



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON WATER RESOURCES  
OF HARO RIVER WATERSHED IN PAKISTAN***

**SAIMA NAUMAN**

**FK 2019 162**



**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON WATER RESOURCES  
OF HARO RIVER WATERSHED IN PAKISTAN**

By

**SAIMA NAUMAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**March 2019**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



## DEDICATION

*To my lovely daughter and beloved family; without their confidence, encouragement and love, I would never have been able to reach this milestone.*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON WATER RESOURCES  
OF HARO RIVER WATERSHED IN PAKISTAN**

By

**SAIMA NAUMAN**

**March 2019**

**Chairman : Zed Diyana binti Zulkafli, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

Climate change has resulted in changes in the hydrological fluxes and water distribution across the globe. Pakistan, which is home to almost 200 million people, is a particularly vulnerable country due to poverty, population growth and lack of resources. However, studies quantifying future climate change on water catchment regions in the country are limited due to multiple issues with data and modelling uncertainty. This research aims to quantify projected changes in the climate and its consequent impact on the streamflows of Haro River, the source of water for Khanpur Dam. Firstly, the climate change input is obtained by selecting one out of five downscaled General Circulation Model (GCM) outputs based on the highest coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) value from a regression against observed meteorological dataset. The baseline and future meteorological parameters from the selected GCM are then bias corrected using the observed meteorological dataset. For future climate, two Radiative Concentration Pathways (RCP) 4.5 and 8.5 are considered. at two stations, namely Murree (P-1) and Islamabad (P-2). Next, a hydrological model for the basin is developed using the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) to integrate the meteorological data and produce simulation of streamflows for the baseline (1976-2005) and future periods (2006-2095). The calibration, validation, uncertainty analysis and the sensitivity analysis of the SWAT Model is conducted in Sequential Uncertainty Fitting 2 (SUFI-2) algorithm. Finally, the change in streamflows is projected through a relative comparison between baseline and future flows on monthly and seasonal scale. The study found that the maximum (minimum) temperature at P-1 is expected to increase by 3.1°C (3.2°C) under RCP 4.5 and 4.0°C (4.3°C) under RCP 8.5 in the future. Precipitation is expected to rise from 8.9% under RCP 4.5 to 14.3% under RCP 8.5. Similarly, at P-2, the maximum (minimum) temperature is anticipated to increase by 3.3°C (3.3°C) under RCP 4.5 and 4.1°C (4.2°C) under RCP 8.5. Precipitation is projected to increase between 15.4% (RCP 4.5) and 23.1% (RCP 8.5) compared to the baseline scenario. SWAT produced good model performance with Nash Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) and  $R^2$  values of 0.80 (0.77) and 0.82 (0.77) respectively during the calibration (validation) period. Simulation of baseline and future streamflows using the calibrated SWAT indicates an increase from

average annual baseline streamflows of 7.7 m<sup>3</sup>/s to 8.7 m<sup>3</sup>/s (9.3 m<sup>3</sup>/s) under RCP 4.5 (RCP 8.5). Maximum streamflows expected during the month of July, are projected to increase from baseline streamflow of 21.3 m<sup>3</sup>/s to 28.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s (32.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s) under RCP 4.5 (RCP 8.5). In summer season, compared to baseline streamflows of 13.1 m<sup>3</sup>/s, the streamflows are expected to be 4.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s (6.8 m<sup>3</sup>/s) higher under RCP 4.5 (RCP 8.5). This study will help the policy makers in conceiving prudent schemes for effective utilization of water supply throughout the year. The new policies may focus on increasing water storage capacity of the dam reservoir in the future resulting from projected increase in streamflows.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

## IMPAK POTENSI PERUBAHAN IKLIM PADA SUMBER AIR LEMBANGAN SUNGAI HARO DI PAKISTAN

Oleh

SAIMA NAUMAN

Mac 2019

**Pengerusi** : Zed Diyana binti Zulkafli, PhD  
**Fakulti** : Kejuruteraan

Perubahan iklim telah menyebabkan perubahan kitaran hidrologi dan taburan air di seluruh dunia. Pakistan yang dihuni hampir 200 juta penduduk, adalah sebuah negara yang paling terdedah kepada kesan perubahan iklim kerana kemiskinan, pertumbuhan penduduk dan kekurangan sumber asli. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian penyelidikan yang mengukur kadar perubahan iklim masa hadapan di kawasan tadahan air di negara ini adalah terhad disebabkan oleh pelbagai masalah dengan ketidakpastian data dan model. Penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengukur anggaran perubahan iklim dan kesannya terhadap aliran Sungai Haro, sumber air untuk Empangan Khanpur. Pertama sekali, input perubahan iklim diperolehi dengan memilih satu daripada lima output General Circulation Model (GCM) yang telah diturunkan sekala berdasarkan koefisien penentuan ( $R^2$ ) daripada regresi dengan dataset pemerhatian meteorologi. Bias dalam parameter meteorologi tempoh dasar dan masa hadapan dari GCM terpilih kemudiannya diperbetulkan dengan menggunakan set data meteorologi menggunakan. Untuk iklim masa hadapan, dua Radiative Concentration Pathways (RCP) 4.5 dan 8.5 dipertimbangkan. Prestasi pembetulan bias dinilai berdasarkan purata bulanan, persentil ke-10 dan ke-90, dan sisihan piawai di dua stesen, iaitu Murree (P-1) dan Islamabad (P-2). Model hidrologi untuk kawasan tadahan air dibangunkan menggunakan Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) untuk mengintegrasikan data meteorologi untuk menghasilkan simulasi aliran sungai untuk tempoh dasar (1976-2005) dan masa hadapan (2006-2095). Kalibrasi, pengesahan, analisis ketidakpastian dan analisis kepekaan model SWAT dijalankan menggunakan algoritma Sequential Uncertainty Fitting 2 (SUFI-2). Akhir sekali, perubahan aliran air dijangka melalui perbandingan relatif antara tempoh dasar dan masa hadapan pada skala bulanan dan bermusim. Kajian mendapati suhu maksimum (minimum) di P-1 dijangka berubah sebanyak  $3.1^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $3.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) di bawah RCP 4.5 dan  $4.0^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $4.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) di bawah RCP 8.5 pada masa akan datang. Hujan dijangka meningkat daripada 8.9% di bawah RCP 4.5 hingga 14.3% di bawah RCP 8.5. Begitu juga pada P-2, suhu maksimum (minimum) dijangka berubah sebanyak  $3.3^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $3.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) di bawah RCP 4.5 dan  $4.1^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $4.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) di bawah RCP 8.5. Hujan dijangka meningkat daripada 15.4% (RCP 4.5) kepada 23.1% (RCP 8.5) berbanding dengan

senario dasar. SWAT menghasilkan prestasi model yang baik dengan Nash Sutcliffe Kecekapan (NSE) dan nilai  $R^2$  masing-masing 0.80 (0.77) dan 0.82 (0.77) semasa tempoh penentuan (pengesahan). Simulasi baseline dan arus aliran masa depan menggunakan SWAT yang ditentukur menunjukkan peningkatan purata tahunan arus aliran dasar dari  $7.7 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  ke  $8.7 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  ( $9.3 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ ) di bawah RCP 4.5 (RCP 8.5). Aliran maksimum yang dijangkakan pada bulan Julai, dijangka meningkat dari aliran dasar  $21.3 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  ke  $28.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  ( $32.6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ ) di bawah RCP 4.5 (RCP 8.5). Pada musim panas, berbanding arus aliran dasar  $13.1 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ , arus aliran dijangka menjadi  $4.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  ( $6.8 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ ) lebih tinggi di bawah RCP 4.5 (RCP 8.5). Kajian ini akan membantu penggubal dasar yang terlibat untuk merangka skim yang berhemat bagi penggunaan bekalan air secara berkesan untuk sepanjang tahun. Dasar-dasar baru mungkin boleh memberi tumpuan kepada peningkatan kapasiti simpanan air empangan di masa depan berikutan daripada peningkatan aliran aliran yang dijangka.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all countless thanks to The Beneficent Almighty Lord, The Majestic Creator and Sublime Architect, Whose abundant blessings enabled me to complete this research study successfully and in the most befitting manner.

