



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***MULTISTEP BLOCK METHOD FOR SOLVING SECOND AND THIRD
ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH ROBIN AND MIXED
TYPE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS***

NADIRAH BINTI MOHD NASIR

IPM 2020 13



**MULTISTEP BLOCK METHOD FOR SOLVING SECOND AND THIRD
ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH ROBIN AND MIXED
TYPE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS**

By

NADIRAH BINTI MOHD NASIR

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2020

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATIONS

*To my beloved parents,
who gave their children the opportunities to develop a passion of learning,
and
to my families,
for all the Du'a along this journey,
with lots of love, thank you so much.*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MULTISTEP BLOCK METHOD FOR SOLVING SECOND AND THIRD ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH ROBIN AND MIXED TYPE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

By

NADIRAH BINTI MOHD NASIR

July 2020

Chairman : Zanariah Abdul Majid, PhD
Faculty : Institute for Mathematical Research

This thesis investigates on the numerical solutions for solving two-point and multipoint boundary value problems (BVPs) subject to Robin and mixed boundary conditions. The BVPs are solved directly using the new developed two-point diagonally implicit multistep block method in the form of Adams type formula.

Constant and variable step size strategy are employed for solving two-point second-order BVPs. Meanwhile, the computed solutions for two-point and multipoint third-order BVPs are limit to constant step size. Shooting technique is implemented in order to solve the BVPs. The initial estimate values are obtained using the Newton's divided difference interpolation method and Steffensen's method. Alternatively, the first derivative function is absence during the calculation of guessing values compared to the shooting technique via the Newton's method.

The analysis included order, error constants, consistency, zero-stability and convergence are presented in describing the characteristics of the proposed methods. All the computational procedures were undertaken using the C language in a Code::Blocks 16.01 cross platform.

Numerical results showed significant findings where the proposed methods could offer better accuracy results, less costly in terms of total function calls and faster in timing compared to the existing methods.

In conclusion, the proposed methods and developed algorithms were shown to be a reliable BVPs solver for solving two-point and multipoint BVPs subject to Robin and mixed boundary conditions directly.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KAEDAH BLOK MULTILANGKAH UNTUK PENYELESAIAN MASALAH
NILAI SEMPADAN PERINGKAT KEDUA DAN KETIGA DENGAN
SYARAT SEMPADAN JENIS ROBIN DAN BERCAMPUR**

Oleh

NADIRAH BINTI MOHD NASIR

Julai 2020

Pengerusi : Zanariah Abdul Majid, PhD
Fakulti : Institut Penyelidikan Matematik

Tesis ini mengkaji tentang penyelesaian berangka untuk menyelesaikan masalah nilai sempadan (MNS) dua titik dan berbilang titik yang memenuhi syarat sempadan bersifat Robin dan bercampur. MNS diselesaikan secara terus dengan menggunakan kaedah yang baru dibentuk iaitu kaedah blok multilangkah dua-titik tersirat pepenjuru berdasarkan formula Adam.

Strategi saiz langkah tetap dan berubah digunakan untuk menyelesaikan MNS dua titik peringkat kedua. Sementara itu, penyelesaian MNS dua titik dan berbilang titik peringkat ketiga dikomputasi dengan menghadkan kepada saiz langkah tetap sahaja. Teknik tembakan dilaksanakan untuk memperoleh penyelesaian MNS. Nilai-nilai anggaran awal diperolehi dengan menggunakan kaedah interpolasi perbezaan terbahagi Newton dan kaedah Steffensen. Secara alternatif, fungsi terbitan pertama tidak wujud dalam pengiraan nilai-nilai tekaan berbanding penggunaan teknik tembakan melalui kaedah Newton.

Analisis termasuk ciri-ciri peringkat, pemalar ralat, konsistensi, kestabilan-sifar dan penumpuan dibincangkan bagi menghuraikan ciri-ciri kesemua kaedah yang dicadangkan. Keseluruhan prosedur pengiraan secara komputasi telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan bahasa pengaturcaraan C dalam perisian Code::Blocks 16.01.

Keputusan berangka menunjukkan penemuan penting bahawa kaedah-kaedah yang

dicadangkan dapat mencapai kejituan keputusan yang lebih baik, lebih jimat dari segi jumlah fungsi panggilan dan memperoleh masa yang pantas berbanding kaedah sedia ada.

Kesimpulannya, kaedah yang dicadangkan dan algoritma yang dibangunkan berupaya menjadi penyelesaian MNS yang boleh dipercayai untuk menyelesaikan MNS dua titik dan berbilang titik yang memenuhi syarat sempadan bersifat Robin dan bercampur secara kaedah terus.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. Alhamdulillah, all praise to Allah for making this PhD journey possible, small but significance. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my dedicated supervisor, Prof. Dr. Zanariah binti Abdul Majid, for her knowledge guidance, positive spirit and constant encouragement throughout the successful of my study. My deepful appreciation also to my supervisory committee, Prof. Dr. Fudziah binti Ismail and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norfifah binti Bachok @ Lati, for their advice and comments in giving meaningful flavour towards completion this thesis.

I am so thankful for the scholarship awarded and a period of study leave approved from Ministry of Education (MOE) that enable me to pursue my study.

