinggi berbanding dengan tanpa pembajaan. Perkembangan fitoplankton yang terpantas didapati apabila kombinasi asid humik dan tahi lembu digunakan. Asid humik cecair (100 bsj) membantu pertumbuhan alga hijau dan populasi tertinggi bagi Chlorophyceae dan Bacillariophyceae didapati



pada campuran asid humik dan tahi lembu. Baja tahi lembu menggalakkan pertumbuhan alga biru-hijau. Chlorophyceae berkait secara terus dengan nisbah  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}:\text{PO}_4$ . Populasi perifiton meningkat dengan peningkatan masa, dan peningkatan populasi tertinggi dicapai dengan tahi lembu diikuti, kombinasi asid humik dan tahi lembu, asid humik sahaja dan kawalan (tanpa baja).

Kedua-dua eksperimen menunjukkan asid humik sesuai untuk penghasilan alga air tawar dan mutu air. Asid humik juga berupaya mengurangkan kesan negatif kadar N dan P yang tinggi. Asid humik bertindak sebagai membekal dan penyimpan baja lepas perlahan dengan nitrogen dan fosforus untuk alga dan microorganisma pada sistem akuatik ( $8410 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$ ). Penambahan asid humik cair tidak memberi kesan negatif terhadap mutu air (pH, oksigen terlarut, dan kemasukan cahaya).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the Name of ALLAH “A.W” for giving me the opportunity to study and patience to complete this study. I would like to thank not just the few that inspired me, but also those who have accepted my mistakes and shortcomings. I am thankful to my supervisor and my best lecturer in Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Dr. Anuar Abdul Rahim, for his guidance throughout the course of study, Dr. Che Roos, Dr. Abdul Hamid Yazdani, Prof. Dr. Khoo Khay Chong, and Prof. Dr Mohd Ghazali Mohayidin to whom I owe a great deal for the completion of this thesis. I am also thankful to the technical staff of Soil Fertility and Management Lab, GIS Lab, Hatchery Unit, Institute of Bioscience of UPM, and MARDI, Fuzi, Noriza, Ruslan, Mohamad, Jasni, Krishnan, and Mohamad Zabawi for their valuable practical assistance.

Last but not the least, I am deeply indebted to my friends, Dr. Paymon Roustaian, Mr Jahangard, Mr Reza Rafiee, Annie Christianus, Osumanu Haruna, Prama, Fadhli, Ghada A.Elhag, Manuel Laron, Emil, Naimot, Hanib Ali, Mr Mamani, Dr. Reza Pourgholam, Mr Yap, Mr Chong, Hazel Matias, and Albert Gabrael for their support and concern during the period of my graduate study.

I am indebted to Fisheries Research Center of Tehran and Mazandaran (FRCM) especially Dr. Rostamy and the staff of the Biology Section, Mr. Rostamian, Mr. Roohy and Ms. Taharny and the staff of Hydrobiology Section, Mrs. Vahedy and Mr. Nasrollahzadah, and also I am grateful to Fisheries Office of Golestan, Dr. Hoseiny, and staff specially of Fisheries Research Station (FRS) of Gharahsoo, Mr. Aghily, Mr. Bandany and Mr Eiry.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>DEDICATION.....</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK.....</b>	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	ix
<b>APPROVAL SHEETS.....</b>	x
<b>DECLARATION FORM.....</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	xviii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	xx

### CHAPTER

<b>I</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	1
<b>II</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	5
	History of Aquaculture.....	5
	Water Quality.....	7
	Dissolved Oxygen.....	8
	pH and Alkalinity .....	9
	Temperature .....	11
	Macrophytes.....	12
	Fertilizer.....	13
	Organic Fertilizer.....	13
	Inorganic Fertilizer.....	15
	Phosphorus.....	16
	Nitrogen .....	17
	Nitrogen and Phosphorus Ratio (N:P).....	17
	Liquid Fertilizers.....	19
	Phytoplankton.....	20
	Green and Blue-green Algae.....	21
	Peat and Humic Substances.....	24
	Humic acid and Carbon... ..	28
	Humic acid and Nitrogen.....	28
	Humic acid and Phosphorus.....	29
	Humic Substances, Plant and Algae.....	30
	Humic Substances, Pollution, Heavy Metals and Toxicity...	35
<b>III</b>	<b>HUMIC ACID CONTENT OF SELECTED ORGANIC MATERIALS.....</b>	40
	Introduction.....	40
	Materials and Methods.....	41
	Statistical Analysis.....	42
	Results and Discussion.....	42
	Conclusion.....	46



