



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***FACTORS INFLUENCING INTERNSHIP SATISFACTION AND THEIR
RELATIONSHIP TO SELF-PERCEIVED COMPETENCE AMONG
HOSPITALITY UNDERGRADUATES OF PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN
MALAYSIA***

SYAFINAZ BINTI RUSLAN

FSTM 2021 25



**FACTORS INFLUENCING INTERNSHIP SATISFACTION AND THEIR
RELATIONSHIP TO SELF-PERCEIVED COMPETENCE AMONG
HOSPITALITY UNDERGRADUATES OF PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN
MALAYSIA**

By

SYAFINAZ BINTI RUSLAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

January 2021

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**FACTORS INFLUENCING INTERNSHIP SATISFACTION AND THEIR
RELATIONSHIP TO SELF-PERCEIVED COMPETENCE AMONG
HOSPITALITY UNDERGRADUATES OF PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN
MALAYSIA**

By

SYAFINAZ BINTI RUSLAN

January 2021

Chairman : Siti Fatimah Mohamad, PhD
Faculty : Food Science and Technology

Most of the local universities integrate internship programs in their curriculum design, which intends to enhance students' skills and promote the real work environment. The internship program benefits the students by giving opportunities to polish their career skills and to gain on-the-job experiences. Previous literature has proven that the work experiences of an internship program make a significant impact on students' career choices. Students who had undergone the internship program, particularly in the hospitality industry, agreed to some extent that previous internship satisfaction would have a strong influence on their career intentions. However, the essence of successful internship experiences lies in the satisfaction of students with their internships. Internship satisfaction is one area of research that has been largely neglected and little research has been done on it. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to identify the effect between job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors with the internship satisfaction among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities. Besides, this study aims to determine the effect of internship satisfaction and self-perceived competence among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities. This study also aims to assess the most influential factors of internship satisfaction among job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors. A quantitative research method was employed in this study, where it involved 302 hospitality undergraduates from eight public universities. The relationships among variables were analysed with Pearson Correlation analysis, multiple regression, linear regression and descriptive analysis. The models presented were evaluated with Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM). Based on the findings from the PLS-SEM analysis, three independent variables (job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors) had positively influenced internship satisfaction, so does internship satisfaction had positively influenced self-perceived competence. This study also found that organizational environment had the highest impact towards internship satisfaction (beta = 0.393), followed by job characteristics (beta = 0.301), and

contextual factors ($\beta = 0.232$). In conclusion, this study may extend the current literature on internship satisfaction and shed some light on related stakeholders, particularly universities, to strategize for a more effective internship program. This will enhance students' internship satisfaction and later escalate their competencies for better employment opportunities.

Keywords: Competencies, contextual factors, internship satisfaction, job characteristics, Malaysian public universities, and organizational environment.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI KEPUASAN LATIHAN INDUSTRI DAN HUBUNGAN MEREKA TERHADAP KOMPETENSI PENILAIAN DIRI DIKALANGAN SISWAZAH HOSPITALITI DI UNIVERSITI AWAM MALAYSIA

Oleh

SYAFINAZ BINTI RUSLAN

Januari 2021

Pengerusi : Siti Fatimah Mohamad, PhD
Fakulti : Sains dan Teknologi Makanan

Sebilangan besar universiti tempatan telah mengintegrasikan program latihan industri ke dalam reka bentuk kurikulum mereka, dalam usaha untuk meningkatkan kemahiran pelajar dan mempromosikan persekitaran kerja yang sebenar. Program latihan industri ini memberi manfaat kepada pelajar dengan memberi peluang untuk menggilap kemahiran kerjaya mereka dan memperoleh pengalaman di tempat kerja. Penyelidikan sebelum ini telah membuktikan bahawa pengalaman kerja yang diperolehi semasa program latihan industri telah memberi kesan yang besar terhadap penentu kerjaya di kalangan pelajar. Pelajar yang telah menjalani latihan industri, terutamanya di dalam industri perhotelan, bersetuju bahawa kepuasan terhadap pengalaman latihan industri memberi pengaruh yang kuat terhadap penentuan kerjaya mereka. Walau bagaimanapun, inti pati latihan industri yang berkesan bergantung kepada kepuasan pelajar terhadap latihan industri masing-masing. Kepuasan latihan industri adalah salah satu bidang penyelidikan yang sebahagian besarnya telah diabaikan dan hanya sedikit sahaja kajian yang telah dilakukan. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti kesan antara ciri pekerjaan, persekitaran organisasi, dan faktor kontekstual terhadap kepuasan latihan industri di kalangan pelajar sarjana hospitaliti di universiti awam Malaysia. Di samping itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui kesan kepuasan latihan industri dan kecekapan diri di kalangan pelajar sarjana hospitaliti di universiti awam Malaysia. Kajian ini juga bertujuan untuk menilai faktor kepuasan latihan industri yang paling berpengaruh di antara ciri pekerjaan, persekitaran organisasi, dan faktor kontekstual. Kaedah penyelidikan kuantitatif telah dilaksanakan, melibatkan 302 siswazah hospitaliti dari lapan universiti awam yang menawarkan program sarjana muda dalam hospitaliti dan perkhidmatan makanan di Malaysia. Hubungan antara pemboleh ubah dianalisis dengan analisis Korelasi Pearson, regresi berganda, regresi linear dan analisis deskriptif. Model yang direka juga dinilai menggunakan perisian Pemodelan Persamaan Struktural Partial Least Squares (PLS-SEM). Berdasarkan penemuan dari analisis PLS-SEM, ketiga-tiga pemboleh ubah (ciri pekerjaan, persekitaran organisasi, dan faktor kontekstual) telah mempengaruhi kepuasan latihan industri secara

positif, begitu juga dengan kepuasan latihan industri secara positif mempengaruhi kompetensi penilaian diri. Disamping itu, persekitaran organisasi mempunyai kesan tertinggi terhadap kepuasan latihan industri ($\beta = 0.393$), diikuti oleh ciri pekerjaan ($\beta = 0.301$), dan faktor kontekstual ($\beta = 0.232$). Sebagai kesimpulan, kajian ini dapat memperluas literatur terkini tentang kepuasan latihan industri dan memberi sedikit penerangan kepada pihak berkepentingan, khususnya universiti, untuk menyusun strategi bagi program latihan industri pelajar dan kemudian meningkatkan kecekapan mereka bagi peluang pekerjaan yang lebih baik.

Kata kunci: Kompetensi, faktor kontekstual, kepuasan latihan industri, ciri pekerjaan, universiti awam Malaysia, dan persekitaran organisasi.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. May He showers his blessing to the people around me, in this world, and the hereafter.

First and foremost, I thank the Almighty God for giving me this opportunity and the ability to proceed successfully and guiding me throughout this journey of Master's Degree. To my biggest and endless supporters, my mom and dad, Maizun Jaafar and Ruslan Alias, I am extremely grateful to be your child as you never giving up on me to my achievements in education. My lovely dad, who had passed away on 26th September 2020, I pray the Almighty place you in the highest rank of Jannah. Thank you for your extreme patience throughout this journey; you are the source of my strength, love, and perseverance.

My supervisor; Dr Siti Fatimah Mohamad, thank you for guiding me throughout this research process. I consider myself very fortunate for being able to work with a very considerate and encouraging person like you who has a way of revitalising my hope. Your supervisory principles including clarity, structure, enthusiasm, task-oriented, and much more your attention to details are talents that I will always treasure. You made it possible for me to obtain this Master with your constructive feedback which perfected my research. I really do appreciate your support. It would never have been possible for me to take this work to completion without your incredible support. You gave me an opportunity to experience what it is to be a thriving research student.

