



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EVALUATION OF PALM OIL BASED OLEOCHEMICALS AS
HERBICIDE SURFACTANT**

ZAHARIMAN MOHD. SHAH

FP 2000 21

**EVALUATION OF PALM OIL BASED OLEOCHEMICALS AS HERBICIDE
SURFACTANT**

By

ZAHARIMAN MOHD. SHAH

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Agricultural Science in the
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

April 2000



Dedicated To:
To my beloved mother, sisters, brothers and friends
Whose true love, support and inspiration made this work possible



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Agricultural Science.

EVALUATION OF PALM OIL BASED OLEOCHEMICALS AS HERBICIDE SURFACTANT

By

ZAHARIMAN MOHD. SHAH

April 2000

Chairman : Associate Professor Dzolkhifli Omar, Ph.D.

Faculty : Agriculture

The effect of adding oleochemical-based surfactants (Agrimul PG2069, Agrimul PG2067, S1 and methyl oleate) in comparison with the organosilicone surfactant (Silwet L-77) on properties of spray solution, efficacy and uptake of glyphosate (Roundup®) for the control of *P. conjugatum* and *D. ocimifolia* was evaluated in the greenhouse. The dose-response study of glyphosate on 4-5 week old *P. conjugatum* and *D. ocimifolia* was first established. Glyphosate at 1.0 kg a.e/ha and above gave >90% mortality of the plants at 10 and 14 days after treatment (DAT) for *P. conjugatum* and *D. ocimifolia*, respectively. The spray deposition study showed higher amount of fluorescein deposited at these concentrations. It was estimated that glyphosate at 0.46 kg a.e/ha and 1.0 kg a.e/ha gave 50% mortality of *P. conjugatum* and *D. ocimifolia*, respectively and these rates were used in subsequent studies.

Adding Agrimul PG2069 (0.025 ml/litre) and Agrimul PG2069 (0.05 ml/litre) to glyphosate spray solution gave significantly better control of *P. conjugatum* compared with other treatments at 10 DAT. However, methyl oleate (0.1 ml/litre) and Silwet L-77 (0.5 ml/litre) showed the highest spray deposition. On *D. ocimifolia*, methyl oleate at all 3 concentrations tested (0.025, 0.05 and 0.1 ml/litre) together with Silwet L-77 (0.25 ml/litre) showed significantly better efficacy compared to others at 14 DAT. The spray deposition of these surfactants was also observed to be the highest.

When the reduction of surface tension of the glyphosate spray solution as affected by the types and concentrations of surfactant was determined, the critical micelle concentration (CMC) for Agrimul PG2069, Agrimul PG2067 and S1 was at 0.5 ml/litre while Silwet L-77 was between 0.1-0.5 ml/litre. The CMC of methyl oleate was at 0.05 ml/litre. The concentration of 0.05 ml/litre and 0.5 ml/litre of methyl oleate and Silwet L-77 respectively gave better spread of droplets on the upper leaf surfaces of *P. conjugatum*. On *D. ocimifolia*, the Silwet L-77 spread better than methyl oleate. The wax on the leaf of *P. ocnjugatum* was found to be 4 time higher than *D. ocimifolia*. This could affect the spray deposition and spread of the droplets on the leaf surfaces.

The influence of the surfactants on uptake of glyphosate by *P. conjugatum* and *D. ocimifolia* was also evaluated using radiolabelled C-14. The uptake of

glyphosate by *P. conjugatum* was significantly higher when methyl oleate (0.05 ml/litre) and Silwet L-77 (0.5 ml/litre) were added but this result was not observed on *D. ocimifolia*. No significant different was also observed when the uptake from methyl oleate was compared with Silwet L-77 for both species.

Abstrak tesis ini dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains Pertanian.

**PENILAIAN KIMIA OLEO MINYAK KELAPA SAWIT SEBAGAI
SURFAKTAN UNTUK RACUN RUMPAI**

Oleh

ZAHARIMAN MOHD. SHAH

April 2000

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Dzolkhifli Omar, Ph.D.

