



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***LOAD CASE SELECTION GUIDELINE FOR COMBINED MODAL FINITE
ELEMENT APPROACH FOR STATIC AEROELASTIC DEFORMATIONS
OF RECTANGULAR HAR WING MODELS***

THINESH A/L CHANDRASEGARAN

FK 2021 96



**LOAD CASE SELECTION GUIDELINE FOR COMBINED MODAL FINITE
ELEMENT APPROACH FOR STATIC AEROELASTIC DEFORMATIONS OF
RECTANGULAR HAR WING MODELS**

By

THINESH A/L CHANDRASEGARAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

November 2020

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

LOAD CASE SELECTION GUIDELINE FOR COMBINED MODAL FINITE ELEMENT APPROACH FOR STATIC AEROELASTIC DEFORMATIONS OF RECTANGULAR HAR WING MODELS

By

THINESH A/L CHANDRASEGARAN

November 2020

Chair : Mohammad Yazdi Harmin, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

High aspect ratio (HAR) and flexible wing models have multiple benefits. However, due to the nonlinear properties of this type of structure, the linear solution of static aeroelastic response is not sufficient to analyse the wing characteristics. Thus, making the option become more and more undesirable due to the complexity of the conventional finite element (FE) nonlinear analysis. To improve the computational efficiency of the nonlinear analysis of the HAR and flexible wing models, the Combined Modal Finite Element approach is used to characterize the nonlinear properties of the HAR wing model by the development of nonlinear reduced order models (NROM). However, till time no set of clear guidelines on the production of load cases to develop the NROM using the CMFE approach. Therefore, the research proposes a load case selection technique to develop the NROMs and investigates the possibility of predicting the nonlinear static aeroelastic response by prescribing eigenmode based load cases. For the conduct of the study in a systematic manner, the programming routine was developed and coupled with the finite element solver. The selection guideline starts with the selection of the normal modes with the most significant contribution. With the modes selected, the loading profiles were prescribed and the load cases were developed with the maximum force range criteria set. The load cases are then with the use of CMFE approach are utilized to develop the NROM to predict the nonlinear static aeroelastic deformations. The predicted nonlinear static aeroelastic response are verified with the conventional nonlinear finite element analysis and compared in terms of mean error and standard deviation. The load cases developed based on the load case selection technique is able to produce highly accurate NROMs. The study also concludes the possibility of using eigenmode based load cases to predict the nonlinear static aeroelastic response is encouraging. The NROM developed based on the eigenvector load case is a viable option since the overall results show good agreement with the nonlinear deformations obtained from the FE analysis. It is also suggested that the NROM to be developed with individual based bending and torsional load cases since these show a more accurate result than the combined bending and

torsional load case. From the results, it is concluded the accuracy of the NROM is up to 97.5% of the maximum bending deflection of the wing model whereas for the twist deflection the accuracy is up to 99%. With the availability of a detailed guideline for the load case selection and the suggestion of using eigenmode based load cases, enables researchers to explore more into the option of development NROMs using the CMFE approach. Hence, this provides a more desirable alternative solution in comparison to the more complex and tedious approach of nonlinear FE analysis approach in a case of static aeroelastic deformation of high aspect ratio and highly flexible wing model.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PANDUAN PEMILIHAN KES BEBAN UNTUK KAEDAH GABUNGAN
MODAL UNSUR TERHINGGA BAGI PERUBAHBENTUK
AEROKEKENYALAN STATIK SAYAP BERSEGIEMPAT TEPAT NISBAH
ASPEK TINGGI**