My co-supervisor (s); Dr Nurul Hanisah Juhari and Associate Professor Dr. Muhammad Shahrin Abdul Karim, thank you so much, you inspired me. Your guidance, encouragement and insistence towards perfection in my research contributed a great deal to my research experience. Thank you for all your honest advice and commitment to my success. I cannot thank you enough for all the times you created for me whenever I need help with my research. I sincerely appreciate your care and your ability to make me feel very welcome during our meetings. Thank you for all your patience.

Last but not least, to all my friends, thanks for sharing with me your valuable knowledge and research experiences and for being there for me whenever I was down. Thank you for inspiring me to thrive for excellence. Your support is priceless. Thank you.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Siti Fatimah Mohamad, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Food Science and Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Nurul Hanisah Juhari, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Food Science and Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Muhammad Sharim Abdul Karim, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Food Science and Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 August 2021

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Siti Fatimah Mohamad

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Nurul Hanisah Juhari

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Professor Dr. Muhammad Sharim Abdul Karim

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ABSTRAK | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | v |
| APPROVAL | vi |
| DECLARATION | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xv |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xvi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvii |
| | |
| CHAPTER | |
| | |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Research Background | 1 |
| 1.2.1 The Importance of Internship | 1 |
| 1.2.2 Malaysian Graduates' Employability | 2 |
| 1.2.3 Partnering Industry and Education for Curricular Enhancement | 3 |
| 1.3 Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.4 Research Objectives | 4 |
| 1.5 Research Questions | 5 |
| 1.6 Significance of the Study | 5 |
| 1.7 Chapter Layout | 6 |
| 1.8 Definition of Major Terms | 7 |
| 1.9 Conclusion | 7 |
| | |
| 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 9 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 9 |
| 2.2 Overview of Hospitality Education in Malaysia | 9 |
| 2.3 Internship | 10 |
| 2.3.1 Internship Role in the Hospitality Education | 10 |
| 2.3.2 Internship Benefits to the Stakeholders | 11 |
| 2.3.2.1 Benefits to the Students | 11 |
| 2.3.2.2 Benefits to the Employers | 11 |
| 2.3.2.3 Benefits to the Educators | 12 |
| 2.3.3 Internship Satisfaction | 12 |
| 2.4 Competency | 14 |
| 2.5 Relevant Models to the Study | 15 |
| 2.5.1 Internship Satisfaction Model | 15 |
| 2.5.2 Job Characteristic Model | 16 |
| 2.5.3 Soft Skills Model | 17 |
| 2.6 Predictors of the Internship Satisfaction and Hypotheses Development | 18 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.6.1 | Job Characteristics | 18 |
| 2.6.2 | Organizational Environment | 20 |
| 2.6.3 | Contextual Factors | 22 |
| 2.7 | Relationship between Internship Satisfactions with Self-perceived Competence | 23 |
| 2.8 | Conceptual Framework of the Study | 25 |
| 2.9 | Summary of the Hypotheses | 26 |
| 2.10 | Conclusion | 26 |
| 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 27 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 27 |
| 3.2 | Research Design | 27 |
| 3.3 | Data Collection Methods | 27 |
| 3.4 | Sampling Design | 28 |
| 3.4.1 | Target Population and Samples | 29 |
| 3.4.2 | Sampling Technique | 30 |
| 3.4.3 | Sampling Size | 30 |
| 3.5 | Research Instrument | 30 |
| 3.6 | Data Analysis | 31 |
| 3.6.1 | Pilot Test | 32 |
| 3.6.1.1 | Reliability Analysis | 32 |
| 3.6.1.2 | Validity | 33 |
| 3.7 | Conclusion | 33 |
| 4 | RESULTS | 34 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 34 |
| 4.2 | Preliminary Analysis | 34 |
| 4.2.1 | Respondents' Profile | 34 |
| 4.2.2 | Labels and Descriptive Statistics of Variables | 37 |
| 4.3 | Response Rate | 42 |
| 4.4 | Data Screening | 43 |
| 4.4.1 | Missing Values | 43 |
| 4.4.2 | Outliers Examination | 45 |
| 4.4.3 | Testing the Assumptions of Normality | 47 |
| 4.4.4 | Homoscedasticity | 52 |
| 4.4.5 | Multicollinearity | 54 |
| 4.4.6 | Test of Non-Response Bias | 57 |
| 4.5 | Assessment of Measurement Model | 57 |
| 4.5.1 | Internal Consistency Reliability (Composite Reliability) | 57 |
| 4.5.2 | Indicator Reliability | 62 |
| 4.5.3 | Convergent Validity | 64 |
| 4.5.4 | Discriminant Validity | 64 |
| 4.6 | Assessment of Structural Model | 65 |
| 4.6.1 | Assessment of Structured Model for Collinearity Issues | 65 |
| 4.6.2 | Assessment of the Significance and Relevance of the Structured Model Relationship | 65 |

| | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|--|-----|
| | 4.6.3 | Assessment of the Level of Coefficient Determination (R^2) | 66 |
| | 4.6.4 | Assessment of the Effect Size (f^2) | 66 |
| | 4.6.5 | Assessment of Predictive Relevance (Q^2) | 67 |
| | 4.7 | Chapter Summary | 67 |
| 5 | CONCLUSION | | 69 |
| | 5.1 | Overview | 69 |
| | 5.2 | Summary of the Research Findings | 69 |
| | 5.2.1 | Objective 1: To identify the effect between internship determinants (job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors) with internship satisfaction | 69 |
| | 5.2.2 | Objective 2: To determine the effect between internship satisfaction and self-perceived competence among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities | 70 |
| | 5.2.3 | Objective 3: To assess the most influential factors of internship satisfaction among job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors | 71 |
| | 5.3 | Implications | 71 |
| | 5.3.1 | Theoretical Implications | 72 |
| | 5.3.2 | Managerial Implications | 73 |
| | 5.4 | Limitations and Recommendation of the Study | 74 |
| | 5.5 | Conclusion | 75 |
| | REFERENCES | | 76 |
| | APPENDICES | | 90 |
| | BIODATA OF STUDENT | | 124 |
| | LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | | 125 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Definition of Competency by Different Authors | 14 |
| 3.1 | Target population and samples of this study | 29 |
| 3.2 | Research instrument development | 31 |
| 3.3 | Reliability of the pilot study | 32 |
| 4.1 | Respondents' Profile | 36 |
| 4.2 | Mean Scores and Standard Deviation for Study Variables (Job Characteristics) | 38 |
| 4.3 | Mean Scores and Standard Deviation for Study Variables (Organizational Environments) | 39 |
| 4.4 | Mean Scores and Standard Deviation for Study Variables (Contextual Factors) | 40 |
| 4.5 | Mean Scores and Standard Deviation for Study Variables (Internship Satisfaction) | 40 |
| 4.6 | Mean Scores and Standard Deviation for Study Variables (Self Perceived Competence) | 41 |
| 4.7 | The Distribution of Participating Respondents | 43 |
| 4.8 | Response Rate | 43 |
| 4.9 | Missing data of Job Offer by the Supervisor | 44 |
| 4.10 | Missing data of Internship Placement | 44 |
| 4.11 | Missing data of Salary or Allowances | 44 |
| 4.12 | Missing Data of Variables | 45 |
| 4.13 | Univariate outliers | 46 |
| 4.14 | Multivariate outliers | 47 |
| 4.15 | Normality (Skewness and Kurtosis) | 49 |
| 4.16 | Mardia's multivariate skewness and kurtosis | 50 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 4.17 | Test of Homogeneity of Variances | 53 |
| 4.18 | Pearson correlation for observing multicollinearity | 55 |
| 4.19 | Regression for observing VIF and tolerance effect | 56 |
| 4.20 | Non-Response Bias | 57 |
| 4.21 | Results of Items Reliability, Internal Consistency and Convergent Validity (re-initiated) | 63 |
| 4.22 | Fornell – Larcker Criterion | 64 |
| 4.23 | HTMT Criterion | 65 |
| 4.24 | Lateral Collinearity Assessment from Inner VIF values | 65 |
| 4.25 | Hypothesis Testing | 66 |
| 4.26 | R Square | 66 |
| 4.27 | Assessment of effect size (f^2) | 67 |
| 4.28 | Predictive Relevancy for Endogenous Variable (Q^2) | 67 |
| 5.1 | Hypothesis testing between job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors with internship satisfaction | 70 |
| 5.2 | Hypothesis testing between internship satisfaction and self- perceived competence | 70 |
| 5.3 | The influential factors of internship satisfaction | 71 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Adopted from D'Abate et al. (2009) | 15 |
| 2.2 | Adopted from Hackman and Oldham (1980) | 16 |
| 2.3 | Adopted from the Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education (2006) | 17 |
| 2.4 | Flow for the study | 25 |
| 4.1 | Box-plot representing multivariate outliers | 47 |
| 4.2 | Histogram of regression standardised residual (IS) | 50 |
| 4.3 | Multivariate normal P-P plot of regression standardised residual (IS) | 51 |
| 4.4 | Histogram of regression standardised residual (SPC) | 51 |
| 4.5 | Multivariate normal P-P plot of regression standardised residual (SPC) | 52 |
| 4.6 | Assumption of Homoscedasticity (IS) | 53 |
| 4.7 | Assumption of Homoscedasticity (SPC) | 54 |
| 4.8 | Building the Inner Model (Structural Model) | 58 |
| 4.9 | Building the Outer Model (Measurement Model) | 59 |
| 4.10 | PLS-SEM Path Modelling Estimation | 60 |
| 4.11 | PLS-SEM Path Modelling Estimation – Modified | 61 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | | Page |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| A | Invitation letter | 90 |
| B | Questionnaire (Self- administered) | 91 |
| C | Questionnaire (Online Survey) | 102 |
| D | Enumerator Payment Form | 123 |



