



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***COLONY DEVELOPMENT OF STINGLESS BEES *Heterotrigona itama*
Cockerell (HYMENOPTERA: APIDAE: MELIPONINI) USING DIFFERENT
HIVE MODELS***

MOHAMAD SYUKRI BIN TAN SHILAN

FP 2022 8



**COLONY DEVELOPMENT OF STINGLESS BEES *Heterotrigona itama*
Cockerell (HYMENOPTERA: APIDAE: MELIPONINI) USING DIFFERENT
HIVE MODELS**

By

MOHAMAD SYUKRI BIN TAN SHILAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science**

February 2021

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**COLONY DEVELOPMENT OF STINGLESS BEES *Heterotrigona itama*
Cockerell (HYMENOPTERA: APIDAE: MELIPONINI) USING DIFFERENT
HIVE MODELS**

By

MOHAMAD SYUKRI BIN TAN SHILAN

February 2021

Chair : Associate Professor Nur Azura Adam, PhD
Faculty : Agriculture

The meliponiculture is an activity of beekeeping with stingless bees by which bee keepers maintain, propagate and utilize stingless bee colonies of various species for profit has increased. *Heterotrigona itama* is the most preferred species of meliponiculturists in Malaysia due its abundance and high in demand. However, the major constraints for meliponiculture were the non-availability of colonies to the interested people, the harvesting practice requiring cutting down trees and there were no standardized practices to manage the colonies. This study was conducted at Ladang 10, Universiti Putra Malaysia to i) describe the morphological characteristics of different caste in stingless bee *H. itama*, ii) to compare the different hive models for colony development of stingless bee *H. itama*, iii) investigate the best artificial propagation technique for stingless bee *H. itama*. All castes of *H. itama* specimens namely queen, virgin queen, workers and drones were obtained in the study site. The morphological characteristics and morphometric measurements of the specimens were observed and measured. Ten layers of brood cells were transferred into four artificial hive models i) horizontal hive, ii) large vertical hive, iii) medium vertical hive and iv) small vertical hive were left for two weeks to enable adaptation to the new environment before all the particular parameters were measured and recorded for five consecutive months. Three artificial propagation techniques namely splitting, bridging and splitting-bridging were conducted for eight consecutive weeks. The successful of colony division under different artificial propagation techniques and the measured parameters were observed and recorded weekly. Results showed there were significant differences ($P < 0.05$) for fifteen of the morphometric measurements of four different castes of *H. itama*. The morphological description on several characters of *H. itama* in four different castes namely queen, virgin queen, worker and drone were successfully described. Queen were recorded with longest body length and abdomen, widest

abdomen and had shortest hind wing compared to other castes. There was a significant interaction ($F=2.51$, $df= 12$, $P= 0.0096$) between month and the hive model on the number of pollen pot. The colony development of *H. itama* developed well in horizontal hive model as compared to other hive models and pollen was the major and very essential for initial stage in term of colony development. New brood cells and queen of *H. itama* were obtained by splitting technique. There were new brood cells developed but without new queen in splitting-bridging technique while there were only pollen and honey pots obtained for the bridging technique. The presence of matured queen can failure the artificial propagation technique due to its pheromones function.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PEMBANGUNAN KOLONI KELULUT, *Heterotrigona itama* Cockerell
(HYMENOPTERA: APIDAE: MELIPONINI) DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN
MODEL SARANG YANG BERBEZA**

Oleh

MOHAMAD SYUKRI BIN TAN SHILAN

Februari 2021

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Nur Azura Adam, PhD
Fakulti : Pertanian

Meliponikultur ialah satu aktiviti dimana penternak kelulut mengurus, mengganda dan menggunakan koloni kelulut dari pelbagai spesies bagi tujuan keuntungan telah meningkat. *Heterotrigona itama* ialah spesies kelulut yang paling digemari oleh penternak kelulut disebabkan oleh permintaan yang tinggi dan ianya mudah didapati. Walaubagaimanapun, terdapat beberapa halangan besar bagi para penternak kelulut antaranya ialah kekurangan sumber koloni kelulut akibat permintaan yang tinggi di pasaran, sumber koloni kelulut yang melibatkan penebangan pokok dan tiada piawaian yang khusus dan seragam bagi pengurusan koloni kelulut. Kajian ini dijalankan di Ladang 10, Universiti Putra Malaysia bertujuan i) untuk membuat pencirian karakter morfologi luaran bagi kasta yang berbeza untuk kelulut spesies *H. itama*, ii) untuk membandingkan pelbagai model sarang yang berbeza terhadap perkembangan koloni kelulut spesies *H. itama*, iii) mengkaji kaedah penggandaan koloni yang terbaik bagi kelulut spesies *H. itama*. Kesemua spesimen kasta bagi spesies *H. itama* iaitu ratu, ratu muda, kelulut pekerja dan kelulut pejantan diperolehi di kawasan kajian. Karakter dan ukuran morfologi bagi kesemua spesimen diperhatikan dan diukur. Sepuluh lapisan sel anak dipindahkan ke empat model sarang iaitu i) sarang melintang, ii) sarang menegak besar, iii) sarang menegak sederhana and iv) sarang menegak kecil dan dibiarkan selama dua minggu di lapangan untuk proses mengadaptasi ke persekitaran baru sebelum semua ukuran parameter diambil dan direkodkan bagi tempoh lima bulan berturut-turut. Tiga kaedah penggandaan koloni kelulut iaitu "splitting", "bridging" dan "splitting-bridging" dijalankan selama lapan minggu berturut-turut. Kaedah penggandaan koloni yang berjaya diperhatikan dan semua parameter yang terlibat diukur secara mingguan selama lapan minggu. Keputusan menunjukkan terdapat perbezaan seerti ($P < 0.05$) bagi lima belas ukuran morfologi untuk empat kasta kelulut

