

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

APPLICATION OF HUTVEC HYDROLOGICAL MODEL TO TWO MALAYSIAN WATERSHEDS

CHOONG WEE KANG

FK 2000 2



APPLICATION OF HuTVeC HYDROLOGICAL MODEL TO TWO MALAYSIAN WATERSHEDS

By CHOONG WEE KANG

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia

February 2000



Dedicated to my parents, brother, sister and my wife, Suee In



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

APPLICATION OF HuTVeC HYDROLOGICAL MODEL TO TWO MALAYSIAN WATERSHEDS

By

CHOONG WEE KANG

February 2000

Chairman : Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd. Amin Mohd. Soom

Faculty : Engineering

HuTVeC, an acronym for **Hu**mid Tropical Vegetation and Climate hydrological model was developed by Amjad Nabi in 1997. This model includes the plant leaf dependent parameters such as the leaf area index, light extinction coefficient, canopy storage coefficient, etc., which are controlled by the vegetation type. The output of this model are the daily evapotranspiration, canopy storage and streamflow.

The input data required for this model includes daily rainfall, minimum and maximum temperatures, minimum and maximum relative humidity and sunshine hours. Daily streamflow data is also required for model calibration and validation.

UPM

Application of HuTVeC model was carried out in a 66 square kilometre Trolak watershed using continuous five-year data from 1982 to 1986. For model application to a bigger watershed, Tanjung Malim watershed with watershed area of 186 square kilometres was selected using continuous five-year data from 1988 to 1992.

The results of the model application show that HuTVeC model underestimated the streamflow in both watersheds with up to 38.5 % underestimation for Trolak watershed and up to 50.8% underestimation for Tanjung Malim watershed. From the analyses, these underestimated streamflow were due to overstated canopy interception by up to 5% and overstated transpiration of up to 9%. Better results were obtained when the maximum rainfall was used in place of average rainfall in the watershed.

It is also found that if the ratio of modelled canopy interception to rainfall and the ratio of modelled transpiration to rainfall were maintained below 20% and 40% respectively, the modelled results were deemed acceptable.

The study also found that HuTVeC model is able to produce annual streamflow for planning purposes with more than 60% probability that the modelled annual flow and observed annual are having the same mean with good correlation between monthly modelled flow and observed flow.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan Ijazah Master Sains

APLIKASI MODEL HIDROLOGI HuTVeC PADA DUA KAWASAN TADAHAN DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

CHOONG WEE KANG

Februari 2000

Pengerusi: Professor Madya Ir. Dr. Mohd. Amin Mohd. Soom

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

HuTVeC, singkatan untuk model hidrologi *Humid Tropical Vegetation and Climate* yang dibangunkan oleh Amjad Nabi in 1997. Model ini menggunakan parameter yang tertakluk kepada daun tumbuhan seperti indeks keluasan daun, angkali penghapusan cahaya, angkali simpanan kanopi yang kesemuanya dikawal oleh jenis tumbuhan. Output keluaran model ini termasuklah sejatpemeluhan harian, simpanan kanopi harian dan aliran sungai harian.

Input yang diperlukan dalam model ini termasuklah hujan harian, suhu minimum dan maksimum harian, kelembapan relatif minimum dan maksimum harian serta

UPM

jangkamasa pancaran matahari harian. Data aliran sungai harian juga diperlukan bagi tujuan pengujian dan kepastian model.

Aplikasi model HuTVeC dijalankan dalam dua kawasan tadahan iaitu kawasan tadahan Trolak dengan menggunakan data sebanyak lima tahun daripada 1982 ke 1986. Aplikasi model ini juga dijalankan dalam kawasan tadahan yang lebih besar saiznya, iaitu kawasan tadahan Tanjung Malim dengan menggunakan data 1988 ke 1992.

Keputusan aplikasi model menunjukkan anggaran model sentiasa lebih rendah daripada keadaan sebenar dalam kedua-dua kawasan takungan dengan kekurangan sebanyak 38.5% pada kawasan tadahan Trolak dan 50.8% pada kawasan tadahan Tanjung Malim. Keputusan model dianggap boleh diterima sekiranya nisbah pintasan kanopi kepada hujan dan nisbah transpirasi kepada hujan masing-masing dikekalkan di bawah 20% dan 40%.

