UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

THE CONTRIBUTION OF CROP RESIDUES IN SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTION OF MAIZE AND GROUNDNUT IN A CROP ROTATION SYSTEM

MUBARAK ABDELRAHMAN ABDALLA

FP 2000 10

THE CONTRIBUTION OF CROP RESIDUES IN SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTION OF MAIZE AND GROUNDNUT IN A CROP ROTATION SYSTEM

By

MUBARAK ABDELRAHMAN ABDALLA

Thesis Submitted in the Fulfilment of the Requirement for
the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia

April 2001

UPM

DEDICATION

To my late father, my mother, my wife staff nurse: Niemat Ibrahim, my daughters (Rawan and Gufran), my son (Ahmed), brothers, sisters and all relatives.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

THE CONTRIBUTION OF CROP RESIDUES IN SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTION OF MAIZE AND GROUNDNUT IN A CROP ROTATION SYSTEM

By

MUBARAK ABDELRAHMAN ABDALLA

April 2001

Chairman: **Associate Professor Rosenani Abu Bakar, Ph.D.**

Faculty: **Agriculture**

Field and laboratory experiments were carried out with the main objective of studying the contribution of crop residues in sustaining yields of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) in a crop rotation system under Malaysian tropical conditions. Five cropping seasons of a rotation of sweet corn – groundnut - sweet corn – groundnut - sweet corn was conducted with three treatments (i) recommended inorganic fertilizer with crop residues (T1), (ii) recommended inorganic fertilizer without crop residues (T2) and (iii) combination of inorganic fertilizer and chicken manure (10 t ha $^{-1}$) with crop residues (T3). The ^{15}N tracing technique was used to study the fate of applied inorganic fertilizer, $(^{15}NH_4)_2SO_4$, in T1 and T2 treatments. All the plots were limed at 2 t ha^{-1} before sowing each crop. Two secondary experiments were carried out in the main field experiment to (i) investigate nutrient release from decomposing ^{15}N-labelled maize stover and N uptake by the subsequent groundnut crop using mineralization tubes and (ii) to compare the decomposition rates and nutrient release patterns of maize and groundnut residues using litterbags. Also, two incubation studies were conducted in

the laboratory, first, to determine potential N mineralization rates from above and below ground crop residues and second to determine potential N - mineralization rates of maize stover and groundnut haulm in selected five Malaysian soil series.

Results showed that continuous incorporation of crop residues (2.4 – 4.4 t dry matter (DM) ha $^{-1}$ of maize stover and 2.7-5.6 t dry matter ha $^{-1}$ of groundnut haulm) with inorganic fertilizers or combined with chicken manure was found to sustain 43% of the observed maximum yield (calculated according to Singh et al., 1990) of the maize yield compared to 21% in plots where crop residues were removed. Nitrogen and K uptake by the subsequent crops were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher in crop residue treatments whereas P, Ca and Mg were not significantly affected by application of crop residues. Soil pH, organic carbon, cation exchange capacity, soil resistance, soil water content and soil bulk density were not significantly changed with recycling of crop residues probably because of rapid turnover of the organic matter. The light fractions or particulate soil organic matter appeared to be higher, but not significant, after four applications of crop residues. Soil available P and exchangeable K were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher in crop residue treated plots. Recoveries of applied fertilizer ^{15}N by the first crop ranged from 19.3 to 21.6%. In the 2nd crop, the recoveries were 5.1% in T1 and 5.6% in T2 and only traces of fertilizer ^{15}N recovered in the following crops. In the soil (0-50 cm), the retention of fertilizer N in the soil after harvest of the first crop was 35.3 to 43.8% whereas after harvest of the subsequent crops, this proportion averaged 28.8% (24.9 - 33.5%) in T1 with residues and 23.8% (18.1 - 30.1%) in T2 plots without residues. Recovery of maize residue-^{15}N in the subsequent crops averaged only 3.3% (0.47 - 10.71%). The recovery of maize residue ^{15}N in the soil (0-50 cm) was 56.2% (39.9 - 85.0%)

