

# UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

# PERFORMANCE OF TWO-STAGE DYNAMIC ANAEROBIC MEMBRANE BIOREACTOR FOR TREATING HIGH STRENGTH FOOD PROCESSING WASTEWATER

# SITI BAIZURA BINTI MAHAT

FK 2021 108



### PERFORMANCE OF TWO-STAGE DYNAMIC ANAEROBIC MEMBRANE BIOREACTOR FOR TREATING HIGH STRENGTH FOOD PROCESSING WASTEWATER



SITI BAIZURA BINTI MAHAT

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

June 2021

### COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

### PERFORMANCE OF TWO-STAGE DYNAMIC ANAEROBIC MEMBRANE BIOREACTOR FOR TREATING HIGH STRENGTH FOOD PROCESSING WASTEWATER

By

#### SITI BAIZURA BINTI MAHAT

June 2021

Chairman : Associate Professor Rozita Omar, PhD Faculty : Engineering

Food processing wastewater (FPW) contains a high level of oil and grease, requiring extensive treatment. The submerged dynamic membrane in anaerobic digestion (AD) treatment offers cheap and complete biological and physical separation of solid-liquid. Dynamic membrane (DM) developed onto cheap support material can replace the expensive conventional membranes. Although promising DM utilization in AD treatment has been reported, scarce research focused on the DM formation to explain its performance and fouling control. Thus, this study's objectives were to evaluate the submerged dynamic membrane two-stage anaerobic bioreactor (DAnMBR) performance in treating FPW and assess the DM characteristics and development mechanism.

The batch biodegradability test assays were used to determine the best performance of substrate (FPW) to inoculum (anaerobic digester sludge) ratio (S/I) at 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0. A two-stage anaerobic digester (named acidogenic and methanogenic reactors) with two submerged 20  $\mu$ m woven filter cloth as the supporting material (DAnMBR) in the second tank was used in this study. Successful start-up using synthetic wastewater and then acclimatization by adding FPW in steps up to 100% FPW was achieved when 90% of chemical oxygen demand (COD) were removed. Treatment using support material commenced thereafter. The treatment performance utilizing APHA methods was evaluated at different hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 0.4-1.3 days and organic loading rates (OLR) of 3.5, 5.0, 6.5, and 7.0 g COD/L.d.

Best OLR was used to assess the DM formation until fouling occurred, and the cake layer samples were taken for characterization periodically. S/I 1.0 ratio performed best with COD, biochemical oxygen demand, total solids (TS) and volatile solids (VS) removals of 96.9, 96.6, 75.8, and 65.2%, respectively. The bioreactor presented a good

performance at OLR 5.0 g COD/L.d with removals of 97.5% COD and 99% total suspended solids at HRT of 0.5 day. The methane gas production yield achieved a maximum of 0.40 L methane/g COD added at OLR 3.5 and 5.0 g COD/L.d with the same HRT 0.5 day on both OLRs. The average permeate flux in these studies was around  $60 \text{ L/m}^2$  h. Fouling occurred at 35 days during the DM development and characterization study with a final flux of 2.5  $L/m^2$ .hr and transmembrane pressure of 0.7 bar. The cake layer thickness increased slightly from day 14 to 28 but sharply at the fouled stage, agreeing with the treatment performance. Protein to polysaccharide ratio (PN/PS) of the extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) increased significantly compared to soluble microbial product PN/PS ratio; thus, it is the main contributor to the membrane fouling. High-through-put 454 pyrosequencing of total DNA revealed that Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Methanosaeta were abundant in bacterial and archaeal communities, which played an important role in the DAnMBR system. In conclusion, following the results obtained in this study, DM technology achieved a stable and high-quality permeate. Thus, DAnMBRs can be used as a reliable and satisfactory treatment technology to treat high strength wastewaters.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

### PRESTASI DUA PERINGKAT BIOREAKTOR ANAEROBIK DINAMIK MEMBRAN UNTUK MERAWAT AIR SISA INDUSTRI PEMBUATAN MAKANAN

Oleh

#### SITI BAIZURA BINTI MAHAT

Jun 2021

Pengerusi Fakulti

:

: Profesor Madya Rozita Omar, PhD Kejuruteraan

Air buangan pemprosesan makanan (FPW) mengandungi tahap tinggi minyak dan gris yang memerlukan rawatan yang intensif. Membran dinamik terendam dalam rawatan pencernaan anaerobik (AD) menawarkan pemisahan biologi dan fizikal pepejal-cecair yang murah dan lengkap. Membran dinamik (DM) yang dikembangkan ke bahan sokongan yang murah dapat menggantikan membran konvensional yang mahal. Walaupun menjanjikan, penggunaan DM dalam perawatan AD, penyelidikan yang jarang difokuskan pada pembentukan DM untuk menjelaskan prestasi dan pengotorannya. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menilai prestasi bioreaktor anaerobik dua peringkat (DAnMBR) membran dinamik tenggelam dalam merawat FPW dan menilai ciri DM dan mekanisme pengembangan.

Ujian biodegradabiliti kumpulan digunakan untuk menentukan prestasi terbaik nisbah substrat (FPW) hingga inokulum (enapcemar pencernaan anaerob) (S/I) pada 1.0, 1.5 dan 2.0. Pencernaan anaerobik dua peringkat (dinamakan reaktor asidogenik dan metanogenik) dengan dua kain penapis tenunan 20 µm yang tenggelam sebagai bahan sokongan (DAnMBR) dalam tangki kedua digunakan dalam kajian ini. Permulaan yang berjaya menggunakan air sisa sintetik dan kemudian aklimatisasi dengan menambahkan FPW dalam langkah hingga 90% FPW dicapai apabila 90% permintaan oksigen kimia (COD) dikeluarkan. Rawatan menggunakan bahan sokongan dimulakan selepas itu. Prestasi rawatan yang menggunakan kaedah APHA dinilai pada masa sewa hidraulik (HRT) yang berbeza dari 0.4-1.3 hari dan kadar pemuatan organik (OLR) 3.5, 5.0, 6.5, dan 7.0 g COD/L.hari.

