



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***DEVELOPMENT OF GAMES-BASED MOBILE LEARNING MODEL TO
TEACH ARITHMETIC AT AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN SAUDI ARABIA***

ALKHALDI, IBRAHIM ABDULRAHMAN I

FPP 2022 5



**DEVELOPMENT OF GAMES-BASED MOBILE LEARNING MODEL TO
TEACH ARITHMETIC AT AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN SAUDI ARABIA**

By

ALKHALDI, IBRAHIM ABDULRAHMAN I

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2021

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This humble work is dedicated to my respected parents, family, friends, and researchers.



© COPYRIGHT UPM

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF GAMES-BASED MOBILE LEARNING MODEL TO TEACH ARITHMETIC AT AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN SAUDI ARABIA

By

ALKHALDI, IBRAHIM ABDULRAHMAN I

April 2021

Chairman : Othman Talib, PhD
Faculty : Educational Studies

Mathematics teaching and learning is an essential component of the modern educational system. Despite its immense significance, Saudi primary and secondary schools' performance in mathematics has been notably underwhelming. The aim of this study therefore was to design and develop a teaching model that is based on activities for the teaching of arithmetic at the elementary school level. The study was based on Design and Development Research (DDR) proposed by Richey and Klein (2007, 2013) which consists of three phases. Under the Phase 1, needs analysis was conducted through qualitative semi-structured interviews with 16 elementary mathematics teachers particularly teaching under the Saudi system of education. The data collected for the three phases were analysed through thematic analysis and fuzzy Delphi methods. The fuzzy Delphi involved the calculation of the threshold value, 'd' in order to establish experts' consensus on all questionnaire items. In addition, defuzzification values were also generated in order to establish experts' agreement. In accordance with the three phases, the findings of Phase 1 indicated that the elementary mathematics teachers opined that there are problems with the current methods employed in teaching arithmetic at the elementary school level and that employing technology in the form games-based mobile learning will solve issues and problems currently faced in teaching arithmetic at the elementary school level. Phase 2 findings were based on what was gathered from the experts during the NGT sessions that led to the finalization, prioritization and the ranking of the teaching activities. The findings also led to the classification of the activities into four domains, namely introductory domain, contents domain, technology domain, and evaluation domain. As for the findings of FDM Phase 2, the experts reached an overall consensual agreement ($d = 93.5$) regarding their views on the selected teaching activities (Amax12.867), experts' views on the classification of the teaching activities (Amax13.200), experts' views on the teaching activities under the introductory domain (Amax12.733), experts' views on activities classified as contents domain (Amax13.133), experts' views on the activities classified as technology domain (Amax 12.733), experts' views on the activities classified as evaluation domain (Amax 13.133). Similarly, the experts who participated at the evaluation phase also consensually reached an agreement

among them ($d = 93.4$). The findings showed that the defuzzification value obtained exceeded the minimum value of 10.5 with the experts' agreement on the domain classification of games-based mobile learning of the obtaining the average defuzzification value ($A_{max}13.100$) more than the minimum value of 10.5. The findings of the second aspect of the evaluation questionnaire indicated that the average defuzzification value obtained by the items was ($A_{max}13.126$) also more than the minimum value of 10.5. Findings of the study have some far-reaching implications which include the use of both formal classroom teaching and informal learning to help students achieve their learning objectives. In addition, by combining the all the theories adopted and methodological choices made by this study, the findings also have some theoretical and methodological implications including combining all different methodological choices in achieving the study's objectives.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENGEMBANGAN MODEL *GAMES-BASED MOBILE LEARNING (GBML)*
UNTUK MENGAJAR ARITHMETIK DI SEKOLAH RENDAH DI KERAJAAN
ARAB SAUDI**

Oleh

ALKHALDI, IBRAHIM ABDULRAHMAN I

April 2021

Pengerusi : Othman Talib, PhD
Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Pengajaran dan pembelajaran Matematik merupakan komponen penting dalam sistem pendidikan moden. Sungguhpun sangat penting, prestasi sekolah rendah dan menengah Arab Saudi dalam matematik sangat kurang memuaskan. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk merencana dan mengembangkan model pengajaran berdasarkan aktiviti pengajaran aritmetik di peringkat sekolah rendah. Kajian ini berdasarkan Penyelidikan Reka Bentuk dan Pembangunan (DDR) yang dikemukakan oleh Richey dan Klein (2007, 2013) yang terdiri daripada tiga fasa. Di bawah Fasa 1, analisis keperluan dilakukan melalui wawancara separa berstruktur kualitatif dengan 16 orang guru matematik sekolah rendah khususnya yang mengajar di bawah sistem pendidikan Arab Saudi. Data yang dikumpulkan daripada temu ramah tersebut dianalisis melalui analisis tematik dan kaedah Fuzzy Delphi (FDM). Kaedah Fuzzy Delphi melibatkan mengira nilai ambang, 'd' untuk mendapatkan kata sepakat pakar mengenai semua bahan soal selidik. Di samping itu, nilai yang defuzzifikasi juga dihasilkan untuk mendapatkan kesepakatan pakar. -Berdasarkan tiga fasa tersebut, penemuan Fasa 1 menunjukkan guru matematik sekolah rendah berpendapat bahawa terdapat beberapa masalah dengan kaedah semasa yang digunakan dalam mengajar aritmetik di peringkat sekolah rendah dan penggunaan teknologi dalam bentuk pembelajaran mudah alih yang menyeronokkan dapat menyelesaikan isu dan masalah yang dihadapi semasa mengajar aritmetik di peringkat sekolah rendah. Penemuan Fasa 2 adalah berdasarkan dapatan yang dikumpulkan daripada pakar-pakar selama sesi NGT yang mengarah kepada penyelesaian, pengutamaan, dan peringkat aktiviti pengajaran. Penemuan ini juga menyebabkan pengelasan aktiviti menjadi empat domain, iaitu domain pengantar, domain isi, domain teknologi, dan domain penilaian. Berkenaan dengan penemuan FDM Fasa 2, para pakar mencapai kesepakatan secara keseluruhan ($d = 93.5$) merujuk kepada pandangan mereka mengenai aktiviti pengajaran yang dipilih (Amax12.867), pandangan pakar mengenai klasifikasi aktiviti pengajaran (Amax13.200), pandangan pakar mengenai aktiviti pengajaran di bawah domain pengenalan (Amax12.733), pandangan pakar mengenai aktiviti yang dikelaskan sebagai domain kandungan (Amax13.133), pandangan pakar

mengenai aktiviti yang dikelaskan sebagai domain teknologi (Amax 12.733), pandangan pakar mengenai aktiviti yang dikelaskan sebagai domain penilaian (Amax 13.133). Begitu juga pakar yang mengambil bahagian dalam fasa penilaian juga secara konsisten mencapai persetujuan sesama mereka ($d = 93.4$). Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa nilai defuzzifikasi yang diperoleh melebihi nilai minimum 10.5 dengan persetujuan pakar mengenai pengkelasan domain model pembelajaran mudah alih yang menyeronokkan dengan memperoleh purata nilai defuzzifikasi (Amax13.100) melebihi nilai minimum 10.5. Penemuan aspek kedua daripada penilaian soal selidik menunjukkan bahawa purata nilai defuzzifikasi yang diperoleh daripada bahan (Amax13.126) juga lebih tinggi daripada nilai minimum 10.5. Hasil kajian mempunyai beberapa implikasi yang luas merangkumi penggunaan kedua-dua pengajaran kelas formal dan pembelajaran tidak formal untuk membantu pelajar mencapai objektif pembelajaran mereka. Tambahan pula, dengan menggabungkan kesemua teori yang diterima pakai dan pilihan metodologi yang dibuat oleh kajian ini, penemuan ini juga mempunyai beberapa implikasi secara teori dan metodologi termasuk menggabungkan semua pilihan metodologi yang berbeza untuk mencapai objektif kajian.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful”

First, I should thank Allah the Almighty for giving me blessings as well as the health and strength to finalise this thesis.

I would not have been able to carry out this research project had it not been for the generous help I received from a number of kind people. I would, therefore, like to express my gratitude to the following people:

I am particularly grateful to my lovely parents, brothers and sisters. Without their prayers and support, I would not have reached this stage in my life. Thank you for giving me constant support, encouragement, advice, wisdom and most importantly love.

I express my sincere thanks to my dissertation supervisor Dr. Othman Talib. He gave me valuable support, time, guidance, patience and great expertise. He showed me the ropes and brought me out on the other side with a good understanding of what quality and quantity research is. Had it not been for his invaluable support and encouragement, I would not have been able to complete this study. He was always ready at any time with kind words and wisdom to calm situations, I am grateful to you. Thank you.

I would like to extend my thanks to my dissertation committee members Dr. Othman Talib, Assoc. Prof. Habibah Binti Ab Jalil and Dr. Nurzatulshima BT Kamarudin for their constructive comments and invaluable feedback throughout the whole project. Their comments and suggestions provided me with good ideas and helped me to improve my work; this contribution has resulted in the good quality of the thesis. You helped me so much and your thoughts were always well thought out and to the point. I truly appreciate everything you did for me over the years. Both of you I say thank you very much. I am also very grateful to my best friend, Abdulmalik Sabitu and Abubakar A. Usman, who helped me in numerous ways during various stages of my PhD.

I would like to thank my lovely wife, sons and daughters, Dear HEND, Abdulrahman (son), Lattifa and Aljazi (daughters). Without my wife's support and encouragement, I could not have finished this work. It was you who kept alive the fundamental so four family, and I understand it was difficult for you taking care of the family while I was busy. Therefore, I want to say thanks for everything and may Allah give you the best in return.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge everyone who has assisted me throughout my doctoral studies over the years.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Othman Bin Talib, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Habibah Binti Ab Jalil, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Nurzatulshima Binti Kamarudin, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 February 2022

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Othman Bin Talib

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Habibah Binti Ab Jalil

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Nurzatulshima Binti Kamarudin

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	2
1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
1.4 Research Questions	6
1.5 Significance of the Study	7
1.6 Scope and Limitation of the Study	8
1.7 Definition of Key Terms	9
1.7.1 Game-Based Learning/Fun Learning	9
1.7.2 Mobile Learning	9
1.7.3 Elementary Mathematics	10
1.8 Organisation of the Study	10
1.9 Summary	11
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Educational Technology	12
2.2.1 Educational Technology in the Saudi Educational System	14
2.2.2 Mobile Learning	15
2.2.3 Mobile Learning in Saudi Arabia	16
2.2.4 The Use of Mobile Learning for Teaching Mathematics	17
2.2.5 Mobile Learning and Mathematics Teaching/Learning in Saudi Arabia	18
2.3 Fun Learning	19
2.4 STEM Education	22
2.4.1 Empirical Research on STEM	23

2.4.2	Teaching Mathematic at the Elementary Level and the Challenges of Incorporating Stem Education	25
2.5	Teaching Mathematics at the Elementary Level in Saudi Schools	27
2.6	Theoretical Framework	31
2.6.1	Learning Theories	32
2.6.1.1	Constructivist Learning Theory	33
2.6.1.2	Game-based learning Theory	36
2.6.2	Mobile Learning Theories	38
2.6.2.1	Transactional Distance Theory	38
2.6.2.2	SAMR Model	41
2.6.2.3	Park's Pedagogical Framework for Mobile Learning	42
2.7	Conceptual Framework	46
2.8	Summary	50
3	METHODOLOGY	51
3.1	Introduction	51
3.2	Research Design	51
3.3	Phase 1: Needs Analysis	52
3.3.1	Participants of the Need Analysis Phase	53
3.3.1.1	Human Research Ethical Consideration	55
3.3.2	Instrument of the Study	55
3.3.3	Procedures for Need Analysis	56
3.3.4	Data Analysis	58
3.4	Phase 2: Development of Game-Based Learning Model for the Teaching of Arithmetic at the Elementary School Level	59
3.4.1	Purpose	59
3.4.2	Fuzzy Delphi Method	60
3.4.2.1	Fuzzy Theory	62
3.4.3	Participants of the Fuzzy Delphi	63
3.4.4	Fuzzy Delphi Research Instrument	65
3.4.5	Fuzzy Delphi Procedure of Phase 2	65
3.4.6	Data Analysis	69
3.5	Phase 3: The Evaluation of Game-Based Learning model for the teaching of mathematics at elementary school level	69
3.5.1	Participants of Phase 3 Fuzzy Delphi	69
3.5.2	Instrument of Phase 3	71
3.5.3	Fuzzy Delphi Procedure of Phase 3	71
3.5.4	Data Analysis	71
3.6	Summary	71

