



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***ASSESSMENT OF LAND CAPABILITY AND SUITABILITY
CLASSIFICATION FOR CROP PRODUCTION IN KATSINA, NIGERIA***

ABDULLAHI SANI

FP 2022 26



**ASSESSMENT OF LAND CAPABILITY AND SUITABILITY
CLASSIFICATION FOR CROP PRODUCTION IN KATSINA, NIGERIA**

By

ABDULLAHI SANI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2022

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

To Almighty Allah and my Parents, Abdullahi and Ramatu for whom owe everything I have in this life. Also to my lovely wives Maryam Bello and Asma u Rabilu for their kindness and support especially during undertaking this work. Deepest thanks also goes to my supervisors, Dr. Roslan bin Ismail, Dr. Syharudin bin Zaibon and Prof. Samaila Sani Noma for all the valuable guidance and support.



Abstract of thesis presented to the senate of University Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of doctor of philosophy

**ASSESSMENT OF LAND CAPABILITY AND SUITABILITY
CLASSIFICATION FOR CROP PRODUCTION IN KATSINA, NIGERIA**

By

ABDULLAHI SANI

April 2022

Chairman : Roslan bin Ismail, PhD
Faculty : Agriculture

ArcGIS and remote sensing play a vital role in generation of spatial information, mapping of natural resources and inventory such as mapping for optimal land use for sustainable agriculture. Lack of sufficient and adequate information on climate and soils characteristics are among the major limiting factors affecting agricultural development in Nigeria. Thus, the study was conducted to determine the physiochemical properties of soil, land characteristics, land capability and suitability for selected crops in Katsina State, Nigeria. The agriculture area was divided into land units and a total of 5 soil profile pits were excavated corresponding to each unit. The different soil horizon in soil profile were described using United State Department of Agriculture soil taxonomy, with 500g of sample were collected from each soil horizon. A total of fifteen (15) samples were collected from the profile pits (three in each pit from three different horizons) for land capability analysis. For suitability analysis fifty-five (55) sub surface samples were collected. Soil survey was conducted on each land unit to record the physical and chemical properties of the soil. Soil samples coordinates were marked with GPS Garmin 60csx and subjected to geospatial distribution analysis. Data collected for climatic (rainfall and temperature) and soil physio-chemical characteristics were analyzed using descriptive statistics (SAS v9.4). The soil properties analyses results indicate that the area is highly susceptible to erosion and low in soil fertility that limit the land capability for agricultural production. Soil properties distribution map were generated with ArcGIS v10.3 using Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) techniques. The spatial distribution of soil properties of the land units was showed in variation map of each soil properties. The land capability assessment was undertaken based on United State Department of Agriculture (USDA) criteria. The results showed that three land unit maps were rated capable for rain fed farming of major crops under different management practices which included in the category of classes II, III, and IV, whereas the V and VI land unit was not capable due to permanent limitations associated with slope, stoniness and soil depth. In order to have more detail and direct information on land suitability for use by specific crops, land evaluation for selected crops was carried out using Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) framework of land suitability. The generation of crops suitability map was prepared using two modelling techniques of GIS. Analytical hierarchical processes

(AHP). and Food Agricultural Organization (FAO) Frame work of land evaluation. The weightage and score of each parameter and their classes are based on administered questionnaire to Nigeria millet expert opinion. The suitability for millet in Katsina from the climate and physical-chemical parameters indicates that annual rainfall (604-702mm), elevation (434.75-558.5°), temperature (26.50-26.99°C), drainage, erosion, soil depth (0-30cm), soil pH (6.4-6.7), organic carbon (OC, 1.67-2.22) and organic matter and (OM, 02.96-3.0) are noted within the acceptable suitability index values (for Class S1 to Class S3), that represent sustainable crop production. While, cation exchange capacity (CEC, 5-15 cmol₍₊₎/kg), total nitrogen (TN, 0.5-5.0%), exchangeable acidity (EC,0.03-0.65dS/m), phosphorus (P, 4.40-10.23%) and effective sodium percentage (ESP, 1.06-1.53%) were noted below average value for crop production. Land Suitability Class S1 (highly suitable) covers 1328.40ha which is about 21.19% of the study area. While land suitability Class S2 (moderately suitable) covers 1098ha (17.53% area). The land suitability Class S3 cover 1767ha (28.19% area). Besides that, Class N1 (potentially not suitable) covers about 851.33ha (13.58% area) and, finally Class N2 (potentially and actually not suitable) covers about 1223.08ha (19.51% area) with scores below average selected crops. Further, the Class N2 areas marked with rock outcrop and inherent low fertility. Studied area (Katsina) suitability class for crop production as follow: S3>S1>N2>S2>N1. This indicates that, land area under Class S3 (28.19%) requires moderate level of soil amendment to improve millet, sorghum, beans and groundnut production. Whereas, Class S2 (17.53%), requires minimal level of soil amendment, whereas Class N1 and N2 with total land area of percentage of 30.09%, requires high input of soil amendment. The result indicates that there are general limitation factors in each land unit such as slope, soil depth, CEC, erosion, and rainfall for groundnut production. Meanwhile, OC, OM, CEC, soil depth, for millet, CEC, EC, ESP and stoniness for sorghum cultivation, and for beans, soil depth, pH, texture, rainfall, temperature. From the study data, climatic condition (rainfall and temperature) and soil properties are the first step (primary factor) in site specific crop production. Therefore, different land unit requires different level of input and land management to facilitate (improve) crops production in Katsina state for sustainable agriculture. Government and other non-governmental organization should encourage mix-cropping and mixed farming in the area to enhance soil fertility, there is also emphasize of avoiding using non-agricultural land for agricultural use, long term soil monitoring sites should be established using a localize soil map by the government using regular soil samples and management aspect should be taken and stored in database. The study also recommends for further studies in combining Fuzzy-AHP for fertility variability in the area and advance statistical analysis such as non-descriptive analysis and nuclear magnetic resources (NMR) study should be use on physiochemical properties of soil.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KEUPAYAAN TANAH DAN KLASIFIKASI KESESUAIAN BERDASARKAN
KEPADA DATA TANAH, PENDERIAAN JAUH DAN GIS BAGI
PENGELUARAN TANAMAN MAMPAN DI DAERAH KATSINA, NIGERIA**

Oleh

ABDULLAHI SANI

April 2022

Pengerusi : Roslan bin Ismail, PhD
Fakulti : Pertanian

ArcGIS dan alat penderiaan jauh memainkan peranan penting dalam menghasilkan maklumat ruangan, pemetaan sumber semula jadi dan inventori seperti pemetaan penggunaan tanah bagi kelestarian tanah. Kekurangan maklumat yang mencukupi dan memandai mengenai ciri iklim dan tanah merupakan faktor utama yang mempengaruhi pembangunan pertanian di Nigeria. Oleh itu, kajian ini dilaksanakan untuk mengenal pasti sifat fisiokimia tanah, ciri tanah, keupayaan tanah, dan kesesuaian tanah bagi tanaman terpilih di daerah Katsina, Nigeria. Kawasan pertanian tersebut dibahagikan kepada unit tanah dan sebanyak 5 lubang profil tanah digali berdasarkan setiap unit. Perbezaan horizon tanah dalam profil tanah dijelaskan berdasarkan taksonomi tanah Jabatan Pertanian Amerika Syarikat di mana 500g sampel dikumpulkan dari setiap horizon tanah. Sebanyak lima belas (15) sampel dikumpulkan dari lubang profil (tiga bagi setiap lubang dari horizon berbeza) untuk menganalisis keupayaan tanah. Bagi analisis kesesuaian pula, lima puluh lima (55) sampel permukaan tanah dikumpulkan. Tinjauan tanah dilakukan bagi setiap unit tanah untuk mencatat sifat fizikal dan kimia tanah. Koordinat sampel tanah ditandakan dengan GPS Garmin 60csx dan analisis taburan geospasial dilaksanakan. Data dikumpulkan bagi iklim (curahan hujan dan suhu) dan ciri fisiokimia tanah dianalisis menggunakan statistik perihalan (SAS v9.4). Dapatan analisis sifat tanah menunjukkan kawasan tersebut terdedah terhadap hakisan dan mempunyai kesuburan tanah yang rendah, yang membatasi keupayaan tanah dalam pengeluaran pertanian. Peta taburan bagi setiap sifat tanah dihasilkan dalam persekitaran ArcGIS v10.3 dengan menggunakan teknik Wajaran Jarak Terbalik (IDW). Taburan ruangan sifat tanah bagi unit tanah ditunjukkan di dalam peta variasi bagi setiap sifat tanah. Penilaian terhadap keupayaan tanah dilaksanakan berdasarkan kepada kriteria Jabatan Pertanian Amerika Syarikat (USDA). Dapatan kajian menunjukkan tiga unit peta didapati berupaya untuk pertanian tanaman hujan bagi tanaman utama di bawah amalan pengurusan berbeza yang termasuk di dalam kategori kelas II, III, dan IV. Manakala, unit tanah V dan VI didapati tidak berupaya disebabkan oleh batasan kekal yang berkaitan dengan cerun, batu dan kedalaman tanah. Untuk mendapatkan lebih banyak perincian dan maklumat terus mengenai kesesuaian tanah untuk kegunaan tanaman tertentu, penilaian tanah bagi

