



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***ATTENUATION, MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION AND  
INACTIVATION OF FOWL ADENOVIRUS ISOLATES PROPAGATED IN  
CHICKEN EMBRYO LIVER CELLS FOR VACCINE DEVELOPMENT***

**UGWU, CHIDOZIE CLIFFORD**

**FPV 2021 21**



**UPM**  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA  
BERILMU BERBAKTI

**ATTENUATION, MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION AND  
INACTIVATION OF FOWL ADENOVIRUS ISOLATES PROPAGATED IN  
CHICKEN EMBRYO LIVER CELLS FOR VACCINE DEVELOPMENT**

By

**UGWU, CHIDOZIE CLIFFORD**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**June 2021**

All materials contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, are copyright materials of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**ATTENUATION, MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION AND  
INACTIVATION OF FOWL ADENOVIRUS ISOLATES PROPAGATED IN  
CHICKEN EMBRYO LIVER CELLS FOR VACCINE DEVELOPMENT**

By

**UGWU, CHIDOZIE CLIFFORD**

**June 2021**

**Chairman : Dato' Mohd Hair bin Bejo, PhD**  
**Faculty : Veterinary Medicine**

Fowl adenovirus (FAdV) 8b causes inclusion bodies hepatitis (IBH) in chicken with 10-30% mortality worldwide and was first reported in Malaysia in 2005, but control has been difficult due to unavailability of vaccines. The objectives of this study were to propagate Malaysian isolates of FAdV 8b in chicken embryo liver (CEL) cells, attenuate, molecularly characterize and inactivate the virus and determine the pathogenicity, immunogenicity and efficacy of the attenuated and inactivated isolates in commercial broiler chickens.

UPM11142, UPM11134 and UPM08136 FAdV isolates from IBH outbreaks in Malaysia were each inoculated into specific pathogen free (SPF) chicken embryonated eggs (CEE). Liver from dead embryo were processed to obtain FAdV inocula which were each propagated and attenuated onto CEL cells till passage 20 (P1-P20). The P5 and P20 of UPM11142 and UPM08136 FAdV were propagated in Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier adapted CEL cells in a stirred tank bioreactor (P5B1 and P20B1). TCID<sub>50</sub> of all isolates were determined. Virus infectivity and localization of the virus in CEL cells were determined by immunocytochemical and HE staining assays. PCR amplification of hexon and fibre genes were carried out using established and novel primers. Amplified genes were sequenced, analysed and phylogenetic trees constructed. UPM11142P5B1 and UPM08136P5B1 isolates were inactivated using binary ethylene imine (BEI) method, adjuvanted with Montanide 71VG and tested for immunogenicity and efficacy (with or without booster) on commercial broiler chickens. UPM11142P20B1 and UPM08136P20B1 attenuated vaccine candidates either with or without booster were tested for pathogenicity, immunogenicity and efficacy in commercial broiler chickens. Challenge group chickens of all groups were challenged with pathogenic FAdV at 28-day post inoculation (dpi). Clinical signs, gross and histopathological changes of organs were recorded. Body weight, liver weight and liver to body weight ratio were recorded. Humoral and cellular immunity were determined by ELISA and flowcytometry, respectively. FAdV challenge virus copy number in cloaca and liver were determined by

qPCR. Data generated were analysed using two-way repeated measures ANOVA and means separated with turkey HSD tests on 5% probability level.

UPM11142 and UPM11134 isolates caused 100% mortality of SPF CEE, while UPM08136 caused 86%. All isolates caused CPE on CEL cells characteristic of FAdV. FAdV titre ranged from  $10^{5.5}$  –  $10^{7.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL while virus infectivity and localization of virus in the nucleus were observed. These isolates were confirmed as FAdV genotype E, serotype 8b which were 98 to 100% phylogenetically related to UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank. Sequence analysis of hexon gene revealed nucleotide changes G<sup>▶</sup>31T causing amino acid change V<sup>▶</sup>11L in UPM11142; synonymous C<sup>▶</sup>735T in UPM11134; and G<sup>▶</sup>4T (G<sup>▶</sup>2C), G<sup>▶</sup>151T (G<sup>▶</sup>51C) and 8 nucleotide substitution that led to a unique SSKGG<sup>▶</sup>TLNSE amino acid change in UPM08136. In the fibre gene, there were C<sup>▶</sup>392G, G<sup>▶</sup>393C (A<sup>▶</sup>131G), C<sup>▶</sup>430A, T<sup>▶</sup>432C (R<sup>▶</sup>144S), and T<sup>▶</sup>434G, G<sup>▶</sup>435C (L<sup>▶</sup>145C) in UPM11142 isolate; synonymous G<sup>▶</sup>431C and A<sup>▶</sup>445G (R<sup>▶</sup>144T) in UPM11134; and A<sup>▶</sup>381T (L<sup>▶</sup>127F), G<sup>▶</sup>429T, A<sup>▶</sup>430C and C<sup>▶</sup>432T (L<sup>▶</sup>143F, (S<sup>▶</sup>144R) in UPM08136 isolates. The CEL cells adapted well to Cytodex 1<sup>®</sup> microcarrier with cell attachment to the microcarrier within 3 hours of incubation. CPE, cell detachment and high titre were indicative of virus growth in the Cytodex<sup>™</sup> 1 microcarrier adapted CEL cells maintained in a stirred tank bioreactor.

No clinical signs, mortality, gross and histopathologic changes of organs were recorded among chickens in the control group and chicken groups inoculated with inactivated and attenuated FAdV 8b strains (with or without booster). But chickens in control challenged group showed signs of depression and inappetence at 1 to 2 days post challenge and recorded enlarged and congested liver, spleen and thymus. The control challenged group had lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) body weight than the chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 (with or without booster) challenged groups at 42 dpi. Inoculated chickens (with or without booster) had higher ( $p > 0.05$ ) FAdV antibodies titre than the control group on 7, 35 and 42 dpi, while the chickens with UPM11142P5B1 had higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) at 28 dpi. Inoculated challenged groups recorded high antibody titre at 35 and 42 dpi. The T cells were higher in chicken groups inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 and UPM08136P5B1 with or without booster in the liver, spleen and thymus, with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells being higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) at 21 dpi in the liver and spleen than in the uninoculated control chickens. The T cells were also higher at 35 and 42 dpi in the liver, spleen and thymus of inoculated challenged chickens than those of the challenged control chickens. The copy number of the FAdV challenge virus was significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the liver and cloaca of challenged control chickens than in chicken groups inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 and UPM08136P5B1 with or without booster.

The control challenged group had lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) body weight than the chickens on the attenuated challenged groups (UPM11142P20B1 and UPM08136P20B1) at 35 and 42 dpi. There was higher FAdV antibody titre among chicken inoculated with live attenuated FAdV with or without booster using attenuated or inactivated FAdV on days 7, 21, 35, and 42 pi than the control group. There was no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) in the antibody titre induced by UPM11142P20B1 and UPM08136P20B1 live attenuated viruses throughout the trial. There was higher CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the liver, spleen and thymus of chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 and

UPM08136P20B1 without booster, with live attenuated or inactivated FAdV booster than the uninoculated non-challenged chickens, and similar results were recorded among the challenged groups. The copy number of the FAdV challenge virus was significantly higher in the liver and cloaca of challenged control chickens at 35 and 42 dpi than that of chickens inoculated with attenuated FAdV strains, and with (inactivated and attenuated) and without booster.

In conclusion, the three FAdV isolates from field outbreaks of IBH showed high pathogenicity in CEE and CEL cells, were successfully attenuated in CEL cells and molecularly characterised. The changes in the hexon and fibre genes of the P20 isolates are the markers for attenuation. CEL cells adapted well to Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier and were used successfully to propagate P5 and P20 isolates of UPM11142 and UPM08136 in stirred tank bioreactor without molecular changes. The inactivated (P5B1) and attenuated (P20B1) FAdVs were safe and induced humoral and cellular immunity in commercial broiler chickens which provided protection against pathogenic FAdV 8b challenge, reduced viral load in the liver and shedding in cloaca. Therefore, the attenuated and inactivated FAdV UPM11142 and UPM08136 isolates in the present study have high potential as FAdV serotype 8b vaccine candidates for the control and prevention of IBH in chickens.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi syarat untuk memperoleh Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**ATENUASI, PENCIRIAN MOLEKUL DAN NYAHAKTIF ISOLAT FOWL  
ADENOVIRUS YANG DISEBARKAN DALAM SEL HATI EMBRIO AYAM  
UNTUK PEMBANGUNAN VAKSIN**

Oleh

**UGWU, CHIDOZIE CLIFFORD**

**Jun 2021**

**Pengerusi : Dato' Mohd Hair-Bejo, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Perubatan Veterinar**

Adenovirus unggas (FAdV) 8b menyebabkan badan kemasukan hepatitis (IBH) dalam ayam dengan 10-30% kematian di seluruh dunia, dan pertama kali dilaporkan di Malaysia pada 2005, tetapi telah sukar dikawal kerana ketiadaan vaksin. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menyebarkan isolat FAdV 8b Malaysia dalam sel hati embrio ayam (CEL), mengatenuasi, mencirikan molekul, dan menyahaktifkan virus, dan menentukan patogenik, imunogenik dan keberkesanan isolat yang diatenuasi dan dinyahaktif dalam ayam pedaging komersial.

UPM11142, UPM11134 dan UPM08136 isolat FAdV dari wabak IBH di Malaysia masing-masing disuntik ke dalam telur berembrio ayam (CEE) bebas patogen spesifik (SPF). Hati dari embrio yang mati diproses untuk mendapatkan inokula FAdV yang masing-masing disebarkan dan diatenuasi ke sel CEL sehingga petikan 20 (P1-P20). P5 dan P20 UPM11142 dan UPM08136 FAdV disebarkan di Cytodex™ 1 mikrocarrier yang disesuaikan dengan sel CEL dalam bioreaktor tangki yang diaduk (P5B1 dan P20B1). TCID<sub>50</sub> dari semua isolat ditentukan. Infektiviti dan penyetempatan virus dalam sel CEL ditentukan dengan ujian pewarnaan imunositokimia dan HE. Penguatan PCR gen hekson dan serat dijalankan menggunakan primer yang ditetapkan dan novel. Gen yang diperkuat telah disusun, dianalisis dan pokok filogenetik telah dibina. Isolat UPM11142P5B1 dan UPM08136P5B1 dinyahaktifkan menggunakan etilena imine binari (BEI), ditambah pembantu Montanide 71VG dan diuji imunogenik dan keberkesanannya (dengan atau tanpa penggalak) pada ayam pedaging komersial. Calon vaksin atenuasi UPM11142P20B1 dan UPM08136P20B1 (dengan atau tanpa penggalak) diuji untuk patogenik, imunogenik dan keberkesanannya pada ayam. Ayam kumpulan cabaran dari semua kumpulan dicabar dengan patogenik FAdV pada hari ke-28 selepas inokulasi (dpi). Tanda klinikal, perubahan kasar dan histopatologi organ direkodkan. Berat badan dan hati, dan nisbah berat hati kepada berat badan dicatatkan. Imuniti humoral dan selular masing-masing ditentukan dengan ELISA dan flowcytometry. Nombor salinan virus cabaran FAdV di kloaka dan hati ditentukan dengan qPCR. Data

yang dihasilkan dianalisis menggunakan ANOVA pengukuran berulang dua arah dan cara yang dipisahkan dengan Turkey HSD kalkun pada tahap keberangkalian 5%.

Isolat UPM11142 dan UPM11134 menyebabkan 100% kematian SPF CEE, manakala UPM08136 menyebabkan 86%. Semua isolat menyebabkan CPE pada sel CEL bercirikan FAdV. FAdV titer adalah antara  $10^{5.5}$ - $10^{7.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL, manakala infektiviti dan penyetempatan virus dalam nukleus diperhatikan. Isolat virus telah disahkan genotip FAdV E, serotype 8b and 98 hingga 100% berkaitan secara pilogenetik dengan UPM04217 sebagai rujukan dari GenBank. Urutan analisis gen hekson mendedahkan perubahan nukleotida G<sup>31</sup>T menyebabkan perubahan asid amino V<sup>11</sup>L di UPM11142; sinonim C<sup>735</sup>T di UPM11134; dan G<sup>4</sup>T (G<sup>2</sup>C), G<sup>151</sup>T (G<sup>51</sup>C) dan 8 penggantian nukleotida yang membawa kepada perubahan asid amino SSKGG<sup>TLN</sup>SE yang unik di UPM08136. Dalam gen serat, terdapat C<sup>392</sup>G, G<sup>393</sup>C (A<sup>131</sup>G), C<sup>430</sup>A, T<sup>432</sup>C (R<sup>144</sup>S), dan T<sup>434</sup>G, G<sup>435</sup>C (L<sup>145</sup>C) di UPM11142 mengasingkan; sinonim G<sup>431</sup>C dan A<sup>445</sup>G (R<sup>144</sup>T) di UPM11134; dan A<sup>381</sup>T (L<sup>127</sup>F), G<sup>429</sup>T, A<sup>430</sup>C dan C<sup>432</sup>T (L<sup>143</sup>F, (S<sup>144</sup>R) di isolat UPM08136. Sel CEL disesuaikan dengan baik pada microcarrier Cytodex 1® dengan sel lampiran ke mikrokarrier dalam 3 jam inkubasi. CPE, detasmen sel dan titre yang tinggi menunjukkan pertumbuhan virus dalam mikrocarrier Cytodex™ 1 yang disesuaikan sel CEL diselenggara dalam bioreaktor tangki yang diaduk.

Tiada tanda klinikal, kematian, perubahan kasar dan histopatologi organ yang diperhatikan di kalangan ayam dalam kumpulan kawalan dan kumpulan ayam yang disuntik dengan strain FAdV 8b yang dinyahaktifkan dan diatenuasi (dengan atau tanpa penggalak). Tetapi ayam dalam kawalan dari kumpulan dicabar menunjukkan tanda kemurungan dan ketidakupayaan pada 1 hingga 2 hari selepas cabaran dan juga mencatatkan hati, limpa dan timus yang besar dan kongesi. Kumpulan kawalan yang dicabar mempunyai berat badan yang lebih rendah ( $p < 0.05$ ) daripada ayam yang disuntik dengan UPM11142P5B1 yang dinyahaktif (dengan atau tanpa penggalak) yang dicabar di 42 dpi. Ayam yang disuntik (dengan atau tanpa penggalak) mempunyai lebih tinggi ( $p > 0.05$ ) FAdV antibodi titre daripada kumpulan kawalan pada 7, 35 dan 42 dpi, manakala ayam dengan UPM11142P5B1 mempunyai lebih tinggi ( $p < 0.05$ ) pada 28 dpi. Kumpulan yang dicabar mencatatkan titre antibodi yang tinggi pada 35 dan 42 dpi. Sel T lebih tinggi dalam kumpulan ayam yang disuntik dengan UPM11142P5B1 dan UPM08136P5B1 yang dinyahaktif dengan atau tanpa penggalak di hati, limpa dan timus, dengan sel CD8<sup>+</sup> T yang lebih tinggi ( $p < 0.05$ ) pada 21 dpi dalam hati dan limpa daripada kawalan ayam yang tidak diinokulasi. Sel T juga lebih tinggi pada 35 dan 42 dpi di hati, limpa dan timus ayam yang dicabar daripada daripada ayam kawalan yang dicabar. Bilangan salinan virus cabaran FAdV lebih tinggi ( $p < 0.05$ ) di hati dan kloaka ayam kawalan yang dicabar daripada kumpulan ayam yang disuntik dengan UPM11142P5B1 dan UPM08136P5B1 yang dinyahaktif dengan atau tanpa penggalak.

Kumpulan kawalan yang dicabar mempunyai berat badan yang lebih rendah ( $p < 0.05$ ) daripada ayam pada kumpulan atenuasi yang dicabar (UPM11142P20B1 dan UPM08136P20B1) pada 35 dan 42 dpi. Terdapat FAdV antibodi titre yang lebih tinggi di kalangan ayam yang disuntik dengan atenuasi FAdV dengan atau tanpa penggalak menggunakan atenuasi atau nyahaktif FAdV pada hari 7, 21, 35, dan 42 dpi daripada kumpulan kawalan. Tiada perbezaan yang signifikan ( $p > 0.05$ ) dalam titer antibodi yang



dihasilkan oleh atenuasi UPM11142P20B1 dan UPM08136P20B1 sepanjang kajian. Terdapat CD3+, CD4+ dan CD8+ T sel yang lebih tinggi dalam hati, limpa dan timus ayam yang disuntik dengan atenuasi UPM11142P20B1 dan UPM08136P20B1 tanpa penggalak, dengan penggalak yang diatenuasi atau dinyahaktif daripada ayam yang tidak dicabar, dan hasil yang sama dicatatkan di kalangan kumpulan yang dicabar. Jumlah salinan virus cabaran FAdV jauh lebih tinggi di hati dan kloaka ayam kawalan yang dicabar pada 35 dan 42 dpi daripada ayam yang diinokulasi dengan strain FAdV yang diatenuasi, dan dengan atau tanpa penggalak (nyahaktif dan diatenuasi).

Sebagai kesimpulan, tiga isolat FAdV dari wabak IBH menunjukkan patogenik yang tinggi dalam sel CEE dan CEL, berjaya diatenuasi dalam sel CEL dan pencirian molekul. Perubahan dalam gen hekson dan serat pada P20 isolat adalah penanda untuk atenuasi. Sel CEL disesuaikan dengan baik kepada Mikrocarrier Cytodex™ 1 dan digunakan dengan jayanya untuk menyebarkan P5 dan P20 UPM11142 dan UPM08136 dalam bioreaktor tangki yang diaduk tanpa perubahan ciri molekul virus. Nyahaktif (P5B1) dan atenuasi (P20B1) FAdV adalah selamat dan menghasilkan imuniti humoral dan selular dalam ayam pedaging komersial yang memberikan perlindungan terhadap cabaran patogenik FAdV 8b, mengurangkan beban virus di hati dan penumpahan dari kloaka. Oleh itu, UPM1142 dan UPM08136 isolat dalam kajian ini mempunyai potensi tinggi sebagai calon vaksin FAdV serotype 8b untuk kawalan dan pencegahan IBH dalam ayam.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

May all glory, honour, thanksgiving, and adoration be unto your holy name, Oh Lord my God for your guidance, protection and sustenance throughout this programme.

I count myself one of the luckiest PhD scholars for being guided by the most intelligent and erudite scholars, researchers and innovators led by Prof. Dato' Dr. Mohd Hair-Bejo who was a father, mentor and friend. Through his compassion, love, support, encouragement, intelligent advice, and dedicated supervision; and the brilliant contributions and intelligent counselling of Prof, Dr. Abdul Rahman Omar, Prof. Datin Paduka Setia Dato' Dr Aini Ideris and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nurulfiza Mat Isa, my co-supervisors, this programme has become a success. I could not have wished for a better team. It has indeed been a beautiful research journey. May God Almighty reward you all abundantly.

I am grateful to the management of Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria for their support and assistance in making my sponsorship to study in Malaysia possible. I also appreciate the support of Universiti Putra Malaysia for their assistance through the Special Graduate research Assistance scheme.

I am grateful to Prof. Dr. Zunita Zakaria who inspired me to come to UPM; Prof. Dr Goh Yong Ming, Prof. Dr. Jalila Abu, Prof. Dato' Dr. Mohamed Shariff and Prof. Dr. Jesse for your goodwill. I thank most sincerely, Dr Nurfitriah Sohaimi for her invaluable contribution to my PhD research. I am thankful to Encik Saifuzaman Ali whose gentle demeanour; brotherly and friendly relationship with me in the Serology Laboratory, made my research very smooth.

I am grateful to Mr Rusdam and Mrs Ayuni of Virology Laboratory, FPV; Mrs Amrina Lara of Haematology Laboratory, FPSK; Dr. Tan Sheau Wei and Pn. Lina of Institute of Bioscience, UPM; Mr. Jamil of Immunofluorescence laboratory, FPV; Mr. Rizal of Inforport laboratory and Mr. Hilman and Mr. Azmel of Animal Research Laboratory, FPV for their immense contribution and help during my work in each of their laboratories.

Words are not enough to thank my wife, Ifeoma for her love and support. It has not been easy for us, but God has supplied strength to carry us through. Like you usually say, 'it will end in praise' and it has. I am heavily indebted to my children Chiemerie, Ifenna, Ogonna more especially Ebubechukwu who I missed her early age bonding. My prayer is that we will all reap from these sacrifices. I also thank my mum, Christiana and my siblings Uche, Onyeka, Ugo, Oge, Chioma, Ofordile and Chinalu whose prayers and encouragement has been a pillar of strength. I thank my in-laws especially my mother in-law whom I know always prays for my success.

I wish to thank my colleagues, Drs Nafiu Lawal, Jamilu Bala, Salisu Ahmed, Bashir Tambuwal, Bashir Ali, Lekko Yusuff, Mohammed, Hassana, Raji, Tasiu, Aliyu, Onyinye Agina, Waseem, who were supportive and collaborative in various areas of my studies, and my friends Emmanuel Abugu, Valentine Nwodo, Drs Musa Samaila, Innocent Peter, Abel, Segun, Erasmus, Maureen, Alphonsus, Sandra, Adaobi Nkeokelonye, Sabrina, Ibrahim Akinfalabi, Usman Ndah Umar, Abubakar Abubakar who have all been wonderful. I also wish to thank my wonderful Catholic Student Society (CSSUPM) family (home away from home); Nigerian student community, UPM (NAIJACOMM) and the BHEP, UPM family for all wonderful programmes that provided balance and rejuvenation at different times.