4	RESULTS ANA FINDINGS	73
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Findings of Need Analysis Phase	73
4.2.1	Profile of the Participants	73
4.2.2	Arithmetic Teachers' Perceptions about the Current Methods	74
4.2.3	Issues Faced by Pupils	76
4.2.4	Current Methods of Teaching/Learning Arithmetic	99
4.2.5	Teachers' Willingness to Use Game-Based Learning Model for the Teaching of Arithmetic at the Elementary School Level	110
4.3	Discussion of Phase I (The Need Analysis Phase)	124
4.3.1	Summary of Phase I	126
4.4	Findings of the Phase II: Design and Development Phase	127
4.4.1	Designing the Model	127
4.4.2	Findings of Modified Nominal Group Technique	127
4.4.3	Development of the Model	143
4.4.4	Classification of Teaching Activities into Domains	145
4.4.5	Phase II Results of Fuzzy Delphi	146
4.4.6	Conclusion of Phase II	151
4.5	Discussion of Phase II (Development Phase)	152
4.6	Phase III Findings: Model Evaluation	154
4.6.1	Experts' Background Details	154
4.6.2	Evaluation of game-based learning Model for the Teaching of Arithmetic at the Elementary School Level	155
4.6.3	Experts' Views on the Classification of the Teaching Activities into Domains	156
4.6.4	Views on the Overall Usability and Suitability of the Model	158
4.6.5	Conclusion	161
4.7	Discussion of Phase III Findings (Evaluation Phase)	161
5	CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	164
5.1	Introduction	164
5.2	Summary of the Study	164
5.3	Implications of the Study	165
5.3.1	Practical Implications	166
5.3.2	Theoretical Implications	167
5.3.3	Methodology Implications	169
5.4	Recommendations	169

REFERENCES	171
APPENDICES	195
BIODATA OF STUDENT	219
LIST OF PUBLICATION	220



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Difference between Fun Learning Version Conventional Learning	20
2.2	Differences Between Gamification, GBL, and Educational Game	21
2.3	Mobile Learning Activities in the Context of Distance Learning Education	43
2.4	Summary of Pedagogical Framework of Mobile Learning Activities	45
3.1	Participants Profile	54
3.2	Profile of Need Analysis Participants	57
3.3	Profile of Phase 2 Fuzzy Delphi Participants	63
3.4	Sample of Linguistic Scale	66
3.5	Seven Point Linguistic Scale	67
3.6	Profile of Phase 3 Experts	70
5.1	NGT Findings: Ranking and Prioritization of Learning Activities	129
5.2	Respondents' Background for Phase 2 Fuzzy Delphi	147
5.3	Threshold Value 'd'	148
5.4	Experts Views on the Teaching Activities	149
5.5	Experts' Views on the Classification of the Teaching Activities Included in the Model	150
5.6	Experts' Views on the Teaching Activities under the Introductory Domain Classification	150
5.7	Experts' Views on the Teaching Activities Classified Under Contents Domain	150
5.8	Experts Views on the Teaching Activities Classified under Technology Domain	151
5.9	Experts' Views on the Teaching Activities Classified Under Evaluation Domain	151
5.10	Defuzzification and Average Fuzzy Numbers of all the Questionnaire Items (Phase 2)	152
6.1	Background Details of Experts for the Evaluation Phase	154
6.2	Threshold Value 'd' of the Evaluation Survey Questionnaire Items	155
6.3	Experts Views on the Grouping of Game-based learning Model for the Teaching of Arithmetic	157
6.4	Experts' Agreement on the List of Activities Grouped Under Introductory Activities	157

6.5	Experts' Agreement on the List of Activities Grouped Under Content Domain	157
6.6	Experts' Agreement on the Teaching Activities Grouped Under Technology Domain	158
6.7	Experts' Agreement on the Teaching Activities Grouped Under the Evaluation Domain	158
6.8	Experts' Agreement on the Model Being a Clear Guide on Using a Mobile Learning Application for the Teaching of Arithmetic at the Elementary School Level	159
6.9	The Practicality of the Model to be Used in Developing a Model of Curriculum Implementation	159
6.10	Clarity of the Model in Incorporating Formal Classroom Activities with Informal Gaming Activities	160
6.11	Usage of the Model as a Guide for Planning of Subject Unit Lessons by Elementary School Mathematics Teachers	160
6.12	Possibility of Using the Model for as an Example on How to Develop Other Curriculum Models for the Teaching of Other Subjects	160
6.13	Defuzzification and Average Fuzzy Numbers of all the Questionnaire Items (Phase 3)	161

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Zone of Proximal Development AS	34
2.2	Types of Transactional Distance	40
2.3	The SAMR Model	41
2.4	Four Types of Mobile Learning.	43
2.5	Conceptual Framework of the Study	49
3.1	Triangular Fuzzy Number	66
3.2	Defuzzification Experts' Agreement Value	68
4.1	Thematic Mapping of Arithmetic Teachers' Perceptions about the Current Methods	75
4.2	System and Structure Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage	76
4.3	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage for Learning Environment	81
4.4	Teacher-Related Problems Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage	85
4.5	Parents-Based Issues Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage	89
4.6	Student-Based Problems Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage	90
4.7	Thematic Mapping of Pupils' Feeling about the Current Methods	94
4.8	Positive Feelings about the Current Methods Percentage of Coverage and Number of Occurrence	94
4.9	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage of Difference between Student to Student	97
4.10	Current Methods of Teaching Arithmetic Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage	100
4.11	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage for the Description and Views on the Current Strategies of Teaching Arithmetic	102
4.12	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage for the Current Ways of Teaching and Learning Arithmetic	105
4.13	Thematic Mapping of Teachers' Willingness to Use Game-based learning Model for the Teaching of Arithmetic at the Elementary School Level	111
4.14	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage for Teachers' Views on the Use of Technology	112

4.15	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage for the Views on the Need for Technology to Support the Current Methods	114
4.16	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage for Teachers' Views on the Use of Game-based learning Model	116
4.17	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage	120
4.18	Number of Occurrence and Percentage of Coverage of Contradictory Views	123
5.1	Game-based learning model for Teaching Arithmetic at the Elementary School Level	144



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AECT	Association for Educational communications and Technology CD
DDR	Design and Development Research
GAME-BASED LEARNING	Game-based learning
FDM	Fuzzy Delphi Method
GBL	Game-based learning
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
ICT	Information Communication Technology
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
MESA	Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia
MKO	More Knowledgeable Other
NAECTE	National Association of Early Childhood Teacher Educators NCTM
NGT	Nominal Group Technique
P	Participant
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
ROM	Read Only Memory
SAMR	Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, & Replacement SR
STEM	Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics TIMSS
ZPD	Zone of Proximal Development

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The recent trend in education has been filled with the incorporation education and technology which is not surprising given the fact that technology is ever moving itself to the center of human activities and interaction. In recent years, the advent of new technologies in communication, media and computing have researchers, policy makers, and educators thinking about how to employ them in enhancing educational outcome (Amiel & Reeves, 2008; Spencer, 2017). Numerous forms of technology (such as Edison's film projector, and Berners-Lee's World Wide Web) were quickly studied and adopted in educational processes (Domingo & Garganté, 2016). Currently, there are various modes of educational technology ranging from distance learning, e-learning, mobile learning, and mobile game-based learning. The advent of the Internet, particularly web 2.0, has been a profound revolution in terms of instruction, teaching and learning (Amiel & Reeves, 2008; Shatunova et al., 2019). This is because the Internet enables a virtual two-way communication among learners and between learners and instructors and so on.

Currently, most of basic subject of education can be taught and learned through variety of technological tools. The subject of mathematic is considered one of the most important subjects in the modern educational system. Mathematics teaches human how to count without which the life of human will be inexplicable. Without mathematics it will be impossible to count even in relation to the most mundane things such as knowing the number of members of a family, number of pupils in a class, or the little amount of money we keep in our wallets. Thus, at a very basic level, human must be able to do the basic of arithmetic of adding, subtracting, multiplying and dividing. Studies have shown the necessity of mathematics skills right from the early age as it is linked with problem solving, critical thinking ability, teaches the skill of the everyday data interpretation and manipulation in addition to numerous other benefits that are often linked to it (Clemson & Clemson, 2006; Solórzano et al., 2018).

The realization of this salient significance of mathematics makes it one of the compulsory subjects at the elementary level in the modern system of education throughout the world (Solórzano et al., 2018). Mathematics has become the foundation of various fields of knowledge that include physics, engineering, economics, business and medical sciences. Mathematics has been recognized as the foundation of science, technology and intellectual development and is also an index of civilization evolution (McDonald, 2016). The high demand of mathematics knowledge for effective implementation of other science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) disciplines right from the elementary level is owing to the nature and properties of mathematics as a subject. A good understanding of mathematics at elementary level can

offer both thinking and computational skills that can be of great significance in the understanding of other subject at higher level (Aunio et al., 2016).

The increasing relevance of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education in recent years could be perceived as the reason for the challenge to have an alternative innovation and change in mathematics instruction especially in elementary classrooms (Guzey et al., 2017; Moore et al., 2014). This presents challenges for mathematics educators who are expected to contribute to the foundations of integrating educational technology for the implementation of STEM education to produce a STEM literate community. According to Stohlmann et al. (2012), STEM education is vital for the future success of students. For this success to be realized, there is need for the effective teaching of the STEM subjects using the most advanced technologies (Nersesian et al., 2019).

The continuous evolution of educational technology in mathematics education is an issue that has been discussed and is still generating more discussion from various stakeholders (Drijvers, 2015; Viberg, Grönlund & Andersson, 2020). The National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) has made its position clear on the immense potential of educational technology in mathematicS education stating that “Technology is an essential tool for learning mathematics in the 21st century, and all schools must ensure that all their students have access to technology” (NCTM, 2000). However, as empirical studies show that even in advanced nations that are identified as leaders in mathematics and mathematics education (such as Sweden), mathematics teachers use digital technology less than their counterpart in other subjects (Viberg, Grönlund & Andersson, 2020). If anything, this reveals the need for more research in the area of educational technology mathematics education. According to Stohlmann et al. (2012), STEM education is vital for the future success of students. For this success to be realized, there is need for the effective teaching of the STEM subjects using the most advanced technologies (Nersesian et al., 2019).

1.2 Statement of the Problem

For decades, improvement in educational technology has been identified by the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia as the most important area that the country needs in order to catch up with the most advanced countries in education (Alqarni, 2015). Emphasis was put on educational technology in the Kingdom’s national plans (1975-1980), (1980- 1985), (1985-1990), as was made clear by the former Deputy Minister of the Ministry of Education, Abdel-Wassie, in his book (Alqarni, 2015). Now more than ever before, with the boom of information system and the rise of new generations of learners who are keener and more technology savvy, the Kingdom has placed more earnest emphasis on more inclusion of technology in the country’s system of education (Al-Emran, Mezhyuev & Kamaludin, 2018).

However, in spite of the Kingdom’s seemingly early identification of the significance of educational technology in ensuring that its citizens enjoy the best education products any

country can offer, there has not been notable improvements (especially at the lower education level in mathematics and science related subjects) particularly when compared with more advanced countries (Alghamdi, 2018; Abouelnaga et al., 2019). According to the recent report issued by the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), Saudi Arabia spends a greater share of its gross domestic product (GDP) on education more than most wealthy countries. Yet, analysis of the trend in performance of pupils between 2011- 2015 of the 41 countries listed Saudi Arabia along four other countries like Finland, Germany, Kuwait and Netherlands among countries with lower average achievement in mathematics and science for pupils in grade 4 and 8 (Alghamdi, 2018; Mullis et.al., 2015). Moreover, the report also showed that, the percentage of Saudi pupils with low achievement score exceeds 15 percent and 25 percent for 4th and 8th grades respectively. This indicated that only 50 percent of Saudi pupils (13-year-old) reached the lowest benchmark compared to 99 percent in South Korea and 88 percent in England.

