



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**CRYOPRESERVATION OF PROTOCORM-LIKE BODIES OF ORCHID  
HYBRID *DENDROBIUM* KASEM BOONCHOO WHITE BY  
VITRIFICATION**

**PHILIP ANAK SIPEN**

**FP 2000 14**

**CRYOPRESERVATION OF PROTOCORM-LIKE BODIES OF ORCHID  
HYBRID *DENDROBIUM* KASEM BOONCHOO WHITE BY VITRIFICATION**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Agricultural Science in the Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**August 2000**



**Dedicated to:**

**My parents:**

**Wilson Sipe Sawap & Ranyod Sigeng**

**My siblings:**

**Perisen Kichiak & family, Peter Aat & family, Patrick Sungeh & family,  
Prentis Kenneth, Pirin Decem and Polinomi**

**My relatives and friends**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Agricultural Science.

**CRYOPRESERVATION OF PROTOCORM-LIKE BODIES OF ORCHID  
HYBRID *DENDROBIUM* KASEM BOONCHOO WHITE BY VITRIFICATION**

By

**PHILIP ANAK SIPEN**

**August 2000**

**Chairman : Associate Professor Saleh Bin Kadzimin, Ph. D.**

**Faculty : Agriculture**

The present study was conducted to develop a protocol for long-term preservation of orchid germplasm. Protocorm-like bodies (PLBs) of orchid hybrid *Dendrobium* Kasem Boonchoo White were subjected to different treatments of preculture, cryoprotection and dehydration procedures of vitrification technique.

The PLBs were precultured on Vacin and Went medium supplemented with 0, 0.06, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 M sucrose for 3 days. Preculturing with 0.06 to 0.5 M sucrose gave 3.7 to 23.3% viability after cryopreservation. No viable PLBs were found from 0 and 0.7 M sucrose treatments. None of the PLBs survived cryopreservation in all the sucrose concentrations tested.

The PLBs were precultured with 0.3 M sucrose for 0, 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 days. Viability ranged from 11.1 to 26.3% after cryopreservation from 1 to 9 days treatments.



No viability was recorded after cryopreservation from treatment without sucrose preculture. There was no survival recorded in all treatments after cryopreservation.

When precultured PLBs were cryoprotected with different loading solutions (LS1, LS2, LS3, LS4, LS5 and LS6) for 15 min, all treatments gave 7.4 to 34.4% viability after cryopreservation. All treatments gave 3.7 to 11.1% survival after cryopreservation except LS1 and LS2 treatments.

PLBs were cryoprotected with LS5 for 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 min. Viability of 7.4 to 36.8% were recorded after cryopreservation when cryoprotected for 5 to 30 min. Without cryoprotection, viability was zero after cryopreservation. The survival rates of 11.1 to 30.3% were obtained after cryopreservation from 5 to 25 min treatments. Cryoprotection for 30 min and without cryoprotection did not give any survival at all after cryopreservation.

Cryoprotected PLBs were dehydrated with different vitrification solutions (VS1, VS2, VS3, VS4 and VS5) for 10 min. All vitrification solutions gave 11.1 to 39.2% viability after cryopreservation. Survival of 7.4 to 26.3% after cryopreservation were recorded in all treatments except VS1.

Cryoprotected PLBs were dehydrated with VS3 for 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 min. Viability range of 20.1 to 53.2% after cryopreservation were recorded when dehydrated for 5 to 30 min. No viability was noted after cryopreservation without dehydration. Survival after cryopreservation was 3.7 to 48.5% from 5 to 30 min

treatments. The highest survival (48.5%) after cryopreservation was obtained from 30 min. None of the cryopreserved PLBs survived after cryopreservation without dehydration.

The highest rate of survival of cryopreserved PLBs achieved with the vitrification technique in the study was 48.5%. Thus, vitrification technique appears promising for the cryopreservation of orchid PLBs, proposing a protocol for long-term preservation of orchid germplasm.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains Pertanian.

