

## **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

## EXPLICIT TWO-DERIVATIVE RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING THIRD-ORDER ORDINARY AND RETARDED DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

**LEE KHAI CHIEN** 

IPM 2022 8



## EXPLICIT TWO-DERIVATIVE RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING THIRD-ORDER ORDINARY AND RETARDED DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS



By

LEE KHAI CHIEN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

June 2022

## COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright ©Universiti Putra Malaysia



## DEDICATIONS

This humble work is dedicated to my beloved family lecturers, friends and future researchers.



C

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

## EXPLICIT TWO-DERIVATIVE RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING THIRD-ORDER ORDINARY AND RETARDED DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

By

#### LEE KHAI CHIEN

June 2022

## Chairman : Assoc. Prof. Norazak Senu, PhD Institute : Mathematical Research

In this study, two types of explicit two-derivative Runge-Kutta type (GTDRKT and TDRKT) methods are constructed for the numerical integration of general and special type of third-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs). Improved two-derivative Runge-Kutta type (TDIRKT) methods are derived for solving third-order ODEs in the form of f(u, u', u''). B-series and rooted tree theory are used to derive order conditions and coefficients for GTDRKT and TDRKT methods. Stability, consistency and convergence analysis of the proposed methods are investigated. The Local Truncation Error (LTE) for GTDRKT, TDRKT and TDIRKT methods are computed and analysed for u, u' and u''. For TDIRKT methods, the previous term,  $k_{-i}$  is implemented in the formulation and the relevant order conditions are introduced for constructing the proposed methods. Exponentially-fitting and trigonometrically-fitting techniques are implemented into both GTDRKT and TDIRKT methods by constructing coefficients with principle frequency based. These proposed methods are developed based on the idea of integrating initial value problems (IVPs) exactly with numerical solution in the form linear composition of the set functions  $e^{\omega x}$  and  $e^{-\omega x}$  for exponentially-fitted and  $e^{i\omega x}$  and  $e^{-i\omega x}$  for trigonometrically-fitted for solving third-order ordinary differential equations with exponential and oscillatory solutions.

Brief introduction on Retarded Delay Differential Equations (RDDEs) is provided. Stability, consistency and D-convergence for both TDRKT and TDIRKT methods when applied to RDDEs with constant delay, using Newton interpolation methods to evaluate the delay term are discussed and analysed. In solving third-order RDDEs, Newton interpolation is used to approximate the delay term and solve the subsequent equations through TDRKT and TDIRKT methods. In numerical test, numerical results are illustrated using efficiency curves where maximum global error versus the CPU time taken. Number of function evaluations for all proposed methods and selected existing methods are computed with different endpoints and step size. Results exhibited the proposed methods work effectively for solving general and special type of third-order ODEs as well as third-order RDDEs.



G

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

## KAEDAH JENIS RUNGE-KUTTA DUA TERBITAN TAK TERSIRAT UNTUK MENYELESAIKAN PERSAMAAN PEMBEZAAN BIASA DAN LENGAH TERLAMBAT PERINGKAT KETIGA

Oleh

#### LEE KHAI CHIEN

Jun 2022

## Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Norazak Senu, PhD Institut : Penyelidikan Matematik

Dalam kajian ini, dua jenis kaedah jenis Runge-Kutta dua terbitan tak tersirat (RKDTTTU dan RKDTTT) diterbitkan untuk menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan biasa (PBB) umum dan istimewa peringkat ketiga. Kaedah jenis Runge-Kutta Dua Terbitan Tak Tersirat penambahbaikan (RKDTTTP) diterbitkan untuk menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan biasa peringkat ketiga dalam bentuk f(u, u', u''). Siri-B dan teori pokok berakar digunakan untuk memperoleh syarat peringkat dan pekali bagi kaedah RKDTTTU dan RKDTTT. Analisis kestabilan, kekonsistenan dan penumpuan bagi kaedah tersebut dikaji. Ralat pangkasan setempat bagi kaedah RKDTTTU, RKDTTT dan RKDTTTP ditafsir dan dianalisis untuk u, u' dan u''. Bagi kaedah RKDTTTP, Sebutan sebelum,  $k_{-i}$  ditambah ke dalam formulasi dan syarat peringkat diperkenalkan untuk membina kaedah tersebut. Teknik suai-eksponen dan suai-trigonometri diaplikasi ke dalam kaedah RKDTTTU dan RKDTTT dengan menerbitkan pekali yang berasaskan prinsip frekuensi. Kaedah tersebut diterbitkan berdasarkan idea mengintegrasikan masalah nilai awal dengan penyelesaian berangka dalam bentuk komposisi linear bagi set fungsi  $e^{\omega x}$ dan  $e^{-\omega x}$  untuk suai-eksponen dan  $e^{i\omega x}$  dan  $e^{-i\omega x}$  untuk suai-trigonometri bagi menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan biasa peringkat ketiga yang mempunyai penyelesaian berbentuk eksponen dan ayunan.

Pengenalan ringkas terhadap Persamaan Pembezaan Lengah Terencat (PPLT) dibekalkan. Kestabilan, konsistensi dan penumpuan-D bagi kaedah RKDTTT and RKDTTTP dibincangkan dan dikaji, di mana kaedah tersebut diaplikasi terhadap PPLT dengan lengah malar dan kaedah interpolasi Newton digunakan untuk menilai sebutan lengah. Dalam penyelesaian PPLT peringkat ketiga, interpolasi Newton digunakan untuk menganggar sebutan lengah dan diselesaikan selanjutnya dengan menggunakan kaedah RKDTTT dan RKDTTTP. Dalam ujian berangka, keputusan berangka digambarkan menggunakan lengkung kecekapan di mana logaritma ralat

sejagat maksimum berbanding masa CPU diambil. Bilangan penilaian fungsi bagi semua kaedah yang diterbitkan dan kaedah sedia ada yang terpilih dikira dengan titik akhir dan saiz langkah yang berbeza. Keputusan menunjukkan kaedah yang diterbitkan dalam kajian ini cekap dalam menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan biasa umum dan istimewa peringkat ketiga dan juga PPLT peringkat ketiga.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Associate Professor Dr Norazak Senu, Institute for Mathematical Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia for his valuable guidance, critics and patience and immense knowledge throughout my project. His guidance helped me all the time of research, writing of this thesis and publication. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my PhD study.

Besides my advisor, I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committee: Associate Professor Siti Nur Iqmal Ibrahim and Dr Ali Ahmadian, for their encouragement, insightful comments and motivation. Without their continuous support and encouragement, this project would not have been possible to complete.

Also, I would like to thank all lecturers in Institute for Mathematical Research for their kindness and assistance. Not to forget, I would like to thank my family and friends for their endless support, love and motivation. I am really grateful to those who have helped me in completing this thesis. This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

## Norazak Bin Senu, PhD

Assosiate Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairperson)

## Siti Nur Iqmal binti Ibrahim, PhD

Assosiate Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Seyedali Ahmadian Hosseini, PhD

Research Fellow Institute of Mathematical Research Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 September 2022

## **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Norazak Bin Senu

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Siti Nur Iqmal Binti Ibrahim

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Seyedali Ahmadian Hosseini

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Differential Equations	1
1.1.2 Delay differential equations	1 2
1.2 Two-derivative Runge-Kutta method	4
1.3 B-series and Rooted tree theory	6
1.4 Problem Statement	9
1.5 Objectives of the Study	10
1.6 Scope of Study	10
1.7 Outline of the Thesis	11
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 Third-Order Ordinary Differential Equations	12
2.2 Two-Derivative Kunge-Kuna Method	nniques 15
2.4 Improved Runge-Kutta Method	16
2.5 Delay Differential Equations	17
3 TWO-DERIVATIVE RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE M	IETHODS
FOR SOLVING GENERAL THIRD ORDER OF	RDINARY
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 The Formulation of GTDRKT Methods	20
3.2.1 Rooted trees and B-series for GTDRKT metho	as 21
3.2.2 Robicu areas and ancory for D-series	series 29
3.3 Development of GTDRKT methods	34
3.3.1 Order conditions for GTDRKT methods	40