OLR terbaik digunakan untuk menilai pembentukan DM sehingga pencemaran berlaku dan sampel lapisan kek diambil untuk pencirian secara berkala. Nisbah S/I 1.0 menunjukkan prestasi terbaik dengan COD, permintaan oksigen biokimia, penyingkiran pepejal total (TS) dan pepejal mudah alih (VS) masing-masing 96.9, 96.6, 75.8, dan 65.2%. Bioreaktor menunjukkan prestasi yang baik pada OLR 5.0 g COD/L.hari dengan penyingkiran 97.5% COD dan 99% pepejal terampai. Hasil pengeluaran gas metana mencapai maksimum 0.40 L metana/g.COD yang ditambahkan pada OLR 3.5 dan 5.0 g COD / L hari. Fluks meresap purata dalam kajian ini adalah sekitar 60 L /  $m^2$ .jam. Fouling berlaku pada 35 hari semasa kajian pengembangan dan pencirian DM dengan fluks akhir 2.5 L / m<sup>2</sup>.jam dan tekanan transmembran 0.7 bar. Ketebalan lapisan kek meningkat sedikit dari hari ke-14 hingga ke-28, tetapi secara tajam pada tahap kekotoran setuju dengan prestasi rawatan. Nisbah protein ke polisakarida (PN/PS) bahan polimer ekstraselular meningkat dengan ketara berbanding dengan nisbah PN / PS produk mikrob larut; oleh itu, ia adalah penyumbang utama pembuangan kotoran. Pyrosequencing 454 dari jumlah DNA yang tinggi menunjukkan bahawa Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes dan Methanosaeta banyak terdapat dalam komuniti bakteria dan archaeal, yang memainkan peranan penting dalam sistem DAnMBR. Kesimpulannya, berikutan hasil yang diperoleh dalam kajian ini, teknologi DM mencapai permeate yang stabil dan berkualiti tinggi. Oleh itu, DAnMBR dapat digunakan sebagai teknologi rawatan yang boleh dipercayai dan memuaskan untuk rawatan air buangan berkekuatan tinggi.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises to Allah, Lord of the Universe, the Merciful and Beneficent to Prophet Muhammad S.A.W, His Companion and the people who follow His path. With His guidance and blessing, I managed to complete my PhD study with patience and perseverance until the end, Alhamdulillah. In my utmost gratification, I also want to take this opportunity to offer my appreciation to all individual that helped and guided me in completing this project. Undoubtedly, after going through lots of obstacles and challenges to complete the task assigned to me, I have gained a lot of knowledge and experiences.

Special thanks to Assoc. Prof. Dr Rozita Omar, for her undivided attention, advice, and valuable suggestions throughout this project. Above all and must be needed, she provided me with unflinching guidance and continuous support in various ways during my study. My most profound appreciation also goes to Prof. Dr. Siti Mazlina Mustapa Kamal, Prof. Dr. Hasfalina Che Man, Prof. Dr. Azni Idris and Dr. Aida Isma Mohamad Idris as my co-supervisors for the guidance and assistance to improve my research throughout the study period.

My appreciation and thanks also to all lecturers, technical staff, lab partners, colleagues, friends and those who have directly and indirectly contributed to this study. Lastly, I might want to facilitate my appreciation to my beloved husband, family, children, and relatives to give me all the help, unconditional trust, prayers, and motivation through finishing my PhD research, as it does help me be a challenging and better person to complete this journey.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

### Rozita binti Omar, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Siti Mazlina binti Mustapa Kamal, PhD

Professor, Ir. Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### Hasfalina binti Che Man, PhD

Professor, Ir. Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### Aida Isma binti Mohamad Idris, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment & Information Technology SEGi University Kota Damansara (Member)

### ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 November 2021

### **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

G

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Rozita Omar
Signatura	
Signature.	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Professor Ir. Dr. Siti Mazlina Mustapa Kamal
Signature:	
Name of Member of Supervisory	
Committee:	Professor Dr. Hasfalina Che Man
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Aida Isma Mohamad Idris

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

n

			Page
ABSTR	RACT		i
ABSTR	AK		iii
ACKN	OWLE	DGEMENTS	v
APPRO	<b>)VAL</b>		vi
DECLA	ARATI	ON	viii
LIST C	)F TAE	BLES	xiv
LIST C	)F FIG	URES	xvi
LIST C	<b>DF APP</b>	PENDICES	xxi
LIST C	)F ABE	BREVIATIONS	xxii
CHAP	ГER		
1	TN 17TH	RODUCTION	1
1		RODUCTION Declaration of the study	1
	1.1	Background of the study Broblem statement	1
	1.2	Problem statement	5
	1.5	Scope of study and limitation	+ 5
	1.4	Significant of research	5
	1.5	Thesis layout	6
	1.0	Thesis ayour	0
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1	Introduction	7
	2.2	Treatment of industrial wastewater	7
		2.2.1 Various type of industrial sector and their wastewater	
		characteristics	8
		2.2.2 Malaysia environmental regulation on industrial	
		effluent	10
		2.2.3 Food processing wastewater	11
	2.3	Anaerobic digestion	12
		2.3.1 Phases of anaerobic digestion	13
		2.3.2 Parameters affecting the anaerobic digestion process	15
		2.3.3 Inhibition and toxicity of substances in anaerobic	0.1
		digestion	21
	2.4	2.3.4 Anaerobic digesters	23
	2.4	Dynamic memorane (DM) and its nistorical development	29
		2.4.1 Factors affecting the performance of dynamic membrane (DM) bioreaster	21
		2.4.2 Dynamic membrane formation mechanism	30
		2.7.2 Dynamic membrane applications in DAnMRP and	57
		DMBR	53
	25	Cleaning methods for membrane applications	59 59
	2.5	Microbial community	60
			00

G

3	MATE	RIALS AND METHODS	63
	3.1	Introduction	63
	3.2	Materials	65
		3.2.1 Synthetic wastewater	65
		3.2.2 Food processing wastewater (FPW) and seed sludge	
		(inoculum)	65
		3.2.3 Chemicals and reagents preparation	67
	3.3	Bioreactor configuration and general operating procedure	67
	3.4	Preliminary experiment	71
		3.4.1 Batch biodegradability test on the treatment	
		performance and potential of methane production	
		from FPW	71
		3.4.2 Theoretical yield of methane	72
	3.5	Experimental procedure for treatment performance of	. –
	0.0	DAnMBR	73
	3.6	Analytical methods for anaerobic digestion performance	74
	5.0	3.6.1 nH	75
		3.6.2 Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD <sub>5</sub> )	75
		3.6.3 Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	76
		3.6.4 Ammoniacal-nitrogen (NH <sub>2</sub> -N)	77
		365 Alkalinity	78
		3.6.6 Total solid (TS) volatile solids (VS) and total	
		suspended solids (TSS)	79
		3.6.7 Turbidity	81
		3.6.8 Oil and grease (O&G)	81
		3.6.9 Total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP)	82
		3.6.10 Volatile fatty acid (VFA)	82
		3.6.11 Heavy metal content	83
		3.6.12 Biogas production/ volume	83
		3.6.13 Biogas composition	83
	3.7	Analytical methods for membrane characterization and	
		treatment performance	84
		3.7.1 Flux and transmembrane pressure (TMP)	84
		3.7.2 Total filtration resistance	84
		3.7.3 Membrane permeation and antifouling performance	85
		3.7.4 Extractable soluble microbial product (SMP) and	
		extracellular polymeric substances (EPS)	
		measurement - Collection of DM layer and other	
		samples for analysis	86
		3.7.5 Morphological and elemental analysis	87
		3.7.6 Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)	87
		3.7.7 Particle size distribution (PSD) analysis	87
		3.7.8 Zeta potential measurement	88
	3.8	Mechanism of the development of dynamic membrane in	
		DAnMBR	88
		3.8.1 Dynamic membrane density and thickness	88
		3.8.2 Model fitting of filtration laws using Hermia	
		equation	89
	3.9	Microbial community analysis	90
		3.9.1 Extraction of genomic DNA and sample QC	91