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------|--|
| AC | Abstract Conceptualisation |
| AE | Active Experimentation |
| AVE | Average Variance Extracted |
| CE | Concrete Experience |
| CF | Contextual Factors |
| CGPA | Cumulative Grade Point Average |
| CR | Composite Reliability |
| f^2 | Effect Size |
| HLIs | Higher Learning Institutions |
| HTMT | Heterotrait- Monotrait |
| IUM | International Islamic University Malaysia |
| IQR | Interquartile Range |
| IS | Internship Satisfaction |
| JC | Job Characteristics |
| JCM | Job Characteristics Model |
| KDU | Kolej Damansara Utama |
| MOHE | Ministry of Higher Education |
| MSU | Management and Science University |
| My3S | Malaysian Soft Skills Scale |
| OE | Organizational Environment |
| PLS-SEM | Partial Least Squares- Structural Equation Modelling |
| Q^2 | Predictive Relevance |
| R^2 | Coefficient Determination |

| | |
|--------|---|
| RO | Reflective Observation |
| RO1 | Research Objective 1 |
| RO2 | Research Objective 2 |
| RO3 | Research Objective 3 |
| SPC | Self-perceived Competence |
| SPSS | Statistical Package for the Social Sciences |
| UCSI | University College Sedaya International |
| UiTM | Universiti Teknologi Mara |
| UMK | Universiti Malaysia Kelantan |
| UMS | Universiti Malaysia Sabah |
| UMT | Universiti Malaysia Terengganu |
| UNITAR | Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman |
| UPM | Universiti Putra Malaysia |
| UUM | Universiti Utara Malaysia |
| VIF | Variance Inflation Factors |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter focuses on the research background, problem statement, research objectives, research questions, hypotheses development, and significance of the study. Additionally, this chapter also presented an explanation for each of the chapter layouts; from chapter one until chapter five, together with the definition of major terms. The conclusion is presented at the end of the topic.

1.2 Research Background

The purpose of the research background is to give an overview of the research context and providing initial support on the relevance of conducting this research study. There are three sections involved comprising the importance of internship, Malaysian graduates' employability, and partnering industry and education for curricular enhancement.

1.2.1 The Importance of Internship

Previously, there are several suggestions proposed by researchers to the academics and practitioners in overcoming ill-prepared graduates, and one of the suggestions is to infuse and develop the required skills during an internship (Walo, 2000). The internship is a platform providing a professional learning experience that integrates knowledge and theory learned in classrooms with the practical work experience (Barrows & Bosselman, 1999). Also, the internship is the best way of training, particularly in the hospitality industry, as it is the most excellent approach for students to experience the real world of the working environment within a specific period specifically to assist their future career path (Scott, 1992).

Futhermore, the ideas of internship could be the preliminary level of working experiences among university students to assist in their career decision making and closing the gap between the perceptions and expectations of the development of self-competencies are also argued by Brooks et al. (1995). Undoubtedly, many previous academic researchers had argued that the benefits and positive outcomes attain from internships are aplenty. Furthermore, the successful internship programs is measured in the satisfaction of students with their internships. Thus, the outcome of the internship experience is crucial and significant to measure, in order to provide for better developmental experiences for students as it will assist them for their career intentions (Huang & Ja, 2010).

From the employer's perspectives, the content of internship program itself was designing to determine what type of internship structure will work best for the students that will fit within the company's goals and culture (Anjum, 2020). For examples:

- a) What does the organization hope to gain from the program?
- b) Is the organization looking to fulfill a need on a specific project?
- c) Will this internship encompass one major project or entail a variety of small projects?
- d) What are the tools and workspace necessary to provide the student?
- e) What talents, academic background and experience do employer want in an intern?
- f) Who will be primarily responsible for the intern(s)? Will that person be a mentor, supervisor, or both?

However, there is no agreement to finalize the program structure outlining the best practices in internship design (Jack et al., 2017). Each institution has its own requirements and partners in industry. It is imperative for program administrators to determine how many hours of internship are adequate as well as what types of experiences recruiters find most beneficial (Gursoy, Rahman, & Swanger, 2012; Huang & Lin, 2011). If educators build a curriculum without adequate input from industry leaders, there is the potential for deficiencies in students' skill and marketability upon graduation. By knowing potential employers' expectations and the perceived preparedness of the students themselves, educators will have the ability to adjust academic programs accordingly. This will allow for the most effective program for students as well as enhance the labor pool upon graduation. Although the number of hospitality programs within higher education has grown considerably over the last three decades, there is no standardization of curriculum to date (Crockett, 2002).

1.2.2 Malaysian Graduates' Employability

Malaysia has shown a huge number of application for entering the job market among fresh graduates and this trend continuously rising over the years (Rahmah et al., 2011; Sirat et al., 2012). To date, Malaysia still encounter the issue of rising graduate unemployment despite significant changes in the Malaysian economy and also transitional demand by the industries. One of the factors affected to the graduate unemployment all over the world is due to the mismatch of employability skills among stakeholders (Nwajiuba et al., 2020).