 $\bigcirc$ 

	3.3.2	Two stage GTDRKT method of order five	42
	3.3.3	Three stage GTDRKT method of order six	42
	3.3.4	Zero stability, consistency and convergence of	
		explicit GTDRKT methods	43
3.4	Tested	problems and numerical results	47
3.5	Numer	rical results	55
3.6	Discus	ssion	57

4	TWO	)-DERI	VATIVE RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE (TDRKT) METHODS	
	FOR	SOLV	ING SPECIAL THIRD-ORDER ORDINARY AND	
	RET	ARDED	DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	59
	4.1	Introdu	action	59
	4.2	Formu	lation of TDRKT Methods	59
	4.3	Constr	uction of TDRKT methods	60
		4.3.1	B-series and Rooted Tree Theory for TDRKT Methods	61
		4.3.2	Numerical Derivative and Numerical Solution on	
			B-series	65
	4.4	Develo	opment of TDRKT methods	67
		4.4.1	Two Stage TDRKT Method of Order Four	73
		4.4.2	Three Stage TDRKT Method of Order Five	73
		4.4.3	Numerical Analysis of TDRKT Methods Applied to	
			DDEs	77
	4.5	TDRK	T Methods for Solving Special Type of Third-order ODEs	90
		4.5.1	Tested Problem and Numerical Results	90
		4.5.2	Discussion	101
	4.6	TDRK	T Methods for Solving Special Type of Third-order	
		type I	RDDEs	102
		4.6.1	Tested Problems and Numerical Results	102
		4.6.2	Discussion	110

## 5 EXPONENTIALLY-FITTED AND TRIGONOMETRICALLY-FITTED EXPLICIT TWO-DERIVATIVE RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING THIRD-ORDER OSCILLATORY PROBLEMS

BLEMS	111					
Introduction	111					
2 Derivation of EFTDRKT and TFTDRKT Methods						
5.2.1 Exponentially-fitted TDRKT method	111					
5.2.2 Trigonometrically-fitted TDRKT Method	116					
Error Analysis of EFTDRKT6 and TFTDRKT6 methods	119					
Algorithm for EFTDRKT or TFTDRKT method	124					
Tested problems	124					
Numerical results	127					
Discussion	139					
	BLEMS Introduction Derivation of EFTDRKT and TFTDRKT Methods 5.2.1 Exponentially-fitted TDRKT method 5.2.2 Trigonometrically-fitted TDRKT Method Error Analysis of EFTDRKT6 and TFTDRKT6 methods Algorithm for EFTDRKT or TFTDRKT method Tested problems Numerical results Discussion					

6	TWO	-DERIV	ATIVE	IMPROV	VED R	UNG	E-KUT	ТА	TYPE	
	MET	HODS	FOR S	OLVING	SPEC	IAL	THIR	RD	ORDER	
	ORD	INARY	DIFFERE	ENTIAL	EQUATI	ONS	AND	RET	TARDED	
	DEL	<b>AY DIFF</b>	ERENTIA	L EQUA	TIONS					141
	6.1	Introduc	tion							141
	6.2	The For	mulation of	f TDIRKT	Methods					141
		6.2.1	Order Cor	ditions of	TDIRKT	methe	od			143
		6.2.2	Three-Sta	ges Sixth-	Order TD	IRKT	Metho	d		145
		6.2.3	Zero stabi	lity, consis	stency and	l conv	ergence	e of		
			explicit TI	DIRKT6 n	nethod					146
	6.3	TDIRK	Γ methods	for solving	g special t	ype of	f third-o	order	ODEs	151
		6.3.1	Tested pro	blems for	ODEs					151
		6.3.2	Numerica	results						153
	6.4	Numerie	cal results							159
		6.4.1	Discussion	1						162
	6.5	TDIRK	Γ Method f	or Solving	g Third-or	der Dl	DEs			162
		6.5.1	Tested pro	blems for	type II RI	DDEs				163
		6.5.2	Discussion	1						169

## 7 EXPONENTIALLY-FITTED AND TRIGONOMETRICALLY-FITTED IMPROVED EXPLICIT TWO-DERIVATIVE RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING THIRD-ORDER **OSCILLATORY PROBLEMS**

170

	7.1	Introduction	170
	7.2	Derivation of Exponentially-Fitted Improved TDRKT Method	170
	7.3	Derivation of Trigonometrically-Fitted Improved TDRKT Method	176
	7.4	Error Analysis of EFIRKT6 and TFIRKT6 methods	180
	7.5	Tested Problem	182
	7.6	Numerical results	184
	7.7	Discussion	196
8	CON	CLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	198
	8.1	Summary	198
	8.2	Conclusion	199
	8.3	Future Work	201
BI	BLIO	GRAPHY	202
BI	ODAT	A OF STUDENT	209
LI	ST OF	PUBLICATIONS	211

xii

## LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e	Page
1.1	Two-derivative Runge-Kutta methods for integrating first-order ODEs in Butcher tableau	5
1.2	Two-derivative Runge-Kutta methods for integrating second-order ODEs in Butcher tableau	6
1.3	Runge-Kutta method in Butcher tableau	6
1.4	Tree function of Runge-Kutta method up to four vertices	9
3.1	GTDRKT methods in Butcher tableau	21
3.2	Root trees for GTDRKT methods up to order nine	35
3.3	The GTDRKT5 method	42
3.4	The GTDRKT6 method	43
3.5	Comparison between GTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 3.1	50
3.6	Comparison between GTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 3.2	51
3.7	Comparison between GTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 3.3	52
3.8	Comparison between GTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 3.4	53
3.9	Comparison between GTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 3.5	54
4.1	TDRKT methods in Butcher tableau	60
4.2	Root trees for TDRKT methods up to order nine	68
4.3	The TDRKT4 method	73
4.4	The TDRKT5 method	74
4.5	Comparison between TDRKT method with existing methods for problem 3.1	92

4.6	Comparison between TDRKT method with existing methods for problem 4.1	93
4.7	Comparison between TDRKT method with existing methods for problem 4.2	94
4.8	Comparison between TDRKT method with existing methods for problem 4.3	95
4.9	Comparison between TDRKT method with existing methods for problem 4.4	96
4.10	Comparison between TDRKT method with existing methods for problem 4.5	97
4.11	Comparison between TDRKT5 method with existing methods for problem 4.7	104
4.12	Comparison between TDRKT5 method with existing methods for problem 4.8	104
4.13	Comparison between TDRKT5 method with existing methods for problem 4.9	105
4.14	Comparison between TDRKT5 method with existing methods for problem 4.10	105
4.15	Comparison between TDRKT5 method with existing methods for problem 4.11	106
4.16	Comparison between TDRKT5 method with existing methods for problem 4.12	106
5.1	Comparison between EFTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 5.1	127
5.2	Comparison between EFTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 5.2	128
5.3	Comparison between EFTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 5.3	129
5.4	Comparison between EFTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 5.4	130
5.5	Comparison between TFTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 5.5	131
5.6	Comparison between TFTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 5.6	132

5	5.7	Comparison between TFTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 5.7	133
5	5.8	Comparison between TFTDRKT method with existing methods for problem 5.8	134
6	5.1	TDIRKT method	143
6	5.2	The improved TDRKT method with three-stages sixth-order	145
6	5.3	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 6.1	153
6	5.4	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 6.2	154
6	5.5	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 6.3	155
6	5.6	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.3	156
6	5.7	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.4	157
6	5.8	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.6	158
6	5.9	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 6.7	165
6	5.10	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 6.8	166
6	5.11	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 6.9	167
6	5.12	Comparison between TDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 6.10	168
7	7.1	Comparison between EFTDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.1	184
7	7.2	Comparison between EFTDRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.2	185
7	7.3	Comparison between EFTDRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.3	186