However, a few studies (Alzahrani, 2017; Mansour Alabdulaziz & Higgins, 2017) showed that the performance of pupils in mathematics is consistently poor and discouraging especially at elementary level. Recent studies by Alshehri (2012) Alyahya and AlOtaibi (2019) attributed the problem to the abstract nature of the subjects that made it difficult to be learned by the pupils. Similarly, Alsuwidan (2018) argued that the main reasons for the weak competence and low performance among the pupils in elementary schools in mathematics is because mathematics is introduced, represented and illustrated to the pupils in elementary school with conventional methods which make the pupils less sensitive and less attentive. Furthermore, the traditional mathematics instruction has been based on certain procedures, doing exactly what mathematic teachers want their pupils to do i.e., memorizing logarithms as well as finding the correct answers (Yelland, 2015).

It is in view of the above that the Saudi Ministry of Education (MESA), like other countries across the world, started to encourage the integration of information technology in education through design and development of mobile-learning applications to provide interactive learning experiences for the elementary school pupils. The aim is to make some critical subjects like mathematics, basic science and technology and foreign languages more attractive and understandable for the pupils (Al-Fahad, 2009). The Ministry has recently made an unprecedented commitment towards STEM education with the view of using technology to achieve the target goals (Aldahmash, Alamri & Aljallal, 2019).

Educational mobile applications are regarded as the model of e-learning (Squire & Dikkers, 2012; Ellis, Stam, & Lizardi, 2019). The mobile-learning applications are also viewed as fulfilling particular psychological devices for the pupils, especially at the elementary school stage (Chan & Kong, 2011). Mobile-learning applications are also found as a potential assistance for the elementary pupils as well as a way of motivating and simulating pupils to understand. More importantly, they embody experiences and problem solving skills especially for mathematics and languages acquisitions (Alharbi & Drew, 2014). However, as argued in the previous paragraphs, students' performance continues to suffer despite the use of e-learning and mobile learning as solutions.

Therefore, the solution to the dwindling students' performance particularly in mathematics lies in employing mobile technologies to support the existing conventional classroom teaching and learning (Alzahrani, 2017). The use of mobile devices have attracted the attentions of researchers around the world as they are perceived as integrated devices within the learning and teaching methods and processes (Jusoh, Salam, & Sayuti, 2012).

Another form of learning that can improve pupils' performance in subjects like mathematics is game-based learning. It is a type of learning that is carried out through the use of games that have some educational value or perhaps using various forms of software application for the educational purposes of learning effectiveness (Huang, Chang & Wu, 2017). While game-based learning has been around for sometimes now, it is just recently that scholars have begun coupling mobile learning and game-based learning together. This was described by Park (2011) as the next generation form of mobile learning that will come into full effect once both instructors and learners realize the significance of technology usage in the process of teaching and learning. The problem is while mobile learning is likely to help in solving the existing problems in relation to mathematics teaching and learning, however, when dealing with children the element of game is needed in order to help them achieve their learning objectives (Prensky, 2001). It is linked with the quality of improving students' problem solving ability and critical thinking because of its active engagement of the brain in a way that prompt problems and require a swift solution (Pivec et al., 2003; Coştu et al., 2009).

The use of mobile game-based learning for the teaching of arithmetic is likely to solve the many problems encountered using conventional methods of teaching. Researchers like (Chang et al., 2012; Naik, 2014) reported the use of games in teaching can attract the pupil's attention in the learning of mathematics. He added that digital game-based learning can provide pupils with a more interesting environment to learn. This shows that games are really loved by the pupils and also make learning more interesting. Researchers (Ke, 2008a; 2008b) have demonstrated that games have the potential for creating learning environments toward the improved attainment of educational and training goals. Similarly, scholars have established that game-based learning is excellent at attracting learners' attention, heightening concentration and making learning experience joyful and fun, as well as achieving learning objectives effectively (Cheng & Su, 2012; Serrano, 2019). Game-based learning was found to have effect on students' attitudes toward mathematics in a positive manner. In addition, students also showed positive attitude towards the use of game-based learning in mathematics classes (Coştu et al., 2009). Furthermore, it was also found by a few studies to have impact on the achievement of learning goals; the motivation for learning mathematics (Divjak & Tomic, 2011); improve memory, attention and executive control; cognitive skills; mental rotation skills (Drigas & Pappas, 2015); higher learning gains compared to traditional classroom instructional methods (Tokac et al., 2019). However, the problem is the use of conventional classroom-based learning which does not take advantage of the availability and centrality of mobile devices to the current generations of learners. In this study, comprehensive sets of models have been integrated along with a detailed explanation of each model. The proposed mobile application will comprise of game-based learning (GBL), multimedia learning theory and cognitive development theory. Each of these models and theories adopted is helpful but not sufficient in providing a

well-guiding framework for the development of the model. It is by combining these models and theories that a more coherent and holistic framework can be established in developing the implementation model. This is therefore theoretical gap that this study aims to fill and contribute to the extant literature.

Thus far, there has not been studies on the implementation model for the integration of mobile and game-learning together for the teaching and learning of mathematics particularly in the context of Saudi Arabia. This is so despite the immense significance attached to mathematics education by the Saudi government and its explicit desire and commitment to ensure that Saudi students excel and can compete with the best countries in terms of mathematics education and skills. However, since this may not be sufficient a justification for the development of the implementation model for the integration of mobile and game-based learning to the traditional classroom-based teaching and learning, this study is set to establish the need of the model first by interviewing Saudi elementary schools' mathematics teachers. Only after establishing the teachers' perception the need for the model, the study embarks on the design and development of the actual model. This study therefore intends to develop a game-based learning model for the teaching of arithmetic at the Saudi elementary school level.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

1. To explore mathematic teachers' perceptions on the need to develop game-based learning model for the teaching of elementary mathematics of the Saudi Schools. This objective consists the following specific objectives:
 - i. To explore mathematics teachers' perceptions on the conventional methods used for the teaching of elementary at the Saudi Schools.
 - ii. To determine mathematics teachers' (readiness) willingness to use game-based learning model for teaching mathematics at the Saudi elementary level.

These objectives are expected to offer justification for the need to development game-based learning application for the teaching of arithmetic at the Saudi elementary schools. This is inline with what was indicated above under the problem statement on the lack of previous studies on a model that integrate mobile and game-based learning.

2. To develop game-based learning model for the teaching of mathematics at the elementary level of Saudi Schools based on experts' views and decisions. This objective consists the following specific objectives:
 - i. To use experts' collective opinions on the teaching activities that should be incorporated into the development of the game-based learning model.
 - ii. To use experts' collective opinions on the classification of the teaching activities included in the Game-based learning model for the teaching of arithmetic.

The aim of these objectives is to address the problem of monotony, boredom, and poor performance in mathematics as highlighted above under the problem statement. Development of the model should help in improving students' performance and reducing the boredom and monotony often associated with mathematics learning.

3. To evaluate the game-based learning model for teaching mathematics at the elementary level of Saudi Schools based on experts' views and decisions. This objective consists the following objectives:
 - i. To seek experts' agreement on the suitability of the game-based learning model activities proposed at the development stage for the teaching of mathematics at Saudi elementary schools.
 - ii. To seek experts' agreement on the type of the game-based learning model activities based on the four domains (Introductory domain, Contents domain, Technology domain, and Evaluation domain) proposed in the game-based learning model for the teaching of mathematics at the elementary school.

1.4 Research Questions

On the basis of the problem statement and the research objectives, this study raised a number of questions according to the three phases earlier stated which is based on design and development research approach, as to be extensively explained later in the research methodology chapter. The following are the questions raised with regard to phase 1 which is ascertaining the needs for the development of a game-based learning model for the teaching of mathematics at Saudi elementary schools:

RQ 1.1 What are mathematics teachers' perceptions on the current methods used for teaching elementary pupils in Saudi Schools?

RQ 1.2 What is mathematics teachers' level of readiness to use game-based learning model for teaching mathematics at the Saudi elementary schools?

The following are the questions raised with regard to phase 2 which is developing the game-based learning model for the teaching of mathematics:

RQ2.1 What are the experts' collective opinions on the teaching activities that should be incorporated into the development of the game-based learning model?

RQ2.2 How should the learning activities be classified in the interpretation of the game-based learning model based on the experts' collective opinions?

For the final phase, phase 3, that is evaluating the game-based learning model of teaching mathematics at the elementary level based on the experts' opinions. The following questions have been raised:

RQ3.1 What is the experts' agreement on the suitability and usability of the mobile learning teaching activities proposed in the game-based learning model for the teaching of arithmetic at the elementary level?

RQ3.2 What is the experts' agreement on the classification of the game-based learning model teaching activities based on the four domains (introductory domain activities, contents domain activities, technology-based domain activities and evaluation domain activities) proposed in the game-based learning model of teaching mathematics at the elementary level?

1.5 Significance of the Study

The salient role of mathematics knowledge socially and intellectually justifies the need for more effective and up-to-date teaching approaches that will make learning of mathematics appealing to pupils starting from the elementary level. This study sheds more light on educational technology, thereby contributing to practical, theory, and body of knowledge. As indicated earlier under the problem statement, the Saudi government has identified the need for the increase use of educational technology in its educational system particularly in relation to teaching mathematics and other science-based subjects (Al-Emran, Mezhuvev & Kamaludin, 2018; Alqarni, 2015). Therefore, findings of this study are expected to have some significant implications for pupils, teachers and curriculum designers in education technology as well as mathematics education. In addition, findings of this study are expected to have a great significant to explore the role of mobile and game-based learning through the development of the game-based learning model to enhance student performance in elementary mathematics.

Similarly, with the poor students' performance in mathematics, as highlighted by some studies (Alzahrani, 2017; Mansour Alabdulaziz & Higgins, 2017), which has been attributed to the abstract nature of mathematics subject (Alsuwaidan, 2018; AlOtaibi, 2019), the model set to be developed by this research is expected to improve students' performance in mathematics. The findings are also expected to minimize the abstract nature of mathematics using audio-visual elements of video and computer games.

The model is expected to work as a guide for the design of a mobile application in the form of game to support teachers and pupils in teaching and learning, leveraging on the ownership of mobile devices due to their ubiquitous nature and affordability for most people. The findings of this study are, therefore, expected to provide an alternative way of looking at pupils' performance through a mobile game-based learning with the hope that curriculum designers will include fun driven mobile learning as one of the keys to improving pupils' performance of pupils in the future in designing curriculum contents especially for elementary mathematics concepts. However, the aim of the game-based learning model is not to suggest a substitution to the formal classroom learning. Rather, the aim is to support and augment the formal classroom learning by introducing an element to the teaching of arithmetic that will galvanize pupils' interest and consequently result in the improvement of their performance and the love for learning mathematics. Saudi students' performance has been getting worse despite Saudi authorities' heavy

investment on mathematic education along other STEMS subjects (Alqarni, 2015; Al-Emran et al., 2018). However, no significant progress has been so far recorded and students continue to have poor achievement in mathematics education. Extant literature has reported the positive effect mobile and game-based have in making mathematic learning fun, motivate students and improve their, memory, retention and understanding, performance and achievement in mathematics (Divjak & Tomic, 2011; Drigas & Pappas, 2015; Tokac et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the findings of this study will contribute to the benefits of the Saudi society considering that mathematics plays an important role in science and technologies today. The findings are likely to show how administrators will be guided toward the incorporation of technology in the school curriculum to improve pupil's performance in mathematics. For the researchers, the study will help them uncover critical areas in the educational process that many researchers were not able to explore. Thus, a new theory on learning mathematics may be arrived at. Likewise, at the state level, the Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia is also likely to find findings of this study beneficial and use it in revolutionizing the curriculum and hence the teaching and learning of mathematics in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. This may also go to the great length of helping administrators of education in other countries particularly the developing countries like the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to adopt similar reform providing that they show positive outcome with regard to teaching and learning mathematics in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

This study is also expected to contribute theoretically to the extant literature. Theories and models of mobile learning, game-based learning and mathematics learning are all disparate and individually insufficient in providing a framework for this type of study. By combining and integrating them in the way this study looks to do, however, a new theoretical or conceptual framework has been developed which can be used by similar future studies. This study, therefore has a theoretical significance to the extant literature.