Oleh

THINESH A/L CHANDRASEGARAN

November 2020

Pengerusi : Mohammad Yazdi Harmin, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Model sayap nisbah bidang tinggi (HAR) dan fleksibel mempunyai pelbagai kelebihan. Walau bagaimanapun, disebabkan sifat ketidakselarian jenis struktur ini, penyelesaian selari bagi tindak balas aerokekenyalan statik tidak mencukupi untuk menganalisis ciri sayap. Oleh itu, pilihan ini semakin tidak diinginkan kerana kerumitan analisis unsur terhingga (FE) tidak selari. Untuk meningkatkan kecekapan komputasi analisis unsur terhingga tidak selari bagi model sayap HAR dan fleksibel, pendekatan kaedah gabungan modal unsur terhingga (CMFE) digunakan untuk mencirikan sifat tidak selari model sayap HAR dengan pembinaan Model Ketidakselarian order terturun (NROM). Namun, sehingga kini masih tiada set garis panduan yang jelas terhadap terbitan kes beban untuk membangunkan NROM menerusi kaedah CMFE. Maka, kajian ini mencadangkan teknik pemilihan kes beban untuk membangunkan NROM dan menyiasat kemungkinan bagi meramal tindak balas aerokekenyalan statik dengan menentukan kes beban berasaskan mod eigen. Garis panduan pemilihan dimulakan dengan pemilihan mod normal dengan sumbangan paling ketara. Bagi menjalankan kajian secara sistematik, rutin pengaturcaraan dikembangkan dan digabungkan dengan pemecah elemen hingga. Garis panduan pemilihan dimulakan dengan pemilihan mod normal dengan sumbangan paling ketara. Dengan mod yang dipilih, profil pemuatan ditetapkan dan kes beban dikembangkan dengan kriteria julat daya maksimum yang ditetapkan. Kes beban kemudian dengan penggunaan pendekatan CMFE digunakan untuk mengembangkan NROM untuk meramalkan ubah bentuk aeroelastik statik nonlinier. Tindak balas aerokekenyalan statik tidakselari yang diramalkan disahkan dengan menggunakan kaedah lazim analisis unsur terhingga tidak selari dan dibandingkan dari segi purata perbezaan dan sisihan piawai. Kes-kes beban yang dibangunkan berdasarkan teknik pemilihan kes beban dapat menghasilkan NROM yang sangat tepat. Kajian ini juga menyimpulkan bahawa kemungkinan untuk menggunakan kes beban berasaskan mod eigen bagi meramalkan tindak balas aerokekenyalan statik tidak selari adalah memberangsangkan. NROM berdasarkan kes beban vector eigen merupakan pilihan yang dapat dilaksanakan kerana keputusan keseluruhan

menunjukkan persetujuan yang baik dengan perubahan bentuk tidak selari yang diperolehi daripada analisis unsur terhingga. Juga dicadangkan agar NROM dibangunkan dengan kes beban mod kilas dan lentur secara individu dimana keputusan yang lebih tepat diperolehi jika dibandingkan dengan kes beban gabungan dua mod ini. Dari hasilnya, dapat disimpulkan bahawa ketepatan NROM adalah hingga 97.5% dari pesongan lenturan maksimum model sayap sedangkan untuk pesongan lilitan ketepatan hingga 99%. Dengan ketersediaan garis panduan terperinci bagi pemilihan kes beban dan cadangan menggunakan kes beban berasaskan mod eigen, membolehkan para penyelidik untuk meneroka lebih banyak pilihan bagi pembangunan NROMs menggunakan kaedah CMFE. Maka, ini menyediakan penyelesaian alternatif yang lebih diinginkan berbanding dengan kaedah analisis unsur terhingga tidak selari yang lebih complex dan rumitkan bagi kes ubah bentuk aeroelastik statik untuk model sayap nisbah bidang tinggi dan sangat fleksibel.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without the assistance and participation of so many people whose names may not all be enumerated. Their contributions have been gratefully acknowledged and I would like to thank them from the bottom of my heart. In this section, I would like to express my gratitude to some individuals whom have contributed immensely to the completion of this project.

First of all, I would like to express my gratefulness to my supervisor, Dr. Mohammad Yazdi Harmin, an associate professor in the Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia. He gave his full support by supervising me till the completion of this project with regular guidance and moral support to ensure my best is given in this project. His kindness and understanding is very much appreciated. Furthermore, I would like to thank my co-supervisor, Dr. Adi Azriff Basri whom constantly guided me whenever needed.