Fakulti : Pertanian

Kesan penambahan surfaktan berasaskan kimia oleo (Agrimul PG2067, Agrimul PG2069, S1 dan oleat metil) berkaitan dengan keberkesanan larutan semburan dan proses pengambilan masuk glyphosate (Roundup®) ke dalam tumbuhan untuk pengawalan *P. conjugatum* dan *D. ocimifolia* telah di lakukan di rumah hijau untuk membandingkan dengan kesan penambahan surfaktan organosilikon. Kajian dos-respon glifosat terhadap *P. conjugatum* dan *D. ocimifolia* yang berumur 4-5 minggu telah dilakukan terlebih dahulu. Didapati penggunaan glifosat dengan kadar melebihi 1.0 kg a.e/ha telah memberi peratus kematian melebihi 90% pada hari ke-10 untuk *P. conjugatum* dan *D. ocimifolia* pada hari ke-14. Kajian penahanan semburan telah menunjukkan jumlah penahanan fluorescein yang tinggi berlaku di kepekatan tersebut. Penggunaan glifosat pada kadar 0.46 kg

a.e/ha dan 1.0 kg a.e/ha yang menunjukkan 50% kematian untuk *P. conjugatum* dan *D. ocimifolia* telah digunakan untuk kajian seterusnya.

Kesan penambahan Agrimul PG2069 (0.025 ml/liter) dan Agrimul PG2067 (0.05 ml/liter) ke dalam larutan glifosat telah memberikan pengawalan yang baik untuk *P. conjugatum* berbanding dengan rawatan yang lain pada hari ke-10. Walaupun begitu, untuk kajian penahanan semburan, penggunaan oleat metil (0.1 ml/liter) dan Silwet L-77 (0.5 ml/liter) menunjukkan penahanan semburan yang tertinggi. Pada hari ke-14, ujian menggunakan ketiga-tiga kepekatan oleat metil (0.025, 0.05 dan 0.1 ml/liter) bersama dengan Silwet L-77 (0.25 ml/liter) ke atas *D. ocimifolia* telah menunjukkan pengawalan yang sangat berkesan berbanding dengan rawatan yang lain. Kajian penahanan semburan untuk surfaktan ini pada tahap kepekatan yang sama juga telah menunjukkan keputusan yang tertinggi.

Penentuan pengurangan ketegangan permukaan larutan glifosat yang dipengaruhi oleh kepekatan surfaktan menunjukkan CMC (Critical Micelle Concentration) untuk Agrimul PG2069, Agrimul PG2067 dan S1 didapati pada kepekatan 0.5 ml/liter manakala Silwet L-77 adalah di antara 0.1-0.5 ml/liter. Keputusan CMC untuk oleat metil adalah pada kepekatan 0.05 ml/liter. Kepekatan oleat metil pada 0.05 ml/liter dan Silwet L-77 pada 0.5 ml/liter memberi penyerakan titisan semburan yang baik di bahagian atas daun *P. conjugatum*. Untuk *D. ocimifolia*, Silwet L-77 memberi penyerakan yang baik berbanding dengan oleat metil. Jumlah lilin pada daun *P. conjugatum* telah ditemui melebihi 4 kali ganda

banyak berbanding dengan *D. ocimifolia*. Penemuan ini kemungkinan telah memberi kesan ke atas penahanan semburan dan penyerakan titisan semburan di atas daun-daun.

Pengaruh surfaktan ke atas pengambilan glifosat oleh *P. conjugatum* dan *D. ocimifolia* telah di nilai melalui kaedah radiolabeled C-14. Pengambilan dan kemasukkan glifosat oleh *P. conjugatum* apabila ditambah oleat metil (0.05 ml/liter) dan Silwet L-77 (0.5 ml/liter) adalah tinggi, tetapi keputusan ini tidak ditemui apabila menggunakan *D. ocimifolia*. Tiada perbezaan yang bererti di dalam pengambilan glifosat di antara oleat metil dan Silwet L-77 untuk kedua jenis rumpai ini.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise be Allah swt., upon His permission I could complete this thesis smoothly. Contributions from individuals and institution for the successful completion of this thesis are also acknowledged.

The Author wishes to express his most sincere appreciation and deepest gratitude to the Chairman of the Supervising Committee, Associate Prof. Dr. Dzolkhifli Omar for his valuable guidance, advice, suggestions and constructive criticisms throughout the course of this study.