	3.9.2 Amplicon PCR QC	91
	3.9.3 First part of library construction (1 <sup>st</sup> stage PCR)	91
	3.9.4 Second part of library construction (2 <sup>nd</sup> stage PCR)	92
3.10	Summary	94
4 RESU	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Determination of biochemical methane potential (BMP) test	
	on a different substrate to inoculum ratio (S/I)	95
	4.2.1 COD and BOD <sub>5</sub> removal efficiency	96
	4.2.2 Total solid (TS) and volatile solid (VS) removal	
	efficiency	98
	4.2.3 Ammoniacal nitrogen content	99
	4.2.4 Total phosphorus removal efficiency	101
	4.2.5 Specific biogas production yield and cumulative	
	biogas production	101
	4.2.6 Specific methane production yield and cumulative	
	methane production	103
4.3	Start-up and acclimatization phase operation of DAnMBR	106
	4.3.1 Performance of COD and BOD <sub>5</sub> reduction	107
	4.3.2 Performance of ammoniacal nitrogen (AN)	109
	4.3.3 Performance of total suspended solids (TSS)	
	reduction	109
	4.3.4 Performance of IA/PA ratio	110
4.4	Performance of treatment phase of DAnMBR	111
	4.4.1 COD and BOD <sub>5</sub> reduction	111
	4.4.2 Ammoniacal nitrogen (AN)	114
	4.4.3 Reduction of total suspended solids (TSS) and	
	turbidity	115
	4.4.4 Reduction of oil & grease (O&G) reduction, IA/PA	
	ratio, and volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentration	116
	4.4.5 Methane gas production yield	120
	4.4.6 TMP, flux and membrane resistance	122
	4.4.7 Effects of SMP and EPS on membrane fouling and	100
1.5	DM surface morphology	123
4.5	Cake layer development and characterization in DAnMBR	126
	4.5.1 Permeate flux and transmemorane pressure (TMP)	120
	4.5.2 Particle size distribution (PSD)	127
	4.5.5 Dynamic memorane unckness and density	120
	4.5.4 Composition of the cake layer	129
	4.5.6 Soluble microbial product (SMP) and extracellular	131
	4.5.0 Soluble interoblat product (Sivir) and extracential	133
	457 Zeta notential analysis of the membrane surface	155
	and foulants	136
	458 Performance of DAnMRR based on DM	150
	development	137
46	Mechanism of dynamic membrane formation	144
4.0	4.6.1 Stage 1: subtract layer formation	145
	4.6.2 Stage 2: separation laver formation	146
		110

		4.6.3	Stage 3: foulin	g layer formation		147
		4.6.4	Stage 4: filtrati	ion cake formation		148
		4.6.5	Characteristics	s of the dynamic	membrane formed	
			in each stage			149
		4.6.6	Microbial com	Imunity		150
	4.7	Summa	ary	-		159
5	CONC	LUSIO	N AND REC	OMMENDATION	N FOR FUTURE	
	RESE	ARCH				160
	5.1	Conclu	sion			160
	5.2	Recom	mendation for fu	iture research		161
DEE	FREN	FS				163
	ENDIC	FS				105
		DE CTI	IDENT			17/
BIU.		UF SIL	JULINI			211
LIST	COF PU	<b>IRFIC</b>	ATIONS			212

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Various type of industrial sector and type of high strength wastewater	8
2.2	High-strength wastewater characteristics depend on the industry type	9
2.3	Conditions for the discharge of industrial effluent or mixed effluent of standards A and B	10
2.4	Ranges of optimum C: N ratio for an AD of the organic matter reported in the literature	21
2.5	Ammonia-nitrogen concentration's effects on anaerobic digestion	22
2.6	Optimal and inhibitory concentrations of ions from inorganic salts	23
2.7	Comparison of conventional aerobic treatment, anaerobic treatment, aerobic MBR, and anaerobic MBR	27
2.8	Important factors and their effects on the DAnMBR performance	32
2.9	Comparison of side-stream/external and submerged configuration for MBRs technology	34
2.10	Empirical dead-end filtration equations	43
2.11	Hermia's models solutions	47
2.12	Summary review on DAnMBRs, in the current laboratory-scale set- up	56
2.13	Summarize review on DMBRs, in recent laboratory scale set-up	58
3.1	Ingredients of meat and yeast extract (Bovril meat extract and Marmite yeast extract)	65
3.2	Characteristics for FPW (substrate) and anaerobically digested sewage sludge (inoculum)	66
3.3	List of chemicals and brand for the reagents used in the experiments	67
3.4	Specifications of the submerged membrane module	70
3.5	Sample characteristics for different S/I ratios (before treatment)	71

3.6	Bioreactor operating conditions during start-up, acclimatization, and treatment process	74
3.7	Selecting sample volume for the distillation and titration method for NH <sub>3</sub> -N	78
4.1	List of previous studies based on similar substrates and methane yield achieved	105
4.2	SMP and EPS compositions in the bulk sludge and DM layer	124
4.3	Elemental composition of the fouled layer	130
4.4	SMP and EPS compositions in the bulk sludge and DM1, DM2, and DM3 layer	135
4.5	Zeta potential for virgin membrane, bulk sludge, DM1, DM2, and DM3 layer	136
4.6	Alpha diversity indices of cake layer sample	151

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	<ul><li>a) Relevant life cycle steps of the food products where potentially present compounds could be generated and deposited/reacted in water</li><li>b) Typical process scheme for treatment of wastewater from food processing</li></ul>	12
2.2	Reactive scheme and metabolic pathway of the anaerobic digestion of polymeric materials. The number indicates the bacterial groups involved: 1) Hydrolytic and fermentative bacteria, 2) Acetogenic bacteria, 3) Homo-acetogenic bacteria, 4) Hydrogenotrophic methanogens, 5) Aceticlastic methanogens	15
2.3	Important parameters for anaerobic digestion of low to high strength wastewater	16
2.4	Membrane separation process by a different type of membrane MF, UF, NF, and RO	26
2.5	Schematic diagram of aerobic MBR can be used to replace sedimentation tank and sand filtration (Ionics Freshwater Ltd, 2010)	28
2.6	A schematic diagram of anaerobic MBR can be used to replace activated sludge, secondary clarification, and anaerobic digestion	28
2.7	MBR process configurations with membrane place; (a) external/side stream and (b) submerged/immersed in bioreactor	30
2.8	MBR process configurations for external membrane; (a) gas-lift and (b) semi dead-end	30
2.9	Layer demonstration of the dynamic cake layer with cross-flow filtration a) without dynamic layer b) with a dynamic layer)	33
2.10	Schematic diagram of dead-end filtration	33
2.11	Schematic diagram showing the various configurations of the DAnMBR	35
2.12	(a) SEM images of the clean support layer of flat nylon mesh filter at x250 magnification (Sahinkaya et al., 2017), (b) SEM micrographs of the surface of unused non-woven fabric membrane at x5000 magnification (Wang et al., 2015) and (c) SEM images of the surface of clean monofilament are woven fabric at x40 magnification	37
2.13	Diagram of dynamic membrane structure	40