My special thanks to my beloved father, Mohd Nasir bin Mohd, my late mother, Wan Ruslina binti Wan Ramli, my mother, Aini binti Ismail and my lovely siblings, Nadiah, Najmi Afiq and Nabilah Ayuni, for their prayers and motivations that becomes a key of my strength in completing this battle until the finish line. Last but not least, thank you to all INSPEM's staff, my lab mates, my teachers and my friends for all the meaningful moments.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Zanariah Abdul Majid, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Fudziah Ismail, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Norfifah Bachok @ Lati, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 08 October 2020

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:

Prof. Dr. Zanariah Abdul Majid

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

Prof. Dr. Fudziah Ismail

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norfifah Bachok @ Lati

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Boundary Value Problems	2
1.3 Objectives of the Thesis	4
1.4 Motivation	4
1.5 Scope of the study	5
1.6 Outline of the Thesis	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Lagrange Interpolation Polynomial	7
2.3 Newton's Divided Difference Interpolation Method	8
2.4 Steffensen's Method	8
2.5 Preliminary Mathematical Concepts	9
2.6 Block Method	11
2.7 Review of Previous Works	12
2.7.1 Analytical Method for Solving BVPs	12
2.7.2 Numerical Methods for BVPs with two-point Boundary Conditions	13
2.7.3 Research Related to BVPs with Multipoint Boundary Conditions	14
2.7.4 Direct Method for Solving ODEs and BVPs	15
2.7.5 Performance of the Shooting Technique	17
3 SOLVING SECOND ORDER TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH ROBIN AND MIXED TYPE DIRECTLY USING TWO-POINT DIAGONALLY MULTISTEP BLOCK METHOD WITH CONSTANT STEP SIZE	20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method	20

3.2.1	Derivation of Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method of 2PDD4	22
3.2.2	Derivation of Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method of 2PDD5	26
3.2.3	Derivation of Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method of 2PDD6	29
3.3	Order, Zero-stable, Consistency and Convergenve of the Method	35
3.3.1	Order and error constant of 2PDD4	35
3.3.2	Order and error constant of 2PDD5	40
3.3.3	Order and error constant of 2PDD6	41
3.3.4	Consistency of 2PDD4, 2PDD5 and 2PDD6	43
3.3.5	Zero-stability of 2PDD4, 2PDD5 and 2PDD6	43
3.3.6	Convergence of 2PDD4, 2PDD5 and 2PDD6	43
3.4	Stability Analysis	44
3.4.1	Stability of 2PDD4	44
3.4.2	Stability of 2PDD5	47
3.4.3	Stability of 2PDD6	49
3.5	Implementation of the Shooting Technique	51
3.5.1	Shooting strategy adapted with Newton's interpolation with Type 1, Type 2 and Type 3 boundary conditions	52
3.5.2	Shooting strategy adapted with Newton's interpolation with Type 4 boundary conditions	55
3.5.3	Shooting strategy adapted with Steffensen's method	57
3.6	Algorithm	58
3.7	Problems Tested	60
3.8	Numerical Results and Discussion	63

4 SOLVING DIRECTLY THIRD ORDER TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH ROBIN TYPE USING TWO-POINT DIAGONALLY MULTISTEP BLOCK METHOD WITH CONSTANT STEP SIZE

4.1	Introduction	97
4.2	Derivation of the Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method	97
4.2.1	Derivation of Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method of 2DDM4	99
4.2.2	Derivation of Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method of 2DDM5	101
4.2.3	Derivation of Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method of 2DDM6	104
4.3	Order, Zero-stable, Consistency and Convergence of the Method	106
4.3.1	Order and error constants	106
4.3.2	Order and error constants of 2DDM4	107
4.3.3	Order and error constants of 2DDM5	114
4.3.4	Order and error constants of 2DDM6	115
4.3.5	Consistency of 2DDM4, 2DDM5 and 2DDM6	117
4.3.6	Zero Stability of 2DDM4, 2DDM5 and 2DDM6	117
4.3.7	Convergence of 2DDM4, 2DDM5 and 2DDM6	117

4.4	Implementation	118
4.5	Algorithm	120
4.6	Problems Tested	121
4.7	Numerical Results and Discussion	123
5	SOLVING THIRD ORDER MULTIPOINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH ROBIN AND MIXED TYPE DIRECTLY USING TWO-POINT MULTISTEP BLOCK METHOD WITH CONSTANT STEP SIZE	141
5.1	Introduction	141
5.2	Implementation of the Shooting Technique for Multipoint Boundary Value Problems	142
5.2.1	Shooting strategy with Type 1 boundary conditions	142
5.2.2	Shooting strategy with Type 2 and Type 3 boundary conditions	146
5.3	Problems Tested	148
5.4	Numerical Results and Discussion	150
6	SOLVING DIRECTLY SECOND ORDER TWO POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH ROBIN AND MIXED TYPE USING TWO-POINT MULTISTEP BLOCK METHOD WITH VARIABLE STEP SIZE	162
6.1	Introduction	162
6.2	Derivation of Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method of 2PDVS4	162
6.3	Analysis of 2PDVS4	167
6.3.1	Order and error constant of 2PDVS4	167
6.3.2	Consistency of 2PDVS4	172
6.3.3	Zero-stable of 2PDVS4	172
6.3.4	Convergence of 2PDVS4	172
6.4	Stability of 2PDVS4	172
6.5	Derivation of Two-Point Diagonally Multistep Block Method of 2PDVS5	175
6.6	Analysis of 2PDVS5	182
6.6.1	Order and error constant of 2PDVS5	182
6.6.2	Consistency of 2PDVS5	186
6.6.3	Zero-stable of 2PDVS5	186
6.6.4	Convergence of 2PDVS5	186
6.7	Stability of 2PDVS5	187
6.8	Variable Step Size Strategy for 2PDVS4 and 2PDVS5	189
6.9	Algorithm	191
6.10	Numerical Results and Discussion	192
7	CONCLUSION	223
7.1	Summary of the Thesis	223
7.2	Future Work	225

REFERENCES	226
BIODATA OF STUDENT	235
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	236