Sincere thanks and appreciation are also extended to his Committee members Assoc. Prof. Dr. Dzulkefli Kuang Abdullah and Prof. Dr. Rosli Mohamad for their valuable advice, suggestions and help. The author also wishes to thank Mr. Tan Boon Teck (Henkel Oleochemicals (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.), Assoc. Prof. Dr. Salleh Harun (Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies), Mr. Ahmad Suhaili (Malaysian Institute for Nuclear Technology), and Toxicology Laboratory staffs for giving the opportunity to carry out the project and making available all the facilities.

Last but not least, the author would like to take this opportunity to convey his deepest thanks to his beloved family, for their loving support. Also to his dear friends for their valuable suggestions, help, and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
DEDICATION		ii
ABSTRACT		iii
ABSTRAK		vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		ix
APPROVAL SHEETS		x
DECLARATION FORM		xii
LIST OF TABLES		xvi
LIST OF FIGURES		xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xx
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	LITERATURE RIVIEW	4
	2.1 Glyphosate	4
	2.1.1 Mode of Action	5
	2.1.2 Factors Affecting Glyphosate Uptake	6
	2.2 Adjuvants	6
	2.3 Surfactants	7
	2.3.1 Chemistry of Surfactants	9
	2.3.2 Organosilicone Surfactants	10
	2.3.2 Oleochemicals	13
	2.3.4 Potential Sites of Surfactants Actions	16
	2.3.5 Possible Mechanisms of the Action on The Leaf Surfaces	17
	2.3.6 Possible Mechanisms of Actions Within The Leaf	19
	2.3.7 Effects of Surfactants on Physical and Chemistry Properties of Spray Solutions	21
	2.3.8 Effects of Surfactant on Foliar Uptake of Agrochemicals	25
	2.4 Leaf Surfaces	27
	2.4.1 Effects of Epicuticular Wax on Efficacy of Agrochemicals	29
	2.5 Weed Species	31
	2.5.1 Narrow-Leaf Weed Species (<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i> Berg.)	31
	2.5.2 Broad-Leaf Weed Species (<i>Diodia ocimifolia</i>)	32
3	EFFECT OF RATES OF GLYPHOSATE IN CONTROLLING <i>P. conjugatum</i> AND <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	36
	3.1 Introduction	36
	3.2 Materials and Methods	36
	3.2.1 Plant Materials	36
	3.2.2 Dose-Response Studies of Glyphosate Against <i>P. conjugatum</i> and <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	39
	3.3 Results and Discussion	42
	3.3.1 Dose-Response of Glyphosate Against <i>P. conjugatum</i>	42

	3.3.2 Dose-Response of Glyphosate Against <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	45
4	INFLUENCE OF SURFACTANT ON EFFECTIVENESS OF GLYPHOSATE ON CONTROLLING <i>P. conjugatum</i> AND <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	48
	4.1 Introduction	48
	4.2 Materials and Methods	48
	4.3 Results and Discussion	50
	4.3.1 Influence of Surfactant on Effectiveness of Glyphosate on Controlling <i>P. conjugatum</i>	50
	4.3.2 Influence of Surfactant on Effectiveness of Glyphosate on Controlling <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	55
5	STUDY ON INFLUENCE OF SURFACTANT ON THE SURFACE TENSION, SPREAD COEFFICIENT OF GLYPHOSATE SPRAY FORMULATION AND WAX ON LEAVES	60
	5.1 Influence of Surfactant on The Surface Tension of Glyphosate Spray Formulation	60
	5.1.1 Introduction	60
	5.1.2 Materials and Methods	60
	5.2 Effects of Surfactant on Liquid Spread Coefficient	62
	5.2.1 Introduction	62
	5.2.2 Materials and Methods	62
	5.3 Wax on Leaves of <i>P. conjugatum</i> and <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	64
	5.3.1 Introduction	64
	5.3.2 Materials and Methods	64
	5.4 Results and Discussion	65
	5.4.1 Influence of Surfactant on the Surface Tension of Glyphosate Spray Formulation	65
	5.4.2 Effects of Surfactant on Liquid Spread Coefficient	68
	5.4.3 Wax Weights From Leaves of <i>P. conjugatum</i> and <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	78
6	EFFECTS OF SURFACTANT ON UPTAKE OF GLYPHOSATE	80
	6.1 Introduction	80
	6.2 Materials and Methods	80
	6.2.1 Preparation of Treatment Solutions	81
	6.2.2 Application of the Formulations	81
	6.2.3 Assessment of Foliar Uptake	82
	6.3 Results and Discussion	82
	6.3.1 Effects of Surfactant on Uptake of Glyphosate Against <i>P. conjugatum</i>	82
	6.3.2 Effects of Surfactant on Uptake of Glyphosate Against <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	84
7	CONCLUSION	86