xvi

0

2.14	Schematic of anaerobic DM formation with EPS extraction/addition	41
2.15	Algorithm for the parameter K optimization of Charfi et al. (2012)	48
2.16	Flux vs time: experimental data and fouling mode optimized curves from Choo & Lee (1996) research	49
2.17	Several studies related to DM technology focusing on low cost supporting material	54
2.18	Diagram flow of fouling model in mechanical scouring for conventional MBR	60
3.1	Overview of the DAnMBR design framework	64
3.2	Schematic diagram of DAnMBR	69
3.3	Schematic drawing and picture of the supporting material casing	69
3.4	Procedure for batch biodegradability test	72
3.5	Measurement of biogas volume collected from Tedlar bag using the water displacement method	83
3.6	Location of the cake layer sampling for analysis	88
3.7	16S/ ITS/ 18s Amplicon Library Preparation Workflow	93
4.1	(a) Day 0 and (b) day 54 of the batch biodegradability test	96
4.2	COD percentage removal before and after batch biodegradability treatment for all S/I ratios	97
4.3	BOD <sub>5</sub> percentage removal before and after batch biodegradability treatment for all S/I ratios	97
4.4	TS percentage removal before and after batch biodegradability treatment for all S/I ratios	98
4.5	VS percentage removal before and after batch biodegradability treatment for all S/I ratios	99
4.6	Ammoniacal nitrogen percentage removal before and after batch biodegradability treatment for all S/I ratios	100
4.7	Total phosphorus removal before and after batch biodegradability test for all S/I ratios	101

4.8	Specific biogas production yield and cumulative biogas production at different S/I ratios	103
4.9	Specific methane production yield and cumulative methane production at all S/I ratios	104
4.10	Feed OLR and COD concentrations of effluent and permeate during start-up and acclimatization phase for DAnMBR	108
4.11	Feed OLR and BOD <sub>5</sub> concentrations of effluent and permeate during start-up and acclimatization phase for DAnMBR	108
4.12	Feed OLR and AN concentration of effluent and permeate during start- up and acclimatization phase for DAnMBR	109
4.13	Feed OLR and TSS concentration of effluent during start-up and acclimatization phase for DAnMBR	110
4.14	Feed OLR and IA/PA ratio during start-up and acclimatization phase for DAnMBR	111
4.15	Feed OLR and COD concentrations of effluent and permeate during the treatment phase for DAnMBR	113
4.16	Feed OLR and BOD <sub>5</sub> concentrations of effluent and permeate during the treatment phase for DAnMBR	113
4.17	Feed OLR and AN concentration of effluent and permeate during the treatment phase for DAnMBR	114
4.18	Feed OLR and TSS concentration of effluent and permeate during the treatment phase for DAnMBR	115
4.19	Feed OLR and turbidity concentration of effluent and permeate during the treatment phase for DAnMBR	116
4.20	Feed OLR and IA/PA ratio of effluent and permeate during the treatment phase for DAnMBR	117
4.21	Feed OLR and O&G concentration of effluent and permeate during the treatment phase for DAnMBR	118
4.22	VFA accumulation in the acidogenic reactor (AR) and methanogenic reactor (MR) at different OLR during the treatment process	120
4.23	Feed OLR and methane gas production yield during the treatment phase for DAnMBR	121
4.24	TMP and permeate flux profiles for DAnMBR	123

4.25	Permeability and membrane resistance profiles for DAnMBR	123
4.26	a) Close up woven filter cloth before installation (50x magnification) b) Close up woven filter cloth pore size 20 $\mu$ m before installation (1000x magnification) c) Close up woven filter cloth thickness 1.04 mm before installation (40x magnification) d) Woven filter cloth before installation (300x magnification) e) fouled membrane after 280 days (300x magnification) f) Archaeal microorganism on the DM layer (1000x magnification)	125
4.27	TMP and permeate flux for DAnMBR	127
4.28	Particle size distribution inoculation sludge, DM1, DM2, and DM3	128
4.29	Membrane thickness and cake layer density during the DM development phase	129
4.30	FTIR spectrum of the fouled layer	130
4.31	Close up woven filter cloth for a) DM1 membrane after 14 days (50x magnification) b) DM2 membrane after 28 days (50x magnification) c) and d) DM3 membrane after 35 days (50x and 100x magnification) e) cross-cutting DM3 fouled membrane (50x magnification) f), g) and h) Archaea microorganism on DM3 layer (600x and 1000x magnification)	132
4.32	Close up woven filter cloth for a) final bulk sludge (1000x magnification) b) initial bulk sludge (600x magnification)	132
4.33	Treatment performance for COD concentrations of effluent and permeate during DM development phase for DAnMBR	138
4.34	Treatment performance for BOD <sub>5</sub> concentrations of effluent and permeate during DM development phase for DAnMBR	139
4.35	Treatment performance for AN concentration of effluent and permeate during DM development phase for DAnMBR	140
4.36	Treatment performance for TSS concentration of effluent and permeate during DM development for DAnMBR	141
4.37	Treatment performance for turbidity of effluent and permeate during DM development for DAnMBR	142
4.38	Treatment performance for O&G concentration of effluent and permeate during DM development for DAnMBR	143
4.39	Treatment performance for IA/PA ratio of effluent and permeate during DM development for DAnMBR	144

xix

4.40	Membrane filtration resistance for DAnMBR	145
4.41	Experimental data in conjunction with subtract layer formation	146
4.42	Experimental data in conjunction with separation layer formation a) in 18-40 hours with intermediate blocking model b) in $26 - 40$ hours with complete blocking model	147
4.43	Experimental data in conjunction with fouling layer formation	148
4.44	Experimental data in conjunction with filtration cake formation	149
4.45	Rarefaction curves based on OTUs a) archaeal community b) bacterial community	152
4.46	a) Alpha diversity measure for Archaea communities in the cake layer	153
4.47	b) Alpha diversity measure for Bacteria communities in the cake layer	154
4.48	Taxonomical identification result in Archaeal community in Class, Family, Genus, Order, and Phylum in DM3 cake layer (Top 10)	156
4.49	Taxonomical identification result in Bacterial community in Class, Family, Genus, Order, and Phylum in DM3 cake layer (Top 10)	158

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A 1	Standard curves of every peak detection of various VFA using HPLC	197
A 2	HPLC reading for Acidogenic Tank 1 sample	198
A 3	GC-TCD reading for standard biogas	199
A 4	GC-TCD reading for Methanogenic Tank 1 sample	200
A 5	Standard curve for carbohydrate	201
A 6	Standard curve for protein	202
A 7	Phylogenetic tree for Archaeal (top 30 genus)	203
A 8	Overall taxonomy – Class (Archaeal)	203
A 9	Overall taxonomy – Family (Archaeal)	203
A 10	Overall taxonomy – Genus (Archaeal)	204
A 11	Overall taxonomy – Order (Archaeal)	205
A 12	Overall taxonomy – Phylum (Archaeal)	205
A 13	Phylogenetic tree for Bacterial (top 30 genus)	206
A 14	Overall taxonomy – Class (Bacterial)	207
A 15	Overall taxonomy – Family (Bacterial)	207
A 16	Overall taxonomy – Genus (Bacterial)	208
A 17	Overall taxonomy – Order (Bacterial)	208
A 18	Overall taxonomy – Phylum (Bacterial)	209
A 19	a) membrane taken out after DM1 duration (0-14 days) b) membrane taken out after DM2 duration (0-28 days) c) membrane taken out after DM3 duration (0-35 days) d) comparison between new supporting material and fouled membrane e) comparison between raw FPW and	