Last but not least a very special thank you to my beloved parents, Mr and Mrs Chandrasegaran for their love and support throughout my project. Their understanding and moral support have been pivotal for me to complete this project at the highest of standards. Also, a very special thanks to my co-researchers who have supported me continuously through guidance and moral support.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohammad Yazdi bin Harmin, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Adi Azriff bin Basri, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 June 2021

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: _____

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universtit Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman of
Supervisory Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
CHAPTER	
1	INTRODUCTION 1
1.1	Background and Motivation 1
1.2	Problem Statement 2
1.3	Aim and Objectives 3
1.4	Research Questions 3
1.5	Scope and Limitations 3
1.6	Significance of the Study 4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW 5
2.1	Aspect Ratio 5
2.2	Aeroelasticity 8
2.3	Geometric Nonlinearity 10
2.4	Normal Mode Analysis 11
2.5	Linear Static Analysis 11
2.6	Nonlinear Static Analysis 11
2.7	Nonlinear Reduced Order Model (NROM) 122
2.8	Finite Element Structural Model 13
2.9	Finite Element Simulation 15
2.9.1	Normal Mode Analysis 17
2.9.2	Linear and Nonlinear Static Analysis 17
2.9.3	Aeroelastic Flutter Analysis: PK Method 19
2.9.4	Aeroelastic Static Analysis 21
2.10	Combined Modal/Finite Element (CMFE) 22
2.11	Closure 27
3	METHODOLOGY 28
3.1	Simulation Model 29
3.1.1	Nonlinear Static Aeroelastic Analysis 31
3.1.2	Bending and Twist Deflection Calculation 32
3.2	Load Case Definition 33
3.2.1	Normal Mode Selection Techniques 34
3.2.2	Loading Profile Definition 38
3.2.3	Maximum Force Criteria 40
3.3	Verification of NROM Equations 42
3.4	Closure 44

4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	45
4.1	Identification of aeroelastic instability speed	45
4.2	Aeroelastic Static, Linear Static and Nonlinear Static Analysis	47
4.3	Normal Mode Analysis	51
4.4	Normal Mode Selection	55
4.5	Loading Profiles	57
4.5.1	Loading Profile based on the First Bending Mode (Loading Profile 1)	57
4.5.2	Loading Profile based on the First Torsional Mode (Loading Profile 2)	59
4.5.3	Loading Profile based on the combination of the First Bending and Torsional Mode (Loading Profile 3)	62
4.6	Establishment of complete NROM for HAR wing models	66
4.6.1	Complete NROM for AR-16 wing model	66
4.6.2	Complete NROM for AR-12 wing model	78
4.6.3	Complete NROM for AR-8 wing model	89
4.7	Closure	99
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	101
5.1	Conclusions	101
5.2	Recommendations and Limitations	103
	REFERENCES	104
	APPENDICES	107
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	114
	LIST OF PUBLICATION	115

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Structural Model Entry	13
2.2	Aerodynamic Model Entry	14
2.3	General input file format for MSC NASTRAN solver	15
2.4	MSC NASTRAN FEA solution sequence code	15
2.5	General form MSC NASTRAN normal mode analysis input file	17
2.6	General form of MSC NASTRAN linear static analysis input file	18
2.7	General form of MSC NASTRAN nonlinear static analysis input file	19
2.8	MSC NASTRAN input file format for flutter analysis	20
2.9	General input file format of MSC NASTRAN static aeroelastic analysis	22
3.1	Wing Model Properties	31
4.1	Summary of Flutter speed for the wing models	45
4.2	Results of Normal Mode Analysis of the wing model with AR-16	52
4.3	Results of Normal Mode Analysis of the wing model with AR-12	53
4.4	Results of Normal Mode Analysis of the wing model with AR-8	54
4.5	Modal displacement of the AR-16 wing for the first 8 bending modes	55
4.6	Modal displacement of the AR-16 wing for the first 2 torsional modes	55
4.7	NROM equation for selected modes	56
4.8	Mean error of the combined equations in predicting the nonlinear profile of the AR-16 model	56
4.9	Maximum Force for loading profile 1	59
4.10	Maximum Force for loading profile 2	62
4.11	Maximum Force for Loading Profile 3	63

