



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**DATA LINK CONTROL LAYER PERFORMANCE FOR WIRELESS
ATM NETWORKS**

SLIMAN KA. A. YAKLAF

FK 2000 23

**DATA LINK CONTROL LAYER PERFORMANCE FOR WIRELESS ATM
NETWORKS**

By

SLIMAN KA. A. YAKLAF

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science in the Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

April 2000



Dedicated to

My Parents, Salma and Khalifa Yaklaf, and to my wife Aziza and my children (Aimen, Monia and Tasnim) for their patience and encouragement throughout this work.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

DATA LINK CONTROL LAYER PERFORMANCE FOR WIREKLESS ATM NETWORKS

By

SLIMAN KA. A. YAKLAF

April 2000

Chairman: Associate Professor Dr. Borhanuddin Mohd. Ali

Faculty: Engineering

The growing demand for ATM-based technology and recent proliferation of wireless access technologies have motivated researchers to examine the feasibility of extending the ATM paradigm from the wireline to the wireless domain and create a new research area known as Wireless ATM (WATM) (Toh, 1997). Dealing with lossy wireless links, characterized by limited bandwidth and high, bursty error rates, breaks the main assumption of conventional ATM systems, which is that of using no-errors per links.

Therefore, WATM systems must provide a transparent mechanism to ensure reliable end-to-end data transmission over the wireless portion of the network. The identification of a wireless-specific data link control layer (W-DLC), sitting between the traditional ATM layer and a wireless-specific medium access control layer (W-MAC), is the responsible entity for guaranteeing the quality of service (QOS) requested by individual ATM-based virtual connections. Thus the main focus is to investigate the performance of DLC protocol for ABR traffic over wireless ATM network. Retransmissions are only required for non-real time traffic and are



implemented using a Go-Back-N and Selective Repeat (SR) ARQ (Lin et al., 1984), (Schwartz, 1987).

Wireless channels are usually time-varying and the channel bit error rates vary as the surrounding environment changes. Since these factors put in jeopardy the performance of the DLC protocol and higher layer end-to-end protocol at large, additional link-level mechanisms are added to provide reliability over impaired radio links. The DLC protocol implementation represents an attempt to achieve these goals under the strict constraints imposed by impaired wireless links.

This thesis studies and compares the two Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ) protocols, i.e., Go-Back-N (GBN) and Selective Repeat (SR) ARQ and analyse them for variable packet size and fixed packet size (WATM packet) by using C programming for simulation.

The results show that the performance of SR ARQ is better than the GBN ARQ for variable packet size. The results also show that SR ARQ protocol has better performance than GBN ARQ in terms of error detection for fixed WATM packet in the range of 50 – 70 bytes, which is the WATM packet range (ATM Forum, 1997).



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains.

**PRESTASI LAPISAN KAWALAN PAUTAN DATA UNTUK RANGKAIAN
ATM WAYARLES**

Oleh

SLIMAN KA. A. YAKLAF

April 2000

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Dr. Borhanuddin Mohd Ali

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Permintaan yang semakin meningkat untuk teknologi berasaskan ATM dan pertumbuhan pesat teknologi capaian wayarles sekarang telah menggalakkan penyelidik untuk mengkaji kebolehlaksanaan mengembangkan paradigma ATM daripada jalur wayar kepada domain wayarles dan mencipta satu ruang penyelidikan baru yang dikenali sebagai ATM Wayarles (WATM) (Toh, 1997). Berurusan dengan hubungan wayarles “terhilang”, disebabkan dibentuk oleh lebar jalur yang terhad dan kadar ralat yang tinggi dan bertaburan, telah memecahkan jangkaan utama sistem ATM konvensional yang melibatkan penggunaan setiap hubungan tanpa ralat.