REFERENCES	88
APPENDICES	96
APPENDIX I	97
APPENDIX II	98
APPENDIX III	107
APPENDIX IV	116
APPENDIX V	132
BIODATA OF AUTHORS	134

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Types of inert ingredients derived from oleochemicals	14
2	Comparative physical properties of alkyl polyglycoside as surfactants	16
3	Physical properties of some fatty acid methyl ester and mineral oil	24
4	Spray formulation with different concentration of glyphosate for control <i>P. conjugatum</i>	39
5	Spray formulation with different concentration of glyphosate for control <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	39
6	The qualitative scale for visual assessment	40
7	Effect of glyphosate rates on mortality of <i>P. conjugatum</i> at 10 DAT	43
8	Effect of glyphosate rates on the spray deposition against <i>P. conjugatum</i>	44
9	Effect of glyphosate rates on the reduction of fresh weight against <i>P. conjugatum</i>	44
10	Effect of glyphosate rates on mortality against <i>D. ocimifolia</i> at 14 DAT	45
11	Effect of glyphosate rates on the spray deposition against <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	46
12	Effect of glyphosate rates on the reduction of fresh weight against <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	47
13	The surfactants used in the work	49
14	Combination of surfactant solution containing glyphosate	50
15	Effect of surfactant on the effectiveness of glyphosate in controlling <i>P. conjugatum</i>	52
16	Spray deposition of glyphosate-surfactant formulation on leaf surface of <i>P. conjugatum</i>	53
17	Effect of glyphosate-surfactant formulation on reduction of fresh weight (FW) against <i>P. conjugatum</i>	55

18	Effect of surfactant on the effectiveness of glyphosate in controlling <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	56
19	Spray deposition of glyphosate-surfactant formulation on leaf surface of <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	58
20	Effect of glyphosate-surfactant formulation on reduction of fresh weight (FW) against <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	59
21	Concentration of surfactant in glyphosate spray solution for determination of surface tension	61
22	Combinations of surfactant solutions containing glyphosate for measuring spread coefficient	63
23	The surface tension of glyphosate (Roundup [®]) solutions containing oleochemical-based and organosilicon surfactant	66
24	Spread coefficients of glyphosate-surfactant mixtures on upper leaf surface of <i>P. conjugatum</i> 1 hour after application of 0.5 µl droplets	69
25	Spread coefficients of glyphosate-surfactant mixtures on lower leaf surface of <i>P. conjugatum</i> 1 hour after application of 0.5 µl droplets	71
26	Spread coefficients of glyphosate-surfactant mixtures on upper leaf surface of <i>D. ocimifolia</i> 1 hour after application of 0.5 µl droplets	73
27	Spread coefficients of glyphosate-surfactant mixtures on upper leaf surface of <i>D. ocimifolia</i> 1 hour after application of 0.5 µl droplets	75
28	Spread coefficients of glyphosate-surfactant mixtures on water sensitive paper 1 hour after application of 0.5 µl droplets	77
29	Average wax weights from leaves of <i>P. conjugatum</i> and <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	78
30	Treatment formulation for assessment of foliar uptake on <i>P. conjugatum</i> and <i>D. ocimifolia</i>	81
31	Percentage uptake of ¹⁴ C-glyphosate by <i>P. conjugatum</i> following treatment with and without surfactant	83

32	Percentage uptake of ^{14}C -glyphosate by <i>D. ocimifolia</i> following treatment with and without surfactant	85
----	--	----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Schematic diagram of surface-active molecules	9
2	Hydrophilic (water-compatible) head group and hydrophobic (water-repellent hydrocarbon tail)	10
3	Structure of organosilicone surfactant	12
4	Generalized structure of alkyl polyglycoside (APG)	16
5	Changes in the composition of partitioning phase between an agrochemicals and immiscible lipid in the presence of a surfactant	20