4.12	Summary of Maximum Mean Error for the AR-16 NROMs	77
4.13	Summary of Maximum Mean Error for the AR-12 NROMs	88
4.14	Summary of Maximum Mean Error for the AR-8 NROMs	99



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Wing shape for different aspect ratio category	5
2.2	Span-wise flow across wing	6
2.3	Total drag plot	6
2.4	Impact of Aspect Ratio on tip vortices	7
2.5	Collars Triangle	8
2.6	Displacement under varying load for (a) Linear Static Analysis (b) Nonlinear Static Analysis	9
2.7	Sample of finite element model of AR-16 HAR wing	14
2.8	Flow sequence of analysis augmented with MATLAB and MSC NASTRAN solver	16
2.9	Boundary condition for aeroelastic static analysis	22
2.10	Flowchart for Backward Elimination Procedure	26
3.1	General flowchart of the study	28
3.2	Layout of the wing models	30
3.3	Flowchart of the Static Aeroelastic Linear and Nonlinear analysis	31
3.4	Tip Rotation Calculation	32
3.5	Flowchart for the loading case definition procedure	33
3.6	Categories of mode shapes	35
3.7	Flowchart for Mode Selection from Modal Displacement Calculation	36
3.8	Flowchart for Mode Selection from NROM equation	37
3.9	Loading Profile Definition Flowchart	38
3.10	Spanwise view of the deformation of wing model due to the individual mode based normalized force	39
3.11	NACA 0012 airfoil shape	41
3.12	Coefficient of lift vs angle of attack graph for NACA 0012 airfoil	41
3.13	Flowchart of the validation process of the NROM equations	42
4.1	Frequency and damping trends for a range of velocities for three variants of wing model	46

4.2	Tip deflection of AR-16 wing model from the static aeroelastic analysis, linear and nonlinear static analysis	48
4.3	Tip deflection of AR-12 wing model from the static aeroelastic analysis, linear and nonlinear static analysis	49
4.4	Tip deflection of AR-8 wing model from the static aeroelastic analysis, linear and nonlinear static analysis	50
4.5	Deformation of the wing models due to the distributed normalized force based on the first bending mode	58
4.6	Linear and Nonlinear tip deflection for Loading Profile 1	58
4.7	Deformation of the HAR wing model due to the distributed normalized force based on the first torsional mode	60
4.8	Linear and nonlinear tip twist deflection of the Loading Profile 2	61
4.9	Linear and nonlinear tip bending deflection of the Loading Profile 2	61
4.10	Deformation of the HAR wing model due to the distributed normalized force based on the first bending and torsional mode	64
4.11	Linear and nonlinear tip bending of Loading Profile 3	65
4.12	Linear and nonlinear tip rotation of Loading Profile 3	65
4.13	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to bending deflection for CASE-1, AR-16	68
4.14	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to twist deflection for CASE-1, AR-16	69
4.15	Tip bending deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-1, AR-16)	70
4.16	Tip twist deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-1, AR-16)	71

4.17	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to bending deflection for CASE-2, AR-16	73
4.18	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to twist deflection for CASE-2, AR-16	74
4.19	Tip bending deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-2, AR-16)	75
4.20	Tip twist deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-2, AR-16)	76
4.21	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to bending deflection for CASE-1, AR-12	79
4.22	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to twist deflection for CASE-1, AR-12	80
4.23	Tip bending deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-1, AR-12)	81
4.24	Tip twist deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-1, AR-12)	82
4.25	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to bending deflection for CASE-2, AR-12	84
4.26	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to twist deflection for CASE-2, AR-12	85
4.27	Tip bending and twist deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-2, AR-12)	86