Kajian ini juga mendapati bahawa model HuTVeC berupaya menjanakan kadar aliran sungai tahunan bagi kegunaan perancangan dengan kebarangkalian aliran tahunan janaan model dan aliran tahunan diperhatikan mempunyai purata yang sama melebihi 60% serta korelasi di antara aliran bulanan yang baik.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is a report on the continuing research on a locally developed hydrological model, HuTVeC (Humid Tropical Vegetation and Climate) Hydrological Model. Thanks are given to the author's supervisory team Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd. Amin Mohd. Soom, Associate Professor Kwok Chee Yan and Ir. Dr. Lee Teang Shui for their constructive comments, helps and assistance in reviewing the thesis, and suggestions for the right ways in carrying out this research.

The author wishes to thank Dr. Amjad Nabi for his guidance during the course of study. Thanks are also forwarded to everyone in the Hydrological Branch of the Department of Irrigation and Drainage, Mr. Ahmad Zaki and staff in the Malaysian Meteorological Services for their assistance in providing the necessary hydrological and meteorological data required for this study.

Finally, the author wishes to thank his wife, Suee In and parents, sister and brother for their understanding, support and encouragement during the course of study.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| ABSTRACT ABSTRAK . ACKNOWLE APPROVAL | NEDGEMENTSSHEETSION FORM | vii viii |
| LISTS OF TA | ABLES | xiv xv xix |
| CHAPTER | | |
| I | INTRODUCTION Background Hydrology Hydrological Model HuTVeC Hydrological Model Statement of the Problem Objectives | 1 1 2 2 4 5 7 |
| II | LITERATURE REVIEW Introduction Hydrological Model Using Hydrological Model in Malaysia HuTVeC Hydrological Model Sub-Model of HuTVeC Hydrological Model Interception Sub-Model Evapotranspiration Sub-Model | 8 8 10 12 15 15 |
| III | METHODOLOGY Study Area Background Trolak Watershed Tanjung Malim Watershed | 20 20 20 22 25 |



| | General Climatic Characteristic | 30 |
|----|---|----|
| | Rainfall | 30 |
| | Temperature | 30 |
| | Relative Humidity | 31 |
| | Solar Radiation | 31 |
| | Data Selection and Model Application | 31 |
| | Stations Recognition and Data Acquisition | 32 |
| | Rainfall Data | 32 |
| | Streamflow Data | 33 |
| | Other Climatic and Meteorological Data | 33 |
| | Data Screening | |
| | Initial Screening | |
| | Double Mass Analysis | |
| | Rainfall-Runoff Ratio and Watershed Water Balance | |
| | Accepted Data | |
| | Model Calibration | |
| | Model Application | |
| | Trolak Watershed | |
| | Tanjung Malim Watershed | |
| | Analysis of Results-Statistical Analysis | |
| | Research Hypotheses | |
| | Model Calibration | |
| | Model Application | |
| | Student t-test / Student T-distribution | |
| | Correlation Analysis | |
| | | |
| IV | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 50 |
| | Introduction | 50 |
| | Model Calibration | 50 |
| | Model Application to Trolak Watershed | 53 |
| | Results of Initial Model Application | 53 |
| | Results of Maximum Rainfall Input | 62 |
| | Statistical Analysis – Initial Model Application | 67 |
| | Statistical Analysis – Modified Model Application | 68 |
| | Model Application to Tanjung Malim Watershed | 69 |
| | Results of Initial Model Application | 69 |
| | Results of Maximum Rainfall Input | 77 |
| | Statistical Analysis – Initial Model Application | 83 |
| | Statistical Analysis – Modified Model Application | 85 |
| | Overall Model Performance | 86 |



| V | Conclusions AND RECOMMENDATIONS Summary Conclusions Recommendations | 90 91 |
|--------|--|----------|
| BIBLIO | GRAPHY | 95 |
| APPEN | DICES | 99 |
| BIODA | TA OF AUTHOR | 106 |



LISTS OF TABLES

| Table | Pa | ge |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.1 | Comparison of watershed characteristics | 29 |
| 3.2 | Water balance calculations for Trolak and Tanjung Malim watersheds . 3 | 37 |
| 3.3 | Categorisation of model application results | 18 |
| 4.1 | Model calibration results | 52 |
| 4.2 | Results of model application to Trolak watershed | 54 |
| 4.3 | Results of modified model application to Trolak watershed | 52 |
| 4.4 | Statistical analysis of modelling results for Trolak watershed | 57 |
| 4.5 | Statistical analysis of modified modelling results for Trolak watershed . 6 | 59 |
| 4.6 | Results of model application to Tanjung Malim watershed | 70 |
| 4.7 | Results of modified model application to Tanjung Malim watershed7 | 17 |
| 4.8 | Statistical analysis of modelling results for Tanjung Malim watershed | 33 |
| 4.9 | Statistical analysis of modified modelling results for Tanjung Malim watershed | 35 |