7.4	Comparison between EFTDIRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.4	187
7.5	Comparison between TFTDRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.5	188
7.6	Comparison between TFTDRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.6	189
7.7	Comparison between TFTDRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.7	190
7.8	Comparison between TFTDRKT6 method with existing methods for problem 5.8	191

 $\mathbf{G}$ 

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re	Page
3.1	Illustration of thin films flow of viscous fluid with $u''' = u^{-2}$	49
3.2	Time curves for all methods for problem 3.1	55
3.3	Time curves for all methods for problem 3.2	55
3.4	Time curves for all methods for problem 3.3	56
3.5	Time curves for all methods for problem 3.4	56
3.6	Time curves for all methods for problem 3.5	57
4.1	Time curves for all methods for problem 3.1 with $h = \frac{0.05}{m^2}, m = 0,, 3$	98
4.2	Time curves for all methods for problem 4.1 with $h = \frac{0.1}{m^2}, m = 0,, 3$	98
4.3	Time curves for all methods for problem 4.2 with $h = \frac{0.05}{m^2}, m = 0,, 3$	99
4.4	Time curves for all methods for problem 4.3 with $h = \frac{0.05}{m^2}, m = 0,, 3$	99
4.5	Time curves for all methods for problem 4.4 with $h \in \{0.075, 0.05, 0.04, 0.025\}$	100
4.6	Time curves for all methods for problem 4.5 with $h \in \{0.075, 0.05, 0.04, 0.025\}$	100
4.7	Maximum global error versus time of computation curves of problem 4.7 for $x \in [0,1]$ .	107
4.8	Maximum global error versus time of computation curves of problem 4.8 for $x \in [0,1]$ .	107
4.9	Maximum global error versus time of computation curves of problem 4.9 for $x \in [0,1]$ .	108
4.10	Maximum global error versus time of computation curves of problem 4.10 for $x \in [0,1]$ .	108
4.11	Maximum global error versus time of computation curves of problem 4.11 for $x \in [0,1]$ .	109

4.12	Maximum global error versus time of computation curves of problem 4.12 for $x \in [0,1]$ .	109
5.1	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.1 with $b = 10$ and $h = \frac{0.2}{2^{m}}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	135
5.2	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.2 with $b = 5$ and $h = \frac{0.1}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	135
5.3	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.3 with $b = 5$ and $h = \frac{0.1}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	136
5.4	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.4 with $b = 5$ and $h = \frac{0.4}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	136
5.5	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.5 with $b = 1000$ and $h = \frac{0.2}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	137
5.6	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.6 with $b = 1000$ and $h = \frac{0.4}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	137
5.7	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.7 with $b = 1000$ and $h = \frac{0.2}{2m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	138
5.8	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.8 with $b = 1000$ and $h \in \{0.75, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1\}$	138
6.1	Time curves for all methods for problem 6.1	159
6.2	Time curves for all methods for problem 6.2	159
6.3	Time curves for all methods for problem 6.3	160
6.4	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.3	160
6.5	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.4	161
6.6	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.6	161
7.1	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.1 with $b = 10$ and $h = \frac{0.2}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	192
7.2	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.2 with $b = 5$ and $h = \frac{0.1}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	192
7.3	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.3 with $b = 5$ and $h = \frac{0.1}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	193
7.4	Time curves for all methods for problem 5.4 with $b = 5$ and $h = \frac{0.4}{2m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$	193

- 7.5 Time curves for all methods for problem 5.5 with b = 1000and  $h = \frac{0.2}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$
- 7.6 Time curves for all methods for problem 5.6 with b = 1000and  $h = \frac{0.4}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$
- 7.7 Time curves for all methods for problem 5.7 with b = 1000and  $h = \frac{0.2}{2^m}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$
- 7.8 Time curves for all methods for problem 5.8 with b = 1000and  $h \in \{0.75, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1\}$



195

194

194



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ATDRKT6	Explicit four-stage sixth-order trigonometrically- fitted two-derivative Runge-Kutta method					
IVP	Initial value problem					
ODEs	Ordinary differential equations					
DDEs	Delay differential equations					
EFRKT5	Explicit exponential-fitted Runge-Kutta type method with four stage fifth-order					
EFRKT5	Explicit exponential-fitted Runge-Kutta type method with four stage fifth-order					
ERK6	Enhanced Runge-Kutta with seven stage sixth-order method					
EFTDIRKT6	Explicit exponential-fitted two-derivative improved Runge-Kutta type method with three stage sixth-order developed in Chapter 7					
EFTDRKT5	Explicit exponential-fitted two-derivative Runge-Kutta typemethod with two stage fifth-order developed in Chapter 5					
EFTDRKT6	Explicit exponential-fitted two-derivative Runge-Kutta typemethod with three stage sixth-order developed in Chapter 5					
GTDRKT6	Three-stage two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method of six algebraic order developed in Chapter 3					
GTDRKT5	Two-stage two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method of five algebraic order developed in Chapter 3					
Hussain4	Fourth order improved Runge-Kutta direct method					
Hussain5	Fifth order improved Runge-Kutta direct method					
IRKD5	Four stage fifth-order improved Runge-Kutta direct method					
KTDRKT5	Explicit four-stage fifth-order trigonometrically-fitted two-derivative Runge-Kutta method with FSAL property					
Mechee4	Explicit two stage fourth-order direct method					

6

	Mechee5	Explicit three stage fifth-order direct method				
	PTDRKT6	Explicit three-stage sixth-order phase-fitted three- derivative Runge-Kutta method				
	RK4	Runge-Kutta four stage fourth-order method				
	RK5	Runge-Kutta six-stage fifth-order method				
	RK6	Runge-Kutta seven-stage sixth-order method				
	RK6S	Runge-Kutta seven-stage sixth-order method				
	RK6N	Runge-Kutta seven-stage sixth-order method				
	RK6Z	Six stage sixth-order Runge-Kutta method				
	RKD5	Explicit three stage fifth-order direct method				
	RKF45	Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method				
	TFRKT5	Explicit trigonometrically-fitted Runge-Kutta type method with four stage fifth-order				
	TDRKT5	Explicit two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method with three stage fifth-order developed in Chapter 4				
	TFTDIRKT6	Explicit trigonometrically-fitted two-derivative improved Runge-Kutta type method with three stage sixth-order developed in Chapter 7				
	TFTDRKT5	Explicit trigonometrically-fitted two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method with two stage fifth-order developed in Chapter 5				
	TFTDRKT6	Explicit trigonometrically-fitted two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method with three stage sixth-order developed in Chapter 5				
	h	Step-size				
	FE	Total number of function evaluations				
	TIME	CPU time				
	MAXXER	Maximum global error				
	MTD	Methods				

#### CHAPTER 1

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Differential Equations

Differential equations are mathematical equations or formulas for unknown functions comprise of one or a few variables that associate with the values of the function itself to its derivatives of various orders. Also, differential equations can be expressed as the equations which comprise of dependent variables with respect to other independent variable. Various disciplines such as physics, medicines, biology, economics and astronomy can be formulated through differential equations. In medical field, differential equations are utilised to model spread of disease, outlining dynamics of infectious diseases and constructing control strategies to limit evolution and spread of diseases. In economics, differential equations are used to figure out optimum investments strategies, gross domestic product, consumption, income and investment. In biology, differential equations can be utilised to model biological processes on various levels ranging from DNA molecules or biosynthesis phospholipids on the cellular level. There are numerous types of differential equations.