I deem it a rare privilege to express my profound gratitude to Dr. Zed Zulkafli who not only understood my potential but also trained me in dealing with academic challenges. I am highly thankful to Dr Badronnisa Yusuf for her support and help throughout this research journey. My deepest regard for Dr. Abdul Halim Ghazali, Dr. Habib ur Rehman and Engr. Javed Munir who always encouraged me to explore my abilities and steered me in the right direction for my research work.

I would like to express my gratitude to Mr. Imran Zafar and Mr. Usman Tarar from Water Resources Engineering Division of National Engineering Services Pakistan (NESPAK), officials of Pakistan Meteorological Department (PMD) and Water and Power Development Authority (WAPDA) for their extended cooperation and support during data collection stage.

My special acknowledgement to Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for providing me financial support in the form of Malaysian International Scholarship to pursue my Master's Degree.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to my parents for all the moral and financial support while being extremely encouraging and understanding in the most difficult times; Ms. Ayesha for her continuous encouragement and affirmation, 'you can do it' that kept inspiring me to pursue my research aspirations; as well as Ms. Maryum for her special prayers and confidence in my abilities. I would like to thank Dr. Muhammd Mohsin for his valuable advice and efforts in proofreading the thesis.

The thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Zed Diyana binti Zulkafli, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Badronnisa binti Yusuf, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17 June 2019

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Zed Diyana binti  
Zulkafli

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Badronnisa binti  
Yusuf

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xix
<b>LIST OF NOTATIONS</b>	xxii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives of Research	4
1.4 Scope of the study	4
1.5 Significance of the study	5
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Climate Change and Impact	6
2.2.1 What is Climate Change	6
2.2.2 Global Warming	7
2.2.3 Climate Change Impacts	7
2.3 Climate Modeling	11
2.3.1 General Circulation Models	11
2.3.2 Downscaling Techniques	13
2.3.3 Emission Scenarios	16
2.3.4 Bias correction	22
2.4 Hydrological Modelling and Uncertainty	27
2.4.1 Hydrologic Models	27
2.4.2 Types of Hydrologic Models	27
2.4.3 Soil and Water Assessment Tool	28
2.5 Summary and Research Direction	33
<b>3 METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>34</b>
3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Study Area	37
3.3 Climate of the Region	38
3.4 Data Collection	39
3.4.1 Climate Data	39
3.4.2 Discharge Data	40
3.4.3 Spatial Data	40
3.5 Selection of Downscaled GCM Model	44

3.6	Coefficient of Determination ( $R^2$ )	45
3.7	Limitation in historical data and use of Mann Kendall Test to test for stationarity	46
3.8	Bias Correction using Linear Scaling	48
3.9	SWAT Modelling	49
3.9.1	SWAT Hydrological Simulation and Water Balance Computation	50
3.9.2	Surface Runoff Calculations	51
3.9.3	Computation of Peak Runoff Rate	52
3.9.4	Potential evapotranspiration (PET)	53
3.9.5	Water Routing	53
3.10	SWAT-CUP	54
3.10.1	Sensitivity Analysis in SWAT-CUP	55
3.10.2	Model Performance Evaluation	56
3.11	Incorporating Climate Change Impact in SWAT Model	57
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>58</b>
4.1	Selection of a GCM and meteorological dataset	58
4.2	Mann Kendall Trend Test	60
4.3	Bias Correction	62
4.3.1	Correction Factors for Linear Scaling	62
4.3.2	Performance evaluation of Bias Correction	63
4.4	Comparison of Future Meteorological Projections	65
4.4.1	Projections of Climate variables for P-1 Station under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	65
4.4.2	Projections of Climate variables for P-2 Station under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	74
4.4.3	Comparison of Meteorological Variables at P-1 and P-2 Station	83
4.5	SWAT Modelling	84
4.5.1	Water Balance in the Watershed	84
4.5.2	Calibration and Uncertainty Analysis	86
4.5.3	Sensitivity Analysis	88
4.6	Comparison of Haro River streamflows under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	89
4.6.1	Monthly variation in mean flows at Haro River Watershed	89
4.6.2	Seasonal variation in mean flows at Haro River Watershed	90
4.6.3	Variation in Average Annual Streamflows	93
4.7	Comparative analysis of future climate under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	95
4.8	Temporal variation in meteorological variables and hydrological components	96
<b>5</b>	<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>102</b>
5.1	Introduction	102
5.2	Conclusion	102
5.3	Recommendations	104

<b>REFERENCES</b>	105
<b>APPENDICES</b>	116
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	131
<b>PUBLICATION</b>	132



© COPYRIGHT UPM

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
3.1	Features of climate stations at P-1 and P-2	39
3.2	Description of landuse classes	41
3.3	Properties of Soil used in SWAT input	43
3.4	Distribution of slope classes in Haro River watershed	43
3.5	Description of selected model from NEX-GDDP dataset	45
3.6	Performance rating criterion for NSE	57
3.7	Projected concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> in ppm	57
4.1	Statistical relation between GCM and PMD precipitation data	60
4.2	Mann Kendall Trend Test for climate variables at P-1	61
4.3	Mann Kendall Trend Test for climatic variables at P-2	61
4.4	Correction factors for meteorological variables at P-1	62
4.5	Correction factors for meteorological variables at P-2	62
4.6	Performance statistics for average monthly observed and GCM data before and after bias correction at P-1 during calibration	63
4.7	Performance statistics for average monthly observed and GCM data before and after bias correction at P-1 during validation	63
4.8	Performance statistics for average monthly observed and GCM data before and after bias correction at P-2 during calibration	64
4.9	Performance statistics for average monthly observed and GCM data before and after bias correction at P-2 during validation	64
4.10	Comparison of average annual temperatures and precipitation at P-1 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 compared to baseline period	65
4.11	Comparison of average annual temperatures and precipitation at P-2 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 compared to baseline period	75
4.12	Comparison of meteorological parameters at P-1 and P-2	83

4.13	Average annual water balance simulated for the baseline period (1976-2005) for Haro River watershed	84
4.14	Performance indicators for hydrological model	86
4.15	Comparison of climate variables under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	96
A 1	Example of daily values of precipitation at P-1 and P-2 (mm)	116
A 2	Example of daily values of maximum and minimum temperature at station P-1 for year 1993 (°C)	120
A 3	Example of observed streamflow time series at Dhartian station in 1989 (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	124
B 1	Description of selected parameters and their ranges	126
B 2	Average monthly maximum temperature for P-1 from 1976-2095 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	127
B 3	Average monthly minimum temperature for P-1 from 1976-2095 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	127
B 4	Average monthly precipitation for P-1 from 1976-2095 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	128
B 5	Average monthly maximum temperature for P-2 from 1976-2095 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	128
B 6	Average monthly minimum temperature for P-2 from 1976-2095 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	129
B 7	Average monthly precipitation for P-2 from 1976-2095 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	129
B 8	Final range of parameters in SWAT CUP after calibration	130



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Schematic representation of SRES storylines	18
2.2	Radiative forcing of Representative Concentration Pathways	20
2.3	Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs)	21
3.1	Methodological Framework for climate change impact on water resources of Haro River watershed	36
3.2	Location of Haro River watershed in Pakistan	37
3.3	Map showing main features of the study area	38
3.4	Digital Elevation Model of Haro River showing delineated watershed	41
3.5	Landuse classification of Haro River watershed	42
3.6	Slope classification of Haro River watershed	44
3.7	Steps in SWAT run	50
4.1	Statistical relation between CFSR and PMD precipitation data at P-1 on monthly time step	58
4.2	Statistical relation between CFSR and PMD precipitation data at P-2 on monthly time step	59
4.3	Statistical relation between CFSR and PMD precipitation data at P-1 on quarterly time step	59
4.4	Statistical relation between CFSR and PMD precipitation data at P-2 on quarterly time step	60
4.5	Performance of future maximum temperature at P-1 compared to baseline period under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	66
4.6	Seasonal variation in maximum temperature at P-1 under RCP 4.5	67
4.7	Seasonal variation in maximum temperature at P-1 under RCP 8.5	67
4.8	Monthly variation in maximum temperature at P-1 under RCP 4.5	68
4.9	Monthly variation in maximum temperature at P-1 under RCP 8.5	68