<b>IV</b>	<b>EFFECT OF HUMIC ACID ON GROWTH OF SELECTED FRESHWATER PHYTOPLANKTON AND WATER QUALITY.....</b>	<b>47</b>
	Introduction.....	47
	Materials and Methods.....	48
	Results.....	51
	Phytoplankton.....	51
	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> .....	59
	Water Quality.....	61
	Discussion.....	66
	Phytoplankton Population and Humic acid.....	66
	Phytoplankton Composition and TN:TP .....	67
	Phytoplankton Population and Nutrient Concentration...	69
	Humic acid, Blue-green Algae and Nutrient Fixation.....	70
	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> and Water Quality.....	72
	Conclusion.....	75
<b>V</b>	<b>EFFECT OF HUMIC ACID AND COW MANURE ON WATER QUALITY AND GROWTH OF ALGAE IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEM.....</b>	<b>77</b>
	Introduction.....	77
	Materials and Methods.....	79
	Results.....	81
	Phytoplankton.....	81
	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> .....	90
	Water Quality.....	94
	Discussion.....	104
	Phytoplankton and Nutrient Input.....	104
	Phytoplankton Population and Chlorophyll <i>a</i> .....	107
	Water Quality Variables.....	107
	Conclusion.....	113
<b>VI</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>115</b>
	General Discussion.....	115
	Phytoplankton Community and N:P Ratio.....	117
	Water Quality.....	119
	Conclusions.....	120
	Recommendation.....	121
	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>123</b>
	<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>139</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR.....</b>	<b>168</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Optimum range of water chemistry for aquaculture.....	8
2	Factors influence the increase and decrease of DO levels in pond....	9
3	Some organic fertilizers commonly used in pond culture of fish and crustaceans.....	14
4	Physicochemical factors potentially controlling cyanobacterial bloom formation.....	23
5	The composition (% dry weight) of C, H, N, O, in humic acid from different sources.....	27
6	The benefits of humic substances in agriculture and environment...	31
7	Significant effects of humic substances on crop production.....	32
8	Humic acid content of four sources of organic materials.....	42
9	Major compounds in plant tissues (% dry weight).....	43
10	Percentage of humic acid obtained from Malaysian tropical peat soil.....	44
11	Different levels of humic acid and inorganic fertilizers applied in the experiment.....	49
12	Phytoplankton density in culture media of different treatments during the experiment.....	53
13	Trend and respective maximum value of chlorophyll a in different treatment during the experiment.....	59
14	Total nitrogen and total phosphorus ratio in six different treatments.....	65
15	The average N:P ratio composition in aquatic organisms.....	69
16	Total nitrogen and total phosphorus range in control and 100 ppm HA during the experiment.....	70
17	Correlation of some biological and chemical water variables.....	73
18	Fertilizer composition of the study.....	80

19	Population of phytoplankton community during four weeks of experiment.....	86
20	Algal composition in 4 different treatments during the experiment.....	89
21	Trend and respective maximum value of chlorophyll <i>a</i> in four different treatments during the experiment.....	90
22	Correlation of some biological and chemical water variables during the experiment.....	92
23	Zooplankton observed in four treatments during the experiment.....	94
24	Trend and respective maximum value of dissolved oxygen in different treatment during the experiment.....	96
25	NO <sub>3</sub> -N, NH <sub>4</sub> -N and available phosphorus ratio in four different treatments.....	102
26	Organic carbon (%) in sediment (soil) of each treatment at the end of experiment.....	103
27	Concentration of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) in different sources used for pond fertilization regime (% dry weight).....	105
28	Fertilizer composition, phytoplankton population, TN:TP and NO <sub>3</sub> -N+ NH <sub>4</sub> -N: PO <sub>4</sub> -P ratio of the study.	118
29	Total phytoplankton population in culture media of different treatments. ....	155
30	Total phytoplankton population of green and blue-green algae in culture media of different treatments.....	155
31a	Trend and respective maximum value of phytoplankton population in different treatment during the experiment.....	156
31b	Total phytoplankton population in culture media of different treatments during the experiment.....	156
32	Phytoplankton biomass (mg mL <sup>-1</sup> ) in culture media of different treatments during the experiment.....	157
33	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in six treatments during experiment...	158
34	pH in culture media of different treatment during the experiment.....	158