averaged across seasons. Nitrogen mineralization from maize residues was very rapid with its peak occurring between 4 to 8 weeks after incorporation of the residue. Consequently, for optimum N synchrony, sowing of the subsequent crop in the rotation is recommended to be 4 to 6 weeks after incorporation of the residue. Groundnut haulm decomposed at a rate of 0.158% week $^{-1}$ compared to 0.099% week $^{-1}$ for maize stover. One week after application of the maize and groundnut residues, 20 and 43 kg N ha^{-1}, respectively were made available to the subsequent crop. Net N-mineralization (12.7 - 23.8 μg N g $^{-1}$) from crop residues was only observed in the Bungor series whereas in other soil series soil mineral N completely disappeared during the incubation period indicating net immobilization. Soil texture was observed to have no clear effect on N-mineralization from crop residues. This study showed that decomposition of crop residues seemed to be rapid in the environmental conditions of Malaysia. Therefore, management of crop residues during the fallow periods is essential for improving the fertility of these soils for better sustainable crop production.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia bagi memenuhi keperluan penganugerahan ijazah Doktor Falsafah

SUMBANGAN SISA TANAMAN TERHADAP PENGELUARAN JAGUNG DAN KACANG TANAH YANG LESTARI PADA SEBUAH SISTEM PENGGILIRAN TANAMAN

Oleh

MUBARAK ABLDELRAHMAN ABDALLA

April 2001

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Rosenani Abu Bakar, Ph.D

Fakulti : Pertanian

Kajian di lapangan dan makmal telah dijalankan dengan objektif utama untuk mengkaji keberkesanan kitaran semula sisa tanaman terhadap pengeluaran jagung dan kacang tanah yang lestari melalui kaedah penggiliran tanaman. Lima musim tanaman (kajian utama) iaitu penggiliran tanaman jagung manis - kacang tanah - jagung manis - kacang tanah - jagung manis telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan tiga jenis rawatan. Rawatan pertama (T1) ialah penggunaan baja kimia dan sisa tanaman, rawatan kedua (T2) ialah penggunaan baja kimia sahaja tanpa sisa tanaman dan rawatan ketiga (T3) ialah penggunaan baja kimia bersama tahi ayam 10 tan ha^{-1} dan sisa tanaman. Teknik pengesanan ^{15}N telah digunakan untuk menentukan pergerakan dan nasib baja kimia ($(NH_4)_2$ SO_4) dalam rawatan T1 dan T2. Semua plot kajian diberikan rawatan pengapuran sebanyak 2 t ha^{-1} sebelum penanaman setiap tanaman. Dua kajian sekunder dijalankan pada plot utama untuk (i) mengawasi pelepasan nutrien daripada pereputan sisa jagung yang telah dilabelkan dengan ^{15}N dan pengambilan N oleh tanaman berikutnya (kacang tanah), dan (ii) membandingkan kadar pereputan dan corak pelepasan nutrien daripada sisa jagung

dan kacang tanah. Dua kajian pengeraman juga dijalankan; pertama untuk menentukan potensi kadar mineralisasi N daripada sisa tanaman bahagian atas dan bawah tanah; kedua, mengkaji pengaruh jenis 5 siri tanah Malaysia terhadap potensi mineralisasi N sisa jagung dan kacang tanah.