4.10	Performance of future minimum temperature at P-1 compared to baseline period under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	69
4.11	Seasonal variation in minimum temperature at P-1 under RCP 4.5	70
4.12	Seasonal variation in minimum temperature at P-1 under RCP 8.5	70
4.13	Monthly variation in minimum temperature at P-1 under RCP 4.5	71
4.14	Monthly variation in minimum temperature at P-1 under RCP 8.5	71
4.15	Performance of future precipitation at P-1 compared to baseline period under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	72
4.16	Seasonal variation in precipitation at P-1 under RCP 4.5	73
4.17	Seasonal variation in precipitation at P-1 under RCP 8.5	73
4.18	Monthly variation in average precipitation at P-1 under RCP 4.5	74
4.19	Monthly variation in average precipitation at P-1 under RCP 8.5	74
4.20	Performance of future maximum temperature at P-2 compared to baseline period under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	75
4.21	Seasonal variation in maximum temperature at P-2 under RCP 4.5	76
4.22	Seasonal variation in maximum temperature at P-2 under RCP 8.5	76
4.23	Monthly variation in maximum temperature at P-2 under RCP 4.5	77
4.24	Monthly variation in maximum temperature at P-2 under RCP 8.5	77
4.25	Performance of future minimum temperature at P-2 compared to baseline period under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	78
4.26	Seasonal variation in minimum temperature at P-2 under RCP 4.5	78
4.27	Seasonal variation in minimum temperature at P-2 under RCP 8.5	79
4.28	Monthly variation in minimum temperature at P-2 under RCP 4.5	79
4.29	Monthly variation in minimum temperature at P-2 under RCP 8.5	80
4.30	Performance of future precipitation at P-2 compared to baseline period under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	80
4.31	Seasonal variation in precipitation at P-2 under RCP 4.5	81

4.32	Seasonal variation in precipitation at P-2 under RCP 8.5	81
4.33	Monthly variation in average precipitation at P-2 under RCP 4.5	82
4.34	Monthly variation in average precipitation at P-2 under RCP 8.5	82
4.35	Relative percentages of water balance components in baseline period	85
4.36	Relative percentages of water balance components in future under RCP 4.5	85
4.37	Relative percentages of water balance components in future under RCP 8.5	85
4.38	Observed and simulated discharge at Haro River during calibration period	87
4.39	Observed and simulated discharge at Haro River during validation period	87
4.40	Global sensitivity analysis for discharge in Haro River watershed	88
4.41	Monthly variation in streamflows at Haro River under RCP 4.5	89
4.42	Monthly variation in streamflows at Haro River under RCP 8.5	90
4.43	Seasonal variation in Streamflows at Haro River under RCP 4.5	90
4.44	Percentage change in seasonal flows at Haro River under RCP 4.5	91
4.45	Seasonal variation in streamflows at Haro River under RCP 8.5	92
4.46	Percentage change in seasonal flows at Haro River under RCP 8.5	92
4.47	Box and whisker plot for projected average annual streamflows under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 during early, mid and late century	93
4.48	CDF plot for average monthly streamflows during early century under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	94
4.49	CDF plot for average monthly streamflows during mid-century under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	94
4.50	CDF plot for average monthly streamflows during late century under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	95
4.51	Comparison of annual average precipitation under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 at P-1	97

4.52	Comparison of annual average precipitation under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 at P-2	97
4.53	Comparison of average annual daily maximum temperature under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 at P-1	98
4.54	Comparison of average annual maximum temperature under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 at P-2	98
4.55	Comparison of average annual minimum temperature under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 at P-1	99
4.56	Comparison of average annual minimum temperature under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 at P-2	99
4.57	Comparison of average annual streamflows at Haro River watershed under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	100
4.58	Comparison of annual total evapotranspiration at Haro River watershed under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5	101
A.1	Site visit at Khanpur Dam	125

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

a.m.s.l	above mean sea level
AAT	All-at-a-time Sensitivity Analysis
AR4	Fourth Assessment Report
AR5	Fifth Assessment Report
ARS	Agriculture Research Services
BCSD	Bias Corrected Spatial Disaggregation
CCS	Climate Change Signal
CFSR	Climate Forecast System Reanalysis
CMIP3	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 3
CMIP5	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5
CSI	Consortium for Spatial Information
CV	Coefficient of Variance
DC	Delta Change Approach
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DM	Distribution Mapping
DSMW	Digital Soil Map of the World
ET	Evapotranspiration
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GCM	General Circulation Model
HBV	Hydrologiska Byrans Vattenbalansavdelning
HRU	Hydrologic response Unit
HWSD	Harmonized World Soil Database
IIASA	International Institute for Applied System Analysis

IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
JGCRI	Joint Global Change Research Institute
LOCI	Local Intensity Scaling
LS	Linear Scaling
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
NASA	National Aeronautics And Space Administration
NCEP	National Centre for Environmental Prediction
NESPAK	National Engineering Services Pakistan
NEX-GDDP	NASA Earth Exchange Global Daily Downscaled Projections
NIES	National Institute for Environment Studies
NPS	Non Point Sources
NSE	Nash Sutcliffe Efficiency
OAT	One-at-a-time Sensitivity Analysis
P <sub>BIAS</sub>	Percent Bias
PMD	Pakistan Meteorological Department
PT	Power Transformation
QM	Quantile Mapping
RCM	Regional Climate Model
RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway
SCS-CN	Soil conservation Service Curve Number
SDG	Sustainable Development Goals
SHE	Système Hydrologique Européen
SRES	Special Report on Emission Scenarios
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission

SSP	Shared Socioeconomic Pathways
SUFI-2	Sequential Uncertainty Fitting version 2
SWAT	Soil and Water Assessment Tool
SWAT-CUP	SWAT Calibration and Uncertainty Program
SWHP	Surface Water Hydrology Project
SWM	Stanford Watershed model
TAR	Third Assessment Report
TOP MODEL	TOPography based Hydrologic Model
UNEP	United Nations Environment program
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
USDA	United States Department for Agriculture
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VS	Variance Scaling
WAPDA	Water and Power Development Authority
WARMF	Watershed Analysis Risk Management Framework
WGCM	Working Group on Coupled Modelling
WGS	World Geodetic System
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WYLD	Water Yield

## LIST OF NOTATIONS

$X_{10}$	10 <sup>th</sup> Percentile
$X_{90}$	90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile
$\mu$	Mean
$\sigma$	Standard Deviation
$n$	Total number of observations
$P_o$	Observed Precipitation
$P_m$	Model simulated precipitation
$S$	Mann Kendall test statistic
$V(S)$	Variance for the test statistic
$Z_s$	Standard test statistic
$H_o$	Null hypothesis
$H_a$	Alternative hypothesis
$\alpha$	Significance level
$P_{sim(bc)}$	Bias corrected monthly precipitation
$T_{sim(bc)}$	Bias corrected monthly temperature
$P_{sim}$	Raw RCM simulated precipitation
$T_{sim}$	Raw RCM simulated temperature
$P_{obs}$	Observed precipitation
$T_{obs}$	Observed temperature
$P_{his}$	RCM simulated historical precipitation
$T_{his}$	RCM simulated historical temperature
$SW_t$	Final soil water content
$Swo$	Initial soil water content



$R_{\text{day}}$	Amount of precipitation
$Q_{\text{surf}}$	Amount of surface runoff
$E_a$	Amount of evapotranspiration
$w_{\text{seep}}$	Amount of water entering the vadose zone from the soil profile
$Q_{\text{gw}}$	Amount of return flow
$I_a$	Initial abstarctions
$S_t$	Retention parameter
CN	Curve Number
$q_{\text{peak}}$	Peak runoff rate
$\alpha_{tc}$	Fraction of daily rainfall occuring during time of concentration
A	Area of subbasin
$t_{\text{conc}}$	Time of concentration for the subbasin
$t_{\text{ov}}$	Time of concentration for the overland flow
$t_{\text{ch}}$	Time of concentration for the channel flow
$\lambda$	Latent heat flux density
$E_a$	Depth rate evaporation
$\Delta$	Slope of the saturation vapor pressure-temperature curve
$H_{\text{net}}$	Net radiation
G	Heat flux density to the ground
$\rho_{\text{air}}$	Air density
$c_p$	Specific heat at constant pressure
$e_z^o$	Saturation vapour pressure of air at height z
$e_z$	Water pressure of air at height z
$\Gamma$	Psychrometric constant