LISTS OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Flow chart of a typical continuous, deterministic hydrological model | 10 |
| 2.2 | Schematic diagram of HuTVeC Hydrological Model | 14 |
| 2.3 | Relationship between depth of rainfall, interception storage and net rainfall with respect to time | . 17 |
| 3.1 | Location plan of study areas | 21 |
| 3.2 | Elevation classes of Trolak watershed | 23 |
| 3.3 | River system and location of rainfall and streamflow stations for Trolak watershed | 24 |
| 3.4 | Elevation classes of Tanjung Malim watershed | 27 |
| 3.5 | River system and location of rainfall and streamflow stations for Tanjung Malim watershed | 28 |
| 3.6 | Double mass curves for Trolak watershed | 36 |
| 3.7 | Double mass curves for Tanjung Malim watershed | 36 |
| 4.1 | Model calibration – comparison of daily streamflow hydrographs between observed, lumped model and distributed model of Trolak watershed for 1993 | 51 |
| 4.2 | Model calibration – comparison of mass curves between observed, lumped model and distributed model of Trolak watershed for 1993 | 51 |
| 4.3 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Trolak watershed for year 1982 | 55 |
| 4.4 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Trolak watershed for year 1982 | 55 |



| 4.5 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Trolak watershed for year 1983 | 56 |
|------|---|------|
| 4.6 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Trolak watershed for year 1983 | 56 |
| 4.7 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Trolak watershed for year 1984 | 57 |
| 4.8 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Trolak watershed for year 1984 | 57 |
| 4.9 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Trolak watershed for year 1985 | 58 |
| 4.10 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Trolak watershed for year 1985 | 58 |
| 4.11 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Trolak watershed for year 1986 | 59 |
| 4.12 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Trolak watershed for year 1986 | 59 |
| 4.13 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Trolak watershed for year 1984 using maximum rainfall | 63 |
| 4.14 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Trolak watershed for year 1984 using maximum rainfall | 63 |
| 4.15 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Trolak watershed for year 1985 using maximum rainfall | . 64 |
| 4.16 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Trolak watershed for year 1985 using maximum rainfall | 64 |
| 4.17 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Trolak watershed for year 1986 using maximum rainfall | . 65 |
| 4.18 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of | 65 |



| 4.19 | of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1988 | 71 |
|------|--|----|
| 4.20 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1988 | 71 |
| 4.21 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1989 | 72 |
| 4.22 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1989 | 72 |
| 4.23 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1990 | 73 |
| 4.24 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1990 | 73 |
| 4.25 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1991 | 74 |
| 4.26 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1991 | 74 |
| 4.27 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1992 | 75 |
| 4.28 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1992 | 75 |
| 4.29 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1989 using maximum rainfall | 78 |
| 4.30 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1989 using maximum rainfall | 78 |
| 4.31 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1990 using maximum rainfall | 79 |



| 4.32 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1990 using maximum rainfall | 7 9 |
|------|--|------------|
| 4.33 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1991 | 80 |
| 4.34 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1991 | 80 |
| 4.35 | Comparison of daily modelled and observed streamflow hydrographs of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1992 using maximum rainfall | 81 |
| 4.36 | Mass curves of rainfall, modelled flow and observed flow of Tanjung Malim watershed for year 1992 using maximum rainfall | 81 |



LISTS OF ABBREVIATIONS

% percentage

 γ psychrometric constant λ latent heat of vaporisation

μ populations mean correlation coefficient

σ population standard deviation

 σ^2 population variance

X sample mean

S sample standard deviation

S² sample variance

t probability of Student t-test

U Theil's coefficient ET_a actual evapotranspiration

ASCE American Association of Civil Engineers

BASF baseflow or groundwater flow

BFC routing coefficient for groundwater reservoir

BLAI base leaf area index

CCMX maximum canopy conductance CNMX maximum canopy storage depth

CNSC canopy storage depth

CSCF rainfall interception storage coefficient

DAYL day length

EVCN evaporation from canopy
EXT light extinction coefficient
GWS groundwater storage