Keputusan daripada kajian utama menunjukkan bahawa rawatan sisa tanaman yang berterusan (2.4 - 4.4 t ha^{-1} berat kering sisa jagung dan 2.7 - 5.61 t ha^{-1} berat kering sisa kacang tanah) bersama pemberian baja kimia (T1) atau campuran dengan tahi ayam (T3), memberikan hasil jagung yang berkekalan pada tahap 43% (daripada hasil maksimum yang diperolehi), berbanding 21% pada plot tanpa sisa tanaman. Pengambilan N dan K oleh tanaman berikutnya pada dalam plot yang diberikan rawatan sisa tanaman adalah dengan ketaranya lebih tinggi (signifikansi pada $P<0.05$) daripada plot yang tidak dirawat dengan sisa tanaman, dan pengambilan P, Ca dan Mg pula tidak berbeza dengan ketara (secara statistik) diantara ketiga-tiga rawatan. Karbon organik, pH tanah, keupayaan pertukaran kation, kerentangan tanah, kandungan air tanah dan ketumpatan tanah tidak menunjukan perbezaan yang ketara diantara rawatan, kemungkinan disebabkan oleh pereputan bahan organik yang sangat cepat dalam keadaan tropika ini. Walaupun bahagian ringan atau partikulat bahan organik tanah didapati lebih tinggi, dengan rawatan sisa tanaman yang berterusan secara statistik, ia tidak ketara. Fosforus tersedia dan K-tukarganti adalah lebih tinggi dalam plot yang dirawat dengan sisa tanaman. Peratusan ^{15} N baja yang diambil oleh tanaman pertama adalah dalam julat 19.3 ke 21.6%. Tanaman kedua memberikan kedapatan semula ^{15} N baja sebanyak 5.1% dalam T1 dan 5.6% dalam T2, dan tanaman berikutnya hampir tidak langsung mengambil ^{15} N baja yang ditambah. Baja ^{15} N yang ditahan dalam tanah (0-50 sm) pula adalah dalam julat

35.3 hingga 43% selepas musim pertama dan selepas penuaian tanaman-tanaman berikutnya, adalah dalam purata 28.8% dalam plot T1 dan 23.8% dalam plot T2. Kedapatan semula ^{15} N oleh tanaman-tanaman berikutnya berpurata 3.3% (0.47 - 10.71%). Kedapatan semula baja ^{15} N sisa jagung dalam tanah (0-50sm) berpurata 56.2% (39.9 - 85.0%) dalam kelima-lima musim tanaman. Mineralisasi N daripada sisa jagung adalah sangat cepat dengan kadar yang tinggi sekali dalam tempoh di antara minggu ke 4 dan ke 8 selepas ditambah sisa tanaman. Oleh itu, untuk mengoptimakan pengambilan N daripada sisa tanaman, penanaman berikutnya perlu dilakukan diantara minggu ke 4 hingga minggu ke 6 setelah ditambah sisa tanaman. Sisa tanaman kacang tanah mereput pada kadar 0.158% minggu^{-1} berbanding dengan 0.099% minggu^{-1} pereputan sisa tanaman jagung. Seminggu selepas penambahan sisa tanaman kacang tanah dan jagung, 20 dan 43 kg N ha^{-1} masing-masing menjadi tersedia untuk tanaman berikutnya. Kadar mineralisasi N bersih (12.7 - 23.8 ug N g^{-1}) daripada sisa tanaman didapati berlaku hanya pada tanah siri Bungor dan penambahan N mineral tidak dapat dikesan dalam jenis tanah lain. Tekstur tanah didapati tidak memberi kesan yang ketara terhadap pemineralan N daripada sisa tanaman. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa pereputan sisa tanaman dalam keadaan persekitaran di Malaysia adalah sangat cepat. Oleh itu pengurusan sisa-sisa tanaman semasa tanah rang adalah penting bagi meningkatkan kesuburan tanah untuk pengeluaran tanaman yang lestari.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise is to Allah, the Lord of the Universe, he who taught man the use of the pen and he taught him what he knew not. The soil, from which Allah brought mankind to life, he will go back to it and once again will be brought to life.

I sincerely acknowledge the supervision, co-operation, advice and devotion of my supervisory committee chairman Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rosenani Abu Bakar. Her guidance and initiation of the study program of the field experiment made my study progress smoothly.

I am also indebted to my supervisory committee members, Dr. Anuar Abdul Rahim and Assoc. Professor Dr. Siti Zauyah Darus for their guidance and direct contribution to this study.