$r_c$	Plant canopy resistance
$r_a$	Diffusion resistance of the air layer
$V_{in}$	Inflow volume
$V_{out}$	Outflow volume
$\Delta V_{stored}$	Change in storage volume
$TT$	Travel time
$V_{stored}$	Storage volume
$q_{out}$	Discharge rate
$SC$	Storage coefficient
$\Delta t$	Length of time step
$q_{out,1}$	Outflow rate at the beginning of time step
$q_{out,2}$	Outflow rate at the end of of time step
$q_{in,avg}$	Average inflow rate during the time step
$G$	Value of objective function
$\alpha_r$	Regression constant
$B$	Coefficient of parameters
$R^2$	Coefficient of determination
$Q_m$	Measured discharge
$Q_s$	Simulated discharge

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

In the past few decades, multiple factors have contributed in damaging the earth's natural cycle. Overall, the global energy balance is facing disruption due to an alarming increase in urbanization, higher rate of population growth and unplanned exhaustion of non-renewable resources (Khattak, Babel and Sharif, 2011; Chu, Xia, Xu and Singh, 2010). These changes have, in turn, impacted the natural climatic cycle (Merritt, Alila, Barton, Taylor, Cohen and Neilsen, 2006). During the last century, the atmospheric concentration of greenhouse gases (GHGs) due to anthropogenic activities has increased significantly. This will lead to a considerable rise in Earth's temperature in the upcoming years (Chu et al., 2010; Wentz, Ricciardulli, Hilburn and Mears, 2007; Merritt et al., 2006). As per report of IPCC (2013), the earth's temperature has increased successively in the past three decades compared to previous records, with the decade of 2000 being the warmest.

As stated in United Nations Report on World Water Assessment Programme (2015), a change in climatic conditions will amplify the risk of natural disasters, particularly those related to water resources, which are the most hazardous economically as well as socially. Excessive global warming will upset the present hydrological systems. It will lead to a variation in water availability and will cause increment in the intensity and frequency of precipitation (Mahmood, Jia and Babel, 2016b). In the present scenario, where the rise in global warming is inevitable, there is a dire need to evaluate its possible effects on the hydrological cycle and to comprehend the threats posed to water resources due to these changes (Meenu, Rehana and Mujumdar, 2012). The impacts of climate change on hydrological systems are region dependent (Khattak et al., 2011).

A number of studies carried out by Azim, Shakir and Kanwal (2016); Mahmood and Jia (2016a); Mahmood et al., 2016b; Ahmad, Hafeez and Ahmad (2012); Khattak et al., 2011; Bocciola et al. (2011); Shakir, Rehman and Ehsan (2010); and Akhtar, Ahmad and Booj (2008) have focused on the climate change impacts on various water resource related projects in Pakistan. The rapidly changing climatic scenario is highly alarming for Pakistan, with its growing population and natural-resource-dependent economy (Khattak et al., 2011).

The assessment for annual change in hydrological parameters is usually carried out by incorporating 30 year historical climatic data (Morrison, Quick and Foreman, 2002). For hydrologic modeling and climate change assessment, a physically based continuous time model; the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) developed by Dr Jeff Arnold for United States Department for Agriculture (USDA) Agricultural Research Services

(ARS); is commonly used (Garee, Chen, Bao, Wang and Meng, 2017; Kundu, Khare and Mondal, 2017; Xu, Wang, Kalcic, Muenich, Yang and Scavia, 2017; Nyeko, 2015; Narsimlu, Gosain and Chahar, 2013 and Abbaspour, Faramarzi, Ghasemi and Yang, 2009). SWAT incorporates input data, including weather, topography, soil, land management practices and vegetation present in the watershed and directly models the physical processes related to movement of water, sediment, plant growth, and nutrient cycling.

The outputs extracted from General Circulation Models (GCMs) are incorporated in the hydrological model to assess the changes in water resources due to future climate. GCM uses multiples GHG emission scenarios and can be employed to make projections about climatic condition in the future. GCM outputs provide scientific evidence to perform necessary steps according to the predicted conditions for future hydrological changes in the basin. However, small basins require very fine spatial resolutions, while the spatial resolution of GCM is very coarse (about 200-500 km) (Mahmood et al., 2016b). Therefore, it is necessary to downscale the information from large scale to local scale. NASA's Earth Exchange Global Daily Downscaled Projections (NEX-GDDP) dataset, launched in June 2015, comprises of the downscaled global climatic scenarios obtained from runs of Global Circulation Models covered in Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5). The CMIP5 GCM runs were developed to feed into the Fifth Assessment Report of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2014). These projections are available at spatial resolution of 25x25 km under two Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs): RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

The study aims to assess the impacts of increasing level of carbon dioxide and variation in rainfall and temperature on the streamflows of Haro River watershed in the future. Previously, Hagrais and Habib (2017) carried out a similar hydrological modeling study at Haro River watershed using SWAT. However, their study was limited to hydrological modeling using manual calibration without further assessment of the future impacts of climate change. Moreover, the limit in prior study was the downstream Khanpur Dam, which prevents quantification of changes in the water resources contributing to the dam without interference of human controls. To achieve this, the limits of the modeling in this study are moved upstream of the dam at Dhartian gauging station.

GCMs provide information that is used to define climate change under present and future conditions. For impact studies, these models incorporate climate processes and greenhouse gas emissions to simulate changes in large-scale global climate systems (Tshimanga and Hughes, 2012). Uncertainties arise within the GCMs due to inaccurate or inadequate representation of main physical processes (Raneesh and Thampi, 2013). Furthermore, the uncertainties in climate change impact assessment studies may also occur due to difference in downscaling techniques, emission scenarios as well as hydrological models (Vetter, Reinhardt, Flörke, Griensven, Hattermann, Huang, Koch, Pechlivanidis, Plötner, Seidou, Su, Vervoort and Krysanova, 2016). The combined effect of uncertainty and variability in future climate changes is considered challenging for

planning of water resources (Bharati, Gurung, Jayakody, Smakhtin and Bhattarai, 2014). These uncertainties result in biases in climate representation of the baseline period, which may or may not be systematic. In impact assessment, particularly for mountainous regions, there are also uncertainties due to a mismatching scale between the climate and the hydrological model (Bennett, Werner and Schnorbus 2012).

These uncertainties are addressed using multiple strategies that include using an ensemble of model runs and applying spatial downscaling techniques to GCM models (Nover, Witt, Butcher, Johnson and Weaver, 2016). It is also pertinent to remove the systematic bias in the GCM output before application for climate impact studies (Teutschbein and Seibert, 2012). To study future streamflows in Haro River due to climate change, the model uncertainty was abridged in the present study by initially assessing five GCM models and then choosing one model after bias correction for assessing future climate impacts. The GCM outputs were obtained from recently launched NASA Earth Exchange Global Daily Downscaled Projection (NEX-GDDP) dataset, which is not yet frequently used for climate studies in Pakistan.

In addition to climate modeling uncertainty, climate impact assessment is further complicated by uncertainties in hydrological modeling pertaining to data, conceptualization, and parameterization. Hilly areas especially in developing countries are highly susceptible to climate change impacts (McDowell, Ford, Lehner, Berrang-Ford and Sherpa, 2013). Yet these same areas are commonly associated with meteorological and hydrological data scarcity due to limitations in temporal as well as spatial extents of weather station networks and rain gauges (Remesan and Holman, 2015). However, alternative observational and parameterization datasets e.g. reanalysis datasets are increasingly available to overcome these challenges (Nkiaka, Nawaz and Lovett, 2017). Furthermore, there are also developments within the hydrological modeling community for models applicable in data scarce watersheds, such as SWAT, HBV and TOPMODEL (Wakigari, 2017). The study, therefore, aims to address the issue with data scarcity by using multiple data sources to parameterize, drive and calibrate SWAT. The subsequent calibration and validation using robust generic interface known as SWAT Calibration and Uncertainty Program (SWAT-CUP) limits the uncertainty in the input parameters by propagating it in the model output in the form of parameter ranges.