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Dato' Mohd Hair bin Bejo, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Abdul Rahman bin Omar, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Datin Paduka Setia Dato' Aini binti Ideris, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Nurulfiza binti Mat Isa, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<b>ABSTRACT</b>		i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>		iv
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>		vii
<b>APPROVAL</b>		ix
<b>DECLARATION</b>		xi
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>		xix
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>		xx
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>		xxxI
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>		xxxii
<b>CHAPTER</b>		
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Statement of Problem	2
	1.3 Hypothesis	3
	1.4 Objectives of the Study	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Fowl adenovirus	5
	2.1.1 Classification	5
	2.1.2 FAdV in history	5
	2.1.3 Host range	6
	2.1.4 Transmission	6
	2.1.5 Molecular structure of FAdV	7
	2.1.6 Structural proteins associated with FAdV	8
	2.1.7 Mode of replication	10
	2.1.8 Virus growth requirement	13
	2.2 Inclusion bodies hepatitis	14
	2.2.1 Epidemiology and geographical spread	15
	2.2.2 Control and prevention	15
	2.2.3 Vaccines and vaccination	16
	2.2.4 Attenuation	16
	2.2.5 Pathogenesis	17
	2.2.6 Cellular pathogenesis	18
	2.2.7 Tissue tropism	18
	2.2.8 Virus shedding	18
	2.3 Immunogenicity	19
	2.3.1 Host immune response	19
	2.3.2 Humoral	19
	2.3.3 Cell mediated	20
	2.3.4 Immunosuppression	21
	2.4 Method of identification	21
	2.4.1 Virus isolation	21
	2.4.2 Phenotypic	22
	2.4.3 Molecular techniques	22

2.5	Bioreactor technology	23
2.5.1	Types and uses of bioreactor	23
<b>3</b>	<b>PROPAGATION AND ATTENUATION OF 3 FADV ISOLATES IN CHICKEN EMBRYO LIVER CELLS</b>	25
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Materials and methods	27
3.2.1	FAdV isolates	27
3.2.2	Propagation of 3 FAdV isolates in SPF chicken egg embryo	27
3.2.3	PCR confirmation of the SPF egg isolates of FAdV	28
3.2.4	Preparation of media	28
3.2.5	Preparation of 10% and 2% DMEM	28
3.2.6	Preparation of chicken embryo liver cells	29
3.2.7	Attenuation of three FAdV isolates in CEL cells	29
3.2.8	Immunohistochemistry assay for detection of FAdV in chicken embryo liver cells	30
3.2.9	Haematoxylin and Eosin (HE) Staining	31
3.2.10	Determination of the infective dose (TCID <sub>50</sub> ) of the passage isolates	31
3.3	Results	32
3.3.1	SPF embryonated chicken eggs inoculation of three FAdV isolates	32
3.3.2	Primary chicken embryo liver cell preparation	32
3.3.3	Serial passages of 3 FAdV strains isolated from SPF embryonated chicken eggs onto monolayer CEL cells	33
3.3.4	PCR amplification of the hexon and fibre genes of the 3 FAdV isolates from the liver of the SPF CEE	34
3.3.5	Titration of the FAdV isolates (TCID <sub>50</sub> determination)	36
3.3.6	Immunoperoxidase staining of CEL cells infected with passage isolates of UPM11142, UPM11134 and UPM08136	36
3.3.7	Immunofluorescence staining of CEL cells inoculated with passage isolated of UPM11142, UPM11134 and UPM08136	38
3.3.8	Haematoxylin and eosin staining of CEL cells inoculated with FAdV isolates	42
3.4	Discussion	43
<b>4</b>	<b>MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF FOWL ADENOVIRUS ISOLATES</b>	45
4.1	Introduction	45
4.2	Materials and Methods	47
4.2.1	FAdV isolates	47
4.2.2	Primer design	47
4.2.3	DNA extraction	48

4.2.4	Determination of DNA concentration and purity	48
4.2.5	PCR amplification of hexon and fibre genes of FAdV passage isolates	48
4.2.6	Electrophoresis	48
4.2.7	PCR purification	49
4.2.8	Sequencing of the purified amplified and purified hexon genes and NCBI blast	49
4.2.9	Sequence analysis to determine the genetic changes among isolates	49
4.2.10	Phylogenetic analysis of the sequences of hexon and fibre genes of the isolates	50
4.3	Results	51
4.3.1	PCR amplification of hexon gene	51
4.3.2	Sequencing of hexon gene and NCBI Blast	52
4.3.3	Multiple sequence alignment and analysis of hexon gene	52
4.3.4	Phylogenetic tree analysis of hexon gene	62
4.3.5	PCR amplification of fibre gene	65
4.3.6	Sequencing of fibre gene and NCBI Blast	66
4.3.7	Multiple sequence alignment and analysis of fibre gene	66
4.3.8	Phylogenetic tree analysis of fibre gene	72
4.4	Discussion	74
<b>5</b>	<b>PROPAGATION OF FADV ISOLATES IN CYTODEX™ 1 MICROCARRIER ADAPTED CHICKEN EMBRYO LIVER CELLS IN A STIRRED TANK BIOREACTOR</b>	<b>80</b>
5.1	Introduction	80
5.2	Materials and methods	83
5.2.1	Virus isolates	83
5.2.2	Siliconization of all glassware	83
5.2.3	Setting up the BIOSTAT® B bioreactor	83
5.2.4	Preparation of Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier beads	83
5.2.5	Preparation of chicken embryo liver cells	84
5.2.6	Adaptation of CEL cells to Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier culture	84
5.2.7	Stirred tank bioreactor propagation of FAdV in Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier adapted CEL cells	84
5.2.8	DNA extraction	85
5.2.9	Determination of DNA concentration and purity	85
5.2.10	PCR amplification of hexon and fibre genes of FAdV bioreactor passage isolates	85
5.2.11	Electrophoresis	85
5.2.12	PCR purification	85
5.2.13	Sequencing of the purified amplified and purified hexon and fibre genes and NCBI blast	85
5.2.14	Sequence analysis to determine the genetic changes among isolates	85

5.2.15	Phylogenetic analysis of the sequences of hexon and fibre genes of the isolates	86
5.2.16	Immunofluorescence assay	86
5.2.17	Determination of the infective dose (TCID <sub>50</sub> ) of the passage isolates	86
5.3	Results	86
5.3.1	Adaptation of CEL cells on Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier	86
5.3.2	Propagation of FAdV in microcarrier adapted CEL cells	86
5.3.3	Titration of the FAdV isolates propagated in the bioreactor	86
5.3.4	Immunofluorescence assay	88
5.3.5	PCR amplification of hexon and fibre genes of FAdV isolates propagated in Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier adapted CEL cells	89
5.3.6	Sequencing and NCBI blast of hexon and fibre gene of FAdV propagated in Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier adapted CEL cells in a bioreactor	91
5.3.7	Multi sequence alignment of the isolates with reference strains	91
5.3.8	Phylogenetic analysis of hexon and fibre genes of the isolates	100
5.4	Discussion	104
<b>6</b>	<b>INACTIVATION OF FAdV ISOLATES AND THEIR IMMUNOGENICITY, SAFETY AND EFFICACY IN COMMERCIAL BROILER CHICKENS</b>	108
6.1	Introduction	108
6.2	Materials and methods	111
6.2.1	Virus	111
6.2.2	Challenge virus preparation	111
6.2.3	Inactivation of virus working seed	111
6.2.4	Preparation of adjuvant	112
6.2.5	Preparation of inoculum	113
6.2.6	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) Approval	113
6.2.7	Experimental animal trial: immunogenicity and efficacy of inactivated FAdV serotype 8b isolates UPM11142CEL5B1 and UPM08136CEL5B1 on commercial broiler chickens	113
6.2.8	Sample collection	113
6.2.9	Gross lesions and histological changes	114
6.2.10	Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) analysis	115
6.2.11	Immunophenotyping of lymphocytes in liver, spleen and thymus	115
6.2.12	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in the liver and cloaca of challenged chickens	116



6.2.13	Data presentation and statistical analysis	118
6.3	Results	118
6.3.1	Clinical signs	118
6.3.2	Body weight	119
6.3.3	Liver weight	121
6.3.4	Liver to body weight ratio	123
6.3.5	Gross lesions	125
6.3.6	Histopathological changes	126
6.3.7	Fowl adenovirus antibody titre	133
6.3.8	CD3+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the liver	135
6.3.9	CD3+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the spleen	137
6.3.10	CD3+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the thymus	139
6.3.11	CD4+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the liver	141
6.3.12	CD4+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the spleen	143
6.3.13	CD4+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the thymus	145
6.3.14	CD8+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the liver	147
6.3.15	CD8+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the spleen	149
6.3.16	CD8+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the thymus	152
6.3.17	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in liver	154
6.3.18	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in cloaca	156
6.4	Discussion	157
<b>7</b>	<b>PATHOGENICITY AND IMMUNOGENICITY OF THE ATTENUATED FADV ISOLATES</b>	166
7.1	Introduction	166
7.2	Materials and methods	169
7.2.1	Virus	169
7.2.2	Challenge virus preparation	169
7.2.3	Preparation of inoculum	169
7.2.4	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) Approval	169
7.2.5	Experimental animal trial: pathogenicity, immunogenicity and efficacy of live attenuated FAdV serotype 8b isolates UPM11142CEL20 B1 and UPM08136CEL20B1 in commercial broiler chickens	169
7.2.6	Sample collection	171
7.2.7	Gross lesions and histological changes	171
7.2.8	Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) analysis	171
7.2.9	Immunophenotyping of lymphocytes in liver, spleen and thymus	171

7.2.10	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in the liver and cloaca of challenged chickens	172
7.2.11	Data presentation and statistical analysis	173
7.3	Results	173
7.3.1	Clinical signs	173
7.3.2	Body weight	175
7.3.3	Liver weight	177
7.3.4	Liver to body weight ratio ( $10^{-2}$ )	180
7.3.5	Gross lesions	182
7.3.6	Histological changes	183
7.3.7	Fowl adenovirus antibody titre	191
7.3.8	CD3+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the liver	193
7.3.9	CD3+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the spleen	196
7.3.10	CD3+ T-lymphocyte sub-population in the thymus	198
7.3.11	CD4+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the liver	201
7.3.12	CD4+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the spleen	203
7.3.13	CD4+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the thymus	206
7.3.14	CD8+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the liver	208
7.3.15	CD8+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the spleen	210
7.3.16	CD8+ T lymphocyte sub-population in the thymus	213
7.3.17	Specificity of qPCR Primers	216
7.3.18	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in the liver	216
7.3.19	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in cloaca	218
7.4	Discussion	220
<b>8</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>228</b>
8.1	General discussion	228
8.2	Conclusion	238
8.3	Recommendations	238
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>240</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>281</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>311</b>
		<b>312</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Summary of the structural proteins of FAdV serotype 8b	11
3.1	Titre (TCID <sub>50</sub> ) of the FAdV isolates propagated in CEL cells (/ml)	36
4.1	Nucleotide sequences of primers used for amplification of hexon and fibre genes	48
4.2	The aviadenovirus reference strains obtained from GenBank used in the construction of phylogenetic tree for hexon gene analysis of FAdV	50
4.3	The aviadenovirus reference strains obtained from GenBank used in the construction of phylogenetic tree for fibre gene analysis of FAdV	51
4.4	PCR amplification of the hexon gene of the FAdV isolates and their passage isolates	51
4.5	List of Fowl adenovirus isolates in this study and their accession number in NCBI GenBank	53
4.6	PCR amplification of the fibre gene of the FAdV isolates and their passage isolates	66
5.1	Microcarrier concentration, virus titre and CEL cells volume used for the bioreactor propagation	84
5.2	Final volume and virus titre TCID <sub>50</sub> of FAdV vaccine candidates propagated in Cytodex™ 1 microcarriers in a stirred tank bioreactor	87
6.1	Experimental design for immunogenicity and efficacy of BEI inactivated FAdV serotype 8b isolates UPM11142CEL5B1 and UPM08136CEL5B1 on commercial broiler chickens	114
6.2	Primer and probe sequences used for quantitative PCR.	116
7.1	Experimental design for pathogenicity, immunogenicity and efficacy of live attenuated FAdV serotype 8b isolates UPM11142CEL20B1 and UPM08136CEL20B1 in commercial broiler chicken	170

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Schematic transection of the adenovirus particle	8
2.2	Adenoviral replication cycle illustration	12
3.1	Representative images of cytopathic effects of on primary CEL cells following infection with FAdV isolates of different passages.	34
3.2	Agarose gel electrophoresis image of bands from PCR amplification of hexon (with HexA1/HexB1 primers) and fibre (with novel fbrF/fbrR primers) genes indicating FAdV positive samples with 900bp and 940bp band size respectively.	35
3.3	Indirect immunoperoxidase image of CEL cells inoculated with FAdV Cell culture passage isolates.	37
3.4	Indirect immunofluorescence image of CEL cells inoculated with passage isolates of UPM11142.	39
3.5	Indirect immunofluorescence image of CEL cells inoculated with passage isolates of UPM11134.	40
3.6	Indirect immunofluorescence image of CEL cells inoculated with passage isolates of UPM08136.	41
3.7	Haematoxylin and Eosin staining of CEL cells infected with passage isolates of UPM11142, UPM11134 and UPM08136 48 hours pi.	42
4.1	Sequence region categorisation of fibre genes of the FAdV isolates. Fibre gene is made up of tail, shaft and knob regions.	50
4.2	Electrophoresis gel image of the hexon gene of FAdV P0 - P20 isolates using the hexon - based primer pair HexA1/HexB1.	52
4.3	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene nucleotide sequences of original and passage isolates of UPM11142 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	55
4.4	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene amino acid of original and passage isolates of UPM11142 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing amino acid change between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	56

4.5	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene nucleotide sequences of original and passage isolates of UPM11134 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CEL P10, P15 & P20 isolates.	58
4.6	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene amino acid residues of original and passage isolates of UPM11134 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing no amino acid change between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	59
4.7	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene nucleotide sequences of original and passage isolates of UPM08136 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	61
4.8	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene amino acid residues of original and passage isolates of UPM08136 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing amino acid changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	62
4.9	Phylogenetic tree constructed with nucleotide sequences of hexon gene of P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 and P20 of UPM11142, UPM11134 and UPM08136 isolates with 25 reference isolates from GenBank.	64
4.10	Phylogenetic tree constructed with deduced amino acid sequences of hexon gene of P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 and P20 of UPM11142, UPM11134 and UPM08136 with FAdV reference isolates from GenBank.	65
4.11	Electrophoresis gel image of the fibre gene of FAdV P0 – P20 isolates with 940bp band size using the primer pair fbrF/fbrR.	66
4.12	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene nucleotide sequences of UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank and P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 & P20 isolates of UPM11142, showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	68
4.13	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene amino acid residues UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank and P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 & P20 isolates of UPM11142, showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	68

4.14	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene nucleotide sequences of UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank and P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 & P20 isolates of UPM11142, showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	70
4.15	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene amino acid residues of UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank and P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 & P20 isolates of UPM11142, showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	70
4.16	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene nucleotide sequences of UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank and P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 & P20 isolates of UPM11142, showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	71
4.17	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene amino acid residues of UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank and P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 & P20 isolates of UPM11142, showing nucleotide changes between the CEE isolate and CELP20 isolate.	72
4.18	Phylogenetic tree analysis for nucleotide sequences of Fibre gene of P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 and P20 of UPM11142, UPM11134 and UPM08136 and FAdV reference strains from GenBank.	73
4.19	Phylogenetic tree analysis for amino acid sequences fibre gene of P0, P1, P5, P10, P15 and P20 of UPM11142, UPM11134 and UPM08136 and FAdV reference strains from GenBank.	74
5.1	Inverted microscopic image of CEL cells attachment on the surface of Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier indicating adaptation of the cells to microcarrier propagation.	87
5.2	Cytodex® 1 microcarrier beads with CEL cells infected with FAdV showing detachment of cells.	88
5.3	Immunofluorescence microscopic images of CEL cells infected with FAdV isolates propagated in Cytodex™ 1 microcarrier adapted CEL cells in a stirred tank bioreactor after 48 hours incubation.	89
5.4	Transillumination image showing agarose gel electrophoresis bands of hexon gene PCR products of FAdV corresponding to about 900bp.	90

5.5	Transillumination image showing agarose gel electrophoresis bands of fibre gene PCR products of FAdV corresponding to about 940bp.	90
5.6	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene nucleotide sequences of P0, P5, P5B1, P20 and P20B1 isolates of UPM11142 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing no nucleotide change between the flask and bioreactor isolates.	93
5.7	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene amino acid residues of P0, P5, P5B1, P20 and P20B1 isolates of UPM11142 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing no amino acid change between the flask and bioreactor isolates.	94
5.8	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene nucleotide sequences of P0, P5, P5B1, P20 and P20B1 isolates of UPM08136 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing one nucleotide change between the flask and bioreactor isolates.	96
5.9	Multi sequence alignment comparing the hexon gene amino acid residues of P0, P5, P5B1, P20 and P20B1 isolates of UPM08136 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing one amino acid change between the flask and bioreactor isolates.	97
5.10	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene nucleotide sequences of P0, P5, P5B1, P20 and P20B1 isolates of UPM11142 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing no nucleotide change between the flask and bioreactor isolates.	98
5.11	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene amino acid residues of P0, P5, P5B1, P20 and P20B1 isolates of UPM11142 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing no amino acid change between the flask and bioreactor isolates.	98
5.12	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene nucleotide sequences of P0, P5, P5B1, P20 and P20B1 isolates of UPM08136 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing no nucleotide change between the flask and bioreactor isolates.	99

5.13	Multi sequence alignment comparing the fibre gene amino acid residues of P0, P5, P5B1, P20 and P20B1 isolates of UPM08136 and UPM04217 reference isolate from GenBank showing no amino acid change between the flask and bioreactor isolates.	100
5.14	Phylogenetic tree analysis for nucleotide sequences of hexon gene of the four test FAdV isolates and FAdV reference strains from GenBank.	101
5.15	Phylogenetic tree analysis for amino acid residues of hexon gene of the four test FAdV isolates and FAdV reference strains from GenBank.	102
5.16	Phylogenetic tree analysis for nucleotide sequences of Fibre gene of the four test FAdV isolates and FAdV reference strains from GenBank.	103
5.17	Phylogenetic tree analysis for nucleotide sequences of Fibre gene of the four test FAdV isolates and FAdV reference strains from GenBank.	104
6.1	Amplification plot of standard curve of probe-based qPCR of viral genome copy number in the liver and cloacal swab of chickens infected with FAdV 8b challenge virus.	117
6.2	Formula for the calculation of DNA molecule in a sample for the generation of qPCR standard curve.	117
6.3	Body weight of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	120
6.4	Body weight of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	121
6.5	Liver weight of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	122
6.6	Liver weight of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	123
6.7	Liver to body weight ratio of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	124



6.8	Liver to body weight ratio of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	125
6.9	Liver of unchallenged chicken inoculated with inactivated FAdV isolates	127
6.10	Liver of chicken inoculated with inactivated FAdV 8b isolates and challenged with pathogenic FAdV 8b	128
6.11	Spleen of unchallenged chicken inoculated with inactivated FAdV isolates	129
6.12	Spleen of chicken inoculated with inactivated FAdV 8b isolates and challenged with pathogenic FAdV 8b	130
6.13	Thymus of unchallenged chicken inoculated with inactivated FAdV isolates	131
6.14	Thymus of chicken inoculated with inactivated FAdV 8b isolates and challenged with pathogenic FAdV 8b	132
6.15	Antibody titre of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	133
6.16	Antibody titre of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	134
6.17	CD3 <sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	135
6.18	CD3 <sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	136
6.19	CD3 <sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	137
6.20	CD3 <sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	138
6.21	CD3 <sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5b1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	140

6.22	CD3+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	141
6.23	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	142
6.24	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	143
6.25	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	144
6.26	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	145
6.27	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	146
6.28	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	147
6.29	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	148
6.30	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	149
6.31	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	150
6.32	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM08136P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	151
6.33	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with inactivated UPM11142P5B1 FAdV strain with Montanide 71VG adjuvant.	153

6.35	Amplification plot of the probe-based qPCR of viral genome copy number in the liver of chickens infected with FAdV 8b challenge virus. Showing amplification curve of the templates.	155
6.36	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in the liver of challenged chickens.	155
6.37	Amplification plot of the probe-based qPCR of viral genome copy number in the cloacal swab of chickens infected with FAdV 8b challenge virus. Showing amplification curve of the templates.	157
6.38	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in the cloacal swab of challenged chickens.	157
7.1	Amplification plot of standard curve of probe-based qPCR of viral genome copy number in the liver and cloacal swab of chickens infected with FAdV 8b challenge virus.	173
7.2	Body weight of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	176
7.3	Body weight of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	177
7.4	Liver weight of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	178
7.5	Liver weight of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	179
7.6	Liver: body weight ratio of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	181
7.7	Liver: body weight ratio of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	182
7.8	Liver of unchallenged chicken inoculated with attenuated FAdV isolates	185
7.9	Liver of chicken inoculated with attenuated FAdV 8b isolates and of challenged with pathogenic FAdV 8b	186
7.10	Spleen of unchallenged chicken inoculated with attenuated FAdV isolates	187
7.11	Spleen of chicken inoculated with attenuated FAdV 8b isolates and of challenged with pathogenic FAdV 8b	188

7.12	Thymus of unchallenged chicken inoculated with attenuated FAdV isolates	189
7.13	Thymus of chicken inoculated with attenuated FAdV 8b isolates and of challenged with pathogenic FAdV 8b	190
7.14	Fowl adenovirus antibody titre of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	192
7.15	Fowl adenovirus antibody titre of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	193
7.16	CD3+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	194
7.17	CD3+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	196
7.18	CD3+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	197
7.19	CD3+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	198
7.20	CD3+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	199
7.21	CD3+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	200
7.22	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	202
7.23	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	203
7.24	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	204

7.25	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	205
7.26	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	207
7.27	CD4+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	208
7.28	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	209
7.29	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the liver of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	210
7.30	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	211
7.31	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the spleen of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	213
7.32	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM11142P20B1 FAdV strain.	214
7.33	CD8+ T lymphocyte subpopulation in the thymus of commercial chickens inoculated with attenuated UPM08136P20B1 FAdV strain.	215
7.34	Electrophoresis gel image of the hexon gene of FAdV isolates using the hexon-based qPCR primer pair qHexF/qHexR. Lane 1, UPM11142CELP3EP2; Lane 2, UPM11142P20B1; Lane 3, UPM08136P20B1 and Lane 4, Non-template.	216
7.35	Amplification plot of the probe-based qPCR of viral genome copy number in the liver of chickens infected with FAdV 8b challenge virus.	217
7.36	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in the liver of challenged chickens.	218