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Divided difference table	8
3.1 Divided difference for generating S_j for Type 1, Type 2 and Type 3	54
3.2 Divided difference table for generating S_j for Type 4	56
3.3 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.1 with constant step size	65
3.4 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.2 with constant step size	66
3.5 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.3 with constant step size	67
3.6 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.4 with constant step size	68
3.7 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.5 with constant step size	69
3.8 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.6 with constant step size	70
3.9 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.7 with constant step size	71
3.10 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.8 with constant step size	72
3.11 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.9 with constant step size	73
3.12 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.10 with constant step size	74
3.13 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.11 with constant step size	75
3.14 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.12 with constant step size	76
3.15 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.13 with constant step size	77
3.16 Numerical results for solving Problem 3.14 with constant step size	78
3.17 Computation of numerical estimate to the order of convergence	79
4.1 Divided difference for generating S_j	119
4.2 Comparison of the numerical results for solving Problem 4.1	124
4.3 Comparison of the numerical results for solving Problem 4.2	125
4.4 Comparison of the numerical results for solving Problem 4.3	126

4.5	Comparison of the numerical results for solving Problem 4.4	127
4.6	Comparison of the numerical results for solving Problem 4.5	128
4.7	Comparison of the numerical results for solving Problem 4.6	129
4.8	Comparison of the numerical results for solving Problem 4.7	130
4.9	Comparison of the numerical results for solving Problem 4.8	131
5.1	Divided difference for generating s_j for Type 1 multipoint BVPs	144
5.2	Divided difference for generating v_j for Type 1 multipoint BVPs	145
5.3	The fundamental operation of DTM as explained in Xie et al. (2016)	147
5.4	Comparison of the approximate solutions, $y(x)$, between RK4, rkf45, 2DM4 and 2DDM4 of Problem 5.1 at $h = 0.1$	151
5.5	Comparison of the approximate solutions, $y(x)$, between RK4, rkf45, 2DM4 and 2DDM4 of Problem 5.1 at $h = 0.01$	152
5.6	Comparison of the approximate solutions, $y(x)$, between 2P3BVS, 2DDM5 and 2DDM6 of Problem 5.1 at $h = 0.1$	153
5.7	Comparison of approximate solutions, $y(x)$, between 2P3BVS, 2DDM5 and 2DDM6 of Problem 5.1 at $h = 0.01$	154
5.8	Comparison of the numerical results using strategy A for solving Problem 5.2	155
5.9	Comparison of the numerical results using strategy B for solving Problem 5.2	156
5.10	Comparison of the numerical results using strategy A for solving Problem 5.3	157
5.11	Comparison of the numerical results using strategy B for solving Problem 5.3	158
6.1	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.1 with variable step size	193
6.2	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.2 with variable step size	194
6.3	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.3 with variable step size	195
6.4	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.4 with variable step size	196
6.5	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.5 with variable step size	197

6.6	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.6 with variable step size	198
6.7	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.7 with variable step size	199
6.8	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.8 with variable step size	200
6.9	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.9 with variable step size	201
6.10	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.10 with variable step size	202
6.11	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.11 with variable step size	203
6.12	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.12 with variable step size	204
6.13	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.13 with variable step size	205
6.14	Numerical results for solving Problem 3.14 with variable step size	206

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Two-point block method	11
3.1 Two-point block method with 2-steps back values	22
3.2 Two-point block method with 3-steps back values	26
3.3 Two-point block method with 4-steps back values	29
3.4 Comparison of the stability regions between 2PDD4, 2PDD5, and 2PDD6.	51
3.5 Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.1	80
3.6 Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.1	80
3.7 Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.2	81
3.8 Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.2	81
3.9 Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.3	82
3.10 Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.3	82
3.11 Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.4	83
3.12 Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.4	83
3.13 Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.5	84
3.14 Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.5	84
3.15 Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.6	85
3.16 Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.6	85
3.17 Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.7	86

3.18	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.7	86
3.19	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.8	87
3.20	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.8	87
3.21	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.9	88
3.22	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.9	88
3.23	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.10	89
3.24	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.10	89
3.25	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.11	90
3.26	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.11	90
3.27	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.12	91
3.28	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.12	91
3.29	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.13	92
3.30	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.13	92
3.31	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.14	93
3.32	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.14	93
4.1	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.1	132
4.2	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.1	132
4.3	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.2	133
4.4	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.2	133
4.5	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.3	134

4.6	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.3	134
4.7	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.4	135
4.8	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.4	135
4.9	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.5	136
4.10	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.5	136
4.11	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.6	137
4.12	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.6	137
4.13	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.7	138
4.14	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.7	138
4.15	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.8	139
4.16	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 4.8	139
5.1	Curve of approximate solutions, $y(x)$, between RK4, rkf45, 2DM4 and 2DDM4 of Problem 5.1 at $h = 0.1$	151
5.2	Curve of approximate solutions, $y(x)$, between RK4, rkf45, 2DM4 and 2DDM4 of Problem 5.1 at $h = 0.01$	152
5.3	Curve of approximate solutions, $y(x)$, between 2P3BVS, 2DDM5 and 2DDM6 of Problem 5.1 at $h = 0.1$	153
5.4	Curve of approximate solutions, $y(x)$, between 2P3BVS, 2DDM5 and 2DDM6 of Problem 5.1 at $h = 0.01$	154
6.1	Two-point block method of 2PDVS4	162
6.2	2PDVS4 for $r = \frac{1}{2}$	168
6.3	Stability regions of 2PDVS4 method with $r = 1$, $r = \frac{1}{2}$ and $r = 2$	174
6.4	Two-point block method of 2PDVS5	175
6.5	2PDVS5 for $r = q = \frac{1}{2}$	182

6.6	Stability regions of 2PDVS5 with variable step size	189
6.7	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.1 with variable step size	207
6.8	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.1 with variable step size	207
6.9	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.2 with variable step size	208
6.10	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.2 with variable step size	208
6.11	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.3 with variable step size	209
6.12	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.3 with variable step size	209
6.13	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.4 with variable step size	210
6.14	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.4 with variable step size	210
6.15	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.5 with variable step size	211
6.16	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.5 with variable step size	211
6.17	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.6 with variable step size	212
6.18	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.6 with variable step size	212
6.19	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.7 with variable step size	213
6.20	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.7 with variable step size	213
6.21	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.8 with variable step size	214
6.22	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.8 with variable step size	214