The fact that Malaysian graduates are still encountering the lacking of requisite skills and related working experiences should be the main concern (Marchante et al., 2011; National Associated of Manufactured, 2011; Rahmah et al., 2011). To support that, Ying (2013) complained, in Malaysia, the main reasons for graduate fails to get the job opportunities is due to the lacking of employability skills. To that point, the critical

function of possessing adequate employability skills, especially among Malaysian graduates, has been vital in the ever-changing workforce (Robinson & Garton, 2008). Thus, in order for graduates to secure for a professional position, they need to put an extra effort to acquire and enhance particular job specific skill and a range of soft skills within themselves.

1.2.3 Partnering Industry and Education for Curricular Enhancement

As the industry keeps expanding with continual demand from extensive stakeholders for examples universities, students, employers, and educators, program curricula became the focal point to achieve the desired outcomes that are seen to be useful for the development of graduates employability (Casado, 2003; Jafari & Ritchie, 1981; Lefever & Withiam, 1998; Pavesic, 1984, 1993; Tribe 2002; Umbreit, 1992). Currently, the issue of graduates' employability has been a growing concern in many countries, including Malaysia. A common belief in the industry is that HLIs are taking the responsibility to ensure graduates well-equipped with the relevant skills in order to have a placement in the job market (Robinson & Garton, 2008).

1.3 Problem Statement

Higher Learning Institutions (HLIs) once again steal the spotlight in the issue of supplying inadequate quality manpower to contribute to the industrial evolution (Kamaghe & Juliana, 2020). According to Nor Zahidi Alias, the chief economist at Malaysian Rating Corp Bhd in FMT News (2017), the statistics show an increasing number of unemployed Malaysian fresh graduates even though the labor market fundamentals remaining reputable. He added, employers nowadays facing substantial burdens despite graduates' lack of on-site working experience as many companies prioritize on it from employees. Unfortunately, in Malaysia, many students often fail in realizing the importance of possessing real-world experience by giving concentration on scoring good grades in academics only (Phang et al., 2014; Sawani et al., 2016; Shariff et al., 1992; Tanius & Alam, 2014).

In regards to the issues of graduates' lack of hands-on experiences demanded by employers, HLIs make a great effort to develop internship programs that enable to polish their students with the necessary skills demanded by the industry. By having effective internship programs, students are able to develop professional skills, learning experiences, and obtain a better understanding of the industry requirements (Jake et al., 2020). Yet, negative internship experience can make a student stay away in the particular industry. In fact, several studies supported bad internship experiences have impact on the students' intention to sustain specifically in the hospitality industry (Barron & Maxwell, 1993; Callan, 1997; Richardson, 2008; West & Jameson, 1990; and Zopiatis, 2007). Christopher et al. (2020) highlighted many hospitality students, which through their working experience, have become considerably less interested in selecting hospitality as their first career choice. As a result, many graduates from hospitality management leave the industry due to the unfulfilled expectations (Madalyn et al., 2020).

Adjusting a curriculum and pedagogy allow the institutions to address the gap between classroom and practice in hospitality education. Academicians specifically are urged to assist students see connections between classroom knowledge and the realities of the working world. However, these in-class activities cannot provide a complete replication of the complexities involved in real-world problem analysis and solving. Here is where appropriate designed internships play an important role as they put students in action-learning situations which allow them to apply classroom theory and knowledge to practice (Asirifi et al., 2013).

Thus, in order to ensure hospitality graduates are ready to kick-start their first permanent career in the industry, perhaps both HLIs and industry should work together closely to develop a well-structured internship program in order to meet stakeholder's expectations (Arif et al., 2020). Although several studies emphasized on the effects of students' internship experiences towards their career intention, there is still a lack of empirical research that has been carried out on the effect between internship determinants, internship satisfaction, and self-perceived competence, particularly within the hospitality context.

Consequently, the purpose of this study is much more comprehensive through the broader coverage in different areas of internship satisfaction. This study intends to use findings from extensive literatures to determine the factors of internship satisfaction from interns' perspectives and experiences through three main factors, which are, job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors. The researcher emphasized that these three factors contribute to the overall satisfaction of undergraduates towards their internship experience.

In particular, the objective of this study is to identify the effect between internship determinants (job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors) with the internship satisfaction among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities. Besides, this study aims to determine the effect of internship satisfaction and self-perceived competence among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities. This study also aims to assess the most influential factors of internship satisfaction among job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors.

1.4 Research Objectives

In general, this study aimed to investigate the factors that contribute to the internship satisfaction and its influence on self-perceived competence among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities. The specific objectives of this study are presented in the following:

- a) To identify the effect between internship determinants (job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors) with the internship

satisfaction among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities.

- b) To determine the effect between internship satisfaction and self-perceived competence among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities.
- c) To assess the most influential factors of internship satisfaction among job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors.

1.5 Research Questions

- a) What are the effect between internship determinants (job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors) with the internship satisfaction among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities?
- b) What is the effect between internship satisfaction and self-perceived competence among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities?
- c) What are the most influential factors of internship satisfaction among job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors?

1.6 Significance of the Study

Theoretically, the primary significance of this current study is, it provides broader coverage in different natures (i.e. business, education, sport management, and computer science) focused on the factors of internship satisfaction that comprise of job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors. The study also focused on the extending knowledge of internship outcomes by connecting internship satisfaction with self-perceived competence to ensure that students are notice of their strengths and weaknesses after completing their internship practices which is crucial for future challenges and successful career development. Besides, the target population of this study is determined to be one of the uniqueness as it involves variation respondents which come from eight public universities in Malaysia.

Practically, this study will be significant to the host companies of hospitality internships as they can execute the nature of this current study to test whether the factors that affect to the student satisfactions are actually present in the variables of job characteristics, organizational environment, and contextual factors that they offered to interns. The expected outcomes of this study also seen as a platform for companies to attract, recruit and retain stand out practical workers to convert to permanent employees in the future. Hence, the more significant concern will be taken by the company and also institutions to improve their delivery process of a satisfactory learning experience for interns through the identification of the factors that are shown to be effective.

Taking into consideration the competencies required by the industry which has been implied in the survey of this study, the educational institutions should consider redesigning the curriculum structure and internship program as a platform for preparing graduates with the most important competencies as proposed by the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE). The perceptions of students on the self-assessment of competencies are an important indicator of the successful internship outcomes that may provide valuable information for the improvement of curriculum. Thus, industry is seen as a great mutual collaborator for preparing the idea of redeveloping effective hospitality curriculum. As a result, the educational institutions would receive advantage in producing graduates who are work-ready, well-equipped and able to survive the future challenges within the industry.

1.7 Chapter Layout

There are five chapters involved in this thesis which explain the details of every chapter below:

Chapter One is the introduction of the thesis which emphasized more on the research background, problem statement, research objectives, research questions, and the significance of this study.

Chapter Two evolves the literature review consists of extensive literature from previous studies that were reviewed clearly and understandably in the context of job characteristics, organizational environment, contextual factors, internship satisfaction and self-perceived competence. Also, the relevant theoretical, conceptual and proposed framework could be found presented in the same chapter.

Chapter Three focuses on the research methodology, which includes the research design, sample selection, data collection, questionnaire design, data processing and data analysis.

Chapter Four discusses the obtained findings of the measurement model and structural model results analysis.

Chapter Five covers an overview of the major findings of this study. This chapter also presents the contribution of this study, limitations, as well as recommendations for future research.