#### 1.1.1 Ordinary differential equations

Ordinary differential equations (ODEs) with initial value problem(IVPs) can be defined as:

$$u^{(n+1)} = f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), \dots, u^{(n)}(t))$$
(1.1)

where

$$u(0) = u_0, u'(0) = u'_0, ..., u^{(n)}(0) = u^{(n)}_0, \quad t \ge 0.$$

and n is the order of differential equation.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ , f all continuous functions on the open interval, I such that  $x_0 \in I$ . Then the linear differential equation with ordern,  $u^{(n)} + m_1 u^{(n-1)} + ... + m_{n-1} u' + m_n u = f(t)$  with the initial conditions  $u(x_0) = u_0, u'(x_0) = u'_0, ..., u^{(n-1)}(x_0) = u_0^{(n-1)}$ , has a unique solution  $u = \phi(x)$  throughout the interval (Gustafson and Wilcox, 1998).

In this research, the conditions of the theorem are satisfied by the third-order linear ordinary differential equations in (1.1) with n=3.

## 1.1.1.1 Criteria of Oscillatory and Nonoscillatory for Third-order Linear Differential Equations

Criteria of oscillatory and nonoscillatory for third-order ordinary differential equations referred to Ghawadri et al. (2018) are mentioned as follow

$$u'''(x) + \alpha(t)u'(x) + \beta(x)u(x) = 0.$$
(1.2)

The solution of equation (1.2) is oscillatory if both  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  are constant, negative and fulfil the following requirement:

$$-\beta(x) - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(-\alpha(x))^{3/2} > 0, \qquad (1.3)$$

then two linear independent oscillatory solutions are exist and zeroes of any oscillatory solutions are split in which the oscillatory solution of equation (1.3) is linear combination of them. The solution of equation (1.3) is oscillatory iff it has infinity of zeroes clustering in  $(0, +\infty)$  and nonoscillatory if and only if it has finite number of zeroes in  $(0, +\infty)$  (Lazer, 1966). We focus on the condition  $\beta(x) = 0$  as follow:

- 1.  $u'''(x) = \alpha(x)u'(x), \alpha(x) > 0$ , the solution of characteristic roots equations contains exponential function if that equations are real and one of them is zero.
- 2.  $u'''(x) = -\alpha(x)u'(x), \alpha(x) > 0$ , the solution of characteristic roots equations contains oscillatory function if that equations are real and another two are conjugate roots.

#### 1.1.2 Delay differential equations

Delay differential equations (DDEs) is differential equations where the state variable appears with delayed term and the time derivatives at the current time depend on the solution and its derivative at previous times. There are various type of DDEs, comprised of retarded DDE, variable DDE, state-dependent DDE, neutral DDE and stochastic DDE (Bellen and Zennaro, 2003). Among these DDEs, retarded DDEs, has been widely used in various fields, including disease modelling, homoclinic and heteroclinic bifurcations, population dynamics. The solution of this type of DDE depends on not only a single initial condition at time,  $t = t_0$ , but also on the former history of the system. Time delay,  $\tau$  in the constant time-delay system can be categorized into a discrete delay, derivative-dependent delay, state-dependent delay and time-dependent delay, which serves as the previous critical information that is important to approximate the solutions at forthcoming times. In engineering field, time delays are utilised in controlling feedback loops which is crucial to stabilise

and control output system. Several methods arised to solve delay differential equations. Variational iteration and homotopy perturbation methods are used to solve delay differential equations in electrodynamics (Kocak and Yildirim, 2009). Pseudo-inverse method with Galerkin approximations can be used to predict feedback gain for closed-loop control system which includes time-periodic delay. (Kandala and Vyasarayani, 2018).

In general, retarded delay differential equation consists of delay value,  $u^{(n)}(x - \tau_i)$ ,  $\tau_i = \tau_i(x, u(x), ..., u^{(n-1)}(x))$ , i = 0, ..., n-1 without the delay in state derivative value and is given by

$$u^{(n)} = f(x, u(x), u'(x), u''(x), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(x), u(x-\tau_0), u'(x-\tau_1), u''(x-\tau_2), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(x-\tau_{n-1})), \quad x \in [v, w].$$
(1.4)

where

$$u^{(k)}(t) = \phi^{(k)}(t), \quad k = 0, 1, ..., n-1, \quad x \le v$$

and *n* is the order of differential equation,  $\tau$  is the delay term of the system,  $x - \tau$  is the delay argument.

Meanwhile, neutral delay differential equation involve both solutions of the delay values,  $u^{(k)}(x-\tau_k), k=0,...,n-1$  and the derivative of state variable itself,  $u^{(n)}(x-\tau_n)$ , which can be presented as follow:

$$u^{(n)} = f(x, u(x), u'(x), u''(x), ..., u^{(n-1)}(x), u(x-\tau_0), u'(x-\tau_1), ..., u''(x-\tau_2), ..., u^{(n-1)}(x-\tau_{n-1}), u^{(n)}(x-\tau_n)), \quad x \in [v, w],$$
(1.5)

where

$$u^{(k)}(t) = \phi^{(k)}(t), \quad k = 0, 1, ..., n, \quad x \le v.$$
  
$$\tau_i = \tau_i(x, u(x), ..., u^{(n-1)}(x)), \quad i = 0, ..., n$$

*n* is the order of differential equation,  $\tau_i$  is the delay term of the system.

The delay term,  $\tau_k$  is measurable as physical quantity that is scalar in function. Function *f* in both equations (1.4) and (1.5) are assumed to be continuous and nonnegative as well as satisfies the *Lipschitz condition* in u(t) for all  $x \in [v, w]$ . Initial function,  $\phi(t)$  which is known to be defined in  $[\rho, x_0]$ , where

$$\rho = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ \min_{1 \le i \le n} (x - \tau_k) \}.$$
(1.6)

Based on the idea derived by Bellen and Zennaro (2003), the delay term can be

categorised into three conditions, comprising constant delay case ( $\tau_k$  is a constant), variable or time-dependent delay case ( $\tau_k = \tau_k(t)$ ) and state-dependent delay case ( $\tau_k = \tau_k(t, u(t), ..., u^{(n-1)}(t)$ )). In this thesis, we focus on solving general and special type of third-order ordinary differential equations and third-order retarded delay differential equations with constant delay. The types of third-order retarded DDEs we focus throughout the thesis are as follow:

Type I RDDE:

$$u''' = f(x, u(x), u(x - \tau)), \quad x \in [x_0, x_n],$$
  

$$u(x_0) = u_0, \quad u'(x_0) = u'_0, \quad u''(x_0) = u''_0.$$
(1.7)

Type II RDDE:

$$u''' = f(x, u(x), u'(x-\tau), u''(x-\tau)), \quad x \in [x_0, x_n],$$
  

$$u(x_0) = u_0, \quad u'(x_0) = u'_0, \quad u''(x_0) = u''_0.$$
(1.8)

#### 1.2 Two-derivative Runge-Kutta method

The general formula of *s*-stage explicit two-derivative Runge-Kutta method for numerical integration of first-order initial value problems (IVPs) as proposed by Chan and Tsai (2010) in the form of

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(t_n + c_i h, U_i) + h^2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} B_i g(t_n + c_i h, U_i),$$
  
$$U_i = u_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i,j} f(t_n + c_i h, U_j) + h^2 \sum_{j=1}^{s} A_{i,j} g(t_n + c_i h, U_j), \qquad (1.9)$$

where i = 1, ..., s for  $i \ge j$  and g-evaluation is the derivative of f-evaluation.

General formula of *s*-stage explicit two-derivative Runge-Kutta Nyström method for numerical integration of second-order initial value problems (IVPs) as proposed by Chen et al. (2015b) is as follow

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + hu'_n + h^2 \sum_{i=1}^s b_i f\left(t_n + c_i h, U_i, U'_i\right) + h^3 \sum_{i=1}^s B_i g\left(t_n + c_i h, U_i\right),$$
  
$$U_i = u_n + hc_i u'_n + h^2 \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} f\left(t_n + c_i h, U_j, U_i\right) + h^3 \sum_{j=1}^s A_{i,j} g\left(t_n + c_i h, U_j\right),$$
  
(1.10)

i = 1, ..., s for  $i \ge j$ .