**PENKRIOWETAN PROTOKOM HIBRID ORKID *DENDROBIUM* KASEM  
BOONCHOO WHITE MELALUI VITRIFIKASI**

Oleh

**PHILIP ANAK SIPEN**

Ogos 2000

**Pengerusi Penyelia : Prof. Madya Saleh Bin Kadzimin, Ph.D.**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk mencipta satu protokol bagi pengekalan jangka panjang janaplasma orkid. Protokom (PLBs) hibrid orkid *Dendrobium* Kasem Boonchoo White telah diberi rawatan prakultur, perlindungankrio dan pengdehidratan yang berbeza.

PLBs telah diprakultur di atas medium Vacin dan Went yang mengandungi 0, 0.06, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 dan 0.7 M sukrosa selama 3 hari. Prakulturan dengan 0.06 hingga 0.5 M sukrosa telah memberikan 3.7 hingga 23.3% viabiliti selepas pengkriowetan. Tiada viabiliti didapati selepas pengkriowetan dari rawatan 0 dan 0.7 M sukrosa. Tiada kemandirian PLBs direkodkan selepas pengkriowetan di dalam semua rawatan.

PLBs telah diprakultur pada 0.3 M sukrosa selama 0, 1, 3, 5, 7 dan 9 hari. Kadar viabiliti 11.1 hingga 26.3% selepas pengkrioawetan telah direkodkan dalam prakultur selama 1 hingga 9 hari. Tiada viabiliti selepas pengkrioawetan dalam rawatan tanpa prakultur dengan sukrosa. Tiada kemandirian direkodkan dalam semua rawatan selepas pengkrioawetan.

Apabila PLBs yang telah diprakultur diberi perlindungankrio dengan larutan loading (LS1, LS2, LS3, LS4, LS5 dan LS6) selama 15 min, kesemua rawatan memberikan 7.4 hingga 34.4% viabiliti selepas pengkrioawetan. Kesemua rawatan memberikan 3.7 hingga 11.1% kemandirian selepas pengkrioawetan kecuali rawatan LS1 dan LS2.

PLBs diberi perlindungankrio dengan LS5 selama 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 dan 30 min. Kadar viabiliti PLBs adalah 7.4 hingga 36.8% apabila diberi perlindungankrio selama 5 hingga 30 min Tanpa perlindungankrio, tiada viabiliti selepas pengkrioawetan direkodkan. Kadar kemandirian PLBs yang telah dikrioawetkan sebanyak 11.1 hingga 30.3% telah diperolehi dari rawatan 5 hingga 25 min. Rawatan 30 min dan tanpa perlindungankrio menunjukkan tiada kemandirian selepas pengkrioawetan.

PLBs yang telah diberi perlindungankrio didehidratkan dengan larutan-larutan vitrifikasi yang berlainan (VS1, VS2, VS3, VS4 dan VS5) selama 10 min. Kesemua larutan vitrifikasi telah memberikan kadar viabiliti 11.1 hingga 39.2% selepas pengkrioawetan. Kadar kemandirian 7.4 hingga 26.3% selepas pengkrioawetan telah direkodkan dari kesemua rawatan.



PLBs yang telah diberi perlindungan krio kemudiannya didehidratkan dengan VS3 selama 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 dan 30 min. Kadar viabiliti 20.1 hingga 53.2% selepas pengkrioawetan telah direkodkan selepas didehidratkan selama 5 hingga 30 min. Tiada viabiliti dari rawatan tanpa dehidrasi selepas pengkrioawetan. Kemandirian selepas pengkrioawetan adalah sebanyak 3.7 hingga 48.5% dari rawatan 5 hingga 30 min. Kadar kemandirian tertinggi (48.5%) selepas pengkrioawetan telah diperolehi dari 30 min. Tiada PLBs yang mandiri selepas pengkrioawetan tanpa dehidrasi dengan VS3.