6.23	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.9 with variable step size	215
6.24	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ Problem 3.9 with variable step size	215
6.25	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.10 with variable step size	216
6.26	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ Problem 3.10 with variable step size	216
6.27	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.11 with variable step size	217
6.28	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.11 with variable step size	217
6.29	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.12 with variable step size	218
6.30	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.12 with variable step size	218
6.31	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.13 with variable step size	219
6.32	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.13 with variable step size	219
6.33	Performance graphs of $\log_{10}(\text{FCN})$ vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ for Problem 3.14	220
6.34	Performance graphs of TIME vs. $\log_{10}(\text{MAXE})$ Problem 3.14 with variable step size	220

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

h	step size
BCs	Boundary Conditions
BVPs	Boundary Value Problems
IVPs	Initial Value Problems
ODEs	Ordinary Differential Equations
bvp4c	Matlab solver with fourth order collocation method
bvp5c	Matlab solver with fifth order collocation method
2PDD4	Direct two point diagonally block method of order four
2PDD5	Direct two point diagonally block method of order five
2PDD6	Direct two point diagonally block method of order six
2DDM4	Direct two point diagonally block method of order four for solving third order BVPs
2DDM5	Direct two point diagonally block method of order five for solving third order BVPs
2DDM6	Direct two point diagonally block method of order six for solving third order BVPs
2PDVS4	Direct two point diagonally block method of order four using variable step size strategy
2PDVS5	Direct two point diagonally block method of order five using variable step size strategy

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The behaviour of many physical problems appear frequently in terms of differential equations. Those behaviour can be either position-dependent changes or time-dependent changes. Differential equations are extremely important in vast field of studies including mathematics, physics, chemistry, economics as well as engineering. The solution to the differential equations can be obtained using analytical and numerical approaches. Analytical solution will result in exact value and it is almost accurate. However, in dealing with mathematical model in the form of nonlinear and complex differential equations, analytical solution sometimes is impractical and has a limitation to give the required solution. Numerical solution consists of approximate value together with the numerical error. This errors are important to be taken into consideration while computing the numerical results because it will tell us how accurate the numerical answers within a specified tolerance. In addition, if the exact solution is provided to the differential equations problems, then it is useful to validate the reasonable numerical results produce by the numerical methods.

Ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and partial differential equations (PDEs) are two major types of differential equations. Both equations represent the relationship between the unknown function with its derivative. This thesis will tackle the differential equations problems in the form of ODEs rather than PDEs.

Generally, a D^{th} order ordinary differential equation is given by

$$\frac{d^D y}{dx^D} = f(x, y, y', y'', y^{(3)}, \dots, y^{(D-1)}), \quad x \in [x_0, x_N]. \quad (1.1.1)$$

To obtain the desired solution of (1.1.1) to the function, $y(x)$, D conditions are required. These conditions can be specified as either initial conditions or boundary conditions. Numerically, by solving higher order of (1.1.1) directly without converting to the first order system will minimizing the computational cost of process and save in time-consuming.

In numerical method, solving (1.1.1) involves computation of consecutive approximations, y_{n+i} , and the function, f_{n+i} for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. This procedure constitutes one-step method and multistep method, corresponds to $k = 1$ and $k > 1$, respectively. One-step method permit calculation of the approximation using only one previous value, while multistep method requires more than one previous values. This thesis focusing on multistep method with one-step method will be employed

to give the appropriate number of starting values in order to initiate the multistep procedure since the implementation is not a self-starting method.

1.2 Boundary Value Problems

In a nutshell, a boundary value problem is a differential equation, typically in one dimension is an ODE which has values assigned on the physical boundary of the domain and deals with higher order differential equations. Consider a general form of second-order BVPs for $D = 2$ in (1.1.1) as

$$y''(x) = f(x, y, y'), \quad x \in [a, b] \quad (1.2.1)$$

subject to two-point boundary conditions (BCs)

$$c_1y(a) + c_2y'(a) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c_3y(b) + c_4y'(b) = \beta \quad (1.2.2)$$

where a, b, α, β and c_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are all constants.

There are three types of boundary conditions appear oftenly related to BVPs. If only functional value of the solution specified in (1.2.2), then this condition represents **Dirichlet BCs** and can be written as

$$c_1y(a) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c_3y(b) = \beta \quad (1.2.3)$$

corresponds to the case of $c_2 = c_4 = 0$. Besides, **Neumann BCs** correspond to the case of $c_1 = c_3 = 0$ as

$$c_2y'(a) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c_4y'(b) = \beta \quad (1.2.4)$$

where only derivative of the solution is given in (1.2.2). Finally, if both information exist and specified in the form of linear combination between functional value and derivative of the solution, hence (1.2.2) is known as **Robin BCs**

$$c_1y(a) + c_2y'(a) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c_3y(b) + c_4y'(b) = \beta. \quad (1.2.5)$$

This thesis concentrates on solving (1.2.1) that associated with Robin type conditions occur at the boundary point and subsumes under the following types:

- Type 1: The Robin boundary conditions as given in (1.2.5).
- Type 2: The mixed set of Robin and Dirichlet boundary conditions given as

$$c_1y(a) + c_2y'(a) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c_3y(b) = \beta. \quad (1.2.6)$$

- Type 3: The mixed set of Robin and Neumann boundary conditions given as

$$c_1y(a) + c_2y'(a) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c_4y'(b) = \beta. \quad (1.2.7)$$

- Type 4: The mixed set of Dirichlet and Robin boundary conditions given as

$$c_1y(a) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c_3y(b) + c_4y'(b) = \beta. \quad (1.2.8)$$

The detail discussion on the third-order BVPs will be highlighted in Chapter 4 and 5 since the differential equation problems will involve a multipoint boundary conditions.