7.37	Amplification plot of the probe-based qPCR of viral genome copy number in the cloacal swab of chickens infected with FAdV 8b challenge virus.	219
7.38	Viral genome copy number of FAdV challenge virus in the cloacal swab of challenged chickens.	220



## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>Appendix</b>		<b>Page</b>
A	Antibodies for flowcytometry	281
B	Cumulative mortality of CEE and CPE of CEL cells infected with FAdV isolates	282
C	Substitution of TLNSE motif	286
D	Quantitative real-time PCR standard curve and Cq values	287
E	Dot plots of flowcytometry analysis of lymphocytes	288
F	Body weight, liver weight, antibody titre, lymphocyte sub-population and copy number of FAdV challenge virus of chickens inoculated with inactivated FAdV virus	291
G	qPCR Cq values cloacal and liver samples	299
H	Dot plots of flowcytometry analysis of lymphocytes	300
I	Body weight, liver weight, antibody titre, lymphocyte sub-population and copy number of FAdV challenge virus of chickens inoculated with attenuated FAdV virus	303

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADC	Anchorage dependent cells
ADP	Adenoviral nuclear membrane glycoprotein
AdV	Adenovirus
APC	antigen presenting cells
APC	Allophycocyanin dye
BEI	Binary ethylene imine
BFBs	biological fluidized bed
BGM70	Baby grivet monkey-70
BOD	biological oxygen demand
bp	Base pair
BPL	Betapropiolactone
CAM	Chorioallantoic membrane
CAR	chimeric antigen receptor
CAR	coxsackievirus-adenovirus receptor
CAV	Chicken infectious anaemia virus
CD3+	Cluster of differentiation 3 positive
CD4+	Cluster of differentiation 4 positive
CD8+	Cluster of differentiation 8 positive
CEL	Chicken embryo liver cells
CMI	Cell mediated immunity
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbondioxide
COD	chemical oxygen demand
DAB	3,3'-Diaminobenzidine



DAPI	4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
DDBJ	DNA Data Bank of Japan
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DNase	Deoxyribonuclease
dpi	Day post inoculation
DPX	Colorless synthetic resin mounting media, mixture of Distyrene, a plasticizer, and xylene
dsDNA	Double stranded Deoxyribonucleic acid
EDS'76	Egg drop syndrome
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
ENA	European Nucleotide Archive
FAdV	Fowl adenovirus
FB	Fluidized bed
FBF	Fluidized bed fermentation
FBS	Foetal bovine serum
FITC	Fluorescein isothiocyanate dye
FMIA	Fibre-based fluorescent microsphere immunoassay
G+C	Guanine and cytosine content
GE	Gizzard erosion
GIT	Gastrointestinal tract
GON	Group of nine hexons
GOS	Group of six hexons
H1N1	Influenza virus

HCl	Hydrochloric acid
HFBs	Hollow fiber bioreactors
HPS	Hydropericadium syndrome
HRM	High resolution melting curve
IACUC	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee
IBDV	Infectious bursal disease virus
IBH	Inclusion body hepatitis
IFN	Interferon
IFN- $\gamma$	Interferon
INIB	Intranuclear inclusion body
INSDC	Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration
ITR	Inverted terminal protein
Kb	Kilobase
KDa	Kilodalton
L1-4	Loop regions of hexon gene 1-4
MBR	membrane bioreactors
MDA	Maternally derived antibodies
MDCK	Madin Darby canine kidney cells
MDV	Marek's disease virus
MEM	Minimum essential medium
MHC-I	Major histocompatibility class 1
MHC-II	Major histocompatibility class 2
mRNA	Messenger RNA
MVA	Modified Vaccinia Ankara virus

NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NCBI	National Centre for Biotechnology Information
NDV	Newcastle disease virus
NK cells	Natural killer cells
ORF	Open reading frame
P1-2	Pedestal region of hexon gene 1 and 2
PAMP	pathogen associated molecular pattern
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PBST	Phosphate buffered saline with tween 20
pc	Post challenge
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PE	R-phycoerythrin dye
pH	Power/potential of hydrogen that measures level of acidity or alkalinity
PRR	Pattern recognition receptors
pTP	Precursor terminal protein
qPCR	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
RDG	Tripeptide amino acids (arginine, glycine and aspartate) within fibronectin protein that mediates cell attachment
RIG-1	Retinoic acid-inducible gene I
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
SPF	Specific pathogen free
STR	Stirred tank bioreactor
TAE	Tris-acetate EDTA
TC	Tissue culture

TCID <sub>50</sub>	Tissue culture infective dose
T <sub>cm</sub>	central memory T cells
TCR	T cell receptor
T <sub>em</sub>	effector memory T cells
TH cells	T helper cells
TLR	Toll-like receptor
TP	Terminal protein
T <sub>rm</sub>	resident memory T cells
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
UV	Ultraviolet light
Vero	Green monkey kidney cells
A	alanine – ala
R	arginine – arg
N	asparagine – asn
D	aspartic acid – asp
C	cysteine – cys
E	glutamic acid – glu
Q	glutamine – gln
G	glycine - gly
H	histidine – his
I	isoleucine – ile
L	leucine – leu
K	lysine - lys
M	methionine – met

F	phenylalanine – phe
P	proline – pro
S	serine - ser
T	threonine – thr
W	tryptophan – trp
Y	tyrosine - tyr
V	valine- val



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the study

Fowl adenovirus (FAdV) belongs to the family adenoviridae and genus aviadenoviridae (Harrach et al., 2012). They were first isolated from contaminated embryonated chicken egg in 1949 (Van der Ende et al., 1949). FAdV is structurally made up of a hexon base and a penton base linked to each other by one or two fibers. It is the hexon that incite the production of antibodies which is used to serotype the virus through virus neutralization tests (Hess, 2000). FAdV is made up of 12 serotypes ascribed FAdV1-7, FAdV8a, FAdV8b and FAdV9-11 (Hess, 2000). By the use of restriction enzyme analysis (Zsak and Kisany, 1984) and later by hexon gene amplification and analysis (Benko et al., 2005) FAdV is further subdivided into 5 groups (A-E) which was classified as follows: FAdV serotypes 1 and 5 belong to group A and B respectively, FAdV 4 and 10 (group C); FAdV 2, 3, 9 and 11 (group D) and FAdV 6, 7, 8a and 8b (group E) (Adair and Fitzgerald, 2008).

Fowl adenovirus was originally seen as opportunistic pathogens which only elicit disease as a secondary infection especially associated with immuno-compromised hosts. In chicken it was associated with Inclusion body hepatitis (IBH) as a secondary infection to Infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) and Chicken infectious anaemia virus (CAV) which were then precursors of IBH. However, in 2006, Gomis et al, reported cases of IBH without IBDV and or CAV. Experimental cases of IBH were later reproduced with FAdV type E thereby making FAdV a potential cause of IBH (Adair and Fitzgerald, 2008; Zadavec et al., 2011; Choi et al., 2012; Dar et al., 2012).

FAdV 4 was consistently isolated from Hepatitis-hydropericardium syndrome (HPS) which characteristically causes the filling of the pericardium with straw-coloured fluid. HPS has similar characteristics with IBH, but while IBH is associated with 30% mortality HPS is usually known to cause 75% mortality. This disease has been reported in Asia, South America and Middle East (Nakamura et al., 2000) and has been reproduced with FAdV 4 (Hess et al., 1999). In Japan and very commonly in Europe, FAdV1 was isolated from lesions of gizzard erosion (Okuda et al., 2001; Ono et al., 2003; Marek et al., 2010; Domanska-Blicharz, 2011). In this case, 1-2 weeks old broilers were presented with degeneration and erosion of the keratinous layer of the gizzard, high feed conversion ratio and gizzard condemnation. The virus has also been confirmed to be capable of spreading to other organs of the host where they may also cause immunosuppression by interacting with humoral and cell associated functions of the immune system (Schonewille et al., 2000).

FAdV 8b is associated with IBH and have been reported worldwide. IBH is characterized by sudden onset of mortality which peaks at 3 – 4 days of infection and ending on the fifth day but can continue up to 2-3 weeks. Sick chickens appear with ruffled feather and

died within 48 hours of infection or may recover (Calnek et al., 1991). IBH is associated with increasing flock mortality rate usually ranging from 10-30% but could be as low as 2% as well (Choi et al., 2012; Dar et al., 2012). It affects broiler chickens usually at 3-7 weeks of age and also affects other avian species like turkey, geese, pheasant and quails (Cowen, 1992; Singh et al., 1996). The disease has also been reported within a week of hatch in broilers (Pilkington et al., 1997) as well as in pullets (Choi et al., 2012). Although five species (A to E) and 12 serotypes (1-7, 8a, 8b, 9-11) of FAdVs are known (Gunes et al., 2012; Gupta et al., 2017), IBH is primarily caused by FAdV-7, 8a and 8b of species E and FAdV-2 and 11 of species D (Gomis et al., 2006; Philippe et al., 2007; Ojkic et al., 2008; Steer et al., 2011; Choi et al., 2012). FAdV-8a, 8b and 11 are the predominant serotypes associated with outbreaks of IBH in Canada (Ojkic et al., 2008a; Ojkic et al., 2008b). Transmission is vertical through embryonated eggs or horizontal through direct contact with excreta of infected chicken or formites (McFerran and Smyth, 2000).

FAdV serotype 8b infection is also associated with low feed intake, poor growth, high feed conversion ratio, respiratory diseases and tenosynovitis (McFerran et al., 1971; Jones and Geordion, 1983; Adair and Fitzgerald, 2008). FAdV infections were observed to be associated with enlarged, pale and congested liver, hepatic haemorrhages and necrosis (Hair-Bejo 2005; Norina et al., 2016), atrophy of thymus and bursa of Fabricius (Mettifugo et al., 2014), congestion and inflammation of trachea and lungs, watery contents in the intestinal tracts, pale heart muscles (Mariappan et al., 2018) and necrosis of the gizzard (Ono et al., 2001).

Apart from the traditional methods of isolation, detection and characterization involving culture on chicken embryo, virus neutralization test, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) which are laborious and time consuming, other modern methods have evolved. Molecular detection of FAdV is based on PCR using primers designed to target hexon genes (Seer et al., 2011; Dar et al., 2012). A combination of PCR and restriction enzyme analysis (REA) could be used to classify FAdV into serotypes (Mittal et al., 2014).

Since diseases caused by most viruses including adenovirus are not treatable, control and management of FAdV infection could be only through prevention. Preventive measures like adequate biosecurity measures which are set standards generally are encouraged. However, vaccination still remains the best option for prevention and control of this infection. Vaccine production involves inactivation (killed vaccines), attenuation (live vaccines) and recombinant gene technology (new generation vaccines). Interestingly, these three types of vaccines are currently available for commercial poultry industry in Malaysia (Hair-Bejo, 2010).

## **1.2 Statement of Problem**

IBH, HPS, gizzard erosion and respiratory diseases caused by fowl adenovirus (Hess et al., 1999; Gomis et al., 2006) has been reported in various countries: USA (Mendelson et al., 1995), India (Mittal et al., 2014), Canada (Ojkic et al., 2008), Hungary (Kajan et al., 2013), Korea (Choi et al., 2012), Japan (Mase et al., 2012), China (Li et al., 2010)

and in Malaysia as well (Hair-Bejo, 2005; Norina et al., 2016) with heavy economic losses in the poultry industry.

FAdV is transmitted vertically which makes control of infection very difficult. Vaccination of parent stock and their progenies may be the major form of prevention and control. Although vaccines against FAdV have been produced in various countries which may be in use in Malaysia currently, these vaccines most probably were not produced with Malaysian strains of this virus which makes efficacy and effectiveness difficult. In addition, different diseases are caused by different serotypes and do not confer cross protection which makes development of vaccines in regions and countries necessary (Dar et al., 2012).

For vaccine development, FAdV has to be grown in susceptible cells especially when it's targeted at bypassing the traditional use of scarce SPF eggs which is labourous and not suitable for large volume production. But its growth is hampered by the inability of the organism to adapt satisfactorily in different continuous cell lines which make its study and attenuation a herculean task.

After overcoming the difficulties of vaccine development, transiting from bench to field could be very challenging especially with large volume production. To bypass the huge costs, labour intensive and time-consuming procedures associated with repeated flask-based tissue culture for large volumes of vaccine virus, requires a thorough-put, sensitive procedure. Thus, to safeguard these attenuated vaccine candidates from changes in the hexon and fibre genes that could lead to reversion to virulence requires optimization and could also pose a difficult challenge.

### 1.3 Hypothesis

**H<sub>0</sub>:** Attenuated Malaysian FAdV strains cannot be propagated in CEL cell adapted to microcarrier in a bioreactor.

**H<sub>A</sub>:** Attenuated Malaysian FAdV strains can be propagated in CEL cell adapted to microcarrier in a bioreactor.

**H<sub>0</sub>:** Inactivated FAdV will not be immunogenic and safe in commercial chickens.

**H<sub>A</sub>:** Inactivated FAdV will be immunogenic and safe in commercial chickens.

**H<sub>0</sub>:** Attenuated FAdV will not be low pathogenic, safe and immunogenic strains in commercial chickens.

**H<sub>A</sub>:** Attenuated FAdV will be low pathogenic, safe and immunogenic strains in commercial chickens.



#### 1.4 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study was to attenuate, characterize and inactivate FAdV strains of Malaysia for the purpose of vaccine development. The specific objectives were:

1. to propagate and attenuate the FAdV isolates on CEL cells.
2. to determine the molecular characteristics of the FAdV isolates and their progenies.
3. to propagate UPM11142P5, UPM11142P20, UPM08136P5 and UPM08136P20 FAdV isolates in microcarrier adapted CEL cells in a bioreactor.
4. to inactivate the selected FAdV isolates and determine their immunogenicity, safety and efficacy in commercial broiler chickens.
5. to determine the pathogenicity, immunogenicity and efficacy of the attenuated FAdV isolates in commercial broiler chickens.

## REFERENCES

- Aaby P., Martins C.L., Garly M.L., Andersen A., Fisker A.B., Claesson M.H., Ravn H., Rodrigues A., Whittle H.C. and Benn C.S. 2014. Measles vaccination in the presence or absence of maternal measles antibody: impact on child survival. *Clin Infect Dis*; 59(4): 484-492.
- Abbas A.K., Lichtman A.H., and Pober J.S. 2000. Cellular and molecular immunology. 4th edition. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, PA.
- Abdelwhab E.M., Grund C., Aly M.M., Beer M., Harder T.C. and Hafez H.M. 2012. Influence of maternal immunity on vaccine efficacy and susceptibility of one day old chicks against Egyptian highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1. *Vet. Microbiol.*; 155: 13–20.
- Abdul-Aziz T.A. and Al-Attar M.A., 1991. New syndrome in Iraqi chicks. *Vet. Rec.*; 129: 272-275.
- Abghour S., Zro K., Mouahid M., Tahiri F., Tarta M., Berrada J. and Kichou F. 2019. Isolation and characterization of fowl aviadenovirus serotype 11 from chickens with inclusion body hepatitis in Morocco. *PLoS ONE*; 14(12): e0227004.
- Ackrill A.M., Foster G.R., Laxton C.D., Flavell D.M., Stark G.R. and Kerr I.M. 1991. Inhibition of the cellular response to interferons by products of the adenovirus type 5 E1A oncogene. *Nuc. Acids Res.*; 19: 4387–4393.
- Adair B.M., McFerran J.B. and Calvert V.M. 1980. Development of a microtitre fluorescent-antibody test for serological detection of adenovirus infection in birds. *Avian Path.*; 9: 291-300.
- Adair B.M., Curran W.L. and McFerran J.B. 1979. Ultrastructural studies of the replication of fowl adenovirus in primary cell cultures. *Avian Path.*; 8: 133–144.
- Adair B.M. and Fitzgerald S.D. 2008. Adenovirus infections. In: Y. M. Saif, A. M. Fadly, J. R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald, L.K.Nolan , and D.E. Swayne . *Diseases of Poultry*, 12th edn (258 – 260). Ames: Blackwell.
- Ahi Y.S. and Mittal S.K. 2016. Components of adenovirus genome packaging. *Front. Microbiol.*; 7: 1503.
- Akira S., Uematsu S. and Takeuchi O. 2006. Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. *Cell*, 124: 783–801.
- Albrecht T., Fons M., Boldogh I. and Rabson A.S. 1996. In Baron S. (ed.) *Medical Microbiology*. 4<sup>th</sup> edition Galveston (Tx) University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston. Chapter 44.

- Alemnesh W., Hair-Bejo M., Aini I. and Omar A.R. 2012. Pathogenicity of fowl adenovirus in specific pathogen free chicken embryos. *J Comp Pathol.*; 146(2-3): 223-229.
- Alexandersen S., Nelson T.M., Hodge J. and Druce J. 2017. Evolutionary and network analysis of virus sequences from infants infected with an Australian recombinant strain of human parechovirus type 3. *Sci Rep*; 7: 3861.
- Alfaki S.H., Hussien M.O., Elsheikh F.M., Taha K.M., Elbrissi A.H., and El Hussein A. 2019. Serological and molecular identification of Reticuloendotheliosis virus (REV) in chickens in Sudan. *Vet. Med. Sci.*; 5(4): 508–511. doi.org/10.1002/vms3.188.
- Ali S., Rafique A., Ahmed M. and Sakander S. 2018. Different types of industrial fermenters and their associated operations for the mass production of metabolites. *Ejpmr*; 5(5): 109-119.
- Ali S., Mahmood M.S., Hussain I. and Khan M.N. 2015. Preparation and evaluation of lyophilized live attenuated vaccine of inclusion body hepatitis hydro-pericardium syndrome (IBH-HPS) against challenge in broiler chickens. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 17: 658–662.
- Almgren J., Nilsson C., Peterson A.C. and Nilsson K. 1991. Cultispher-macroporous gelatine microcarrier-new applications, pp. 434-438. In Spier RE, Griffiths JB, Meignier B (eds.). *Production of Biologicals from Animal Cells in Culture*. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford.
- Altschul S.F., Madden T.L., Schäffer A.A., Zhang J., Zhang Z., Miller W. and Lipman D.J. 1997. "Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs", *Nuc. Acids Res.*; 25: 3389-3402.
- Alvarado I.R., Villegas P., El-Attrache J., Jensen E., Rosales G., Perozo F. and Purvis L.B. 2007. Genetic characterization, pathogenicity, and protection studies with an avian adenovirus isolate associated with inclusion body hepatitis. *Avian Dis*; 51: 27–32.
- Antoniades H.N., Scher C.D. and Stiles C.D. 1979. Purification of human platelet-derived growth factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.*; 76: 1809-1813.
- Anzano M.A., Roberts A.B., Meyers C.A., Komoriya A., Lamb L.C., Smith J.M. and Sporn M.B. 1982. Communication: synergistic interaction of two classes of transforming growth factors from murine sarcoma cells. *Cancer Res.*; 42: 4776-4778.
- Arstila P.T., Vainio O. and Lassila O. 1994. Central role of CD4+T cells in avian immune response. *Poult. Sci.* 73, 1019-1016.
- Asrani R.K., Gupta B.K., Sharma S.K., Singh S.P. and Katoch R.C., 1997. Hydropericardium - hepatopathy syndrome in Asian poultry. *Vet. Rec.*, 141, 271-273.

- Astawa I.N.M., Agustini N.L.P., Tenaya I.W.M. and Aryawiguna I.P.G. 2018. Protective antibody response of Balb/c mice to Bali rabies virus isolate propagated in BHK-21 cells. *J. Vet. Med.Sci.*; 80(10): 1596–1603.
- Asthana M., Singh V.K., Kumar R. and Chandra R. 2011. Isolation, cloning and in silico study of hexon gene of fowl adenovirus 4 (FAdV4) isolates associated with hydropericardium syndrome in Domestic Fowl. *J Proteomics Bioinform*; 4: 190-195.
- Aucouturier J., Dupuis L. and Ganne V. 2001. Adjuvants designed for veterinary and human vaccines. *Vaccine*.; 19: 2666–2672.
- Aucouturier J., Dupuis L., Deville S., Ascarateil S. and Ganne V. 2002. Montanide ISA 720 and 51: a new generation of water in oil emulsions as adjuvants for human vaccines. *Expert Rev Vaccines*.; 1: 111–118.
- Aunins J.G., Badar B., Caola A., Grffiths J., Katz M., Licari P., Ram K., Ranucci C.S. and Zhou W. 2003. Fluid mechanics, cell distribution and environment in cell cube bioreactor. *Biotechnol. Prog*; 19: 2-8.
- Badgett M.R., Auer A., Carmichael L.E., Parrish C.R. and Bull J.J. 2002. Evolutionary dynamics of viral attenuation. *J. of virol.*; 76(20): 10524–10529.
- Bahar M., Pervez M.T., Ali A., and Babar M.E. 2019. In silico analysis of Hepatitis B virus genotype D subgenotype D1 circulating in Pakistan, China, and India. *Evol. Bioinform*; 15: 117693431986133.
- Baker L.E. 1936. Artificial media for the cultivation of fibroblasts, epithelial cells and monocytes. *Science*.; 83: 605–606.
- Barber M.R., Aldridge J.R., Jr., Webster R.G. and Magor K.E. 2010. Association of RIG-I with innate immunity of ducks to influenza. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010*, 107, 5913–5918.
- Barnes D. and Sato G. 1979. Growth of a human mammary tumour cell line in a serum-free medium. *Nature*.; 281: 388-389.
- Baron S., Fons M. and Albrecht T. 1996. Viral Pathogenesis. In: Eds Baron S. Medical Microbiology, Chapter 45, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Galveston (TX): University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston.
- Barrios P.R., Marin S.Y., Rios R.L., Pereira C.G., Resende M., Resende J.S. and Martins N.R.S. 2011. A retrospective PCR investigation of Avian orthoreovirus, chicken infectious anemia and fowl Aviadenovirus genomes contamination in commercial poultry vaccines in Brazil. *Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec.*; 64: 231-235.
- Barua S., Mondal B., Sanyal A., Hemadri D., Bandyopadhyay S.K. and Rai A. 2005. Sequencing and comparative analysis of hexon gene of Fowl adenovirus 4 of Indian origin. *Indian J. Biotech.*; 4: 367-372.