I thank also Dr. J. Gaunt and Dr. Saran Sohi (IACR, Rothamsted, UK) for sending me the protocol for density fractionation of soil organic matter and Prof. Dr. D. S. Powlson for his constructive suggestions. The technical assistance of Dr. A. J. Franzleubbers (Texas A & M University, USA) and Dr. B. R. Taylor (University of Calgary, Canada) is highly appreciated. Dr. Mohamad Yunus Jaafar (Senior Statistician, MARDI, Malaysia) greatly helped me with NLIN SAS programming.

I am also grateful to the technicians of the Department of Land Management, Faculty of Agriculture, UPM, in particular Mr. Mutuviren, Pn. Faridah Zainuddin,

Hajah Faridah, Hajah Umi Kalthum, Pn. Rusnah, En. Shukri and En. Sabri and Che. Wan Asrina for their various help and co-operation during the study period.

I will not forget the patience of my wife, staff nurse: Niemat Ibrahim, my daughters Rawan and Gufran and my son Ahmed whom I was so indebted to, during their stay with me in Malaysia.

Lastly but not least, the financial support from the Malaysian Government, and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Vienna, made my study feasible. Lastly, I thank the Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Sudan for giving me this scholarship and study leave to pursue this Ph.D programme.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
DEDICATION		**ii**
ABSTRACT		**iii**
ABSTRAK		**vi**
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		**ix**
APPROVAL SHEETS		**xi**
DECLARATION FORM		**xiii**
LIST OF TABLES		**xviii**
LIST OF FIGURES		**xxi**
CHAPTER		
I	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Sustainable Crop Production and Soil Organic Matter	1
	1.2 State of the Problem	2
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	8
	1.3.1 General Objectives	8
	1.3.2 Specific Objectives	8
	1.4 Hypothesis	9
2	LITREATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1 Sustainable Agriculture and Soil Productivity	10
	2.1.1 Sustainability	10
	2.1.2 Sustainability Indicators	11
	2.1.3 Soil Organic Matter and Sustainability	11
	2.1.4 Sustainable Yield Index (SYI)	12
	2.1.5 Sustainable Crop Production	12
	2.2 Soil Organic Matter	14
	2.2.1 Functions of SOM	15
	2.2.2 Organic Matter Turnover	19
	2.2.3 Decomposition of Organic Matter	20
	2.2.4 Factors affecting Decomposition	25
	2.2.5 Mineralization and Immobilization during Decomposition	38
	2.2.6 Nitrogen Losses during Decomposition	42
	2.2.7 Management of Organic Matter	43
	2.2.8 Soil Organic Matter Fractions	44
	2.3 Recycling of Crop Residues	47
	2.3.1 Beneficial Effects on Cropping System	47
	2.3.2 Beneficial Effects on Soil Properties	52
	2.3.3 Cropping Sequence	57
	2.3.4 Adverse Effect of Crop Residues	60

2.3.5 Synchrony of Plant Nutrients 61
2.4 Nitrogen Use Efficiency by the Crop-Soil System 63

3 GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS 66
3.1 Preparation and Analysis of Soil Samples 66
3.1.1 Soil Mineral N 66
3.1.2 Soil pH 67
3.1.3 Organic C 67
3.1.4 Total N 68
3.1.5 Available P 69
3.1.6 Exchangeable Cations and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) 69
3.1.7 Particle Size Analysis 70
3.2 Preparation and Analysis of Plant Samples 70