1.6 Scope and Limitation of the Study

The model for the games-based mobile learning was developed using technology related activities for teaching elementary school mathematics only. This study is limited to the development of model for the teaching basic arithmetic concept such as Addition, subtraction, division and multiplication to the elementary level pupils. It is not within the scope of this research to develop the application itself. Doing so is left to the future studies that might be interested in the topic to use this study as a guide for the development of the mobile application itself. In addition, this study limited to the elementary stage arithmetic. Its findings may not applicable to the other stages of education such as the secondary and tertiary levels. The findings may not also be suitably applicable to the contexts of other subjects teaching and learning. In a nutshell, the findings of this study are not meant to be generalized to all contexts of the mathematics teaching and learning, other stages of education and/or the teaching and learning of other school's subjects. Although the findings of this study are specific to the context of this

research and may not be applicable in all contexts, they can be implied or replicated in other contexts where they may be fit.

1.7 Definition of Key Terms

This section defines some of the key terms as they are used in the context of this study. They are as follows:

1.7.1 Game-Based Learning/Fun Learning

The term fun learning or fun-based learning are two words of fun and learning married up together. Fun is defined as a social emotional interactional process through which a person deconstructs social-biographical inequalities in order to create a social-human bond with equal other (Podilchak, 1991). The term fun learning is used to refer to an approach to education that focuses on nurturing the passion and initiate the feeling of joy while engaging in learning (Lucardie, 2014). The term also refers to the opposite of conventional learning approach that are usually described as being monotonous and boring. Thus, fun learning is employed in this study to mean learning through games and plays and other means considered means of fun and recreation. In the context of this study, fun learning is used to refer to a casual approach to learning where pupils engage in learning process using digital game plays designed specifically to teach the pupils arithmetic. For example, this can be achieved by designing a mathematics gaming application which is structured according to the Saudi elementary school mathematics syllabus.

1.7.2 Mobile Learning

Mobile learning can be simply defined to mean a type of learning that is carried out with the aid of mobile devices or some forms of mobile computing intersection (i.e. a small application, portable and wireless computing or communication devices) (Quinn, 2012). The term mobile learning is also used to mean the ability to get or offer educational contents on devices such as PDAs, smartphones, and mobile phones. According to Lehner, Nosekabel and Lehmann (2003), there are three layers of mobile learning. The first of the layers is components of mobile education that include students, teaching staff, administration and education system. The second layer is the application layer that enables communication among learners, teachers and the learning content. The layer is the database which stores the main resources of mobile learning. They added that mobile learning facilitates learning in four different ways:

1. It connects students with lecturers, notes, or learning materials on mobile technologies for the sake of knowledge acquisition.
2. It is used to manage students' learning process by posting and updating information through Learning Management System (LMS).

3. It provides active communication services both asynchronously and synchronously which are done through pull and push technology to scaffolding learning.
4. A cost effective and reliable means of monitoring students' learning progress.

In this study, the term mobile learning is used to refer to learning and practicing mathematics activities using digital devices such as smart mobile phones, tablets, laptops, e-readers, handheld gaming consoles and so on.

1.7.3 Elementary Mathematics

Elementary learning is the first level of public education which comprises six grades (1-6). Pupils' ages of the school are from 7 to 12 years old and the duration for each grade is one year. In this period, students learn the basic principles and rules of several different subjects and materials as diverse as the Quran, Islamic Studies, Science, Mathematics, Arabic language, History, and Geography. The term elementary mathematics is usually used to refer to mathematics topics most frequently taught at the lower school education (primary or secondary school levels). Elementary mathematics consists of five basic strands namely number sense and numeration, measurement, geometry and spatial sense, patterning and algebra, and data management and probability (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2005). This study focuses on the first strand, number sense and numeration, in designing and development a game-based learning model for the teaching of arithmetic at the elementary school level.

1.8 Organisation of the Study

This study is organised in seven chapters with each chapter focusing on an important aspect of the study.

Chapter One, titled Introduction, is designed to introduce the topic of the research by providing a background to the problem, discussing the problem itself, the objectives of the study, the questions to be used in guiding the research, its significance, and eventually summarizing the entire chapter.

Chapter Two, titled Literature Review, provides a research context for the current study. The chapter discusses all the major concepts of the study, reviews empirical studies previously carried out in relation to the topic under study, and presents theoretical framework and eventually the conceptual framework of the study.

Chapter Three, titled Methodology of the Study, presents the methods selected in conducting the research. Since this study employs a design and development research (DDR) approach, the chapter is divided into three major sections according to the three

phases of DDR namely, needs analysis phase, design and development phase, and finally evaluation phase.

Chapter Four, titled Findings, is divided into three phases. Phase 1 presents the findings of the needs analysis. This phase discusses the findings of the first of the three phases of the research, the needs analysis phase, by analyzing the interviews conducted with sixteen elementary school mathematics teachers under the Saudi system of education. Phase 2 focuses on the Model Development. It is the phase where the model is developed and presented. This phase is the soul of the findings of the research since the major purpose of the study is to develop a game-based learning model. Phase 3 is Model Evaluation. In this part, findings of the evaluation phase which is carried out through fuzzy Delphi method are presented.

Chapter Five, titled Discussion and Conclusion, discusses the findings of the study in relation to the extant literature and theoretical underpinnings of the study. It is the section that interprets the findings of the study. This is followed by a summary of the findings made, then concluding the research, discuss its implications and then offer some useful recommendations for future studies.

1.9 Summary

The intention of this chapter is to lay foundation to the rest of this study. The chapter discusses the background of the study, presents its problems, research objectives and questions before eventually discussing its significance and scope of the study. The chapter also provides definition of key terms as they are used in the current study. The rest of this study is designed to follow on the map laid down herein this chapter.

REFERENCES

- Ab Latif, R., Mohamed, R., Dahlan, A., & Nor, M. Z. M. (2016). Using Delphi technique: making sense of consensus in concept mapping structure and multiple-choice questions (MCQ). *Education in Medicine Journal*, 8(3).
- Abdullah, M. R. T. L. (2014). *Development of activity-based mLearning implementation model for undergraduate English language learning* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Malaya).
- Abdullah, M. R. T. L., & Siraj, S. (2014). Interpretive Structural Modeling of MLearning Curriculum Model of English Language Communication Skills for Undergraduates. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 13(1), 151-161.
- Abouelnaga, H. M., Metwally, A. B., Mazouz, L. A., Abouelmagd, H., Alsmadi, S., Aljamaeen, R., ... & Hamad, A. L. (2019). A survey on educational technology in Saudi Arabia. *Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res.*, 14(22), 4149-4160.
- Adler, M., & Ziglio, E. (1996). *Gazing into the oracle: The Delphi method and its application to social policy and public health*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Afari, E., Aldridge, J. M., & Fraser, B. J. (2012). Effectiveness of using games in tertiary-level mathematics classrooms. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 10(6), 1369-1392.
- Alabdulaziz, M., & Higgins, S. (2016). Obstacles to technology use when addressing Saudi primary students' mathematics difficulties. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 5(10), 412-417.
- Alafaleq, M., & Fan, L. (2014). A new assessment approach in mathematics classrooms in Saudi Arabia. In *8th British Congress of Mathematics Education* (pp. 1-7).
- Alamri, N. M. (2020). The Implementation of the Lesson Study Strategy in Teaching Mathematics: Teachers' Perspectives. *Education Research International*, 2020.
- Alanazi, M. (2016). *An investigation of developing teachers' understanding of using dialogic approach in Saudi primary mathematics classrooms*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).
- Alasmari, T., & Zhang, K. (2019). Mobile learning technology acceptance in Saudi Arabian higher education: an extended framework and A mixed-method study. *Education and Information Technologies*, 24(3), 2127-2144.
- Al-Azawi, R., Al-Faliti, F., & Al-Blushi, M. (2016). Educational gamification vs. game based learning: Comparative study. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology*, 7(4), 132-136.

- Al-Balawi, A. S., & Saeed, R. M. (2012). The Professional Development Needs of Mathematics Teachers in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Studies [JEPS]*, 6(1), 114-132.
- Albalawi, A. S. (2017). Mathematics teachers' perception of using social media in their teaching in Tabuk, Saudi Arabia. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 12(2), 111-131.
- Albazie, H. A. (2018). Advantages and challenges of integrating m-learning into Saudi Arabian universities: A literature review. *European Journal of Open Education and E-learning Studies*.
- Aldahmash, A. H., Alamri, N. M., & Aljallal, M. A. (2019). Saudi Arabian science and mathematics teachers' attitudes toward integrating STEM in teaching before and after participating in a professional development program. *Cogent Education*, 6(1), 1580852.
- Al-Emran, M., Mezhujev, V., & Kamaludin, A. (2018). Technology Acceptance Model in M-learning context: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 125, 389-412.
- Alenezi, A. (2017). Obstacles for teachers to integrate technology with instruction. *Education and Information Technologies*, 22(4), 1797-1816.
- Al-Fahad, F. N. (2009). Students' attitudes and perceptions towards the effectiveness of mobile learning in King Saud University, Saudi Arabia. *Online Submission*, 8(2).
- Alfarani, L. A. (2014, November). Influences on the adoption of mobile learning in Saudi women teachers in higher education. In *2014 International conference on interactive mobile communication technologies and learning (IMCL2014)* (pp. 30-34). IEEE.
- Alfehaid, A. F. T. (2011). *Developing an ESP curriculum for pupils of health sciences through needs analysis and course evaluation in Saudi Arabia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Leicester).
- Alfieri, L., Higashi, R., Shoop, R., & Schunn, C. D. (2015). Case studies of a robot-based game to shape interests and hone proportional reasoning skills. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 2(1), 4.
- Alghamdi, A. K. (2017). The effects of an integrated curriculum on student achievement in Saudi Arabia. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(9), 6079-6100.
- Alghamdi, M. H. (2018). *Teacher Collaboration and Student Outcomes in Saudi Arabia: An analysis of TIMSS Data*. University of Kansas.
- Al-Hamlan, S. A. (2015). A needs analysis approach to EFL syllabus development for second grade pupils in secondary education in Saudi Arabia: a descriptive

analytical approach to pupils' needs. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, 5(1), 118-145.

Al-Hamlan, S. A. (2013). EFL curriculum and needs analysis: an evaluative study. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia ministry of higher education King Saud University MA TESOL CI584 Syllabus Design.

Alharbi, S., & Drew, S. (2014). Using the technology acceptance model in understanding academics' behavioural intention to use learning management systems. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 5(1), 143–155.

Alharbi, O., Alotebi, H., Masmali, A., & Alreshidi, N. (2017). Instructor acceptance of Mobile learning in Saudi Arabia: A case study of Hail University. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 12(5), 27-35.

Al-Hujran, O., Al-Lozi, E., & Al-Debei, M. M. (2014). Get Ready to Mobile Learning: Examining Factors Affecting College Students' Behavioral Intentions to Use M-Learning. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 65(1), 1-18. نية طالب الجامعات استخدام التعلم الإلكتروني في المملكة العربية السعودية. *Journal of Journal Jordan Administration, Business* 153(3301), Administration, Business

Alkhalaf, A. (2014). Identifying mobile learning challenges at male high schools in Saudi Arabia from the teachers point of view. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching*, 6(1).

Alkrajji, A., & Eidaroos, A. (2016). Trends and Issues in Educational Technology Research in Saudi Higher Education: A Meta-Analysis Review. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(36), 62-79.

AlMarwani, M. A. (2016). *E3-Electronic education for English: developing mobile learning and teaching in Saudi Arabia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Lincoln).