spesis *H. itama*. Pencirian morfologi luaran dibuat bagi beberapa karakter untuk empat kasta kelulut spesies *H. itama* iaitu ratu, ratu muda, kelulut pekerja dan kelulut pejantan. Ratu memiliki badan yang panjang dan lebar, abdomen yang lebar dan mempunyai sayap belakang yang pendek berbanding kasta yang lain. Terdapat perbezaan seperti ($F=2.51$, $df= 12$, $P= 0.0096$) diantara faktor bulan dan model haif pada parameter bilangan pot debunga. Koloni kelulut spesies *H. itama* berkembang baik di dalam model melintang jika dibandingkan dengan model-model sarang yang lain dan debunga merupakan perkara utama dan penting dalam peringkat awal perkembangan koloni. Sel anak baru dan ratu yang baru berjaya dihasilkan dengan menggunakan kaedah "splitting". Terdapat penghasilan sel anak baru tetapi ratu baru tidak berjaya dihasilkan dengan kaedah "splitting-bridging" tetapi hanya pot debunga dan madu sahaja yang dihasilkan di dalam kotak haif melalui kaedah "bridging". Kewujudan sang ratu dapat menjejaskan dan menggagalkan sesuatu kaedah penggandaan koloni disebabkan fungsi feromonnya.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Bismillahirrahmannirrahim, first and foremost, I would like to thank my Almighty God, Allah S.W.T. All glory to Allah S.W.T for giving me the strength and the perseverance to do my best despite all the obstacles and hurdles.

Through this, I would like to take this opportunity to thank my supervisors, Associate Professor Dr Nur Azura Adam and Dr Syari Jamian for their kindness, sparing their times, continuous support and guiding me throughout of my study and research.

Not forgetting my beloved fellow labmates: Siti Asma', Wan Nur Asiah, Marina Roseli, Haziq, Audi and Dr Salmah, for being helpful throughout my study.

I would like to thank my wife Nurul Huda Ahmad Zaki and my son for their understanding and continuous supporting me to finish this study till the end.

I would like also to thank my late father Tan Shilan Ahmad and my mother Halimah Mohd Nor, for giving birth to me at the first place, all the prayers and supporting me spiritually throughout my life. Last but not the least, thanks to my brothers and sisters and all my friends who had given me so much moral support encouragement to complete this thesis.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Nur Azura binti Adam, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Syari bin Jamian, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 April 2022

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|----------------|---|-----------|
| | ABSTRACT | i |
| | ABSTRAK | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | v |
| | APPROVAL | vi |
| | DECLARATION | viii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xiii |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xiv |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xviii |
| | | |
| CHAPTER | | |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 4 |
| | 2.1 Stingless Bees | 4 |
| | 2.1.1 Distribution of Stingless Bees | 4 |
| | 2.2 <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> Cockerell | 5 |
| | 2.2.1 Taxonomy | 5 |
| | 2.2.2 Life Cycle and Caste | 5 |
| | 2.3 Stingless Bees Nest | 6 |
| | 2.3.1 Stingless Bees Nest Structure | 7 |
| | 2.3.1.1 External Structure | 7 |
| | 2.3.1.2 Internal Structure | 8 |
| | 2.4 Economic Importance of Stingless Bees | 9 |
| | 2.4.1 Stingless Bees Honey | 9 |
| | 2.4.2 Stingless Bees Propolis | 9 |
| | 2.4.3 Stingless Bees Pollen | 10 |
| | 2.5 Hive Models | 10 |
| | 2.5.1 Vertical Hive Model | 11 |
| | 2.5.2 Horizontal Hive Model | 11 |
| 3 | MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS DESCRIPTION OF DIFFERENT CASTE OF STINGLESS BEE <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> Cockerell (HYMENOPTERA: APIDAE: MELIPONINI) | 12 |
| | 3.1 Introduction | 12 |
| | 3.2 Materials and Methods | 13 |
| | 3.2.1 Sampling Site | 13 |
| | 3.2.2 Sampling Period | 13 |
| | 3.2.3 Sampling Method | 14 |
| | 3.2.3.1 Insect Specimen | 14 |
| | 3.2.3.2 Workers and Drones | 14 |
| | 3.2.3.3 Queens | 14 |
| | 3.2.3.4 Virgin Queens | 15 |
| | 3.2.4 Laboratory Works | 17 |