tanaman tertentu dilaksana menggunakan rangka kerja kesesuaian tanah oleh Organisasi Makanan dan Pertanian (FAO). Dari segi iklim dan parameter fiziokimia, kesesuaian bagi sekoi, betari, kekacang, dan kacang tanah di Katsina menunjukkan curahan hujan tahunan (604-702mm), ketinggian (434.75-558.5°), suhu (26.50-26.99°C), saliran, hakisan, kedalaman tanah (0-30cm), pH tanah (6.4-6.7), karbon organik (OC, 1.67-2.22) dan bahan organik dan (OM, 0.2-3.0) didapati dalam nilai indeks kesesuaian (bagi kelas S1 hingga kelas S3), yang mewakili pengeluaran tanaman lestari. Manakala, kapasiti pertukaran kation (CEC, 5-15 cmol₍₊₎/kg), jumlah nitrogen (TN, 0.5-5.0%), keasidan boleh tukar (EC, 0.03-0.65dS/m), fosforus (P, 4.40-10.23%) and peratus keberkesanan natrium (ESP, 1.06-1.53%) didapati di bawah nilai purata bagi pengeluaran tanaman. Kelas kesesuaian tanah S1 (sangat sesuai) meliputi kawasan seluas 1328.40ha iaitu sekitar 21.9% dari keluasan kajian. Manakala, kesesuaian tanah kelas S2 (sederhana sesuai) pula meliputi 1098ha (17.53% keluasan). Kesesuaian tanah kelas S3 meliputi 1767ha iaitu sekitar (28.19% keluasan). Selain itu, kelas N1 (berpotensi tidak sesuai) meliputi sekitar 851.33ha (13.58% keluasan) dan, akhir sekali kelas N2 (berpotensi dan tidak sesuai) meliputi 1223.08ha (19.51% keluasan) dengan skor di bawah purata tanaman terpilih. Tambahan lagi, kelas N2 ini terkesan oleh singkapan batuan dan kesuburan tanah yang rendah. Kesesuaian kelas kawasan kajian (Katsina) bagi pengeluaran tanaman adalah seperti berikut: S3>S1>N2>S2>N1. Ini menunjukkan, kawasan tanah di bawah kelas 3 (28.19%) memerlukan tahap perubahan tanah sederhana untuk meningkatkan pengeluaran sekoi, betari, kekacang, dan kacang tanah. Manakala, kelas S2 (17.53%) memerlukan tahap perubahan tanah minimum, kelas N1 dan N2 dengan jumlah peratusan 30.09% keluasan tanah memerlukan tahap perubahan tanah yang tinggi. Dapatan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat faktor pembatasan umum di setiap unit tanah seperti cerun, kedalaman tanah, CEC, hakisan, dan curahan hujan bagi pengeluaran kacang tanah. Manakala, OC, OM, CEC dan kedalaman tanah bagi sekoi, CEC, EC, ESP dan batuan bagi penanaman betari, dan bagi kekacang pula adalah kedalaman tanah, pH, tekstur curahan hujan, dan suhu. Dari data kajian, keadaan iklim (curahan hujan dan suhu) dan sifat tanah adalah langkah pertama (faktor utama) dalam pengeluaran tanaman di kawasan tertentu. Oleh itu, berlainan unit tanah memerlukan tahap input dan pengurus tanah yang berbeza untuk membantu (meningkatkan) pengeluaran tanaman di daerah Katsina bagi pertanian mampan. Kerajaan dan organisasi bukan kerajaan perlu menggalakkan tanaman dan perladangan campur di kawasan tersebut untuk meningkatkan kesuburan tanah. Terdapat juga penekanan terhadap hindaran penggunaan tanah bukan pertanian bagi tujuan pertanian, pelaksanaan pemantauan tapak tanah bagi tempoh jangka panjang menggunakan peta tanah setempat oleh kerajaan menggunakan sampel tanah dan aspek pengurusan perlu diambil dan disimpan dalam pengkalan data. Kajian ini turut mencadangkan kajian lanjutan dalam gabungan Fuzzy-AHP bagi kebolehubahan kesuburan di kawasan tersebut dan analisis statistik lanjutan seperti analisis bukan perihalan dan kajian sumber magnetik nuklear (NMR) perlu digunakan terhadap sifat fiziokimia tanah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

During the time of this research, I have received a number of support assistance and encouragement from a number of people, Government and non-Governmental organization. First and foremost, I deeply thank to Almighty Allah for His blessing that gave me the opportunity to explore and acquired knowledge. I also deeply extend my thank to my supervisor Dr. Roslan bin Ismail for the guidance, support, checking and editing the thesis draft.

I also thank to other members of supervisory committee Associate Professor Dr. Noordin Ahmad, Dr. Syaharudin bin Zaibon and Professor Dr. Samaila Sani Noma who have helped, guide, suggested and give me a lot of courage and valuable advices to make the dream of this project to reality. Sincere thanks to Adillah a sectary to the Chairman of the committee for assistance she rendered during the work. I also acknowledged the effort and required materials by the department of land and management of faculty of agriculture UPM and thanks to all staff members of the faculty for their moral and technical advice.

I am thankful to the Government of Nigeria for funding this research work through the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND)

I equally grateful to the staff of the Federal Road Maintenance Agency Eng. Hussaini Abubakar who equipped me with the rainfall and temperature data. I reserve my thanks to Hambali Ibrahim, Hamisu Mohammed and Malam Yusuf for their assistance during the reconnaissance survey and field work. Lastly but not the least many thanks to my parents and family for their endurance and encouragement throughout the period of studies.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Roslan bin Ismail, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Syahrudin bin Zaibon, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Samaila Sani Noma, PhD

Professor
Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering
Usman Danfodiyo University, Sokoto Stat
Nigeria
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 November 2022

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Roslan bin Ismail

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Syaharudin bin Zaibon

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Samaila Sani Noma

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABSTRACT		i
ABSTRAK		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		v
APPROVAL		vi
DECLARATION		viii
LIST OF TABLES		xiv
LIST OF FIGURES		xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xx
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of the study	1
1.2	Justification of the Study	2
1.3	Problem Statement	2
1.4	Research Questions	4
1.5	Significance of the Study	4
1.6	Research Aim	5
1.7	Research Objectives	5
1.8	Limitation of the Study	5
1.9	Constitutive and operational terms	6
1.9.1	Land	6
1.9.2	Land capability	6
1.9.3	Land suitability	6
1.9.4	Land capability classification	7
1.9.5	Land capability categories	7
1.9.6	Soil parameters	7
1.9.7	Land limitation	7
1.9.8	Arable land	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Agriculture in Nigeria	9
2.3	The Nigerian Agricultural Policy	10
2.4	Selected crop	10
2.4.1	Millet	10
2.4.2	Sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>)	12
2.4.3	Groundnuts (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>)	13
2.4.4	Beans (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>)	14
2.5	Factors of soil formation	16
2.6	Physical and chemical characterization of the soil	16
2.6.1	Slope	17
2.6.2	Soil depth	17
2.6.3	Soil erosion	17
2.6.4	Soil drainage	18
2.6.5	Water Holding Capacity	18

2.6.6	Soil organic carbon	19
2.6.7	Organic matter	19
2.6.8	Total Nitrogen	19
2.6.9	Soil pH	20
2.6.10	Cation exchange capacity	20
2.6.11	Electric conductivity	20
2.6.12	Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP)	21
2.7	Land	22
2.8	Land characteristics	22
2.9	Land classification	22
2.10	The Need for Land Capability Classification for Agricultural use	23
2.11	Importance of land capability classification	24
2.12	Review on land capability and suitability classification	25
2.12.1	USDA land capability classification	25
2.12.2	Capability Class	25
2.12.3	Capability Subclass	26
2.12.4	Capability Unit	26
2.12.5	Application	26
2.13	Land classification in North East Nigeria	27
2.14	Land classification in India	27
2.15	The Land Suitability Rating System (LSRS)	30
2.16	The Canada Land Inventory (CLI)	31
2.17	System background and development	32
2.18	Land suitability classifications	33
2.18.1	FAO Frame work of land suitability classification	34
2.19	The roles of GIS and remote sensing in land classification	35
2.20	Multi criteria evaluation (MCE) and analytical hierarchy process (AHP)	35
2.21	Summary of the review	36
3	MATERIALS AND METHOD	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Reconnaissance survey	37
3.3	Field survey	37
3.4	DEM	38
3.5	The digitized maps of sample area	38
3.6	Soil Sampling	38
3.7	Crop expert opinion survey	38
3.8	Methodology flowchart	39
3.9	Location of study area	40
3.9.1	Climate of study area	41
3.9.2	Temperature of study area	42
3.9.3	Topography of study area	42
3.9.4	Hydrology and water resources of study area	42
3.9.5	Soils of study area	43
3.9.6	Vegetation	44
3.9.7	Economic activities	44
3.10	Extraction of crops controlling factors and their spatial map preparation	45
3.10.1	Rainfall	45