Almutairy, S., Davies, T., & Dimitriadi, Y. (2014, November). The readiness of applying m-learning among Saudi Arabian students at higher education. In *2014 International conference on interactive mobile communication technologies and learning (IMCL2014)* (pp. 102-106). IEEE.

Alotaibi, S. S., & Elnaim, B. M. E. (2020). Challenges of Applying Mobile Learning in Teaching Mathematical Courses in Saudi Arabian Universities. *Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture & Technology*, 12(6), 1861-1867.

Alqarni, A. A. (2015). Educational technology in Saudi Arabia: A historical overview. *International Journal of Education, Learning and Development*, 3(8), 62- 69.

Alshehri, K. (2012). *The influence of mathematics teachers' knowledge in technology, pedagogy and content (TPACK) on their teaching effectiveness in Saudi public schools* (Doctoral dissertation, Univeristy of Kansas).

- Al-Shehri, S. (2013, October). An outlook on future mobile learning in Saudi Arabia. In *12th World Conference on Mobile and Contextual Learning (mLearn 2013)* (Vol. 2013, No. 3, p. 9). Hamad bin Khalifa University Press (HBKU Press).
- Alshehri, M. A., & Ali, H. S. (2016). The Compatibility of Developed Mathematics Textbooks' Content in Saudi Arabia (Grades 6-8) with NCTM Standards. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(2), 137-142.
- Alsuwidan, S. I. (2018). The Teacher Perspective on Integrating Technology into Their Early Childhood Classrooms in Saudi Arabia. *ProQuest LLC*.
- Altakhneh, B. H. (2018). Attitudes towards using mobile applications for teaching mathematics in open learning systems.
- Alyahya, D. M., & Alotaibi, A. M. (2019). Computational thinking skills and its impact on TIMSS achievement: An Instructional Design Approach. *Issues and Trends in Learning Technologies*, 7(1).
- Alzahrani, S. (2017). *Saudi Mathematics Teachers' Understanding and Practices of Formative Assessment in Elementary Schools*. ProQuest LLC.
- Amasha, M. A., Areed, M. F., Alkhalaf, S., Abougalala, R. A., Elatawy, S. M., & Khairy, D. (2020, February). The future of using Internet of Things (IoT) and Context-Aware Technology in E-learning. In *Proceedings of the 2020 9th International Conference on Educational and Information Technology* (pp. 114-123).
- Amiel, T., & Reeves, T. C. (2008). Design-based research and educational technology: Rethinking technology and the research agenda. *Journal of educational technology & society*, 11(4), 29-40.
- Anfara Jr, V. A., & Mertz, N. T. (Eds.). (2014). *Theoretical frameworks in qualitative research*. New York: Sage publications.
- Aribowo, P. N. (2008). The effectiveness of teaching vocabulary by using games to the third grade students of SD Negeri 03 Karangmojo, Karanganyar. *Surakarta: Sebelas Maret University Surakarta*.
- Ariffin, A., Yusof, N. A. A. M., Siraj, S., & Nor, M. M. (2018). Fuzzy Delphi Method (fdm): Determining phase for multicultural-based model of peace education curriculum for preschool children. *Journal of Research, Policy & Practice of Teachers and Teacher Education*, 8(1), 5-17.
- Armstrong, J. S. (2001). *Principles of forecasting: A handbook for researchers and practitioners*. Boston, U.S.A: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 59-125.
- Aschbacher, P. R., Li, E., & Roth, E. J. (2010). Is science me? High school students' identities, participation and aspirations in science, engineering, and medicine. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching*, 47(5), 564-582.

- Aseeri, M. M. Y. (2015). The Reality of Professional Development of Mathematics and Science Teachers at Elementary Schools in Najran, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(23), 85-98.
- Aseeri, M. M. Y. (2019). Professional Development of Mathematics Teachers in Najran: Opportunities and Challenges. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 7(12), 907-918.
- Atay, D., Kurt, G., & Kaşlıoğlu, Ö. (2017). Collaborative teacher development on teaching world Englishes. In *Facilitating in-service teacher training for professional development* (pp. 165-184). IGI Global.
- Aunio, P., Tapola, A., Mononen, R., & Niemivirta, M. (2016). Early mathematics skill development, low performance, and parental support in the Finnish context. In *Early Childhood Mathematics Skill Development in the Home Environment* (pp. 51-70). Springer.
- Avent, J., Patterson, J., Lu, A., & Small, K. (2007). The effectiveness of reciprocal scaffolding treatment in anomic aphasia. Retrieved March 10, 2020 from <http://aphasiology.pitt.edu/1847/1/viewpaper.pdf>
- Bai, H. (2019). Pedagogical practices of mobile learning in K-12 and higher education settings. *TechTrends*, 63(5), 611-620.
- Bano, M., Zowghi, D., Kearney, M., Schuck, S., & Aubusson, P. (2018). Mobile learning for science and mathematics school education: A systematic review of empirical evidence. *Computers & Education*, 121, 30-58.
- Barab, S. A., Gresalfi, M., & Ingram-Goble, A. (2010). Transformational play: Using games to position person, content, and context. *Educational Researcher*, 39(7), 525-536.
- Barak, M. (2017). Cloud pedagogy: Utilizing web-based technologies for the promotion of social constructivist learning in science teacher preparation courses. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 26(5), 459-469.
- Basogain, X., Olabe, M. A., Olabe, J. C., Ramírez, R., Del Rosario, M., & Garcia, J. (2016, September). PC-01: Introduction to computational thinking: Educational technology in primary and secondary education. In *2016 International Symposium on Computers in Education (SIIE)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Becker, K., & Park, K. (2011). Effects of integrative approaches among science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) subjects on students' learning: A preliminary meta-analysis. *Journal of STEM Education: Innovations & Research*, 12.
- Beckmann, E. A. (2010). Learners on the move: mobile modalities in development studies. *Distance Education*, 31(2), 159-173.
- Bednar, A. K., Cunningham, D., Duffy, T. M., Duffy, T. M., & Jonassen, D. H. (1992).

- Perry JD. Theory into practice: how do we link?. Benbunan-Fich, R., Hiltz, S. R., & Harasim, L. (2005). The online interaction learning model: An integrated theoretical framework for learning networks. *Learning together online: Research on asynchronous learning networks*, 19-37.
- Benson, A. D., Joseph, R., & Moore, J. L. (Eds.). (2017). *Culture, learning, and technology: Research and practice*. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Berenson, S. B., Hodgin, K., Ward, C., Andrews, D., & Rudin, T. (1991). Needs assessment of elementary teachers in mathematics and science: A crucial step toward planning a statewide inservice program. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 2(1), 16-21.
- Berry, M. R., Chalmers, C., & Chandra, V. (2012). STEM futures and practice, can we teach STEM in a more meaningful and integrated way?. In Yu, S (Ed.) *Proceedings of the 2nd International STEM in Education Conference*. Beijing Normal University, China, pp. 225-232.
- Bisson, C., & Luckner, J. (1996). Fun in learning: The pedagogical role of fun in adventure education. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 19(2), 108-112.
- Blankenship, L. (2019). Elementary Student Engagement Through STEM Lessons. A master dissertation. University of Nebraska, Nebraska.
- Braun, V., Clarke, V., Hayfield, N., & Terry, G. (2019). Thematic analysis. *Handbook of research methods in health social sciences*, 843-860.
- Breiner, J. M., Harkness, S. S., Johnson, C. C., & Koehler, C. M. (2012). What is STEM? A discussion about conceptions of STEM in education and partnerships. *School Science and Mathematics*, 112(1), 3-11.
- Brückner, M. (2015). Educational Technology Related articles from the Wikipedia (Feb. 14, 2015). Retrieved December 21, 2018 from https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/50969904/Educational_Technology.pdf?
- Bruffee, K. A. (1986). Social construction, language, and the authority of knowledge: A bibliographical essay. *College English*, 48(8), 773-790.
- Bruner, J. S. (1961). The act of discovery. *Harvard Educational Review*. 31, 21-32.
- Bruner, J. S. (1966). *Toward a theory of instruction* (Vol. 59). Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Bruner, J. (1985). Child's talk: Learning to use language. *Child Language Teaching and Therapy*, 1(1), 111-114.
- Brush, T. A., & Saye, J. W. (2002). A summary of research exploring hard and soft scaffolding for teachers and students using a multimedia supported learning environment. *The Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 1(2), 1-12.

- Bullen, M., & Janes, D. (1998). Tutor and student: Online graduate teaching and learning in an international context. In *Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference of the Canadian Association for Distance Education* (pp. 191-192).
- Calvo, N., Rodeiro-Pazos, D., & Fernández-López, S. (2017). Science and technology parks as accelerators of knowledge-intensive business services. A case study. *International Journal of Business and Globalisation*, 18(1), 42-57.
- Camilleri, M. A., & Camilleri, A. C. (2019). The students' readiness to engage with mobile learning apps. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*.
- Campbell, C., Speldewinde, C., Howitt, C., & MacDonald, A. (2018). STEM practice in the early years. *Creative Education*, 9(01), 11.
- Chang, C. K. (2010). Acceptability of an asynchronous learning forum on mobile devices. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 29(1), 23-33.
- Chang, K. E., Wu, L. J., Weng, S. E., & Sung, Y. T. (2012). Embedding game-based problem-solving phase into problem-posing system for mathematics learning. *Computers & Education*, 58(2), 775-786.
- Chan, K. K. W., & Kong, S. C. (2011). *Process Writing: an online collaborative writing environment for primary school students*. In T. Hirashima et al. (Eds.) (2011). *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Computers in Education*. Chiang Mai, Thailand: Asia-Pacific Society for Computers in Education
- Chanchary, F. H., & Islam, S. A. M. I. U. L. (2011). Mobile learning in Saudi Arabia-prospects and challenges. In *International Arab Conference on Information Technology (ACIT'2011)*. Jordan: Zarqa University.
- Chang, K.-E., Wu, L.-J., Weng, S.-E., & Sung, Y.-T. (2012). Embedding game-based problem-solving phase into problem-posing system for mathematics learning. *Computers & Education*, 58(2), 775-786.
- Chang, R.-C., & Yang, C.-Y. (2016). Developing a mobile app for game-based learning in middle school mathematics course. *2016 International Conference on Applied System Innovation (ICASI)*, 1-2.
- Chen, H. R., Jian, C. H., Lin, W. S., Yang, P. C., & Chang, H. Y. (2014). Design of digital game-based learning in elementary school mathematics. *2014 7th International Conference on Ubi-Media Computing and Workshops*, 322-325.
- Chen, Y. S., Kao, T. C., Yu, G. J., & Sheu, J. P. (2004, March). A mobile butterfly-watching learning system for supporting independent learning. In *The 2nd IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education, 2004. Proceedings.* (pp. 11-18). IEEE.
- Chen, Y. S., Kao, T. C., & Sheu, J. P. (2003). A mobile learning system for scaffolding bird watching learning. *Journal of computer assisted learning*, 19(3), 347-359.

- Cheng, C. H., & Su, C. H. (2012). A Game-based learning system for improving student's learning effectiveness in system analysis course. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 31, 669-675.
- Chesloff, J. D. (2013). STEM education must start in early childhood. *Education Week*, 32(23), 27-32.
- Christensen, R., Knezek, G., & Tyler-Wood, T. (2014). Student perceptions of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) content and careers. *Computers in human behavior*, 34, 173-186.
- Chu, S. L., Angello, G., Saenz, M., & Quek, F. (2017). Fun in Making: Understanding the experience of fun and learning through curriculum-based Making in the elementary school classroom. *Entertainment Computing*, 18, 31-40.
- Clark, D. B., Tanner-Smith, E. E., & Killingsworth, S. S. (2016). Digital games, design, and learning: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Review of educational research*, 86(1), 79-122.
- Clarke, V., Braun, V., & Hayfield, N. (2015). Thematic analysis. *Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods*, 222-248.
- Clemson, D., & Clemson, W. (2006). *Mathematics in the early years*. London: Routledge.
- Cortez, C., Nussbaum, M., Santelices, R., Rodríguez, P., Zurita, G., Correa, M., & Cautivo, R. (2004, March). Teaching science with mobile computer supported collaborative learning (MCSCCL). In *The 2nd IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education, 2004. Proceedings*. (pp. 67-74). IEEE.
- Coştu, S., Aydın, S., & Filiz, M. (2009). Students' conceptions about browser-game-based learning in mathematics education: TNetvitamin case. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 1848-1852.
- Dare, E. A., Ring-Whalen, E. A., & Roehrig, G. H. (2019). Creating a continuum of STEM models: Exploring how K-12 science teachers conceptualize STEM education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 41(12), 1701-1720.
- Daughtery, C., & Berge, Z. L. (2017). Mobile learning pedagogy. *UMBC Faculty Collection. International Journal for the Scholarship of Technology Enhanced Learning*. Retrieved January 2020 from <http://ejournals.library.gatech.edu/ijstotel/index.php/ijstotel/article/view/28>
- Delbecq, A. L., Van de Ven, A. H., & Gustafson, D. H. (1975). *Group techniques for program planning: A guide to nominal group and Delphi processes*. Scott, Foresman,.
- Dewey, J. (1929). *The quest for certainty: A study of the relation of knowledge and action*. New York: Putnam.

