



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE RESPONSES OF RED  
JUNGLE FOWL AND COMMERCIAL BROILERS TO  
NUTRITIONAL MANIPULATIONS**

**IMAN RAHAYU HIDAYATI SOESANTO**

**FP 2000 3**

**COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE RESPONSES OF RED  
JUNGLE FOWL AND COMMERCIAL BROILERS TO  
NUTRITIONAL MANIPULATIONS**

**By**

**IMAN RAHAYU HIDAYATI SOESANTO**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of Requirements  
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
in the Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**2000**



## **DEDICATION**

To my mother, Hj. Hatidjah Saman and  
my father, Soenari Prajitno Soesanto (alm)  
for their help and prayers .....

To my husband, Abang Pallawarukka and my sons,  
Muhamad Nasrul Pradana and Muhamad Tahfizul Ramadhani  
for their love, understanding, prayers and patience .....

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE RESPONSES OF RED  
JUNGLE FOWL AND COMMERCIAL BROILERS TO  
NUTRITIONAL MANIPULATIONS**

By

**IMAN RAHAYU HIDAYATI SOESANTO**

**June 2000**

Chairman: **Associate Professor Dr. Zulkifli Idrus, Ph. D.**

Faculty: **Agriculture**

Experiments were conducted to compare the responses of the red jungle fowl (RJF) and commercial broiler chicken (CB) to choice feeding and dietary palm kernel cake (PKC). Traits measured were growth performance, protein and energy intakes, carcass characteristics, behaviour, heterophil/lymphocyte ratio, gut microflora and intestinal morphology.

Evaluation of carcass characteristics at a common body weight (800 g) showed that RJF had heavier whole breast, thigh and drumstick than CB. Similarly, the muscle weight and muscle to bone ratio of those portions were greater in the former. The muscles of the breast and leg portions of RJF were of better quality than CB in term of protein, fat and cholesterol contents at a common body weight and at a common age (56 days old). Intense selection for growth may have caused an increase in



the weight of abdominal fat, fat and cholesterol contents of breast and leg muscles in CB. The protein content, however, was lower in CB as compared to RJF.

The choice feeding setting to meet the birds' own energy and protein requirements among basal diet, corn and soybean is acceptable for RJF but the growth performance was reduced in CB. In the choice feeding group, although both breeds consumed more basal diet, RJF consumed more soybean than corn and the opposite was noted for CB. The ratio of energy to protein intake for maximum growth of RJF up to Day 49 and CB up to Day 56 was calculated as 141 and 156, respectively. Among the CB fed CSC and LSC, the feed cost was reduced by 11.4% and 12.2% as compared to those provided a single diet (control). However the feed cost of RJF was not influenced by diet. Diet had no influence on behavioural parameters (eating, drinking, resting, walking, standing, bird-to-bird pecking and pecking of objects), however the heterophil to lymphocyte ratios (H/L) of choice fed birds were higher than those provided a single diet. The RJF were observed to be more active and agile compared to CB. The H/L ratio of RJF was higher than CB on Days 28 and 56, indicating that the confined RJF were more stressed than CB.

PKC, a by-product of oil palm extraction could be incorporated up to 25% in the finisher ration without any adverse effect on the performance of RJF and CB. The performances of RJF and CB were similar when fed control diet, diet containing 25% PKC and the choice between them. The



weight gain, total feed consumptions, and FCR of RJF and CB from Day 21 to 56 were 241 g and 2043 g; 956 g and 4700 g; 3.9 and 2.3, respectively. Dietary PKC reduced the fat and cholesterol contents of breast and leg muscles in both RJF and CB .