Lately, there are some modifications are done for the classical two-derivative Runge-Kutta methods into special form in order to reduce the computational cost by replacing multiple f-evaluations reduced into one (Chan and Tsai, 2010). The *s*-stage explicit two-derivative Runge-Kutta method for solving first-order ODEs is defined as

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + hf(t_n, u_n) + h^2 \sum_{i=1}^s b_i g(t_n + c_i h, U_i),$$
  

$$U_i = u_n + c_i hf(t_n, u_n) + h^2 \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} g(t_n + c_i h, U_j),$$
(1.11)

where i = 1, ..., s for  $i \ge j$ . The coefficients of  $b_i, c_i$  and  $a_{i,j}$  can be represented in Butcher tableau in Table 1.1.

# Table 1.1: Two-derivative Runge-Kutta methods for integrating first-order ODEs in Butcher tableau

The general formula of *s*-stage explicit two-derivative Runge-Kutta method for numerical integration of second-order initial value problems (IVPs)

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + hu'_n + \frac{h^2}{2} f(t_n, u_n, u'_n) + h^3 \sum_{i=1}^s b_i g(t_n + c_i h, U_i, U'_i),$$
  

$$U_i = u_n + c_i hu'_n + \frac{h^2}{2} f(t_n, u_n, u'_n) + h^3 \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} g(t_n + c_i h, U_j, U'_j),$$
  

$$U'_i = u'_n + c_i h f(t_n, u_n, u'_n) + h^2 \sum_{j=1}^s a'_{i,j} g(t_n + c_i h, U_j, U'_j),$$

where i = 1, ..., s for  $i \ge j$  and g-evaluation is the derivative of f-evaluation. The coefficients of  $b_i, c_i, a_{i,j}$  and  $a'_{i,j}$  can be represented in Butcher tableau in Table 1.2.

# Table 1.2: Two-derivative Runge-Kutta methods for integrating second-order ODEs in Butcher tableau

0	0					0				
$c_1$	<i>a</i> <sub>2,1</sub>	0				$a'_{2,1}$	0			
$c_2$	<i>a</i> <sub>3,1</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>3,2</sub>	0			$a'_{3,1}$	$a'_{3,2}$	0		
÷	÷	÷	·	·		:	÷	·	·	
$C_S$	$a_{s,1}$	$a_{s,2}$	·	$a_{s,s-1}$	0	$a'_{s,1}$	$a'_{s,2}$	·	$a'_{s,s-1}$	0
	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$	$b'_1$	$b'_2$		$b'_{s-1}$	$b'_s$

## 1.3 B-series and Rooted tree theory

B-series, also indicated as Butcher series, is a well-known algebraic method for interpreting numerical solutions of ordinary differential equations, which comprised of approximate solutions. The numerical properties of the numerical methods can be determined and assessed through the formulation and interpretation of B-series. In recent, B-series is highly utilised as the apporach to construct high-order and effective methods, particularly Runge-Kutta methods and multivalue methods.

For general first-order ODEs, let  $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d$  be an analytic function satisfying an ordinary differential equation u'(t) = f(u(t)), we can denote the B-series of u in the form as follow:

$$B(a,u) = a(\emptyset)u + \sum_{t \in RT} \frac{h^{\rho(t)}}{\sigma(t)} a(t)F(t)(u).$$
(1.12)

where F(t)(u) is called elementary differential attached with the tree *t* provided that the differential equations u' = f((u(t))) and evaluated at point *u*, *RT* is the set of rooted trees,  $a(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  is the coefficient for the series with tree *t*,  $\sigma(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  is the integer function of tree *t*,  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  is the stepsize of *t* and  $\rho(t)$  is the order of the tree *t*.

In the B-series for the results computed by Runge-Kutta method, a(t) represents the elementary weight based on the coefficients of the Runge-Kutta method. Given Runge-Kutta method defined by the Butcher tableau (see Table 1.3)

#### Table 1.3: Runge-Kutta method in Butcher tableau

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b^T \end{array}$$

where the interrelated elementary weight for the stage number *i*, elementary weight  $\Psi(t)$  for the method that are corresponding to a tree *t* can be formulated as follow:

$$\Psi_{i}(\tau) = c_{i},$$

$$\Psi(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i}$$

$$\Psi_{i}([t_{1}, t_{2}, ..., t_{n}]) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i,j} \Psi_{j}(t_{1}) \Psi_{j}(t_{2}) \cdots \Psi_{j}(t_{n})$$

$$\Psi([t_{1}, t_{2}, ..., t_{n}]) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{i} \Psi_{j}(t_{1}) \Psi_{j}(t_{2}) \cdots \Psi_{j}(t_{n})$$
(1.13)

Rooted tree is a connected acyclic graph which contains of specific vertex designated to be the root. The tree  $t = [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$  is formed by defining a vertex, which consist of the root *t*, attaching the original roots of  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  to the root of *t*. The set of the rooted trees can be presented as follow:

Set *T* can be generated by starting with

and the operation for  $[t_1, t_2, ..., t_n]$  can be defined by the diagram below:



The tree  $t = [t_1, t_2, ..., t_n]$  is formed by introducing a vertex, which contains root of t and connecting the set of trees,  $t_1, t_2, ..., t_n$ . If there exists repetitions in tree t, it can be written as

$$t = \begin{bmatrix} t_1^{k_1}, t_n^{k_n}, \dots, t_n^{k_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1.14)

The order of rooted tree, which represents the number of vertices of tree t can be

denoted as  $\rho(t)$  satisfies the recursion function

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = \tau \\ 1 + \rho(t_1) + \rho(t_2) + \dots + \rho(t_n), & t = [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]. \end{cases}$$
(1.15)

The symmetry,  $\sigma(t)$  is defined from the recursion

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = \tau \\ 1 + \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i! \sigma(t_i)^{k_i}, & t = [t_1, t_2, ..., t_n]. \end{cases}$$
(1.16)

Also, the real function a(t) can be prescribed as

$$a(\emptyset) = 1, \quad a(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma(t)}, \quad t \in T$$
(1.17)

where the density,  $\gamma(t)$  is defined as

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = \tau \\ \rho(t)\gamma_1(t)\gamma_2(t)\cdots\gamma_n(t), & t = [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]. \end{cases}$$
(1.18)

Autonomous initial value problem of first order ODEs is given by

$$u'(t) = f(u(t)), \quad u(t_0) = u_0,$$
 (1.19)

elementary differentials  $F(t)(u_0)$  arise in the Taylor series for this problem. The elementary differential is defined making use of f, f', f'' with u(t) replaced by  $u_0$ 

$$F(t)(u_0) = \begin{cases} f, & t = \tau \\ f^{(n)}(F(t_1)(u_0),), & t = [t_1, t_2, ..., t_n]. \end{cases}$$
(1.20)

The tree function of Runge-Kutta method is demostrated in figure below (see Table 1.4).

	t	$\mathbf{P}(t)$	$\sigma(t)$	$\gamma(t)$	$F(t)(\boldsymbol{u}_0)$
•	au	1	1	1	f
I	[ au]	2	1	2	$\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{f}$
Y	$[\tau^2]$	3	2	3	$\mathbf{f}''(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f})$
ţ	$[[\tau]]$	3	1	6	$\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{f}$
V	$[ au^3]$	4	6	4	$\mathbf{f}^{\prime\prime\prime}(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f})$
	$[\tau[\tau]]$	4	1	8	$\mathbf{f}''(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{f})$
Ý	$[[ au^2]]$	4	2	12	$\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{f}''(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f})$
- I -	[[[7]]]	4	1	24	f'f'f'f

Table 1.4: Tree function of Runge-Kutta method up to four vertices

#### 1.4 Problem Statement

We consider the solution of general and special third-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and delay differential equations (DDEs) with both oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions using two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods. A lot of Runge-Kutta type methods are derived recently with high algebraic order to acquire less dispersion and dissipation error for solving particular ODEs and DDEs. Hence, various fitting techniques are implemented to the classical Runge-Kutta type methods to produce some methods with zero dispersion and dissipation. Hence, two-derivative approach is implemented into the formulation of Runge-Kutta type methods to improve the accuracy of the solutions with less computational cost.