- Dieterle, E. (2009). Multi-user virtual environments for teaching and learning. In *Encyclopedia of Multimedia Technology and Networking, Second Edition* (pp. 1033-1041). IGI Global.
- Divjak, B., & Tomić, D. (2011). The impact of game-based learning on the achievement of learning goals and motivation for learning mathematics-literature review. *Journal of Information and Organizational Sciences*, 35(1), 15-30.
- Domingo, M. G., & Garganté, A. B. (2016). Exploring the use of educational technology in primary education: Teachers' perception of mobile technology learning impacts and applications' use in the classroom. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 56, 21-28.
- Drijvers, P. (2015). Digital technology in mathematics education: Why it works (or doesn't). *Selected Regular Lectures from the 12th International Congress on Mathematical Education*, 135–151.
- Elkind, D. (2007). *The power of play: How spontaneous, imaginative activities lead to happier, healthier children*. Da Capo Lifelong Books.
- Ellis, K., Stam, K., & Lizardi, R. (2019). *Enhancing Community and Creating Unity Using a Mobile Application* (Doctoral dissertation, SUNY Polytechnic Institute).
- English, L. D. (2016). STEM education K-12: Perspectives on integration. *International Journal of STEM education*, 3(1), 3.
- Enisa, M. E. D. E., & Kazar, S. G. (2015). Pupils' and instructors' perceptions of the learning and target needs in an English for Specific Purposes (ESP) program. *Kastamonu Education Journal*, 23(2), 479-498.
- Evans, T. M., Kochalka, J., Ngoon, T. J., Wu, S. S., Qin, S., Battista, C., & Menon, V. (2015). Brain structural integrity and intrinsic functional connectivity forecast 6 year longitudinal growth in children's numerical abilities. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(33), 11743-11750.
- Evanshen, P., Crowe, T., Baum, A., Parnell, W., Baker, K., DiCarlo, C., & Lake, V. (2018). National Association of Early Childhood Teacher Educators (NAECTE) Conference and Meeting: Reflections on Research and Practice in Early Childhood Teacher Education.
- Falloon, G. (2011). Making the connection: Moore's theory of transactional distance and its relevance to the use of a virtual classroom in postgraduate online teacher education. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 43(3), 187-209.
- Fincham, B. (2016). Theorising Fun. In *The Sociology of Fun* (pp. 27-46). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Fitzallen, N. (2015). STEM Education: What Does Mathematics Have to Offer?. *Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia*.

- Garg, V. (2013). The emergence of mobile learning for higher education in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- Goeser, P. T., Hamza-Lup, F. G., Johnson, W. M., & Scharfer, D. (2018). VIEW: A virtual interactive web-based learning environment for engineering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.07463*.
- Gorsky, P., & Caspi, A. (2005). A critical analysis of transactional distance theory. *Quarterly review of distance education*, 6(1).
- Grisham, T. (2009). The Delphi technique: a method for testing complex and multifaceted topics. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*.
- Gustafson, D. H., Delbecq, A. L., Hansen, M., & Myers, R. F. (1975). Design of a health policy research and development system for Wisconsin. *Inquiry*, 12(3), 251-262.
- Guzey, S. S., Harwell, M., Moreno, M., Peralta, Y., & Moore, T. J. (2017). The impact of design-based STEM integration curricula on student achievement in engineering, science, and mathematics. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 26(2), 207-222.
- Halwi, A. A., & Safhi, A. A. (2015). Study of an emerging learning trend-Opportunities for Mobile Learning in Jazan University.
- Hamilton, E. R., Rosenberg, J. M., & Akcaoglu, M. (2016). The substitution augmentation modification redefinition (SAMR) model: A critical review and suggestions for its use. *TechTrends*, 60(5), 433-441.
- Haruehansawasin, S., & Kiattikomol, P. (2018). Scaffolding in problem-based learning for low-achieving learners. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 111(3), 363-370.
- Havice, W., Havice, P., Waugaman, C., & Walker, K. (2018). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Integrative STEM Education: Teacher and Administrator Professional Development. *Journal of Technology Education*, 29(2), 73-90.
- Henderson, M. (2012). An Examination of the Implementation of Technology in Secondary School Bands (Master's Thesis, East Carolina University).
- Hew, K. F., Lan, M., Tang, Y., Jia, C., & Lo, C. K. (2019). Where is the “theory” within the field of educational technology research?. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(3), 956-971.
- Hicks, D. W. (2017). Teaching for a better world: Learning for sustainability. Retrieved from [http:// www.teaching4abetterworld.co.uk/](http://www.teaching4abetterworld.co.uk/)
- Ho, Y. F., & Wang, H. L. (2008, July). Applying fuzzy Delphi method to select the variables of a sustainable urban system dynamics model. In *Proceedings of the*

26th International Conference of System. [http://www. systemdynamics.org/conferences/2008/proceed/](http://www.systemdynamics.org/conferences/2008/proceed/)(accessed on 15/May/2011).

- Hoachlander, G., & Yanofsky, D. (2011). Making STEM real. *Educational Leadership*, 68(6), 60-65.
- Holton, D., & Clarke, D. (2006). Scaffolding and metacognition. *International journal of mathematical education in science and technology*, 37(2), 127-143.
- Holton, D., & Thomas, G. (2001). Mathematical interactions and their influence on learning. In *Perspectives on practice and meaning in mathematics and science classrooms* (pp. 75-104). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Hsu, C. C., & Sandford, B. A. (2007). The Delphi technique: making sense of consensus. *Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation*, 12(1), 10.
- Hsu, C., & Sandford, B. A. (2017). The Delphi technique: making sense of consensus. *Pract Assess Res Eval*. 2007; 12 (10).
- Huang, Y. L., Chang, D. F., & Wu, B. (2017). Mobile game-based learning with a Mobile app: Motivational effects and learning performance. *Journal of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics*, 21(6), 963-970.
- Hunting, R. P., Mousley, J. A., & Perry, B. (2012). A study of rural preschool practitioners' views on young children's mathematical thinking. *Mathematics education research journal*, 24(1), 39-57.
- Hutchison, A., Beschoner, B., & Schmidt-Crawford, D. (2012). Exploring the use of the iPad for literacy learning. *The Reading Teacher*, 66(1), 15-23.
- Hutchinson, T., & Waters, A. (1987). *English for specific purposes*. Cambridge university press.
- Hutchison, A., & Colwell, J. (2016). Preservice teachers' use of the technology integration planning cycle to integrate iPads into literacy instruction. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 48(1), 1-15.
- Ilukena, A. M., & Schafer, M. (2013). A needs analysis for the implementation of a complementary course in Mathematics Education for teachers of Mathematics in Namibia: A case study of the BETD graduates.
- Ina V.S. Mullis et.al. (2015). *TIMSS 2015 International Results In Mathematics Fourth Grade Mathematics*.
- Ismail, N. K., Mohamed, S., & Hamzah, M. I. (2019). The Application of the Fuzzy Delphi Technique to the Required Aspect of Parental Involvement in the Effort to Inculcate Positive Attitude among Preschool Children. *Creative Education*, 10(12), 2907.

- Jackson, J. K., & Ash, G. (2012). Science achievement for all: Improving science performance and closing achievement gaps. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 23(7), 723-744.
- Jacobson, M. J., Maouri, C., Mishra, P., & Kolar, C. (1996). Learning with hypertext learning environments: Theory, design, and research. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 5 (3/4), 239-281.
- Jagušt, T., Botički, I., & So, H. J. (2018). A review of research on bridging the gap between formal and informal learning with technology in primary school contexts. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 34(4), 417-428.
- Januszewski, A., & Molenda, M. (Eds.). (2013). *Educational technology: A definition with commentary*. Routledge.
- Januszewski, A., & Molenda, M. (Eds.). (2013). *Educational technology: A definition with commentary*. Routledge.
- John, M. M. (2018). Can learning be fun? Retrieved July 2, 2020 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330185223_CAN_LEARNING_BE_FUN
- Johnson, J. E., Christie, J. F., & Wardle, F. (2005). *Play, development, and early education*. Pearson/Allyn and Bacon.
- Jusoh, N., Salam, R. A., & Sayuti, M. N. S. M. (2012). Color Image Enhancement using Contrast Stretching on a Mobile Device. *Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Negeri Sembilan*, 1(3).
- Kafai, Y. B., Quintero, M., & Feldon, D. (2010). Investigating the “why” in whyfox: Casual and systematic explorations of a virtual epidemic. *Games and Culture*, 5(1), 116–135. doi:10.1177/1555412009351265
- Kaplan, L. M. (1971). *The use of the Delphi method in organizational communication: A case study*. Columbus: Ohio State University.
- Kashkary, S. Y., & Robinson, J. F. (2006). Does Attendance Kindergarten Affect on Pupils' Mathematics Achievement of Primary School in Makkah, Saudi Arabia? And What Are the Teachers' Expectations?. *Online Submission*. Ke, F. (2008a). Alternative goal structures for computer game-based learning. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 3(4), 429.
- Ke, F. (2008b). Computer games application within alternative classroom goal structures: cognitive, metacognitive, and affective evaluation. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 56(5–6), 539–556.
- Kelley, T. R., & Knowles, J. G. (2016). A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 3(1), 11.