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

m ²	= Square metre
%	= Percentage
cm	= Centimetre
kg	= Kilogram
a.i	= Active ingredient
a.e	= Active equivalent
L	= Litre
Ha	= Hectare
µm	= Micrometer
µg	= Microgram
m	= Metre
mg	= Milligram
mm/ou	= Millimetre/onocular unit
h	= Hour
µl	= Microlitre
°C	= Degree centigrade
w/v	= Weight per volume
v/v	= Volume per volume
w/w	= Weight over weight
DAT	= Days after treatment
DAS	= Day after sowing
WSSA	= Weed Science Society of America

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Glyphosate is relatively non-selective and is particularly valuable for the control of most perennial weeds. It also controls most annual species of grasses, sedges, and broad leaf (Martin and Worthing, 1974). It is applied to the foliage of the vegetation to be controlled. It is essentially nonphytotoxic as a soil application (Klingman and Murray, 1976), readily absorbed by most species and highly mobile in the phloem and probably also in the xylem (Devine, 1993). The uptake of glyphosate in leaf surface is very rapid compared with penetration process and it has a longer phase between the uptake process and penetration. These phases are dependent on species, age, environmental condition and concentration of glyphosate and surfactants (Caseley and Coupland, 1985).

Surface active agents, or 'surfactant', have an impact on all aspects of our daily life, either directly in household detergents and personnel care products or indirectly in the production and processing of the materials which surround us (Karsa, 1987). In formulation of foliar-applied agrochemicals, surfactants are commonly used to improve physical/chemical properties of the spray solution and to enhance uptake of the active ingredient (Knoche and Bukovac, 1993). Surfactants may also increase the solubility of active ingredient in the spray solution, affect spray retention, droplet spreading and drying rates (Gaskin and Holloway, 1992).

The utilization of organosilicone-based surfactant in pesticide formulation improved the foliar uptake of glyphosate due to excellent wetting and penetrating characteristic when compared with conventional surfactants (Bishop and Field, 1983; Jansen, 1973). However, in recent years there has been a trend of using oils extracted from crop seeds such as soybean, sunflower, canola and coconut as surfactant. These crop seed oils and their derivatives known as oleochemicals could provide an economical, locally supplied, and renewable source of adjuvant (Matthews and Hislop, 1993). Oleochemical surfactants also have both economic and ecological advantages over the products based on mineral oil (Baumann and Biermann, 1994).

Oleochemicals are chemicals derived from natural oils and fats. The important base of oleochemicals, which are essentially the building blocks of the oleochemical industry, are fatty acids, methyl esters, fatty alcohols, and fatty amines. These oleochemicals, together with their derivatives, have large variety of end uses (Ong *et al.*, 1989). Alkyl alcohol ethoxylates derived from vegetable oils and their derivatives are the most important class of non-ionic surfactant used as wetting agent. At present, there is an interest to produce surfactants largely from natural starting materials such as carbohydrates (starch, sugar, *etc.*) for ecological reasons along with natural oil and fats (Baumann and Biermann, 1994).

The objective of this research was to study the effect of leaf surface on efficacy of glyphosate, effect of adding oleochemical-based surfactants on efficacy and

absorption of glyphosate by *P. conjugatum* and *D. ocimifolia*. The research consisted three parts. Part one was a preliminary screening on dose response effect of glyphosate and effect of surfactants (oleochemical-based surfactant and organosilicone surfactant) on the effectiveness of glyphosate for the control *P. conjugatum* and *D. ocimifolia*. The second part was to study the uptake of glyphosate with and without surfactants. Lastly, study was conducted to find the effect of surface tension, spread coefficient and wax weight on efficacy of spray formulation.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Glyphosate

Glyphosate or N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine has been considered as one of the most important organophosphorus herbicides (Hance and Holly, 1990). It was first described by Baird *et al.*, in the year 1971, and initially marketed under the trade name Roundup® by Monsanto Co. U.S.A., in the isopropylamine salt formulation.

Glyphosate is a nonselective herbicide and is particularly valuable for the control of most perennial and annual weed species (Martin and Worthing, 1974). It is essentially nonphytotoxic as a soil application because it is tightly bound to most soils and unavailable to plants (Klingman and Murray, 1976). It is readily absorbed by most species and highly mobile in the phloem and probably also in the xylem (Devine, 1993).

The effectiveness of foliar applied glyphosate in controlling perennial weeds and killing underground buds as well as apical meristem strongly suggests that it is readily absorbed by leaves and translocated in the symplast. It could provide complete control of weeds both above and below ground with a single foliar application (Hance and Holly, 1990).