H mean daily relative humidity

HuTVeC Model Humid Tropical Vegetation and Climate Hydrological Model

INFL infiltration

JPS Department of Irrigation and Drainage

(Jabatan Pengairan dan Saliran)

LAFC leaf area adjusting factor

LAI leaf area index

m meter mm millimetre Mo. modelled results

MMS Malaysian Meteorological Services

MSAE Malaysian Society of Agricultural Engineers

NRAN net rainfall



Ob. observed streamflow

R sunshine hour

r_a aerodynamic resistance

RAN rainfall

r_c resistance to water vapours

REMX maximum available soil moisture capacity of recharge zone

Sg. Sungai / river
sq. km square kilometres
SRO surface runoff
SUBF subsurface flow

T mean daily temperature

TRANS transpiration

UPM Universiti Putra Malaysia



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background

Malaysia is rapidly developing, converting from an agricultural based nation to an industrial nation. With the developments, water resources become an important raw material that every sector is competing for. However, being an agricultural based nation, the government has set a 65% self-sufficient rice production and hence the required water for paddy irrigation should be made available at all time.

.

The water resources engineers are placed in a tight situation to allocate water with correct quantity and quality at the right time to the right users. This results in a growing demand for information related to the availability and spatial distribution of water resources. Besides that, the ability to predict or generate artificial flow sequences are important for use in rationalising decisions regarding the irrigation requirements, effects of climate changes, effects of land use changes, water supplies, flood control measures and the effects of natural or induced watershed.



Hydrology

Hydrology is an earth science, which encompasses the occurrence, distribution, movement, and properties of water of the earth and their environmental relations. The hydrological cycle on the other hand is a continuous process by which water is transported from the ocean to the atmosphere then to the land and back to the sea with many sub-cycles namely, the evaporation of inland water, precipitation over land, plants transpiration, ground water flow, etc.

Hydrological Model

Hydrological models are the mathematical models that are designed and set up to simulate the hydrological processes in a watershed. Simulation is defined as the mathematical description of the response of a hydrological cycle to a series of events during a selected time period. For example, simulation can mean calculating daily, monthly, seasonal or annual streamflow based on rainfall; or computing the discharge hydrograph resulting from a known or hypothetical storm; or filling in the missing values in a streamflow record.

Hydrological model can be used as a planning tool in large-scale applications such as for metropolitan master plans. Models for such system must be as simple and as flexible as possible. Data processing for planning applications is often a much more



important practical consideration than the level of sophistication of hydrological process modelling.

Hydrological models can be classified as descriptive and conceptual models. The former have the greatest applications and are of particular interest to practising hydrologists because they are designed to account for observed phenomena through empiricism and the use of basic fundamentals such as continuity assumptions. Conceptual models, on the other hand, rely heavily on theory to interpret the phenomena rather than to represent the physical process. Examples of such model are those based on probability theory.

Descriptive models that use deterministic methods to model the hydrologic behaviour of a watershed have become popular. Deterministic simulation describes the behaviour of the hydrologic cycle in terms of mathematical relations outlining the interactions of various phases of the hydrologic cycle. Frequently, the models are structured to simulate streamflow from a given rainfall amounts within the watershed boundaries.

Hydrological models are calibrated by comparing results of the simulation with existing records. Once the model is adjusted to fit the known period of data, additional period of streamflow can be generated.



The hydrological models that ignore spatial variation in parameters throughout an entire system are classified as lumped parameter models whereas the distributed parameter models account for behaviour variations from point to point throughout the system.

HuTVeC Hydrological Model

The HuTVeC hydrological model is an acronym for **Hu**mid **T**ropical **Ve**getation and Climate hydrological model (Amjad Nabi, 1997). It is a deterministic, distributed and physically based hydrological model developed to check the climate change effects on streamflow for the humid tropical countries like Malaysia.

Taking into consideration the effects of land use and climate conditions to a watershed's hydrology, this model uses the rainfall abstraction concept and include three sub-models namely: i) the interception storage sub-model; ii) the evapotranspiration sub-model; and iii) streamflow sub-model.

Since HuTVeC model was designed to simulate the effects of climate changes on watershed streamflow, daily hydrological and meteorological data are among the input requirements of the model. Input data include: i) the daily rainfall data; ii) daily minimum and maximum temperature; iii) daily sunshine hours with empirical constants a and b that convert sunshine hours to short wave radiation; iv) daily