There are several references such as Usmani (1972), Chawla (1978) and Bialecki (1991) defined conditions in (1.2.5) as mixed boundary conditions. However, our preference is Robin BCs instead of mixed BCs. This is supported by the definition stated in Gustafson and Abe (1998), “mixed boundary condition normally means that on one portion of the boundary, you have one of the three usual BCs, whereas on another part of the boundary you have a different one”. Lawley and Keener (2015) mentioned that the Robin boundary condition specifies a relationship between the solution and its derivative.

The real function, f in (1.2.1) is assumed to satisfy the Lipschitz condition as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, v_1, w) - f(x, v_2, w)| &\leq K|v_1 - v_2|, \\ |f(x, v, w_1) - f(x, v, w_2)| &\leq K|w_1 - w_2| \end{aligned} \quad (1.2.9)$$

for all points $(x, v_i, w), (x, v, w_i), i = 1, 2$ in the set

$$D = \{f(x, v, w) | a \leq x \leq b, -\infty < v, w < \infty\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 (Atkinson et al., 2011)

For the given problem in (1.2.1) with (1.2.5), assume $f(x, v, w)$ to be continuous on the set, D and satisfies the Lipschitz condition in (1.2.9). In addition, on the set D , f satisfies the followings:

1. $f_v(x, v, w) > 0$,
2. $|f_w(x, v, w)| \leq K$ for some constant, $K > 0$,
3. For the boundary conditions of (1.2.5), assume

$$\begin{aligned} c_1c_2 \geq 0, \quad c_3c_4 \geq 0, \\ |c_1| + |c_2| \neq 0, \quad |c_3| + |c_4| \neq 0, \quad |c_1| + |c_3| \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the BVPs given by (1.2.1) associated with (1.2.5) has a unique solution.

In this study, linear and nonlinear BVPs will be solved using systematic iterative approach via the shooting method. Since there is a missing initial condition involved in the given BCs, then solving BVPs using shooting technique required initial guessing to start the procedure.

1.3 Objectives of the Thesis

This thesis will be focused on the following objectives:

1. to derive two-point diagonally implicit multistep block method formula for solving non-stiff two-point and multipoint boundary value problems subject to Robin and mixed type boundary conditions;
2. to analyze the properties of the method including order, stability, consistency and convergence in details;
3. to establish the shooting strategy via Newton's divided difference interpolation method and Steffensen's method for solving second-order and third-order BVPs using C programming source code;
4. to develop the algorithms for the multistep block method with constant step size and variable step size strategy adapted with the shooting technique.

1.4 Motivation

The development on the direct multistep block method is well established for enabling to solve various types of differential equations. However, the implementation and performances on numerical algorithm for use in boundary value problems with Robin boundary conditions has not been extensively studied.

Numerous works have been carried out to investigate the BVPs subject to Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions using block method adapted with the shooting technique for solving directly the aforementioned BVPs. Moreover, majority of the previous work used Newton's method as the strategy to improve the guessing values during the shooting procedure.

Therefore, motivation of this study is to develop the two-point diagonally multistep block method with different order between first point and second point. The main aim of the numerical integration scheme development using the diagonally formula is to demonstrate in practice that the diagonally multistep block method is significantly cheaper in computational effort and favourably competitive with existing methods. In addition to that, the diagonally formula is sensible in order to preserve the high accuracy of the computed results. Meanwhile, this thesis will emphasis on the

shooting strategy adapted with the Newton's divided difference interpolation method and Steffensen's method as the iterative scheme for improve the guessing values. This iterative scheme is different from the Newton's method because the former approach used interpolation while the latter approach is a derivative free method.

1.5 Scope of the study

This thesis concentrates on the numerical solution of two-point diagonally multistep block method for solving second-order and third-order boundary value problems associated with Robin and mixed boundary conditions. In solving second order BVPs, the condition imposed on the independent variable at two different values. In contrast, solving third order BVPs deals with the boundary conditions define at two-point boundary conditions and multipoint boundary conditions.

Two-point diagonally multistep block method of order four, five and six will be implemented to solve all the aforementioned Robin and mixed boundary value problems via the shooting technique. The shooting technique adapted with the Newton's divided difference interpolation method and Steffensen's method are employed for generating the guessing values. In addition, the numerical results for second order BVPs will be generated using fixed and variable step size. Meanwhile, the numerical results for third order BVPs will limit to constant step size only.

The analysis of the methods including order, consistency, zero-stability, convergence and stability are also discussed in this thesis.

1.6 Outline of the Thesis

The organization of the thesis are as follows.

Chapter 1 provides a brief introduction to the boundary value problems, describing the type of boundary conditions and explanation on the preliminary concept and theory that devoted to BVPs.

Chapter 2 highlights on the important definitions that necessary in describing the properties of the developed methods. This chapter also cover on the theory of Lagrange interpolation polynomial that will be used during the derivation of the direct integration formula. The chronological studies that leads to a road map of this research will be part of this chapter as a briefly reviewed from the previous works.

Chapter 3 emphasizes on the derivation of two-point diagonally multistep block method of order four, five and six with constant step size. These block methods will be used to solve second order two-point boundary value problems subject to Robin and mixed boundary conditions. The shooting technique adapted with Newton's

divided difference interpolation method and Steffensen's method are also introduced in this chapter. All the analysis properties related to the derived methods also included in this chapter.

Chapter 4 focuses on the direct integration formula to solve the third order boundary value problems associated with Robin boundary conditions. Again, the derivation is in the form of two-point diagonally multistep block method of order four, five and six with constant step size. All the analysis properties related to the derived formula also included as part of this chapter.

Chapter 5 concerns on the implementation to tackle the third order multipoint boundary value problems using the direct block methods developed in Chapter 4. At the beginning, this chapter highlights on the two distinct algorithms to solve respective BVPs since there are three classifications on the types of multipoint boundary conditions that have been considered in this thesis.

Chapter 6 discusses on the derivation of two-point diagonally multistep block method using a variable step size strategy. This chapter present on the strategy to choose the step size adjustment. This adjustment correspond to three different decision which are keeping the new step size remain constant, or double or halved, from the previous step size.