Kadar kemandirian tertinggi PLBs yang terus hidup selepas pengkrioawetan dengan menggunakan teknik vitrifikasi ialah 48.5%. Dengan itu, teknik vitrifikasi berkenaan adalah berpotensi untuk digunakan sebagai kaedah pengawetan PLBs orkid, dan seterusnya menjadi satu protokol bagi pengekalan jangka panjang janaplasma orkid.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to the Lord Almighty for His blessings and guide me through always

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Saleh b. Kadzimin for his wise, valuable counsel and suggestions, with constant support and encouragement that made this study possible. His understanding and patience in the study were most comforting and necessary.

Thanks are also due to my co-supervisors, Prof. Dr. Noraini bte. Abd. Samad and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hor Yue Luan for their supportive advice, attention and constructive criticism in this study.

The author also wishes to thank En. Abd. Rahman b. Sidam, Haryati bte. Jamhari, Salimah bte. Ali, Asnita bte. Abu Harirah, Dahroyana Nor and Elixon Sunian of Tissue Culture Laboratory for their kind support. I am grateful to the staff of the Electron Microscope Unit of UPM, Cik Azilah Abd. Jalil, Puan Suleka Madhavan, En. Ho Oi Kuan and Puan Faridah Akmal for their assistance in electron microscopy work.

Finally but not least, I thank my friends Nurasykin Abdullah, Florence Ginibun, Wong Lay Yieng, Sam Yen Yen, Adrain Ling, Norsheda Abdullah, Mohana Anita, Franklin Ragai, Felix Angkau, Lee Aji, Ismail b. Ibrahim, Hendry Joseph, Mohd. Humayun Kabir and Make Jiwan for their kind supports.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION.....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ABSTRAK.....	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	ix
APPROVAL SHEETS.....	x
DECLARATION FORM.....	xii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xxi
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>I INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>4</b>
Cryopreservation.....	4
Cryopreservation of Orchid Tissue.....	6
Preculture with Sucrose.....	6
Vitrification Technique.....	9
Loading Solution.....	10
Vitrification Solution.....	11
Rapid Freezing.....	13
Storage in LN.....	14
Rapid Thawing.....	15
Unloading, Plating and Culturing.....	16
Cryoprotectants.....	16
Type of Cryoprotectants.....	18
Dimethyl Sulfoxide.....	18
Glycerol.....	19
Sucrose.....	20
Ethylene Glycol.....	21
Cryoprotectant Mixtures.....	21
Concentration of Cryoprotectants.....	22
Duration of Exposure to Cryoprotectants.....	23
<b>III MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>24</b>
Location.....	24
Plant Materials.....	24
Experimental Procedures.....	27
Preparation of PLBs.....	27



Preparation of Stock Solutions.....	27
Preparation of Sucrose Preculture Medium.....	29
Preparation of Loading, Vitrification and Unloading Solutions...	29
Preparation of Culture Medium.....	30
Preculturing of PLBs.....	30
General Vitrification Procedure.....	31
Thawing and Unloading.....	32
Plating, Reculturing and Incubation of Cultures.....	33
Measurement and Observations.....	33
Determination of Moisture Content.....	33
Assessment of Viability and Survival.....	34
Scanning Electron Microscope Observation of PLBs.....	35
Experimental Design and Data Analysis.....	35
Experimentation.....	36
Experiment 1: The Effects of Preculturing with Sucrose on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	36
Experiment 2: The Effects of Preculturing Periods on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	37
Experiment 3: The Effects of Different Loading Solutions on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	38
Experiment 4: The Effects of Exposure Time to Loading Solution on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	39
Experiment 5: The Effects of Different Vitrification Solutions on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	40
Experiment 6: The Effects of Exposure Time to Vitrification Solution on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	41
<b>IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>42</b>
Patterns of PLBs Development.....	42
Scanning Electron Microscope Observation of PLBs.....	51
Experiment 1: The Effects of Preculturing with Sucrose on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	69
Experiment 2: The Effects of Preculturing Periods on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	77
Experiment 3: The Effects of Different Loading Solutions on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	83
Experiment 4: The Effects of Exposure Time to Loading Solution on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	87
Experiment 5: The Effects of Different Vitrification Solutions on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	92
Experiment 6: The Effects of Exposure Time to Vitrification Solution on Survival of PLBs in LN.....	96
<b>V SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>100</b>