- Barua S. and Rai A. 2003. Cultivation of fowl adenovirus-4 in chick embryo liver cell culture and purification of the virus by ultracentrifugation. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.*; 24(2): 195–196.
- Benko M. 2015. Adenoviruses: Pathogenesis. *Ref. Mod Biomed Sci.*; 1-7.
- Benko M., Harrach B., Both G.W., Russell W.C., Adair B.M., Adam E., deJong J.C., Hess M., Johnson M., Kajon A., Kidd A.H., Lehmkuhl H.D., Li Q., Mautner V., Pring-Akerblom P. and Wadell G. 2005. Family Adenoviridae. In: Fauquet, C.M., Mayo, M.A., Maniloff, J. et al (Eds.), *Virus Taxonomy: VIIIth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*. Elsevier Academic Press, New York, pp. 213-228.
- Bergelson J.M., Cunningham J.A., Droguett G., Kurt-Jones E.A., Krithivas A., Hong J.S., Horwitz M.S., Crowell R.L. and Finberg R.W. 1997. Isolation of a common receptor for Coxsackie B viruses and adenoviruses 2 and 5. *Science*; 275: 1320–1323.
- Berhane Y., Berry J.D., Ranadheera C., Marszal P., Nicolas B., Yuan X., Czub M. and Weingartl H. 2006. Production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies against binary ethylenimine inactivated Nipah virus. *J. Virol. Methods.*; 132(1-2): 59–68.
- Betts M.J. and Russell R.B. 2003. Amino acid properties and consequences of substitutions. In: *Bioinformatics for Geneticists*, M.R. Barnes, I.C. Gray eds, Wiley.
- Bewley M., Springer K., Zhang Y-B., Freimuth P. and Flanagan J. 1999. Structural analysis of the mechanism of adenovirus binding to its human cellular receptor, CAR. *Science*; 286: 1578-1583.
- Bhowmik M.K. 1996. Leechi disease (hydropericardium syndrome) in broiler chicken in West Bengal. Proceedings of XXth World's Poultry Congress, New Delhi, India, 317.
- Blüml G. 2007. Microcarrier Cell Culture Technology. In: Pörtner R. (eds), *Animal Cell Biotechnology. Methods in Biotechnology*; 24. Humana Press
- Bonnafous P., Nicolai M.C., Taveau J.C., Chevalier M., Barriere F., Medina J., Le Bihan O., Adam O., Ronzon F. and Lambert O. 2014. Treatment of influenza virus with beta-propiolactone alters viral membrane fusion. *Biochim Biophys Acta*; 1838:355–63.
- Bour S., Akari H., Miyagi E., and Strebel K. 2003. Naturally occurring amino acid substitutions in the HIV-2 ROD envelope glycoprotein regulate its ability to augment viral particle release. *Virology*; 309(1): 85–98.
- Bowes V.A. and Julian R.J. 1988. Organ weights of normal broiler chickens and those dying of sudden death syndrome. *Can Vet J*; 29(2): 153-6.

- Brewer G.J., Torricelli J.R., Evege E.K. and Price P.J. 1993. Optimized survival of hippocampal neurons in b27- supplemented neurobasal™, a new serum- free medium combination. *J Neurosci Res.*; 35: 567-576.
- Burckhardt C.J., Suomalainen M., Schoenenberger P., Boucke K., Hemmi S. and Greber U.F. 2011. Drifting motions of the adenovirus receptor CAR and immobile integrins initiate virus uncoating and membrane lytic protein exposure. *Cell Host Microbe*; 10: 105–117.
- Burgert H.G. and Kvist S. 1985. An adenovirus type 2 glycoprotein blocks cell surface expression of human histocompatibility class I antigens. *Cell*; 41: 987–997.
- Burnett R.M., Grutter M.G. and White J.L. 1985. The structure of the adenovirus capsid. 1. An envelope model of hexon at 6 Å resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.*; 185: 105-123.
- Burrell C. J., Howard C. R. and Murphy F. A. 2017. Vaccines and vaccination. In: Fenner and White's Medical Virology; 155–167. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-375156-0.00011-4.
- Burrows M.T. 1910. The cultivation of tissues of the chick-embryo outside the body. *JAMA.*; 55: 2057–2058.
- Butler M. 1996. Modes of culture for high cell densities. In Butler M (ed.). *Animal Cell Culture and Technology*; 175-194. Taylor and Francis, Routledge, UK.
- Byars N.E. and Allison A.C. 1990. Immunologic adjuvants: general properties, advantages, and limitations. In: Zola H (ed.) *Laboratory Methods in Immunology*. Boca Raton: CRC Press; p. 39–51.
- Calnek B.W., John Barnes H., Beard C.W., Reid W.M. and H.W. Yoder, Jr. 1991. In: *Diseases of Poultry*, 9th. Ed. Ames, Iowa, USA: Iowa State University Press. pp. 552-563.
- Cazaban C. 2020. Immunosuppression in chickens – what is it? *International Poultry Production*; 13(8):13-14.
- Chahal J.S., Gallagher C., Dehart C.J. and Flint S.J. 2013. The repression domain of the E1B 55-Kilodalton protein participates in countering interferon-induced inhibition of adenovirus replication. *J. Virol.*, 87: 4432.
- Changjing L., Haiying L., Dongdong W., Jingjing W., Youming W., Shouchun W., Jida L., Ping L., Jianlin W. and Shouzhen X. 2016. Characterization of fowl adenoviruses isolated between 2007 and 2014 in China. *Vet Microbiol.*; 197: 62–67.
- Chen C.L., Bucy R.P. and Cooper M.D. 1990. T cell differentiation in birds. *Sem. Immunol.*; 2(1): 79-86.

- Chen A., Poh S.L., Dietzsch C., Roethl E., Yan M.L. and Ng S.K. 2011. Serum-free microcarrier based production of replication deficient influenza vaccine candidate virus lacking NS1 using Vero cells. *BMC biotechnology*; 11: 81.
- Chen H.-B., Kao P.-M., Huang H.-C., Shieh C.-J., Chen C.-I., and Liu Y.-C. 2010. Effects of using various bioreactors on chitinolytic enzymes production by *Paenibacillus taichungensis*. *Biochem. Eng. J.*; 49(3): 337–342.
- Chen K., Peng X., Fang J., Cui H., Zuo Z., Deng J., Chen Z., Geng Y., Lai W., Tang L., and Yang Q. 2014. Effects of dietary selenium on histopathological changes and T cells of spleen in broilers exposed to aflatoxin B1. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*; 11(2): 1904–1913.
- Chetty R. and Gatter K. 1994. CD3: Structure, function and the role of immunostaining in clinical practice. *J. Path.*; 173: 303-307.
- Chiocca S., Kurzbauer R., Schaffner G., Baker A., Mautner V. and Cotten M. 1996. The complete DNA sequence and genomic organization of the avian adenovirus CELO. *J. Virol.*; 70: 2939-2949.
- Choi K.S., Kye S.J., Kim J.Y., Jeon W.J., Lee E.K., Park K.Y. and Sung H.W. 2012. Epidemiological investigation of outbreaks of fowl adenovirus infection in commercial chickens in Korea. *Poult. Sci.*; 91: 2502–2506.
- Choudhary A. 2008. Preparation and standardization of 0.1M Sodium thiosulphate. Pharmaceutical guidelines.
- Cizmecigil U.Y., Umar S., Yilmaz A., Bayraktar E., Turan N., Tali B., Aydin O., Tali H.E., Yaramanoglu M., Yilmaz S.G., Kolukisa A., Sadeyen J.R., Iqbal M. and Yilmaz H. 2020. Characterisation of fowl adenovirus (FAV-8b) strain concerning the geographic analysis and pathological lesions associated with inclusion body hepatitis in broiler flocks in turkey. *J Vet Res*; 64(2): 231–237.
- Clark H.F. and Kritchevsky D. 1972. Growth and attenuation of rabies virus in cell cultures of reptilian origin. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.*; 139: 1317–1325.
- Clevers H., Alarco B., Wileman T. and Terhorst C. 1988. The T cell receptor/CD3 complex: A dynamic protein ensemble. *Annual Rev. Immunol.*; 6: 629-662.
- Clouthier S. 1998. Complete Media Preparation. <http://www.med.umich.edu/wichalab/SOP/SOP4.1-CompleteMediaPreparation.pdf>. Accessed June 2018.
- Cochrane G., Karsch-Mizrachi I. and Takagi T. 2016. The international nucleotide sequence database collaboration. *Nucleic Acids Res.*; 44: D48–D50.
- Cohen S. 1962. Isolation of a mouse submaxillary gland protein accelerating incisor eruption and eyelid opening in the new-born animal. *J Biol Chem.*; 237: 1555–1562.

- Consortium U. 2015. UniProt: a hub for protein information. *Nucleic Acids Res.*; 43: D204–D212.
- Cook C., Zhang X., McGuinness B., Lahm M., Sedmak D. and Ferguson R. Intra-abdominal bacterial infection reactivates latent pulmonary cytomegalovirus in immunocompetent mice. *J Infect Dis.* 2002; 185: 1395–1400.
- Corredor J.C., Krell P.J. and Nagy E. 2006. Sequence analysis of the left end of fowl adenovirus genomes. *Virus Genes*; 33: 95-106.
- Corsten M.F., Schroen B. and Heymans S. 2012. Inflammation in viral myocarditis: Friend or foe? *Trends Mol. Med.*; 18: 426–437.
- Cotten M. and Weber J.M. 1995. The adenovirus protease is required for virus entry into host cells. *Virology*; 213: 494-502.
- Counihan K.L., Skerratt L.F., Franson J.C. and Hollmén T.E. 2015. Phylogenetic and pathogenic characterization of novel adenoviruses isolated from long-tailed ducks (*Clangula hyemalis*). *Virology*; 485: 393-401.
- Cowdry E.V. and Scott G.H. 1930. A comparison of certain intranuclear inclusions found in the livers of dogs without history of infection with intranuclear inclusions characteristic of the action of filterable viruses. *Arch. Pathol.*; 9: 1184-1196.
- Cowen B.S. 1992. Inclusion body hepatitis-anaemia and hydropericardium syndromes: aetiology and control. *World Poultry Sci J*; 48(3): 247-254.
- Crhanova M., Hradecka H., Faldynova M., Matulova M., Havlickova H., Sisak F. and Rychlik I. 2011. Immune response of chicken gut to natural colonization by gut microflora and to *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis infection. *Infect Immun*; 79: 2755–2763.
- Crill W. D., Wichman H. A. and Bull J. J. 2000. Evolutionary reversals during viral adaptation to alternating hosts. *Genetics*; 154: 27-37.
- Crotty S., Gohara D., Gilligan D. K., Karelsky S., Cameron C. E. and Andino R. 2003. Manganese-dependent polioviruses caused by mutations within the viral polymerase. *Journal of Virology*; 77(9): 5378–5388.
- Cummings P. J. 2009. Primary chicken embryo liver cells in culture. *ASMScience*. [www.asmscience.org/image](http://www.asmscience.org/image).
- Da Silva M., Mockett N.R., Barrett A.P. and Cook, J.K. 1991. IgM responses in chicken serum to live and inactivated infectious bronchitis virus vaccines. *Avian Dis.*; 35: 470-475.
- Dagan T., Talmor Y. and Graur D. 2002. Ratios of radical to conservative amino acid replacement are affected by mutational and compositional factors and may not be indicative of positive Darwinian selection. *Mol Biol Evol*; 19(7): 1022–1025.



- Dar A., Tipu M., Townsend H., Potter A., Gerdt V. and Tikoo S. 2015. Administration of poly[di(sodium carboxylatoethylphenoxy)phosphazene] (pcep) and avian beta defensin as adjuvants in inactivated inclusion body hepatitis virus and its hexon protein-based experimental vaccine formulations in chickens. *Avian Dis*, 59 (4): 518-524.
- Dar A., Gomis S., Shirley I., Mutwiri G., Brownlie R., Potter A., Gerdt V. and Tikoo S.K. 2012. Pathotypic and molecular characterization of a fowl adenovirus associated with inclusion body hepatitis in Saskatchewan chickens. *Avian Dis*; 56: 73-81.
- Daughaday W.H., Hall K., Raben M.S., Salmon W. D. Jr, van den Brande J.L. and van Wyk J.J. 1972. Somatomedin: proposed designation for sulphation factor. *Nature*.; 235: 107.
- Davison A.J., Benko M. and Harrach B. 2003. Genetic content and evolution of adenoviruses. *J Gen Virol*; 84: 2895–2908.
- Dawson G. J., Yates V. J., Chang P. W. and Orsi L. N. 1979. Pronovost AD. Egg transmission of avian adenovirus-associated virus and CELO virus during a naturally occurring infection. *Am J Veterinary Res*; 40: 1624–7.
- Dawson G. J., Yates V. J., Chang P. W. and Wattanavijarin W. 1981. Egg transmission of avian adenovirus-associated virus and CELO virus during experimental infections. *Am J Veterinary Res*; 42: 1833–1837.
- De Bartolo L., Salerno S., Curcio E., Piscioneri A., Rende M., Morelli S., Tasselli F., Bader A. and Drioli E. 2009. Human hepatocyte functions in a crossed hollow fiber membrane bioreactor. *Biomaterials*.; 30(13): 2531-43.
- De Herdt, P., Timmerman, T., Defoort, P., Lycke, K. and Jaspers, R. 2013. Fowl adenovirus infections in Belgian broilers: a ten-year survey. *Vlaams Diergeneeskundig Tijdschrift*; 82: 125–132.
- De la Torre D., Nuñez L.F.N., Santander Parra S.H., Astolfi-Ferreira C.S. and Piantino Ferreira A. J. 2018. Molecular characterization of fowl adenovirus group I in commercial broiler chickens in Brazil. *Virus dis*. (1):83-88.
- De la Torre D.I., Nuñez L.F., Astolfi-Ferreira C.S. and Ferreira A.J.P. 2018. Enteric Virus Diversity Examined by Molecular Methods in Brazilian Poultry Flocks. *Vet. Sci*.; 5(2): 38.
- De Larco J.E., Preston Y.A. and Todaro G.J. 1981. Properties of a sarcoma- growth-factor- like peptide from cells transformed by a temperature- sensitive sarcoma virus. *J Cell Physiol*.; 109: 143–152.
- DeVriese J., Steensels M., Palya V., Gardin Y., Dorsey K.M., Lambrecht B., Van Borm S. and van den Berg, T. 2010. Passive protection afforded by maternally derived antibodies in chickens and the antibodies' interference with the protection elicited by avian influenza-inactivated vaccines in progeny. *Avian Dis*; 54: 246–252.

- de Wispelaere M., Khou C., Frenkiel M. P., Desprès P., and Pardigon N. 2015. A single amino acid substitution in the M protein attenuates Japanese encephalitis virus in mammalian hosts. *J. Virol*; 90(5): 2676–2689.
- Delrue I., Verzele D., Madder A. and Nauwynck H.J. 2012. Inactivated virus vaccines from chemistry to prophylaxis: Merits, risks and challenges. *Expert Rev. Vaccines*.; 11(6): 695–719.
- Deng L., Sharif S., and Nagy É. 2013. Oral inoculation of chickens with a candidate fowl Adenovirus 9 vector. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*; 20(8): 1189-1196.
- Deng X., Zhang G., Shen C., Yin J. and Meng Q. 2013. Hollow fiber culture accelerates differentiation of Caco-2 cells. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*.; 97(15): 6943–6955.
- Dibner J. J., Knight C. D., Kitchell M. L., Atwell C. A., Downs A. C. and Ivey E. J. 1998. “Early feeding and development of the immune system in neonatal poultry.” *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 7(4): 425-43.
- Domanska-Blicharz K., Tomczyk G., Smietanka K., Kozaczynski W. and Minta Z. 2011. Molecular characterization of fowl adenoviruses isolated from chickens with gizzard erosions. *Poult Sci*; 90: 983-989.
- Douce G., Turcotte C., Cropley I., Pizza M., Domenghini M., Rappuoli R. and Dougan G. 1995. Mutants of *Escherichia coli* heat-labile toxin lacking ADP ribosyl-transferase activity act as non-toxic mucosal adjuvants. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*; 92: 1644–8.
- Dulbecco R. and Freeman G. 1959. Plaque production by the polyoma virus. *Virology*.; 8: 396–397.
- Dupuis L., Ascarateil S., Aucouturier J. and Ganne V. 2006. SEPPIC vaccine adjuvants for poultry. *Ann NY Acad Sci*.; 1081: 202-205.
- Eagle H. 1959. Amino acid metabolism in mammalian cell cultures. *Science*.; 130: 432–437.
- Ebert D. 1998. Experimental evolution of parasites. *Science*; 282: 1432-1435.
- Ellis M., Jarman-Smith M. and Chaudhuri J.B. 2005. Bioreactor systems for tissue engineering: A four-dimensional challenge. In: Chaudhuri J, Al-Rubeai , eds. *Bioreactors for tissue engineering; principles, design and operation*. Springer; pp. 1–18. Chapter 1.
- El-Tholoth M. and Abou El-Azm K. I. 2019. Molecular detection and characterization of fowl adenovirus associated with inclusion body hepatitis from broiler chickens in Egypt. *Trop Anim Health Prod*.;

- Evert B., Griese E. and Eichelbaum M. 1994. A missense mutation in exon 6 of the CYP2D6 gene leading to a histidine 324 to proline exchange is associated with the poor metabolizer phenotype of sparteine. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol*; 350: 434–439.
- Fajardo T.V.M., Vanni M.F. and Nickel O. 2017. Absolute quantification of viruses by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR in grapevines, *Ciência Rural, Santa Maria*; 47: 06, e20161063.
- Fan C., Ye X., Ku Z., Kong L., Liu Q., Xu C., Cong Y. and Huang Z. 2017. Beta-propiolactone inactivation of coxsackievirus a16 induces structural alteration and surface modification of viral capsids. *J Virol*; 91(8).
- Fan S., Hatta M., Kim J. H., Le M. Q., Neumann G., and Kawaoka Y. 2014. Amino acid changes in the influenza A virus PA protein that attenuate avian H5N1 viruses in mammals. *J Virol*; 88(23): 13737 – 13746.
- Feichtner F., Schachner A., Berger E., and Hess M. 2018. Fiber-based fluorescent microsphere immunoassay (FMIA) as a novel multiplex serodiagnostic tool for simultaneous detection and differentiation of all clinically relevant fowl adenovirus (FAdV) serotypes. *J Immunol Methods*; 458: 33–43.
- Flint S.J., Enquist L.W., Krug R.M., Racaniello V.R. and Skalka A.M. 2000. *Prin Virol*. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- Frauschuh S., Reichmann E., Ibold Y., Goetz P.M., Sittlinger M. and Ringe J. 2007. A microcarrier-based cultivation system for expansion of primary mesenchymal stem cells. *Biotechnol Prog.*; 23(1):187-93.
- Frensing T., Heldt F.S., Pflugmacher A., Behrendt I., Jordan I., Flockerzi D., Genzel Y. and Reichl U. 2013. Continuous influenza virus production in cell culture shows a periodic accumulation of defective interfering particles. *PLoS ONE*; 8(9): e72288.
- Frey S., Vesikari T., Szymczakiewicz-Multanowska A., Lattanzi M., Izu A., Groth N. and Holmes S. 2010. Clinical efficacy of cell culture-derived and egg-derived inactivated subunit influenza vaccines in healthy adults. *Clin Infect Dis*; 51: 997-1004.
- Froesch E. R., Buergi H., Ramseier E. B., Bally P. and Labhart A. 1963. Antibody-suppressible and non-suppressible insulin-like activities in human serum and their physiologic significance. An insulin assay with adipose tissue of increased precision and specificity. *J Clin Invest.*; 42: 1816-1834.
- Gan Q., Allen S.J. and Taylor G. 2002. Design and operation of an integrated membrane reactor for enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis. *Biochem Eng J*, 12: 223–229.

- Ganesh K., Suryanarayana V., Raghavan R. and Gowda R.N., 2001. Nucleotide sequence of L1 and part of P1 of hexon gene of fowl adenovirus associated with hydropericardium hepatitis syndrome differs with the corresponding region of other fowl adenoviruses, *Vet Microbiol*; 78: 1–11.
- Garibyan L. and Avashia N. 2013. Research Techniques Made Simple: Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). *J Invest Dermatol.*; 133(3): e6.
- Gastaldelli M., Imelli N., Boucke K., Amstutz B., Meier O. and Greber U.F. 2008. Infectious adenovirus type 2 transport through early but not late endosomes. *Traffic*, 9: 2265–2278.
- Genzel Y., Vogel T., Buck J., Behrendt I., Ramirez D.V., Schiedner G., Jordan I. and Reichl U. 2014. High cell density cultivations by alternating tangential flow (ATF) perfusion for influenza A virus production using suspension cells. *Vaccine*, 32(24): 2770–2781.
- Gharaibeh S. and Mahmoud K. 2013. Decay of maternal antibodies in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci* 92, 2333–2336.
- Giard D. J. and Fleischaker R. J. 1980. Examination of parameters affecting human interferon production with microcarrier-grown fibroblast cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 18(1): 130-136.
- Gibbs J. S., Chiou H. C., Bastow K. F., Cheng Y. and Coen D. M. 1988. Identification of amino acids in herpes simplex virus DNA polymerase involved in substrate and drug recognition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*; (Biochemistry); 85: 6672-6676.
- Gilhare V.R., Hirpurkar S.D., Kumar A., Naik S.K and Sahu T. 2016. Pock forming ability of fowl pox virus isolated from layer chicken and its adaptation in chicken embryo fibroblast cell culture. *Vet World*; 8(3): 245-250.
- Goldenthal K.L., Cavagnaro J.A., Alving C.R. and Vogel F.R. 1993. Safety evaluation of vaccine adjuvants. National Cooperative Vaccine Development Working Group. *AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses*; 9: S45–S49.
- Goldenthal K.L., Midthun K. and Zoon K.C. 1996. Control of Viral Infections and Diseases. In: Baron S, editor. *Medical Microbiology*. 4th edition. Galveston (TX): Chapter 51. Available from:
- Goldstein M.A. and Tauraso N.M. 1970. Effect of formalin, beta-propiolactone, merthiolate, and ultraviolet light upon influenza virus infectivity chicken cell agglutination, hemagglutination, and antigenicity. *Appl Microbiol*; 19:290–4.
- Gomis S., Goodhope R., Ojkic D., and Willson P. 2006. Inclusion Body Hepatitis as a Primary Disease in Broilers in Saskatchewan, Canada. *Avian Dis*; 50(4): 550–555.