4.28	Tip bending and twist deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-2, AR-12)	87
4.29	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to bending deflection for CASE-1, AR-8	90
4.30	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to twist deflection for CASE-1, AR-8	91
4.31	Tip bending deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-1, AR-8)	92
4.32	Tip twist deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-1, AR-8)	93
4.33	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to bending deflection for CASE-2, AR-8	95
4.34	μ_{err} and σ_{err} of NROM prediction between nonlinear static aeroelastic FE with respect to twist deflection for CASE-2, AR-8	96
4.35	Tip bending deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-2, AR-8)	97
4.36	Tip twist deflection profile of various static aeroelastic conditions between CMFE-NROM and nonlinear static aeroelastic FE solutions (CASE-2, AR-8)	1

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABS	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
ACARE	Advisory Council for Aeronautics Research in Europe
AR	Aspect Ratio
CMFE	Combined Modal Finite Element
D	Drag
DLM	Doublet Lattice Method
E	Efficiency Factor
F	Frequency
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
FEM	Finite Element Method
HALE	High Altitude Long Endurance
HAR	High Aspect Ratio
L	Lift
L_C	Plate chord length
L_S	Span length
L_R	Rib length
n	Number of Nodes along the Span
NACA	National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
NROM	Nonlinear Reduced Order Model
R	Range
ROM	Reduced Order Model
TSFC	Thrust Specific Fuel Consumption
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles
V	Velocity
W_i	Initial Weight
W_f	Final Weight
Z	Damping ratio
C_{d_i}	Induced Drag Coefficient
C_l	Lift Coefficient
$\{F\}$	Global Load Vector on The Specimen or Model

$[K]$	Global Tangent Stiffness Matrix of The Specimen or Model
$\{U\}$	Global Displacement of the Specimen or Model
$\{\Delta F\}$	Global Incremental Load Vector
$[K(U)]$	Global Tangent Stiffness Matrix as a Function of the Global Displacement Vector
$\{\Delta U\}$	Global Incremental Displacement Vector
ρ_{ss}	Density of spring steel-plate
ν_{ss}	Poisson's ratio of spring steel-plate
$[E_L]$	Assembled Linear Stiffness Matrices of size $NR \times NR$
$\{F\}$	$NR \times 1$ applied modal force
ψ	$N \times NR$ matrix of the linear mode shapes of the selected mode
μ_{err}	Mean Error of the Profile
x_{NROM}	Predicted Nonlinear Deflection
x_{NL}	Finite Element Nonlinear Static Deflection
σ_{err}	Standard Deviation of The Mean Error

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
1	MSC NASTRAN input file for structural and aerodynamic models	107
2	MSC NASTRAN input and output files for normal mode analysis	109
3	MSC NASTRAN input and output files for both linear and nonlinear static analyses	110
4	MSC NASTRAN input and output files on this flutter analysis	111
5	MSC NASTRAN input and output files on this aeroelastic static analysis	112

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter details the motivation behind the study and the study scope. The sections are detailed to as well as to enlighten the reader the reasoning, the importance of the study and the objectives to be achieved at the completion of the research.

1.1 Background and Motivation

The aviation industry is at a blooming stage and is commonly seen as a lucrative industry. However, the truth is the industry has a very low-profit margin and is susceptible to the slightest change in world economics (Rogeria.G.E, Michael.M, 2014). This can be clearly seen with the bankruptcy of Thomas Cook. Hence, with this in mind airlines try their very best to maximize their profit margin and it is claimed by the International Air Transport Association (IATA) that approximately 24% of the operating cost is constituted of fuel cost (IATA, 2019; IATA, 2019). Moreover, some countries prohibit non-native airlines to tanker fuel into the country hence leaving the airlines susceptible to the high Jet-A fuel in the country.

Furthermore, the fragility of the aviation industry can be seen during the Coronavirus pandemic where the whole world went into total lockdown. The aviation industry was hit hard by this pandemic, with some airlines being in serious financial circumstances and some opting to reduce their crew total to sustain the heavy lost endured. European constituencies such as ACARE has initiatives in place like the FlightPath 2050 which require the aircraft manufacturers to achieve a certain threshold in terms of aircraft competence and efficiency. These are the pitfalls dealt by operating airlines hence airliners look into more into the efficiency of the aircraft as way to cut down their operating costs hence maintaining a relatively higher profit margin.