3.10.2	Temperature	46
3.10.3	Elevation	48
3.10.4	Soil depth	49
3.10.5	Soil Ph	50
3.10.6	Cation Exchangeable Capacity	51
3.10.7	Total Nitrogen	53
3.10.8	Organic Carbon	54
3.10.9	Organic matter	56
3.10.10	Phosphorus	57
3.10.11	Electric conductivity	58
3.10.12	Exchangeable Sodium Percentage	59
3.10.13	Texture	60
3.11	Analyses of data	62
3.11.1	Descriptive statistics	62
3.11.2	Geospatial analysis	63
3.11.3	Spatial interpolation	63
3.11.4	Suitability model	63
3.11.5	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	64
3.11.6	Model Validation	67
3.11.7	Evaluation of data quality	67
3.11.8	Test of Normality	67
3.12	Summary	68
4	SOIL AND LAND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA IN KATSINA STATE LAND	69
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Specific objective	70
4.3	Data collection	70
4.4	Land units	70
4.4.1	Land Unit 1: Flood plain	71
4.4.2	Land unit 2 Valley land	71
4.4.3	Land unit 3 Undulating lowland	71
4.4.4	Land unit 4: Plain land	72
4.4.5	Land unit 5 Hilly land	72
4.5	Analysis of data	73
4.5.1	Rainfall distribution	73
4.5.2	Temperature distribution	74
4.5.3	Elevation	74
4.5.4	Soil depth	75
4.5.5	Soil pH	76
4.5.6	Cat ion exchange capacity	76
4.5.7	Total nitrogen	77
4.5.8	Organic carbon	77
4.5.9	Organic matter	78
4.5.10	Phosphorous	78
4.5.11	Electric conductivity	79
4.5.12	Exchangeable sodium percentage	79
4.6	Land characteristic	80
4.6.1	Slope	80
4.6.2	Effective rooting depth	81
4.6.3	Profile available water (PAW)	81

4.6.4	Stone content	82
4.6.5	Drainage pattern	82
4.6.6	Soil texture	83
4.7	Summary	83
5	LAND CAPABILITY MAP OF THE STUDY AREA	84
5.1	Specific objective	84
5.2	Introduction	84
5.3	Soil classification of Katsina	86
5.4	Alluvial Soils	86
5.5	Aridisols	87
5.6	Alfisols	87
5.7	Inceptisols	88
5.8	Land capability classification	88
5.9	Land capability process	89
5.10	Euclidean distances	91
5.11	Data conversion and reclassification	91
5.12	Weight overlay analysis	92
5.13	Assignment of land capability classes, sub-classes and management practice for the study area	94
5.14	Land capability class II	95
5.15	Land capability class III	95
5.16	The capability class IV	95
5.17	The capability class VI	96
5.18	Summary	98
6	LAND SUITABILITY CLASSIFICATION FOR SELLECTED CROPS	99
6.1	Specific objective	99
6.2	Introduction	99
6.3	Data collection	99
6.4	Combined thematic maps for millet suitability	107
6.5	Combined thematic maps for sorghum suitability	114
6.6	Combined thematic maps for beans suitability	121
6.7	Combined thematic maps for Groundnut suitability	128
6.8	Comparison of the predicted maps obtained from different methods	130
6.9	Suitability criteria for selected crops	131
7	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	135
7.1	Summary	135
7.2	Conclusion	137
7.3	Recommendation and future research studies	137
	REFERENCES	139
	APPENDICES	150
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	162
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	163

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Crops experts in Nigeria	39
3.2	Weightage and score assigning to rainfall	45
3.3	Weightage and score assigning to Temperature	47
3.4	Weightage and score assigning to elevation	48
3.5	Weightage and score assigning to soil depth	49
3.6	Weightage and score assigning to pH	51
3.7	Weightage and score assigning to CEC	52
3.8	Weightage and score assigning to TN	53
3.9	Weightage and score assigning to OC	55
3.10	Weightage and score assigning to OM	56
3.11	Weightage and score assigning to P	57
3.12	Weightage and score assigning to EC	58
3.13	Weightage and score assigning to ESP	59
3.14	Weightage and score assigning to Texture	61
3.15	Scale for pairwise comparison	64
3.16	Weight of twelve factors for millet potential suitability area	65
3.17	Pairwise compares matrix of twelve factors	66
3.18	Test of normality	68
4.1	Descriptive statistics of mean annual rainfall of land units	74
4.2	Descriptive statistics of mean annual temperature of land units	74
4.3	Descriptive statistics of elevation of land units	75
4.4	Descriptive statistic of soil depth of the land units	75
4.5	Descriptive statistics of Soil pH of land units	76

4.6	Descriptive statistics of CEC of land units	76
4.7	Descriptive statistics of N (%) of land units	77
4.8	Descriptive statistics of Organic carbon of land units	77
4.9	Descriptive statistics of Organic matter of land units	78
4.10	Descriptive statistics of Phosphorous of land units	78
4.11	Descriptive statistics of Electric conductivity of land units	79
4.12	Descriptive statistics of Exchangeable sodium percentage of land units	79
4.13	Slope characteristic of all land units	80
4.14	Effective rooting depth characteristic of all land units	81
4.15	Profile water availability characteristic of all land units	81
4.16	Stoniness characteristic of all land units	82
4.17	Drainage characteristic of all land units	82
4.18	Texture characteristic of all land units	83
5.1	Profile characteristics	85
5.2	Soil classification	86
5.3	Soil physical and chemical characteristics, classes, level and raster values	90
5.4	USDA Conversion table	94
5.5	Land capability classification for the study area	94
5.6	Land classes with percentage coverage	97
6.1	Climate and land characteristics as parameters for land suitability	101
6.2	Limitation category, rating and suitability classes	102
6.3	Suitability classes for land index	102
6.4	Suitability classes for Millet: Climate and physio-chemical requirement	102

6.5	Climate and soil requirements range for Millet	103
6.6	Millet Suitability Rating and Matching Requirements	103
6.7	Suitability scores and classification for millet	103
6.8a	Suitability classes for millet properties	106
6.8b	Suitability classes for millet properties	106
6.9	Suitability rating of millet on climate and soil properties	107
6.10	Millet suitability classes	108
6.11	Suitability classes for Sorghum: Climate and physio-chemical requirement	109
6.12	Sorghum Suitability Rating and Matching Requirements	110
6.13	Suitability scores and classification for Sorghum	110
6.14a	Suitability classes for sorghum properties'	113
6.14b	Suitability classes for sorghum properties'	113
6.15	Suitability rating of Sorghum on climate and soil properties	114
6.16	Sorghum suitability classes	115
6.17	Suitability classes for Beans: Climate and physio-chemical requirement	116
6.18	Beans Suitability Rating and Matching Requirements	117
6.19	Suitability scores and classification for Beans	117
6.20	Suitability rating of Beans on climate and soil properties	117
6.21a	Suitability classes for beans properties'	120
6.21b	Suitability classes for sorghum properties'	120
6.22	Beans suitability classes	122
6.23	Suitability classes for Groundnut: Climate and physio-chemical requirement	123
6.24	Groundnut Suitability Rating and Matching Requirements	124
6.25	Suitability scores and classification for Groundnut	124

6.26	Suitability rating of Beans on climate and soil properties	124
6.27a	Suitability classes for beans properties'	127
6.27b	Suitability classes for beans properties'	127
6.28	Groundnut suitability classes	129
6.29	Comparison of the predicted maps obtained from AHP and FAO methods	131



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Millet	12
2.2	Sorghum	13
2.3	Groundnut	14
2.4	Beans	15
3.1	Conceptual frame work	40
3.2	Map of study area	41
3.3	Rainfall distribution map of study area	46
3.4	Temperature distribution of the study area	47
3.5	Elevation map study area	48
3.6	Soil depth map of study area	50
3.7	pH map of study	51
3.8	Cation exchangeable capacity map of study	52
3.9	Total nitrogen map of study	54
3.10	Organic carbon map of study	55
3.11	Organic matter map of study	56
3.12	Phosphorus map of study	57
3.13	Electric conductivity map of study	58
3.14	Exchangeable sodium percentage map of study	60
3.15	Texture map of study	61
3.16	Crops potential suitability map based on Pairwise comparison of AHP	62
4.1	Land units of the study area	73
5.1	Euclidean distance of the variables	91

5.2	Reclassification of the variable	92
5.3	weight overlay table	93
5.4	weight overlay	93
5.5	Land capability classification	96
6.1	Subsurface soil samples	100
6.2	Suitability of physical properties for millet production	104
6.3	Suitability of chemical properties for millet production	105
6.4	Model builder for millet Suitability	107
6.5	The map of land suitability for millet	108
6.6	Distribution graph for millet suitability	109
6.7	Suitability of physical properties for sorghum production	111
6.8	Suitability of chemical properties for sorghum production	112
6.9	Model builder for sorghum Suitability	114
6.10	The map of land suitability for sorghum	115
6.11	Distribution graph for sorghum suitability	116
6.12	Suitability of physical properties for beans production	118
6.13	Suitability of chemical properties for beans production	119
6.14	Model builder for beans Suitability	121
6.15	The map of land suitability for beans	122
6.16	Distribution graph for beans suitability	123
6.17	Suitability of physical properties for groundnut production	125
6.18	Suitability of chemical properties for groundnut production	126
6.19	Model builder for Groundnut Suitability	128
6.20	The map of land suitability for groundnut	129
6.21	Distribution graph for groundnut suitability	130