We concern about constructing two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods with yielding less error and less computational cost. The conventional approach is by developing two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method using Taylor series expansion and algebraic simplification method and mainly deals with second-order ordinary differential equations. However, not much two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods are developed by researchers to solve third-order ODEs and some application problems such as thin-film flow and genesio problems. Hence we are motivated to propose two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods with classical and improved version which acquire higher accuracy and less computational time.

It is possible to extend the works into the derivation of two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods with fitting techniques for solving third-order ODEs with exponential and trigonometrical solutions. Exponentially-fitting and trigonometrically-fitting

techniques are implemented into both classical and improved two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods which should provide significant improvement in accuracy numerically.

## 1.5 Objectives of the Study

The construction of efficient direct methods based on Explicit Two-Derivative Runge-Kutta type methods and Explicit Two-Derivative Improved Runge-Kutta type methods for numerical integration of general and special type third-order ODEs, type I and type II RDDEs for constant step size mode. Both methods are extended to solve exponential and oscillatory third-order ODEs by the implementation of fitting techniques. The main objectives of this thesis are proposed as below:

- 1. To develop two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method for solving general thirdorder ODEs through B-series and rooted tree theory.
- 2. To develop two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method for solving special thirdorder ODEs in the form of u''' = f(x, u(x)) through B-series and rooted tree theory and extended to solve type I RDDEs with Newton interpolation.
- 3. To construct exponentially-fitted and trigonometrically-fitted two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method for integrating third-order ODEs with exponential and oscillatory solutions.
- 4. To develop two-derivative Improved Runge-Kutta type with inclusive of previous increment term for solving special third-order ODEs and type II RDDEs with Newton interpolation.
- 5. To construct exponentially-fitted and trigonometrically-fitted two-derivative Improved Runge-Kutta type methods for numerical integrating third-order ODEs with exponential and oscillatory solutions.

## 1.6 Scope of Study

This study focuses on the derivation of GTDRKT methods for solving general third-order ODEs. Then, TDRKT methods are constructed based on B-series and rooted tree theory and solve third-order special type of ODEs and retarded DDEs. In addition, exponentially-fitted and trigonometrically-fitted TDRKT methods is developed using order condition and frequency principle and these methods are used to solve third-order ODEs with exponential and oscillatory solutions. Then, we concentrate on developing improved TDRKT methods, whereby the previous terms,  $b_{-i}$ ,  $k_{-i}$  are included in the formulation and utilised to solve third-order ODEs in the form of u''' = f(x, u'(x), u''(x)). Improved TDRKT methods are then extended to solve third-order ODEs with exponential and oscillatory solutions by implementing exponentially and trigonometrically fitting techniques.

#### **1.7** Outline of the Thesis

In this section, we provide a brief description of the thesis. Chapter 1 begins with the introduction of the general formulation of two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method. B-series and rooted tree theory, which are crucial to develop order conditions for Runge-Kutta methods are introduced subsequently. Chapter 2 provides the reviews of previous works on B-series and numerical solutions for solving third-order ODEs and DDEs.

In Chapter 3, the derivation of explicit two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods are presented for solving third-order general ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The derivation of order conditions for two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method using B-series and rooted tree theory are proposed. Stability, consistency and convergence of purposed methods are studied and the methods are used to solve general third-order ODEs. In Chapter 4, B-series and rooted tree theory is applied again for the derivation of explicit two-derivative Runge-Kutta type, TDRKT methods are presented for solving third-order ODEs in the form of u''' = f(t, u(t)) and type I RDDEs. Stability and D-convergence for TDRKT methods applied to type I RDDEs are discussed. The applications of the purposed methods in comparison with other existing methods are shown for solving numerical problems.

In Chapter 5, exponentially-fitted and trigonometrically-fitted two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods are derived for solving third-order ODEs with exponential and oscillatory solutions. Fifth-order and sixth-order proposed methods are developed based on idea of integrating IVPs exactly with numerical solution in the form linear composition of the set functions  $e^{\omega t}$  and  $e^{-\omega t}$  for exponentially-fitted and  $e^{i\omega t}$ and  $e^{-i\omega t}$  for trigonometrically-fitted. Numerical solutions illustrate efficiency of the purposed methods compared to existing methods for integrating third-order ODEs with exponential and oscillatory solutions. Chapter 6 discussed the technique of implementation of previous term,  $k_{-i}$  and  $b_{-i}$  into the formulation to derive improved two-derivative Runge-Kutta type methods for solving third-order ODEs. Fifth-order and sixth-order with improved Runge-Kutta type methods is presented and used to solve third-order ODEs and type II RDDEs in this chapter.

Chapter 7 begins with the construction of improved two-derivative Runge-Kutta type method with exponentially-fitting and trigonometrially-fitting technique. Coefficients of the proposed methods with principle frequency based are derived. Error analysis of the purposed methods is investigated. The applications of these proposed methods for solving exponential and oscillatory ODEs are shown. Finally, conclusion of the thesis is provided in Chapter 8 and future work is also recommended.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Agboola, O., Opanuga, A., and Gbadeyan (2015). Solution of third order ordinary differential equations using differential transform method. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 11(4):2511–2516.
- Ahmad, N., Senu, N., and Ismail, F. (2019). Trigonometrically-fitted higher order two derivative runge-kutta method for solving orbital and related periodical ivps. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 48:1312–1323.
- Ahmad, S. Z., Ismail, F., and Senu, N. (2018). Solving Oscillatory Delay Differential Equations using Block Hybrid methods. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2018:7 pages.
- Aiguobasimwin, I. B. and Okuonghae, R. I. (2019). A class of two-derivative twostep runge-kutta methods for non-stiff odes. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, pages 1–9.
- Al-Shimmary, A. F. A. (2017). Solving initial value problem using rungekutta 6-th order method. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 12(2017):3953–3961.
- Atkinson, K., Han, W., and Stewart, D. (2009). *Numerical solution of ordinary differential equations: convergence, stability and symptotic error*. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 1st edition.
- Bellen, A. and Zennaro, M. (2003). *Numerical Methods for Delay Differential Equations*. Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation, 1st edition.
- Bellman, R. and Cooke, K. (1965). On the Computational Solution of A Class of Functional Differential Equations. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 12(3):495–500.
- Bellman, R. E., Buell, J. D., and Kalaba, R. E. (1965). Numerical Integration of A Differential-Difference Equation with A Decreasing Time-Lag. *Communications* of the ACM, 8(4):227–228.
- Bilal, M., Rosli, N., Ahmad, I., and Ullah, S. (2017). Numerical solution of second order delay type differential equation by collocation method via first boubeker polynomials. *Global Journal of Pure andApplied Mathematics*, 13(9):6571–6582.
- Chan, R. P., Wang, S., and Tsai, A. Y. (2012). Two-Derivative Runge-Kutta methods for Differential Equations. In Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics ICNAAM 2012: International Conference of Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics, volume 1479, pages 262–266. AIP Publishing.
- Chan, R. P. K. and Tsai, A. Y. (2010). On explicit two-derivative runge-kutta methods. *Numerical Algorithms*, 53(2-3):171–194.
- Chatzarakis, G., Grace, S., and Jadlovska, I. (2017). Oscillation criteria for third-order delay differential equations. Advances in Difference Equations, 330(2017):doi: 10.1186/s13662–017–1384–y.