| | | | |
|----------|---|--|-----------|
| 3.2.5 | Parameters | | |
| 3.2.5.1 | Morphometric Measurements of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> | | 17 |
| 3.2.5.2 | Morphological Description on Different Castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> | | 23 |
| 3.2.6 | Data Analysis | | 24 |
| 3.3 | Results and Discussion | | 24 |
| 3.3.1 | Morphological Description and Morphometric Measurements on Different Castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> | | 24 |
| 3.3.1.1 | Queen | | 25 |
| 3.3.1.2 | Virgin Queen | | 25 |
| 3.3.1.3 | Worker | | 25 |
| 3.3.1.4 | Drone | | 26 |
| 3.3.2 | Assessment of Morphometric Measurements on Different Castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> | | 26 |
| 3.3.2.1 | Body Length | | 26 |
| 3.3.2.2 | Width and Length of Head | | 27 |
| 3.3.2.3 | Fore Wing and Hind Wing | | 27 |
| 3.3.2.4 | Thorax | | 27 |
| 3.3.2.5 | Abdomen | | 28 |
| 3.3.2.6 | Hind Leg | | 28 |
| 3.3.3 | Comparison of the Morphological Description on Different Castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> | | 31 |
| 3.3.3.1 | Vertex | | 32 |
| 3.3.3.2 | Frons | | 32 |
| 3.3.3.3 | Malar Spaces | | 32 |
| 3.3.3.4 | Fore Wing Coloration | | 33 |
| 3.3.3.5 | Shape of the Abdomen | | 33 |
| 3.3.3.6 | Hind Tibia | | 34 |
| 3.4 | Conclusion | | 36 |
| 4 | COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT HIVE MODELS FOR COLONY DEVELOPMENT OF STINGLESS BEE <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> Cockerell (HYMENOPTERA: APIDAE: MELIPONINI) | | 37 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | | 37 |
| 4.2 | Materials and Methods | | |
| 4.2.1 | Sampling Site | | 37 |
| 4.2.2 | Sampling Period and Selection of Artificial Hive Models | | 38 |
| 4.2.3 | Colony Transfer to Different Hive Models | | 39 |
| 4.2.4 | Parameters | | 40 |
| 4.2.5 | Data analysis | | 44 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 4.3 | Results and Discussion | 44 |
| 4.3.1 | Entrance Tube | 44 |
| 4.3.1.1 | Entrance Tube Length | 44 |
| 4.3.1.2 | Entrance Tube Diameter | 45 |
| 4.3.2 | Pollen Pot | 47 |
| 4.3.2.1 | Number of Pollen Pot | 47 |
| 4.3.2.2 | Diameter of Pollen Pot | 47 |
| 4.3.2.3 | Length of Pollen Pot | 48 |
| 4.3.3 | Honey Pot | 49 |
| 4.3.3.1 | Number of Honey Pott | 49 |
| 4.3.3.2 | Diameter of Honey Pot | 50 |
| 4.3.3.3 | Length of Honey Pot | 52 |
| 4.4 | Conclusion | 55 |
| 5 | INVESTIGATION OF THE BEST ARTIFICIAL PROPAGATION TECHNIQUE FOR <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> Cockerell (HYMENOPTERA: APIDAE: MELIPONINI) | 56 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 56 |
| 5.2 | Materials and Methods | 57 |
| 5.2.1 | Sampling Site | 57 |
| 5.2.2 | Sampling Period and the Chosen Hive | 58 |
| 5.2.3 | Sampling Method | 58 |
| 5.2.3.1 | Bridging Technique | 58 |
| 5.2.3.2 | Splitting Technique | 59 |
| 5.2.3.3 | Splitting-Bridging Technique | 61 |
| 5.2.4 | Parameters | 62 |
| 5.2.5 | Data Analysis | 62 |
| 5.3 | Results and Discussion | 62 |
| 5.3.1 | The Observation and Success Rate of Colony Division Under Three Different Artificial Propagation Techniques | 62 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Splitting Technique | 63 |
| 5.3.1.2 | Splitting- Bridging Technique | 63 |
| 5.3.1.3 | Bridging Technique | 64 |
| 5.3.2 | Comparison of the number of Honey Pot and Pollen Pot of Different Artificial Propagation Technique | 64 |
| 5.4 | Conclusion | 65 |
| 6 | SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH | 67 |
| | REFERENCES | 69 |
| | BIODATA OF STUDENT | 78 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Taxonomy of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> Cockerell | 5 |
| 3.1 | List of parameters used for morphometric measurement on different castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> | 18 |
| 3.2 | Mean comparison of morphometric measurements (mm) of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different castes | 30 |
| 3.3 | Comparison of morphological characters on different castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> | 31 |
| 5.1 | The success of colony division under different techniques | 62 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | General nest structure of stingless bee in tree trunk. | 8 |
| 3.1 | The view of Integrated Farm, Ladang 10, Jalan Maklumat, University Putra Malaysia (UPM). | 13 |
| 3.2 | Queen was found patrolling the brood cells area. | 15 |
| 3.3 | Virgin queen cells are larger than other cells in the brood cells. | 16 |
| 3.4 | Virgin queen cell was kept into the plastic container (a). Size of the plastic container, top diameter: 4.5cm; bottom diameter 2.5cm; height: 3cm. Small holes were made on the lid of each plastic container (b). | 16 |
| 3.5 | Dino-Eye eyepiece (AnMo Electronics Corporation, Taiwan) attached to Meiji Techno RZ stereo microscope (Meiji Techno, Japan) and image of specimens were captured using DinoCapture 2.0 software. | 17 |
| 3.6 | Length (from point a to b) of a body length. | 19 |
| 3.7 | Length (from point a to b) and width (from point c to d) of head measurement. | 19 |
| 3.8 | Length (from point a to b) and width (from point c to d) of forewing measurement. | 20 |
| 3.9 | Length (from point a to b) and width (from point c to d) of hindwing measurement. | 20 |
| 3.10 | Length (from point a to b) and width (from point c to d) of thorax measurement. | 21 |
| 3.11 | Length (from point a to b) and width (from point c to d) of abdomen measurement | 21 |
| 3.12 | Length (from point A to B) of femur, length (from point C to D) of tibia and length (from point E to F) of tarsus of the hind leg segments measurement. | 22 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 3.13 | Width (from point A to B) of femur; width (from point C to D) of tibia; width (from point E to F) basitarsus and length (from point G to H) of basitarsus of the hind leg measurement. | 22 |
| 3.14 | Face characters of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> . | 23 |
| 3.15 | Wings and abdomen of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> . | 23 |
| 3.16 | Morphological structure of the hind leg. | 24 |
| 3.17 | The head of different castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> namely: a) drone; b) worker; c) queen, virgin queen. Note – on the right: electron microscopy image. | 32 |
| 3.18 | Fore wing of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> namely: a) drone; b) worker; c) queen, virgin queen. | 33 |
| 3.19 | The abdomen of four different castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> : a) queen; b) virgin queen; c) worker; d) drone. | 34 |
| 3.20 | Ventral view of drone 's abdomen of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> . | 34 |
| 3.21 | Electron microscopy image of the hind leg of four different castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> : a) queen; b) virgin queen; c) worker; d) drone. | 35 |
| 3.22 | Dorsal view of four different castes of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> : a) queen; b) virgin queen; c) worker; d) drone. | 35 |
| 4.1a | Horizontal hive model. | 38 |
| 4.1b | Vertical hive model (SMALL). | 38 |
| 4.1c | Vertical hive model (MEDIUM). | 39 |
| 4.1d | Vertical hive model (LARGE). | 39 |
| 4.1e | Cutting off the log by using chain saw (a) and layers of brood cells was transferred to the particular hive model. | 40 |
| 4.2a | Entrance tube length measurement taken from point a to b. | 40 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.2b | Entrance tube diameter measurement taken from point a to b. | 41 |
| 4.2c | Pollen pots of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> . | 41 |
| 4.2d | Pollen pot diameter measurement taken from point a to b. | 42 |
| 4.2e | Pollen pot length measurement taken from point a to b. | 42 |
| 4.2f | Honey pots of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> . | 43 |
| 4.2g | Honey pot diameter measurement taken from point a to b. | 43 |
| 4.2h | Honey pot length measurement taken from point a to b. | 44 |
| 4.3a | Mean of entrance tube length for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different hive models. | 45 |
| 4.3b | Mean of entrance tube diameter for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different hive models. | 46 |
| 4.3c | Comparison of the number of pollen pot in different hive models five consecutive months. | 47 |
| 4.3d | Mean of pollen pot length for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different hive models. | 48 |
| 4.3e | Mean of number of honey pot for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in five consecutive months. | 49 |
| 4.3f | Mean of the number of honey pot for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different hive models. | 50 |
| 4.3g | Mean of honey pot diameter for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in five consecutive months. | 51 |
| 4.3h | Mean of honey pot diameter for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different hive models. | 51 |
| 4.3i | Mean of honey pot length for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in five consecutive months. | 52 |
| 4.3j | Mean of honey pot length for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different hive models. | 53 |