PKC as non-starch polysaccharides in the diet had altered the gut microflora and intestinal surface. The present findings showed an increase in the population of microflora (*Lactobacillus* sp. and *Streptococcus* sp.) and an increase in length and width of the intestinal villi in birds fed with 25% PKC. Irrespective of diet, CB had more anaerobic microflora in the caecum and ileum as compared to RJF. The intestinal villi were longer and wider in the former.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan memperolehi ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KAJIAN PERBANDINGAN KEATAS GERAK BALAS AYAM HUTAN MERAH DAN AYAM PEDAGING KOMERSIAL TERHADAP MANIPULASI PEMAKANAN**

Oleh

**IMAN RAHAYU HIDAYATI SOESANTO**

**Jun 2000**

Pengerusi: **Profesor Madya Dr. Zulkifli Idrus**

Fakulti: **Pertanian**

Beberapa eksperimen telah dijalankan untuk membandingkan gerak balas ayam hutan merah (RJF) dan ayam pedaging komersial (CB) terhadap makanan secara pilihan dan makanan asas yang mengandungi hampas isi rung kelapa sawit (PKC). Ciri-ciri yang diamati meliputi prestasi pertumbuhan, protein dan tenaga yang dimakan, sifat-sifat karkas, tingkah laku, nisbah heterofil:limfosit, mikroflora dan morfologi permukaan usus.

Penilaian sifat-sifat karkas pada berat badan yang sama (800 g) menunjukkan RJF lebih berat dari segi keseluruhan karkas dibandingkan dengan CB. Berat otot dan nisbah otot kepada tulang bagi bahagian tersebut juga lebih tinggi pada RJF. Otot-otot bahagian dada dan paha RJF mempunyai mutu yang lebih baik dari segi kandungan protein, lemak dan kolesterol pada umur dan berat badan yang sama (56 hari, 800 g) dibandingkan dengan CB. Pemilihan ciri-ciri pertumbuhan menyebabkan

peningkatan berat lemak abdomen, kandungan lemak dan kolesterol dari daging dada dan daging paha pada CB. Walau bagaimanapun, kandungan proteinnya lebih rendah pada CB dibandingkan dengan RJF.

Pemilihan makanan bagi memenuhi keperluan tenaga dan protein berasaskan diet asas, jagung dan kacang soya sesuai untuk RJF, tetapi menyebabkan kerendahan prestasi pertumbuhan bagi CB. Dari segi pemilihan makanan, meskipun kedua-dua jenis ayam memakan lebih banyak diet asas, RJF lebih menyukai kacang soya daripada jagung dan hal ini berlawanan untuk CB. Nisbah pengambilan tenaga kepada protein untuk mencapai kadar pertumbuhan maksimum pada RJF sehingga ke hari 49 dan pada CB sehingga ke hari 56 masing-masingnya 141 dan 156. Makanan pilihan CSC dan LSC pada CB dapat mengurangkan kos makanan masing-masing sebanyak 11.4% dan 12.2% berbanding dengan makanan tunggal (kawalan). Tetapi kos makanan RJF tidak dipengaruhi oleh jenis makanan. Makanan pilihan tidak mempunyai kesan ke atas parameter tingkah laku (memakan, minum, berehat, berjalan, berdiri, mematuk antar ayam dan mematuk terhadap benda-benda) tetapi nisbah heterofil : limfosit (H/L) meningkat daripada yang diberi makan makanan tunggal. RJF lebih aktif dan tangkas berbanding CB. Nisbah H/L RJF adalah lebih tinggi pada hari ke 28 dan ke 56 berbanding CB. Ini menunjukkan berbanding CB, RJF mengalami lebih tekanan semasa di dalam kurungan.



PKC, hasil sampingan ekstrak kelapa sawit dapat digunakan sehingga ke aras 25% dalam diet penyudah tanpa menyebabkan kesan terhadap prestasi RJF dan CB. Tiada perbezaan dikesan dari segi prestasi RJF dan CB yang diberi makan rangsum A, B atau C. Pertambahan berat badan, total rangsum yang dimakan dan FCR daripada RJF dan CB dari umur 21 hari sehingga ke hari 56 adalah 241 g dan 2043 g; 956 g dan 4700 g; 3.9 dan 2.3. Diet yang mengandungi PKC boleh merendahkan kandungan lemak dan kolesterol di dalam kedua-dua daging karkas RJF dan CB.