1.8 Definition of Major Terms

The following terms are operationally defined as they utilize to this study:

Competence- refers to the one who acquire skills and abilities to do something successfully (Kleeman, 2011).

Competency- Refers to the specification and application of activities and skills to the standard of performance required (Tas, 1988).

Curriculum- Referred to the subjects or courses the students have to enroll in regards to the need of the academic fulfillment in a college (Stark and Luttaca, 1996).

Curriculum requirements- The number of academic credits students must complete as a pre-requisite for graduation (Simmons, 2006).

Employability- Brown, Hesketh, and Williams (2003) defined employability as the skills and abilities that allow a person acquiring and maintaining employment.

Employability skills- Defined as a set of transferable skills that are highly valued by employers for effective performance in the workplace (Conference Board of Canada, 2000).

Experiential Learning- Experiential learning is a collaboration among stakeholders for examples students, educational institutions, and the industries in respect to promotes the students career professional development (Dyer & Schumann, 1993).

Internship- A transitional platform for students to apply from classroom learning to hands-on practices (Simmons, 2006).

Job Characteristics- Consist of variables that defined the job areas that have to be done by employees during at workplace (D'Abate et al., 2009).

Organizational Environment- Referred to the surrounding location of the workplace that affect performance, operations, and resources of the organization (Daft, 1997).

Contextual Factors- Factors that defined personal, cultural, social, and economic that influence health, safety, and well-being of a person in physical activity (National Science Foundation, 2005).

1.9 Conclusion

Generally, the primary objective of this current study is to investigate the factors contribute to the internship satisfaction and its influence on self-perceived competence among hospitality undergraduates in Malaysian public universities. This chapter has brought a general understanding on the common ground of issues arise on the supply and demand between HLIs and industry in producing well-prepared graduates in terms

of incorporating internship as part of program curricula, soft skills demanded by industry, graduates' working experiences, and also student's satisfaction towards internship program. Thus, it is shown from relevant literature discussed that the internship is one of the main variables that should be studied to identify the relevant factors that contribute to the internship satisfaction and to determine the relationship between satisfaction with internship experience and self-perceived competence.



REFERENCES

- Abdul Karim, A. M., Abdullah, N., Abdul Rahman, A. M., Mohd Noah, S. M., Wan Jaafar, W. M., Othman, J. Borhan, L. Badusha, J. & Said, H. (2012). A Nationwide Comparative Study between Private and Public University Students, Soft Skills. *Asia Pacific Educational Review*, 13, 541-548.
- Agho, A.O., Mueller, C.W., & Price, J.L. (1993). Determinants of employee job satisfaction. *Human Relations*, 80-85.
- Alpert, F., Heaney, J. G., & Kuhn, K. A. L. (2009). Internships in marketing: Goals, structures and assessment—student, company and academic perspectives. *Australasian Marketing Journal*, 17(1), 36–45.
- Anderson, E.W. & Mittal, V. (2000) Strengthening the Satisfaction-Profit Chain. *Journal of Service Research*, 3, 107-120.
- Asirifi, G. H., Doku, V., Marrison, S., & Sackey, A. S. (2013). The gap between the hospitality education and hospitality industry. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 4(24), 2222-1735.
- Bao, Y., & Fang, G. (2008). A study on hospitality students' satisfaction towards their internship: A case from Hang Zhou, China. School of Tourism and Health Zhejiang Forestry University.
- Barron, P. & Maxwell, G. (1993). Hospitality Management Students' Image of the Hospitality Industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 5(5), 5-8.
- Barrows, C., & Bosselman, R. (1999). Hospitality management education. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Hospitality Press.
- Baum, T. (2006). Human resource management for tourism, hospitality and leisure: An international perspective. *Thomson Learning*, 201-204.
- Beebe, A., Blaylock, A., & Sweetser, K. D. (2009). Job satisfaction in public relations internships. *Public Relations Review*, 35(2), 156-158.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). *Social Science Research: Principles, Methods, and Practices* (2nd ed.). Tampa, Florida: Creative Commons Attribution.
- Binder, J. F., Baguley, T., Crook, C., & Miller, F. (2015). The academic value of internship: Benefits across disciplines and student backgrounds. *Contemporary Education Psychology*, 41, 73-82.
- Blair, B., & Millea, M. (2004). Student academic performance and compensation: The impact of cooperative education. *College Student Journal*, 38(4), 643–653.

- Blomme, R., Van Rheede, A., & Tromp, D. (2009). The hospitality industry: An attractive employer? An exploration of students' and industry workers' perceptions of hospitality as a career field. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education, 21*(2), 6-14.
- Boyatzis, R. E. (1982). *The Competent Manager: A model for Effective Performance*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 308.
- Brooks, L., Cornelius, A., Greefield E., & Joseph, R. (1995). The relation of career-related work or internship experiences to the career development of college seniors. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 46*, 332-349.
- Brophy, M., & Kiely, T. (2002). Competences: A new sector. *Journal of European Industrial Training, 26*, 165–176.
- Brown, P., Hesketh, A., & Williams, S. (2003). Employability in a knowledge- driven economy. *Journal of Education and Work, 16*(2), 107-123.
- Brown, S. P., & Peterson, R. A. (1993). Antecedents and consequences of salesperson job satisfaction: Meta-analysis and assessment of causal effects. *Journal of Research, 63*-77.
- Busby, G. (2005). Work experience and industrial links. *An International Handbook Tourism Education*, 93–107, London, UK: Elsevier.
- Busby, G., & Gibson, P. (2010). Tourism and hospitality internship experiences overseas: A British perspective. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education, 9*(1), 1–9.
- Busby, G., Brunt, P. & Baber, S. (1997). Tourism sandwich placements: an appraisal. *Tourism Management, 28*(2), 105-10.
- Byrne, B. M. (2010). Multivariate applications series. Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming (2nd ed.). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Callan, R. (1997). Supervised work experience in Europe: A profile of UK undergraduate perceptions. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 9*(1), 35-39.
- Casado, M. A. (2003). Hospitality education: Prevalent perceptions. *FIU Hospitality Review, 21*(1), 83-92.
- Che An A. G., & Shattar M. S. (2007). Consolidation of Human Capital Values Through Finishing School Approach. Persidangan Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran di Peringkat Institusi Pengajian Tinggi (CTLHE07), Selangor Malaysia.
- Cheng, T. M., Lu, C.C., & Chen, S.T. (2012). The construction of a professional competency framework for marine leisure and tourism education in Taiwan. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education, 24*(4), 14–21.