Finally, Chapter 7 concludes the important findings from this research study. At the same time, some potential recommendations for further research works will be highlighted in this chapter.

REFERENCES

- Abd-Elhameed, W. M., Doha, E. H., and Youssri, Y. H. (2013). New wavelets collocation method for solving second-order multipoint boundary value problems using chebyshev polynomials of third and fourth kinds. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2013:1–9.
- Abdelrahim, R. (2019). Numerical solution of third order boundary value problems using one-step hybrid block method. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 10(1):179–183.
- Abukhaled, M., Khuri, S., and Sayfy, A. (2011). A numerical approach for solving a class of singular boundary value problems arising in physiology. *International Journal of Numerical Analysis and Modeling*, 8(2):353–363.
- Abushammala, M. and Kafri, H. (2015). Numerical solutions of a class of second order boundary value problems with Robin conditions. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics 2014 (ICNAAM-2014)*, volume 1648, pages 1–5. AIP Conference Proceedings.
- Abushammala, M., Khuri, S. A., and Sayfy, A. (2015). A novel fixed point iteration method for the solution of third order boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 271:131–141.
- Ackleh, A. S., Allen, E. J., Kearfott, R. B., and Seshaiyer, P. (2009). *Classical and Modern Numerical Analysis: Theory, Methods and Practice*. CRC Press.
- Adewumi, A. and Ogunlaran, O. (2018). Bernstein-Chebyshev integral collocation method for solving third-order multi-point boundary value problems. *FUW Trends in Science & Technology Journal*, 3(2B):783–786.
- Agboola, O. O., Opanuga, A. A., and Gbadeyan, J. A. (2015). Solution of third order ordinary differential equations using differential transform method. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 11(4):2511–2516.
- Ahsan, M. and Farrukh, S. (2013). A new type of shooting method for nonlinear boundary value problems. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 52(4):801–805.
- Akram, G., Tehseen, M., Siddiqi, S. S., and ur Rehman, H. (2013). Solution of a linear third order multi-point boundary value problem using RKM. *British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science*, 3(2):180–194.
- Anakira, N. R., Alomari, A. K., Jameel, A. F., and Hashim, I. (2017). Multistage optimal homotopy asymptotic method for solving boundary value problems with Robin boundary conditions. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)*, 102(8):1727–1744.
- Anulo, A. A., Kibret, A. S., Gonfa, G. G., and Negassa, A. D. (2017). Numerical solution of linear second order ordinary differential equations with mixed

- boundary conditions by Galerkin method. *Mathematics and Computer Science*, 2(5):66–78.
- Atkinson, K., Han, W., and Stewart, D. E. (2011). *Numerical Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Attili, B. S. and Syam, M. I. (2008). Efficient shooting method for solving two point boundary value problems. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 35(5):895–903.
- Awoyemi, D. O. (2003). A P-stable linear multistep method for solving general third order ordinary differential equations. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 80(8):985–991.
- Awoyemi, D. O., Kayode, S. J., and Adoghe, L. O. (2014). A five-step P-stable method for the numerical integration of third order ordinary differential equations. *American Journal of Computational Mathematics*, 4:119–126.
- Aziz, I. and Božidar, S. (2010). The numerical solution of second-order boundary-value problems by collocation method with the Haar wavelets. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 52(9-10):1577–1590.
- Bello, N., Roko, A., and Mustafa, A. (2018). Numerical solution of a linear third order multi-point boundary value problems using fixed point iterative method. *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, 631(3):625–631.
- Bhatta, S. K. and Sastri, K. S. (1993). Symmetric spline procedures for boundary value problems with mixed boundary conditions. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 45(3):237–250.
- Bialecki, B. (1991). Sinc-collection methods for two-point boundary value problems. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 11(3):357–375.
- Burden, R. L. and Faires, J. D. (2011). *Numerical Analysis, 9th ed.* Boston, MA : Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning.
- Burrage, K. (1993). Efficient block predictor-corrector methods with a small number of corrections. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 45(1-2):139–150.
- Caglar, H. N., Caglar, S. H., and Twizell, E. H. (1999). The numerical solution of third-order boundary-value problems with fourth-degree & B-spline functions. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 71(3):373–381.
- Chawla, M. M. (1978). A fourth-order tridiagonal finite difference method for general non-linear two-point boundary value problems with mixed boundary conditions. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 21(1):83–93.
- Chawla, M. M. and Katti, C. P. (1980). Finite difference methods for a class of two-point boundary value problems with mixed boundary conditions. *Journal of*

Computational and Applied Mathematics, 6(3):189–196.

Chun, C. and Sakthivel, R. (2010). Homotopy perturbation technique for solving two-point boundary value problems—comparison with other methods. *Computer Physics Communications*, 181(6):1021–1024.

Cuomo, S. and Marasco, A. (2008). A numerical approach to nonlinear two-point boundary value problems for ODEs. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 55(11):2476–2489.

Dehghan, M. and Shakeri, F. (2011). A semi-numerical technique for solving the multi-point boundary value problems and engineering applications. *International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow*, 21(7):794–809.

Dodes, I. A. (1978). *Numerical Analysis for Computer Science*. North-Holland.

Duan, J.-S., Rach, R., Wazwaz, A.-M., Chaolu, T., and Wang, Z. (2013). A new modified Adomian decomposition method and its multistage form for solving nonlinear boundary value problems with Robin boundary conditions. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(20-21):8687–8708.

El-Salam, F. A. A., El-Sabbagh, A. A., and Zaki, Z. A. (2010). The numerical solution of linear third order boundary value problems using nonpolynomial spline technique. *Journal of American Science*, 6(12):303–309.

Fang, Q., Tsuchiya, T., and Yamamoto, T. (2002). Finite difference, finite element and finite volume methods applied to two-point boundary value problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 139(1):9–19.