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	After death
AEZ	Agro ecological zone
AHP	Analytical hieratical process
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
ArcGIS	Geographic information system software
AWC	Available water capacity
BD	Bulk density
C	Climate limitation
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
CLI	Canada land inventory
CV	Coefficient of variance
DEM	Digital elevation model
E	Erosion limitation
Ec	Electric conductivity
ESP	Exchangeable sodium percentage
FAO	Food and agricultural organization
Fe	ion
FMWH	Federal ministry of work and housing
GIS	Geographic information system
GPS	Geographic positioning system
H	Hydrogen
IDW	Inverse distance weight
IITA	International institute of tropical agriculture

ITCZ	Intertropical convergent zone
LC	Land capability
LCC	Land capability classification
LCCS	Land capability classification system
LSD	Least significant differences
LSTAT	Excel statistic software
LU	Land unit
LU	Land use
MCDM	Multi criteria decision making
MCE	Multi criteria evaluation
N	Nitrogen
NIMET	Nigerian metrological agency
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resources
OC	Organic carbon
OM	Organic matter
P	Phosphorus
pH	Measure of soil acidic or basic
S	Slope
SAS	Statistical analysis system
SD	Soil depth
SDGs	Suitability development goals
SI	Suitability index
SMR	Soil moisture region
SNR	Soil nitrogen region
SOM	Soil organic carbon

SOM	Soil organic matter
UNESCO	United nation educational scientific and cultural organization
US	United state
USDA	United state department of agriculture
W	Excess wate
WHC	Water holding capacity
WIOA	Weigth index overlay analysis
WLC	Weight linear criterion

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Many life support systems are built on the foundation of land. Biomass (producing function) that directly or indirectly provides humans with food, feed, fiber, fuel, wood, and other biological resources, such as aquaculture and inland and coastal fisheries. The land is the foundation of terrestrial biodiversity, providing biological habitats and gene pools (biological environmental functions) for plants, animals, and microbes above and below ground. Land evaluation is the process of assessing the performance of land utilized for specified purposes by conducting and interpreting surveys and research on topography, soil, vegetation, climate, and other features of the land in order to find and compare the application of prospective land use types. The following terms are used in the assessment aim. The overall amount of agricultural land is gradually constrained and reduced as a result of land degradation and competition from other land use regimes. Land assessment is the basis for the sustainable programming and management of land resources, because it helps us understand whether resources are degrading or increasing in quality and quantity (Verheye, 2008). Nigeria's agricultural land accounts for 78%, of which arable land represents 37.3%, permanent arable land 7.4%, permanent rangeland 33.3%, forests 9.5 % and others represent 12.5% (World Bank, 2017). The expansion of agricultural land has been observed in all ecological regions from forested areas in southern Nigeria (where root crops and trees dominate) to the transition between forests and savannas in the central part of the country (mainly crops of roots), also as food crops. Semi-arid area in northern Nigeria. Urban areas are known as the main centers for all activities, including commercial, industrial and other institutional uses (Öztürk, 2017). The size of urban areas is expanding and outstrips land use for other land uses, especially agricultural land (Sinclair and Dobos, 1977). Urban sprawl is mainly due to the continuous migration of residents from the urban center to relatively cheap land around the urban periphery (Victoria, 2008). Katsina is one of the 36 states of Nigeria. The total land area is about 4,100 square kilometers, which has been used for various land uses. Generally speaking, the soil in this area is tropical rust-colored, red and brown soil from basements in the southern part of the state. Soil tends to accumulate water in heavy rains, and is dry and cracked in the dry season. This kind of soil is difficult to handle. In the northern part of the area, the soil is rough, the nature of this soil is sandy, light in color, and low to medium fertility.

The expansion of arable land to compensate for low yields and intensive farming to limit fallow periods are common features in northern Nigeria, which makes this land use system unsustainable. Land assessment is the foundation of sustainable land management because it helps to understand whether resources are degrading or improving (Mishra, 2007). Suitability is determined by soil parameters such as soil type, which are very important for any production, relief, drainage and slope. Agriculture is the backbone of Katsina's economy, because more than 75% of the population are farmers. Therefore, the study area can be described as an agricultural society. The main

crops grown are millet, sorghum, corn, cowpea, cotton, and peanuts, which are mainly consumed and loved by farmers.

1.2 Justification of the Study

Natural resources should be maintained sustainably so that planned adjustments to meet development demands can be implemented without jeopardizing their future utility (Kanwar, 1994). The increase in the human population comes with associated pressure on land resources which could invariably lead to land degradation and environmental pollution in the study area. The productivity of the soils in the study area is declining due to fertility depletion, imbalance in soil nutrients, and reduction in soil organic matter among other factors. However, agricultural intensification helps to guarantee national food security (Zhong, *et al*,2018), Agricultural intensification and abandonment can have a wide range of consequences for human society, including food supply, cultural identity, tourism, and ecosystems and biodiversity. To build the most effective soil management systems, information on soil resources and land features such as distribution, potentials, and restrictions of major soil is required. Agricultural intensification has been more common in many developing countries in recent decades (Bonny, 2011). The study area is blessed with a large proportion of idle land which is yet to be fully classified in terms of capability and suitability. Therefore, the research work intends to find out the land capability and suitability classification of the area to help farmers and other land users to identify areas that are best suited and capable of different land uses. Intensification of agriculture on land currently used for traditional farming in the study area which is due to the increasing demand for land concerning the incessant increase in the human population has made it necessary to determine the extent of land been used. As such knowledge on land capability and suitability classification are also essential in Katsina Senatorial Zone to identify areas best capable and suitable for different uses.

In recent years thematic mapping has undergone some changes due to advances in geographic information science and remote sensing, especially in the soil studies. This study also attempted to demonstrate the capabilities of Arc GIS and Remote Sensing in land capability and suitability classification at a larger scale (local level), which can be applied to medium and even smaller scales (state and country at large), as well as provide a guide for the quantitative assessment of land for agricultural and non-agricultural uses, and address the practical issues (Grose, 1999).

1.3 Problem Statement

Land resources are gradually deteriorating and becoming scarce as the world's population grows, putting strain on natural resources. As the world's population rises, an increase in food supply is urgently needed to meet the demands of an ever-increasing population. According to FAO (2011), agriculture efforts in the Sub-Saharan region have failed to grow food calories per capita above 2100/day during the last thirty years, despite losing export markets. All other major emerging world regions, on the other hand, improved dramatically (Alexandratos, 1995). Stoorvogel, Smaling, and Janssen (1993) made estimations in 38 sub-Saharan African nations. The findings suggest that the majority of

essential soil minerals were lost in the area, with the losses expected to worsen annual nutrient depletion. Also, the study of Henao and Banaante (1999) claimed that 86% of the sub-Saharan African countries are losing soil (through erosion) not less than 60-100 kg/ha/year (World Bank, 2003). Furthermore, a direct link between soil nutrient depletion and food insecurity has been suggested by noting improvements for some major food crops (Gruhn, Goletti and Yudelman 2000).

Agriculture is the mainstay of the Nigerian economy since over 70% of the Nigerian population directly or indirectly depends on agriculture for a living and equally, important the sector provides over 32% of Gross domestic products (NGD, 2017). Agriculture supplies food to the teeming population and provides raw materials for agro-based industries. Declined, in food production in the country could be as a result of declining soil fertility in the country which can lead to a shortage of food, rise in the price of food crops and may also lead to a lot of consequences on the people (Mueller, *et al.*, 2010).

Nigeria is one of the countries with high declining soil fertility, the major soil types are Alluvial, Aridisols, Inceptisols, and Alfisols, of the USDA Soil Taxonomy system. Most of these soils are highly susceptible to erosion and hence low productive capacity. As such the soil series of the region are highly dominated by aluminum, iron which makes the soil very susceptible to erosion and low fertility which limits its capability for various cultivated land (Girmay, Sebnie, & Reda, 2018). Katsina State soils are low in cation exchange capacity, low SOM, low nitrogen among others which affect the growth and production of food crops. However, Katsina is among the rapidly growing state in Nigeria. It has a population of over 4.7 million. To sustain such the largest, population, the government has to provide infrastructures and other physical developments that could better the current land use (NPC, 2017). The increase in the number of people makes pressure rate on land resources inevitable that causes an impact on the land degradation (NGD 2017). Therefore, the fragmentation of agricultural land which coupled with its low soil fertility affects agriculture production capacity and rural land quality (Atalay, 2016).