- Chinomona, R., & Surujlal, J. (2012). The influence of student internship work experience on their self- improvement and professionalism in sport management. *African Journal for Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance*, 18(2), 885–899.
- Christou, E. (2000). Revisiting competencies for hospitality management: Contemporary views of the stakeholders. *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Education*, 14(1), 25–32.
- Clark, S. C. (2003). Enhancing the educational value of business internships. *Journal of Management Education*, 27(4), 472-484.
- Coco, M. (2000). Internships: A try before you buy arrangement. *SAM Advanced Management Journal*, 65(2), 41.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Collins, A. B. (2002). Gateway to the real world, industrial training: dilemmas and problems. *Tourism Management*, 23, 93-96.
- Cook, S. J., Parker, R. S., & Pettijohn, C. E. (2004). The Perceptions of Interns: A Longitudinal Case Study. *Journal of Education for Business*, 179-185.
- D’Abate, C. P., Youndt, M. A., & Wenzel, K. E. (2009). Making the most of an internship: An empirical study of internship satisfaction. *Academy of Management Learning & Educational*, 8(4), 527-539.
- Daugherty, S. N. (2001). Internship and Co-ops. *Black Collegian*, 31(1), 36.
- Department of Education, Science and Training (2006). *Employability skills: From to practice*. Canberra, Australia: Author.
- Dixon, M. A., Cunningham, G. B., Sagas, M., Turner, B. A., & Kent, A. (2005). Challenge is key: An investigation of affective organizational commitment in undergraduate interns. *Journal of Education for Business*, 80, 172-180.
- Dyer, B., & Schumann, D. W. (1993). Partnering knowledge and experience: The business classroom as laboratory. *Marketing Education Review*, 3(2), 32-39.
- Dysvik, A., & Kuvaas, B. (2013). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation as Predictors of Work Effort: The Moderating Role of Achievement Goals. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 52 (3), 412–430.
- Ellickson, M. C., & K. Logsdon (2002). Determinants of Job Satisfaction of Municipal Government Employees. *Public Personnel Management*, 31(3), 343–58.
- Ellickson, M. C. (2002). Determinants of Job Satisfaction of Municipal Government Employees. *Public Personnel Management*, 31, 343-359.

- Fagenson, E. A. (1989). The mentor advantage: Perceived career /job experiences of protégés versus non-protégés. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 10, 309-320.
- Falk, R. F., & Miller, N. (1992). A primer for soft modeling. Akron, OH: The University of Akron Press.
- Ford, R. C., & Lebruto, S. M. (1995). Management education in the USA: How much practical hotel management education is necessary? *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 7(5), 1-4.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18, 39-50.
- Gi –Yong Koo, G., Diacin, M., Khojasteh, J., & Dixon, A. (2016). Effects of Internship Satisfaction on the Pursuit of Employment in Sport Management. *Sport Management Education Journal*, 10, 29-42.
- Gibson, P., & Busby, G. (2009). Experiencing work: Supporting the undergraduate hospitality, tourism and cruise management student on an overseas work placement. *Journal of Vocational Education and Training*, 61 (4), 467-480.
- Glisson, C., & Durick, M. (1988). Predictors of job satisfaction and organizational commitment in human service organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 33, 61-81.
- Goldsmith, A. & Zahari, S. (1994). Hospitality Education in Malaysia: Filling the Skill Gap. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 6(6), 27-31.
- Gupta, P. B., & Burns, D. J. (2010). An exploration of student satisfaction with internship experiences in marketing. *Business Education & Administration*, 2(1), 27-37.
- Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1980). *Work Redesign*, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts.
- Hair, J. F. J., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). *Long Range Planning*, 46, Los Angeles: SAGE. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2013.01.002>
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (7th ed.). Essex, England: Pearson Education Limited.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E., & Tatham, R.L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

- Harris, C., Wilson, E. & Ateljevic, I. (2007). Structural entanglements and the strategy of audiencing as a reflexive technique. In: I. Ateljevic, A. Pritchard and N. Morgan (Eds). *The Critical Turn in Tourism Studies: Innovative Research Methodologies*. Oxford: Elsevier, 41-56.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in International Marketing*. [http://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979\(2009\)0000020014](http://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)0000020014).
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M. & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modelling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115-135.
- Heskett, J. L., Sasser, W. E. & Schlesinger, L.A. (1997). *The Service Profit Chain: How Leading Companies Link Profit and Growth to Loyalty, Satisfaction, and Value*. Free Press, New York.
- Higson, H. (2008). Graduate Employability, 'Soft Skills' Versus 'Hard' Business Knowledge: A European Study. *High Educ. Eur*, 33(4), 411-422.
- Hillage, J. & Pollard, E. (1999). *Employability: Developing a Framework for Policy Analysis*. DfEE Publications, London.
- Holmes-Smith, H., Coote, L., & Cunningham, E. (2006). *Structural equation modeling: from the fundamentals to advanced topics*. Melbourne: School Research, Evaluation and Measurement Services.
- Huang, H., & Jia, J. (2010). Factors affecting internship satisfaction: Based on organizational socialization theory. Paper presented at the 2010 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Education Hangzhou, China.
- Hulland, J. (1999). Review of PLS use in strategic management research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20, 195–204.
- Hunt, S. D., Chonko, L. B., & Wood, V. R. (1985). Organizational commitment and marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 49, 112-26.
- Hurst, J. S. (2007). Factors involved in increasing conversion rates of interns into full-time employees. Michigan State University, 124.
- Idrus, H., Mohd Dahan, H., & Abdullah, N. (2009). Challenges in the Integration of Soft Skills in Teaching Technical Courses: Lecturers' Perspectives. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 5, 67-81.
- Jack, K., Stensbie, P., & Sciarini, M. (2017). An Examination of the role played by internships in nurturing management competencies in hospitality and tourism management (HTM) students. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 17(1), 17-33.

- Jafari, J., & Ritchie, J. R. B. (1981). Towards a framework for tourism education. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 22(3), 553-567.
- Jaradat, G. M. (2017). Internship training in computer science: Exploring student satisfaction levels. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 63(109).
- Jenkins, A. K. (2001). Marking a career of it? Hospitality students' future perspectives: An Anglo Dutch Study. *International Journal Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 12(1), 13–20.
- Jogaratnam, G., & Buchanan, P. (2004). Balancing the Demand of School and Work: Stress and Employed Hospitality Students. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 16(4), 237-245.
- Kamakura, W. A., Mittal, V., de Rosa, F., Mazzon, J. A. (2002). Assessing the Service-Profit Chain. *Marketing Science*, 21(3), 294-317.
- Kay, C., & De Veau, L.T. (2003). A survey of lodging executives' views on internship programs and cooperatives. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education*, 15(2), 24-29.
- Kementerian Pengajian Tinggi Malaysia (KPTM) (2006). Modul pembangunan kemahiran insaniah (soft skills) untuk institusi pengajian tinggi Malaysia. Serdang: Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Khalil, O. E. M. (2015). Students' experiences with the business internship program at Kuwait University. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 13, 202-217. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2015.05.003>
- Kipreos, G., & Dimitropoulos, P. (2016). Academic internship and students' satisfaction: Evidence from Greece. *Journal of Studies in Education*, 6(3), 21–31. doi:10.5296/jse.v6i3.9536
- Klee, C. R. (2011). Recent school psychology graduates: A preliminary survey of their internship experience, satisfaction, and support. University at Albany, State University of New York.
- Kleman, A. P. (2011). Employers Perceptions: An exploratory study of employability skills expected of new graduates in the hospitality industry. A PhD thesis University of Central Florida Orlando.
- Kline, R. B. (2005). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling: A Researcher's Guide. London: Sage.
- Knouse, S., Tanner, J., Harris, E. (1999). The relation of college internships, college performance, and subsequent job opportunity. *Journal of Employment Counseling*, 36, 35-43.
- Kolb, D. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