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 5.1 | The Medium Vertical Hive Model (25.5cm x 16.5cm x 16.5cm) | 58 |
| 5.2 | Bridging technique | 59 |
| 5.3 | The colony was obtained by cutting off the maintained log carefully using chain saw. | 60 |
| 5.4 | The brood cells of <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> . | 60 |
| 5.5 | Splitting technique, 5-meter distance of each medium vertical hive model. | 61 |
| 5.6 | Splitting-bridging technique, 10cm length of 16mm in diameter of black poly irrigation pipe was used as a connector to attach the two hives together. | 62 |
| 5.7 | Mean of number of honey pot for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different artificial propagation techniques. | 65 |
| 5.8 | Mean of number of pollen pot for <i>Heterotrigona itama</i> in different artificial propagation techniques. | 65 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|--|
| % | Percentage |
| ANOVA | Analysis of Variance |
| cm | centimetre |
| MARDI | Malaysian Agriculture Research and Development Institute |
| m | meter |
| mm | millimetre |
| POP | Provisioning and ovipositing process |
| UPM | Universiti Putra Malaysia |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Apis mellifera populations have been declining in recent years as a result of parasitic mite infestation in their nests, according to the researchers. (de Guzman *et al.*, 2017). On contrary, the infections and parasites aren't a problem for stingless bees (Delfinado *et al.*, 1984; de Guzman *et al.*, 2017). Most tropical and temperate regions were indigenous to stingless bees despite their resemblance to another well-known honey-producing bee (Carreck, 2014). Since they store honey and lack of functional sting, the demand of stingless bee honey in Malaysia has increased as compared to honey bee. There were 145, 856 kilogram of stingless bee honey produced in Malaysia and estimated value of RM 21 million (DOA, 2020).

Heterotrigona itama is the most preferred species of meliponiculturists in Malaysia due its abundance and high in demand. The meliponiculture is an activity involving culturing stingless bee and to profitably cultivate and use stingless bee colonies of different species (Halcroft *et al.*, 2013c). Stingless bee farmers can benefit from selling the colonies, pollen, hive bread, propolis, pollination services, educational facilities and agro-tourism (Halcroft *et al.*, 2013c). Stingless bee farmers usually collected wild colonies nested in tree trunks before moving them to a new artificial hive and selling them later.

1.2 Problem Statement

Meliponiculture is increasingly gaining popularity and the colonies are managed with no standardization. One of the main drawbacks of meliponiculture is the inaccessibility of colonies to interested individuals. The colonies of stingless bees are seen most of the time in cavities from which they cannot be harvested. Stingless bee nests are primarily located in tree hollows and the nest products are difficult to collect for bee farmers. The current method of harvesting is not safe and threatens the natural habitat, the stingless bee's nests are lodged inside jungle tree trunks and harvesters by cutting down whole parts of trees to get at the nests. The disappearance of vast numbers of stingless bee colonies from their natural environments, such as the forest region, has a negative impact on the environment and biodiversity.

The artificial hive is one the major component uses in meliponiculture. Design and materials are also essential for artificial hive construction to maintain and

propagate the stingless bee colony (Cortopassi-Laurino *et al.*, 2006). The problem will occur when the stingless bee colonies are being transferred into inappropriate or unsuitable artificial hive. The colonies which are moved from their natural sites to the appropriate artificial hives will eventually be able to fully grow and naturally divided. If those colonies are not divided, swarming will occur, and the colonies will perish. (Mythri *et al.*, 2018).

Thus, the only better option for increasing the number of stingless bee colonies is to separate the existing colonies. Colony division is another critical method in which many people follow an unrefined approach to split their colonies, which results in a lower success rate (Mythri *et al.*, 2018). There were limited number of studies regarding the suitable artificial hive models and the best technique to propagate the colonies to increase their numbers. Nevertheless, since stingless bees are eusocial insects, it is crucial to study and identify and recognize all the castes in a colony before dividing the colonies.