REFERENCES.....	104
APPENDICES.....	113
APPENDIX A Procedure for Double Antibody Sandwich-Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (DAS-ELISA).....	114
APPENDIX B Modified Vacin and Went (1949) medium.....	115
APPENDIX C Loading, Vitrification and Unloading Solutions.....	116
APPENDIX D Specimen Preparation for Scanning Electron Microscopy.....	117
APPENDIX E A Flow Chart of Vitrification Technique.....	118
APPENDIX F Statistical Analysis.....	119
BIODATA OF AUTHOR.....	129



## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 Mean viability and survival of PLBs precultured on various sucrose concentrations after preculturing (P), cryoprotection (PL), dehydration (PLV) and cryopreservation (PLVC) procedures.....	70
2 Mean moisture content of PLBs precultured on various sucrose concentrations after preculturing (P), cryoprotection (PL) and dehydration (PLV) procedures.....	75
3 Mean viability and survival of PLBs precultured for different periods after preculturing (P), cryoprotection (PL), dehydration (PLV) and cryopreservation (PLVC) procedures.....	79
4 Mean moisture content of PLBs precultured for different periods after preculturing (P), cryoprotection (PL) and dehydration (PLV) procedures.....	82
5 Mean viability and survival of PLBs cryoprotected with different loading solutions after cryoprotection (PL), dehydration (PLV) and cryopreservation (PLVC) procedures.....	84
6 Mean moisture content of PLBs cryoprotected with different loading solutions after cryoprotection (PL) and dehydration (PLV) procedures.....	87
7 Mean viability and survival of PLBs cryoprotected for various duration after cryoprotection (PL), dehydration (PLV) and cryopreservation (PLVC) procedures.....	89
8 Mean moisture content of PLBs cryoprotected for various duration after cryoprotection (PL) and dehydration (PLVC) procedures.....	91
9 Mean viability and survival of PLBs dehydrated with different vitrification solutions after dehydration (PLV) and cryopreservation (PLVC) procedures.....	92
10 Mean moisture content of PLBs dehydrated with different vitrification solutions after dehydration (PLV) procedures.....	94
11 Mean viability and survival of PLBs dehydrated for various duration after dehydration (PLV) and cryopreservation (PLVC) procedures.....	97



12	Mean moisture content of PLBs dehydrated for various duration after dehydration (PLV) procedures.....	99
13	ANOVA table of percentage viability of PLBs precultured with different sucrose concentrations after preculture (a), cryoprotection (b), dehydration (c) and cryopreservation (d) procedures.....	119
14	ANOVA table of percentage survival of PLBs precultured with different sucrose concentrations after preculture (a), cryoprotection (b) and dehydration (c) procedures.....	120
15	ANOVA table of percentage viability of PLBs precultured for various periods after preculture (a), cryoprotection (b), dehydration (c) and cryopreservation (d) procedures.....	121
16	ANOVA table of percentage survival of PLBs precultured for various periods after preculture (a), cryoprotection (b) and Dehydration (c) procedures.....	122
17	ANOVA table of percentage viability of PLBs cryoprotected with different loading solutions after cryoprotection (a), dehydration (b) and cryopreservation (c) procedures.....	123
18	ANOVA table of percentage survival of PLBs cryoprotected with different loading solutions after cryoprotection (a), dehydration (b) and cryopreservation (c) procedures.....	124
19	ANOVA table of percentage viability of PLBs cryoprotected for various duration after cryoprotection (a), dehydration (b) and cryopreservation (c) procedures.....	125
20	ANOVA table of percentage survival of PLBs cryoprotected for various duration after cryoprotection (a), dehydration (b) and cryopreservation (c) procedures.....	126
21	ANOVA table of percentage viability of PLBs dehydrated with different vitrification solutions after dehydration (a) and cryopreservation (b) procedures.....	127
22	ANOVA table of percentage survival of PLBs dehydrated with different vitrification solutions after dehydration (a) and cryopreservation (b) procedures.....	127
23	ANOVA table of percentage viability of PLBs dehydrated with vitrification solution for various durations after dehydration (a) and cryopreservation (b) procedures.....	128