210

permeate f) real-time lab-scale DAnMBR

(C)

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Anaerobic digestion
AnMBR	Anaerobic membrane bioreactor
BOD	Biological oxygen demand
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
DM	Dynamic membrane
DMBR	Dynamic aerobic membrane bioreactor
DO	Dissolved oxygen
EM	External membrane
EPS	Extracellular polymeric substances
MBR	Membrane bioreactor
MF	Microfiltration
MLSS	Mixed liquor suspended solids
NF	Nanofiltration
PAC	Powdered activated carbon
SBR	Sequencing batch reactor
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SFDM	Self-forming dynamic membrane
SM	Submerged membrane
SMP	Soluble microbial product
SND	Simultaneous nitrification-denitrification
SRT	Sludge retention time
ТМР	Trans-membrane pressure
TN	Total nitrogen
TOC	Total organic carbon
UASB	Up-flow anaerobic sludge beds
UF	Ultrafiltration
WAS	Waste activated sludge

### **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1** Background of the study

Malaysia is one of the rapidly developing countries in Asia, and its industrialization program has dramatically increased the amount of wastewater need to dispose of and suitable treatments are required. However, the ability to receive water to accept the increasing inorganic and organic loads remains the same, resulting in a rapid deterioration of surface water quality. The emerging problems have prompted concerned government agencies to introduce and implement more stringent legislation. Industries are searching for the least cost options to reduce their pollution load and the latest wastewater treatment technology (Chernicharo, 2007). One or more effective treatment processes would be required for effluent consistency, land availability, construction, operating costs, and operational simplicity.

Malaysia has a population of 28.3 million based on the Report of Census 2010 by the Department of Statistics (Department of Statistics, 2010). The estimated volume of wastewater generated by municipal and industrial sectors is 2.97 billion cubic meters per year. The large volume of domestic and industrial wastewater produced in Malaysia must be treated to prevent pollution to the environment and protect public health by safeguarding water supplies. Aerobic treatment systems were the primary biological treatment methods of wastewater until the 1970s. The aerobic process needs oxygen to degrade pollutants before discharging them into the water stream (Seow et al., 2016).

However, the environmental debate and rise in energy prices in the 1980s have dramatically changed this scenario. Reusing and recycling waste have created considerable interest, and methane gas as an energy-produced anaerobic process has become a highly potential alternative (Gerardi, 2003). The anaerobic system is currently used worldwide for a broad spectrum of industrial wastewater treatment since the development of high rate anaerobic processes wastewater such as food processing effluents (Tedjani et al., 2012), textile wastewater (Yurtsever et al., 2020), landfill leachate (Jasni et al., 2020), paper mill wastewater (Chelliapan et al., 2012) and high strength lipid wastewater (Ramos et al., 2014).

Conservation of energy in industrial processes has become an important issue, and anaerobic processes have quickly become an appropriate alternative. As a result, several reactor designs were developed to handle low, medium, and high-strength wastewater. In addition to being high-energy intensive, the introduction of aerobic processes as treatment options requires high capital investments alone. On the opposite, a low investment technology in the anaerobic system requires no aeration systems, reduced sludge disposal facilities, and the key benefit of methane gas recovery (Alkarimiah et al., 2011). The most cost-effective approach for organically contaminated industrial waste streams is through anaerobic wastewater treatment. The development of high-quality systems, in which hydraulic retention times are uncoupled to solid retention times, in particular, contributed to a global acceptance of anaerobic treatment. However, anaerobic biomass growth during start-up makes the process control fragile as the system recovery is prolonged when exposed to adverse environmental conditions.

Nevertheless, with the expansion of research, high-rate anaerobic treatment systems have been developed to retain high amounts of biomass, even at low hydraulic retention times. Accordingly, high solid retention time is maintained at high hydraulic loads to the anaerobic system. The result is reactors with a lower volume than the conventional anaerobic digesters with a high degree of sludge stabilization (Chernicharo, 2007; Yee et al., 2019).

Reactor configuration is vital in controlling the effluent quality. Staging the phases in AD will improve effluent quality and may be essential to produce anaerobic effluent that meets discharge quality standards. Furthermore, a staged reactor can accommodate toxic sludge more efficiently by slowly passing through the reactor system. The staged reactor will result in a much-abbreviated exposure of the biomass to the undiluted toxicants. Physical separation of the two anaerobic digestion phases, namely acidogenesis and methanogenesis, aims to satisfy the optimum environmental conditions for each type of microbial population in two separate reactors (Demirel et al., 2002). This so-called twostage process supports greater archaeal diversity's growth and performance than a singlestage process (Srisowmeya et al., 2019). A significant limitation of anaerobic digestion of solid wastes in a single-stage system is during the first phase (acidogenesis phase) where rapid production of volatile fatty acids (VFA) occurs. Such acids will reduce the pH, which stresses and inhibits methanogenic bacteria's activity. Conditions favourable to the growth of acid-forming bacteria, such as short hydraulic retention time (HRT) and low pH, are inhibitory to the methanogens (Maspolim et al., 2015). A two-stage reactor can optimize both species of bacteria's condition in the acidogenic and methanogenic groups (Ibrahim et al., 2013). During the acidogenic phase, the pH is usually maintained between 5.5 and 6.0 and HRT of less than five days. Anaerobic membrane reactors (AnMBRs) were developed based on aerobic MBRs concept within the last decade, with either external membrane or submerged in the reactor. Thus, coupling MBR with anaerobic digestion obviates the need for a sedimentation/clarifier tank. The advantages of MBR are complete biomass retention, low sludge production, increase treatment capacity, and lower operational cost (Mike & Shannon, 2014). In recent years, considerable attention has focused on developing a novel anaerobic process in which a membrane separation is incorporated in place of a settling system. To date, several investigators have studied two-phase anaerobic membrane processes for the treatment of wastewaters such as cheese whey (Saddoud et al., 2007), sugarcane vinasse (Mota et al., 2013), sewage sludge (Joo et al., 2016), synthetic molasses-based wastewater (Wijekoon et al., 2011), vinasse wastewater (Silva et al., 2020), synthetic wastewater (Chaikasem et al., 2014), municipal solid waste (Trzcinski & Stuckey, 2011), biodegradable municipal solid waste (Walker et al., 2009b), piggery wastewater (Lee et al., 2001), and starch wastewater (Yu et al., 2016). Based on their results, it can be inferred that in a two-stage anaerobic membrane bioreactor (2-AnMBR) where the methanogenic reactor

is coupled with a membrane module or the membrane is installed via side-stream configuration, the occurrence of acidogenesis in a preceding reactor could prevent acidogenic biomass growth in the methanogenic reactor, thereby enhancing sludge properties and filtration performance (Mota et al., 2013).