Furthermore, it should be noted that as agricultural productivity increase, land degradation could also increase if careful land management strategies are not put in place, hence large areas of cropland, grassland, woodland, and forest could seriously be degraded, intensive cultivation, and urban expansion removing large areas of agricultural land use from production (Abdelrahman, Natarajan, & Hegde, 2016). To increase the yield of productivity is requiring to conserve the soil for future use. Inadequate soil information affected most of the farming activities and management in the study area. Sorghum demands high nutrients compared to millet and other cereal crops like rice and wheat (Oparacke 2009). Agbede *et al* (2009) reveal that low soil organic matter, total nitrogen, and available phosphorus attributed to the yield of sorghum in some soils of Nigeria. Most of the factors limiting agricultural production in Nigeria is lacking adequate soil information and their characteristics (Adamu 2012). Most of the researches conducted in the North west zone concentrated on other crops for instance the study of Umar (2014) analysed the soil of Rugu rugu in Tudun wada of Kano State for suitability analysis for Arish Potato. Soil unit were used in the classification and the result shows that three areas were identified (soil units) and they are all moderately suitable with some

management practice such as erosion, and low fertility. MARDITECH, (2011) analyzed areas suitable for rice cultivation in some selected dam sites which includes Zobe, Jibia, Magaga, Watari and Tomas. The study showed potential areas are available for rice cultivation within. Baffa (2012) researched on the water requirement for irrigation on some crops such as Sugar cane, Garden egg, Rice, Onion, Tomato, and Hot pepper through irrigation method of farming in the region. The study also shows that yield (output) is low and the study concluded that salinity and other forms of land degradation are the major factors. Tanko (2001) conducted studied on the effect of salinity and Foli (2012) characterized the soils of region, all their finding shows poor drainage arising from the application of irrigated water in the area there by increasing the effect of salinity and low soil fertility status. But none of the works ever attempted to classify the agricultural land of the area for major agricultural uses and specifically for some selected crops in the area. For sustainable development, any region needs to make its land evaluation, adopt land-use planning, as well as to conduct land classification as it helps in easy communication to farmers and other land users (Raju, 2015). As such it is because of this that the current work intends to answer the following questions:

1.4 Research Questions

- i. Is there any relationship between the physical properties of soil and land units as well as climatic characteristics of the area?
- ii. Is there any correlation between the chemical properties of soil of the land units in the area?
- iii. Which types of land class are best capable in the area?
- iv. Which type of land (s) best suited for selected crops?

1.5 Significance of the Study

Natural resources should be maintained in a sustainable way, so that planned adjustments to fulfill development demands can be implemented without reducing the potential for future usage (Kanwar, 1994). As the population grows, there is an inevitable increase in the demand for land resources, which has an impact on land degradation and pollution in the studied region. Fertility depletion, soil nutrient imbalances, and organic matter loss are all contributing to a fall in soil productivity in the study area. Agricultural intensification, on the other hand, contributes to national food security (Zhong et al.,2018), Agricultural intensification and abandonment may lead to a lot of implications to human societies, for example, food production, cultural identity, and tourism, as well as ecosystems and biodiversity. Soil as resources and attributes of the land information on distribution, potentials, and constraints of major soil is needed so that the most appropriate soil management system can be designed. Agricultural intensification has become highly widespread in developing countries during the last few decades (Bonny, 2011).

A major sector or proportion of land in the study region has yet to be properly categorized in terms of capability and suitability. As a result, the focus of the research is on determining the area's land capability and suitability classification in order to assist farmers and other land users in identifying places that are most suited and capable of various land uses. Intensification of agriculture on land currently used for traditional farming in the study area which is due to the increasing demand for land with respect to the incessant increase in the human population has made it necessary to determine the land being used. As such knowledge on land capability and suitability classification are also essential to help farmers and other land users in Katsina senatorial zone to identify areas best capable and suitable for different uses.

1.6 Research Aim

The research's main aim is to employ a remote sensing and GIS technique to classify land use capability and suitability in Katsina State. This will be achieved through the following specific objectives:

1.7 Research Objectives

- i. To determine soil and land characteristics of the selected area
- ii. To produce a land capability map of the study area using USDA classification and ArcGIS techniques.
- iii. To determine the land suitability for some selected crops based on FAO framework land evaluation.

1.8 Limitation of the Study

Given the large expanse of land in Katsina State, the study will be limited to selecting purposely some local governments due to high cost and time constraints. Man's usage and misuse of land may be traced all the way back to prehistory. Man's major occupations in ancient life were only harvesting wild fruits and hunting animals. The Old Stone Age's social life appears to have shifted from one raw material source to another. Man eventually found how to collect seeds, cultivate them, and harvest them. Long-term land use has been conflated with soil conservation, which is the flip side of preventing deterioration. More production is required as the population grows, necessitating the improvement of soil fertility and moisture. While soil can be improved to some extent, it has natural limits, and a reasonable approach would be to use the soil that is most suitable for the role, such as forestry, grasslands, agriculture, and so on, because we all live on the land in some way. We get practically all of our food and practically all of our needs from the earth, whether they are mineral or not. We're running out of land, and by misusing it, we're hastening the process. If we misuse land, we are just cutting down our main source of nutrition and hastening the date of world starvation.

1.9 Constitutive and operational terms

1.9.1 Land

All aspects of the physical environment that influence land use, whether directly or indirectly, make up the land (Colin, 1991). Land contains qualities such as geology, landforms, climate and hydrology, plant cover, and fauna, including disease-associated insects and microfauna (Cassidy et al., 2010). Land, as a source of food, identity, shelter, and riches, is considered by some as everything in the context of human existence and survival (Chukwu, 2007). Land is also a critical natural resource on which other resources are dependent (ztürk, 2017). The term "land" also refers to the portion of the earth's surface that is not covered by water, such as the continents and islands (Al-mashreki et al., 2011). To others, it views as a portion of the earth's solid surface characterized by boundaries and ownership (LIOH, 2015). In a nutshell, the land is much more than a resource. "land is like our vein since we can't survive without it. If cut the root of the tree, it can't grow up and sooner or later it will die. It's similar to our lives without land" (LIOH, 2015).

Land as a resource includes both historical and contemporary human activity. Because such activities have a considerable impact on current and future land usage. As a result, land can be defined as the sum of natural and man-made resources (Barlowe, 1978). As a result, soil survey or the interpretation of a soil map are commonly used to classify land capabilities (Klingebiel and Montgomery, 1961).

1.9.2 Land capability

The extent to which a land facet can meet the needs of a specific land use under management practice without causing damage is referred to as land capability (Dent and Young, 1981). Land capability is a globally accepted method of assessing a land's potential to support a variety of land uses in the context of long-term development (Pareta & Jain, 1992). Land capability is simply a classification of soils based on their ability to support a broad range of land uses without degradation or negative consequences for farm planning (Rossiter, 1994).

1.9.3 Land suitability

The process, method, or manner of assessing the performance of land when it is used for specific purposes is known as land suitability classification. An important tenet of sustainable land management is crop growth adaptation to the potentialities and restrictions of local agroecologists. Land suitability classification's main objective is to understand the best land use for specific land units while also preserving environmental resources for future use. However, depending on the aim and size of land classification, precise objectives can differ significantly.

1.9.4 Land capability classification

Land in general varies in its capability to support specific land uses. It is required to undertake land capability categorization in order to determine an area's ability and capability (Abdullahi, 2013). Land capability classification is a way, method, or system of classifying soils based on their capability to produce frequently farmed crops and pasture plants over a long period of time without endangering the environment (Bhandari et al., 2013). Land capability classification depend on the physical characteristic of land (e.g. Geology, slope, soil) and other factors such as (climate, erosion hazard, management practice) which determine land use over the long term for sustainable agricultural development (U.S. Department of the Interior, 2005). Land capability classification should not be confused with land suitability. Land suitability classification grades land on specific and precisely defined land, whereas land capability classification grades land on a broader scale. Land capability classification can also be viewed as land valuation, with system components classified into several categories based on the nature of which is the potential and constraint in the use of sustainable resources (Arsyad, 2010).

1.9.5 Land capability categories

The land capability category is a process of classified land into different categories during classification. All in all, the capability category falls into four that is units, subclasses, classes, and orders.

1.9.6 Soil parameters

Soil parameters are popularly called soil attributes or characteristics and they are made up of three categories that are physical parameters which consist of attributes such as texture, structure, coarse fragments, permeability, water holding capacity, etc. Chemical parameters that consist of soil pH, salinity, cation exchange capacity, phosphorus, total nitrogen, and Biological parameters include organic matter, organic carbon, etc. The derived soil parameters are needed to underpin the broad-scale Agro-ecological zone (AEZ) for growth, simulation, and analysis of the global environment (ISRC, 2019).

1.9.7 Land limitation

Land limitation refers to soil characteristics that restrict crop yields. Example of land limitations is acidity, liming, poor aeration, nutrient deficiency, water stress, erosion, as well as salinity. Although management practice can overcome many soil limitations (Miller, 1983).

1.9.8 Arable land

The word arable land is derived from Latin words arabilis which laterally means able to be plowed, that is the ability of land in being capable of plowed and use to cultivate crops (Wikipedia). Arable land is also connoting as the land which is under temporary crops, temporary for hay, temporary for fallow of at least less than five years (Ahujja, 2013).