Fatunla, S. O. (1995). A class of block methods for second order IVPs. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 55(1-2):119–133.

Filipov, S. M., Gospodinov, I. D., and Faragó, I. (2017). Shooting-projection method for two-point boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 72:10–15.

Ganaie, I. A., Arora, S., and Kukreja, V. K. (2014). Cubic Hermite collocation method for solving boundary value problems with Dirichlet, Neumann, and Robin conditions. *International Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, 2014:1–8.

Gustafson, K. and Abe, T. (1998). The third boundary condition - was it Robins?. *The Mathematical Intelligencer*, 20(1):63–71.

Ha, S. N. (2001). A nonlinear shooting method for two-point boundary value problems. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 42(10-11):1411–1420.

Ha, S. N. and Lee, C. R. (2002). Numerical study for two-point boundary value problems using Green's functions. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 44(12):1599–1608.

- Hairer, E. and Wanner, G. (1975). A theory for Nyström methods. *Numerische Mathematik*, 25(4):383–400.
- He, J.-H. (1999). Variational iteration method—a kind of non-linear analytical technique: some examples. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 34(4):699–708.
- He, J.-H. (2006). Some asymptotic methods for strongly nonlinear equations. *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 20(10):1141–1199.
- Hijazi, M. and Abdelrahim, R. (2017). The numerical computation of three step hybrid block method for directly solving third order ordinary differential equations. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 13(1):89–103.
- Hossain, M. J., Alam, M. S., and Hossain, M. B. (2017). A study on the numerical solutions of second order initial value problems (ivp) for ordinary differential equations with fourth order and butchers fifth order runge-kutta methods. *American Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 7(5):129–137.
- Ibrahim, Z. B., Suleiman, M., and Othman, K. I. (2009). Direct block backward differentiation formulas for solving second order ordinary differential equations. *International Journal of Computational and Mathematical Sciences*, 1(3):120–122.
- Islam, M. and Shirin, A. (2011). Numerical solutions of a class of second order boundary value problems on using Bernoulli polynomials. *Applied Mathematics*, 2(9):1059–1067.
- Jang, B. (2008). Two-point boundary value problems by the extended Adomian decomposition method. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 219(1):253–262.
- Jator, S., Okunlola, T., Biala, T., and Adeniyi, R. (2018). Direct integrators for the general third-order ordinary differential equations with an application to the Korteweg–de Vries equation. *International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics*, 4(5):110.
- Jator, S. N. (2010). Solving second order initial value problems by a hybrid multistep method without predictors. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 217(8):4036–4046.
- Jikantoro, Y. D., Ismail, F., Senu, N., and Ibrahim, Z. B. (2018). Hybrid methods for direct integration of special third order ordinary differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 320:452 – 463.
- Keller, H. B. (1971). Shooting and embedding for two-point boundary value problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 36(3):598–610.
- Keller, H. B. (1975). Approximation methods for nonlinear problems with

- application to two-point boundary value problems. *Mathematics of Computation*, 29(130):464–474.
- Kheybari, S. and Darvishi, M. T. (2018). An efficient technique to find semi-analytical solutions for higher order multi-point boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 336:76–93.
- Kilicman, A. and Wadai, M. (2016). On the solutions of three-point boundary value problems using variational-fixed point iteration method. *Mathematical Sciences*, 10(1-2):33–40.
- Lambert, J. D. (1973). *Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Lambert, J. D. (1991). *Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Systems: The Initial Value Problem*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Lang, F.-G. and Xu, X.-P. (2012). Quintic B-spline collocation method for second order mixed boundary value problem. *Computer Physics Communications*, 183(4):913–921.
- Lawley, S. D. and Keener, J. P. (2015). A new derivation of Robin boundary conditions through homogenization of a stochastically switching boundary. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 14(4):1845–1867.
- Liang, S. and Jeffrey, D. J. (2011). An analytical approach for solving nonlinear boundary value problems in finite domains. *Numerical Algorithms*, 56(1):93–106.
- Liu, C.-S. (2006). The Lie-group shooting method for nonlinear two-point boundary value problems exhibiting multiple solutions. *Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences*, 13(2):149–163.
- Liu, L.-B., Liu, H.-W., and Chen, Y. (2011). Polynomial spline approach for solving second-order boundary-value problems with Neumann conditions. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 217(16):6872–6882.
- Lu, J. (2007). Variational iteration method for solving a nonlinear system of second-order boundary value problems. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 54(7-8):1133–1138.
- Majid, Z. A. (2004). *Parallel Block Methods for Solving Ordinary Differential Equations*. PhD thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Majid, Z. A., Hasni, M. M., and Senu, N. (2013). Solving second order linear Dirichlet and Neumann boundary value problems by block method. *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 43(2):71–76.
- Majid, Z. A. and Suleiman, M. (2006a). Direct integration implicit variable steps method for solving higher order systems of ordinary differential equations directly.