With increasing level of global warming, poor management of the water resources in Pakistan may endanger water security, instigating an energy crisis and affecting food supply in the future (Akhtar, 2015). Managing water resources in dams is considered as the best strategy to overcome the challenges posed by climate change (Akhtar, 2015). Hence, the study on effects of future climate change on the water resources of Haro River watershed is essential as it has a direct effect on Khanpur Dam located downstream. The results of the study will be useful for effective management of the water resources of this watershed in the future, as the dam is used for supplying drinking water to the population of twin-cities of Islamabad and Rawalpindi, and for fulfilling the irrigation requirements for Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Punjab Province. Outcomes of the study will

also help the policy makers and authorities in devising proper strategies for Khanpur Dam and Haro River watershed in future.

### **1.3 Objectives of Research**

The aim of the study is to quantify the future impacts of climate change on the streamflows of Haro River. The specific objectives of the study are:

- 1) To evaluate climate output from five downscaled General Circulation Models from the NASA Earth Exchange Global daily Downscaled Projections (NEX-GDDP) product against observed precipitation data from national meteorological stations and reanalysis dataset; additionally, to quantify the performance of bias correction of the selected GCM output using linear scaling method for baseline and future periods.
- 2) To quantify future climate change by comparing the bias corrected future climate projections against the baseline period under two emission scenarios.
- 3) To perform hydrologic simulation of Haro River watershed in SWAT using observed records of meteorological variables and subsequent calibration and validation of model using Sequential Uncertainty Fitting version-2 (SUFI-2).
- 4) To quantify the impact of future climate change on the streamflows of Haro River watershed under two emission scenarios using the calibrated SWAT Model and bias corrected GCM output.

### **1.4 Scope of the study**

The study focuses on assessing the variation in the historical and future climatic projections and evaluating the potential climatic change impacts on water resources of Haro River watershed in Pakistan. Climate model data for five General Circulation Models: ACCESS 1.0, CCSM4, CNRM-CM5, MIROC ESM and MPI-ESM-LR under two Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) scenarios, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 is attained from bias corrected and spatially downscaled NEX-GDDP data at 25 x 25km resolution, and bias corrected using observed precipitation and temperature. Due to time limitations, only a single model is selected for assessment of future climate change impacts. This limitation is addressed based on assessing the regression analysis of GCM model outputs against the observed meteorological data from weather stations and reanalysis dataset. The observed precipitation and temperature data is obtained at two stations: Murree (P-1) located inside the catchment area and Islamabad (P-2) located outside the catchment area. The reanalysis meteorological data is obtained from the co-located pixel to P-1 and P-2 (C-1 and C-2).

The observed meteorological data is available only for 19 years i.e. from 1987 until 2005. To cater for this limitation in data availability, the absence of trend in the data was



assessed before applying the computed bias corrected factors from 19 years of observation to the full historical period of 30 years. The bias corrected factors obtained from the historical period is then applied to the future climate period. The bias corrected thirty years historical data (1976-2005) for precipitation, maximum temperature, and minimum temperature is utilized for SWAT simulation of the baseline period. The future climatic projections are ascertained by using future bias corrected GCM data for three time periods, each of 30 years i.e. 2006-2035, 2036-2065 and 2066-2095.

The scope of the study also includes the hydrologic simulation of the watershed in the SWAT Model and subsequent calibration and validation of the model using SUFI-2. For hydrological modelling, the solar radiation, relative humidity and wind speed data at daily time scale is obtained from reanalysis data due to absence of observed data. The discharge data is only available from 1989-1991 and 1996-1998 (7 years total), which makes the calibration and validation challenging. The discharge data from the former period is used for calibration and from the later period is used for validation of the hydrological model. The baseline and future bias corrected projections are used to run the calibrated SWAT to investigate the effect of climate change on the water resources of Haro River watershed under emission scenario RCP4.5 and RCP 8.5.

In short, the outcomes of this study need to be interpreted in the context of all the limitations identified throughout the data collection and modelling stages. Nevertheless, the limitations have been addressed to the extent defined in the scope of study.

### **1.5 Significance of the study**

- 1) The current study is carried out to assess the likely effects of climate change on a localized river basin.
- 2) Khanpur Dam, which is located on the downstream side of the study region, is the main source of supplying drinking water and fulfilling the irrigational water requirements. Therefore, it is pertinent to predict the impact of climate change in future upon the flows, precipitation and temperature.
- 3) The results of the study will provide necessary information related to expected future seasonal streamflow changes, which will provide the basis for better policymaking.
- 4) The study will prove helpful in planning strategies to mitigate drastic changes in the hydrology, if projected by the study.

## REFERENCES

- Abas, N., Kalair, A., Khan, N. and Kalair, A. R. (2017). Review of GHG emissions in Pakistan compared to SAARC countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 80: 990-1016.
- Abbasa, N., Wasimia, S. A. and Al-Ansari, N. (2016). Assessment of Climate Change Impacts on Water Resources of Al-Adhaim, Iraq Using SWAT Model. *Engineering*, 8(10): 716.
- Abbaspour, K. C., Faramarzi, M., Ghasemi, S. S. and Yang, H. (2009). Assessing the impact of climate change on water resources in Iran. *Water resources research*, 45(10).
- Abbaspour, K. C., Johnson, C. A. and Van Genuchten, M. T. (2004). Estimating uncertain flow and transport parameters using a sequential uncertainty fitting procedure. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 3(4): 1340-1352.
- Abbaspour, K. C., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, S., Srinivasan, R., Yang, H. and Kløve, B. (2015). A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 524: 733-752.
- Abbaspour, K. C., Vaghefi, S. A. & Srinivasan, R. (2017). A guideline for successful calibration and uncertainty analysis for soil and water assessment. *A review of papers from the 2016 International SWAT Conference*.
- Abbaspour, K. C., Yang, J., Maximov, I., Siber, R., Bogner, K., Mieleitner, J., ... and Srinivasan, R. (2007). Modelling hydrology and water quality in the pre-alpine/alpine Thur watershed using SWAT. *Journal of hydrology*, 333(2-4): 413-430.
- Ahmad, Z., Hafeez, M. and Ahmad, I. (2012). Hydrology of mountainous areas in the upper Indus Basin, Northern Pakistan with the perspective of climate change. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 184(9): 5255-5274.
- Akhtar, M., Ahmad, N. and Booij, M. J. (2008). The impact of climate change on the water resources of Hindukush–Karakorum–Himalaya region under different glacier coverage scenarios. *Journal of hydrology*, 355(1-4): 148-163.
- Akhter, M. (2015). Dams as a climate change adaptation strategy: geopolitical implications for Pakistan. *Strategic Analysis*, 39(6): 744-748.
- Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D. and Smith, M. (1998). Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56, FAO-Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome (<http://www.fao.org/docrep>) ARPAV (2000), La caratterizzazione climatica della Regione Veneto, Quaderni per. *Geophysics*, 156: 178.