Generally, cake layer formation on the membrane surface regulates aerobic and anaerobic MBRs membrane resistance (termed fouling). However, high membrane capital costs such as microfiltration (MF) and ultra-filtration (UF), high energy requirement and fouling problem become significant MBR technology problems. Its replacement with a low-cost macroporous material, starting in the middle of the 1990s, seems a more promising technology. Correspondingly, applying cheaper materials such as macroporous material including mesh, non-woven fabric and filter cloth as the filter instead of the expensive MF and UF is more promising in dynamic membrane (DM) technology (Ersahin et al., 2016b). The cake layer itself function as the filtration instead of just contributing to fouling. In most of the dynamic membrane bioreactors (DMBRs) experiments, if the transmembrane pressure (TMP) or the water head reached a certain level, the DM layer could easily be scoured off with air. DM formation involves multiple physicochemical and microbiological processes, such as the formation of gel layers and cake. The structure of DM in MBRs have not yet been fully understood (Liu et al., 2009). Limited information on the cake layer's characteristics on the supporting layers, such as fabric or mesh is available (Ersahin et al., 2012).

Since 2008, the extensive use of anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactors (DAnMBRs) is still in the early stage. The key issues include the development of DAnMBRs for the treatment of various wastewaters, process efficiency and system optimization, and sludge properties (Alibardi et al., 2014, 2016; An et al., 2009; Quek et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2010, 2011). DM formation and mechanism, DM layer characterization, and the production and collection of biogas have received little attention (Hu et al., 2018; Saleem et al., 2016).

### 1.2 Problem statement

There are scarcity of anaerobic treatment of high strength real wastewater. Industrial wastewater has poor biodegradability and a high level of toxicity, possing difficulties for AnMBR, such as long start-up time and low biogas output (Dereli et al., 2012). There have been few reports based on FOG pilot-scale or bench scale co-digestion in continuous fluid digesters, which is likely to be due to the variety of operational challenges of FOG co-digestion, such as process inhibition, substrate transport restrictions, digester floatation and foaming, and massive problem with digestion pipeline system blocking and clogging (Li et al., 2013).MBRs have wide-scale industrial application but the majority of DM studies were bench-scale applications treating synthetic or municipal streams (low strength wastewater) (Alibardi et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2016; Saleem et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2016). Although high-strength wastewater (such as industrial wastewater or landfill leachate) can be effectively treated with high biogas production using DAnMBR, potential obstacles have been mostly induced by toxics and other non-biodegradable compounds (Berkessa et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2014).

Due to the option of performing the phases in distinct settings, two-stage AD appears to be particularly well suited for substrates with a high insoluble COD content, such as fruit and vegetable wastes (Colussi et al., 2014). This method of operation improves the stability, efficiency, and conversion rate. Hydrolysis can be accelerated by inoculating the reactor with microorganisms that produce substrate-specific hydrolytic enzymes (Joo et al., 2016; Maspolim et al., 2015). Despite these advantages, two-stage AD processes are not widely used in commercial applications, most likely because more comprehensive studies are required to justify larger plant investment. Thus, the present research aims at assessing the performance of DAnMBR with phase separation treating FPW at mesophilic temperature (35°C).

The majority of prior research has focussed on the effect of sludge characteristics and operating parameters on membrane fouling. There is scarcity of information on the analysis of cake layer formation. A detailed examination of the cake layer formed on the membrane surface will aid in determining the optimal operating parameters for the DAnMBR. Hence, controlling membrane fouling can be facilitated by knowledge of the cake layer characteristics. One of the most critical challenges in DAnMBR is to keeping DM's thickness within an acceptable range (efficient DM layer) to ensure that the treatment is effective (Ersahin, 2015). The analysis is a prerequisite for achieving stable high permeate quality and preventing an unforeseen increase in TMP. This study aimed to characterize the DM layer and elucidate its role in the biological removal performance of particulate and soluble organic matter. This approach would help to understand the cake layer formation that enables a stable operation in DAnMBR. In addition, most of the studies about cake layer formation (a mechanism) were conducted in conventional AnMBRs rather than DAnMBRs. The formation phases of filtration cake on the micromembrane surface had been suggested by (Jiang et al., 2003) based on the increasing characteristics of membrane resistance in MBR. However, due to the variable nature of dynamic membranes, the formation mechanism and structure of dynamic membranes in DMBR are still unknown (Liu et al., 2009).

This research's findings are expected to fill the knowledge gap and provide new insights into staging the anaerobic digestion process into two separate phases for more efficient AD treatment. DM utilization as a cheap method for post-treatment of the AD treatment of high FOG wastewater may overcome the commercialization problem of utilizing membrane in AnMBR processes. It is possible to treat wastewater post anaerobic digestion by replacing the costly microfiltration (MF) or ultrafiltration (UF) membranes, using cheap materials via the developed cake layer on the polypropylene woven filter cloth.

### **1.3** Research objectives

This study aims to assess the potential of two-stage submerged anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (DAnMBR) in treating food processing wastewater (FPW). Specific objectives to achieve the main aim are listed as follows:

- (i) To investigate anaerobic biodegradability of food processing wastewater in a two-stage anaerobic bioreactor based on BMP analysis
- (ii) To evaluate the efficiency of the submerged dynamic membrane anaerobic bioreactor (DAnMBR) treating food processing wastewater at different HRT and OLR.
- (iii) To assess the characteristics of the dynamic membrane formed on the support material and elucidate its development mechanism.

#### 1.4 Scope of study and limitation

This study mainly focuses on the development of a two-stage DAnMBR system and its performance. During the start-up period, synthetic wastewater containing yeast and meat extract was used, and real food processing wastewater was used to investigate the reactor system's full performance. The fed process was done in stages. A polypropylene woven filter cloth with a pore size of 20 µm was used as the supporting materials for DM formation. This pore size was selected based on preliminary study done on different pore sizes of 20, 40 and 60 µm to treat food processing wastewater using DMBR. Based on the results (duration of 4 days), the 20 µm pore size monofilament filter cloth was found most suitable for the cake layer development. The results also demonstrate that the biofilm composed of the cake layer of the DM on smaller pore size significantly concurs with the high treatment efficiency compared with the larger pore size (Mahat et al., 2020). The maximum COD removal achieved was more than 80% for the smaller pore size filter cloth (20  $\mu$ m), but only 70% for larger pore size (60  $\mu$ m) filter cloth. The smallest pore size (20 µm) of the supporting material was selected for the cake layer development throughout the study because it showed the best cake layer development and performance (turbidity and ammonia-nitrogen removals of 99.0 and 98.0%, respectively). During the treatment phase, organic loading rate intervals were increased in a 1.5 step increment (3.5 - 7.5 g COD/L.d). The applied increment is not too high or too low in order to get the optimum results for DAnMBR performance. For the 1.5 step increments, it is indicated the addition of 1.5 in each new OLR from 3.5 gCOD/L.d to 5.0 gCOD/L.d and lastly for 7.5 gCOD/L.d. During the last application of the highest OLR (6.0-7.5), we want to test whether the system can survive on the maximum feed COD of 9,000 mg/L (possibility of inhibition) in different HRT. The OLR resulted in the best performance was selected to assess the mechanism of the cake layer development. In this study, the highest OLR applied was 9.0 g COD/L.d but the severe pH fluctuation resulted in reduced performance due to the inhabitation of biomass and accumulation of higher volatile fatty acids (organic shock loading of higher concentration substrate).