- Goodacre N., Aljanahi A., Nandakumar S., Mikailov M. and Khan AS. 2018. A reference viral database (RVDB) to enhance bioinformatics analysis of high-throughput sequencing for novel virus detection. *mSphere*; 3: e00069-18.
- Gospodarowicz D. and Moran J. 1975. Optimal conditions for the study of growth control in balb/c 3t3 fibroblasts. *Exp Cell Res.*; 90: 279-284.
- Gospodarowicz D. 1975. Purification of a fibroblast growth factor from bovine pituitary. *J Biol Chem.*; 250: 2515-2520.
- Gowda R.N.S. and Satyanarayana M.L. 1994. Hydropericardium syndrome in poultry. *Ind. J. Vet. Pathol.*; 18: 159-161.
- Gowthaman V., Singh S.D., Dhama K., Barathidasan R., Kumar M.A., Desingu P.A., Mahajan N.K., and Ramakrishnan M.A. 2012. Fowl adenovirus (FAdV) in India: evidence for emerging role as primary respiratory pathogen in chickens. *Pak J Biol Sci.*; 15(18): 900-903.
- Grafl B., Liebhart D., Günes A., Wernsdorf P., Aigner F., Bachmeier J., and Hess M. 2013. Quantity of virulent fowl adenovirus serotype 1 correlates with clinical signs, macroscopical and pathohistological lesions in gizzards following experimental induction of gizzard erosion in broilers. *Vet Research*; 44(1): 38.
- Green N.M., Wrigley N.G., Russell W.C., Martin S.R. and McLachlan A.D. 1983. Evidence for a repeating cross-B sheet structure in the adenovirus fibre. *EMBO J.*; 2: 1357-1365.
- Greenall S. A., Tyack S.G., Johnson M.A. and Sapats S.I. 2010. Antibody fragments, expressed by a fowl adenovirus vector, are able to neutralize infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Path*; 39(5): 339-348.
- Grgic H., Krell P.J., and Nagy É. 2013a. Comparison of fiber gene sequences of inclusion body hepatitis (IBH) and non-IBH strains of serotype 8 and 11 fowl adenoviruses. *Virus Genes*, 48(1): 74-80.
- Grgic H., Yang D.H. and Nagy E. 2011. Pathogenicity and complete genome sequence of a fowl adenovirus serotype 8 isolate. *Virus Res.*; 156: 91-97.
- Grgic H., Poljak Z., Sharif S. and Nagy É. 2013b. Pathogenicity and cytokine gene expression pattern of a serotype 4 fowl adenovirus isolate. *PLoS ONE*; 8: e77601.
- Grgic H., Krell P.J. and Nagy E. 2014. Comparison of fiber gene sequences of inclusion body hepatitis (IBH) and non-IBH strains of serotype 8 and 11 fowl adenoviruses. *Virus Genes*; 48: 74-80.
- Griffin B.D. and Nagy E. 2011. Coding potential and transcript analysis of fowl adenovirus 4: insight into upstream ORFs as common sequence features in adenoviral transcripts. *J. Gen. Virol.*; 92: 1260-1272.

- Gupta A., Ahmed K.A., Ayalew L.E., Popowich S., Kurukulasuriya S., Goonewardene K., Gunawardana T., Karunarathna R., Ojkic D., Tikoo S.K., Willson P. and Gomis S. 2017. Immunogenicity and protective efficacy of virus-like particles and recombinant fiber proteins in broiler-breeder vaccination against fowl adenovirus (FAdV)-8b. *Vaccine*; 35 (20): 2716-2722.
- Gupta A., Popowich S., Ojkic D., Kurukulasuriya S., Chow-Lockerbie B., Gunawardana T., Goonewardene K., Karunarathna R., Ayalew L.E., Ahmed K.A., Tikoo S.K., Willson P. and Gomis S. 2018. Inactivated and live bivalent fowl adenovirus (FAdV8b + FAdV11) breeder vaccines provide broad-spectrum protection in chicks against inclusion body hepatitis (IBH). *Vaccine*.;36(5):744-750.
- Habib M., Iftikhar H., Hamid I., Zong-zhao Y., Jiang-bing S. and Ning C. 2006. Immunogenicity of formaldehyde and binary ethylenimine inactivated infectious bursal disease virus in broiler chicks. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B.*; 7(8): 660–664.
- Haegeman, A., De Leeuw, I., Mostin, L., Van Campe, W., Aerts, L., Vastag, M., and De Clercq, K. 2019. An immunoperoxidase monolayer assay (IPMA) for the detection of lumpy skin disease antibodies. *J Virol Methods*; 113800.
- Hafez H.M. 2011. Avian adenoviruses infections with special attention to inclusion body hepatitis/ hydropericardium syndrome and egg drop syndrome. *Pak Vet J.* 31(2): 85-92.
- Hair Bejo M. 2010. Poultry vaccines: an innovation for food safety and security (inaugural lecture). Universiti Putra Malaysia Press, Serdang, Malaysia.
- Hair-Bejo M. 2005. Inclusion body hepatitis in a flock of commercial broiler chickens. *J Vet Malaysia*, 17, 23e26.
- Haredy A.M., Takenaka N., Yamada H., Sakoda Y., Okamatsu M., Yamamoto N., Omasa T., Ohtake H., Mori Y., Kida H., Yamanishi K. and Okamoto S. 2013. An MDCK cell culture-derived formalin-inactivated influenza virus whole-virion vaccine from an influenza virus library confers cross-protective immunity by intranasal administration in mice. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol*; 20(7): 998-1007.
- Harrach B., Benko M., Both G., Brown M., Davison A., Echavarría M., Hess M., Jones M., Kajon A., Lehmkuhl H., Mautner V., Mittal S. and Wadell G. 2011. Family Adenoviridae, p 95–111 In King A, Adams M, Carstens E, Lefkowitz E. (ed), *Virus taxonomy: classification and nomenclature of viruses*. Ninth report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Elsevier, San Diego, CA.
- Harrach B., Benko M., Both G.W., Brown M., Davison A.J., Echavarría M., Hess M, Jones M.S., Kajon A., Lehmkuhl H.D., Mautner V., Mittal S.K. and Wadell G. 2012. Family Adenoviridae. In: King A.M.Q., Adams M.J., Carstens E.B., Lefkowitz E.J. (editors). *Virus Taxonomy: IXth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 125-141.

- Harrach B. 2014. Adenoviruses: General Features. *Reference module in Biomedical Sciences*.
- Harrach, B., and Benko M. 1998. Phylogenetic analysis of adenovirus sequences. Proof of the necessity of establishing a third genus in the Adenoviridae family. *Methods Mol. Med.*; 21:309-339.
- Harrison R.G., Greenman M.J., Mall. F.P. and Jackson C.M. 1907. Observations of the living developing nerve fiber. *Anat Rec.*; 1:116–128.
- Hayasaka D. 2004. Amino acid changes responsible for attenuation of virus neurovirulence in an infectious cDNA clone of the Oshima strain of Tick-borne encephalitis virus. *J Gen Virol*; 85(4): 1007–1018.
- Heaton N.S. 2017. Revisiting the concept of a cytopathic viral infection. *PLoS Pathog*; 13(7): e1006409.
- Helmboldt C.F. and Frazier M.N. 1963. Avian hepatic inclusion bodies of unknown significance. *Avian Dis.*; 7: 446-450.
- Hematian A., Sadeghifard N., Mohebi R., Taherikalani M., Nasrolahi A., Amraei M. and Ghafourian S. 2016. Traditional and modern cell culture in virus diagnosis. *Osong Public Health Res Perspect*; 7(2): 77–82.
- Hernandez J.M., Silva L.D., Junior E.C.S., Bandeira R.S., Rodrigues E.A.M., Lucena M.S.S., Costa S.T.P. and Gabbay Y.B. 2018. Molecular epidemiology and temporal evolution of norovirus associated with acute gastroenteritis in Amazonas state, Brazil. *BMC Infect Dis*; 18(1).
- Hess M. 2000. Detection and differentiation of avian adenoviruses: A review, *Avian Path*; 29(3): 195-206.
- Hess M. 2017. Commensal or pathogen – a challenge to fulfil Koch’s postulates. *British Poult Sci*, 58, 1–12.
- Hess M., Cuzange A., Ruigrok R.W.H., Chroboczek J. and Jacrot B. 1995. The avian adenovirus penton: two fibers and one base. *J. Mol. Biol.*; 252: 379-385.
- Higgins R.R, Beniprashad M, Yan E, Li K.Y, Bastien N. and Low D.E. 2012. Recovery of influenza b virus with the H273Y point mutation in the neuraminidase active site from a human patient. *J Clin Micro.*; 50 (7): 2500–2502.
- Hinman A.R., Orenstein W.A., Santoli J.M., Rodewald L.E., and Cochi S.L. 2006. Vaccine shortages: History, impact, and prospects for the future. *Annual Rev Pub Health*; 27(1): 235–259.
- Hirtenstein M., Clark J., Lindgren G. and Vretblad P. 1980. Microcarriers for animal cell culture: a brief review of theory and practice. *Dev Biol Stand.*; 46: 109-16.

- Hodgins D.C., Kang S.Y., deArriba L., Parreño V., Ward L.A., Yuan L., To T. and Saif L.J. 1999. Effects of maternal antibodies on protection and development of antibody responses to human rotavirus in gnotobiotic pigs. *J Virol*; 73: 186–197.
- Hoeben R.C. and Uil T.G. 2013. Adenovirus DNA Replication. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. CSH PERSPECT BIOL; 5(3): a013003–a013003.
- Hollenberg M.D. and Cuatrecasas P. 1973. Epidermal growth factor: receptors in human fibroblasts and modulation of action by cholera toxin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*.; 70: 2964-2968.
- Holley R.W. and Kiernan J.A. 1974. Control of the initiation of DNA synthesis in 3t3 cells: serum factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*.; 71: 2908–2911.
- Hong J.S. and Engler J.A. 1996. Domains required for assembly of adenovirus type 2 fiber trimers. *J. Virol.*; 70: 7071-7078.
- Hood I.V., Gordon J.M., Bou-Nader C., Henderson F.E., Bahmanjah S. and Zhang J. 2019. Crystal structure of an adenovirus virus-associated RNA. *Nat. Commun.*; 10: 2871.
- Hurisa B., Mengesha A., Newayesilassie B., Kerga S., Kebede G., Bankovisky D., Metlin A. and Urga K. 2013. Production of cell culture based anti- rabies vaccine in ethiopia. *Procedia Vaccinol*; 7: 2-7.
- Hussain I., Mahmood M.S., Arshad M.I., Akhtar M., Mahmood F. and Rafique A. 2012. Immune system dysfunction in broiler chickens experimentally inoculated with fowl adenovirus serotype-4 associated with inclusion body hepatitis hydropericardium syndrome. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*; 36(3): 223-230
- Iscove N.N. and Melchers F. 1978. Complete replacement of serum by albumin, transferrin, and soybean lipid in cultures of lipopolysaccharide- reactive b lymphocytes. *J Exp Med*.; 147: 923–933.
- Ismail N.M., Tawfik H.I., Hussein H.A. and Reda I.M., 2014. Immunogenicity D Efficacy of Locally Prepared Montanide-oil Based H5N2 AI Vaccine Containing Flagellin as Immune Enhancer. *International Journal of Virology*, 10: 77-83.
- Ives J., Carr J., Mendel D., Tai C., Lambkin R., Kelly I., Oxford J., Hayden F. and Roberts N. 2002. The H274Y mutation in the influenza A/H1N1 neuraminidase active site following oseltamivir phosphate treatment leave virus severely compromised both in vitro and in vivo. *Antiviral Research*.; 55: 307–317.
- Jacoby F. and Darke S.J. 1948. Animal tissue culture with a synthetic medium. *Nature*.; 161: 768–769.
- Jafari M., Moghaddam Pour M., Taghizadeh M., Masoudi S. and Bayat Z. 2017. Comparative assessment of humoral immune responses of aluminum hydroxide and oil emulsion adjuvants in Influenza (H9N2) and Newcastle inactive vaccines to chickens. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol*; 45: 84–9.



- Janaswami P.M., Kalvakolanu D.V., Zhang Y. and Sen G.C. 1992. Transcriptional repression of interleukin-6 gene by adenoviral E1A proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.*; 267: 24886-24891.
- Jang S.I., Kim D.K., Lillehoj H.S., Lee S.H., Lee K.W., Bertrand F., Dupuis L., Deville S., Arous J.B. and Lillehoj E.P. 2013. Evaluation of Montanide ISA 71 VG adjuvant during profilin vaccination against experimental coccidiosis. *PLoS one*; 8: e59786.
- Jang S.I., Lillehoj H.S., Lee S.H., Lee K.W., Lillehoj E.P., Bertrand F., Dupuis L. and Deville S. 2011. Montanide ISA 71 VG adjuvant enhances antibody and cell-mediated immune responses to profilin subunit antigen vaccination and promotes protection against *Eimeria acervulina* and *Eimeria tenella*. *Exp Parasit*; 127: 178–83.
- Jang S.I., Lillehoj H.S., Lee S.H., Lee K.W., Lillehoj E.P., Hong Y.H., An D., Jeong W., Chun J., Bertrand F., Dupuis L., Deville S., and Arous J.B. 2012. Vaccination with *Clostridium perfringens* recombinant proteins in combination with Montanide ISA 71 VG adjuvant increases protection against experimental necrotic enteritis in commercial broiler chickens. *Vaccine*; 30: 5401–6.
- Jawale C.V. and Lee J.H. 2016. Evaluation of immunogenicity and protective efficacy of adjuvanted *Salmonella Typhimurium* ghost vaccine against salmonellosis in chickens. *Vet Q*; 36: 130–6.
- Jedrzejczak-Silicka M. 2017. History of Cell Culture. In: *New Insights into Cell Culture Technology* (eds.); Gowder S.J.T.; InTechInfo.
- Jones R.C. and Georgiou K. 1984. Experimental infection of chickens with adenoviruses isolated from tenosynovitis. *Avian Pathology*, 13, 13–23.
- Jonges M., Liu W.M., van der Vries E., Jacobi R., Pronk I., Boog C., Koopmans M., Meijer A. and Soethout E. 2010. Influenza virus inactivation for studies of antigenicity and phenotypic neuraminidase inhibitor resistance profiling. *J Clin Microbiol*; 48:928–40.
- Jordan B.A., Blake L., Bisnath J., Ramgattie C., Carrington C.V. and Oura C.A. L. 2019. Identification of four serotypes of fowl adenovirus in clinically affected commercial poultry co-infected with chicken infectious anaemia virus in Trinidad and Tobago. *Transbound Emerg. Dis.*; 66:1341–1348.
- Jorgensen P.H., Otte L., Nielsen O.L. and Bisgaard M. 1995. Influence of subclinical virus infections and other factors on broiler flock performance. *Br. Poult. Sci.*; 36: 455-463.
- Joshua M.M., John C.M. and Michael M.G. 2010. A review of adjuvants for leishmania vaccine candidates. *J Biomed Res.*; 24: 16–25.

- Juliana M.A, Nurulfiza M.I, Hair-Bejo M., Omar A.R. and Aini I. 2014. Molecular characterization of fowl adenoviruses isolated from inclusion body hepatitis outbreaks in commercial broiler chickens in Malaysia. *Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci.*; 37(4): 483-497.
- Junnu S., Lertwatcharasarakul P., Jala S., Phattanakulanan S., Monkong A., Kulprasertsri S., Thivalai C., Chakritbudsabong W., Chaichoun K., and Songserm T. 2015. An inactivated vaccine for prevention and control of inclusion body hepatitis in broiler breeders. *The Thai Journal of Veterinary Medicine*; 45(1): 55-62.
- Kaiser T.J., Smiley R.A., Fergen B., Eichmeyer M., and Genzow M. 2019. Influenza A virus shedding reduction observed at 12 weeks post-vaccination when newborn pigs are administered live-attenuated influenza virus vaccine. *Influenza and other respiratory viruses*; 13(3): 274–278.
- Kajan G.L., Keckskemeti S., Harrach B. and Benko M. 2013. Molecular typing of fowl adenoviruses, isolated in Hungary recently, reveals high diversity. *Vet Microbiol*; 167: 357–363. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.09.025.
- Kamil J.P., Tischer B.K., Trapp S., Nair V.K., Osterrieder N. and Kung H.J. 2005. vLIP, a viral lipase homologue, is a virulence factor of Marek's disease virus. *J. Virol.*; 79: 6984-6996
- Kang S. and Kim Y-C. 2018. Identification of Viral Taxon-Specific Genes (VTSG): Application to Caliciviridae. *Genomics Inform*; 16(4): e23.
- Karayan L., Hong S.S., Gay B., Tournier J., d'Angeac A.D. and Boulanger P. 1997. Structural and functional determinants in adenovirus type 2 penton base recombinant protein. *J. Virol.*; 71: 8678-8689.
- Kataria J. M., Dhama K., Nagarajan S., Chaktaborty S., Kaushal A. and Deb R. 2013. Fowl adenoviruses causing hydropericardium syndrome in poultry. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.*; 1(4S):5–13.
- Keen M.J. and Rapson N.T. 1995. Development of a serum-free culture medium for the large scale production of recombinant protein from a Chinese hamster ovary cell line. *Cytotechnology*; 17: 153-163.
- Khazzadeh Tehrani N., Mahdavi M., Maleki F., Zarrati S., and Tabatabaie F. 2016. The role of Montanide ISA 70 as an adjuvant in immune responses against *Leishmania major* induced by thiol-specific antioxidant-based protein vaccine. *J parasitic dis*; 40(3): 760–767.
- Khan S. and Vihinen M. 2007. Spectrum of disease-causing mutations in protein secondary structures. *BMC Struct Biol.*; 7: 56. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6807-7-56>.

- Kim M.S., Lim T.H., Lee D.H., Youn H.N., Yuk S.S., Kim B.Y., Choi S.W., Jung C.H., Han J.H. and Song C.S. 2014. An inactivated oil emulsion fowl Adenovirus serotype 4 vaccine provides broad cross-protection against various serotypes of fowl adenovirus. *Vaccine*; 32: 3564–3568.
- Kim D.I. and Choi C.Y. 1985. Microcarrier cell culture and its application to the large-scale production of human fibroblast interferon. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*; 2: 33.
- Kluge J.A., Leisk G.G. and Kaplan D.L. 2008. Mechanical Determinants of Tissue Development. *Princip Reg Med*; 480–497.
- Koethe S., Ulrich L., Ulrich R., Amler S., Graaf A., Harder T.C., Grund C., Mettenleiter T. C., Conraths F. J., Beer M., and Globig A. 2020. Modulation of lethal HPAIV H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4B infection in AIV pre-exposed mallards. *Emerg Microbes Infect*; 9(1): 180-193.
- Kohler N. and Lipton A. 1974. Platelets as a source of fibroblast growth-promoting activity. *Exp Cell Res.*; 87: 297-301.
- Kolesarova M., Herich R., Levkut Jr M., Curlik J. and Levkut M. 2012. Suitability of different tissue fixatives for subsequent PCR analysis of *Cysticercus ovis*. *Helminthologia*; 49: 67–70.
- Kollaritsch H. and Rendi-Wagner P. 2013. Principles of Immunization: Live Vaccines. In: *Travel Medicine*, (eds.) Jay S. Keystone, Phyllis E. Kozarsky, David O. Freedman, Hans D. Nothdruff, and Bradley A. Connor. 3rd Edition. Sciencedirect.
- Kristofich J., Morgenthaler A.B., Kinney W.R., Ebmeier C.C., Snyder D.J., Old W.M., Cooper V.S. and Copley S.D. 2018. Synonymous mutations make dramatic contributions to fitness when growth is limited by a weak-link enzyme. *PLOS Genetics*; 14(8): e1007615.
- Kumar R., Chandra R., Shukla S.K., Agrawal D.K. and Kumar M., 1997. Hydropericardium syndrome in India: a preliminary study on causative agent and control of disease by inactivated autogenous vaccine. *Trop Anim Health Pro*; 29: 158-164.
- Kumar U.K., Krishnaswamy S. and Reddy T. 1989. Cell mediated immune response to egg drop syndrome 76 (EDS-76) virus infection in chickens. *Curr. Sci.*; 58: 431–3.
- Kumar V., Singh G., Sangwan P., Verma A.K., and Agrawal S. 2014. Cloning, sequencing, and in silico analysis of -propeller phytase bacillus licheniformis strain PB-13. *Biotechnol. Res Int*; 14: 1-1.
- Lal B., Maiti N.K., Oberoi M.S., and Sharma S.N. 1991. Cell mediated immune response of chicks following fowl adenovirus type-1 infection. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*; 14: 55–58.