- Al-Safi, H. I. J. and Sarukkalige, P. R. (2017). Assessment of future climate change impacts on hydrological behavior of Richmond River Catchment. *Water Science and Engineering*.
- Arnold, J. G. and Fohrer, N. (2005). SWAT2000: current capabilities and research opportunities in applied watershed modelling. *Hydrological processes*, 19(3): 563-572.
- Arnold, J. G., Moriasi, D. N., Gassman, P. W., Abbaspour, K. C., White, M. J., Srinivasan, R., ... and Kannan, N. (2012). SWAT: Model use, calibration, and validation. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 55(4): 1491-1508.
- Arnold, J. G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R. S. and Williams, J. R. (1998). Large area hydrologic modeling and assessment part I: model development. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 34(1): 73-89.
- Ayivi, F. and Jha, M. K. (2018). Estimation of water balance and water yield in the Reedy Fork-Buffalo Creek Watershed in North Carolina using SWAT. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*.
- Azim, F., Shakir, A. S. and Kanwal, A. (2016). Impact of climate change on sediment yield for Naran watershed, Pakistan. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 31(3): 212-219.
- Babur, M., Babel, M. S., Shrestha, S., Kawasaki, A. and Tripathi, N. K. (2016). Assessment of climate change impact on reservoir inflows using multi climate-models under RCPs—The case of Mangla Dam in Pakistan. *Water*, 8(9): 389.
- Bao, Y. and Wen, X. (2017). Projection of China's near-and long-term climate in a new high-resolution daily downscaled dataset NEX-GDDP. *Journal of Meteorological Research*, 31(1): 236-249.
- Bennett, K. E., Werner, A. T. and Schnorbus, M. (2012). Uncertainties in hydrologic and climate change impact analyses in headwater basins of British Columbia. *Journal of Climate*, 25(17): 5711-5730.
- Bergström, S. (1972). Utveckling och tillämpning av en digital avrinningsmodell.(Development and application of a digital runoff model, in Swedish). *SMHI Notiser och preliminära rapporter, serie Hydrologi*, 22, Norrköping.
- Besalatpour, A., Hajabbasi, M. A., Ayoubi, S. and Jalalian, A. (2012). Identification and prioritization of critical sub-basins in a highly mountainous watershed using SWAT model. *Eurasian Journal of soil science (EJSS)*, 1(1): 58-63.
- Beven, K. J. and Kirkby, M. J. (1979). A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin hydrology/Un modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de l'hydrologie du bassin versant. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 24(1): 43-69.

- Bharati, L., Gurung, P., Jayakody, P., Smakhtin, V. and Bhattarai, U. (2014). The projected impact of climate change on water availability and development in the Koshi Basin, Nepal. *Mountain Research and Development*, 34(2): 118-131.
- Bhuvandas, N., Timbadiya, P. V., Patel, P. L. and Porey, P. D. (2014). Review of downscaling methods in climate change and their role in hydrological studies. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 8: 660-665.
- Blain, G. C. (2013). The Mann-Kendall test: the need to consider the interaction between serial correlation and trend. *Acta Scientiarum. Agronomy*, 35(4): 393-402.
- Bocchiola, D., Diolaiuti, G., Soncini, A., Mihalcea, C., D'agata, C., Mayer, C., ... and Smiraglia, C. (2011). Prediction of future hydrological regimes in poorly gauged high altitude basins: the case study of the upper Indus, Pakistan. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 15(7): 2059-2075.
- Bokhari, S. A. A., Ahmad, B., Ali, J., Ahmad, S., Mushtaq, H. and Rasul, G. (2018). Future Climate Change Projections of the Kabul River Basin Using a Multi-model Ensemble of High-Resolution Statistically Downscaled Data. *Earth Systems and Environment*, 1-21.
- Campozano, L., Tenelanda, D., Sanchez, E., Samaniego, E. and Feyen, J. (2016). Comparison of statistical downscaling methods for monthly total precipitation: case study for the paute river basin in Southern Ecuador. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2016.
- Chen, J., Brissette, F. P. and Leconte, R. (2011). Uncertainty of downscaling method in quantifying the impact of climate change on hydrology. *Journal of Hydrology*, 401(3): 190-202.
- Chen, J., Brissette, F. P. and Lucas-Picher, P. (2015). Assessing the limits of bias-correcting climate model outputs for climate change impact studies. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120(3): 1123-1136.
- Chen, J., Brissette, F. P., Chaumont, D. and Braun, M. (2013). Finding appropriate bias correction methods in downscaling precipitation for hydrologic impact studies over North America. *Water Resources Research*, 49(7): 4187-4205.
- Chen, J., Brissette, F. P., Lucas-Picher, P. and Caya, D. (2017). Impacts of weighting climate models for hydro-meteorological climate change studies. *Journal of Hydrology*, 549: 534-546.
- Chiew, F. H. S., Young, W. J., Cai, W. and Teng, J. (2011). Current drought and future hydroclimate projections in southeast Australia and implications for water resources management. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 25(4): 601-612.
- Chu, J. T., Xia, J., Xu, C. Y. and Singh, V. P. (2010). Statistical downscaling of daily mean temperature, pan evaporation and precipitation for climate change scenarios in Haihe River, China. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 99(1-2): 149-161.

- Devi, G. K., Ganasri, B. P. and Dwarakish, G. S. (2015). A review on hydrological models. *Aquatic Procedia*, 4: 1001-1007.
- Ehret, U., Zehe, E., Wulfmeyer, V., Warrach-Sagi, K. and Liebert, J. (2012). HESS Opinions" Should we apply bias correction to global and regional climate model data?". *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 16(9): 3391.
- Ehsani, N., Vörösmarty, C. J., Fekete, B. M. and Stakhiv, E. Z. (2017). Reservoir operations under climate change: storage capacity options to mitigate risk. *Journal of Hydrology*, 555: 435-446.
- Fang, G., Yang, J., Chen, Y. N. and Zammit, C. (2015). Comparing bias correction methods in downscaling meteorological variables for a hydrologic impact study in an arid area in China. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(6): 2547-2559.
- Ficklin, D. L. and Zhang, M. (2013). A comparison of the curve number and green-ampt models in an agricultural watershed. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 56(1): 61-69.
- Ficklin, D. L., Luo, Y., Luedeling, E. and Zhang, M. (2009). Climate change sensitivity assessment of a highly agricultural watershed using SWAT. *Journal of Hydrology*, 374(1): 16-29.
- Fiseha, B. M., Setegn, S. G., Melesse, A. M., Volpi, E. and Fiori, A. (2014). Impact of climate change on the hydrology of upper Tiber River Basin using bias corrected regional climate model. *Water resources management*, 28(5): 1327-1343.
- Garee, K., Chen, X., Bao, A., Wang, Y. and Meng, F. (2017). Hydrological modeling of the upper indus basin: A case study from a high-altitude glacierized catchment Hunza. *Water*, 9(1):17.
- Gassman, P. W., Reyes, M. R., Green, C. H. and Arnold, J. G. (2007). The soil and water assessment tool: historical development, applications, and future research directions. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 50(4): 1211-1250.
- Ghoraba, S. M. (2015). Hydrological modeling of the Simly Dam watershed (Pakistan) using GIS and SWAT model. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 54(3): 583-594.
- Ghosh, S. and Misra, C. (2010). Assessing hydrological impacts of climate change: modeling techniques and challenges. *The Open Hydrology Journal*, 4(1): 115-121.
- Hagras, M. and Habib, R. (2017). Hydrological modeling of Haro River watershed, Pakistan. *International Journal of Research and Reviews in Applied Sciences (IJRRAS)*.
- Hewitson, B. C. and Crane, R. G. (2006). Consensus between GCM climate change projections with empirical downscaling: precipitation downscaling over South Africa. *International Journal of Climatology*, 26(10): 1315-1337.

- Ikram, F., Afzaal, M., Bukhari, S. A. A. and Ahmed, B. (2017). Past and Future Trends in Frequency of Heavy Rainfall Events over Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Meteorology*, 12(24).
- IPCC, 2000: Summary for Policymakers, Emissions Scenarios, A Special Report of IPCC Working Group III, Nebojs̃a Nakic´enovic´, Ogunlade Davidson, Gerald Davis, Arnulf Grübler, Tom Kram, Emilio Lebre La Rovere, Bert Metz, Tsuneyuki Morita, William Pepper, Hugh Pitcher, Alexei Sankovski, Priyadarshi Shukla, Robert Swart, Robert Watson, Zhou Dadi
- IPCC, 2007: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, M.L. Parry, O.F. Canziani, J.P. Palutikof, P.J. van der Linden and C.E. Hanson, Eds., *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK*, 976pp
- IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley(eds.)]. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA*, 1535 pp.
- IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. *IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland*, 151 pp.
- Jayakrishnan, R. S. R. S., Srinivasan, R., Santhi, C. and Arnold, J. G. (2005). Advances in the application of the SWAT model for water resources management. *Hydrological processes*, 19(3): 749-762.
- Juárez, R. I., Li, W., Fu, R., Fernandes, K. and de Oliveira Cardoso, A. (2009). Comparison of precipitation datasets over the tropical South American and African continents. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 10(1): 289-299.
- Khan, A., Hashmi, H. N., Naeem, U. A. and Fareed, M. Q. (2016a). Hydrologic Modeling of Khanpur Dam Watershed Using Snowmelt Runoff Model. *University of Engineering and Technology Taxila. Technical Journal*, 21(4): 2.
- Khan, M. A., Khan, J. A., Ali, Z., Ahmad, I. and Ahmad, M. N. (2016b). The challenge of climate change and policy response in Pakistan. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(5): 412.
- Khattak, M. S., Babel, M. S. and Sharif, M. (2011). Hydro-meteorological trends in the upper Indus River basin in Pakistan. *Climate research*, 46(2): 103-119.
- Kopytkovskiy, M., Geza, M. and McCray, J. E. (2015). Climate-change impacts on water resources and hydropower potential in the Upper Colorado River Basin. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 3: 473-493.