Throughout the treatment, although oil and grease (O&G) removal were possible with the DM, an operational problem was observed in the acidogenic reactor (AR) tank. Accumulation of solids (scum) caused pipe clogging required manual cleaning periodically. Although the methanogenic reactor (MR) tank was not obstructed due to the less frequent floating solid material presence, it was also subjected to the same cleaning process, albeit less frequent compared to the AR tank. Hence, it is possible the treatment performance for all parameters (COD, BOD, methane yield, ammoniacal nitrogen etc.) do not entirely reflect the actual result.

### 1.5 Significant of research

The cheap materials for the dynamic supporting membrane potentially provide improved flux rates cost-effectively at low transmembrane (TMP) pressure (Ersahin et al., 2012). For a two-phase system food processing effluent, Tedjani et al. (2012) observed a COD removal efficiency of 65% when no membranes were used, compared to 80-90% when a membrane was used in the DAnMBR (2nd tank). A number of studies have shown that co-digestion with municipal or food industry waste fats, oil, and grains used as a co-substrate will boost production of methane as FOG requires lower mass loading per unit of methane production and has shown more significant biogas production potential than other organic waste (Li et al., 2013).

### 1.6 Thesis layout

This thesis consists of five chapters. The introduction in Chapter 1 first gives the background of the study and the problem statement and ends by stating the research's objectives and scope. Chapter 2 covers the literature review with the discussion focusing on supporting material, dynamic membrane application in wastewater treatment to remove organic matters and solids. Chapter 3 covers the preparation of substrates, membranes, types of analytical equipment used, and analytical methods by the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Chapter 4 presents the results and discussion on the start-up development and performance of DAnMBR through different HRT and OLR. Additionally, the mechanism of DAnMBR is discussed within this chapter with the in-depth characterization of the DM layer. Finally, Chapter 5 wraps up the thesis with a conclusion and recommendations for future work.

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Malack, M. H., Gomez, L. A., & Anderson, G. K. (1996). Treatment of anaerobic expanded-bed reactor effluent using cross-flow microfiltration. Journal of Environmental Science and Health - Part A Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering, 31(10), 2635–2649. https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529609376515
- Alade, A. O., Jameel, A. T., Muyubi, S. A., Abdul Karim, M. I., & Alam, A. M. Z. (2011). Removal of Oil and Grease as Emerging Pollutants of Concern (EPC) in Wastewater Stream. *IIUM Engineering Journal*, 12(4). https://doi.org/10.31436/iiumej.v12i4.218
- Alibardi, L., Bernava, N., Cossu, R., & Spagni, A. (2016). Anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment at ambient temperature. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 284, 130–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.08.111
- Alibardi, L., Cossu, R., Saleem, M., & Spagni, A. (2014). Development and permeability of a dynamic membrane for anaerobic wastewater treatment. *Bioresource Technology*, *161*, 236–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.045
- Alkarimiah, R., Mahat, S. B., Yuzir, A., Din, M. F. M., & Chelliapan, S. (2011). Performance of an innovative multi-stage anaerobic reactor during start-up period. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(54), 11294–11302. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB11.1270
- Altamira, M., Ejbye, J., Zwicky, M., Version, D., & Altamira, M. (2008). Methane potential and sources of toxicity Influence of wastewater characteristics on handling food-processing industry wastewaters : Methane potential and sources of toxicity Larisa Maya Altamira Department of Environmental Engineering (Issue January 2008).
- Alves, M. M., Vieira, J. A., Pereira, R. M. A., Pereira, M. A., & Mota, M. (2001). Effects of lipids and oleic acid on biomass development in anaerobic fixed-bed reactors. Part II: Oleic acid toxicity and biodegradability. *Water Research*, 35(1), 264–270.
- Amabye, T. G. (2015). Effect of Food Processing Industries Effluents on the Environment: A Case Study of MOHA Mekelle Bottling Company, Tigray, Ethiopia. *Industrial Chemistry*, 01(02), 2–5. https://doi.org/10.4172/2469-9764.1000110
- An, Y., Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Yang, D., & Zhou, Q. (2009). Characterization of membrane foulants in an anaerobic non-woven fabric membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 155(3), 709–715. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.09.003
- Angelidaki, I., Alves, M., Bolzonella, D., Borzacconi, L., Campos, J. L., Guwy, A. J., Kalyuzhnyi, S., Jenicek, P., & Van Lier, J. B. (2009). Defining the biomethane potential (BMP) of solid organic wastes and energy crops: A proposed protocol

for batch assays. *Water Science and Technology*, 59(5), 927–934. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2009.040

- Angelidaki, I., Schmidt, J. E., Ellegaard, L., & Ahring, B. K. (1998). Methods An automatic system for simultaneous monitoring of gas evolution in multiple closed vessels. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 33, 93–100.
- APHA. (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water, 21st edition. American Public Health Association (APHA).
- Arsova, L. (2010). Anaerobic digestion of food waste : Current status, problems and an alternative product. *M.S. Degree Thesis n Earth Resources Engineering, Columbia University, May,* 1–77. http://www.seas.columbia.edu/earth/wtert/sofos/arsova\_thesis.pdf
- Aslam, M., Charfi, A., Lesage, G., Heran, M., & Kim, J. (2017). Membrane bioreactors for wastewater treatment: A review of mechanical cleaning by scouring agents to control membrane fouling. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 307, 897–913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.08.144
- Bacchin, P., Aimar, P., Field, R., Bacchin, P., Aimar, P., & Critical, R. F. (2006). Critical and sustainable fluxes : theory, experiments and applications To cite this version : HAL Id : hal-00201119 Review Critical and sustainable fluxes : theory, experiments and applications. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 281 (1-2), 42–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2006.04.014. <hal-00201119>
- Bae, T. H., & Tak, T. M. (2005). Interpretation of fouling characteristics of ultrafiltration membranes during the filtration of membrane bioreactor mixed liquor. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 264(1–2), 151–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2005.04.037
- Basri, M. F. (2007). Scaled-down Biogas Production from Anaerobic Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Bayu, A., Nandiyanto, D., Oktiani, R., & Ragadhita, R. (2019). How to Read and Interpret FTIR Spectroscope of Organic Material. *Indonesian Journal of Science* & Technology, 4(1), 97–118.
- Beccari, M., Majone, M., & Torrisi, L. (1998). Two-reactor system with partial phase separation for anaerobic treatment of olive oil mill effluents. *Water Science and Technology*, 38(4-5–5 pt 4), 53–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223(98)00497-1
- Behling, E., Diaz, A., Colina, G., Herrera, M., Gutierrez, E., Chacin, E., Fernandez, N., & Forster, C. . (1997). Domestic wastewater treatment using a UASB reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, *61*, 239–245.
- Berkessa, Y. W., Yan, B., Li, T., Jegatheesan, V., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Treatment of anthraquinone dye textile wastewater using anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor: Performance and microbial dynamics. *Chemosphere*, 238, 124539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124539