- Ketelhut, D. J., Dede, C., Clarke, J., Nelson, B., & Bowman, C. (2007). Studying situated learning in a multi-user virtual environment. *Assessment of problem solving using simulations*, 37-58.
- Kim, P. H. (2009). Action research approach on mobile learning design for the underserved. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 57(3), 415-435.
- Kirk, S., Gallagher, J. J., Coleman, M. R., & Anastasiow, N. J. (2011). *Educating exceptional children*. Cengage Learning.
- Klir, G. J. (1993). Developments in uncertainty-based information. In *Advances in computers* (Vol. 36, pp. 255-332). Elsevier.
- Klopfer, E., Squire, K., & Jenkins, H. (2002, August). Environmental detectives: PDAs as a window into a virtual simulated world. In *Proceedings. IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education* (pp. 95-98). IEEE.
- Knaus, M. (2017). Supporting early mathematics learning in early childhood settings. *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, 42(3), 4-13.
- Кокоткина, В. Д., Антипина, А. Н., & Моргачева, Н. В. (2019). The use of modern educational technology in primary school. In *Colloquium-journal* (No. 1-4, pp. 32- 33). Голопристанський міськрайонний центр зайнятості= Голопристанский районный центр занятости.
- Kos, E. A. (2019). Developing Scientific Thinking as an Important Educational Task that Supports the Harmonious Development of a Child at the Preschool Age. *Edukacja Elementarna w Teorii i Praktyce*, 14(4 (54)), 11-24.
- Kukulaska-Hulme, A. (2009). Will mobile learning change language learning?. *ReCALL*, 21(2), 157-165.
- Kutty, A. S., & Joy, M. M. (2019). Game based learning—a solution for current problems in higher education?. *IJRAR*, 6 (2), 177-181.
- Lawrence, D. (2017). Towards a fun and playful model for young children's foreign language learning. A master thesis: University of Jyväskylä.
- Lenski, S. D., & Nierstheimer, S. L. (2002). Strategy instruction from a sociocognitive perspective. *Reading Psychology*, 23(2), 127-143.
- Liu, W. K. (2013). Application of the fuzzy delphi method and the fuzzy analytic hierarchy process for the managerial competence of multinational corporation executives. *International Journal of e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and e- Learning*, 3(4), 313.
- Lou, S. J., Chung, C. C., Dzan, W. Y., & Shih, R. C. (2012). Construction of a creative instructional design model using blended, project-based learning for college

- students. *Creative Education*, 3(07), 1281.
- Lowrie, T., Logan, T., & Larkin, K. (2017). The “math” in STEM practices: The role of spatial reasoning in the early years. In *Proceedings of the 40th annual conference of the Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia* (pp. 625-628).
- Lu, Y., Li, Q., Patrick, H., & Mantzicopoulos, P. (2019). “Math Gives Me a Tummy Ache!” Mathematics Anxiety in Kindergarten. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 1-17.
- Lucardie, D. (2014). The impact of fun and enjoyment on adult's learning. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 142, 439-446.
- Mahmoud, Q. H., & Dyer, A. (2008). Mobile devices in an introductory programming course. *Computer*, 41(6), 107–108.
- Mahzoon-Hagheghi, M., Yebra, R., Johnson, R. D., & Sohn, L. N. (2018). Fostering a Greater Understanding of Science in the Classroom through Children's Literature. *Texas Journal of Literacy Education*, 6(1), 41-50.
- Mansour Alabdulaziz, P., & Higgins, S. (2017). Understanding Technology Use and Constructivist Strategies when Addressing Saudi Primary Students' Mathematics Difficulties.
- Martin, F., Hoskins, O. J., Brooks, R., & Bennett, T. (2013). Development of an interactive multimedia instructional module. *Journal of Applied Instructional Design*, 3(3), 5–17.
- Mason, J., Burton, L., & Stacey, K. (2011). *Thinking mathematically*. Pearson Higher Ed.
- McCarthy, M. (2016). Experiential learning theory: From theory to practice. *Journal of Business & Economics Research (JBER)*, 14(3), 91-100.
- McDonald, C. V. (2016). STEM Education: A review of the contribution of the disciplines of science, technology, engineering and mathematics. *Science Education International*, 27(4), 530-569.
- McKillip, J. (1987). Need analysis: Tools for the human service and education. *Applied Social Research Methods Series*.
- Mohamad, S. N. A., Embi, M. A., & Nordin, N. M. (2015). Are students ready to adopt E-Portfolio? Social science and humanities context. *Asian Social Science*, 11(13), 269.
- Moon, J., & Ke, F. (2020). In-game actions to promote game-based math learning engagement. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 58(4), 863-885.
- Mooney, C. G. (2013). *Theories of Childhood: An Introduction to Dewey, Montessori, Erikson, Piaget & Vygotsky*. Redleaf Press.

- Moore, M. G. (1972). Learner autonomy: The second dimension of independent learning. *Convergence*, 5(2), 76-88.
- Moore, M. G. (1993). Theory of transactional distance. *Theoretical Principles of Distance Education*, 1, 22-38.
- Moore, M. (1997). Theory of Transactional Distance. In Keegan, D. (1997). (Ed.). *Theoretical Principles of Distance Education*. Routledge, pp. 22-38. Retrieved July 2019. <http://www.aged.tamu.edu/research/readings/Distance/1997MooreTransDistance.pdf>
- Moore, M. G. (2007). Web 2.0: Does it really matter?. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 21(4), 177-183.
- Moore, T. J., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Advancing the state of the art of STEM integration. *Journal of STEM Education: Innovations and Research*, 15(1), 5.
- Moore, T. J., Glancy, A. W., Tank, K. M., Kersten, J. A., Smith, K. A., & Stohlmann, M. S. (2014). A framework for quality K-12 engineering education: Research and development. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 4(1), 2.
- Moore, A. J., Gillett, M. R., & Steele, M. D. (2014). Fostering student engagement with the flip. *Mathematics Teacher*, 107(6), 420-425.
- Moreno, V., Cavazotte, F., & Alves, I. (2017). Explaining university students' effective use of e-learning platforms. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 48(4), 995- 1009.
- Morsanyi, K., Prado, J., & Richland, L. E. (2018). The role of reasoning in mathematical thinking. *Thinking & Reasoning*, 24(2), 129–137.
- Murray, T. J., Pipino, L. L., & van Gigch, J. P. (1985). A pilot study of fuzzy set modification of Delphi. *Human Systems Management*, 5(1), 76-80.
- Naik, N. (2014, October). A comparative evaluation of game-based learning: Digital or non-digital games?. In *European Conference on Games Based Learning* (Vol. 2, p. 437). Academic Conferences International Limited.
- Narayanasamy, F. S., & Mohamed, J. B. K. (2013). Adaptation of mobile learning in higher educational institutions of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 69(6).
- Nassuora, A. B. (2012). Students acceptance of mobile learning for higher education in Saudi Arabia. *American Academic & Scholarly Research Journal*, 4(2), 24-30.
- Nazim, M. & Hazarika, Z. (2017). Efficacy of ESP in EFL context: a case study of Saudi Arabia. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)*, 8(1), 145-164.
- NCTM, P. (2000). Standards for school Mathematics. *Tersedia: Http://Www. Nctm.*

Org/Standards/Content. Aspx.

NFG (2006). *National Focus Group Position Paper on Teaching of Mathematics*. New Delhi: NCERT.

Nersesian, E., Spryszynski, A., & Lee, M. J. (2019, March). Integration of virtual reality in secondary STEM education. In *2019 IEEE Integrated STEM Education Conference (ISEC)* (pp. 83-90). IEEE.

Nouri, J., Spikol, D., & Pargman Cerratto, T. (2016). The Learning Activity Design Framework to Support Mobile Learning in Primary School. *Designs for Learning*, 8(1), 1-12.

Nyikos, M., & Hashimoto, R. (1997). Constructivist theory applied to collaborative learning in teacher education: In search of ZPD. *The Modern Language Journal*, 81(4), 506-517.

Oh, K. H. (1974). *Forecasting through hierarchical Delphi* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). The Ohio State University, Columbus. Retrieved from [http://etd.ohiolink.edu/view.cgi/Oh Keytack.pdf?osu1285088173](http://etd.ohiolink.edu/view.cgi/Oh%20Keytack.pdf?osu1285088173).

Ontario Ministry of Education (2005). *The Ontario Curriculum Grade 1-8, Mathematics*. Toronto, Ontario: Ontario Ministry of Education.

Orooji, F., & Taghiyareh, F. (2018). Enhancing students' knowledge building through utilising social interactions in an online learning environment. *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia*, 24(4), 307-334.

Ostrosky, M. M., & Meadan, H. (2010). Helping children play and learn together. *YC Young Children*, 65(1), 104.

Oyelere, S. S., Suhonen, J., & Laine, T. H. (2017, November). Integrating parson's programming puzzles into a game-based mobile learning application. In *Proceedings of the 17th Koli Calling International Conference on Computing Education Research* (pp. 158-162).

Ozuorcun, N. C., & Tabak, F. (2012). Is m-learning versus e-learning or are they supporting each other?. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, 299-305.

Pakdaman-Savoji, A., Nesbit, J., & Gajdamaschko, N. (2019). The conceptualisation of cognitive tools in learning and technology: A review. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 35(2).

Park, Y. (2011). A pedagogical framework for mobile learning: Categorizing educational applications of mobile technologies into four types. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 12(2), 78-102.

Patrick, H., Mantzicopoulos, P., & Samarapungavan, A. (2009). Motivation for learning science in kindergarten: Is there a gender gap and does integrated inquiry and literacy instruction make a difference. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*:

The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, 46(2), 166- 191.

- Pendergast, E., Lieberman-Betz, R. G., & Vail, C. O. (2017). Attitudes and beliefs of prekindergarten teachers toward teaching science to young children. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 45(1), 43-52.
- Piaget, J. (1951). *Principal factors determining intellectual evolution from childhood to adult life*. In D. Rapaport, *Organization and pathology of thought: Selected sources* (p. 154-175). Columbia University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10584-006>
- Piaget, J. (1980). *Experiments in contradiction*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Pill, J. (1971). The Delphi method: Substance, context, a critique and annotated bibliography. *Socio-Economic Planning Science*, 5, 57-71.
- Plass, J. L., Homer, B. D., & Kinzer, C. K. (2015). Foundations of game-based learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 50(4), 258-283.
- Plomp, T., & Nieveen, N. (2007, November). An introduction to educational design research. In *Proceedings of the seminar conducted at the East China Normal University, Shanghai (PR China)* (Vol. 23).
- Podilchak, W. (1991). Establishing the fun in leisure. *Leisure Sciences*, 13(2), 123-136.
- Popov, V., Jiang, Y., & So, H. J. (2019). Shared lessons in mobile learning among K-12 education, higher education and industry: an international Delphi study. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 1-32.
- Prensky, M. (2001). Fun, play and games: What makes games engaging. *Digital Game-Based Learning*, 5(1), 5-31.
- Prensky, M. (2002). "The motivation of gameplay: The real twenty-first century learning revolution", *On the Horizon*, 10 (1) 5-11.
- Prensky, M. (2003). Digital game-based learning. *Computers in Entertainment (CIE)*, 1(1), 21.
- Puentedura, R. (2006). Transformation, technology, and education. Presentation given August 18, 2006 as part of the Strengthening Your District Through Technology workshops, Maine, US.
- Qian, M., & Clark, K. R. (2016). Game-based learning and 21st century skills: A review of recent research. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 50-58.
- Puentedura, R. R. (2013). SAMR: Moving from enhancement to transformation [Web log post].
- Qian, M., & Clark, K. R. (2016). Game-based learning and 21st century skills: A review of recent research. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 50-58.

- Quinn, C. N. (2011). *The mobile academy: mLearning for higher education*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Quinn, C. N. (2011). *Designing mLearning: Tapping into the mobile revolution for organizational performance*. San Francisco: Pfeiffer.
- Quinn, C. N. (2012). Mobile Learning: The time is now. Retrieved May 2020 from <http://marketing.harbingergroup.com/reports/guild-research-mobile.pdf>
- Ramli, N. F., & Talib, O. (2017). Can education institution implement STEM? From Malaysian teachers' view. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 7(3), 721-732.
- Reigeluth, C. M. (2003). Knowledge building for use of the internet in education. *Instructional Science*, 31(4-5), 341-346.
- Rennie, L., Venville, G., & Wallace, J. (Eds.). (2012). *Integrating science, technology, engineering, and mathematics: Issues, reflections, and ways forward*. London: Routledge.
- Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. (2014). *Design and development research: Methods, strategies, and issues*. London: Routledge.
- Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. (2014). Design and development research. In *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (pp. 141-150). Springer, New York, NY.
- Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. (2007). *Design and development research: Methods, strategies, and issues*. London: Routledge.
- Richey, R. C. (1994). Developmental Research: The Definition and Scope. Retrieved March 10, 2020 from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED373753.pdf>
- Richey, R. C., & Seels, B. (1994). Defining a Field: A Case Study of the Development of the 1994 Definition of Instructional Technology. *Educational Media and Technology Yearbook*, 20, 2-17.
- Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. (2005). Developmental research methods: Creating knowledge from instructional design and development practice. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 16(2), 23-38.
- Richey, R. C., Klein, J. D., & Nelson, W. A. (2004). Developmental research: Studies of instructional design and development. *Handbook of Research for Educational Communications and Technology*, 2, 1099-1130.
- Roberts, P. (2016). STEM in early childhood: how to keep it simple & fun. *This is Childhood: Pedagogy & Practice in the early years*. Retrieve July 10, 2019 https://2019.eacaconference.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Roberts_P_STEM.pdf