- Chen, B. Z. and J., Z. W. (2018). Implicit symmetric and symplectic exponentially fitted modified runge-kutta-nystrom methods for solving oscillatory problems. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, pages doi: 10.1186/s13660–018–1915–4.
- Chen, Z., Li, J., Zhang, R., and You, X. (2015a). Exponentially fitted two-derivative runge-kutta methods for simulation of oscillatory genetic regulatory systems. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2015:Article ID 689137, 14 pages.
- Chen, Z., Qiu, Z., Li, J., and You, X. (2015b). Two-derivative runge-kutta-nyström methods for second-order ordinary differential equations. *Numerical Algorithms*, 70(2015):897–927.
- Chun, C. and Kim, T. (2010). Several new third-order iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 1(2015):1053–1063.
- Dabiri, A. and Butcher, A. (2018). Numerical solution of multi-order fractional differential equations with multiple delays via spectral collocation methods. *Applied Mathematics Modelling*, 56(2018):424–448.
- Domoshnitsky, A., Maghakyan, A., and Berezansky, L. (2017). W-transform for exponential stability of second order delay differential equations without damping terms. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 1(2017):doi: 10.1186/s13660–017–1296–0.
- Dormand, J. R. and Prince, P. J. (1980). A family of embedded runge-kutta formulae. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 6(1980):19–26.
- Ebimene, J. M. and Njoseh, I. N. (2017). Solving delay differential equations by elzaki transform method. *Boson Journal of Modern Physics*, 3(2017):214–219.
- Ehigie, J. O., Diao, D., Zhang, R., Fang, Y., Hou, X., and You, X. (2018). Exponentially fitted symmetric and symplectic dirk methods for oscillatory hamiltonian systems. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 56(1):1130–1152.
- F. Nouioua, A., Ardjouni, A., Merzougui, and Djoudi, A. (2017). Existence of positive periodic solutions for a third-order delay differential equation. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, 13(2017):136–143.
- Fang, Y. L., You, X., and Ming, Q. (2013). Exponentially fitted two-derivative rungekutta (eftdrk) methods for the schrödinger equation. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 24(10):13500737.
- Fang, Y. L., You, X., and Ming, Q. (2014). Trigonometrically fitted two-derivative runge-kutta methods for solving oscillatory differential equations. *Numerical Algorithms*, 63:651–667.
- Ghawadri, N., Senu, N., Ismail, F., and Ibrahim, Z. B. (2018). Exponentially fitted and trigonometrically fitted explicit modified runge-kutta type methods for solving y'''(x) = f(x, y, y'). *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, pages Article ID 4029371, 19 pages.

- Goeken, D. and Johnson, O. (1999). Fifth-order runge-kutta with higher order derivation approximations. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 95(1999):1–9.
- Gustafson, G. B. and Wilcox, C. H. (1998). Ordinary Differential Equations of Higher Order. In: Analytical and Computational Methods of Advanced Engineering Mathematics, volume 28. Springer, New York, 1st edition.
- Hatun, M. (2016). Differential equation solver simulator for runge-kutta methods. *Journal of the Faculty of Engineering*, 21(2016):doi:10.17482/uujfe.70981.
- Henrici, P. (1962). Discrete variable methods in ordinary differential equations. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1st edition.
- Hoo, Y., Majid, Z. A., and Ismail, F. (2013). Solving Second-order Delay Differential Equations by Direct Adams-Moulton method. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013:7 pages.
- Hoo, Y. S. and Majid, Z. A. (2015). Solving second order delay differential equations using direct two-point block method. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 8(2015):59–66.
- Hossain, B., Hossain, J., Miah, M., and Alam, S. (2015). Integration for special thirdorder ordinary differential equations using improved runge-kutta direct method. *Malaysian Journal of Science*, 34(2):172–179.
- Hussain, K., Ismail, F., and Senu, N. (2017a). Fourth-order improved runge-kutta method for directly solving special third-order ordinary differential equations. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transaction A: Science*, 41:429–437.
- Hussain, K. A. (2019). Trigonometrically fitted fifth-order explicit two-derivative runge-kutta method with fsal property. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1294:doi:10.1088/1742–6596/1294/3/032009.
- Hussain, K. A., Ismail, F., Senu, N., and Rabiei, F. (2017b). Fourth-order improved rungekutta method for directly solving special third-order ordinary differential equations. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology*, 41(8):429–437.
- Hussain, K. A., Ismail, F., Senu, N., Rabiei, F., and Ibrahim, R. (2015). Integration for special third-order ordinary differential equations using improved runge-kutta direct method. *Malaysian Journal of Science*, 34(2):172–179.
- Ismail, F., Hussain, K., and Senu, N. (2016). A sixth-order rkfd method with four-stage for directly solving special fourth-order odes. *Sains Malaysiana*, 45(11):1747–1754.
- Kalogiratou, Z., Monovasilis, T., and Simos, T. (2017). Construction of Two Derivative Runge-Kutta methods of Order Five. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*, volume 1863, page 560092. AIP Publishing LLC.
- Kandala, S. S. and Vyasarayani, C. P. (2018). Pole placement for delay differential equations with time-periodic delays using galerkin approximations. *IFAC PaperOnLine*, 1(2018):560–565.

- Khataybeh, S., Hashim, I., and Alshbool, M. (2019). Solving directly thirdorder odes using operational matrices of bernstein polynomials method with applications to fluid flow equations. *Journal of King Saud University-Science*, 31(4):822–826.
- Kocak, H. and Yildirim, A. (2009). Series solution for a delay differential equation arising in electrodynamics. *Communications in Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 25(2009):1084–1096.
- Kumar, S. (2014). Layer-adapted methods for quasilinear singularly perturbed delay differential problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 233(2014):214–221.
- Kumar, S. and Kumar, M. (2017). A second order uniformly convergent numerical scheme for parameterized singularly perturbed delay differential problems. *Numerical Algorithms*, 76(2017):349–360.
- Ladas, G. (1971). Oscillation and Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Differential Equations with Retarded Argument. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 10(2):281–290.
- Ladas, G., Lakshmikantham, V., and Papadakis, J. (1972). Oscillations of Higher-Order Retarded Differential Equations Generated by the Retarded Argument. In *Delay and functional differential equations and their applications*, pages 219–231. Elsevier.
- Lambert, J. (1991). *Numerical methods for ordinary differential systems: the initial value problem.* John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1st edition.
- Lambert, J. D. (1973). *Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations*. Wiley, New York, 1st edition.
- Lazer, A. C. (1966). The behavior of solutions of the differential equation y''' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 17:435–466.
- Liu, S., Zheng, J., and Fang, Y. (2013). A new embedded 4(3) pair of modified twoderivative rungekutta methods with FSAL property for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 57(1):1924–1934.
- Mechee, M., Ismail, F., Siri, Z., and Senu, N. (2014). A third-order direct integrators of runge-kutta type for special third-order ordinary and delay differential equations. *Asian Journal of Applied Sciences*, 7(2014):102–116.
- Mechee, M., Senu, N., Ismail, F., Nikouravan, B., and Siri, Z. (2013). Three-stage fifth-order runge-kutta method for directly solving special third-order differential equation with application to thin film flow problem. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 1(2013):Article ID 795397, 7 pages.
- Mechee, M., Senu, N., Ismail, F., Nikouravan, B., and Siri, Z. (2018). An efficient of integrator of runge-kutta type method for solving y''' = f(x, y, y') with application to thin film flow problem. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 120(2018):27–50.