- Lawal N., Hair-Bejo M., Arshad S.S, Omar A.R, and Ideris A. 2017. Adaptation and molecular characterization of two Malaysian very virulent infectious bursal disease virus isolates adapted in BGM-70 cell line. *Adv Virol*; 19:
- Lawal N., Hair-Bejo M., Arshad S.S., Omar A.R., and Ideris A. 2018. Propagation and molecular characterization of bioreactor adapted very virulent infectious bursal disease virus isolates of Malaysia. *J. Pathog*; 1–11.
- Le Calvez H., Yu M. and Fang F. 2004. Biochemical prevention and treatment of viral infections – A new paradigm in medicine for infectious diseases. *Virol J*; 1: 12.
- Lee J., Foster D.N., Bottje W.G., Jang H-M., Chandra Y.G., Gentles L.E. and Kong B-W. 2013. Establishment of an immortal chicken embryo liver-derived cell line. *Poult Sci*; 92(6): 1604–1612.
- Lee, D-Y., Li, Y-Y., Oh, Y-K., Kim, M-S. and Noike T. 2009. Effect of iron concentration on continuous H<sub>2</sub> production using membrane bioreactor. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy*; 34: 1244-1252.
- LeGoff J., Rousset D., Abou-Jaoude G., Scemla A. and Ribaud P. 2012. I223R mutation in influenza a (H1N1) pdm09 neuraminidase confers reduced susceptibility to oseltamivir and zanamivir and enhanced resistance with H275Y. *PLoS ONE*; 7(8): 1-9.
- Lehrmann H. and Cotton M. 1999. Characterization of CELO Virus Proteins That Modulate the pRb/E2F Pathway. *J Virol* 6517-6525.
- Lesokhin A.M., Delgado-Lopez F. and Horwitz M., 2002. Inhibition of chemokine expression by adenovirus early region three (E3) genes. *J. Virol.*; 76: 8236-8243.
- Levi-Montalcini R. 1952. Effects of mouse tumor transplantation on the nervous system. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*; 55: 330–343.
- Levine D.W., Wang D.I.C. and Thilly W.G. 1977. Optimizing parameters for growth of anchorage dependent mammalian cell in microcarrier culture. In R.T. Action and J.D. Lynn (eds), *Cell culture and its applications* 191-216. Academic Press, New York.
- Lewis M.R. and Lewis W.H. 1911. The cultivation of tissues from chick embryos in solutions of NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, KCl and NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. *Anat Rec.*; 5: 277–293.
- Lewis W.H. and Lewis M.R. 1912. The cultivation of chick tissues in media of known chemical constitution. *Anat Rec.*; 6:207–211.
- Li C., Lijuan Y., Qingfeng Z., Qunhui L., Yangyang L., Zhichao X., Yun Z., Chunyi X. and Yong C.C. 2018d. Immunogenicity and protective efficacy of recombinant fiber-2 protein in protecting SPF chickens against fowl adenovirus 4. *Vaccine*; 36(9): 1203-1208.

- Li G., Yu G., Niu Y., Cai Y. and Liu S. 2019a. Airborne Transmission of a Serotype 4 Fowl Adenovirus in Chickens. *Viruses*; 11: 262.
- Li P.H., Zheng P.P., Zhang T.F., Wen G.Y., Shao H.B., and Luo Q.P. 2017. Fowl adenovirus serotype 4: Epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnostic detection, and vaccine strategies. *Poult. Sci.*; 96: 2630–2640.
- Li X., Xia W., Mao S., Lu S., Mo K., Liao M., Zhou J. and Zheng X. 2019b. Isolation, identification and whole genome sequence analysis of serotype 4 fowl adenovirus Zhejiang strain. *Anim Sci Vet Med*; 45(5): 635-646.
- Li Y., Ge X., Zhang H., Zhou P., Zhu Y., Zhang Y., Yuan J., Wang L., and Shi Z. 2010. Host Range, Prevalence, and Genetic Diversity of Adenoviruses in Bats. *J Virol.*; 84(8): 3889–3897.
- Li L., Wang J., Chen P., Zhang S., Sun J. and Yuan W. 2018b. Pathogenicity and molecular characterization of a fowl adenovirus 4 isolated from chicken associated with IBH and HPS in China. *BMC Vet Res*; 14:400
- Li H., Wang J., Qiu L., Han Z. and Liu S. 2016. Fowl adenovirus species C serotype 4 is attributed to the emergence of hepatitis-hydropericardium syndrome in chickens in China. *Infect. Genet. Evol.*; 45: 230–241.
- Li J., Li C., Lan C.Q. and Liao D. 2018c. Effects of sodium bicarbonate on cell growth, lipid accumulation, and morphology of *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Microb. Cell Fact.*; 17 (1): 111.
- Li R., Li G., Lin J., Han S., Hou X., Weng H., Guo M., Lu Z., Li N. and Shang Y. 2018a. Fowl Adenovirus Serotype 4 SD0828 Infections Causes High Mortality Rate and Cytokine Levels in Specific Pathogen-Free Chickens Compared to Ducks. *Front. Immunol.*; 9: 49.
- Lim T.H., Kim B.Y., Kim M.S., Jang J.H., Lee D.H., Kwon Y.K., Lee J.B., Park S.Y., Choi I.S. and Song C. S. 2011. Outbreak of gizzard erosion associated with fowl adenovirus infection in Korea. *Poult Sci.*; 91(5): 1113-1117.
- Lim T.-H., Lee H.-J., Lee D.-H., Lee Y.-N., Park J.-K., Youn H.-N., Kim M.-S., Youn H.-S., Lee J.-B., Park S.-Y., Choi I.-S. and Song C.-S. 2011. Identification and virulence characterization of fowl adenoviruses in Korea. *Avian Dis*, 55: 554–560.
- Lindblad E.B. 1995. Aluminium Adjuvants. In: Stewart-Tull DES (ed.) The theory and practical application of adjuvants. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd, p. 21–35.
- Liu C.G., Liu M., Liu F., Liu da F., Zhang Y., Pan W.Q., Chen H., Wan C.H., Sun E.C. and Li H.T. 2011. Evaluation of several adjuvants in avian influenza vaccine to chickens and ducks. *Virol J*; 8:321.

- Liu G.Q., Babiss L.E., Volkert F.C., Young C.S. and Ginsberg H.S. 1985. A thermolabile mutant of adenovirus 5 resulting from a substitution mutation in the protein VIII gene. *J. Virol.*; 53: 920–925.
- Liu Y., Wan W., Gao D., Li Y., Yang X., Liu H., Yao H., Chen L., Wang C. and Zhao J. 2016. Genetic characterization of novel fowl aviadenovirus 4 isolates from outbreaks of hepatitis-hydropericardium syndrome in broiler chickens in China. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.*; 5: e117.
- Lone N.A., Spackman E. and Kapczynski D. 2017. Immunologic evaluation of 10 different adjuvants for use in vaccines for chickens against highly pathogenic avian influenza virus. *Vaccine*; 35: 3401–3408.
- Lowenthal J.W., Connick T.E., McWaters P.G. and York J.J. 1994. Development of T cell immune responsiveness in the chicken. *Immunology and Cell Biology*, 72, 115–122.
- Lu X., Su B., Xia H., Zhang X., Liu Z., Ji Y., Yang Z., Dai L., Luzia M. Mayr L.M., Moog C., Wu H., Huang X. and Zhang T. 2016. Low double-negative CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>-</sup>CD8<sup>-</sup> T cells are associated with incomplete restoration of CD4<sup>+</sup>T cells and higher immune activation in HIV-1 immunological non-responders *Front. Immunol.*, 09.
- Lu H., Shao H., Chen H. Zhang J., Wang W., Li T., Xie Q., Qin A. and Ye J. 2019. Identification of novel B cell epitopes in the fiber protein of serotype 8 Fowl adenovirus. *AMB Expr*; 9: 172.
- Luo S., Pal D., Shah S.J., Kwatra D., Paturi K.D. and Mitra A.K. 2010. Effect of HEPES buffer on the uptake and transport of P-glycoprotein substrates and large neutral amino acids. *Mol pharmaceutics*; 7(2): 412–420.
- Luti K.J. and Maviyuna F. 2011. Elicitation of *Streptomyces coelicolor* with dead cells of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in a bioreactor increases production of undecylprodigiosin. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.*; 90(2): 461-466.
- Lütticken D., Segers R.P. and Visser N. 2007. Veterinary vaccines for public health and prevention of viral and bacterial zoonotic diseases. *Rev Sci Tech.*; 26: 165–177.
- Ma H.C. and Hearing P. 2011. Adenovirus structural protein IIIa is involved in the serotype specificity of viral DNA packaging. *J. Virol.*; 85: 7849–7855.
- Maas R., Rosema S., van Zoelen D. and Venema S. 2011. Maternal immunity against avian influenza H5N1 in chickens: limited protection and interference with vaccine efficacy. *Avian Path*; 40: 87–92.
- Maclachlan N.J. and Dubovi E.J. 2010. *Fenner's veterinary virology: Fourth edition*. Elsevier Inc.
- Maclachlan N.J., Dubovi E.J., Barthold S.W., Swayne D.E., and Winton J.R. 2016. *Fenner's veterinary virology: Fifth edition*. Elsevier Inc.

- Maier O., Galan D.L., Wodrich H. and Wiethoff C.M. 2010. An N-terminal domain of adenovirus protein VI fragments membranes by inducing positive membrane curvature. *Virology*; 402: 11–19.
- Manarolla G., Pisoni G., Moroni P., Gallazzi D., Sironi G. and Rampin T. 2009. Adenoviral gizzard erosions in Italian chicken flocks. *Vet Rec*; 164: 754 – 756.
- Mancuso F., Shi J. and Malik D. 2018. High throughput manufacturing of bacteriophages using continuous stirred tank bioreactors connected in series to ensure optimum host bacteria physiology for phage production. *Viruses*; 10(10): 537.
- Mangel W.F., McGrath W.J., Toledo D.L. and Anderson C.W. 1993. Viral DNA and a viral peptide can act as cofactors of adenovirus virion proteinase activity. *Nature*; 361: 274–275.
- Mangel W.F., Toledo D.L., Brown M.T., Martin J.H. and McGrath W.J. 1996. Characterization of three components of human adenovirus proteinase activity in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.*; 271: 536–543.
- Manini I., Trombetta C.M., Lazzeri G., Pozzi T., Rossi S. and Montomoli E. 2017. Egg-independent influenza vaccines and vaccine candidates. *Vaccines*; 5(3): E18.
- Marek A., Schulz E., Hess C. and Hess M. 2010. Comparison of the fibers of Fowl adenovirus A serotype 1 isolates from chickens with gizzard erosions in Europe and apathogenic reference strains. *J Vet Diagn Invest*; 22: 937–941.
- Marek A., Günes A., Schulz E., and Hess M. 2010. Classification of fowl adenoviruses by use of phylogenetic analysis and high-resolution melting-curve analysis of the hexon L1 gene region. *J Virol Methods*; 170(1-2): 147–154.
- Marek A., Nolte V., Schachner A., Berger E., Schlötterer C. and Hess M. 2012. Two fiber genes of nearly equal lengths are a common and distinctive feature of Fowl adenovirus C members. *Vet Microbiol.*; 156: 411-417.
- Mariappan A.K., Munusamy P., Latheef S.K., Singh S.D. and Dhama K. 2018. Hepato nephropathology associated with inclusion body hepatitis complicated with citrinin mycotoxicosis in a broiler farm. *Vet world*; 11(2): 112–117.
- Marquis C.P. 2019. Mammalian Cell Culture. Vol 1. <https://www.eolss.net/Sample-Chapters/C17/E6-58-01-04.pdf>. accessed 14-05-2019.
- Martin Y. and Vermette P. 2005. Bioreactors for tissue mass culture: Design, characterization, and recent advances. *Biomaterials*; 26: 7481–7503.
- Marx P.A., Compans R.W. and Gettie A. 1993. Protection against vaginal SIV transmission with microencapsulated vaccine. *Science*; 28: 1323–1327.
- Mase M., Nakamura K. and Minami F. 2012. Fowl adenoviruses isolated from chickens with inclusion body hepatitis in Japan, 2009–2010. *The J Vet Med Sci*; 74: 1087–1089.

- Mase M., Mitake H., Inoue T. and Imada T. 2009. Identification of group I–III avian adenovirus by PCR coupled with direct sequencing of the hexon gene. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.*; 71:1239–1242.
- Mase M., Nakamura K. and Imada T. 2010. Characterization of fowl adenovirus serotype 4 isolated from chickens with hydropericardium syndrome based on analysis of the short fiber protein gene. *J Vet Diagn Invest*, 22(2): 218–223.
- Matos M., Grafl B., Liebhart D., and Hess M. 2016. The outcome of experimentally induced inclusion body hepatitis (IBH) by fowl aviadenoviruses (FAdVs) is crucially influenced by the genetic background of the host. *Vet Res*; 47(1): 69.
- Matos M., Grafl B., Liebhart D., Schwendenwein I. and Hess M. 2016b. Selected clinical chemistry analytes correlate with the pathogenesis of inclusion body hepatitis experimentally induced by fowl aviadenoviruses. *Avian Path*; 45: 520–529.
- Maza F., Maldonado J., Vásquez-Dean J., Mandakovic D., Gaete A., Cambiazo V., and González M. 2019. Soil bacterial communities from the Chilean Andean highlands: taxonomic composition and culturability. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*; 7.
- Mazaheri A., Prusas C., Voss M. and Hess M. 1998. Some strains of serotype 4 fowl adenoviruses cause inclusion body hepatitis and hydropericardium syndrome in chickens. *Avian Pathol.*; 27: 269–276.
- McCoy T.A., Maxwell M. and Kruse P.F. 1959. The amino acid requirements of the Jensen sarcoma in vitro. *Cancer Res.*; 19: 591–595.
- McElrath M.J. 1995. Selection of potent immunological adjuvants for vaccine construction. *Seminars Cancer Biol.*; 6: 375–85.
- McFerran J.B. and Smyth J.A. 2000. Avian adenoviruses. *Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epi.*; 19: 589–601.
- McFerran J.B. 1998. Group I adenovirus infections. In B.W. Calnek, H.J. Barnes, C.W. Beard, L.R. McDougald & Y.M. Saif (Eds.), *Diseases of Poultry*, 10th edn (pp. 608 /620). Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press.
- Meier O. and Greber U.F. 2004. Adenovirus endocytosis. *J. Gene Med.*; 6 (Suppl. 1): S152–S163.
- Mendelson C., Nothelfer H.B. and Monreal G. 1995. Identification and characterization of an avian adenovirus isolated from a 'spiking mortality syndrome' field outbreak in broilers on the Delmarva Peninsula, USA. *Avian Pathol*; 24: 693–706.
- Mendonça R.Z., Oliveira M.I., Vaz-de-Lima L.R., Mendonça R.M.Z., Andrade G.P., Pereira C.A. and Hoshino-Shimizu S. 2004. Effect of cell culture system on the production of human viral antigens. *Jornal Brasileiro de Patologia e Medicina Laboratorial*; 40(3): 147-151.



- Meng D., Hui Z., Yang J., Yuan J., Ling Y. and He C. 2009. Reduced egg production in hens associated with avian influenza vaccines and formalin levels. *Avian Dis*; 53:16–20.
- Merten O.W. 2015. Advances in cell culture: anchorage dependence. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 370(1661), 20140040.
- Mertz L.M., Henning F.A., Barbieri A.P.P., Segalin S.R., Krzyzanowski F.C. and Zimmer P.D. 2013. Punctual mutations in lipoxygenase sequence expressed in black soybean seed coat. *J. Seed sci.*; 35(2).
- Mettifogo E., Nuñez L.F.N., Santander Parra S.H., Astolfi-Ferreira C.S., and Ferreira A.J.P. 2014. Fowl adenovirus Group I as a causal agent of inclusion body hepatitis /hydropericardium syndrome (IBH/HPS) outbreak in brazilian broiler flocks. *Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira*; 34(8): 733-737.
- Meulemans G., Boschmans M., Berg T.P. and Decaesstecker M. 2001. Polymerase chain reaction combined with restriction enzyme analysis for detection and differentiation of fowl adenoviruses. *Avian Pathol*; 30: 655–60.
- Michl J., Park K.C. and Swietach P. 2019. Evidence-based guidelines for controlling pH in mammalian live-cell culture systems. *Commun Biol.*; 2: 144.
- Miller P.J., Afonso C.L., El Attrache J., Dorsey K.M., Courtney S.C., Guo Z., and Kapczynski D.R. 2013. Effects of Newcastle disease virus vaccine antibodies on the shedding and transmission of challenge viruses. *Dev Comp Immunol*; 41(4): 505–513.
- Miller P.J., King D.J., Afonso C.L. and Suarez D.L. 2007. Antigenic differences among Newcastle disease virus strains of different genotypes used in vaccine formulation affect viral shedding after a virulent challenge. *Vaccine*; 25: 7238-7246.
- Miller P.J., Estevez C., Yu Q., Suarez D.L. and King D.J. 2009. Comparison of viral shedding following vaccination with inactivated and live Newcastle disease vaccines formulated with wild-type and recombinant viruses. *Avian Dis.*; 53: 39-49.
- Minor P.D. 2015. Live attenuated vaccines: Historical successes and current challenges. *Virology*; 479-480: 379–392.
- Mittal D., Jindal N., Tiwari A.K. and Khokhar R.S. 2014. Characterization of fowl adenoviruses associated with hydropericardium syndrome and inclusion body hepatitis in broiler chickens. *Virus Dis*; 25: 114–119. 10.1007/s13337-013-0183-7.
- Mohamed Sohaimi N., Bejo M.H., Omar A.R., Ideris A. and Mat Isa N. 2019. Molecular characterization of fowl adenovirus isolates of Malaysia attenuated in chicken embryo liver cells and its pathogenicity and immunogenicity in chickens. *PLoS one*; 14(12): e0225863.

- Mohamed Sohaimi N. 2017. *Development of live attenuated fowl adenovirus isolate of Malaysia for vaccine production*. PhD thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Möller L., Schünadel L., Nitsche A., Schwebke I., Hanisch M., and Laue M. 2015. Evaluation of virus inactivation by formaldehyde to enhance biosafety of diagnostic electron microscopy. *Viruses*; 7(2): 666–679.
- Molnár J., Szakács G., and Tusnády G.E. 2016. Characterization of Disease-Associated Mutations in Human Transmembrane Proteins. *PLoS one*; 11(3): e0151760.
- Mondal S.K., Neelima M., Seetha S., Rao K.A. and Srinivasan V.A. 2005. Validation of the inactivant binary ethylenimine for inactivating rabies virus for veterinary rabies vaccine production. *Biologicals*.; 33(3): 185–189.
- Monreal G., Dorn R. and Kassim M. 1980. Detection of neutralizing antibodies against avian adenovirus in a microtitre cell-culture system. *Berliner Munchner Tierarztliche Wochenschrift*; 93: 125–128.
- Monto A.S., Maassab H.F. and E.R. Bryan. 1981. Relative efficacy of embryonated eggs and cell culture for isolation of contemporary influenza viruses. *J. of Clin. Micro.*; 13(1): 233-235.
- Moore G.E., Gerner R.E. and Franklin H.A. 1967. Culture of normal human leukocytes. *JAMA*.; 199: 519–524.
- Moore G.E., Ito E., Ulrich K. and Sandberg A.A. 1966. Culture of human leukemia cells. *Cancer*; 19: 713–723.
- Moreira A.R. 2007. The Evolution of Protein Expression and Cell Culture. *BioPharm International*; 20(10). <http://www.biopharminternational.com/evolution-protein-expression-and-cell-culture>. Accessed 14-05-2019.
- Moyer C.L., Wiethoff C.M., Maier O., Smith J.G. and Nemerow G.R. 2011. Functional genetic and biophysical analyses of membrane disruption by human adenovirus. *J. Virol.*; 85: 2631–2641.
- Mudliar S., Giri B., Padoley K., Satpute D., Dixit R., Bhatt P., Pandey R., Juwarkar A. and Vaidya A. 2010. Bioreactors for treatment of VOCs and odours – A review. *J Environ Management*; 91: 1039–1054.
- Mullis K.B. 1990. The unusual origin of the polymerase chain reaction. *Scientific American*.; 262(4): 56–65.
- Murakami H., Yamada K. 1987. Production of cancer specific monoclonal antibodies with human–human hybridomas and their serum-free, high density, perfusion culture. In: Spier R, Griffiths J, eds. *Modern Approaches to Animal Cell Technology*. London: Butterworths; p52–76.

- Murakami H., Edamoto T., Nakamura H. and Omura H. 1982. Growth of myeloma mpc- 11 cells in serum- free growth factor supplemented medium. *Agric Biol Chem.*; 46: 1831-1837.
- Murphy K.M., Ouyang W., Farrar J.D., Yang J., Ranganath S., Asnagli H., Afkarian M. and Murphy T.L. 2000. Signaling and transcription in T helper development. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.*; 18: 451-494.
- Music N., Tzeng W., Liaini Gross F., Levine M.Z., Xu X., Shieh W., Tumpey T.M., Katz J.M. and York I.A. 2019. Repeated vaccination against matched H3N2 influenza virus gives less protection than single vaccination in ferrets. *npj Vaccines* 4, 28 (2019).
- Muskett J.C., Reed N.E. and Thornton D.H. 1985. Increased virulence of an infectious bursal disease live virus vaccine after passage in chicks. *Vaccine*; 3: 309-312.
- Nagaraja K.V., Patel B.L., Emery D.A., Pomeroy B.S. and Newman J.A. 1982. In vitro depression of the mitogenic response of lymphocytes from turkeys infected with HE virus. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*; 43: 134-6.
- Nakamura K., Mase M., Yamamoto Y., Takizawa K., Kabeya M., Wakuda T., Matsuda M., Chikuba T., Yamamoto Y., Ohyama T., Takahashi K., Sato N., Akiyama N., Honma H. and Imai K. 2011. Inclusion body hepatitis caused by fowl adenovirus in broiler chickens in Japan 2009-2011. *Avian Dis*; 55: 719-723.
- Nakamura K., Mase M., Yamaguchi S. and Yuasa N. 2000. Induction of hydropericardium in one-day-old specific pathogen-free chicks by adenoviruses from inclusion body hepatitis. *Avian Dis*; 44: 192-196.
- Naveed M., Tehreem S, Mubeen S., Nadeem F, Zafar F. and Irshad M. 2016. In-silico analysis of non-synonymous-SNPs of STEAP2: To provoke the progression of prostate cancer. *Open Life Sci.*; 11: 402-416.
- Nazir S.A., and Metcalf J. 2005. Innate Immune Response to Adenovirus. *Journal of Investigative Medicine*, 53: 292 - 304.
- Nemerow G.R., Pache L., Reddy V. and Stewart P.L. 2009. Insight into adenovirus host cell interactions from structural studies. *Virology*; 384: 380-388.
- Neuman R.E. and McCoy T.A. 1958. Growth-promoting properties of pyruvate, oxaloacetate, and  $\alpha$ - ketoglutarate for isolated Walker carcinosarcoma 256 cells. *Exp Biol Med.*; 98: 303-306.
- Nicklin S.A., Wu E., Nemerow G.R. and Baker A.H. 2005. The influence of adenovirus fiber structure and function on vector development for gene therapy. *Mol Ther*; 12(3): 384-393.
- Niczyporuk J.S. 2017. Molecular characterisation of fowl adenovirus type 7 isolated from poultry associated with inclusion body hepatitis in Poland. *Arch Virol*; 162(5): 1325-1333.