Oleh yang demikian, sistem WATM mesti menyediakan satu mekanisme lutsinar untuk memastikan penghantaran data hujung-ke-hujung yang diyakini menerusi bahagian wayarles sesuatu rangkaian. Pengenalpastian satu lapisan kawalan data berkhususkan-wayarles (W-DLC), yang berada di antara lapisan ATM tradisional dan lapisan kawalan capaian medium berkhususkan wayarles (W-MAC), adalah entiti yang bertanggungjawab untuk menjamin kualiti perkhidmatan (QoS) yang dikehendaki oleh sambungan maya individu berasaskan-ATM. Oleh itu, fokus

kami adalah menyelidik prestasi protokol DLC kami untuk trafik ABR menerusi rangkaian ATM wayarles. Penghantaran semula hanya dikehendaki untuk trafik bukan masa nyata dan dilaksanakan menggunakan satu protocol ARQ Balik-ke-N dan Ulangan Terpilih (SR) (Lin et al., 1984), (Schwartz, 1987).

Saluran-saluran wayarles biasanya berubah mengikut masa dan kadar ralat bit saluran berubah mengikut perubahan persekitaran. Oleh kerana faktor-faktor ini sangat mengancam prestasi protokol DLC dan protokol hujung-ke-hujung lapisan tertinggi, mekanisma-mekanisma peringkat-pautan tambahan dimasukkan untuk memberi kebolehpercayaan ke atas pautan radio yang terjejas. Pelaksanaan protokol DLC kami adalah satu percubaan untuk mencapai matlamat-matlamat tersebut dalam kekangan ketat yang dikenakan oleh hubungan wayarles terjejas.

Dalam tesis ini, kami menyelidik dan membanding dua Protokol Permohonan Ulangan Automatik (ARQ) yakni Balik-ke-N (GBN) dan Ulangan Terpilih (SR) serta menganalisis untuk saiz paket bolehubah dan saiz paket tetap (paket WATM) dengan menggunakan pengaturcaraan C di dalam simulasi kami.

Hasilnya menunjukkan prestasi ARQ SR adalah lebih baik daripada ARQ GBN untuk saiz paket bolehubah. Keputusan itu juga menunjukkan protokol ARQ SR mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik dari ARQ GBN dari segi pengesanan ralat untuk WATM tetap dalam julat 50-70 bait, seperti mana yang dicadangkan oleh (ATM Forum, 1997).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my research advisor and committee chair Associate Professor Dr. Borhanuddin Mohd. Ali for his valuable support and encouragement throughout my study here at UPM. His guidance and advice have been invaluable throughout the course of this work. It has been a tremendous learning experience and I am grateful for it.

I would also like to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. V. Prakash for his earnest discussions and useful suggestions. He has encouraged the design of simulation models using C as well as provided me a lot of materials to read and give me his wise counsel, working with him has benefited me greatly.

I thank Mr. Ashraf Gasim Elsid Abdalla for his contribution of useful ideas and comments. My gratitude goes to Dr. Sabira Khatun for her help, suggestions, and comments and also to Mr. Mohd. Hadi Habaebi for his guidance and for helping me in finding the right topic of research.

I would like to express my indebtedness to my family. My special thank you goes especially to my father and my mother. Also my special thank you to the Libyan General Peoples Committee of Education for their financial support and for giving me the opportunity to come here to UPM.

Last but not least, I thank the almighty Allah for providing me with the well to finish this work.



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

KAMIS AWANG, Ph.D,
Associate Professor
Dean of Graduate School,
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **8 JUN 2000**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| DEDICATION..... | 2 |
| ABSTRACT..... | 3 |
| ABSTRAK..... | 5 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT..... | 7 |
| APPROVAL SHEETS..... | 8 |
| DECLARATION FORM..... | 10 |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | 15 |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | 16 |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | 19 |
| CHAPTER | |
| I INTRODUCTION..... | 21 |
| Background..... | 21 |
| Motivation and History..... | 22 |
| Wireless ATM Protocol Architecture..... | 23 |
| Data Link Layer Protocols for Wireless ATM..... | 23 |
| Research Objectives..... | 25 |
| Contribution of The Study..... | 25 |
| Thesis Organisation | 26 |
| II LITERATURE REVIEW | 28 |
| Wireless ATM (rational and challenges) | 28 |
| Wireless ATM Specifications..... | 32 |
| Radio Access Protocols | 32 |
| Radio Physical Layer | 33 |
| Medium Access Control | 34 |
| Data Link Control | 35 |
| Data Link Layer Performance | 36 |
| Fame Handling | 37 |
| Flow Control | 37 |
| Error Control | 38 |
| Error Detection | 42 |
| Link Management | 43 |
| Brief Overview of Wireless ATM Systems..... | 43 |
| Seamless Wireless ATM Networks | |
| (SWAN) - Bell Laboratories | 43 |
| Broadband Ad-Hoc Wireless ATM LAN | |
| (BAHAMA) – Bell Laboratories | 44 |
| Wireless ATM Networks (WATMnet) – | |
| NEC C&C Research Laboratories | 45 |
| Cambridge – Olivetti Research Laboratories | 45 |
| Carleton University | 46 |



| | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Advanced Communication Technologies and Services (ACTS) Magic Wireless ATM Networks Demonstrator (ACTS Magic WAND) | 47 |
| | Asymmetric Reliable Mobile Access In Link-Layer (AIRMAIL) – AT & T Bell Lab..... | 47 |
| | Need for Data Link Control Protocol | 48 |
| | Brief Overview of Data Link Control in Previous Wireless ATM Systems | 49 |
| | SWAN | 50 |
| | BAHAMA | 51 |
| | WATMnet | 51 |
| | Cambridge – Olivetti Laboratories | 52 |
| | Carleton University | 53 |
| | ACTS Magic WAND | 53 |
| | AIRMAIL | 54 |
| | Data Link Control in Packet Radio Networks | 55 |
| | The implementation of Data Link Control for Wireless ATM Networks | 56 |
| | Conclusions | 57 |
| III | METHODOLOGY | 59 |
| | Simulation Model of GBN and SR ARQ for Wireless ATM Networks..... | 59 |
| | Theoretical Model | 59 |
| | Wireless ATM Packet Format | 60 |
| | The Effect of Channel Noise | 62 |
| | Window Size | 62 |
| | Adaptive Packet Length | 62 |
| | Objective of the Simulation | 63 |
| | Description of the Simulation Model | 64 |
| | Data Source Parameters | 64 |
| | System Parameters..... | 65 |
| | Designing the Simulator | 65 |
| | Operation of the ARQ Protocols | 66 |
| | Simulation Model of GBN ARQ Protocol | 67 |
| | Input Parameters | 67 |
| | Output Parameters | 67 |
| | Transmitter Algorithm | 68 |
| | Receiver Algorithm | 68 |
| | Simulation Model of SR ARQ Protocol | 70 |
| | Transmitter Algorithm | 71 |
| | Receiver Algorithm | 71 |
| | Summary | 73 |
| IV | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 75 |
| | Introduction | 75 |
| | Evaluation and Performance Comparison of Go-Back-N Protocol for Different Packet Sizes | 76 |
| | Packet Sizes (55 – 199 bytes) | 76 |
| | Packet Sizes (200 – 500 bytes) | 80 |



| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Fixed Packet Size (54 bytes) | 81 |
| Performance Comparison of Go-Back-N for Different Packet Sizes | 85 |
| Effect of Different Error Rates on Good Packet Received for (55 – 199 bytes) | 86 |
| Effect of Different Error Rates on Throughput for (200 – 500 bytes) | 87 |
| Effect of Different Error Rates on Good Packet Received for Fixed Packet Size (54 bytes) | 88 |
| Evaluation and Performance Comparison of Selective Repeat ARQ Protocol for Different Packet Sizes | 89 |
| Packet Sizes (55 – 199 bytes) | 89 |
| Packet Sizes (200 – 500 bytes) | 90 |
| Fixed Packet Size (54 bytes) | 91 |
| Performance Comparison of Selective Repeat ARQ for Different Packet Sizes | 92 |
| Performance Comparison of Go-Back-N and Selective Repeat ARQ Protocols for Different packet Sizes | 93 |
| Packet Sizes (55 – 199 bytes) | 93 |
| Packet Sizes (200 – 500 bytes) | 93 |
| Fixed Packet Size (54 bytes) | 95 |
| Different Packet Sizes | 95 |
| Effect of (15 – 25%) Error Rates on Go-Back-N and Selective Repeat ARQ Performance | 96 |
| Summary | 99 |
| | |
| V CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS | 101 |
| Conclusions | 101 |
| Future works | 103 |
| | |
| REFERENCES | 104 |
| APPENDICES | 107 |
| | |
| A-1 The effect of (15 – 35%) packet losses and check-sum error on throughput of GBN Protocol for (55 – 199 bytes) packet sizes (Figures) | 108 |
| | |
| A-2 The effect of (10 – 15%) packet losses and check-sum error on throughput of GBN protocol for (200 – 500 bytes) packet sizes (Figures) | 111 |
| | |
| A-3 The effect of (15 – 35%) packet losses and check-sum error on throughput of GBN protocol for fixed packet (54 bytes) (Figures) | 112 |
| | |
| A-4 (Tables) | 114 |
| | |
| Table 3: Performance Comparison for GBN and SR ARQ for Packet Sizes (55 – 199 bytes) | 114 |



| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 4: Performance Comparison for GBN and SR ARQ for Packet Sizes (200 - 500 bytes) | 114 |
| Table 5: Performance Comparison for GBN and SR ARQ for Fixed Packet (54) bytes | 115 |
| BIODATA OF AUTHOR | 116 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Simulation Parameters of GBN ARQ for Variable Packet Sizes | 76 |
| 2 | Simulation parameters of GBN ARQ for Fixed Packet Size | 82 |
| 3 | Performance Comparison for GBN and SR ARQ for Packet Sizes (55 – 199 bytes) | 114 |
| 4 | Performance Comparison for GBN and SR ARQ for Packet Sizes (200 – 500 bytes) | 114 |
| 5 | Performance Comparison for GBN and SR ARQ for Fixed Packet Size (54 bytes) | 115 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1 | Typical Wireless Enhanced ATM Protocol Stack | 31 |
| 2 | Wireless ATM Protocol Stack | 33 |
| 3 | TDMA/TDD Frame Format for Use in Wireless ATM | 35 |
| 4 | Logical Representation of the Data Link Control Layer | 36 |
| 5 | Operation of Go-Back-N ARQ Protocol | 40 |
| 6 | Operation of Selective Repeat ARQ Protocol | 42 |
| 7 | General Network Model for Simulation | 60 |
| 8 | Standard ATM Cell Format | 60 |
| 9 | WATM Cell Format | 61 |
| 10 | Transmitter Flow Chart for GBN ARQ Protocol Process | 69 |
| 11 | Receiver Flow Chart for GBN ARQ Protocol Process | 70 |
| 12 | Transmitter flow Chart for SR ARQ Protocol Process | 72 |
| 13 | Receiver Flow Chart for SR ARQ Protocol Process | 73 |
| 14 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 1% packet losses and check-sum error for (55 – 199 bytes) | 77 |
| 15 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 5% packet losses and check-sum error for (55 – 199 bytes) | 78 |
| 16 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 10% packet losses and check-sum error for (55 – 199 bytes) | 79 |
| 17 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 1% packet losses and check-sum error for (200 – 500 bytes) | 80 |
| 18 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 5% packet losses and check-sum error for (200 – 500 bytes) | 81 |
| 19 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 1% packet losses and check-sum error for (54 bytes) | 83 |



| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 20 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 5% packet losses and check-sum error for (54 bytes) | 83 |
| 21 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 10% packet losses and check-sum error for (54 bytes) | 84 |
| 22 | The effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rates on good packets received of GBN protocol for different packet sizes | 86 |
| 23 | Effect of different error rates on good packet received of GBN protocol for (55 – 199 bytes) | 87 |
| 24 | Effect of different error rates on throughput of GBN for (200 - 500 bytes) | 87 |
| 25 | Effect of different Error Rates on good packet received of GBN protocol for (54 bytes) | 88 |
| 26 | Effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rates on SR throughput for (55 – 199 bytes) | 89 |
| 27 | Effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rates on SR throughput for (200 - 500 bytes) | 90 |
| 28 | Effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rates on SR throughput for (54 bytes) | 91 |
| 29 | Effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rates on SR throughput for different packet sizes | 92 |
| 30 | Effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rates on GBN and SR throughput performance for (55 – 199 bytes)..... | 94 |
| 31 | Effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rate on GBN and SR throughput performance for (200 – 500 bytes) | 94 |
| 32 | Effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rates on GBN and SR throughput performance for (54 bytes) | 95 |
| 33 | Effect of different packet losses and check-sum error rates on GBN and SR throughput performance for different packet sizes | 96 |
| 34 | Effect of packet size on good packet received with 15% packet losses and check-sum error for GBN and SR ARQ | 97 |
| 35 | Effect of packet size on good packets received with 20% packet losses and check-sum error for GBN and SR ARQ | 98 |



| | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 36 | Effect of packet size on good packets received with 25% packet losses and check-sum error for GBN and SR ARQ | 98 |
| 37 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 15% packet losses and check-sum error for (55 – 199 bytes) | 108 |
| 38 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 20% packet losses and check-sum error for (155 – 199 bytes)..... | 108 |
| 39 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 25% packet losses and check-sum error for (55 – 199 bytes)..... | 109 |
| 40 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 30% packet losses and check-sum error for (55 – 199 bytes)..... | 109 |
| 41 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 35% packet losses and check-sum error for (55 – 199 bytes)..... | 110 |
| 42 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 10% packet losses and check-sum error for (200 – 500 bytes)..... | 111 |
| 43 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 15% packet losses and check-sum error for (200 – 500 bytes)..... | 111 |
| 44 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 15% packet losses and check-sum error for (54 bytes) | 112 |
| 45 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 20% packet losses and check-sum error for (54 bytes) | 112 |
| 46 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 25% packet losses and check-sum error for (54 bytes) | 113 |
| 47 | The effect of timeout on GBN throughput with 30% packet losses and check-sum error for (54 bytes) | 113 |



LIST OF ABBREVIATION

| | |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| AAL | ATM Adaptation Layer |
| ABR | Available Bit Rate |
| ACK | Acknowledgement |
| ACTS | Advanced Communication Technologies and Services |
| AIRMAIL | Asymmetric Reliable Mobile Access In Link-Layer |
| AP | Access Point |
| ARQ | Automatic Repeat Request |
| ATM | Asynchronous Transfer Mode |
| ATMLC | ATM Link Control |
| BAHAMA | Broadband Ad-Hoc Wireless ATM LAN |
| BER | Bit Error Rate |
| B-R | Base-to-Remote |
| CBR | Constant Bit Rate |
| CITR | Canadian Institute of Telecommunication Research |
| CLP | Cell Loss Priority |
| CRC | Cyclic Redundancy Check |
| CSN | Cell Sequence Number |
| DLC | Data Link Control |
| DQRUMA | Distributed Queuing Request Up-date Multiple Access |
| ETSI | European Telecommunications Standards Institute |
| FEC | Forward Error Correction |
| FIFO | First-In-First-Out |
| GBN | Go-Back-N |
| HI | Hand-off-Indicator |
| IP | Internet Protocol |
| LAN | Local Area Network |
| MAC | Medium Access Control |
| MAX-SEQ | Maximum-Sequence |
| MT | Mobile Terminal |
| NAK | Negative Acknowledgement |
| PBS | Portable Base Station |
| PC | Personal Computer |
| PDU | Protocol Data Unit |
| PHY | Physical Layer |
| PTI | Payload Type Indicator |
| QoS | Quality of Service |
| R-B | Remote-to-Base |
| RRC | Radio Resource Control |
| SDLC | Synchronous Data Link Control |
| SN | Sequence Number |
| SR | Selective Repeat |
| SREJ | Selective Reject |
| SW | Stop-and-Wait |
| SWAN | Seamless Wireless ATM Network |
| TCP | Transmission Control Protocol |
| TDD | Time Division Duplex |

| | |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| TDMA | Time Division Multiplex Access |
| ToE | Time of Expiry |
| UBR | Unspecified Bit Rate |
| UTP | Unshielded Twisted Pair |
| VBR | Variable Bit Rate |
| VC | Virtual Channel |
| VCI | Virtual Channel Identifier |
| VCs | Virtual Connections |
| VP | Virtual Path |
| VPI | Virtual Path Identifier |
| WAN | Wide Area Network |
| WAND | Wireless ATM Network Demonstrator |
| WATM | Wireless ATM |
| WATMnet | Wireless ATM network |
| W-DLC | Wireless Specific Data Link Control |
| W-MAC | Wireless Specific Medium Access Control |

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background

The last few years have seen an explosion in wireline broadband networking technologies. This has been driven by an increased user demand for video conferencing, image applications, world-wide web access and other multimedia applications as well as advancements in key enabling technologies such as high-speed digital transmission using optical fibre, digital signal processing and high-speed integrated circuits. In this time Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) has emerged as the front runner in integrated telecommunication technologies. ATM provides high-speed transfer, integration of traffic types, flexible bandwidth allocation and service type selection for a range of applications, efficient multiplexing of data from bursty data/multimedia sources and simplified network management. It is rapidly becoming a world-wide standard and has moved from concept to reality in the space of a few years.