4 EFFECTIVENESS OF CROP RESIDUES IN SUSTAINING PRODUCTIVITY OF MAIZE AND GROUNDNUT IN A CROP ROTATION SYSTEM 72
4.1 Introduction 72
4.2 Materials and Methods 73
4.2.1 Site, Soil and Climate 73
4.2.2 Experimental Treatments and Design 74
4.2.3 Application of Chicken Manure 79
4.2.4 Application of ^{15}N-labelled Fertilizer 80
4.2.5 Sowing 80
4.2.6 Crop Maintenance 81
4.2.7 Harvesting, Yield and DMW Weight Measurements 81
4.2.8 Plant Sampling 82
4.2.9 Soil Sampling 83
4.2.10 Labelled and unlabelled Residue Application 83
4.2.11 Nutrient Content in Crop Residues Prior to Incorporation 84
4.2.12 Soil Analysis 86
4.2.13 Plant Analysis 89
4.2.14 Calculation of Fertilizer ^{15}N Recoveries 89
4.2.15 Statistics 90
4.3 Results 90
4.3.1 Economic and DM yields at Harvest of 6 Crop Cycles 90
4.3.2 Nutrient Content in Subsequent Crops at Harvest 95
4.3.3 Effect of Crop Residue Application on Soil Properties 111
4.3.4 Effect of Cropping Seasons on Soil Properties 132
4.4 Discussion 135
4.4.1 Economic Yields and DM at Harvest of 6 Crop Cycles 135
4.4.2 Recovery of ^{15}N labelled Fertilizer in the Plant 137
4.4.3 Recovery of ^{15}N labelled Fertilizer in the Soil 138
4.4.4 Fertilizer ^{15}N Unaccounted 140
4.4.5 Recovery of ^{15}N labelled 1st Maize Residue 142

4.4.6 Nutrient Content in Subsequent Crops at Harvest 143
4.4.7 Effect of Crop Residue Application on Soil Chemical Properties 147
4.4.8 Effect of Crop Residue Application on Soil Physical Properties 157
4.4.9 Effect of Crop Residue Application on SOM Fractions 157
4.5 Conclusion 159

5 DECOMPOSITION OF MAIZE AND GROUNDNUUT RESIDUES AND NUTRIENT RELEASE 161
5.1 Introduction 161
5.2 Materials and methods 162
5.2.1 Decomposition of Maize Residues in Mineralization Tubes and N Uptake by the Succeeding Groundnut 162
5.2.2 Comparison of Decomposition of Maize and Groundnut Residues in Litterbags 164
5.2.3 Statistical Analysis 166
5.3 Results 166
5.3.1 Decomposition of Maize Residues in Mineralization Tubes and N Uptake by the Succeeding Groundnut 166
5.3.2 Comparison of Decomposition of Maize and Groundnut Residues in Litterbags 178
5.4 Discussion 187
5.4.1 Decomposition of Maize Residues in Mineralization Tubes and N Uptake by the Succeeding Groundnut 187
5.4.2 Comparison of Decomposition of Maize and Groundnut Residues in Litterbags 191
5.5 Conclusion 193

6 NITROGEN MINERALIZATION POTENTIAL OF CROP RESIDUES 195
6.1 Introduction 195
6.2 Materials and Methods 197
6.2.1 Nitrogen Mineralization from above and below ground Crop Residues 197
6.2.2 Nitrogen Mineralization from Crop Residues in some Malaysian Soils 200
6.3 Results 204
6.3.1 Nitrogen Mineralization Potential from above and below Crop Residues 204
6.3.2 Nitrogen Mineralization from Crop Residues in some Malaysian Soils 212
6.4 Discussion 220
6.4.1 Nitrogen Mineralization Potential from above and below Crop Residues 220
6.4.2 Nitrogen Mineralization from Crop Residues in some Malaysian Soils 224

6.5 Conclusion 229

7 GENERAL DISCUSSION 231

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 235

REFERENCES 239
VITA 280

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Some Selected Physico-Chemical Properties of the soil at the site of experimental site	76
4.2	Fertilizer rates and treatments for the experiment	75
4.3	Chemical composition of chicken manure applied before sowing of maize crops	79
4.4	Dry matter and nutrient content (kg ha^{-1}) incorporated in the chicken manure	80
4.5	Time of sowing and harvesting maize and groundnut crops in the rotation	82
4.6	Dry matter and nutrient content (kg ha^{-1})of maize stover and groundnut haulm added through their residues	85
4.7	Mean crop yield (t ha^{-1}) of maize and groundnut as influenced by crop residue application	93
4.8	Mean dry matter yield (t ha^{-1}) of maize and groundnut as influenced by crop residue application	94
4.9	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha^{-1}) of maize and groundnut as influenced by crop residue application	96
4.10	^{15}N Recoveries (%) of applied fertilizer N in maize and groundnut at harvest as influenced by crop residue application	100
4.11	^{15}N Recoveries (%) of applied fertilizer N in the soil at harvest as influenced by crop residue application	101
4.12	^{15}N Recoveries (%) of 1st crop (maize) residue-N in the soil at harvest (TIB)	103
4.13	Phosphorous uptake (kg ha^{-1}) of maize and groundnut as influenced by crop residue application	107
4.14	Potassium uptake (kg ha^{-1}) of maize and groundnut as influenced by crop residue application	108
4.15	Calcium uptake (kg ha^{-1}) of maize and groundnut as influenced by crop residue application	109
4.16	Magnesium uptake (kg ha^{-1}) of maize and groundnut as	