- Niczyporuk J.S. and Czekaj H. 2018. A comparative pathogenicity analysis of two adenovirus strains, 1/A and 8a/E isolated from poultry in Poland. *Arch Virol*; 163: 3005–3013
- Nighot P.K., Deshmukh D.B., Ghalasasi G.R. and Sarvashe B.D., 1996. Inclusion body hepatitis, hydropericardium syndrome: sequential histopathology. Proceedings of the XXth World's Poultry Congress, New Delhi, India, 321.
- Nilsson K., Buzsaky F. and Mosbach K. 1986. Growth of anchorage dependent cells on macroporous microcarriers. *Nat. Biotechnol.*; 4: 989-990.
- Nilsson K. 1988. Microcarrier Cell Culture. *Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev*; 6(1): 404-439, DOI: 10.1080/02648725.1988.10647854.
- Niu Y., Sun Q., Shi Y., Ding Y., Li Z., Sun Y., Li M. and Liu S. 2019. Immunosuppressive potential of fowl adenovirus serotype 4. *Poult Sci*; 0: 1–9.
- Norfitriah M.S., Hair-Bejo M., Omar A.R., Aini I. and Nurulfiza M.I. 2014. Adaptation and attenuation of fowl adenovirus in Vero cells, *Onl J Vet Res.*, 18(2):151-166.
- Norina L., Norsharina A., Nurnadiah A.H., Redzuan I., Ardy A. and Nor-Ismaaliza I. 2016. Avian adenovirus isolated from broiler affected with inclusion body hepatitis. *Malaysian J Vet Res*; 7(2): 121-126.
- Norrby E. 1969. The relationship between the soluble antigens and the virion of adenovirus type 3. IV. Immunological complexity of soluble components. *Virology*; 37: 565-576.
- Noteboom W.D. and Will P.C. 1982. Siliconizing glassware to be used for suspension cell culture. *J Tissue Cult Methods*; 7: 9 – 11. doi.org/10.1007/BF01666873.
- Nwajei B.N.C., Afaleq A.A. and Jones R.C. 1988. Comparison of chick embryo liver and vero cell cultures for the isolation and growth of avian reoviruses, *Avian Pathol*; 17(4): 759-766.
- O'Garra A. and Vieira P. 2007. T(H)1 cells control themselves by producing interleukin-10. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*; 7: 425-428.
- Ohizumi T., Nakamura K., Yamamoto Y, Mase M. and Yamada M. 2012. Detection of Fowl Adenovirus DNA from Formalin-Fixed and Paraffin-Embedded Sections by PCR and Classification of Serotypes by Sequencing of PCR Products. *Avian Dis.*; 56: 741–743.
- Ojkic D., Martin E., Swinton J., Vaillancourt J.P., Boulianne M. and Gomis S. 2008. Genotyping of Canadian isolates of fowl adenoviruses. *Avian Pathol*; 37: 95–100.
- Ojkic D. and Nagy E. 2000. The complete nucleotide sequence of fowl adenovirus type 8. *J. Gen. Virol.*; 81: 1833-1837.

- Ojkic D. and Nagy E., 2003. Antibody response and virus tissue distribution in chickens inoculated with wild-type and recombinant fowl adenoviruses. *Vaccine*; 22: 42-48.
- Okuda Y., Ono M., Yazawa S., Imai Y., Shibata I. and Sato S. 2001. Pathogenicity of serotype 1 fowl adenovirus in commercial broiler chickens. *Avian Dis.*; 45(4): 819-27.
- Oliver Ferrando S., Dolz R., Calderón C., Valle R., Rivas R., Pérez M., Biarnés M., Blanco A., Bertran K., Ramis A., Busquets N. and Majó N. 2017. Epidemiological and pathological investigation of fowl aviadenovirus serotypes 8b and 11 isolated from chickens with inclusion body hepatitis in Spain (2011–2013). *Avian Pathol.*; 46: 157–165.
- Olsen N.O. 1950. A respiratory disease (bronchitis) of quail caused by a virus. *Proc. Of US Livestock Disease San. Assoc.*; 171-174.
- Ono M., Okuda Y., Yazawa S., Shibata I., Tanimura N., Kimura K., Haritani M., Mase M. and Saro S. 2001. Epizootic outbreaks of gizzard erosion associated with adenovirus infection in chickens. *Avian Dis*; 45: 268–275.
- Ono M., Okuda Y., Shibata I., Sato S. and Okada K. 2007. Reproduction of adenoviral gizzard erosion by the horizontal transmission of fowl adenovirus serotype 1. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.*; 69: 1005–1008. 5.
- Ono M., Okuda Y., Shibata I., Sato S. and Okada K. 2004. Pathogenicity by parenteral injection of fowl adenovirus isolated from gizzard erosion and resistance to reinfection in adenoviral gizzard erosions in chickens. *Vet. Patol.*; 41: 483-489.
- Ozturk S.S. and Hu W.S. 2006. Cell Culture Technology for Pharmaceutical and Cell-based Therapies. CRC Press, NY.
- Pallister J., Wright P. and Sheppard M. 1996. A single gene encoding the fiber is responsible for variations in virulence in the fowl adenoviruses. *J. Virol.*; 70: 5115–5122.
- Pan Q., Yang Y., Gao Y., Qi X., Liu C., Zhang Y. and Wang X. 2017. An Inactivated Novel Genotype Fowl Adenovirus 4 Protects Chickens against the Hydropericardium Syndrome That Recently Emerged in China. *Viruses*; 9(8): 216-225.
- Pandey A., Larroche C. and Socol C.R. 2008. General considerations about solid-state fermentation processes. In: Pandey A, Socol CR, Larroche C (eds) Current developments in solid-state fermentation. *Springer Science*, New Delhi, pp 13–25.
- Park H.S., Lim I.S., Kim S.K., Kim T.K., Park C.K. and Yeo S.G. 2017. Molecular analysis of the hexon, penton base, and fiber-2 genes of Korean fowl adenovirus serotype 4 isolates from hydropericardium syndrome-affected chickens. *Virus Genes.*; 53: 111–6.

- Parker J. 2001. Amino Acid Substitution. *Encyclopedia of Genetics*; 57–58.
- Pauly M., Akoua-Koffi C., Buchwald N., Schubert G., Weiss S., Couacy-Hymann E., Anoh A.E., Mossoun A., Calvignac-Spencer S., Leendertz S.A., Leendertz F.H. and Ehlers B. 2015. Adenovirus in Rural Côte D'Ivoire: High Diversity and Cross-Species Detection. *EcoHealth*; 12(3): 441-452.
- Pavlopoulos G.A., Soldatos T.G., Barbosa-Silva A., and Schneider R. 2010. A reference guide for tree analysis and visualization. *BioData mining*; 3(1): 1.
- Pei Y., Corredor J.C., Griffin B.D., Krell P.J. and Nagy E. 2018. Fowl adenovirus 4 (fadv-4)-based infectious clone for vaccine vector development and viral gene function studies. *Viruses*; 10: 97.
- Peng X., Zhang K., Bai S., Ding X., Zeng Q., Yang J., Fang J. and Chen K. 2014. Histological lesions, cell cycle arrest, apoptosis and T cell subsets changes of spleen in chicken fed aflatoxin contaminated corn. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*.; 11: 8567-80.
- Pereira C.G., Marin S.Y., Santos B.M., Resende J.S., Resende M., Gomes A.M. and Martins N.R.S. 2014. Occurrence of Aviadenovirus in chickens from the poultry industry of Minas Gerais [Ocorrência de Aviadenovirus em aves da industria avícola de Minas Gerais]. *Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec.*; 66(3): 801- 808.
- Petrovsky N., and Aguilar J.C. 2004. Vaccine adjuvants: Current state and future trends. *Immunol Cell Biol*; 82(5): 488–496. doi:10.1111/j.0818-9641.2004.01272. x.
- Philippe C., Grgic H. and Nagy E. 2005. Inclusion bodies hHepatitis in young broiler breeders associated with a serotype 2 Adenovirus in Ontario, Canada. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.*; 14: 588-593.
- Philippe C., Grgic H., Ojkic D. and Nagy E. 2007. Serologic monitoring of a broiler breeder flock previously affected by inclusion body hepatitis and testing of the progeny for vertical transmission of fowl adenoviruses. *Can J Vet Res*; 71: 98–102.
- Pikuła A., Lisowska A., Jasik A. and Smietanka K. 2018. Identification and assessment of virulence of a natural reassortant of infectious bursal disease virus. *Vet Res*; 49: 89.
- Pilkington P., Brown T., Villegas P., McMurray B., Page R.K., Rowland G.N. and Thayer S.G. 1997. Adenovirus-induced inclusion body hepatitis in four-day-old broiler breeders. *Avian Dis*; 41: 472–4.
- Pirtle E.C. and Beran G. W. 1991. Virus survival in the environment. *Rev Sci Tech.*; 10(3): 733-748.
- Pratelli A. 2008. Canine coronavirus inactivation with physical and chemical agents. *Vet J*; 177(1): 71–79.

- Prediger E. 2017. Calculation: Converting from nanogram to copy number. @2020 Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. Accessed 17 Sept. 2020.
- Qiagen. 2014. QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR Handbook. For fast, quantitative, real-time PCR and two-step RT-PCR using SYBR Green. *Qiagen*, 44p. Accessed: August 20, 2020.
- Racaniello V. 2010. Detecting viral proteins in infected cells or tissue by immunostaining. *Virology blog*. <http://www.virology.ws/2010/09/30/detecting-viral-proteins-in-infected-cells-or-tissues-by-immunostaining/>. Accessed Sept, 2020.
- Rahimi M. and Haghghi Z.M.S. 2015. Adenovirus-like inclusion body hepatitis in a flock of broiler chickens in Kermanshah province, *Iran. Vet Res Forum.*; 6(1): 95–98.
- Rahman K.M., Arifeen S.E., Zaman K., Rahman M., Raqib R., Yunus M., Begum N., Islam M.S., Sohel B.M., Rahman M., Venkatesan M., Hale T.L., Isenberger D.W., Sansonetti P.J., Black R.E. and Baqui A.H. 2011. Safety, dose, immunogenicity and transmissibility of an oral live-attenuated *Shigella flexneri* 2a vaccine candidate (SC602) among healthy adults and school children in Matlab, Bangladesh. *Vaccine*; 29: 1347–1354.
- Rahul S., Kataria J.M., Senthilkumar N., Dhama K., Sylvester S.A. and Uma R. 2005. Association of fowl adenovirus serotype 12 with hydropericardium syndrome of poultry in India. *Acta Virol*; 49(2): 139–143.
- Raji A.A., Mohammed B., Oladele S.B., Saidu L., Jibril A.H. and Cazaban C. 2017. Bursa body index as a visual indicator for the assessment of bursa of Fabricius. *J Vet Med Anim Health*; 9(2), pp. 32-38.
- Rasmussen U.B., Schlesinger Y., Pavirani A. and Mehtali M. 1995. Sequence analysis of the canine adenovirus 2 fiber-encoding gene. *Gene.*; 159(2): 279-80.
- Rautenschlein S., Kraemer C., Vanmarcke J., and Montiel E. 2005. Protective efficacy of intermediate and intermediate plus infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) vaccines against very virulent IBDV in commercial broilers. *Avian Diseases*; 49(2): 231–237.
- Rauw F., Gardin Y., Palya V., vanBorm S., Gonze M., Lemaire S., vandenBerg T. and Lambrecht B. 2009. Humoral, cell-mediated and mucosal immunity induced by oculo-nasal vaccination of one-day-old SPF and conventional layer chicks with two different live Newcastle disease vaccines. *Vaccine*; 27: 3631–3642.
- Ren G., Wang H., Yan Y., Liu F., Huang M. and Chen R. 2019. Pathogenicity of a fowl adenovirus serotype 4 isolated from chickens associated with hydropericardium-hepatitis syndrome in China. *Poult Sci.*; 98(7): 2765-2771.
- Revajova V., Herichl R., Seman V., Levkut M. Jr., Levkutova1 M., Karaffova1 M. and Levkut M. 2017. An unusual outbreak of inclusion body hepatitis on a broiler chicken farm: a case report. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 62, (11): 6.

- Rinderknecht E. and Humbel R.E. 1976. Polypeptides with nonsuppressible insulin- like and cell- growth promoting activities in human serum: Isolation, chemical characterization, and some biological properties of forms I and II. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.*; 73: 2365-2369.
- Roberts M.M., White, J.L., Grutter, M.G. and Burnett, R.M., 1986. Three-dimensional structure of the adenovirus major coat protein hexon, *Science*; 232: 1148–1151.
- Rosendahl Huber S., van Beek J., de Jonge J., Luytjes W. and van Baarle D. 2014. T cell responses to viral infections - opportunities for Peptide vaccination. *Frontiers in immunology*, 5, 171.
- Ross R., Glomset J., Kariya B. and Harker L. 1974. A platelet-dependent serum factor that stimulates the proliferation of arterial smooth muscle cells in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.*; 71: 1207-1210.
- Rothwell L., Young J.R., Zoorob R., Whittaker C.A., Hesketh P., Archer A., Smith A.L. and Kaiser P. 2004. Cloning and characterization of chicken IL-10 and its role in the immune response to *Eimeria maxima*. *J. Immunol.*; 173: 2675-2682.
- Roy P., Koteeswaran A. and Manickam R. 1999. Efficacy of an inactivated oil emulsion vaccine against hydropericardium syndrome in broilers. *Vet. Rec.*; 145: 458-459.
- Rubarth S. 1947. An Acute Virus Disease with Liver Lesion in Dogs (Hepatitis Contagiosa Canis): A Pathologico-anatomical and Etiological Investigation. *Acta Path. et Micro. Scandinavica*; 69: 1(Suppl).
- Russell W.C. 2009. Adenoviruses: update on structure and function, *J Gen Virol*; 90: 1–20.
- Russell W.C. and Precious, B. 1982. Nucleic acid-binding properties of adenovirus structural polypeptides. *J. Gen. Virol.*; 63: 69–79.
- Ryan J.A. 2008. Evolution of Cell Culture Surfaces. *BioFiles*; 3(8): 21.
- Sabbatini P., Chiou S.K., Rao L. and White E. 1995. Modulation of p53-mediated transcriptional repression and apoptosis by the adenovirus E1B 19K protein. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*; 15: 1060–1070.
- Sadri R., Ghabosi B. and Momayies Siahkai R. 1996. Preparation and evaluation of a live modified fowl pox vaccine using chicken embryo fibroblast cell culture. *Archives de l' Institut Razi*; (46/47): 109-112.
- Saifuddin M. and Wilks C.R. 1991. Vertical transmission of avian adenovirus associated with inclusion body hepatitis. *N Z Vet J*; 39: 50–52.
- Salmon W.D. Jr. and Daughaday W.H. 1957. A hormonally controlled serum factor which stimulates sulfate incorporation by cartilage in vitro. *J. Lab Clin Med.*; 49: 825–836.



- San Martín C. 2012. Latest Insights on Adenovirus Structure and Assembly. *Viruses*; 4: 847-877.
- San Martín C. and R.M. Burnett. 2003. Structural studies on adenoviruses. In W. Doerfler and P. Böhm (ed.), *Adenoviruses: model and vectors in virus host interactions—current topics in microbiology and immunology*; 272: 57-94, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Sarachai C., Sasipreeyajan J. and Chansiripornchai N. 2014. Avian Influenza Virus (H5N1) Inactivation by Binary Ethylenimine. *Thai J. Vet. Med.*; 40(1): 41-46.
- Sarfraz M., Suleman M., Tikoo S.K., Wheler C., Potter A.A., Gerdts V. and Dar A. 2017. Immune responses to in ovo vaccine formulations containing inactivated fowl adenovirus 8b with poly [di (sodium carboxylatoethylphenoxy)] phosphazene (PCEP) and avian beta defensin as adjuvants in chickens. *Vaccine*; 35(6): 981–986.
- Sarkar A., Selvan R.P.T., Kishore S., Ganesh K. and Bhanuprakash V. 2017. Comparison of different inactivation methods on the stability of Indian vaccine strains of foot and mouth disease virus. *Biological*; 48: 10–23.
- Schachner A., Matos M., Grafl B. and Hess M. 2018. Fowl adenovirus-induced diseases and strategies for their control – a review on the current global situation, *Avian Pathol*, 47(2): 111-126.
- Schmelzer E., Finoli A., Nettleship I. and Gerlach J.C. 2015. Long-term three-dimensional perfusion culture of human adult bone marrow mononuclear cells in bioreactors. *Biotechnol Bioeng.*; 112(4): 801–810.
- Schonewille E., Jaspers R., Paul G., and Hess M. 2010. Specific pathogen free chickens vaccinated with a live fadv-4 vaccine are fully protected against a severe challenge even in the absence of neutralizing antibodies. *Avian Dis*, 54(2), 905–910.
- Schonewille E., Singh A., Göbel T. W., Gerner W., Saalmüller A. and Hess M. 2008. Fowl adenovirus (FAdV) serotype 4 causes depletion of B and T cells in lymphoid organs in specific pathogen-free chickens following experimental infection. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol*; 121(1-2): 130–139.
- Schonichen A., Webb B.A., Jacobson M.P. and Barber D.L. 2013. Considering protonation as a posttranslational modification regulating protein structure and function. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.*; 42: 289–314.
- Schroën C.G.P.H., Van Roon J.L., Beefink H.H., Tramper J. and Boom R.M. 2009. Membrane applications for antibiotics production. *Desalination*; 236: 78–84.
- Serrano L., Neira J.-L., Sancho J., and Fersht A.R. 1992. Effect of alanine versus glycine in  $\alpha$ -helices on protein stability. *Nature*; 356(6368): 453–455.

- Shah M.S., Ashraf A., Rahman M., Khan M.I. and Qureshi J.A. 2012. A subunit vaccine against hydropericardium syndrome using adenovirus penton capsid protein. *Vaccine*; 30: 7153.
- Shane S.M. and Jaffery M.S. 1997. Hydropericardiumhepatitis syndrome (Angara disease). In Diseases of poultry, 10th Ed. (B.W. Calnek with H.J. Barnes, C.W. Beard, L.R. McDougald & Y.M. Saif, eds). Iowa State University Press, Ames, 1019-1022.
- Shayakhmetov D.M. and Lieber A. 2000. Dependence of adenovirus infectivity on length of the fiber shaft domain. *J Virol*; 74(22): 10274–10286.
- Sheppard M. and Trist H. 1992. Characterization of the avian adenovirus penton base. *Virology*; 188: 881-886.
- Sheppard M., McCoy R.J. and Werner W. 1995. Genomic mapping and sequence analysis of the fowl adenovirus serotype 10 hexon gene. *J Gen Virol*; 76(10): 2595–2600.
- Siddique F., M.S. Mahmood, I. Hussain and F. Deebea. 2017. Evaluation of efficacy of Vero cell-adapted, thermostable Newcastle disease vaccine in broilers. *J. Appl. Poult. Res*; 26:145–153.
- Silva A.I. and Mateus M. 2009. Development of a polysulfone hollow fibre vascular bio-artificial pancreas device for in vitro studies. *J Biotechnol.*; 139(3): 236–249.
- Singh A., Bekele A.Z., Patnayak D.P., Jindal N., Porter R.E., Mor S.K., and Goyal S.M. 2016. Molecular characterization of quail bronchitis virus isolated from bobwhite quail in Minnesota. *Poult Sci*; 0: 1–4.
- Singh A., G.S. Grewal, N.K. Maiti, and M.S. Oberoi. 2006. Effect of fowl adenovirus-1 (IBH isolate) on humoral and cellular immune competency of broiler chicks. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*; 29: 315–321.
- Singh A., Oberoi M.S., Jand S.K. and Singh B. 1996. Epidemiology of inclusion body hepatitis in poultry in northern India from 1990 to 1994. *Rev. sci. tech. Off. Int. Epiz.*; 15: 1053-1060.
- Singh M., Shmulevitz M. and Tikoo S.K. 2005. A newly identified interaction between IVa2 and pVIII proteins during porcine adenovirus type 3 infection. *Virology*; 336: 60–69.
- Slaine P.D., Ackford J.G., Kropinski A.M., Kozak R.A., Krell P.J. and Nagy E. 2016. Molecular characterization of pathogenic and nonpathogenic fowl aviadenovirus serotype 11 isolates. *Canadian J. Micro.*; 62(12): 993-1002.
- Smith G.L. and Temin H.M. 1974. Purified multiplication-stimulating activity from rat liver cell conditioned medium: comparison of biological activities with calf serum, insulin, and somatomedin. *J Cell Physiol.*; 84: 181–192.