24	<b>ANOVA table of percentage survival of PLBs dehydrated with vitrification solution for various durations after dehydration (a) and cryopreservation (b) procedures.....</b>	<b>128</b>
----	---	------------





## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1	<i>Den. Kasem Boonchoo White</i> ..... 25
2	PLBs of <i>Den. Kasem Boonchoo White</i> in liquid VW medium..... 26
3	Culture of <i>Den. Kasem Boonchoo White</i> on solid VW medium..... 28
4	Clump (left) and excised (right) PLBs of <i>Den. Kasem Boonchoo White</i> ..... 28
5	Excised PLBs precultured on preculture medium..... 31
6	Excised PLBs in cryovials (CV) secured to cryocanes (CC)..... 32
7	Sectioned PLB remaining green after 7 days of reculture..... 44
8	Sectioned PLB swelling, expanding and proliferating new PLBs after 14 days of reculture..... 45
9	PLBs produced after 60 days of reculture..... 45
10	Matured PLBs differentiating and transforming into plantlets after 14 days of reculture..... 46
11	Plantlet developed from single PLB after 60 days of reculture..... 46
12	Non-survived PLB turning brown after 7 days of reculture..... 47
13	Non-survived PLB exposed to cryoprotectants turning white after 14 days of reculture..... 49
14	Brown PLB showing regrowth after 60 days of reculture..... 49
15	Cryopreserved PLB developing PLBs and plantlets after 60 days of reculture..... 50
16	Typical scanning electron micrographs of fresh PLB section (a) Single PLB and (b) Cells of single PLB..... 53
17	Typical scanning electron micrographs of untreated unfrozen PLBs (a) Cells in turgid state with smooth surface and (b) Severely damaged cells due to excision..... 55



18	Typical scanning electron micrographs of PLBs following preculture with 0 M and (b) 0.06 M sucrose.....	56
19	Typical scanning electron micrographs of PLBs following preculture with 0.1 M and (b) 0.3 M sucrose.....	57
20	Typical scanning electron micrographs of PLBs following preculture with 0.5 M and (b) 0.7 M sucrose.....	58
21	Typical scanning electron micrographs of PLBs following cryoprotection with (a) LS1 and (b) LS2.....	59
22	Typical scanning electron micrographs of PLBs following cryoprotection with (a) LS3 and (b) LS4.....	60
23	Typical scanning electron micrographs of PLBs following cryoprotection with (a) LS5 and (b) LS6.....	61
24	Typical scanning electron micrographs of PLBs following dehydration with VS1 and (b) VS2.....	63
25	Typical scanning electron micrographs of PLBs following dehydration with VS3 and (b) VS4.....	64
26	Typical scanning electron micrograph of PLB following dehydration with VS5.....	65
27	Typical scanning electron micrographs of cryopreserved PLBs after dehydration with VS1.....	66
28	Typical scanning electron micrographs of cryopreserved PLBs after dehydration with (a) VS2 and (b) VS3.....	67
29	Typical scanning electron micrograph of cryopreserved PLB after dehydration with (a) VS4 and (b) VS5.....	68