	influenced by crop residue application	110
4.17	Soil pH (H_2O) as influenced by crop residue application	112
4.18	Total N (%) in the soil as influenced by crop residue application	113
4.19	Soil organic C (%) as influenced by crop residue application	115
4.20	Soil available P ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$) as influenced by crop residue application	116
4.21	Soil exchangeable K ($cmol_c\ kg^{-1}$) as influenced by crop residue application	118
4.22	Soil exchangeable Ca ($cmol_c\ kg^{-1}$) as influenced by crop residue application	120
4.23	Soil exchangeable Mg ($cmol_c\ kg^{-1}$) as influenced by crop residue application	122
4.24	Cation exchange capacity ($cmol_c\ kg^{-1}$) as influenced by crop residue application	123
4.25	Soil mineral N, NH_4^+-+ NO_3^- -N, ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$) at sowing of maize and groundnut as influenced by crop residue application	125
4.26	Bulk density and soil resistance (MPa) after harvest of the 5th crop (groundnut) as influenced by crop residue application	127
4.27	Soil water holding capacity (%) of the topsoil after one and two years	127
4.28	Content of SOM sand size fractions ($g\ kg^{-1}$), N, and C/N ratio after the 2nd and 4th crop, as influenced by crop residue application (Vanlauwe et al., 1998)	129
4.29	SOM size fractions ($g\ kg^{-1}$) after the 2nd and 4th crop associated with sand as influenced by crop residue application(Vanlauwe et al., 1998)	131
4.30	SOM light fractions (NAL) of the topsoil after four seasons (Gaunt etal., 2001)	132
4.31	Effect of seasons on soil properties	134
4.32	Effect of organic residue application on soil properties	134
5.1	Chemical composition of the maize and groundnut residues	165

5.2	Decomposition and nutrient release rate constants (W_o = Dry matter or nutrient pool, k = rate constant)	180
5.3	Estimated cumulative nutrient release from maize and groundnut residues	186
6.1	Characteristics of the plant material	198
6.2	Particle size distribution of the soil series (%)	201
6.3	Chemical characteristics of the soil series	201
6.4	Chemical composition of crop residues	202
6.5	Parameters of the exponential model [($N_m = N_o(1- e^{-k}t)$]	208
6.6	Net N-mineralization / immobilization during decomposition of maize and groundnut residues (μ g N g^{-1}	209
6.7	Soil pH (H_2O) after 14 weeks of decomposition of maize and groundnut residues	211
6.8	Soil available P (μ g P g^{-1} of maize and groundnut residues	212
6.9	Cumulative N mineralization (NH_4^+-+ NO_3^- -N) (μ g N g^{-1} indigenous soil organic matter N during 12 weeks of decomposition of maize and groundnut residues	213
6.10	Coefficient of parameters of cumulative mineral N of the first order model [($N_m=N_o$ (1-e^{-kt}	214
6.11	Cumulative soil mineral N (μ g N g^{-1} of maize and groundnut residues	219
6.12	Soil pH (H_2O) after 12 weeks of decomposition of maize and groundnut residues	220