PKC sebagai “non-starch polysaccharides” di dalam makanan boleh mengubah keadaan mikroflora di dalam perut dan morfologi permukaan usus. Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan peningkatan populasi mikroflora (*Lactobacillus* sp. and *Streptococcus* sp.) dan bertambah ukuran panjang dan lebar villi usus ayam yang diberikan diet yang mengandungi 25% PKC. Tanpa bergantung kepada jenis pemakanan, CB memberikan jumlah mikroflora yang lebih di dalam sekum dan ileum berbanding dengan RJF. Keadaan villi usus ayam lebih panjang dan lebar pada CB daripada RJF.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My grateful thanks is due to Associate Professor Dr. Zulkifli Idrus, the Chairman of the Supervisory Committee, for his patience, encouragement, persuasion and guidance in preparation of this thesis and his helpful counsel during the course of study.

A word of thanks is to Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Razak Alimon, and Associate Professor Dr. Vidyadaran Menon, members of the Supervisory Committee, for their invaluable guidance and advice throughout in the thesis preparation. My sincere thanks also to Associate Professor Dr. Shaik Mohd .Amin Babjee (retired) for helping me in the discussion and assistance in supplying red jungle fowl chicks.

I also wish to acknowledge SEAMEO-SEARCA for providing the thesis grant award, and to MPKSN for their IRPA research financial assistance (grant No. 51006 and 51297).

I wish to express my appreciation to the Bogor Agricultural University (IPB), in particular to the Rector and Dean of Faculty of Animal Husbandry, for approving my study leave.

I wish to thanks Ir. Raja Ruslan Raja Sharif and Dr. Hj. Jamal Ali Johari and their families, my sisters and brother, special niece Andi Mappanyiwi for their support, facilities, encouragement and prayers.



My sincere thanks are also due to Associate Professor Dr. Saleha Abdul Aziz, Dr. Fauziah Othman, and Dr. Komari for their help, facilities, advice and suggestions on my thesis.

I am indebted to Mr. Mazlan Hamzah, Mr. Ponnusamy Muniandy, Mr. Ibrahim Mohsin, Mr. Kamarzaman Ahmad, Mrs. Aminah Jusoh, Miss Azilah Ab. Jalil, Mr. Ho Oi Kuan, Mr. Hj. Shahril Hassan and Mr. Islahuddin Musa for the technical assistances while conducting my field and laboratory experiments.

Thanks are also due to fellow graduate students, especially Mrs. Hj. Endang Purwati, Maria Viva Rini, Siti Romlah Jais, Che Norma Mat Taib, Richard Chong, Mustafa Fadil, and many others, for their help and encouragement during my study. Thanks also to Mrs. Azila Abdullah for the translation of the abstract.

Above all, my praise to Almighty God, Allah SWT., for all His blessings on me and my family.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 6 Jun, 2000 to conduct the final examination of Iman Rahayu Hidayati Soesanto on her Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Comperative Studies on the Responses of Red Jungle Fowl and Commercial Broilers to Nutritional Manipulations" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**LIANG JUAN BOO, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**ZULKIFLI IDRUS, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**ABDUL RAZAK ALIMON, Ph.D.**


Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**M. K. VIDYADARAN, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**WAN ZAHARI MOHAMED, Ph.D.**

Livestock Research Centre  
MARDI, Malaysia  
(External Examiner)



**MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D.**  
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.



---

**KAMIS AWANG, Ph.D.**  
Associate Professor,  
Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



(IMAN RAHAYU HIDAYATI SOESANTO)