1.3 Significance of the study

This study mainly focuses on the most abundance stingless bee species in Malaysia, *H. itama* will provide the information for beekeepers to help them recognize all castes which is important in the dividing of colony process and also in monitoring the colony development. In order to maintain the stingless bees' farmer's industry in Malaysia, study on the biology and behaviour of their colonies especially for the colony development of stingless bees were needed.

In order to reduce the activity of cutting down trees, providing the stingless bee colonies with artificial (man-made) hives is a way to solve the problem. A scientific study on the best hives model for stingless bee's colony development is also lacking. Thus, it is crucial to understand the behavioural changes and colony development of stingless bee in the new environments. This study will help meliponiculture's farmers to gain information about all the castes of *H. itama*, the artificial hive models, how to propagate colonies and also the colony development.

1.4 Research Objective(s)

The objectives of the research: -

1. to describe the morphological characteristics of different caste in stingless bee *Heterotrigona itama*
2. to compare the different hive models for colony development of stingless bee *Heterotrigona itama*

3. to investigate the best artificial propagation technique for stingless bee *Heterotrigona itama*



REFERENCES

- Ab Hamid, S. & Salleh, M. & Thevan, K. & Hashim, N. A., (2016). Distribution and Morphometrical Variations of Stingless Bees (Apidae: Meliponini) In Urban and Forest Areas of Penang Island, Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Resources and Sustainable Science*. 4. 1-5.
- Aleixo, K.P., Faria, L.B., Garófalo, C.A., Imperatriz Fonseca, V.L., Silva, C.I. (2013) Pollen collected and foraging activities of *Frieseomelitta varia* Lepeletier (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in an urban landscape. *Sociobiology* 60, 266–276.
- Almeida-Muradian, L. B., Stramm, K. M., Horita, A., Barth, O. M., da Silva de Freitas, A., & Estevinho, L. M. (2013). Comparative study of the physicochemical and palynological characteristics of honey from *Melipona subnitida* and *Apis mellifera*. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 48(8): 1698–1706.
- Anne, D. (2001). Natural hive duplication an alternative method of propagating Australian stingless bee. *Aussie Bee Online*. Retrieved from <http://www.aussiebee.com.au>: 1-3.
- Azmi, W. A., Ghazi, R., & Nasharuddin, I. S. (2019). Morphological, nest architecture and colony characteristics of stingless bees (Hymenoptera; Apidae; Meliponini) from Tasik Kenyir. In M. T. Abdullah, A. Mohammad, Z. M. Nor, & L. M. Safiih (Eds.), *Greater Kenyir landscapes* (pp. 111–121).
- Bänziger, H., S. Pumikong, & K. Srimuang (2011). The remarkable nest entrance of tear drinking *Pariotrigona klossi* and other stingless bees nesting in limestone cavities (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 84(1): 22–35.
- Boongird, S. and Michener, C. D. (2010). Pollen and Propolis Collecting by Male Stingless Bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 83(1):47-50.
- Bradbear, N. (2009). Meliponiculture of Stingless Bees. In non-wood forest products 19 bees and their role in forest livelihoods: A guide to the services provided by bees and the sustainable harvesting, processing and marketing of their products (Eds) (pp. 51–53).
- Castro M.S. (2005) A criação tradicional de abelhas sem ferrão em potes de barro em Boninal, Chapada Diamantina, Bahia. *Mensagem Doce* 80, 38-43. Retrieved from <http://www.apacame.org.br/mensagemdoce/80/meliponicultura2.htm>.
- Camargo, C.A. (1972). Mating of the social bee *Melipona quadrifasciata* under

- controlled conditions (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *J Kans Entomol Soc* 45, 520-523.
- Carreck, N. (2014). Book review: "Pot honey - a legacy of stingless bees" edited by Patricia Vit, Silvia Pedro and David Roubik. *Bee World*. 91. 21.
- Celemli, O. G. (2013). Chemical properties of propolis collected by stingless bees. In P. Vit, S. R. M. Pedro, & D. Roubik (Eds.), *Pot-Honey* (pp. 525–537). New York: Springer.
- Chinh, T. X. (2004). Seasonal production of sexuals in *Trigona* (*Lepidotrigona*) *ventralis flavibasis* Cockerell (Apidae: Meliponini) in North Vietnam, In *Reproduction in eusocial bees (Apidae: Apini, Meliponini)* (pp. 52-65). Central Reproduction FSB, Utrecht University.
- Chinh, T. X., Sommeijer, M. J., Boot, W. J., & Michener, C. D. (2005). Nest architecture and colony characteristics of three stingless bees in North Vietnam with the first description of the nest of *Lisotrigona carpenteri* Engel (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini). *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 78: 26–39.
- Cortopassi-Laurino, M., Imperatriz-Fonseca, V. L., Roubik, D. W., Dollin, A., Heard, T., Aguilar, I., Nogueira-Neto, P. (2006). Review article Global Meliponiculture: challenges and opportunities. *Apidologie*, 37(2), 1–18.
- Cova, J. A. (2013). Immunological Properties of Bee Products. In P. Vit, S. R. M. Pedro, & D. Roubik (Ed.), *Pot-Honey* (pp. 513–522). New York: Springer New York.
- Danaraddi, C. S. (2007). Studies on stingless bee, *Trigona iridipennis* Smith with special reference to foraging behaviour and melissopalynology at Dharwad, Karnataka. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- de Guzman, L. I., Williams, G. R., Khongphinitbunjong, K., & Chantawannakul, P. (2017). Ecology, life history, and management of tropical mites. *Journal of economic entomology*, 110(2) :319-332.
- Delfinado-Baker M., Baker E.W., Flechtmann C.H.W. (1984) Acari Domum Meliponinarum Brasiliensium Halitontes. 5. Two new genera and species of Laelapidae (Mesostigmata: Acari) from stingless bee nests, *Int. J. Acarol.* 10, 3–10.
- DOA. (2020). *Bancian Jabatan Pertanian (Statistics of Department of Agriculture Malaysia) 2020*. Putrajaya: Jabatan Pertanian Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Drumond, P.M., Zucchi, R. & Oldroyd, B.P. (2000) Description of the cell provisioning and oviposition process of seven species of *Plebeia* Schwarz (Apidae, Meliponini), with notes on their phylogeny and taxonomy. *Insectes Sociaux*, 47, 99–112.