Wireless personal communication networks have also emerged as an important field of activity in telecommunications. This surge of interest is due to several factors such as the increased availability of wireless personal computing, entertainment and communication devices, and liberalisation of spectrum allocation procedures and advances in digital signal processing and radio modem technologies. While these systems have initially focused only on voice and primitive packet data

applications, it is recognised that they will have to evolve toward supporting a wider range of applications involving video and multimedia. The increased dependence on networking for business, recreation and communications, the growing demand for multimedia applications together with a human desire for mobility and freedom from office-only or home-only computing constraints makes a strong argument for wireless integrated networks.

Motivation and History

The growing interest in wireless integrated networks has motivated several researchers to examine the feasibility of extending the ATM paradigm from the wireline to the wireless domain, and create new research area known as wireless ATM (WATM) (Toh, 1997). ATM was designed for a time-invariant, reliable medium where bandwidth is not a significant constraint. However, the wireless channel is usually time varying with a high bit error rate and limited bandwidth.

The ATM protocol provides no built-in mechanism to recover from errors or cell losses due to problems with the underlying transport medium. Thus one of the requirements for making wireless ATM a reality is a method of compensating for the low reliability of the wireless channel. The obvious solution to this problem is to create a protocol at the data link level that handles the error recovery and control over the wireless channel and provides the ATM layer with a more reliable transport medium.

Wireless ATM Protocol Architecture

Wireless ATM adopts ATM to provide the data communications services so the overall architecture is based on the ATM protocol stack. A wireless ATM protocol architecture is currently proposed by ATM Forum. The WATM items are divided into two distinct parts:

- Mobile ATM
- Radio Access Layer

Mobile ATM deals with the higher layer control and signalling functions needed to support mobility. These control and signalling include handover, location management, routing, addressing, and traffic management.

Radio Access layer is responsible for the radio link protocols for wireless ATM access. Radio Access Layer consists of Physical Layer (PHY), Medium Access Control Layer (MAC), Data Link Layer (DLC), and Radio Resource Control (RRC).

Data Link Control Layer Protocols for Wireless ATM

The DLC layer is responsible for providing services to the ATM layer. Mitigating the effect of radio channel errors should be done in this layer before cells are sent to the ATM layer. Since end-to-end ATM performance is sensitive to cell loss, powerful error control procedures are required for the WATM radio access segment. In order to fulfil this requirement, Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ) schemes are used to improve the performance of communication. So, error



detection/retransmission protocols and forward error correction methods are recommended.

The three main protocols used in ARQ schemes are stop-and-wait (SW), go-back-N (GBN), and selective repeat (SR). The SW protocol is inefficient due to the idle time spent waiting for the receiver to acknowledge each transmission. GBN and SR schemes make use of sliding window principle in which the window slides along as sequence numbers of packets in a transmit window are acknowledged, enabling more packets to be sent. In GBN scheme, if an error is detected in a packet, the receiver discards all subsequent packets, until an uncorrupted version of this packet is received. In GBN protocol, the loss of a packet is detected only after the next packet has been correctly received. This requires a continuous stream of packets being ready to send; otherwise unacceptably long delays are experienced while the receiver waits for the next packet which is transmitted by the sender, and is stopped when an acknowledgement (ACK) indicating its correct reception is received. If an ACK is not received before its time-out interval expires, the packet is retransmitted.

In selective repeat (SR) protocol, if a corrupted packet is received, it is discarded, but this does not have any effect on the acceptance of subsequent packets. This protocol uses the channel bandwidth efficiently, but requires more buffering at the receiver than Go-Back-N ARQ technique. The SR scheme uses two ways to retransmit:

- Implicit retransmission where the transmitter determines from the sequence of ACK frames that a frame has been lost.