REFERENCES

- Abdelrahman, M. A. E., Natarajan, A., & Hegde, R. (2016). Assessment of land suitability and capability by integrating remote sensing and GIS for agriculture in Chamarajanagar district, Karnataka, India. *Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 19(1), 125–141.
- Abd-Elmabod, S. K., Jordán, A., Fleskens, L., Phillips, J. D., Muñoz-Rojas, M., van der Ploeg, M., ... & de la Rosa, D. (2017). Modeling agricultural suitability along soil transects under current conditions and improved scenario of soil factors. In *Soil Mapping and Process Modeling for Sustainable Land Use Management* (pp. 193-219). Elsevier
- Abegaz, A., & Adugna, A. (2015). Effects of soil depth on the dynamics of selected soil properties among the highlands resources of Northeast Wollega, Ethiopia: are these sign of degradation? *Solid Earth Discussions*, 7(3).
- Aboaba, K., Oyekale, T., Adewuyi, S., & Oshati, T. (2019). Multidimensional poverty among rural households in Ogun State, Nigeria. *Journal of agribusiness and rural development*, 54(4), 335-344.
- Adamu, G. K. (2014). An Assessment of the Characteristics and Potentials of Fadama Soils in The Reaches of Two Major Stream in Kano State, Nigeria. *Unpublished PhD. Thesis. Department of Geography Bayero University Kano, Nigeria*, 6-19.
- Adekiya, A. O., Ojeniyi, S. O., & Agbede, T. M. (2011). Soil physical and chemical properties and cocoyam yield under different tillage systems in a tropical Alfisol. *Experimental Agriculture*, 47(3), 477-488.
- Ahmed, M., & Jeb, D. N. (2014). Land suitability for sorghum using multicriteria evaluation (MCE) and analytical hierarchy process (AHP) in Bunkure Kano State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSRJAVS) e-ISSN*, 7(9), 2319-2380.
- Ahukaemere, C. M., & Obasi, N. S. (2018). Potentials of Soils derived from Asu River Group and Asata Nkporo Shale for Arable Crop Production in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Bulgarian Journal of Soil Science*, 3(1), 48-62.
- Ajeigbe, H. A., Akinseye, F. M., Kunihya, A., Abdullahi, A. I., & Kamara, A. Y. (2019). Response of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) to plant population in the semi-arid environments of Nigeria. *Net Journal of Agricultural Science*, 7(1), 13-22.
- Alexandratos, N. (Ed.). (1995). *World agriculture: towards 2010: an FAO study*. Food & Agriculture Org.

- Aliero, M. M., Ismail, M. H., Alias, M. A., Mohd, S. A., Abdullahi, S., Kalgo, S. H., & Kwaido, A. A. (2018, June). Assessing soil physical properties variability and their impact on vegetation using geospatial tools in Kebbi State, Nigeria. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 169, No. 1, p. 012111). IOP Publishing.
- Al-mashreki, M. H., Bin, J., Akhir, M., Rahim, S. A., Desa, K., Lihan, T., & Haider, A. R. (2011). GIS-Based Sensitivity Analysis of Multi-Criteria Weights for Land Suitability Evaluation of Sorghum Crop in the Ibb Governorate, Republic of Yemen. *J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 1(9), 1102–1111.
- Amien, I. (1998). An agroecological approach to sustainable agriculture.
- Amusan, A. A., Olayinka, A., & Oyedele, D. J. (2005). Genesis, classification, and management requirements of soils formed in windblown material in the Guinea Savanna area of Nigeria. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 36(15–16), 2015–2031.
- Anderson, M. L., & Magruder, J. (2017). *Split-sample strategies for avoiding false discoveries* (No. w23544). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Antoine, P. (1994). The Somme valley terrace system (northern France); a model of river response to Quaternary climatic variations since 800,000 BP. *Terra nova*, 6(5), 453–464.
- Ashraf, S., & Normohammad, B. (2011). Qualitative evaluation of land suitability for wheat in Northeast-Iran Using FAO methods. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 4(6), 703–707.
- Aune, S., Bryn, A., & Hovstad, K. A. (2018). Loss of semi-natural grassland in a boreal landscape: impacts of agricultural intensification and abandonment. *Journal of land use science*, 13(4), 375–390.
- Atalay, I. (2016). A New approach to the land capability classification: Case study of Turkey. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 32, 264–274.
- Aune, S., Bryn, A., & Hovstad, K. A. (2018). Loss of semi-natural grassland in a boreal landscape: impacts of agricultural intensification and abandonment. *Journal of Land Use Science*, 13(4), 375–390.
- Douglas, N., Reason, B., Takavarasha, T., & Ndlovu, J. (2018). Diversification and Farm Household Welfare in Grasslands ‘A’ Farm, Kwekwe District, Zimbabwe. *J Hum Ecol*, 62(1-3), 58–68.
- Bhandari, S., Jhadav, S. T., & Kumar, S. (2013). land capability classification and crop suitability assessment in a watershed using rs and gis – a case study of watershed in Dehradun, Uttara hand about the author: e mail id: sonali.bhandari@snu.edu.in contact no: + 91 – 0120-2663801 ext858 i. intr. *14th esri India user conference*, 1–9.

- Bock, M., Gasser, P.-Y., Pettapiece, W. W., Brierley, A. J., Bootsma, A., Schut, P., ... Smith, C. A. S. (2018). The Land Suitability Rating System Is a Spatial Planning Tool to Assess Crop Suitability in Canada. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 6(July), 1–16.
- Bruce, R. C., & Rayment, G. E. (1982). *Analytical methods and interpretations used by the Agricultural Chemistry Branch for soil and land use surveys*. Queensland Department of Primary Industries.
- Budiyono, B., Seno, J., & Sunarso, S. (2011). Study on slaughterhouse wastes potency and characteristic for biogas production. *International Journal of Waste Resources (IJWR)*, 1(2).
- Burrough, p. A., macmillan, r. A., & van deursen, w. (2020). Fuzzy classification methods for determining land suitability from soil profile observations and topography. *Journal of Soil Science*, 43(2), 193–210.
- Busscher, W. J., & Bauer, P. J. (2003). Soil strength, cotton root growth and lint yield in a southeastern USA coastal loamy sand. *Soil and tillage research*, 74(2), 151-159.
- Cassidy, L., Binford, M., Southworth, J., & Barnes, G. (2010). Social and ecological factors and land-use land-cover diversity in two provinces in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Land Use Science*, 5(4), 277–306.
- Chakhar, S., & Mousseau, V. (2008). GIS-based multicriteria spatial modeling generic framework. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 22 (11-12), 1159-1196.
- Chukwu, G. O. (2007). Land suitability classification of Southeastern Nigeria wetlands for azolla. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 2(12), 512–515.
- Charman, P. E. V., & Roper, M. M. (2000). Soil organic matter. In ‘Soils: their properties and management’. (Eds PEV Charman, BW Murphy) pp. 260–270.
- Cook, R. J., Barron, J. C., Papendick, R. I., & Williams, G. J. (1981). Impact on agriculture of the Mount St. Helens eruptions. *Science*, 211(4477), 16-22.
- Coulombe, C. E., Wilding, L. P., & Dixon, J. B. (1996). Overview of Vertisols: characteristics and impacts on society. In *Advances in Agronomy* (Vol. 57, pp. 289-375). Academic Press.
- Dent, D., & Young, A. (1981). *Soil survey and land evaluation*. George Allen & Unwin.
- Dragun, J., & Chekiri, K. (1991). Elements in North American Soils.
- Dugje, I. Y., Kamara, A. Y., & Ajeigbe, H. (2009). Biophysical Characterisation of Crop Fields in Sudan Savannah Zone of North Western Nigeria.

- El-Aziz, S. H. A. (2018). Evaluation of land suitability for main irrigated crops in the North-Western Region of Libya. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*, 7(1), 73-86.
- Estefan, G., Sommer, R., & Ryan, J. (2013). Methods of soil, plant, and water analysis. *A manual for the West Asia and North Africa region*, 3.
- Olorunfemi, I. E., Fasinmirin, J. T., & Akinola, F. F. (2018). Soil physico-chemical properties and fertility status of long-term land use and cover changes: A case study in forest vegetative zone of Nigeria. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*, 7(2), 133-150.
- Olorunfemi, I. E., Fasinmirin, J. T., & Akinola, F. F. (2018). Soil physico-chemical properties and fertility status of long-term land use and cover changes: A case study in forest vegetative zone of Nigeria. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*, 7(2), 133-150.
- Fan, L., & Chen, D. (2016). Trends in extreme precipitation indices across China detected using quantile regression. *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 17(7), 400-406.
- Fasinmirin, J. T., & Olorunfemi, I. E. (2013). Soil moisture content variation and mechanical resistance of Nigerian Alfisol under different tillage systems. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering and Technology*, 21(2), 11-20.
- Fenton, G., & Helyar, K. (2007). Soil acidification. *Soils—their properties and management*. 3rd edn (Eds PEV Charman, BW Murphy) (Oxford University Press: Melbourne).
- Foster G.R. (1982). Modeling the erosion process. In *Hydrologic Modeling of Small Watersheds*; ASAE: St. Joseph, MI, USA.
- Gee, G. W., & Bauder, J. W. (1986). Particle-size analysis. *Methods of soil analysis: Part 1 Physical and mineralogical methods*, 5, 383-411.
- Geeves, G. W. Ceaze, B., and Hamilton, G. J. (2007a). Soil physical properties. In *Soils their properties and management*. 3rd edn. (Eds P. E. V. Chairman and B. W. Murphy.) pp. 168-191. (Oxford University Press: Melbourne.)
- Ghasemi, A. R. (2015). Changes and trends in maximum, minimum and mean temperature series in Iran. *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 16(3), 366-372.
- Girmay, G., Sebnie, W., & Reda, Y. (2018). Land capability classification and suitability assessment for selected crops in Gateno watershed, Ethiopia. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 0(0).
- Grewal, K. S., Buchan, G. D., & Tonkin, P. J. (1990). Estimation of field capacity and wilting point of some New Zealand soils from their saturation percentages. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, 18(4), 241-246.
- Gülser, C., Ekberli, I., & Candemir, F. (2016). Spatial variability of soil physical properties in a cultivated field. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*, 5(3), 192-200.