- Krause, P., Boyle, D. P. and Bäse, F. (2005). Comparison of different efficiency criteria for hydrological model assessment. *Advances in geosciences*, 5: 89-97.
- Kriegler, E., O'Neill, B. C., Hallegatte, S., Kram, T., Lempert, R. J., Moss, R. H. and Wilbanks, T. (2012). The need for and use of socio-economic scenarios for climate change analysis: a new approach based on shared socio-economic pathways. *Global Environmental Change*, 22(4): 807-822.
- Krysanova, V. and White, M. (2015). Advances in water resources assessment with SWAT—an overview. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60(5): 771-783.
- Kumar, S., Singh, A. and Shrestha, D. P. (2016). Modelling spatially distributed surface runoff generation using SWAT-VSA: a case study in a watershed of the north-west Himalayan landscape. *Modeling earth systems and environment*, 2(4): 202.
- Kundu, S., Khare, D. and Mondal, A. (2017). Individual and combined impacts of future climate and land use changes on the water balance. *Ecological Engineering*, 105: 42-57.
- Lafon, T., Dadson, S., Buys, G. and Prudhomme, C. (2013). Bias correction of daily precipitation simulated by a regional climate model: a comparison of methods. *International Journal of Climatology*, 33(6): 1367-1381.
- Leander, R. and Buishand, T. A. (2007). Resampling of regional climate model output for the simulation of extreme river flows. *Journal of Hydrology*, 332(3): 487-496.
- Leggett, J., Pepper, W. J., Swart, R. J., Edmonds, J., Meira Filho, L. G., Mintzer, I. and Wang, M. X. (1992). Emissions scenarios for the IPCC: an update. *Climate change*, 69-95.
- Lenderink, G., Buishand, A. and Deursen, W. V. (2007). Estimates of future discharges of the river Rhine using two scenario methodologies: direct versus delta approach. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 11(3): 1145-1159.
- Li, Y., Wu, W., Ge, Q., Zhou, Y. and Xu, C. (2016). Simulating Climate Change Impacts and Adaptive Measures for Rice Cultivation in Hunan Province, China. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 55(6): 1359-1376.
- Liu, J., Zhang, C., Kou, L. and Zhou, Q. (2017). Effects of Climate and Land Use Changes on Water Resources in the Taoer River. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2017.
- Mahmood, R. and Jia, S. (2016a). Assessment of impacts of climate change on the water resources of the transboundary Jhelum River basin of Pakistan and India. *Water*, 8(6): 246.
- Mahmood, R., Jia, S. and Babel, M. S. (2016b). Potential impacts of climate change on water resources in the Kunhar river basin, Pakistan. *Water*, 8(1): 23.
- Masood, M., Yeh, P. J., Hanasaki, N. and Takeuchi, K. (2015). Model study of the impacts of future climate change on the hydrology of Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna basin. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(2): 747.

- McDowell, G., Ford, J. D., Lehner, B., Berrang-Ford, L. and Sherpa, A. (2013). Climate-related hydrological change and human vulnerability in remote mountain regions: a case study from Khumbu, Nepal. *Regional Environmental Change*, 13(2): 299-310.
- McMichael, A. J., Woodruff, R. E. and Hales, S. (2006). Climate change and human health: present and future risks. *The Lancet*, 367(9513) 859-869.
- Meenu, R., Rehana S. and Mujumdar, P. P (2012) Assessment of hydrologic impacts of climate change in Tunga-Bhadra river basin, India with HEC-HMS and SDSM, *Hydrological Processes*
- Mehan, S., Neupane, R. P., and Kumar, S. (2017). Coupling of SUFI 2 and SWAT for Improving the Simulation of Streamflow in an Agricultural Watershed of South Dakota. *Agricultural and Biological Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette.*
- Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M. L. T., Lamarque, J. F., ... and Thomson, A. G. J. M. V. (2011). The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. *Climatic change*, 109(1-2): 213.
- Merritt, W. S., Alila, Y., Barton, M., Taylor, B., Cohen, S. and Neilsen, D. (2006). Hydrologic response to scenarios of climate change in sub watersheds of the Okanagan basin, British Columbia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 326(1): 79-108.
- Moriasi, D. N., Arnold, J. G., Van Liew, M. W., Bingner, R. L., Harmel, R. D. and Veith, T. L. (2007). Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 50(3): 885-900.
- Morrison, J., Quick, M. C. and Foreman, M. G. (2002). Climate change in the Fraser River watershed: flow and temperature projections. *Journal of Hydrology*, 263(1-4): 230-244.
- Moss, R., Babiker, W., Brinkman, S., Calvo, E., Carter, T., Edmonds, J., ... and Jones, R. N. (2008). Towards new scenarios for the analysis of emissions: Climate change, impacts and response strategies.
- Motiee, H. and McBean, E. (2009). An assessment of long-term trends in hydrologic components and implications for water levels in Lake Superior. *Hydrology Research*, 40(6).
- Nan, Y., Bao-hui, M. and Chun-kun, L. (2011). Impact analysis of climate change on water resources. *Procedia Engineering*, 24: 643-648.
- Narsimlu, B., Gosain, A. K. and Chahar, B. R. (2013). Assessment of future climate change impacts on water resources of upper sind river basin, India using SWAT model. *Water resources management*, 27(10): 3647-3662.



- Neitsch, S. L., Arnold, J. G., Kiniry, J. R. and Williams, J. R. (2011). Soil and water assessment tool theoretical documentation version 2009. *Texas Water Resources Institute*.
- Nkiaka, E., Nawaz, N. and Lovett, J. (2017). Evaluating global reanalysis datasets as input for hydrological modelling in the Sudano-Sahel region. *Hydrology*, 4(1): 13.
- Nover, D. M., Witt, J. W., Butcher, J. B., Johnson, T. E. and Weaver, C. P. (2016). The effects of downscaling method on the variability of simulated watershed response to climate change in five US basins. *Earth interactions*, 20(11): 1-27.
- Nyeko, M. (2015). Hydrologic modelling of data scarce basin with SWAT model: capabilities and limitations. *Water resources management*, 29(1): 81-94.
- O'Neill, B. C., Kriegler, E., Ebi, K. L., Kemp-Benedict, E., Riahi, K., Rothman, D. S., ... & Levy, M. (2017). The roads ahead: narratives for shared socioeconomic pathways describing world futures in the 21st century. *Global Environmental Change*, 42: 169-180.
- O'Neill, B. C., Kriegler, E., Riahi, K., Ebi, K. L., Hallegatte, S., Carter, T. R., ... and van Vuuren, D. P. (2014). A new scenario framework for climate change research: the concept of shared socioeconomic pathways. *Climatic Change*, 122(3): 387-400.
- Önöz, B. and Bayazit, M. (2003). The power of statistical tests for trend detection. *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences*, 27(4): 247-251.
- Paras and Mathur, S. (2016). A simple weather forecasting model using mathematical regression. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*, 12(2): 161-168.
- Raghavan, S. V., Hur, J. and Liang, S. Y. (2018). Evaluations of NASA NEX-GDDP data over Southeast Asia: present and future climates. *Climatic Change*, 1-16.
- Raneesh, K. Y. and Thampi, S. G. (2013). Bias correction for RCM predictions of precipitation and temperature in the Chaliyar River Basin. *Journal of Climatology & Weather Forecasting*, 1(2): 1-6.
- Remesan, R. and Holman, I. P. (2015). Effect of baseline meteorological data selection on hydrological modelling of climate change scenarios. *Journal of Hydrology*, 528: 631-642.
- Riahi, K., Van Vuuren, D. P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'Neill, B. C., Fujimori, S., ... and Lutz, W. (2017). The shared socioeconomic pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: an overview. *Global Environmental Change*, 42: 153-168.
- Saber, M. and Yilmaz, K. (2016). Bias correction of satellite-based rainfall estimates for modeling flash floods in semi-arid regions: Application to Karpuz River, Turkey. *National Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 2016: 1-35.