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure		Page
4.1	Total monthly rainfall and average maximum monthly temperature during the 1997 and 1999 years	77
4.2	Layout of experimental plots	78
4.3	Recoveries (%) of ^{15}N labelled fertilizer N in the soil and plant	102
4.4	Total recovery of ^{1} plant	104
5.1	Daily rainfall (mm) between June and December (1997)	167
5.2	Cumulative daily rainfall (mm) during the study period (August 1st to Nov 14th 1997)	179
5.3	Actual change of percent DMW remaining of maize residue during the fallow period of 12 weeks and non-linear decomposition rate curves	167
5.4	Actual change of percent C, N, P, K, Ca and Mg remaining during decomposition of maize residues	170
5.5	Non-linear decomposition rate curves describing release of nutrients, C, N, Mg and P	172
5.6	Total mineral N ($\mu g\ N\ g^{-1}$) in the topsoil during decomposition of maize residues	176
5.7	Dry matter accumulation and N uptake of groundnut	176
5.8	Maize residue-^{15}N recovery (%) in the soil during decomposition	177
5.9	Dry matter weight (% of original content) remaining during decomposition of maize and groundnut residues	179
5.10	Carbon, N, P, K, Ca and Mg remaining (% of original contents) during decomposition of maize and groundnut residues	182
6.1	Total soil mineral N during decomposition of maize stover and maize roots	205
6.2	Total soil mineral N during decomposition of groundnut	

haulm and groundnut roots 206

6.3 Cumulative total soil mineral N (NH_4^+-+ NO_3^--N) in Bungor, Rengam, Selangor, Sredang and Selangor during decomposition of maize and groundnut residues 217

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Sustainable Crop Production and Soil Organic Matter

Sustainable agriculture has often been used to mean maintaining certain conditions of productivity or environment (Farshad and Zinck, 1993). The most direct measure of sustainability is crop or system productivity. If, with steady input, yield stayed steady relatively for long periods of time, there is an implication of sustainability (Jones, 2000). In this context, declining yields imply non-sustainability, although they may later stabilize at low levels. Soil is an essential natural resource that provides a medium for plant growth, regulates and partitions water flow in the environment, and serves as an environmental buffer in the formation, attenuation, and degradation of natural and xenobiotic compounds (Larson and Pierce, 1991). Management that causes a decline in soil quality reduces these functional abilities. Hence, appropriate management practices for specific crops, soils and agroecological zones aimed at sustaining high crop yields and preventing soil degradation is one of the key factors in the development of a sustainable agricultural system in the humid tropics. This is because agricultural production in the humid tropics has become increasingly sedentary and is essentially "soil-mining" (Lal and Stewart, 1992). The organic contents of soils are vitally important in providing energy, substrates, and the biological diversity necessary to sustain these soil functions. The "soil quality" concept has recognized soil organic matter as an important attribute that has a great deal of control on many of the key

soil functions (Doran and Parkin, 1994, Reeves, 1997) and is generally one of the several criteria used to estimate soil quality. It is generally recognized that greater organic matter in the soil layers can improve soil structure (Salih et al., 1998; Salinas-Garcia et al., 1997), increase water infiltration rates (Freese et al., 1993; Jones et al., 1994), alter nutrient availability to plants (Ekwue and Stone, 1995; Fattah and Upadhyaya, 1996; Hulugalle et al., 1997; Somalkar et al., 1991), as well as increase the presence of earthworms and its distribution in the soil profile (Wyss and Glasstetter, 1992).

Plant matter (roots, root exudates and above ground materials) is the major source of organic (carbon based) materials that builds soil organic matter. Soil microbes, animal bodies and their waste also contributes to soil organic matter by directly or indirectly processing plant materials. The addition of plant materials or other organic substances is essential to build or maintain soil organic matter. However, the amounts needed vary according to soil texture, initial organic matter content, management system and climate (especially moisture and temperature).

1.2 State of the Problem

Farmers of the world farm 1,478 million hectares of land in order to feed the world. According to Antonio and Rodolfo (1998) that in the next quarter of a century, demand for food will rise dramatically in developing countries for they will be the home of 78.6% of the world population in 2000, this portion will jump to 82.5% by the year 2025. It is crucial that the agricultural sector be the target to feed