- Hancock, G., Willgoose, G., & Cohen, S. (2015, April). Soil depth and topography: a field assessment of evolutionary controls in a small catchment. In *EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts* (Vol. 17).
- Hassan, P., Jusop, S., Ismail, R., Aris, A. Z., & Panhwar, Q. A. (2016). Land Quality of an Acid Sulfate Soil Area in Kelantan Plains, Malaysia and its Effect on the Growth of Rice. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 4(3).
- Hatfield, J. L., & Prueger, J. H. (2015). Temperature extremes: Effect on plant growth and development. *Weather and climate extremes*, 10, 4-10.
- Hazelton, P., & Murphy, B. (2007). Interpreting soil test results; what do all the numbers mean? University of Technology, Sydney (UTS), Department of Infrastructure. *Natural Resources & Planning: CSIRO PUBLISHING*, 59-63.
- Henao, J., & Baanante, C. A. (1999). *Estimating rates of nutrient depletion in soils of agricultural lands of Africa*. Muscle Shoals: International Fertilizer Development Center.
- Hirzel, J., & Matus, I. (2013). Effect of soil depth and increasing fertilization rate on yield and its components of two durum wheat varieties. *Chilean journal of agricultural research*, 73(1), 55-59.
- Holford, I. C. R., & Cullis, B. R. (1985). Effects of phosphate buffer capacity on yield response curvature and fertilizer requirements of wheat in relation to soil phosphate tests. *Soil Research*, 23(3), 417-427.
- Horney, R. D., Taylor, B., Munk, D. S., Roberts, B. A., Lesch, S. M., & Plant, R. E. affected soil. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*, 46(1-3), 379-397.
- Hossain, M. S., Chowdhury, S. R., Das, N. G., & Rahaman, M. M. (2007). Multi-criteria evaluation approach to GIS-based land-suitability classification for tilapia farming in Bangladesh. *Aquaculture International*, 15(6), 425-443.
- Huang, L., & Zhang, Z. (2016). Effect of rainfall pulses on plant growth and transpiration of two xerophytic shrubs in a revegetated desert area: Tengger Desert, China. *Catena*, 137, 269- 276.
- Izge, A. U., & Song, I. M. (2013). Pearl millet breeding and production in Nigeria: problems and prospects. *Journal of Environmental Issues and Agriculture in Developing Countries*, 5(2), 25.
- Jafarzadeh, A. A., Alamdari, P., Neyshabouri, M. R., & MRN, S. S. (2008). Land suitability evaluation of Bilverdy Research Station for wheat, barley, alfalfa, maize and safflower. *Soil and Water Research*, 3(Special Issue No. 1), S81-S88.
- Jordan, G.; van Rompaey, A.; Szilassi, P.; Csillag, G.; Mannaerts, C.; Woldai, T. (2005). Historical land use changes and their impact on sediment fluxes in the Balaton basin (Hungary). *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*108, 119-133

- Kalimuthu, K., Vijayakumar, S., & Senthilkumar, R. (2010). Antimicrobial activity of the biodiesel plant, *Jatropha curcas* L. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences*, 1(3), 1-5.
- Kalogirou, S. (2002). Expert systems and GIS: an application of land suitability evaluation. *Computers, environment and urban systems*, 26(2-3), 89-112.
- Karimi, F., Sultana, S., Shirzadi Babakan, A., & Royall, D. (2018). Land suitability evaluation for organic agriculture of wheat using GIS and multicriteria analysis. *Papers in Applied Geography*, 4(3), 326-342.
- Kahsay, A., Haile, M., Gebresamuel, G., Mohammed, M., & Moral, M. T. (2018). Land suitability analysis for sorghum crop production in northern semi-arid Ethiopia: Application of GIS-based fuzzy AHP approach. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 4(00), 1-24.
- Kosmas, C., Kirkby, M. J., & Geeson, N. (Eds.). (1999). *The Medalus Project: Mediterranean desertification and land use: Manual on key indicators of desertification and mapping environmentally sensitive areas to desertification*. Directorate-General Science, Research and Development.
- Lefèvre, C., Rekik, F., Alcantara, V., & Wiese, L. (2017). *Soil organic carbon: the hidden potential*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- Leghari, S. J., Wahocho, N. A., Laghari, G. M., HafeezLaghari, A., MustafaBhabhan, G., HussainTalpur, K., & Lashari, A. A. (2016). Role of nitrogen for plant growth and development: A review. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 10(9), 209-219.
- Luo, B. L., Chen, X. Y., Ding, L. Q., Huang, Y. H., Zhou, J., & Yang, T. T. (2015). Response characteristics of soil fractal features to different land uses in typical purple soil watershed. *Plos one*, 10(4), e0122842.
- Maduakor, h. O. (1991). Physical and hydraulic properties of soils of the sudano-sahelian regions of nigeria. *Iahs publ, int assoc of hydrological sciences, wallingford, (engl), 1991*, (199), 229-240.
- Mahmoud, S. H., & Alazba, A. A. (2015). The potential of in situ rainwater harvesting in arid regions: developing a methodology to identify suitable areas using GIS-based decision support system. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 8(7), 5167-5179.
- Mallika, K., Veena, T., Bellubbi, N. S., & Sourabh, J. (2015). Land capability classification of University of Agricultural Sciences campus, Raichur district of Karnataka. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research (IJASR)*, 5(3), 17-23.
- Mary Silpa, T. J., & Nowshaja, P. T. (2016). Land Capability Classification of Ollukara Block Panchayat Using GIS. *Procedia Technology*, 24, 303-308.

- Mathewos, M., Dananto, M., Erkossa, T., & Mulugeta, G. (2018). Parametric Land Suitability Assessment for Rainfed Agriculture: The Case of Bilate Alaba Sub-watershed, Southern Ethiopia. *Agrotechnology*, 7(183), 2.
- M. F., Nagasawa, R., Uddin, M. I., & Delowar, H. K. M. (2005). Crop-land suitability analysis using a multicriteria evaluation & GIS approach. *United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Tottori University, Tottori, Japan*, 1–8.
- Monda, K. L., Gordon-Larsen, P., Stevens, J., & Popkin, B. M. (2007). China's transition: the effect of rapid urbanization on adult occupational physical activity. *Social science & medicine*, 64(4), 858-870.
- Maw, Y. Y. (2016). Geo-informatics for the Land capability of Khabaung Watershed Area by Using *Stories Index Rating (SIR)*, *Bago Region*, 7(1), 158–167
- McCool, D. K., Brown, L. C., Foster, G. R., Mutchler, C. K., & Meyer, L. D. (1987). Revised slope steepness factor for the Universal Soil Loss Equation. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 30(5), 1387-1396.
- McKenzie, D., Gedalof, Z. E., Peterson, D. L., & Mote, P. (2004). Climatic change, wildfire, and conservation. *Conservation biology*, 18(4), 890-902.
- McRae, S. G. and Bumham, C. P. 1981. *Land Evaluation*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Metson, A. J. (1961). Methods of chemical analysis for soil survey samples, soil bureau bulletin 12. *Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Zealand*.
- Mishra, A. (2007). Land suitability classification for different crops. *Orissa Review*.
- Mitchell, F. (2002). Comparative trends in land-use under different levels of population density: The case of Gutu district in Zimbabwe. *South African Geographical Journal*, 84(2), 158-169.
- MOE (2011). Soil quality and spatial variability of physio chemical properties of a fruit growing area in Klung Malaysia
- Modarres, R., & da Silva, V. D. P. R. (2007). Rainfall trends in arid and semi-arid regions of Iran. *Journal of arid environments*, 70(2), 344-355.
- Mueller, L., Schindler, U., Mirschel, W., Shepherd, T. G., Ball, B. C., Helming, K., ... & Wiggering, H. (2010). Assessing the productivity function of soils. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 30(3), 601-614.
- Musinguzi, P., Tenywa, J. S., Ebanyat, P., Tenywa, M. M., Mubiru, D. N., Basamba, T. A., & Leip, A. (2013). Soil organic carbon thresholds and nitrogen management in tropical agroecosystems: concepts and prospects.

- Murty, B. R., ARUNACHA, V, & Saxena, M. B. L. (1967). Classification and catalogue of a world collection of sorghum. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 27, 1-74.
- Northcote, K. H., & Sreene, J. K. M. (1972). *Australian soils with saline and sodic properties* (No. R&D Rpt).
- Nrcs, U. (2018). Effects on Soil Water Holding Capacity and Soil Water Retention Resulting from Soil Health Management Practices Implementation — A Review of the, (March), 1–30.
- Ogle, S. M., Swan, A., & Paustian, K. (2012). No-till management impacts on crop productivity, carbon input and soil carbon sequestration. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 149, 37-49.
- Oomkens, E. (1974). Lithofacies relations in the Late Quaternary Niger delta complex. *Sedimentology*, 21(2), 195-222.
- Öztürk, M. (2017). Land Use Suitability Classification for the Actual Agricultural Areas within the Bartın Stream Watershed of Turkey. *Periodicals of Engineering and Natural Sciences (PEN)*, 5(1).
- Pal, A. B., Khare, D., Mishra, P. K., & Singh, L. (2017). Trend analysis of rainfall, temperature and runoff data: a case study of Rangoon watershed in Nepal. *International Journal of Students' Research in Technology & Management*, 5(3), 21-38.
- Pareta, K., & Jain, C. K. (1992). Land Suitability Analysis for Agricultural Crops using Multi-Criteria Decision Making and GIS Approach. *Journal of Agrometeorology*, 10, 1–12.
- Paz, L., Managament, A., Nam, V., & Dawa, D. (2013). Soil mapping and classification: a case study in the Tigray Region, Ethiopia, 107(1), 73–99.
- Perveen, M. F., Nagasawa, R., Uddin, M. I., & Delowar, H. K. M. (2005). Crop-land suitability analysis using a multicriteria evaluation & GIS approach. *United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Tottori University, Tottori, Japan*, 1–8.
- Pevehill, K. I., Sparrow, L. A., & Reuter, D. J. (Eds.). (1999). *Soil analysis: an interpretation manual*. CSIRO publishing.
- Ping, C. L., Michaelson, G. J., Kane, E. S., Packee, E. C., Stiles, C. A., Swanson, D. K., & Zaman, N. D. (2010). Carbon stores and biogeochemical properties of soils under black spruce forest, Alaska. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 74(3), 969-978.