- Eltz, T., & Bru, C. A. (2003). Nesting and nest trees of stingless bees (Apidae: Meliponini) in lowland dipterocarp forests in Sabah, Malaysia, with implications for forest management. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 172(2), 301–313.
- Engels W, Imperatriz-Fonseca, V. L. (1990). Caste development, reproductive strategies, and control of fertility in honey bees and stingless bees. In *Social Insects*. (pp 167-230). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Fonseca, V. L. I. (2012). Best management practices in agriculture for sustainable use and conservation of pollinators. Retrieved from <http://www.internationalpollinatorsinitiative.org/uploads/6-010.pdf>.
- Fletcher, D. J. C., & Ross, K. G. (1985). Regulation of reproduction in eusocial Hymenoptera. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 30, 319–343
- Ghasemzadeh, A., & Ghasemzadeh, N. (2011). Flavonoids and phenolic acids: Role and biochemical activity in plants and human. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5(31): 6697–6703.
- Ghazi, Roziah & Zulqurnain, Nur & Azmi, Wahizatul. (2018). Melittopalynological Studies of Stingless Bees from the East Coast of Peninsular Malaysia.
- Greco, M., Spooner-Hart, R., & Holford, P. (2010). A stingless bee hive design for a broader climate range. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 49(3): 290-292.
- González-Acereto, J & Quezada-Euan, José Javier & Medina-Medina, Luis. (2006). New perspectives for stingless beekeeping in the yucatan: results of an integral program to rescue and promote the activity. *Journal of Apicultural Research*. 45. 234-239.
- Halcroft, M. T., Haigh, A. M., & Spooner-Hart, R. (2013a). Ontogenic time and worker longevity in the Australian stingless bee, *Austroplebeia australis*. *Insectes Sociaux*, 60(2): 259–264.
- Halcroft, M. T., Haigh, A. M., Holmes, S. P., & Spooner-Hart, R. N. (2013b). The thermal environment of nests of the Australian stingless bee, *Austroplebeia australis*. *Insectes Sociaux*, 60(4), 497–506.
- Halcroft, M. T., Spooner-Hart, R., & Dollin, L. A. (2013c). Australian Stingless Bees. In *Pot- Honey* (pp. 35–72). New York: Springer.
- Halcroft, M. T., Spooner-Hart, R., Haigh, A. M., Heard, T. A., & Dollin, A. (2013d). The Australian stingless bee industry: a follow-up survey, one decade on Spanish Abstract to Follow Overall comparison between surveys. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 52(2):1-7.
- Heard, T. (2016). *The Australian native bee book: keeping stingless bee hives for pets, pollination and sugarbag honey*. West End, Queensland: Sugarbag Bees.

- Heinrich B. (1975) Thermoregulation in bumblebees. II. Energetics of warm-up and free flight. *The Journal of Comparative Physiology* 96:155–166.
- Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L. & Zucchi, R. (1995). Virgin queens in stingless bee (Apidae, Meliponinae) colonies: a review. *Apidologie*. 26: 231-244.
- Inoue T, Sakagami SF, Salmah S, Yamane S (1984) The process of colony multiplication un the Sumatran stingless bee *Trigona (Tetragonula) laeviceps*. *Biotropica* 16: 100-111.
- Inoue, T., Nakamura, K., Salmah, S., Abbas, I., (1993). Population dynamics of animals in unpredictable-changing tropical environments. *Journal of Bioscience* 18, 425–455.
- Jaapar, M. F., Halim, M., Mispan, M. R., Jajuli, R., Saranum, M. M., Zainuddin, M. Y., & Ghani, I. A. (2016). The diversity and abundance of stingless bee (Hymenoptera: Meliponini) in peninsular Malaysia. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 10(9):1-8.
- Jaapar, M. F., Jajuli, R., Mispan, M. R., & Ghani, I. A. (2018, April). Foraging behavior of stingless bee *Heterotrigona itama* (Cockerell,1918) (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini). In *AIP Conference Proceedings* 1940(1): 020037.
- Jailani, N.M.A and Mariatulqabtiah, A. R., (2018) Stingless bee rearing and colony splitting. *Pertanika Journal of Scholarly Research Reviews*, 4 (3). pp. 62-69.
- Kajobe, R. (2006). Pollen Foraging By *Apis Mellifera* and Stingless Bees *Meliponula bocandei* and *Meliponula nebulata* in Bwindi Impenetrable National Park, Uganda. *African Journal of Ecology* 45 (3), 265-274
- Kajobe, R. & Roubik, D.W. (2006) Honey-making bee colony abundance and predation by apes and humans in a Uganda Forest Reserve, *Biotropica*. 38(2), 1-9.
- Kelly, N., Farisya, M. S. N., Kumara, T. K., & Marcela, P. (2014). Species Diversity and External Nest Characteristics of Stingless Bees in Meliponiculture. *Tropical Agricultural Science*, 37(3): 293–298.
- Keppner, E. & Jarau, S. (2016). Influence of climatic factors on the flight activity of the stingless bee *Partamona orizabaensis* and its competition behavior at food sources. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*. 202.
- Kerr, W.E. & Cunha, R.A. (1990). Sex determination in bees. XXVI. Masculinism of workers in the Apidae. *Revista Brasileira de Genetica*. 13. 479-489.
- Kieliszek M, Piwowarek K, Kot AM, Błażej S, Chlebowska-Śmigiel A, Wolska. (2018). Pollen and bee bread as new health-oriented products: a review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*.71:170–180