Date:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>DEDICATION</b> .....	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	ix
<b>APPROVAL SHEETS</b> .....	xi
<b>DECLARATION FORM</b> .....	xiii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xvii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xxi
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b> .....	xxii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	xxiii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	7
2.1 Red Jungle Fowl .....	7
2.1.1 Origin and Distribution .....	7
2.1.2 Morphological Characteristics .....	9
2.1.3 Jungle Fowl vs. Domestic Fowl .....	12
2.2 Carcass Characteristics .....	13
2.2.1 Fat Deposition .....	13
2.2.2 Carcass Quality .....	14
2.2.3 Diet and Genetic Affecting Quality of Carcass .....	15
2.3 Dietary Self Selection .....	18
2.3.1 Ability of Birds to Select Nutrients .....	18
2.3.2 Specific Appetites .....	20
2.3.3 Factors Affecting Choice Feeding .....	20
2.3.4 Effect on Performance .....	22
2.4. Behaviour and Physiological Stress .....	24
2.4.1 Behavioural Responses to Nutritional Deficiency .....	24
2.4.2 Physiological Stress Responses to Nutritional Deficiency .....	27
2.5 Palm Kernel Cake .....	28
2.5.1 Production of Palm Kernel Cake .....	28
2.5.2 Nutritive Value of Palm Kernel Cake .....	29
2.5.3 Potential Source for Animal Food .....	30



2.6	Gut Microflora .....	33
2.6.1	Microbial Population in the Gut .....	33
2.6.2	Role and Function of Micro-organisms in the Gut .....	34
2.6.3	Effect of Diet on Micro-organisms in the Gut ..	35
2.7	Intestinal Morphology .....	37
2.7.1	Morphology of Intestinal Villi .....	37
2.7.2	Genetic Selection on Intestinal Villi .....	38
2.7.3	Effect of Diet on Intestinal Villi .....	39
<b>3</b>	<b>CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF RED JUNGLE FOWL AND COMMERCIAL BROILERS .....</b>	<b>42</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	42
3.2	Materials and Methods .....	44
3.2.1	Birds, Husbandry and Diets .....	44
3.2.2	Traits Measured .....	45
3.2.3	Statistical Analysis .....	47
3.3	Results .....	47
3.3.1	Comparison at a Common Body Weight .....	47
3.3.1.1	Carcass Characteristics .....	47
3.3.1.2	Chemical Composition .....	51
3.3.2	Comparison at a Common Age .....	57
3.3.2.1	Chemical Composition .....	57
3.4	Discussion .....	61
3.5	Conclusion .....	67
<b>4</b>	<b>PERFORMANCE, BEHAVIOUR AND STRESS RESPONSE OF RED JUNGLE FOWL AND COMMERCIAL BROILERS OFFERED FREE CHOICE OF COMPLETE DIET, LOW PROTEIN-LOW ENERGY DIET, CORN AND SOYBEAN .....</b>	<b>68</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	68
4.2	Materials and Methods .....	71
4.2.1	Birds, Husbandry and Diets .....	71
4.2.2	Traits Measured .....	72
4.2.3	Statistical Analysis .....	75
4.3	Results .....	76
4.3.1	Performance .....	76
4.3.2	Behaviour .....	86
4.3.3	Heterophil to Lymphocyte ratio .....	86
4.4	Discussion .....	90
4.5	Conclusion .....	95



<b>5</b>	<b>CHOICE FEEDING OF DIETARY PALM KERNEL CAKE IN THE RED JUNGLE FOWL AND COMMERCIAL BROILERS 1. EFFECTS ON PERFORMANCE AND CARCASS QUALITY .....</b>	<b>97</b>
	5.1 Introduction .....	97
	5.2 Materials and Methods .....	99
	5.2.1 Birds, Husbandry and Diets .....	99
	5.2.2 Traits Measured .....	101
	5.2.3 Statistical Analysis .....	103
	5.3 Results .....	103
	5.3.1 Performance .....	103
	5.3.2 Carcass Composition .....	111
	5.4 Discussion .....	115
	5.5 Conclusion .....	120
<b>6</b>	<b>CHOICE FEEDING OF DIETARY PALM KERNEL CAKE IN THE RED JUNGLE FOWL AND COMMERCIAL BROILERS 2. EFFECTS ON GUT MICROFLORA AND INTESTINAL MORPHOLOGY .....</b>	<b>121</b>
	6.1 Introduction .....	121
	6.2 Materials and Methods .....	125
	6.2.1 Birds, Husbandry and Diets .....	125
	6.2.2 Traits Measured and Sampling Procedures ...	126
	6.2.2.1 Bacterial Counts .....	126
	6.2.2.2 Tissue Sampling .....	127
	6.2.3 Statistical Analysis .....	128
	6.3 Results .....	130
	6.3.1 Gut Microflora .....	130
	6.3.2 Intestinal Villi .....	132
	6.4 Discussion .....	133
	6.5 Conclusion .....	137
<b>7</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>138</b>
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>148</b>
	<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>174</b>
A	Description of Proximate Analysis .....	175
B	Description of Meat Cholesterol Analysis .....	181
C	Smear and Staining of Chicken Blood Sample Procedures .....	183