- Sachindra, D. A., Huang, F., Barton, A. F. & Perera, B. J. C. (2011). Statistical downscaling of general circulation model outputs to catchment streamflows. *19th International Congress on Modelling and Simulation (Modsim2011)*, 2810-2816.
- Salvi, K., Kannan, S., & Ghosh, S. (2011). Statistical downscaling and bias-correction for projections of Indian rainfall and temperature in climate change studies. *In 4th International Conference on Environmental and Computer Science*, 16-18.
- Sanaullah, M., Ahmad, I., Arslan, M., Ahmad, S. R. and Zeeshan, M. (2018). Evaluating Morphometric Parameters of Haro River Drainage Basin in Northern Pakistan. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 27(1).
- Santhi, C., Arnold, J. G., Williams, J. R., Dugas, W. A., Srinivasan, R. and Hauck, L. M. (2001). Validation of the swat model on a large RWER basin with point and nonpoint sources 1. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 37(5): 1169-1188.
- Schmidli, J., Frei, C. and Vidale, P. L. (2006). Downscaling from GCM precipitation: a benchmark for dynamical and statistical downscaling methods. *International Journal Of Climatology*, 26(5): 679-689.
- Shakir, A. S., Rehman, H. and Ehsan, S. (2010). Climate change impact on river flows in Chitral watershed. *Pakistan Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*.7:12-23
- Shen, C. and Qiang, H. (2014). Spatial and temporal variation of annual precipitation in a river of the loess plateau in China. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2014.
- Shrestha, M., Acharya, S. C. and Shrestha, P. K. (2017). Bias correction of climate models for hydrological modelling—are simple methods still useful?. *Meteorological Applications*, 24(3): 531-539.
- Shrestha, S. (2014). Assessment of water availability under climate change scenarios in Thailand. In *Climate Change Impacts and Adaptation in Water Resources and Water Use Sectors*. Springer Water, 9-23
- Shukla, J. B., Verma, M. and Misra, A. K. (2017). Effect of global warming on sea level rise: A modeling study. *Ecological Complexity*, 32: 99-110.
- Suhaila, J., Deni, S. M., Zin, W. Z. W. and Jemain, A. A. (2010). Trends in peninsular Malaysia rainfall data during the southwest monsoon and northeast monsoon seasons: 1975–2004. *Sains Malaysiana*, 39(4): 533-542.
- Sulaiman, N.S., Kamarudin, M.K.A., Mustafa, A.D., Amran, M.A., Azaman, F., Abidin, I.Z. and Hairoma, N. (2015). Trend analysis of Pahang River using non-parametric analysis: Mann Kendall's trend test. *Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences*, 19(6): 1327-1334.
- Tabari, H. and Talee, P. H. (2011). Analysis of trends in temperature data in arid and semi-arid regions of Iran. *Global and Planetary Change*, 79: 1-10.



- Tan, M. L., Yusop, Z., Chua, V. P. and Chan, N. W. (2017). Climate change impacts under CMIP5 RCP scenarios on water resources of the Kelantan River Basin, Malaysia. *Atmospheric Research*, 189: 1-10.
- Tejaswini, V. and Sathian, K. K. (2018). Calibration and Validation of Swat Model for Kunthipuzha Basin Using SUFI-2 Algorithm. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences (IJCMAS)*, 7(1): 2162-2172.
- Terink, W., Hurkmans, R. T. W. L., and Torfs, P. J. J. F. and Uijlenhoet, R. (2009). Bias correction of temperature and precipitation data for regional climate model application to the Rhine basin. *Hydrology Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 6: 5377–5413.
- Teutschbein, C. and Seibert, J. (2012). Bias correction of regional climate model simulations for hydrological climate-change impact studies: Review and evaluation of different methods. *Journal of Hydrology*, 456: 12-29.
- Themeßl, M. J., Gobiet, A. and Heinrich, G. (2012). Empirical-statistical downscaling and error correction of regional climate models and its impact on the climate change signal. *Climatic Change*, 112(2): 449-468.
- Thrasher, B., Xiong, J., Wang, W., Melton, F., Michaelis, A. and Nemani, R. (2013). Downscaled climate projections suitable for resource management. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 94(37): 321-323.
- Tisseuil, C., Vrac, M., Lek, S. and Wade, A. J. (2010). Statistical downscaling of river flows. *Journal of Hydrology*, 385(1): 279-291.
- Tolera, M., Chung, I. M. and Chang, S. (2018). Evaluation of the Climate Forecast System Reanalysis Weather Data for Watershed Modeling in Upper Awash Basin, Ethiopia. *Water*, 10(6): 725.
- Tshimanga, R. M. and Hughes, D. A. (2012). Climate change and impacts on the hydrology of the Congo Basin: The case of the northern sub-basins of the Oubangui and Sangha Rivers. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 50: 72-83.
- Van Griensven, A. and Bauwens, W. (2003). Multiobjective autocalibration for semidistributed water quality models. *Water Resources Research*, 39(12).
- Van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., ... and Masui, T. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: an overview. *Climatic change*, 109: 5.
- Vetter, T., Reinhardt, J., Flörke, M., van Griensven, A., Hattermann, F., Huang, S., ... and Su, B. (2016). Evaluation of sources of uncertainty in projected hydrological changes under climate change in 12 large-scale river basins. *Climatic Change*, 141(3): 419-433.

- Wakigari, S. (2017). Evaluation of Conceptual Hydrological Models in Data Scarce Region of the Upper Blue Nile Basin: Case of the Upper Guder Catchment. *Hydrology*, 4(4): 59.
- Wanders, N. and Wada, Y. (2015). Human and climate impacts on the 21st century hydrological drought. *Journal of Hydrology*, 526: 208-220.
- Wayne, G. P. (2013). The beginner's guide to representative concentration pathways. *Skeptical science*, 25.
- Weiland, F. S., Van Beek, L. P. H., Weerts, A. H. and Bierkens, M. F. P. (2012). Extracting information from an ensemble of GCMs to reliably assess future global runoff change. *Journal of Hydrology*, 412: 66-75.
- Wentz, F. J., Ricciardulli, L., Hilburn, K. and Mears, C. (2007). How much more rain will global warming bring?. *Science*, 317(5835): 233-235.
- WWAP (United Nations World Water Assessment Programme). 2015. The United Nations World Water Development Report 2015: Water for a Sustainable World. Paris, UNESCO.
- Xu, X., Wang, Y. C., Kalcic, M., Muenich, R. L., Yang, Y. E. and Scavia, D. (2017). Evaluating the impact of climate change on fluvial flood risk in a mixed-used watershed. *Environmental Modelling & Software*.
- Yin, Z., Feng, Q., Liu, S., Zou, S., Li, J., Yang, L. and Deo, R. C. (2017). The spatial and temporal contribution of glacier runoff to watershed discharge in the Yarkant River Basin, Northwest China. *Water*, 9(3): 159.
- Zaheer, M., Ahmad, Z. and Shahab, A. (2016). Hydrological Modeling and Characterization of the Khanpur Watershed, Pakistan. *American Water Works Association*, 108(5): 262-268.
- Zhang, X., Srinivasan, R. and Hao, F. (2007). Predicting hydrologic response to climate change in the Luohe River basin using the SWAT model. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 50(3): 901-910.