- Klump J. (2007). *Australian Stingless Bees: A Guide to Sugarbag Beekeeping*. Brisbane, Earthling Enterprises Pty, Ltd, 110.
- Kumar, M. S., Singh, A., & Alagumuthu, G. (2012). Traditional beekeeping of stingless bee (*Trigona* sp) by Kani tribes of Western Ghats, Tamil Nadu, India. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 11: 342–345.
- Kwapong, P., Aidoo, K., Combey, R., & Karikari, A. (2010). *Stingless Bees: Importance, Management and Utilisation: A Training Manual for Stingless Beekeeping*. Unimax Macmillan.
- Leão, K. L., de Queiroz, A. C. M., Veiga, J. C., Contrera, F. A. L., & Venturieri, G. C. (2016). Colony development and management of the stingless bee *scaptotrigona* aff. *Postica* (apidae, meliponini) in different hive models. *Sociobiology*, 63(4):1038-1045.
- Lima, F. V. D. O., Silvestre, R., & Balestieri, J. B. P. (2013). Nest entrance types of stingless bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae sensulato) in a Tropical Dry Forest of mid-Western Brazil. *Sociobiology*, 60(4): 421–428.
- Maria, J., Camargo, F. De, Roubik, D. W., & Pedro, S. R. M. (2013). Historical Biogeography of the Meliponini (Hymenoptera, Apidae , Apinae) of the Neotropical Region. In *Pot-Honey* (pp. 19–34). New York: Springer.
- Maia-Silva., C, Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L., Silva, C.I., Hrncir M. (2014) Environmental windows for foraging activity in stingless bees, *Melipona subnitida* Ducke and *Melipona quadrifasciata* Lepeletier (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini). *Sociobiology* 61:378-385.
- Mathiasson, M. E., P. K. Kwapong, D. A. Wubah, & J. A. Wubah. (2015). Early colony development of an equatorial afrotropical stingless bee (*Hypotrigona* sp.) in a new habitat. *Journal of Young Investigators*. 29(3): 11-17.
- Menezes, C., Vollet-Neto, A., & Fonseca, V. L. I. (2013). An advance in the in vitro rearing of stingless bee queens. *Apidologie*, 44(5): 491–500.
- Michener, C. D. (1974). *The social behavior of the bees. A comparative study*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, p. 404.
- Michener, C. D. (2000). *The bees of the world* (pp. 30-50). Baltimore, United States: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Michener, C. D. (2007). *The bees of the world (Second Ed.)* (pp. 100-115). Baltimore, Maryland: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Michener, C. D. (2013). *The Meliponini*. In *Pot-Honey* (pp. 3–17). New York: Springer.

- Moo-Valle H., Quezada-Euán J.J.G., Navarro J. and Rodriguez-Carvajal L.A. (2000). Patterns of intranidal temperature fluctuation for *Melipona beecheii* colonies in natural nesting cavities. *Journal of Apicultural Research*. 39: 3-7
- Moo-Valle H, Quezada-Euán J.J.G, Canto-Martín J, Gonzalez-Acereto J.A. (2004). Caste ontogeny and the distribution of reproductive cells on the combs of *Melipona beecheii* (Apidae: Meliponini). *Apidologie* 35(6):587-594.
- Mustafa, M. Z., Yaacob, N. S., & Sulaiman, S. A. (2018). Reinventing the Honey Industry: Opportunities of the Stingless Bee. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences* 25(4):1-5.
- Mythri, P.G., Kencharaddi, R.N. and Hanumantharaya, L. (2018). Colony Division Techniques for Stingless Bee, *Tetragonula iridipennis* Smith, *International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience*. 6(6): 1258-1263.
- Nieh, James & Contrera, Felipe & Nogueira-Neto, Paulo. (2003). Pulsed mass recruitment by a stingless bee, *Trigona hyalinata*. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*. 270. 2191-6.
- Nieh J.C., Contrera F.A.L., Ramírez S., ImperatrizFonseca V.L. (2003). Variation in the ability to communicate 3-D resource location by stingless bees from different habitats, *Anim. Behav.* 66,1129–1139.
- Norowi, M., Fahimie, M., Sajap, A. S., Rosliza, J., & Suri, R. (2010). Conservation and sustainable utilization of stingless bees for pollination services in agricultural ecosystems in Malaysia. In *International Seminar on Enhancement of Functional Biodiversity Relevant to Sustainable Food Production in ASPAC* (pp. 1–11). Tsukuba, Japan.
- Nunes, T. M., Heard, T. A., Venturieri, G. C., & Oldroyd, B. P. (2014). Emergency queens in *Tetragonula carbonaria* (Smith, 1854) (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini). *Austral Entomology*, 54(2), 154-158.
- Nunes, T. M., Mateus, S., Favaris, A. P., Amaral, M. F. Z. J., von Zuben, L. G., Clososki, G. C., Lopes, N. P. (2014). Queen signals in a stingless bee: Suppression of worker ovary activation and spatial distribution of active compounds. *Scientific Reports*, 4, 7449.
- Quezada-Euan J.J.G. & González-Acereto J. (1994). A preliminary study on the development of colonies of *Melipona beecheii* in traditional and rational hives. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 33, 167-170
- Quezada-Euán, J. J. (2018). Stingless Bees of Mexico: Managing and Preserving Stingless Bees. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com>. 193-242.
- Rasmussen, C., & Cameron, S. a. (2010). Global stingless bee phylogeny

supports ancient divergence, vicariance, and long-distance dispersal. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 99(1):206–232.