D	Contents and Procedures for Preparation of Media .....	187
E	Solution of Tissue Sample Process .....	191
<b>VITA</b>	.....	<b>193</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Nutrient contents of Palm Kernel Cake .....	30
2.	Effects of genotype and sex on carcass-dressing percentage at a common body weight (800 g) .....	48
3.	Effects of genotype and sex on the yield of breast part (g) at a common body weight (800 g) .....	49
4.	Effects of genotype and sex on the yield of thigh part (g) at a common body weight (800 g) .....	49
5.	Effects of genotype and sex on the yield of drumstick part (g) at a common body weight (800 g) .....	50
6.	Effects of genotype and sex on the weight of skin, abdominal fat, head, neck and shank (g) at a common body weight (800 g) .....	52
7.	Effects of genotype and sex on the weight of heart, liver, gizzard, spleen and lung (g) at a common body weight (800 g) .....	53
8.	Effects of genotype and sex on the water, ash, fat, protein, carbohydrate (%) and cholesterol (mg/100g) contents of the breast muscle at a common body weight (800 g) .....	54
9.	Effects of genotype and sex on the water, ash, fat, protein, carbohydrate (%) and cholesterol (mg/100g) contents of the leg muscle at a common body weight (800 g) .....	56
10.	Effects of genotype and sex on body weight (g) on Day 56 .....	57
11.	Effects of genotype and sex on the water, ash, fat, protein, carbohydrate (%) and cholesterol (mg/100g) contents of the breast muscle at a common age (8 weeks) .....	59
12.	Effects of genotype and sex on the water, ash, fat, protein, carbohydrate (%) and cholesterol (mg/100g) contents of the leg muscle at a common age (8 weeks) .....	60



13.	The composition of the experimental diets .....	73
14.	Mean body weight (g) and shank length (cm) of red jungle fowl and commercial broiler chicken at various ages (Days 0 to 21) .....	76
15.	Mean feed intake (g) and feed conversion ratio of red jungle fowl and commercial broiler chicken at various periods of age (Days 0 to 21) .....	77
16.	Effects of genotype and diet on body weight (g) at various ages (Days 28 to 56) .....	79
17.	Effects of genotype and diet on shank length (cm) at various ages (Days 28 to 56) .....	80
18.	Effects of genotype and diet on total feed (g), protein (g) and energy (kcal) intakes on Days 21 to 56 .....	81
19.	Effect of genotype on total feed (g), protein (g) and energy (kcal) intakes of each food in birds fed choice diets (Days 21 to 56) .....	82
20.	Effects of genotype and type of food on preference of total feed intake (%) in birds fed choice diets (Days 21 to 56) .....	83
21.	Effects of genotype and diet on feed conversion ratio on Days 21 to 56 .....	84
22.	The total feed cost (RM/bird) to produce CB and RJF of each diet on Days 21 to 56 .....	85
23.	Mortality (%) by genotype-diet sub-group .....	85
24.	Effects of genotype, diet and age on percentage of chicks eating, drinking, resting, walking, standing, preening, bird-to-bird pecking and pecking of objects .....	87
25.	Effects of genotype and age on percentage of chicks resting, walking, standing and bird-to-bird pecking .....	88
26.	Effects of genotype and diet on percentage of chicks preening .....	89