Some of the possible alterations which can affect the range of the aircraft to maximize the profit margins are; by improving the $(V/TSFC)$ term via engine improvement, reduce the structural weight which increases the (W_i/W_f) term and by increasing the lift to drag ratio by increasing the aspect ratio of the wing. In this study, the aspect ratio of the wing is given focus.

However, the problem with high aspect ratio wings are these wings are susceptible to geometric nonlinearity as these wings will have a larger wing deformation. Moreover, the wings are prone to higher deflections at same conditions compared to the aircraft having a lower aspect ratio. This leads on to the problem where the aeroelastic analysis of the wing based on linear theory fails. High aspect ratio wings and flexed wings are subjected to nonlinear behavior with similar loading conditions in comparison to relatively lower aspect ratio wings. The above statement is reinforced with the incident of the B787's wing flexed upwards by 25 ft which is approximately thrice more than

the deformation due to most extreme condition that will be faced by aircraft during their life span (Nhan T. N, Eric.T, Daniel.C, 2017; Arezu.J, 2015).

Although HAR wings have very beneficial properties which can be exploited but these wing models are not preferred due to the complexity of the nonlinear analysis and high computing time. In general, the finite element software has the capability to analyze the nonlinear behavior of the model in static and dynamic systems however the time and effort to be invested in these analyses make it an unappealing option to have. Thus, a faster simulation is more desirable in order to characterize the nonlinearity of the model and predict the nonlinear behavior of the model without compromising on the accuracy of the analysis. Hence, to reduce computing time and modelling time, a reduced order model is utilized. With the assistance of curve-fitting techniques, the study is to investigate the accuracy of the reduced order model with the analysis of nonlinearity with MSC PATRAN and MSC NASTRAN. With regards to the development of the NROMs, a common method used by many is the NROM development based on the Combined Modal Finite Element (CMFE) technique. The accuracy of the NROMs using the CMFE technique is mainly dependent on the selection of the load cases to develop the NROMs as well as the normal mode selection.

However, the development of the NROMs based on the CMFE approach has set-back due to the vague description of the procedures involving the NROM development. This hinders many to the use of this approach to develop a more efficient NROM. Moreover, with the absence of guidelines to develop this mode of analysis, the efficiency of the analysis is compromised along with the increment of workload especially in the load selection and normal mode selection section. This will lead into the use trial and error method which severely hampers the efficiency of the CMFE based approach. Hence, this study is orientated into the development of a guideline for the development of the NROM equations based on the CMFE approach. Based on this development, the accuracy of the equations is verified amongst three varying wing aspect ratios which would ensure the flexibility of the guideline. The study also adventures into the suggestion of the type of loading profiles to be used during the load case definition stage to improve the accuracy of the NROMs.

1.2 Problem Statement

Since there are no clear procedures available for the development of NROMs using the CMFE approach, the study mainly centers on the proposal of an effective guideline on the load case selection and normal mode selection. The study further adventures into investigating the accuracy of the proposed guidelines.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to investigate the proposed guideline on the development of nonlinear reduced order model (NROM) for static aeroelastic conditions for HAR cantilevered wing models via the Combined Modal Finite Element (CMFE) approach. Three aspect ratio wing models were considered for the analysis to ensure confidence that the proposed guideline is versatile to be used in multiple HAR wing models.

The objectives of the study are as the following:

- I. To model CMFE design environment of cantilevered HAR wing models.
- II. To develop the load case selection guideline for the CMFE based NROM development.
- III. To evaluate the effectiveness of NROM using the proposed load case selection guideline in the prediction of the static aeroelastic deformations in comparison to the FEA based deformation results for a predefined range airspeed and angle of attack.