35	Light loss in culture media of different treatment during the experiment.....	159
36	Total nitrogen and total phosphorus in culture media of different treatments. ....	159
37	Total nitrogen (N) and total phosphorus (P) concentration in six treatments during three weeks of experiment.....	160
38	Total population of four phytoplankton groups in different culture media during the experiment.....	161
39	Population of benthic and periphyton algae per surface (cm <sup>2</sup> ) in different treatments.....	161
40	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in four treatments during the experiment.....	162
41	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration of benthic algae during the experiment.....	162
42	Temperature and rainfall data at the experiment site (UPM) during October and November 1999.....	163
43	pH of water in different treatments during the experiment.....	163
44	Comparisons of midday dissolved oxygen in different treatments..	164
45	Comparisons of dissolved oxygen (midday and midnight) in different treatments.....	164
46	Comparisons of light penetration in different treatments.....	165
47	Comparisons of nitrogen (NO <sub>3</sub> -N) in different treatments.....	166
48	Comparisons of total ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> -N) in different treatments.....	166
49	Comparisons of phosphorus (PO <sub>4</sub> -P) in different treatments.....	167
50	NO <sub>3</sub> -N, NH <sub>4</sub> -N and phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> -P) concentration ratio in four treatments during the experiment.....	167



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Page
1	A typical food chain, from nutrients to large predator fish (bass) in a fishing pond..... 13
2	Model structure of humic and fulvic acid..... 26
3	The covalent coupling of the metabolic to humic substance..... 36
4	Total phytoplankton population of green and blue-green algae in different treatments..... 52
5a	Composition of phytoplankton community (population) and TN:TP ratio in treatments..... 55
5b	Composition of phytoplankton community (population) and TN:TP ratio in treatments..... 56
6	Composition of phytoplankton biomass and chlorophyll <i>a</i> in treatments..... 57
7	Composition of phytoplankton biomass and TN:TP ratio in treatments..... 58
8	Concentration of chlorophyll <i>a</i> in different treatments during the experiment..... 60
9	pH in culture media of different treatments during the experiment..... 62
10	Light loss (%) in culture media of different treatments ..... 63
11	Concentration of (a) total nitrogen, and (b) total phosphorus in different treatments..... 64
12	Total population of four phytoplankton groups in different treatments..... 82
13	Phytoplankton population and NO <sub>3</sub> -NH <sub>4</sub> :PO <sub>4</sub> ratio in four treatments..... 84
14	Phytoplankton composition and NO <sub>3</sub> -NH <sub>4</sub> :PO <sub>4</sub> ratio in four treatments..... 85
15	Population of benthic and periphyton algae in different treatments during the experiment..... 88





16	Concentration of chlorophyll <i>a</i> (planktonic) in different treatments during the experiment.....	91
17	Concentration of chlorophyll <i>a</i> (benthic algae) in different treatments during the experiment.....	93
18	pH in different treatments during the experiment.....	95
19	Dissolved oxygen concentration (10 cm deep) in aquaria during the experiment .....	97
20	Dissolved oxygen in midday and midnight (29 <sup>th</sup> day) in different treatments.....	98
21	Light penetration at 20 cm depth in aquaria of different treatments during the experiment.....	100
22	Changes in (a) NO <sub>3</sub> -N, (b) NH <sub>4</sub> -N and (c) PO <sub>4</sub> -N concentration in different treatments.....	101
23	Changes of chlorophyll <i>a</i> and light penetration in different treatments.....	110



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA= Analysis of variance

DO= Dissolved oxygen

DOC= Dissolved organic carbon

DOM= Dissolved organic matter

Chl. *a*= Chlorophyll *a*

L.P= light penetration

FW= Freshwater

HA= Humic acid

CM= Cow manure

HA + CM= Humic acid and Cow manure

se= Standard Error

ppm= Part per million

ppt= Part per thousand

TN= Total nitrogen

TP= Total phosphorus

TN:TP= Total nitrogen and total phosphorus ratio

UP= Urea and single super phosphate

DNMRT= Duncan New Multiple Range Test

# CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

At present aquaculture is practiced in almost every country of the world. The extent of aquaculture depends on several factors such as availability of expertise, technology, water, land, government policy and others (Wheaton, 1977).

One approach to improve aquaculture production is through improvement of basic aquaculture practices such as the management of water quality, selection of fast growing and disease resistant species, reliable and adequate seed supply, formulation of better artificial feed, enhancement of the production of natural food, post-harvest handling and others (Landau, 1992). Although there are commercial intensive fish farms, the majority still practices semi-intensive farming which involved fertilization of ponds using cow manure, inorganic fertilizers (such as urea and phosphate) and little feeding.