27.	Effects of genotype and age on heterophil to lymphocyte ratio .....	89
28.	The composition of the experimental diets .....	100
29.	Effects of genotype and diet on body weight (g) at various ages .....	103
30.	Effects of genotype and diet on feed consumption (g) at various periods of age .....	105
31.	Effects of genotype and diet on protein intake (g) at various periods of age .....	105
32.	Effects of genotype and diet on energy intake (kcal) at various periods of age .....	106
33.	Effects of genotype and diet on weight gain (g), protein (g) and energy (kcal) intakes from Days 21 to 56 .....	107
34.	Effects of genotype and type of diet on preference of feed consumption (%) of birds fed choice at various periods of age .....	108
35.	Effects of genotype and diet on feed conversion ratio (FCR) at various periods of age .....	109
36.	The total feed cost (RM/bird) to produce CB and RJF of each diet from Days 21 to 56 .....	110
37.	Mortality (%) by genotype-diet sub-group .....	110
38.	Effects of genotype, sex and diet on water, ash, carbohydrate, protein (%) and cholesterol (mg/100g) contents of the breast muscle .....	112
39.	Effects of genotype and sex on protein (%) content of the breast muscle .....	113
40.	Effects of sex and diet on cholesterol (mg/100g) content of the breast muscle .....	113
41.	Effects of genotype, sex and diet on water, ash, fat, carbohydrate, protein (%) and cholesterol (mg/100g) contents of the leg muscle .....	114



42.	Effects of genotype and diet on water, fat (%) and cholesterol (mg/100g) contents of the leg muscle ....	115
43.	Effects of genotype, diet and age on total count of <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Streptococcus</i> sp. Bacteria ( $\times 10^5$ cfu/g digesta) in the caecum and ileum .....	130
44.	Effects of genotype and age on total count of <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. bacteria ( $\times 10^5$ cfu/g digesta) in the caecum .....	131
45.	Effects of genotype and age on height and width ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of villi of duodenum, ileum and caecum segments .....	132

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	The Distribution of Jungle Fowl and Red Jungle Fowl Sub-species in the Southeast Asia (adopted by Nishida <i>et al.</i> , 1992) .....	8
2.	The Extraction Rate of Palm Kernel Cake .....	29
3.	Average Body Weight of Commercial Broilers (CB) and Red Jungle Fowl (RJF) at Various Ages .....	104



## LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
1.	The Adult Male of Red Jungle Fowl .....	10
2.	The Adult Female of Red Jungle Fowl .....	10
3.	The Chicks of Red Jungle Fowl and Broiler at 7 Days of Age .....	11
4.	Scanning Electron Micrograph of a Section of Middle Part of Caecum of Bird Showing the Height (h) and Width (w) Measurements of the Villi. Scale Bar: 1.0 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	129
5.	Collection of Blood Sample From the Wing Vein of Red Jungle Fowl on Day 42 (Approximately 0.3 ml)	185
6.	The Solution Used in the Staining of Blood Sample .	185
7.	The Equipment Used in the Smear and Stain of Blood Sample .....	186
8.	Colonies of <i>Streptococcus</i> sp. in KFS Agar, 37 <sup>0</sup> C for 36-48 Hours Incubation .....	190
9.	Colonies of <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. in MRS Agar, 37 <sup>0</sup> C for 36-48 Hours Incubation .....	190





## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Arbor Acre
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AOAC	Association Official Agricultural Chemists
B.C.	Before Christ
Ca	Calcium
CB	Commercial Broiler
CF	Crude Fibre
cfu	Colony forming unit
CP	Crude Protein
cm	Centimetre (s)
cm <sup>2</sup>	Centimetre square (s)
°C	Degree Celsius
DCP	Di Calcium Phosphate
EDTA	Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetate
EE	Ether Extract
e.g.	Exempli gratia (for example)
FCR	Feed Conversion Ratio
g	Gram (s)
GDP	Gross Domestic Bruto
GLM	General Linear Model
h	Hour (s)
IU	International Unit (s)