- Mehrkanoon, S. (2010). Several new third-order iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 1(2015):1053–1063.
- Mohamed, T. S., Senu, N., and Ibrahim, N. M. A. N. L. Z. (2018a). An embedded 4(3) pair explicit two derivative runge-kutta-nyström method for solving y''(x) = f(x, y, y'). *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1132:012014.
- Mohamed, T. S., Senu, N., Ibrahim, Z., and Long, N. M. A. N. (2018b). Efficient two-derivative runge-kutta-nyström for solving general second-order ordinary differential equations. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 1(2018):Article ID 2393015, 10 pages.
- Momoniat, E. and Mahomed, F. M. (2010). Symmetry reduction and numerical solution of third-order ode from thin film flow. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 15(4):709–719.
- Monovasilis, T., Kalogiratou, Z., and Simos, T. (2015). Construction of exponentially fitted symplectic runge-kutta-nystrom methods from partitioned runge-kutta methods. *Applied Mathematics & Information Sceince*, 9:1923–1930.
- Monovasilis, T., Kalogiratou, Z., and Simos, T. (2021). High order twoderivative runge-kutta methods with optimized dispersion and dissipation error. *Mathematics*, 9:232.
- Muthukumar, P. and Priya, B. G. (2017). Numerical solution of fractional delay differential equation by shifted jacobi polynomials. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 94(2017):471–492.
- Ngwane, F. F. and Jator, S. N. (2017). A trigonometrically fitted block method for solving oscillatory second-order initial value problems and hamiltonian systems. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, pages Article ID 4029371, 19 pages.
- Omar, A. A., Zaer, A., Ramzi, A., and Shaher, M. (2013). A reliable analytical method for solving higher-order initial value problems. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, pages Article ID 673829, 12 pages.
- Qureshi, S., Memon, Z., and Shaikh, A. A. (2018). Local accuracy and error bounds of the improved runge-kutta numerical methods. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics*, 17(4):73–84.
- Rabiei, F. (2011). Third-order improved runge-kutta method for solving ordinary differential equation. *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics*, 1(3):191–194.
- Rabiei, F., Hamid, F. A., Rashidi, M. M., Ali, Z., Shah, K., Hosseini, K., and Khodadadi, T. (2021). Numerical simulation of fuzzy volterra integrodifferential equation using improved runge-kutta method. *Journal of Applied and Computational Mechanics*, pages 1–11.
- Rabiei, F. and Ismail, F. (2012). Fifth-order improved runge-kutta method with reduced number of function evaluations. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 6(3):97–105.

- Rabiei, F., Ismail, F., and Suleiman, M. (2013). Improved runge-kutta methods for solving ordinary differential equations. *Sains Malaysiana*, 42(11):1679–1687.
- Rangkuti, Y. M. and Huda, A. (2020). Accuracy of fifth-order improved rungekutta method for handling hyperchaotics finance systems. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1462:012025.
- Reynoso, G. F., Gottlieb, S., and Grant, Z. J. (2017). Strong Stability Preserving Sixth order Two-Derivative Runge-Kutta methods. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*, volume 1863, pages 560068(1)–560068(5). AIP Publishing LLC.
- Senthilkumar, S., Lee, M., and Jeong, G. (2013). A modified improved runge-kutta fifth stage technique to study industrial robot arm. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, 27(6):1359004.
- Senu, N., Ahmad, N. A., Ibrahim, Z. B., and Othman, M. (2021). Numerical study on phase-fitted and amplification-fitted diagonally implicit two derivative runge-kutta method for periodic ivps. *Sains Malaysiana*, 50(6):1799–1814.
- Sharma, J. and Sharma, R. (2010). Some third order methods for solving system of nonlinear equations. *Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, 5(2010):1865–1871.
- Simos, T. E. and Williams, P. S. (1999). Exponentially-fitted runge-kutta third algebraic order methods for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation and related problems. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 10(5):839–851.
- Skwame, Y., Kumleng, G. M., and Zirra, D. J. (2018). Implicit second-derivative runge-kutta collocation methods of uniformly accurate order 3 and 4 for the solution of systems of initial value problems. *Adamawa State University Journal of Scientific Research*, 6(2):Article no. ADSUJSR 0602032.
- Stetter, H. J. (1965). Numerical Solution of Differential Equations with Lagging Argument. ZAMM-Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics / Zeitschrift f "u r Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 45(S1 S1):T79 T80.
- Suli, E. and Mayers, D. (2003). *An Introduction to Numerical Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1st edition.
- Suryaningrat, W., Ashgi, R., and Purwani, S. (2020). Order runge-kutta with extended formulation for solving ordinary differential equations. *International Journal of Global Operations Research*, 1(4):160–167.
- Tang, W. and Zhang, J. (2019). Symmetric integrator based on continuous-stage rungekuttanystrm methods for reversible systems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 361:1–12.
- Tang, X. and Xiao, A. (2017). Improved runge-kutta-chebyshev methods. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 174:59–75.
- Tiwari, S. and Pandey, R. K. (2021). Revised version of exponentially fitted pseudo-runge-kutta method. *International Journal of Computing Science and Mathematics*, 13(2):116–125.

- Tsai, A. Y., Chan, R. P., and Wang, S. (2014). Two-Derivative Runge-Kutta methods for PDEs using A Novel Discretization Approach. *Numerical Algorithms*, 65(3):687–703.
- Tuck, E. O. and Schwartz, L. W. (1990). A numerical and asymptotic study of some third-order ordinary differential equatios relevant to draining and coating flows. *SIAM Review*, 32(1990):453–469.
- Turaci, M. Ö. and Özis, T. (2018). On explicit two-derivative two-step rungekutta methods. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 37:6920–6954.
- Umut, O. and Yasar, S. (2013). A simple jerky dynamics, genesio system. *International Journal of Modern Nonlinear Theory and Application*, 2(2013):66–68.
- Urevc, J. and Halilovi, M. (2021). Enhancing accuracy of rungekutta-type collocation methods for solving odes. *Mathematics*, 9(2):1–21.
- Wang, Y., Sun, M., and Sun, H. (2016). An optimized explicit tdrk method for solving oscillatory problems. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 16(2016):205–210.
- Winston, E. (1970). Uniqueness of the Zero Solution for Delay Differential Equations with State Dependence. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 7(2):395–405.
- Yakubu, D. G. and Kwami, A. M. (2015). Implicit Two-Derivative Runge-Kutta collocation methods for systems of initial value problems. *Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society*, 34(2):128–142.
- Yakubu, G., Kumleng, G. M., and Markus, S. (2017). Second derivative runge-kutta collocation methods based on lobatto nodes for stiff systems. *Journal of Modern Methods in Numerical Mathematics*, 8(1-2):118.
- Yap, L., Ismail, F., and Senu, N. (2014). An accurate block hybrid collocation method for third order ordinary differential equations. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 1(2014):Article ID 673829, 12 pages.
- You, X. and Chen, Z. (2013). Direct integrators of runge-kutta type for special third-order ordinary differential equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 74(2013):128–150.
- Yuan, H., Zhao, J., and Xu, Y. (2012). Some stability and convergence of additive runge-kutta methods for delay differential equations with many delays. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 1(2012):Article ID 456814, 18 pages.
- Zhai, W. and Chen, B. (2019). A fourth order symmetric and symplectic exponentially fitted runge-kutta nyström method for solving oscillatoy problems. *Numerical Algebra Control and Optimization*, 9(1):73–84.

- Zhang, Y., Che, H., Fang, Y., and You, X. (2013). A New Trigonometrically Fitted Two-Derivative Runge-Kutta method for the Numerical Solution of the Schrödinger Equation and Related Problems. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2013:9 pages.
- Zingg, D. W., Chisholm, T. T., Zingg, D. W., Chisholm, T. T., Zingg, D. W., and Chisholm, T. T. (1999). Rungekutta methods for linear ordinary differential equations. *Appl. Numer. Math*, 31:227–238.