- Sains Malaysiana*, 35(2):63–68.
- Majid, Z. A. and Suleiman, M. (2006b). Performance of 4-point diagonally implicit block method for solving ordinary differential equations. *Matematika*, 22(2):137–146.
- Majid, Z. A. and Suleiman, M. B. (2007). Implementation of four-point fully implicit block method for solving ordinary differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 184(2):514–522.
- Matinfar, M. and Ghasemi, M. (2013). Solving BVPs with shooting method and VIMHP. *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, 21(3):354–360.
- Mehrkanoon, S. (2011). A direct variable step block multistep method for solving general third-order ODEs. *Numerical Algorithms*, 57(1):53–66.
- Mo, L.-F. and Wang, S.-Q. (2009). A variational approach to nonlinear two-point boundary value problems. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 71(12):e834–e838.
- Momani, S., Abuasad, S., and Odibat, Z. (2006). Variational iteration method for solving nonlinear boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 183(2):1351–1358.
- Ogunlaran, O. M. and Oladejo, N. K. (2014). Approximate solution method for third-order multi-point boundary value problems. *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 34(2):1571–1580.
- Omar, Z., Abdullahi, Y. A., and Kuboye, J. O. (2016). Predictor-corrector block method of order seven for solving third order ordinary differential equations. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 10(5):223–235.
- Omar, Z. and Adeyeye, O. (2016). Solving two-point second order boundary value problems using two-step block method with starting and non-starting values. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 11(4):2407–2410.
- Omar, Z. and Suleiman, M. (1999). A new parallel 3-point explicit block method for solving second order ordinary differential equations directly. *Analisis*, 6(1&2):61–74.
- Osborne, M. R. (1969). On shooting methods for boundary value problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 27(2):417–433.
- Pandey, P. K. (2017). A numerical method for the solution of general third order boundary value problem in ordinary differential equations. *Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute*, 7(1):129–138.
- Phang, P. S. (2015). *Direct Methods via Multiple Shooting Technique for Solving Boundary Value Problems*. PhD thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.

- Phang, P. S., Majid, Z. A., Ismail, F., Othman, K. I., and Suleiman, M. (2013a). New algorithm of two-point block method for solving boundary value problem with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013:1–10.
- Phang, P. S., Majid, Z. A., and Suleiman, M. (2011). Solving nonlinear two point boundary value problem using two step direct method. *Journal of Quality Measurement and Analysis*, 7(1):129–140.
- Phang, P. S., Majid, Z. A., and Suleiman, M. (2012). On the solution of two point boundary value problems with two point direct method. In *International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series (International Conference Mathematical and Computational Biology 2011)*, volume 9, pages 566–573.
- Phang, P. S., Majid, Z. A., Suleiman, M., and Ismail, F. (2013b). Solving boundary value problems with Neumann conditions using direct method. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 20(Mathematical Applications in Engineering):129–133.
- Rach, R., Duan, J.-S., and Wazwaz, A.-M. (2016). Solution of higher-order, multipoint, nonlinear boundary value problems with high-order Robin-type boundary conditions by the Adomian decomposition method. *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, 10(4):1231–1242.
- Ramadan, M. A., Lashien, I. F., and Zahra, W. K. (2007). Polynomial and nonpolynomial spline approaches to the numerical solution of second order boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 184(2):476–484.
- Ramos, H. and Rufai, M. A. (2019). A third-derivative two-step block Falkner-type method for solving general second-order boundary-value systems. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 165:139–155.
- Roberts, C. E. (1979). *Ordinary Differential Equations: a Computational Approach*. Prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs.
- Roberts, S. M. and Shipman, J. S. (1967). Continuation in shooting methods for two-point boundary value problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 18(1):45–58.
- Rosser, J. B. (1967). A Runge-Kutta for all seasons. *Siam Review*, 9(3):417–452.
- Roul, P., Prasad Goura, V. M. K., and Agarwal, R. (2019). A compact finite difference method for a general class of nonlinear singular boundary value problems with Neumann and Robin boundary conditions. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 350:283–304.
- Sakai, M. (1984). A posteriori improvement of cubic spline approximate solution of two-point boundary value problem. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 20(1):137–149.

- Sakai, M. and Usmani, R. A. (1983). Quadratic spline and two-point boundary value problem. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 19(1):7–13.
- Sarma, G. V., Sebhatu, M., and Mebrahtu, A. (2017). A third order four point mixed boundary value problem. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Mathematics*, 6(4):25–38.
- Stanoyevitch, A. (2005). *Introduction to Numerical Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations using MATLAB*. Wiley-Interscience.
- Suleiman, M. (1989). Solving nonstiff higher order ODEs directly by the direct integration method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 33(3):197–219.
- Tatari, M. and Dehghan, M. (2006). The use of the Adomian decomposition method for solving multipoint boundary value problems. *Physica Scripta*, 73(6):672–676.
- Tatari, M. and Dehghan, M. (2012). An efficient method for solving multi-point boundary value problems and applications in physics. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 18(8):1116–1124.
- Tirmizi, I. A. and Twizell, E. H. (2002). Higher-order finite-difference methods for nonlinear second-order two-point boundary-value problems. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 15(7):897–902.
- Usmani, R. A. (1972). Integration of second order linear differential equation with mixed boundary conditions. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 3(1-4):389–397.
- Wadai, M. and Kılıçman, A. (2017). On the two-point boundary value problems using variational-fixed point iterative scheme. *Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 11:137–160.
- Waeleh, N. and Majid, Z. A. (2017). Numerical algorithm of block method for general second order ODEs using variable step size. *Sains Malaysiana*, 46(5):817–824.
- Waeleh, N., Majid, Z. A., Ismail, F., and Soon, L. L. (2011). A direct 4-point predictor-corrector block method for solving general third order ODEs. In *2011 Fourth International Conference on Modeling, Simulation and Applied Optimization*, pages 1–4.
- Wang, Y.-M., Wu, W.-J., and Agarwal, R. P. (2011). A fourth-order compact finite difference method for nonlinear higher-order multi-point boundary value problems. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 61(11):3226–3245.
- Watts, H. A. and Shampine, L. F. (1972). A-stable block implicit one-step methods. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 12(2):252–266.

Xie, L. J., Zhou, C. L., and Xu, S. (2016). A new algorithm based on differential transform method for solving multi-point boundary value problems. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 93(6):981–994.

Xie, W. and Pang, H. (2016). The shooting method and integral boundary value problems of third-order differential equation. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2016:1–10.

Zainuddin, N., Ibrahim, Z. B., Suleiman, M., Othman, K. I., and Rahim, Y. F. (2014). Solution of second order ordinary differential equations by direct diagonally implicit block methods. In *International Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Statistics 2013*, pages 111–117.

Zulkifli, A. S. A. A. (2014). *Solving Third-order Boundary Value Problem by Direct Methods*. Master's thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.