1.4 Research Questions

The research questions of the study are as the following:

- I. How is the CMFE design environment modeled to describe the nonlinear properties of the cantilevered HAR wing models?
- II. How is the load case and normal mode selected for the development of the NROMs?
- III. How accurate is the nonlinear static reduced order model when compared to conventional finite element nonlinear static solution?

1.5 Scope and Limitations

The study is conducted with several limitations and within a prescribed scope. First of all, the study is modeled based on rectangular HAR wing models of varying aspect ratios based on the wing model used by N.A.Rosly et al (Rosly, N. A., & Harmin, M. Y., 2017). The deformation due to the aerostatic loading are only considered in the z-axis where both bending deflection and twist deflection are accounted for. The aerostatic condition set are in the subsonic range. Furthermore, the initial position of the wing model is considered in the undeformed condition where the act of gravity acts through the span of the wing model.

1.6 Significance of the Study

With the help of the proposed guidelines on the development of the NROMs based on the CMFE approach, more interest on using the method would arise hence leading into more efficient analysis of the nonlinearity of the wing models. This encourages more aircraft manufacturers to instill the benefits of the HAR wings on their aircraft without the draw-back of the conventional nonlinear static analysis which not only increases their workload but also isn't computationally efficient.



REFERENCES

- A. Weisshaar, Terry. (2012). *Aeroelasticity, an introduction to fundamental problems*. Purdue University.
- Ahmad, K., Wuzhigang, W., Rahman, H. (2013). Aeroelastic analysis of high aspect ratio wing in subsonic flow. *Proceedings of 2013 10th International Bhurban Conference on Applied Sciences & Technology (IBCAST)*.
- AirInsight Group*. (2014, December 3). Retrieved from <https://airinsight.com/evolving-737-wing>
- Arena, A., Lacarbonara, W., Marzocca, P. (2013). Nonlinear Aeroelastic Formulation and Postflutter Analysis of Flexible High-Aspect-Ratio Wings. *Journal of Aircraft*, 50(6).
- Arezu, J. (2015). Relativity and Aeroelasticity Effects on the Supersonic Objects. *American Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 2, 6-10.
- Bach, Can T. (2004). *Aeroelastic methodology for flight vehicles*. Swansea University.
- C. Xie, C. An, L. Yi and C. Yang. (2016). Static aeroelastic analysis including geometric nonlinearities based on reduced order model. *Chinese Journal of Aeronautics*.
- Chao, A., Changchuan, X., Yang, M., & Chao, Y. (2017). Efficient Aeroelastic Response Analysis Including Geometric Nonlinearities Based On Structural Rom. *International Forum on Aeroelasticity and Structural Dynamics*.
- Chris, B. (2017). *The Boeing 737 Technical Guide*. Lulu.com.
- Collar, A. R. (1978). The First Fifty Years of Aeroelasticity. 5, 12-20.
- D. B., Robert. (2001). *Formulas for Natural Frequency and Mode Shape*.
- Dean, S. (2015, October 06). *EAS IX: Low Aspect Ratio Airplanes – Against the Grain*. Retrieved from <http://sustainableskies.org/eas-ix-low-aspect-ratio-airplanes-grain/>
- F. Afonso, G. Leal, J. Vale, É. Oliveira, F. Lau, Afzal, Suleman. (2015). LINEAR VS NON-LINEAR AEROELASTIC ANALYSIS OF HIGH ASPECT-RATIO WINGS. *Congr Metod Numer em Eng*, 1-9.
- Flavio, O., Francisco, Melo, Tossaleno, D. (2016). High-Altitude Platforms — Present Situation and Technology Trends. *Journal of Aerospace Technology and Management*, 8.