- Rodríguez-malaver, A. J. (2013). Antioxidant Activity of Pot-Honey. In P. Vit, S. R. M. Pedro, & D. Roubik (Ed.), *Pot-Honey* (pp. 475–480). Springer New York.
- Roubik D.W., Wheeler Q. (1982) Flightless beetles and stingless bees: phoresy of scotocryptine beetles on their meliponine hosts, *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 55, 125–135.
- Roubik, D. W. (2006). Review article Stingless bee nesting biology. *Apidologie*, 37: 124– 143.
- Roubik, D. W., Momose, K., Inoue, T., & Nagamitsu, T. (1999). Preference in flower visits and partitioning in pollen diets of stingless bees in an Asian tropical rain forest. *Population Ecology*, 41(2):195–202.
- Roubik D.W. (1989). *Ecology and Natural History of Tropical Bees*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 514.
- Sakagami S.F. (1982) Stingless bees, in: Hermann H.R. (Ed.), *Social Insects III*, Academic Press, New York, pp. 361–432.
- Salim, H., Dzulkiply, A., Harrison, R., Fletcher, C., Kassim, A., & Potts, (2012). Stingless bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini) diversity in dipterocarp forest reserves in Peninsular Malaysia.
- Saufi, N. & Thevan, K. (2015). Characterization of nest structure and foraging activity of stingless bee, *Geniotrigona Thoracica* Smith (Hymenoptera: Apidae; meliponini). *Jurnal Teknologi*. 77.
- Sforcin, J. M., Fernandes, A., Lopes, C. A., Bankova, V., & Funari, S. R. (2000). Seasonal effect on Brazilian propolis antibacterial activity. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 739(1-2): 243–249.
- Silva de Moraes R.L.M., Brochetto-Braga M.R., Azevedo A. (1996). Electrophoretical studies of proteins of the hypopharyngeal glands and of the larval food of *Melipona quadrifasciata anthidioides* Lep. (Hymenoptera, Meliponinae) *Insectes Sociaux* 43:183–188.
- Simone-Finstrom, M. & Spivak, M. (2010). Propolis and bee health: The natural history and significance of resin use by honey bees. *Apidologie*. 41(3).
- Sommeijer, M.J. (1999). Beekeeping with stingless bees: a new type of hive. *Bee World*, 80: 70-79.
- Sommeijer, M. & Bruijn, L. (1984). *Social Behaviour of Stingless Bees: "Bee-*

- Dances” By Workers of the Royal Court and the Rhythmicity of Brood Cell Provisioning and Oviposition Behaviour. *Behaviour*. 89. 299-315.
- Souza, B., Roubik, D., Barth, O., Heard, T. I. M., Enríquez, E., Carvalho, C., Vit, P. (2006). composition of stingless bee honey: setting quality standards. *Interciencia*, 31: 867–875.
- Ulusoy, E., & Kolayli, S. (2014). Phenolic Composition and Antioxidant Properties of Anzer Bee Pollen. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 38(1): 73–82.
- Upadhyay, D., Bhattacharya, S., Ferguson, D. K., & Bera, S. (2014). Prospects of apicultural entrepreneurship in coastal districts of Eastern India: a melissopalynological evaluation. *PloS One*, 9(4): 1-15.
- Venturieri, G.C.; Raiol, V.F.O.; Pereira, C.A.B.(2003). Avaliação da introdução da criação racional de *Melipona fasciculata* (Apidae: Meliponina), entre os agricultores familiares de Bragança-PA, Brasil. *Biota Neotropica*, v.3, n.2, p.1-7.
- Venturieri, G.C. (2008). Caixa para a criação de Uruçu-Amarela *Melipona avolineata* Friese, 1900. Comunicado Técnico Embrapa Amazônia Oriental, 212: 1-8.
- Vijayakumar, K., Muthuraman, M., & Jayaraj, R. (2013). Propagating *Trigona iridipennis* colonies (Apidae: Meliponini) by Eduction Method. *Academic Journal of Bioscience*, 1 (1): 1-3
- Villamueva-G, R., Roubik, D.W. & Colli-Ucán, W. (2005). Extinction of *Melipona beecheii* and traditional bee keeping in the Yucatán peninsula. *Bee World*, 86: 35-41.
- Visscher, P. K. and T. D. Seeley (1982) Foraging strategy of honeybee colonies in a temperate deciduous forest. *Ecology* 63: 1790–1801
- Vit, P., Pedro, S. R., & Roubik, D. W. (2018). *Pot-Pollen in Stingless Bee Melittology*. Springer.
- Wan Nur Asiah, W. M. A., and Ahmad Said S., and Nur Azura A., and Mohd Norowi H. (2015). Flight intensity of two species of stingless bees *Heterotrigona itama* and *Geniotrigona thoracica* and its relationships with temperature, light intensity and relative humidity. *Serangga*, 20 (1). pp. 35-42.
- Wille, A. (1983). Biology of the Stingless Bees. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 28(1): 41– 64.
- Wille, A., & Michener, C. D. (1973). The nest architecture of stingless bees with special reference to those of Costa Rica (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Revista de biologia tropical*, 21(1):1-15.

Winston M.L., Michener C.D. (1977) Dual origin of highly social behavior among bees, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 74, 1135–1137.

Wittmann, D. (1989). Nest architecture, nest site preferences and distribution of *Plebeia wittmanni* in Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (Apidae: Meliponinae). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna & Environ., 24: 17–23.

Zweden, J. S., Grüter, C., Jones, S. M., & Ratnieks, F. L. W. (2011). Hovering guards of the stingless bee *Tetragonisca angustula* increase colony defensive perimeter as shown by intra- and inter-specific comparisons. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 65(6): 1277–1282.