- Smith-Garvin J.E., Koretzky G.A. and Jordan M.S. 2009. T cell activation. *Annual review of immunology*; 27: 591–619.
- Sohaimi N.M., Bejo M.H., Omar A.R., Ideris A. and Isa N.M. 2018. Hexon and fiber gene changes in an attenuated fowl adenovirus isolate from Malaysia in embryonated chicken eggs and its infectivity in chickens. *J Vet Sci*; 19(6): 759–770.
- Solcan C., Solcan G. and Cotea C. 2010. Immunotoxic action of ochratoxine A on lymphocytes from lymphoid tissues associated to gut mucosa in chickens. *Bulletin UASVM Agriculture.*; 67: 283-90.
- Soumyalekshmi S., Ajith M.K. and Chandraprakash M. 2014. Isolation of fowl adenovirus in chicken embryo liver cell culture and its detection by hexon gene-based PCR. *Ind. J. Sci. Res. and Tech.*; 2(3): 33-36.
- Spier M.R., Vandenberghe L.P.S., Medeiros A.B.P. and Soccol C.R. 2011. Application of different types of bioreactors in bioprocesses. In P. G. Antolli, & Z. Liu (Eds.), *Bioreactors: Design, properties and applications* (pp. 53---88). New York: Nova Science Publishers.
- Srivastava J., Barber D.L. and Jacobson M.P. 2007. Intracellular pH sensors: design principles and functional significance. *Physiology (Bethesda)*, 22: 30–39.
- Stafford R.L., Zimmerman E.S., Hallam T.J., and Sato A.K.A. 2014. General sequence processing and analysis program for protein engineering. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*; 54: 3020–3032; dx.
- Stahlberg A., Thomsen C., Ruff D. and Åman P. 2012. Quantitative PCR analysis of DNA, RNAs, and Proteins in the same single cell. *Clin Chem.*; 58: 1682–1691.
- Stanbury P., Whitaker A. and Hall S.J., 1995. Principles of Fermentation Technology, 2nd Edition., New York: Pergamon.
- Stanners C.P., Eliccieri G.L. and Green H. 1971. Two types of ribosome in mouse–hamster hybrid cells. *Nature New Biol.*; 230: 52–54.
- Stano,M., Beke,G., and Klucar,L. 2016. viruSITE—integrated database for viral genomics. *Database*. Volume 2016: baw162.
- Steer P. A., Kirkpatrick N. C., O’Rourke D. and Noormohammadi A. H. 2009. Classification of fowl adenovirus serotypes by use of high-resolution melting-curve analysis of the hexon gene region. *J. Clinical Microbiol*, 47(2): 311–321.
- Steer P.A., Sandy J.R., O’Rourke D., Scott P.C., Browning G.F. and Noormohammadi A.H. 2015. Chronological analysis of gross and histological lesions induced by field strains of fowl adenovirus serotypes 1, 8b and 11 in one-day-old chickens. *Avian Pathol*, 44: 106–13.

- Steer P.A., O'Rourke D., Ghorashi S.A. and Noormohammadi A.H. 2011. Application of high-resolution melting curve analysis for typing of fowl adenoviruses in field cases of inclusion body hepatitis. *Aust Vet J.*; 89(5): 184-92.
- Steer-Cope P., Sandy J., O'Rourke D., Scott P., Browning G. and Noormohammadi A. 2017. Chronologic analysis of gross and histologic lesions induced by field strains of FAdV-1, FAdV-8b, and FAdV-11 in six-week-old chickens. *Avian Dis.*; 61: 512-519.
- Steinmann B., Westerhausen A., Constantinou C. D., Superti-Furga A. and Prockop D. J. 1991. Substitution of cysteine for glycine- $\alpha$ 1-691 in the pro $\alpha$ 1(I) chain of type I procollagen in a proband with lethal osteogenesis imperfecta destabilizes the triple helix at a site C-terminal to the substitution. *Biochemical J.*; 279(3): 747-752.
- Stephenson M., and Grayson W. 2018. Recent advances in bioreactors for cell-based therapies. *F1000Research*; 7: F1000 Faculty Rev-517.
- Stewart P.L., Chiu C.Y., Huang S., Muir T., Zhao Y., Chait B., Mathias P. and Nemerow G.R. 1997. Cryo-EM visualization of an exposed RGD epitope on adenovirus that escapes antibody neutralization. *EMBO J.*; 16: 1189-1198.
- Storm M.P., Sorrell I., Shipley R., Regan S., Luetchford K.A., Sathish J., Webb S. and Ellis M.J. 2016. Hollow Fiber Bioreactors for In Vivo-like Mammalian Tissue Culture. *J. Vis. Exp.* (111): 1-12, e53431.
- Stroparo E., Cruz C. R., Debur M. do C., Vidal L. R., Nogueira M. B., Almeida S. M. de, Pereira L. A., Rotta I. and Raboni S. M. 2010. Adenovirus respiratory infection: significant increase in diagnosis using PCR comparing with antigen detection and culture methods. *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo*; 52(6): 317-321.
- Sui Z., Chen Q., Fang F., Zheng M. and Chen Z. 2010. Cross-protection against influenza virus infection by intranasal administration of M1-based vaccine with chitosan as an adjuvant. *Vaccine*; 28:7690-8.
- Sun B., Yu X., Kong W., Sun S., Yang P., Zhu C., Zhang H., Wu Y., Chen Y., Shi Y., Zhang X. and Jiang C. 2013. Production of influenza H1N1 vaccine from MDCK cells using a novel disposable packed-bed bioreactor. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*; 97(3): 1063-1070.
- Sun Z., Larsen C., Dunlop A., Huang F., Pierson F., Toth T. and Meng X.J. 2004. Genetic identification of avian hepatitis E virus (HEV) from healthy chickens flocks and characterization of the capsid gene of 14 avian HEV isolates from chickens with hepatic-splenomegaly syndrome in different geographical regions of the United States. *J Gen Virol*; 85: 693-700.
- Surabattula R., Rao Sambasiva K.R.S. and Polavarapu R. 2011. An optimized process for expression, scale-up and purification of recombinant erythropoietin produced in chinese hamster ovary cell culture. *Res Biotechnol*; 2(3): 58-74.

- Suresh M. and Sharma J.M. 1995. Haemorrhagic enteritis virus induced changes in the lymphocyte subpopulations in turkeys and the effect of experimental immunodeficiency on viral pathogenesis. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.*; 45: 139–50.
- Swain P., Nanda P.K., Natak S.K. and Mishra S.S. 2014. Basic techniques and limitations in establishing cell culture: a mini review. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci*; 2: 1-10.
- Swain S.L., McKinstry K.K. and Strutt T.M. 2012. Expanding roles for CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in immunity to viruses. *Nat Rev Immunol*; 12(2): 136–148.
- Takeharu M., Dai I. and Kenzo T. 2011. Adenovirus virus-associated RNAs induce type I interferon expression through a RIG-I-mediated pathway. *J. Virol.*; 85: 4035–4040.
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., & Kumar, S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol Biol Evol*; 30(12): 2725-2729.
- Tapia F., Vogel T., Genzel Y., Behrendt I., Hirschel M., Gangemi J.D. and Reichl U. 2014. Production of high-titer human influenza A virus with adherent and suspension MDCK cells cultured in a single-use hollow fiber bioreactor. *Vaccine*; 32(8): 1003–1011.
- Tapia F., Jordan I., Genzel Y. and Reichl U. 2017. Efficient and stable production of Modified Vaccinia Ankara virus in two-stage semi-continuous and in continuous stirred tank cultivation systems. *PLoS one*; 12(8): e0182553.
- Taylor J.M., Mitchell W.M. and Cohen S. 1972. Epidermal growth factor: physical and chemical properties. *J Biol Chem.*; 247: 5928–5934.
- Thimme R., Wieland S., Steiger C., Ghayeb J., Reimann K.A., Purcell R.H. and Chisari F.V. 2003. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells mediate viral clearance and disease pathogenesis during acute Hepatitis B virus infection. *Journal of Virology*; 77(1): 68–76.
- Thomassen Y.E., Rubingh O., Wijffels R.H., vanderPol L.A. and Bakker W.A.M. 2014. Improved poliovirus D-antigen yields by application of different Vero cell cultivation methods. *Vaccine*; 32(24): 2782-2788.
- Toledo H., Baly A., Castro O., Resik S., Laferté J., Rolo F., Navea L., Lobaina L., Cruz O., Míguez J., Serrano T., Sierra B., Pérez L., Ricardo M.E., Dubed M., Lubián A.L., Blanco M., Millán J.C., Ortega A., Iglesias E., Pentón E., Martín Z., Pérez J., Díaz M. and Duarte C.A. 2001. A phase I clinical trial of a multi-epitope polypeptide TAB 9 combined with Montanide ISA720 adjuvant in non-HIV-1 infected human volunteers. *Vaccine.*; 19: 4328–4336.
- Tollefson A.E., Ryerse J.S., Scaria A., Hermiston T.W. and Wold W.S. 1996. The E3-11.6-kDa adenovirus death protein (ADP) is required for efficient cell death: characterization of cells infected with Adp mutants. *Virology*; 220: 152-162.

- Toogood C.I.A., Crompton J. and Hay R.T. 1992. Antipeptide antisera define neutralizing epitopes on the adenovirus hexon, *J Gen Virol*; 73: 1429–1435.
- Toogood C.I.A. and Hay R.T. 1988. DNA sequence of the adenovirus type 41 hexon gene and predicted structure of the protein. *J Gen Virol*; 69: 2291–2301.
- Toro H. and Tang D.C. 2009. Protection of chickens against avian influenza with non-replicating adenovirus-vectored vaccine. *Poult Sci*; 88: 867–871.
- Toro H., González C., Cerda L., Morales M.A., Dooner P. and Salamero M. 2002. Prevention of Inclusion Body Hepatitis/Hydropericardium Syndrome in Progeny Chickens by Vaccination of Breeders with Fowl Adenovirus and Chicken Anaemia Virus. *Avian Dis.*; 46(3): 547–554.
- Toro H., Prusas R., Raue R., Cerda L., Geisse C., Gonzalez C. and Hess M. 1999. Characterization of fowl adenoviruses from outbreaks of inclusion body hepatitis hydropericardium syndrome in Chile. *Avian Dis.*; 43: 262–270.
- Toroghi R., Kataria J.M., Verma K.C., Kataria R.S. and Tiwari A.K. 2001. Amino acid changes in the variable region of VP2 in three infectious bursal disease viruses with different virulence, originating from a common ancestor. *Avian Pathol*; 30: 667– 673.
- Trabelsi K., Majoul S., Rourou S. and Kallel H. 2014. Development of a measles vaccine production process in MRC-5 cells grown on Cytodex1 microcarriers and in a stirred bioreactor. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*; 93: 1031–1040.
- Tribouley C., Lutz P., Staub A. and Keding C.J. 1994. The product of the adenovirus intermediate gene IVa2 is a transcriptional activator of the major late promoter. *J Virol*; 68: 4450–4457.
- Tsai H.J. and Saif Y.M. 1992. Effect of cell-culture passage on the pathogenicity and immunogenicity of two variant strains of infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Dis*; 36(2): 415–422.
- van den Berg T.P. 2000. Acute infectious bursal disease in poultry: a review. *Avian Pathol*; 29: 175–194.
- Van den Ende M., Don P., Kipps A. and Alexander R. 1948. Isolation in Chick Embryos of a Filtrable Agent possibly related etiologically to Lumpy Skin Disease of Cattle. *Nature*; 161: 526.
- VanGuilder H.D., Vrana K.E. and Freeman W.M. 2008. Twenty-five years of quantitative PCR for gene expression analysis. *BioTechniques.*; 44(5): 619–26.
- Vemula S.V. and Mittal S.K. 2010. Production of adenovirus vectors and their use as a delivery system for influenza vaccines. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.*; 10(10): 1469–1487.

- Veno J., Rahman, R.N.Z.R.A., Masomian, M., Ali, M.S.M. and Kamarudin, N.H.A. 2019. Insight into improved thermostability of cold-adapted staphylococcal lipase by glycine to cysteine mutation. *Molecules*; 24: 3169.
- Vogelaar J.P.M. and Erlichman E.A. 1933. feeding solution for cultures of human fibroblasts. *Am J Cancer.*; 18: 28–38.
- Waldman S.D., Couto D.C., Omelon S.J. and Kandel R.A. 2004. Effect of sodium bicarbonate on extracellular pH, matrix accumulation, and morphology of cultured articular chondrocytes. *Tissue Eng.*; 10(11-12):1633-1640.
- Wang C., Yu S., Ao-ri-ge-le, Jia D., Yao H., Zhao H., Lillehoj H.S., Si-mu-jide, Postnikoff A.C.L. and Xu S. 2012. Regulation of T lymphocyte subpopulations in specific pathogen-free chickens following experimental Fowl adenovirus-VIII infection. *Brazilian J Microbiol.*; 1281-1290.
- Wang Z. and Zhao J. 2019. Pathogenesis of Hypervirulent Fowl Adenovirus Serotype 4: The Contributions of Viral and Host Factors. *Viruses*; 11: 740-749;
- Wang Z., Wang B., Lou J., Yan J., Gao L., Geng R. and Yu B. 2014. Mutation in fibre of adenovirus serotype 5 gene therapy vector decreases liver tropism. *Int J Clin Exp Med*, 7: 4942-4950.
- Wang K., Sun H., Li Y., Yang Z., Ye J., and Chen H. 2019. Characterization and pathogenicity of fowl adenovirus serotype 4 isolated from eastern China. *BMC Vet Res*; 15: 373-382.
- Wang X., Tang Q., Qiu L., and Yang Z. 2019. Penton-dodecahedron of fowl adenovirus serotype 4 as a vaccine candidate for the control of related diseases. *Vaccine*.
- Warr G.W., Magor K.E. and Higgins D.A. 1995. IgY: clues to the origins of modern antibodies. *Immunol. Today*; 16: 392-398.
- Waye M.M.Y. and Sing C.W. 2010. Anti-viral drugs for human adenoviruses. *Pharmaceuticals*; 3(10): 3343–3354.
- Weber J.M., Cai F., Murali R. and Burnett R.M. 1994. Sequence and structural analysis of murine adenovirus type 1 hexon. *J Gen Virol*; 75: 141 147.
- Wei Z., Liu H., Diao Y., Li X., Zhang S., Gao B., Tang Y., Hu J. and Diao Y. 2019. Pathogenicity of fowl adenovirus (FAdV) serotype 4 strain SDJN in Taizhou geese. *Avian Pathol.*; 31: 1–9.
- Weier H.U. and Gray J.W. 1988. A programmable system to perform the polymerase chain reaction. *DNA.*; 7(6): 441-7.
- White P.R. 1946. Cultivation of animal tissues in vitro in nutrients of precisely known constitution. *Growth.*; 10: 231–289.

- White K.A., Ruiz D.G., Szpiech Z.A., Strauli N.B., Hernandez R.D., Jacobson M.P., and Barber D.L. 2017. Cancer-associated arginine-to-histidine mutations confer a gain in pH sensing to mutant proteins. *Sci Signal*; 10(495): eaam9931.
- Whitford W. 2010. Using Disposables in Cell-Culture-Based Vaccine Production. *BioProcess Int.*; 8: S20-S27.
- Wickham, T.J., Mathias, P., Cheresch, D.A. and Nemerow, G.R., 1993. Integrins alpha v beta 3 and alpha v beta 5 promote adenovirus internalization but not virus attachment. *Cell*; 73: 309-319.
- Wiethoff C.M., Wodrich H., Gerace L. and Nemerow G. 2005. Adenovirus protein VI mediates membrane disruption following capsid disassembly. *J. Virol.*; 79: 1992-2000.
- William G. Whitford and Alain Fairbank. 2011. Considerations in scale-up of viral vaccine production. *Bioprocess int.*
- Williams M.D. and Pirbazari M. 2007. Membrane bioreactor process for removing biodegradable organic matter from water. *Water Research*; 41: 3880–3893.
- Wittwer C.T. 2009. High-resolution DNA melting analysis: advancements and limitations. *Hum Mutat.*; 30(6): 857-9.
- Wodrich H., Guan T., Cingolani G., von Seggern D., Nemerow G. and Gerace L. 2003. Switch from capsid protein import to adenovirus assembly by cleavage of nuclear transport signals. *EMBO J.*; 22: 6245–6255.
- Wold W.S., Hermiston T.W. and Tollefson A.E. 1994. Adenovirus proteins that subvert host defenses. *Trends Microbiol.*; 2: 437-443.
- Woo H.J., and Reifman J. 2014. Quantitative modeling of virus evolutionary dynamics and adaptation in serial passages using empirically inferred fitness landscapes. *Journal of Virology*; 88 (2): 1039-1050.
- Wrum F.M. 2004. Production of recombinant protein therapeutic in cultivated mammalian cells. *Nat Biotechnol.*; 22: 1393–1398. doi:10.1038/nbt1026.
- Wu E., Pache L., von Seggern D.J., Mullen T.M., Mikiyas Y., Stewart P.L. and Nemerow G.R. 2003. Flexibility of the adenovirus fiber is required for efficient receptor interaction. *J. Virol.*; 77: 7225–7235.
- Wu X., Wu P., Shen Y., Jiang X., and Xu F. 2018. CD8<sup>+</sup> resident memory T cells and viral infection. *Front Immunol*; 9: 2093.
- Wung N., Acott S.M., Tosh D. and Ellis M.J. 2014. Hollow fibre membrane bioreactors for tissue engineering applications. *Biotechnol Lett.*; 36(12): 2357–2366.



- Xia J., Yao K.C., Liu Y.Y., You G.J., Li S.Y., Liu P., Zhao Q., Wen Rui Wu Y.P., Huang X.B., Cao S.J., Han X.F. and Huang Y. 2017. Isolation and molecular characterization of prevalent Fowl adenovirus strains in southwestern China during 2015-2016 for the development of a control strategy. *Emerg microbes infect*; 6(11): e103.
- Xie Z., Luo S., Fan Q., Xie L., Liu J., Xie Z., Pang Y., Deng X. and Wang X. 2013. Detection of antibodies specific to the nonstructural proteins of fowl adenoviruses in infected chickens but not in vaccinated chickens. *Avian. Pathol*; 42: 491–496.
- Yamaguchi T., Ogawa M., Inoshima Y., Miyoshi M., Fukushi H. and Hirai K. 1996. Identification sequences of changes responsible for the attenuation of highly virulent infectious bursal disease virus. *Virology*; 223(1): 219-223.
- Yang D.K., Kim H.H., Lee K.W. and Song J.Y. 2013. The present and future of rabies vaccine in animals. *Clin. Exp. Vaccine Res.*; 2(1): 19–25.
- Yang D.K., Kim H.H., Nah J.J., Lee K.W. and Song J.Y. 2012. Binary ethylenimine inactivated Japanese encephalitis virus antigen reveals hemagglutination. *Open J. Vet. Med.*; 2(3): 120–123.
- Yang Q., Chen J., and Zhang F. 2006. Membrane fouling control in a submerged membrane bioreactor with porous, flexible suspended carriers. *Desalination*; 189: 292-302.
- Yang J., Guertin P., Jia G., Lv Z., Yang H., and Ju D. 2019. Large-scale microcarrier culture of HEK293T cells and Vero cells in single-use bioreactors. *AMB Express*; 9(1): 70.
- Yao T. and Asayama Y. 2017. Animal-cell culture media: History, characteristics, and current issues. *Reprod. Med. and Biol.*; 16(2): 99-117.
- Yewdell J.W., Norbury C.C., Bennink J.R. and Frank J.D. 1999. Mechanisms of exogenous antigen presentation by MHC class I molecules in vitro and in vivo: implications for generating CD8+ T cell responses to infectious agents, tumors, transplants, and vaccines. *Adv. Immunol.*; 73: 1–77.
- Yosipovich R., Aizenshtein E., Shadmon R., Krispel S., Shuster E. and Pitcovski J. 2015. Overcoming the susceptibility gap between maternal antibody disappearance and auto-antibody production. *Vaccine*; 33: 472–478.
- Yu H., Zhang K., Ye X., Wang W., Wu W., Wang X. and Jiao, P. 2019. Comparative pathogenicity and transmissibility of the H7N9 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus and the H7N9 low pathogenic avian influenza virus in chickens. *Viruses*; 11(11): 1047.
- Yugo D.M., Hauck R., Shivaprasad H.L. and Meng X.J. 2016. Hepatitis virus in poultry. *Avian Dis*; 60: 576–588.

- Zadravec M., Slavec B., Krapež U., Kaján G.L., Račnik J., Juntos P. and Rojs O.Z. 2011. Inclusion body hepatitis associated with fowl adenovirus type 8b in broiler flock in Slovenia—a case report. *Slov Vet Res*; 48: 107–113.
- Zadravec M., Brigita S., Krapež U., Kaján G., Račnik J., Juntos P., Juršič-Cizerl R, Benkō M. and Zorman-Rojs O. 2013. Inclusion body hepatitis (IBH) outbreak associated with fowl adenovirus type 8b in broilers. *Acta Vet*; 63: 101–110.
- Zarubaev V.V., Pushkina E.A., Borisevich S.S., Galochkina A.V., Garshina A.V., Shtro A.A., Egorova A.A., Sokolova A.S., Khursan S.L., Yarovaya O.I. and Salakhutdinov N. F. 2018. Selection of influenza virus resistant to the novel camphor-based antiviral camphecene results in loss of pathogenicity. *Virology*; 524: 69-77.
- Zhang T., Jin Q., Ding P., Wang Y., Chai Y., Li Y., Liu X., Luo J. and Zhang G. 2016. Molecular epidemiology of hydropericardium syndrome outbreak associated serotype 4 fowl adenovirus isolates in central China. *Virology Journal*; 13: 188
- Zhang Y., Liu R., Tian K., Wang Z., Yang X., Gao D., Zhang Y., Fu J., Wang H. and Zhao J. 2018. Fiber2 and hexon genes are closely associated with the virulence of the emerging and highly pathogenic fowl adenovirus 4. *Emerging Microbes & Infections*; 7: 199.
- Zhang J. 2000. Rates of conservative and radical nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions in mammalian nuclear genes. *J Mol Evol*; 50 (1): 56–68.
- Zhang J., Liu W., Chen W., Li C., Xie M. and Bu Z. 2016. Development of an immunoperoxidase monolayer assay for the detection of antibodies against Peste des petits ruminants virus based on BHK-21 cell line stably expressing the goat signaling lymphocyte activation molecule. *PloS one*; 11(10): e0165088.
- Zhao J., Zhong Q., Zhao Y., Hu Y. X. and Zhang G. Z. 2015. Pathogenicity and complete genome characterization of fowl adenoviruses isolated from chickens associated with inclusion body hepatitis and hydropericardium syndrome in China. *PLoS One*; 10: e0133073.
- Zhao J., Ruan S., Guo Y., He Z., Xu M., and Zhang G. 2018. Serological and phylogenetic analysis indicating prevalence of fowl adenovirus in China. *Vet Record*; 182(13): 381–381.
- Zou J., Chang M., Nie P. and Secombes C.J. 2009. Origin and evolution of the RIG-I like RNA helicase gene family. *BMC Evol. Biol.*; 9: 85.
- Zsák L. and Kisary J. 1984. Grouping of fowl adenoviruses based upon the restriction patterns of DNA generated by BamHI and HindIII. *Intervirology*; 22: 110-114.