- Hanlon.M. (2010, July 23). *QinetiQ Zephyr solar powered unmanned aircraft to land after 14 days aloft*. Retrieved from <https://newatlas.com/qinetiq-zephyr-solar-unmanned-aircraft-14-days-aloft/15812/>
- Harmin, M. Y., & Cooper, J. E. (2011). Aeroelastic behaviour of a wing including geometric nonlinearities. *The Aeronautical Journal*, 767–777.
- Howcroft, C., Calderon, D., Lambert, L., Castellani, M., Cooper, J. E., Lowenberg, M. H., Neild, S. (2016). Aeroelastic modelling of highly flexible wings. *15th Dynamics Specialists Conference*.
- IATA. (2019, June). *Annual Review 2019*. Retrieved from <https://www.iata.org/contentassets/c81222d96c9a4e0bb4ff6ced0126f0bb/iata-annual-review-2019.pdf>
- IATA. (2019, June 2). *Slowing Demand and Rising Costs Squeeze Airline Profits*. Retrieved from <https://www.iata.org/en/pressroom/pr/2019-06-02-01/>
- Jr, John D.Anderson. (2005). *Introduction to Flight*. McGraw Hill Education.
- KimS.-H, Lee.I. (1996). AEROELASTIC ANALYSIS OF A FLEXIBLE AIRFOIL WITH A FREEPLAY NON-LINEARITY. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 193(Issue 4), Pages 823-846.
- L., Sang H. (n.d.). *MSC/NASTRAN handbook for nonlinear analysis*. The Macneal-Schwendler Corporation.
- L.K.Loftin. (2012). *Quest for Performance: The Evolution of Modern Aircraft*.
- Lawrence A. Bergman, H. S. Tzou. (n.d.). *Dynamics and control of distributed systems*. 1998.
- M. J. Patil, D. H. Hodges, C. E. S. Cesnikz. (1999). Characterizing the effects of geometrical nonlinearities on aeroelastic behavior of high-aspect-ratio wings. *Aeroelasticity and Structural Dynamics*.
- M. Smith, M. Patil, D. Hodges. (2001). CFD-based analysis of nonlinear aeroelastic behavior of high-aspect ratio wings. *19th AIAA Applied Aerodynamics Conference, Fluid Dynamics*, 1-10.
- McEwan, M.I., Wright, J.R., Cooper, J.E. & Leung, A.Y.T. (2001). A finite element/modal technique for nonlinear plate and stiffened panel response prediction. *Structural Dynamics and Materials Conference and Exhibit*, 3061-3070.
- MD/MSC Nastran 2010, Quick Reference Guide*. (2010). MSC.Software Corporation.

- NACA 0012 airfoils (n0012-IL)*. (n.d.). *Airfoil Tools*. . (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://airfoiltools.com/airfoil/details?airfoil=n0012-il#polars>
- Nhan T. N, Eric.T, Daniel.C. (2017). Nonlinear Large Deflection Theory with Modified Aeroelastic Lifting Line Aerodynamics for a High Aspect Ratio Flexible Wing. *Aerodynamic-Structural Dynamics Interaction I*.
- P.O'Neil. (2012). Boeing High Altitude Long Endurance (HALE UAS). *Boeing Defense, Space&Security*.
- Patil, M., Hodges, D.H. and Cesnik, C.E.S. (January 2001). Limit-cycle oscillations in high-aspect-ratio wings. *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, 15, 107-132.
- Peksen, M. (2018). Multiphysics Modelling of Structural Components and Materials. *Multiphysics Modelling*. 105-138.
- Rodden, W. & Johnson, E. (n.d.). *MSC/NASTRAN Aeroelastic Analysis User's Guide*. The Macneal-Schwendler Corporation: 1994.
- Rogeria.G.E, Michael.M. (2014). The main cost-related factors in airlines management. *Journal of Transport Literature*, VIII, 8-28.
- Rosly, N. A., & Harmin, M. Y. (2017). Finite element analysis of high aspect ratio wind tunnel wing model: A parametric study. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*.
- Rosly, N. A., Harmin, M. Y. & Majid, D. L. A. A. (2018). Preliminary investigation on experimental modal analysis of high aspect ratio rectangular wing model. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(4.13), 151-154.
- Roylance, D. (2008). *MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS*.
- Tang.D. (1998). Reduced-Order Aerodynamic Model and Its Application to a Nonlinear Aeroelastic System. *Journal of Aircraft*, 32(2), 332–338.
- Wing aspect ratio*. (2011, September 13).