- Roessler, S., & Allison, M. (2018). A Gender-Aware Gamified Scaffolding of Mathematics for the Middle School Level. *Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Big Data and Education*, 121–126.
- Rohnke, K. (1993, Winter/Spring) Fun. *Zip Lines*, 23, 1, 12–13, 16–17.
- Saba, F., & Shearer, R. L. (1994). Verifying key theoretical concepts in a dynamic model of distance education. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 8(1), 36-59.
- Sackes, M., Trundle, K. C., & Flevaris, L. M. (2009). Using children's literature to teach standard-based science concepts in early years. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 36(5), 415-422.
- Saido, G. A. M., Siraj, S., Dewitt, D., & Al-Amedy, O. S. (2018). Development of an instructional model for higher order thinking in science among secondary school students: A fuzzy Delphi approach. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(8), 847-866.
- Sanchez-Lezama, A. P., Cavazos-Arroyo, J., & Albavera-Hernandez, C. (2014). Applying the Fuzzy Delphi Method for determining socio-ecological factors that influence adherence to mammography screening in rural areas of Mexico. *Cadernos de saúde pública*, 30, 245-258.
- Sanders, M. (2009). Integrative STEM education: primer. *The Technology Teacher*, 68(4), 20-26.
- Schmidt, W. H., McKnight, C. C., Cogan, L. S., Jakwerth, P. M., & Houang, R. T. (2007). *Facing the consequences: Using TIMSS for a closer look at US mathematics and science education*. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Seliaman, M. E., & Al-Turki, M. S. (2012). Mobile learning adoption in Saudi Arabia. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 69(9), 391-293.
- Serrano, K. (2019). The effect of digital game-based learning on student learning: A literature review. *Graduate Research Papers*. 943. <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/grp/943>
- Shaffer, D. W., Squire, K. R., Halverson, R., & Gee, J. P. (2005). Video games and the future of learning. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 87(2), 105-111.
- Shah, M., & Foster, A. (2018, March). Promoting teachers' identity exploration: The way forward in teacher education for game-based learning. In *Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 486-494). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE).
- Shahalizadeh, M., Amirteimoury, M. H., & Zaraii Zavaraki, E. (2020). Designing and implementation of the blended instructional model based on Reigeluth, Merrill, Keller and the investigation of its effect on students' learning in biology

- course. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Virtual Learning in Medical Sciences*, 6(4), 61- 72.
- Sharples, M., Taylor, J., & Vavoula, G. (2005, October). Towards a theory of mobile learning. In *Proceedings of mLearn* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 1-9).
- Shatunova, O., Anisimova, T., Sabirova, F., & Kalimullina, O. (2019). STEAM as an Innovative Educational Technology. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 10(2), 131-144.
- Shaughnessy, J. M. (2013). Mathematics in a STEM context. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 18(6), 324-324.
- Shearer, R. L., & Park, E. (2019). The Theory of Transactional Distance. In *Open and Distance Education Theory Revisited* (pp. 31-38). Springer, Singapore.
- Shen, R., Wang, M., Gao, W., Novak, D., & Tang, L. (2009). Mobile learning in a large blended computer science classroom: System function, pedagogies, and their impact on learning. *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 52(4), 538-546.
- Shute, V. J., Rieber, L., & Van Eck, R. (2011). Games... and... learning. *Trends and issues in instructional design and technology*, 3(1), 1-31.
- Silk, E. M., Higashi, R., Shoop, R., & Schunn, C. D. (2010). Designing technology activities that teach mathematics. *The Technology Teacher*, 69(4), 21-27.
- Siregar, N. C., Rosli, R., Maat, S. M., & Capraro, M. M. (2019). The Effect of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) Program on Students' Achievement in Mathematics: A Meta-Analysis. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 15(1), em0549.
- Sitzmann, T. (2011). A meta-analytic examination of the instructional effectiveness of computer-based simulation games. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(2), 489-528.
- Smith, C., & Watson, J. (2018). STEM: Silver bullet for a viable future or just more flatland. *Journal of Futures Studies*, 22(4), 25-44.
- Smith, T. J., Walker, D. A., Chen, H. T., & Hong, Z. R. (2019). Students' sense of school belonging and attitude towards science: A cross-cultural examination. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 1-13.
- Sneideman, J. M. (2013). Engaging children in STEM education EARLY. *Washington DC: Natural Start Alliance, North American Association for Environmental Education*.
- Solórzano, J., Rojas, Y., Vargas, C., Rueda, O., & Palma, H. H. (2018). Soft Skills and Advantages for Learning Mathematics at an Early Age. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 11, 45.

- Soloway, E., Jackson, S. L., Klein, J., Quintana, C., Reed, J., Spitulnik, J., & Scala, N. (1996, April). Learning theory in practice: Case studies of learner-centered design. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems* (pp. 189-196).
- Spencer, K. (2017). *The psychology of educational technology and instructional media*. London: Routledge.
- Squire, K. (2003). Video games in education. *Int. J. Intell. Games & Simulation*, 2(1), 49–62.
- Squire, K. (2005). Changing the game: What happens when video games enter the classroom? *Innovate: Journal of Online Education*, 1(6).
- Squire, K., & Dikkers, S. (2012). Amplifications of learning: Use of mobile media devices among youth. *Convergence*, 18(4), 445–464.
- Squire, K. D., Jan, M., Matthews, J., Wagler, M., Martin, J., DeVane, B., & Holden, C. (2007). Wherever you go, there you are: Place-based augmented reality games for learning. In *The design and use of simulation computer games in education* (pp. 273- 304). Brill Sense.
- Squire, K., & Klopfer, E. (2007). Augmented reality simulations on handheld computers. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 16(3), 371-413.
- Steinkuehler, C., & Duncan, S. (2008). Scientific habits of mind in virtual worlds. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 17(6), 530-543.
- Stohlmann, M., Moore, T. J., & Roehrig, G. H. (2012). Considerations for teaching integrated STEM education. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 2(1), 4.
- Sutopo, H., & Pamungkas, W. (2017). Developing Mathematics Mobile Game to Enhance Learning for Children. *22017 IEEE International Conference on Computational Science and Engineering (CSE) and IEEE International Conference on Embedded and Ubiquitous Computing (EUC)*, 191–197. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CSE- EUC.2017.41>
- Sutton-Smith, B. (1997). The ambiguity of play: rhetorics of fate. *The Performance Studies Reader*, 132-38.
- Taber, K. S. (2017). The role of new educational technology in teaching and learning: A constructivist perspective on digital learning. In *Handbook on digital learning for k- 12 schools* (pp. 397-412). Springer, Cham.
- Taylor, R. E., & Judd, L. L. (1989). Delphi method applied to tourism. *Delphi Method Applied to Tourism.*, 95-98.

- Terras, M. M., & Ramsay, J. (2012). The five central psychological challenges facing effective mobile learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 43(5), 820- 832.
- Tiffin, J., & Rajasingham, L. (1995). *In search of the virtual class: Education in an information society*. East Sussex: Psychology Press.
- TIMSS Encyclopedia (2015). Saudi Arabia. <http://timssandpirls.bc.edu/timss2015/encyclopedia/countries/saudi-arabia/the-mathematics-curriculum-in-primary-and-lower-secondary-grades/>
- Tokac, U., Novak, E., & Thompson, C. G. (2019). Effects of game-based learning on students' mathematics achievement: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 35(3), 407-420.
- Tu, H. C., & Hsiang, J. (2000). An architecture and category knowledge for intelligent information retrieval agents. *Decision Support Systems*, 28(3), 255-268.
- Turner, J. (2016). Mobile learning in K-12 education: Personal meets systemic. In *Mobile learning design* (pp. 221-238). Springer, Singapore.
- Vahey, P., Roschelle, J., & Tatar, D. (2007). Using handhelds to link private cognition and public interaction. *Educational Technology*, 13-16.
- Vahey, P., Tatar, D., & Roschelle, J. (2004). Leveraging Handhelds to Increase Student Learning: Engaging Middle School Students with the Mathematics of Change. In Kafai, Y. B., Sandoval, W. A., Enyedy, N., Nixon, A. S., & Herrera, F. (Eds.), *International Conference of the Learning Sciences 2004: Embracing Diversity in the Learning Sciences* (pp. 553-560). Santa Monica, CA: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Van Eck, R. (2006). Digital game-based learning: It's not just the digital natives who are restless. *Educause Review*, 41(2), 16.
- Verenikina, I. (2003). Understanding scaffolding and the ZPD in educational research (Doctorate Thesis) University of Wollongong.
- Viberg, O., Grönlund, Å., & Andersson, A. (2020). Integrating digital technology in mathematics education: a Swedish case study. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-12.
- Visscher-Voerman, I., & Gustafson, K. L. (2004). Paradigms in the theory and practice of education and training design. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 52(2), 69-89.
- Vogel, J. J., Vogel, D. S., Cannon-Bowers, J., Bowers, C. A., Muse, K., & Wright, M. (2006). Computer gaming and interactive simulations for learning: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 34(3), 229-243.

- Von Glasersfeld, E. (1995). A constructivist Approach to Teaching. *Constructivism in Education*, 3-16.
- Vuopala, E., Hyvönen, P., & Järvelä, S. (2016). Interaction forms in successful collaborative learning in virtual learning environments. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 17(1), 25-38.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1962). *Thought and language*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Massassuchet: MIT Press.
- Vygotsky, L. (1978). Interaction between learning and development. *Readings on the Development of Children*, 23(3), 34-41.
- Vyas, R., Albright, S., Walker, D., Zachariah, A., & Lee, M. Y. (2010). Clinical training at remote sites using mobile technology: an India–USA partnership. *Distance Education*, 31(2), 211-226.
- Wan Nurul Huda, W.A., Nurul Shafiqah, Y. A. & Izan, R. M. (2019). The application of the fuzzy Delphi technique on a component of development of form four STEM- based physics interactive laboratory (I-Lab). *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 8(2), 2908-2912.
- Wang, Q., & Castro, C. D. (2010). Classroom Interaction and Language Output. *English language teaching*, 3(2), 175-186.
- Wang, M., Shen, R., Novak, D., & Pan, X. (2009). The impact of mobile learning on students' learning behaviours and performance: Report from a large blended classroom. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40(4), 673-695.
- Watts, L. (2016). Synchronous and asynchronous communication in distance learning: A review of the literature. *Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 17(1), 23.
- Webster, M. D. (2017). Philosophy of technology assumptions in educational technology leadership. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 20(1), 25-36.
- Weidlich, J., & Bastiaens, T. J. (2018). Technology Matters–The impact of transactional distance on satisfaction in Online Distance Learning. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 19(3).
- Wertsch, J. V. (1991). *A sociocultural approach to socially shared cognition*. In L. B. Resnick, J. M. Levine, & S. D. Teasley (Eds.), *Perspectives on socially shared cognition* (p. 85–100). American Psychological Association.
- Williamson, K. M., Land, L., Butler, B., & Ndahi, H. B. (2004). A structured framework for using games to teach mathematics and science in K-12 classrooms. *The Technology Teacher*, 64(3), 15-19.
- Wiseman, A. W., Sadaawi, A., & Alromi, N. H. (2008, September). Educational indicators and national development in Saudi Arabia. In *3rd IEA International*

Research Conference, Taipei City, Taiwan.

- Witkin, B. R. (1977). Needs assessment kits, models and tools. *Educational Technology*, 17(11), 5-18.
- Witkin, B. R., Altschuld, J. W., & Altschuld, J. (1995). *Planning and conducting needs assessments: A practical guide*. London: Sage.
- Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17(2), 89-100.
- Wynn, D. C., & Clarkson, P. J. (2018). Process models in design and development. *Research in Engineering Design*, 29(2), 161-202.
- Yelland, N., & Masters, J. (2007). Rethinking scaffolding in the information age. *Computers & Education*, 48(3), 362-382.
- Yelland, N. (2015). Young children as multimodal learners in the information age. In *Young children and families in the information age* (pp. 151–163). Springer.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 8(3), 338-353.
- Zell, S. (2019). Review of STEM teaching models: A call for promoting interdisciplinary approaches in regular mathematics lessons. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 38(4), 361-373.
- Zurita, G., & Nussbaum, M. (2007). A conceptual framework based on activity theory for mobile CSCL. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 38(2), 211-235.