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
DAS-ELISA	Double antibody sandwich-enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
EG	Ethylene glycol
LN	Liquid nitrogen
MARDI	Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute
MC	Moisture content
min	Minutes
P	After preculture
PL	After preculture and loading
PLV	After preculture, loading and vitrification
PLVC	After preculture, loading, vitrification and cryopreservation
PLBs	Protocorm-like bodies
psi	Pound per square inch
RCBD	Randomised complete block design
SAS	Statistical analytical system
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
VW	Vacin and Went medium, 1949
w/v	Weight-to-volume



# CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

The family Orchidaceae is the largest of all plant families with a world record of more than 800 genera, at least 25,000 to 30,000 species and many thousands of hybrids. It is a family of great diversity, assuming different life forms such as epiphyte, terrestrial and saprophyte, and producing exquisite flowers with a wide range of colours, sizes and floral forms. Malaysia recorded 110 genera and about 808 species, many of which are epiphytes or terrestrials. Large numbers of these wild species have been routinely utilized in hybridization and Malaysian hybrids are world renown in the orchid industry. Its tremendous floral diversity has made it one of the major cut-flower types in Malaysia contributing a major share in the floriculture industry. However, many of our valuable orchid germplasm are becoming rare and extinct. Therefore, there is an urgent need for the conservation of our still existing orchid germplasm both *in situ* and *ex situ*, particularly for those with high horticultural value.

The *in situ* conservation through establishment of national parks or forest reserves does not ensure the safety of orchid species which exist in those areas. They are exposed to natural disasters, climatic perturbations, pests, pathogens and diseases. The most dangerous threat arises from human who ignore laws preventing the collection of wild orchids. Orchid species and hybrids are also conserved *ex situ* as living collections in orchidariums, botanical gardens, field genebanks, farms, nurseries or glasshouses. In



Malaysia, similar efforts have been stepped up by the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI). Kuala Lumpur Orchid Garden has also been set up which houses various orchid species and hybrids. However, collections are also exposed to similar problems, but to a lesser extent such as natural disasters, attack by pests and pathogens, in addition to high labour costs and technical personnel requirements. These problems as well as heterozygosity in orchids have led conservationists to store orchid materials as an alternative means of conservation.

Storage of orchid seeds provides an alternative conservation measure and has been fairly well documented. However, some orchid seeds are recalcitrant, hence seed bank is not a practical solution to genetic conservation of such species. In addition, fresh seeds need to be harvested to replace the old ones. Pollen and tissue storage has not received much attention.

The tissue culture system of storage offers a good alternative to conventional seed or pollen storage. *In vitro* culture techniques broaden the options available for *ex situ* conservation of orchid germplasm. There are two ways of conserving germplasm *in vitro*: slow growth for slow- to medium-term storage, and cryopreservation for long-term storage. Cryopreservation involves storing plant materials at the temperature of liquid nitrogen (LN) at  $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In orchids, several attempts have been made to develop protocols for cryopreservation. Cryogenic protocols such as freezing seeds, pollens and protocorm-like bodies (PLBs) of *Vanda*, zygotic protocorms of *Cymbidium*, zygotic embryos of *Bletilla*, protocorms of *Cymbidium* and callus of *Doritaenopsis* have been

reported, utilizing various cryopreservation techniques available. The techniques used varied, resulting in a range of viability.

The present study was undertaken to elucidate the effects of cryopreservation through vitrification technique and to establish a simple, reliable and low-technology protocol for the cryopreservation of orchid cultures. To achieve this, various procedures involved in the vitrification technique need to be optimized. Therefore, the specific objectives of the study are as follows:

1. to determine an optimum concentration and duration of preculturing with sucrose for survival of PLBs in LN.
2. to determine an effective loading solution and exposure time for survival of PLBs in LN.
3. to determine an effective vitrification solution and exposure time for survival of PLBs in LN.