- Ponjavic, M., Karabegovic, A., Ferhatbegovic, E., & Besic, I. (2019, May). Spatial data integration in heterogeneous information systems' environment. In *2019 42nd International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO)* (pp. 1559-1564). IEEE.
- Rab, M. A. (1998). Rehabilitation of snig tracks and landings following logging of *Eucalyptus regnans* forest in the Victorian Central Highlands—a review. *Australian Forestry*, *61*(2), 103-113.
- Rabia, A. H., Afifi, R. R., Gelaw, A. M., Bianchi, S., Figueredo, H., Huong, T. L., ... & Solomon, H. W. (2013). Soil mapping and classification: a case study in the Tigray Region, Ethiopia. *Journal of Agriculture and Environment for International Development (JAEID)*, *107*(1), 73-99.
- Roberts, B. R. (1992). *Land care manual*. UNSW Press.
- Raju, A. N. (2015). Land Capability and Suitability in Vizianagaram district of Andhra Pradesh using Remote sensing and GIS Techniques,”. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, *20*(7), 56-64.
- Roslan, I., Shamshuddin, J., Fauziah, C. I., & Anuar, A. R. (2011). Fertility and suitability of the Spodosols formed on sandy beach ridges interspersed with swales in the Kelantan-Terengganu Plains of Malaysia for kenaf production. *Malaysian Journal of Soil Science*, *15*(1), 1-24.
- Ross, D. S., Bartlett, R. J., & Magdoff, F. R. (1991). Exchangeable cations and the pH-independent distribution of cation exchange capacities in Spodosols of a forested watershed. In *Plant-soil interactions at low pH* (pp. 81-92). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Rayment, G. E., & Higginson, F. R. (1992). *Australian laboratory handbook of soil and water chemical methods*. Inkata Press Pty Ltd.
- Sadej, W., & Przekwas, K. (2008). Fluctuations of nitrogen levels in soil profile under conditions of a long-term fertilization experiment. *Plant Soil and Environment*, *54*(5), 197.
- Sakamma, S., Umesh, K. B., Girish, M. R., Ravi, S. C., Satishkumar, M., & Bellundagi, V. (2018). Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana* L . Gaertn .) Production System : Status , Potential , Constraints and Implications for Improving Small Farmer ' s Welfare, *10*(1), 162–179.
- Sarwar, G., Schmeisky, H., Hussain, N., Muhammad, S., Ibrahim, M., & Safdar, E. (2008). Improvement of soil physical and chemical properties with compost application in rice-wheat cropping system. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, *40*(1), 275-282.
- Satriawan, H., Harahap, E. M., & Karim, A. (2014). Land Capability Evaluation for Agriculture in Krueng Sieumpo Watershed, Aceh. *Academic Research International*, *5*(May), 55–63.

- Schneider, R. J., Arnold, L. S., & Ragland, D. R. (2009). Methodology for counting pedestrians at intersections: use of automated counters to extrapolate weekly volumes from short manual counts. *Transportation research record*, 2140(1), 1-12.
- Sharififar, A. (2012). Assessment of different methods of soil suitability classification for wheat cultivation. *Journal of Agrobiology*, 29(2), 47-54.
- Sharu, M. B., Yakubu, M., Noma, S. S., & Tsafe, A. I. (2013). Land Evaluation of an Agricultural Landscape in Dingyadi District, Sokoto State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 21(2), 148-156.
- Seyedmohammadi, J., Esmaeelnejad, L., & Ramezanpour, H. (2016). Determination of a suitable model for prediction of soil cation exchange capacity. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, 2(3), 1–12.
- Sinclair Jr, H. R., & Dobos, R. R. (2006). Use of land capability classification system in the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (Public Law 95-87). *Public law*, 95(87), 1.
- Slessarev, E. W., Lin, Y., Bingham, N. L., Johnson, J. E., Dai, Y., Schimel, J. P., & Chadwick, O. A. (2016). Water balance creates a threshold in soil pH at the global scale. *Nature*, 540(7634), 567-569.
- Soil Survey Staff, 2009. Soil survey field and laboratory methods manual. Soil survey investigations report no. 51. USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center, Kellogg Soil Survey Laboratory, USA. 457p
- Sopher, C. D., & Baird, J. V. (1978). Soils and soil management.
- Stark, T. D., Olson, S. M., Kramer, S. L., & Youd, T. L. (1998). Shear strength of liquefied soil. *Geotechnical Special Publication*, (75 I), 313-324.
- Stoorvogel, J. J., Smaling, E. M., & Janssen, B. H. (1993). Calculating soil nutrient balances in Africa at different scales. *Fertilizer research*, 35(3), 227-235.
- Sys, I. D., Ranst, V. E., Debavee, J., & Beernaet, F (1993). *Land evaluation part III crop requirement*. Belgium: place du champ de mars 5 bte 57-1050 Browsers.
- Tavares, J. D. P., Baptista, I., Ferreira, A. J., Amiotte-Suchet, P., Coelho, C., Gomes, S., & Bentub, J. (2015). Assessment and mapping the sensitive areas to desertification in an insular Sahelian mountain region Case study of the Ribeira Seca Watershed, Santiago Island, Cabo Verde. *Catena*, 128, 214-223.
- Tegene, B. (2000). Processes and causes of accelerated soil erosion on cultivated fields of South Welo, Ethiopia. *Eastern Africa Social Science Research Review*, 16(1), 1.
- Tesfay, T., Biedemariam, M., Hagazi, M., & Gebretinsae, T. (2017). Land capability and suitability evaluation for rain-fed crops in semi-arid lowland area of North Ethiopia. *Vegetos—An International Journal of Plant Research*, 30(3), 18-22.

- Tilahun, G. (2007). Soil fertility status as influenced by different land uses in Maybar areas of South Wello Zone, North Ethiopia. *MS. c Thesis, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia. 40p.*
- Torrent, J., & Barrón, V. (1993). Laboratory measurement of soil color: theory and practice. *Soil color, (soilcolor), 21-33.*
- Tsozué, D., Nghonda, J. P., & Mekem, D. L. (2015). Impact of land management system on crop yields and soil fertility in Cameroon. *Solid Earth, 6(3), 1087-1101.*
- Turton, W. (1840). *A Manual of the Land and Fresh-water Shells of the British Islands.* Longman, Orme, Brown, Green, and Longmans.
- U.S. Department of the Interior. (2005). Technical Guidelines for Irrigation Suitability Land Classification Technical Guidelines for Irrigation Suitability Land Classification. *Quality, (June).*
- Victoria, C. (2008). Living with land use change: different views and perspectives. *Group, (March).*
- Vogelmann, E. S., Reichert, J. M., Reinert, D. J., Mentges, M. I., Vieira, D. A., de Barros, C. A. P., & Fasinmirin, J. T. (2010). Water repellency in soils of humid subtropical climate of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Soil and Tillage Research, 110(1), 126-133.*
- Wang, F. (1994). The Use of Artificial Neural Networks in a Geographical Information System for Agricultural Land-Suitability Assessment. *Environment and Planning A, 26(2), 265-284.*
- Whitmore, A. P., & Whalley, W. R. (2009). Physical effects of soil drying on roots and crop growth. *Journal of experimental botany, 60(10), 2845-2857.*
- Wilson, C. A., Davidson, D. A., & Cresser, M. S. (2008). Multi-element soil analysis: an assessment of its potential as an aid to archaeological interpretation. *Journal of Archaeological Science, 35(2), 412-424.*
- Yusuf, M. A. (2011). Evaluation of heavy metals in the soils of urban and peri-urban irrigated land in Kano, Northern Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, 3.*
- Zannah, T. I., Jusop, S., Ishak, C. F., & Roslan, I. (2016). FTIR and XRD Analyses of Highly Weathered Ultisols and Oxisols in Peninsular Malaysia, *04(04), 191-201.*
- Zhang, Z., Sheng, L., Yang, J., Chen, X. A., Kong, L., & Wagan, B. (2015). Effects of land use and slope gradient on soil erosion in a red soil hilly watershed of southern China. *Sustainability, 7(10), 14309-14325.*
- Ziadat, F. M. (2007). Land suitability classification using different sources of information: Soil maps and predicted soil attributes in Jordan. *Geoderma, 140(1-2), 73-80.*