It is very difficult to persuade fish farmers to change from semi-intensive farming to intensive farming due to their limited knowledge, labour and capital. One practical way to enhance production is through the improvement of existing semi-intensive farming. One of the problems commonly encountered in semi-intensive farming is the use of huge amount of cow manure, which lead to the degradation of water quality (oxygen depletion and reduced light penetration), spread of diseases (bacterial and parasitic), lower hygiene and production (Lin *et al.*, 1997).

Since there is no precise guideline on the application of cow manure or organic fertilizer into a pond (unaerated), there is a strong tendency to over-fertilize a pond that leads to excessive organic matter, high biological oxygen demand, low dissolved oxygen (particularly in the morning), reduced light penetration necessary for



photosynthesis, and the formation of anaerobic conditions at the bottom of the pond (Lin *et al.*, 1997). For these reasons, some farmers exclusively use inorganic fertilizers as they are more convenient. In fish ponds, chemical fertilizers stimulate phytoplankton production which indirectly increases fish yield. They contain nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P) and potassium (K) that are needed by phytoplankton (Boyd, 1979). Even though the use of inorganic fertilizers is more convenient, the availability of resulted natural food is limited.

Commercial pond managers use a combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers. A combined application of both fertilizers stimulates pond productivity largely through autotrophic pathways and also through heterotrophic pathways (Green *et al.*, 1989). The quantity of zooplankton, benthos and detritus can be indirectly increased through such fertilization regime. With fertilization, the fish and prawn production does not have to start at the bottom of the food chain but can be started at a level closer to the fish and prawn with less energy loss (Schroeder, 1978; Geiger, 1983). Many research have shown the benefits of fertilizers on fish and prawn production (Ling, 1967; Wohlfarth and Schroeder, 1979; Teichert-Coddington *et al.*, 1990). Mortimer (1954) reported that the carp production in fertilized ponds was 2 to 10 times higher than those of unfertilized ponds, while tilapia production in fertilized ponds (5,135-9000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was 2 to 4 times higher than in unfertilized ponds (2,240 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Studies have indicated that the use of humic acid (organic acid) can improve the production of terrestrial plants (Sladky, 1959; Stevenson, 1982; Steinberg and Baltes, 1984; Levinsky, 1998). Some studies have also shown that humic acid can increase the productivity of phytoplankton (Prakash and Rashid, 1968; Prakash *et al.*, 1973; Lee



and Bartlett, 1976; Graneli and Moreira, 1990; Vrana and Votruba, 1995; Faust, 1999) in environment and laboratory experiments. Most of these reports have involved marine phytoplankton growth. Prakash and Rashid (1968) indicated that the biologically active ingredients of humic substances stimulate growth of marine phytoplankton. However, little work has been done on the influence of humic substances on the growth of freshwater algal species, a major purpose of this investigation. It is necessary to know whether humic acid as natural organic fertilizer can improve production of selected phytoplankton in the freshwater pond.

According to Schroeder (1978) and Lin *et al.* (1997) it is well recognized that pond fertilization with animal manure stimulates production of bacteria, phytoplankton, zooplankton, and benthos. The nutrient availability and efficiency of animal manures to phytoplankton production have long been treated as a black box in pond dynamics. There are also some negative aspects of using animal manures such as oxygen depletion, unsuitable for high-yield culture, cost of gathering and transportation (ICAAE, 1996a). Therefore, results of this study can be compared to effectiveness of humic acid and another organic fertilizer (cow manure) on phytoplankton population and water quality variables in an aquatic ecosystem.

As noted, no work has been reported on the influence of tropical peat humic acid on algal growth and also influence of humic acid on water quality variables. There is no information available regarding the toxic efficacy of this source of humic substances.

## Objectives

The aim of this study was to investigate the potential use of humic acid in improving productivity (phytoplankton) and provide more insight on water quality variables in a freshwater ecosystem. In this context, the specific objectives of this study were as follows:

1. To evaluate the different sources of humic acid content.
2. To evaluate the effect of humic acid and its combination with inorganic fertilizer on freshwater phytoplankton population and water quality variables.
3. To evaluate the productivity of phytoplankton and water quality variables in aquatic ecosystem fertilize with cow manure and liquid humic acid.