- Krejcie, R. V, & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities Robert. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 38(1), 607–610.
- Kumar, M. (2014). Hospitality Education in India-present status, challenges and opportunities. *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 3(2).
- Kumar, R. (2014). *Research Methodology: A Step-By-Step Guide for Beginners* (4th Ed.). London: SAGE Publications.
- Lam, T. & Ching, L. (2007). An exploratory study of an internship programme: The case of Hong Kong students. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 26(2), 336- 351.
- Lawrence, S., & V. J. Callan. (2011). The role of social support in coping during the anticipatory stage of organisational change: A test of an integrative model. *British Journal of Management*, 22, 567–585.
- Lefever, M. & Withiam, G. (1998). Curriculum review. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 39(4), 70-78.
- Leslie, D. (1991). The hospitality industry, industrial placement and personnel management. *Service Industries Journal*, 11(1), 63–74.
- Leslie, D. (2011). TQM and Student Work Experience. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 2(3), 26–32.
- Leslie, D. & Richardson, A. (2000). Tourism and Cooperative Education in UK Undergraduate Courses: Are the benefits being realised? *Tourism Management*, 21(5), 489-498.
- Lindner, J.R., Murphy, T. H., & Briers, G. E. (2001). Handling non-response in social science research. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 42, 43–53.
- Little, B., & Harvey, L. (2006). *Learning through work placements and beyond*. Sheffield, UK: Sheffield Hallam University, Centre for Research and Evaluation.
- Lord, K. R., Sumrall, D., & Sambandam, R. (2011). Satisfaction Determinants in Business Internships. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 2(10).
- Marchante, A. J., Ortego, B., & Pagan, R. (2011). An Analysis of Educational Mismatch and Labor Mobility in the Hospitality Industry. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*, 299-318.
- Marinakou, E. & Giousmpasoglou, C. (2013). An investigation of student Satisfaction from hospitality internship programs in Greece. *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Management*, 1(3), 103-112.
- Marlborough, K. (1999). Development and validation of an instrument to assess student satisfaction with community college internships. University of Iowa, 245-252.

- McMahon, U., & Quinn, U. (1995). Maximizing the hospitality management student work placement experience: A case study. *Education and Training*, 37(4), 13-17.
- McMullin, R. A. (1998). Comparison by hospitality management graduates of perceived experiential-program-acquired skills with perceived employment needs. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 60(1), 78.
- Miller, L. E., & Smith, K. L. (1983). Handling nonresponse issues. *Journal of Extension*, 21(5), 45-50.
- Mills, A. J., Eurepos, G., & Wiebe, E. (2009). *Encyclopedia of Case Study Research*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Ministry of Education (2013). Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013-2025 (Preschool to Post-Secondary Education). Retrieved from http://www.moe.gov.my/cms/upload_files/articlefile/2013/articlefile_file_003108.pdf.
- Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (2006). Development of Soft Skills Module for Institutions of Higher Learning. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Mulcahy, J. D. (1999). Vocational work experience in the hospitality industry: Characteristics and Strategies. *Education-Training*, 41(4), 164-174.
- Mustapha, R., & Greenan, J. P. (2002). The role of vocational education in economic development in Malaysia: educators' and employers perspectives. *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*, 39(2), 58-78.
- Nabi, G. R. (2003). Graduate employment and underemployment: opportunity for skill use and career experiences amongst recent business graduates. *Education and Training*, 45(7), 371-382.
- National Association of Colleges and Employers (2004). News for media professionals: Internships can be key to job offer. Retrieved from <http://www.acweb.org/press/display.asp?year=&prid=203>.
- Nelson, A. A. (1994). Hospitality internships: The effects of job dimensions and supportive relationships on student satisfaction. Wayne State University.
- Ngah, E., Mohd Radzuan, N. R., Fauzi, W. J., Zainal Abidin, N. A. (2011). The Need for Competent Work Ready English Language Learners. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 29, 1490-1499.
- Nguyen, N. D., Yoshinari, Y., & Shigeji, M. (2005). University education and employment in Japan: students' perceptions and employment attributes and implications for university education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 13(3), 202-218.
- Okeiyi, E., Finley, D., & Postel, R. T. (1994). Food and beverage management competencies: Educator, industry and student perspectives. *Hospitality and Tourism Educator*, 6(4), 37-40.

- Osborne, J. W., & Waters, E. (2002). Four assumptions of multiple regression that researchers should always test. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 8(2). Retrieved from <http://pareonline.net/getvn.asp?v=8&n=2>.
- Pallant, J. (2011). *SPSS Survival Manual: A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis Using SPSS (4th ed.)*. New South Wales, Australia: Allen & Unwin.
- Paulins, V. A. (2008). Characteristics of retailing internships contributing to students reported satisfaction with career development. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 12(1), 105-118.
- Paulins, V. A. (2008). Characteristics of retailing internships contributing to students' reported satisfaction with career development. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 12(1), 105-118.
- Paauze, E. F., Johnson, W. A., & Miller, J. L. (1989). Internship strategy for hospitality management programs. *Hospitality and Education Research Journal*, 13(3), 301-307.
- Pavesic, D. V., & Brymer, R. A. (1990). Job satisfaction: What's happening to the young managers? *The Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 30(4), 90-96.
- Pavesic, D. V. (1984). Educator-industry perceptions of subject area importance in hospitality programs. *Hospitality Education and Research Journal*, 9(1), 14-23.
- Pavesic, D. V. (1993). Hospitality education 2005: Curriculum and programmatic trends. *Hospitality Research Journal*, 17(1), 285-294.
- Petrillose, M. J., & Montgomery, R. (1998). An exploratory study of internship practices in hospitality education and industry's perception of the importance of internships in hospitality curriculum. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education*, 9(4), 46-51.
- Phang, F. A., Yusof, K. M., Saat, M. M., & Yusof, N. M. (2014). Perceptions of engineering students on industrial training in Malaysia. In *QScience Proceedings (World Congress on Engineering Education)*, 1-6.
- Quantella A. (2013). *Experiential learning in hospitality management: an exploration of the knowledge, skills and abilities achieved in a hospitality management program internship (Doctoral Dissertation)*. University of Missouri-Columbia.
- Rahmah, I., Ishak, Y., & Wei Sieng, L. (2011). Employers' perception on graduates in Malaysia service sector. *International Business Management*, 5(3), 184-193.
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H., & Mumtaz A., M. (2016). *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using SmartPLS 3.0: An Updated Guide and Practical Guide to Statistical Analysis*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Pearson.

- Rasul, M. S., Ismail, M. Y., Ismail, N., Rajuddin, M. R., & Rauf, R. A. A. (2010). Development of employability skills assessment tool for manufacturing industry. *Jurnal Mekanikal*, 30, 4–61.
- Raybould, M., & Wilkins, H. (2005). Over qualified and under experienced. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 17(3), 203 – 216.
- Raybould, M., & Wilkins, H. (2006). Generic Skills for Hospitality Management: A Comparative Study of Management Expectations and Student Perceptions. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Management*, 13(2), 177-188.
- Richardson, S. (2008). Undergraduate tourism and hospitality student's attitudes toward a career in the industry: A preliminary investigation. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 8(1), 23-46.
- Richardson, S. (2009). Undergraduates' perceptions of tourism and hospitality as a career choice. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 28(3), 382-388.
- Ringle, C., Wende, S., & Will, A. (2005). SmartPLS 2.0 (Beta). Retrieved from: <https://www.smartpls.de>.
- Robinson, J. S., & Garton, B. L. (2008). An assessment of the employability skills needed by graduates in the College of Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources at the University of Missouri. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 49(4), 96–105.
- Rothman, M. (2003). Internships: Most and least favoured aspects among a business school sample. *Psychological Reports*, 93, 921-924.
- Ruslan, S., Mohamad, S. F., Juhari, N. H., & Karim, M. S. A. (2020). The influence of internship determinants on hospitality undergraduates' satisfaction level: A case of public universities in Malaysia. *Journal of Tourism, Hospitality & Culinary Arts*, 12(1), 34-47.
- Sahney, S., Banwet, D. K., & Karunes, S. (2004). Conceptualizing total quality management in higher education. *The TQM Magazine*, 16(2), 145–159.
- Salih, M. (2008). Realizing sustainable development of higher education in Malaysia through 'soft skills'. *Indian Journal of Science & Technology*, 1(5), 1-4.
- Saterfield, T. H., & McLartey, J. R. (1995). Assessing employability skills. Eric Diegest ED391109.
- Sawani, Y., Mustaffa, M. Z., & Darus, F. (2010). Preliminary Insights on Sustainability Reporting and Assurance Practices in Malaysia. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 6, 627–645.
- Scott, E., Alger, R., Pequeno, S., & Sessions, N. (2002). The skills gap as observed between IS graduates and the systems development industry—a South African Experience. *Informing Science*.

- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach* (4th ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sekaran, U., and Bougie, R. (2010). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (5th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.
- Shakir, R. (2009). Soft skills at the Malaysian institutes of higher learning. *Asia Pacific Education*, 309-315.
- Shariff, N. M., Kayat, K., & Abidin, A.Z. (2015). Tourism and hospitality graduates competencies: Industry perceptions and expectations in the Malaysian perspectives. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 31(11), 1992-2000.
- Shukran, A. R., Hariyati Shariman, A. M., Saodah, W., & Noor Azlan, M. N. (2006). Employee knowledge, skills, abilities, and others characteristics: expectation of industries. Paper presented at the First International Conference of Muslim Psychologist, Khartoum, Sudan.
- Sirat, M., Chan, L.H., Shuib, M., Rahman, A., Rahayu, S. & Singh, N. K. J. (2012). Employability of graduates in Malaysia. UNESCO: Bangkok.
- Stansbie, P., Nash, R. & Chang, S. (2016). Linking internships and classroom learning: A case study examination of hospitality and tourism management students. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport & Tourism Education*, 19, 19–29.
- Stansbie, P., Nash, R., & Jack, K. (2013). Internship design and its impact on student satisfaction and intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education*, 25(4), 157–168. doi:10.1080/10963758.2013.850293.
- Stark, J. S., & Lattuca, L. R. (1996). Curriculum analysis and development for advanced foods and catering operations: a study of facilities and resources in an undergraduate hospitality class. *Research in Higher Education Journal*, Vol. 2.
- Steers, R. M., & Porter, L. M. (1991). *Motivation and Work Behavior*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill. A national internship scheme: discussion paper. Retrieved from: www.universitiesaustralia.edu.au/content.asp?page=/publications/discussion/index.htm.
- Steinhardt, M. A., Dolbier, C. L., Gottlieb, N. H., & McCalister, K. T. (2003). The relationship between hardiness, supervisor support, group cohesion, and job stress as predictors of job satisfaction. *Journal Health Promote*, 17, 382–389.
- Sugianto, N., Tjondronegoro, D., & Tydd, B. (2018). Deep Residual Learning for Analyzing Customer Satisfaction using Video Surveillance. *International Conference on Advanced Video and Signal Based Surveillance*, 1–6.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics* (5th ed.). Allyn & Bacon/Pearson Education.

- Tanius, E., & Alam, S. (2014). Business' Students Industrial Training: Performance and Employment Opportunity. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 5(5), 1–5.
- Tarquin, K. M., & Truscott, S. D. (2006). School Psychology Students' Perceptions of Their Practicum Experiences. *Psychology in the Schools*, 43(6), 727–736. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20182>.
- Tas, R. F. (1988). Teaching future managers. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 29(2), 41–43.
- Taylor, M. S. (1988). Effects of college internships on individual participants. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73, 393–401.
- The Conference Board of Canada (2000). Employability Skills 2000+. Retrieved from: http://www.conferenceboard.ca/Libraries/EDUC_PUBLIC/esp2000.sflb.
- Thompson, J., Stuart, R., & Lindsay, R.P. (1997). The Competence of Top Team Members; a Framework for Successful Performance. *Team Performance Management*, 3(2), 57-75.
- Tribe, J. (2002). Research trends and imperatives in tourism education. *Acta Turista*, 14(1), 61-81.
- Trivellas, P., Kakkos, N., Blanas, N., & Santouridis, I. (2015). The impact of career satisfaction on job performance in accounting firms. The mediating effect of general competencies. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 33, 468-476.
- Tuzon, T. P. (2016). Tourism and hospitality students' level of satisfaction on their internship experience. *Laguna Journal of International Tourism and Hospitality Management*, 3(2).
- Umbreit, W. T. (1992). In search of hospitality curriculum relevance for the 90s. *Hospitality and Tourism Educator*, 5(1), 71-74.
- Urbach, N., & Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural Equation Modeling in Information Systems Research Using Partial Least Squares. *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*, 11(2), 5–40.
- Van Hoof, H. B. (2000). The international internship as part of the hospitality Management curriculum: Combining work experience with international exposure. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education*, 12(1), 6–9.
- Walmsley, A., Thomas, R. & Jameson, S. (2006). Surprise and sense making: undergraduate placement experiences in SMEs. *Education and Training*, 48(5), 360-372.
- Walo, M. (2000). The Contribution of Internship in Developing Industry-Relevant Management Competencies in Tourism and Hospitality Graduates, unpublished Master of Business Thesis, Southern Cross University, Lismore, NSW, Australia.

- Walo, M. (2001). Assessing the contribution of internship in developing Australian tourism and hospitality students' management competencies. *Asia Pacific Journal of Cooperative Education*, 2(2), 12-28.
- Walsh, K., & Taylor, M.S. (2007). Developing in-house careers and retaining management talent. *Cornell Hotel & Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 48, 163-182.
- Waryszak, R. Z. (1999). Student's expectations from their cooperative education placements in the hospitality industry: An international perspective. *Education & Training*, 41(1), 33-40.
- Waryszak, R. Z. (2000). Before, during and after: International perspective of students' perceptions of their cooperative education placements in the tourism industry. *Journal of Cooperative Education and Internships*, 35(2), 84-95.
- West, A. J., & Jameson, S. M. (1990). Supervised work experience in graduate employment. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 2(2), 29-32.
- Westerman, J., & Cyr, L. (2004). An integrative analysis of person-organization fit theories. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 12(3), 252-261.
- Wickramasinghe, V., & Perera, L. (2010). Graduates', university lecturers' and employers' perceptions towards employability skills. *Education Training*, 52(3), 226-244.
- Willis, T. H., & Taylor, A. J. (1999). Total quality management and higher education: The employers' perspective. *Total Quality Management*, 10(7), 997-1007.
- Woodruffe, C. (1992). What is meant by a competency? *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 14(1), 29-36.
- Yassin, S., Hassan, F., Amin, W. & Amiruddin, N. (2008). Implementation of generic skills in the curriculum. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the EDU-COM 2008 International Conference, Sustainability in Higher Education: Direction for Change, Edith Cowan University, Perth Western Australia.
- Yiu, M., & Law, R. (2012). A review of hospitality internship: Different perspectives of students, employers, and educators. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 12(4), 377-402.
- Yuliana (2016). Hospitality student satisfaction regarding internship in Jakarta, Indonesia. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 24, 99-108.
- Yunos, J. M., Mohd, W., Ahmad, R. W., Kaprawi, N., & Razzaly, W. (2006). Technical & vocational education & training (TVET) from Malaysian Perspective. Presented at 2nd International TV-TVET-Asia-Link Project Meeting, VEDC Malang, Indonesia.

Zopiatis, A., and Constanti, P. (2007). And Never the Twain shall meet, investigating The Hospitality Industry-Education Relationship in Cyprus. *Education Training*, 49(5), 391-407.