- Bishnoi, P. (2012). Effects of Thermal Hydrolysis Pre-treatment on Anaerobic Digestion of Sludge. *MSc Thesis*.
- Blanpain-Avet, P., Faille, C., Delaplace, G., & Bénézech, T. (2011). Cell adhesion and related fouling mechanism on a tubular ceramic microfiltration membrane using Bacillus cereus spores. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 385–386(1), 200–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2011.09.041
- Bokhary, A., Tikka, A., Leitch, M., & Liao, B. (2018). Membrane fouling prevention and control strategies in pulp and paper industry applications: A review. *Journal* of Membrane Science and Research, 4(4), 181–197. https://doi.org/10.22079/JMSR.2018.83337.1185
- Bolzonella, D., Cecchi, F., & Pavan, P. (2007). Treatment of food processing wastewater. In Handbook of Waste Management and Co-Product Recovery in Food Processing (Vol. 1). Woodhead Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1533/9781845692520.5.573
- Borea, L., Luna, M. D. G. De, Belgiorno, V., & Naddeo, V. (2020). Self-Forming Dynamic Membrane: A Review. In *Springer Nature* (pp. 129–132).
- Bornare, J. B., Adhyapak, U. S., Minde, G. P., Raman, V. K., Sapkal, V. S., & Sapkal, R. S. (2015). Submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment and energy generation. *Water Science & Technology*, 1654–1660. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.135
- Bouallagui, H., Lahdheb, H., Ben Romdan, E., Rachdi, B., & Hamdi, M. (2009). Improvement of fruit and vegetable waste anaerobic digestion performance and stability with co-substrates addition. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(5), 1844–1849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.12.002
- Bouallagui, H., Torrijos, M., Godon, J. J., Moletta, R., Cheikh, R. Ben, Touhami, Y., Delgenes, J. P., & Hamdi, M. (2004). Microbial monitoring by molecular tools of a two-phase anaerobic bioreactor treating fruit and vegetable wastes. *Biotechnology Letters*, 26(10), 857–862. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BILE.0000025892.19733.18
- Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation ofmicrogram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, 248–254. https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1976.9999.
- Bura, R., Cheung, M., Liao, B., Finlayson, J., Lee, B. C., Droppo, I. G., Leppard, G. G., & Liss, S. N. (1998). Composition of extracellular polymeric substances in the activated sludge floc matrix. *Water Science and Technology*, 37(4–5), 325–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223(98)00125-5
- Buswell, A. M., & Neave, S. L. (1930). *Laboratory studies of sludge digestion* (Bulletin N).
- Capodici, M., Cosenza, A., Di Trapani, D., Mannina, G., Torregrossa, M., & Viviani, G. (2017). Treatment of oily wastewater with membrane bioreactor systems. *Water*

(Switzerland), 9(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/w9060412

- Cayetano, R. D. A., Park, J. H., Kang, S., & Kim, S. H. (2019). Food waste treatment in an anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (AnDMBR): Performance monitoring and microbial community analysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 280(February), 158–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.02.025
- Chaikasem, S., Abeynayaka, A., & Visvanathan, C. (2014). Effect of polyvinyl alcohol hydrogel as a biocarrier on volatile fatty acids production of a two-stage thermophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 168, 100–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.04.023
- Chang, I.-S., Gander, M., Jefferson, B., & Judd, S. J. (2001). Low-Cost Membranes for Use in a Submerged MBR. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 79(3), 183–188. https://doi.org/10.1205/09575820150511876
- Charfi, A., Ben Amar, N., & Harmand, J. (2012). Analysis of fouling mechanisms in anaerobic membrane bioreactors. *Water Research*, 46(8), 2637–2650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.02.021
- Cheerawit, R., Thunwadee, T. S., Duangporn, K., Tanawat, R., & Wichuda, K. (2012). Biogas Production from Co-digestion of Domestic Wastewater and Food Waste. *Health and the Environment Journal*, 3(2), 1–9.
- Chelliapan, S., Mahat, S. B. S. B., Md Din, M. F., Yuzir, A., & Othman, N. (2012). Anaerobic Digestion of Paper Mill Wastewater. *Iranica Journal of Energy & Environment*, 3, 85–90. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ijee.2012.03.05.14
- Chen, C., Guo, W., Ngo, H. H., Lee, D.-J., Tung, K.-L., Jin, P., Wang, J., & Wu, Y. (2016). Challenges in biogas production from anaerobic membrane bioreactors. *Renewable Energy*, *98*, 120–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.03.095
- Chen, S., Zamudio Cañas, E. M., Zhang, Y., Zhu, Z., & He, Q. (2012). Impact of substrate overloading on archaeal populations in anaerobic digestion of animal waste. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 113(6), 1371–1379. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12001
- Chen, Y., & Cheng, J. J. (2007). Effect of Potassium Inhibition on the Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of Swine Waste. *Water Environment Research*, 79(6), 667– 674. https://doi.org/10.2175/106143007x156853
- Chen, Y., Cheng, J. J., & Creamer, K. S. (2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, *99*(10), 4044–4064. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.01.057
- Cheng, J. J. (2017). Chapter 6: Anaerobic Digestion for Biogas Production. In *Biomass* to *Renewable Energy Processes* (Second, pp. 151–208). Taylor & Francis Group, CRC Press.
- Chernicharo, C. A. L. (2007). Biological Wastewater Treatment Series Vol.4: Anaerobic Reactors. In *IWA Publishing* (Vol. 04).

- Chiemchaisri, C., Wong, Y. K., Urase, T., & Yamamoto, K. (1993). Organic stabilisation and nitrogen removal in a membrane separation bioreactor for domestic wastewater treatment. *Filtration and Separation*, May, 247–252.
- Chong, S., Sen, T. K., Kayaalp, A., & Ang, H. M. (2012). The performance enhancements of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactors for domestic sludge treatment - A State-of-the-art review. *Water Research*, 46(11), 3434–3470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.03.066
- Choo, K. H., & Lee, C. H. (1996). Membrane fouling mechanisms in the membranecoupled anaerobic bioreactor. Water Research, 30(8), 1771–1780. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(96)00053-X
- Choo, K. H., & Lee, C. H. (1998). Hydrodynamic behavior of anaerobic biosolids during crossflow filtration in the membrane anaerobic bioreactor. *Water Research*, *32*(11), 3387–3397. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(98)00103-1
- Chu, H., Zhang, Y., Zhou, X., Zhao, Y., Dong, B., & Zhang, H. (2014). Dynamic membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment: Operation, critical flux, and dynamic membrane structure. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 450, 265–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2013.08.045
- Cinar, O., Kizilet, A., Isik, O., Cemanovic, A., Veral, mehmet akif, & Duman, S. (2016). A Review on Dynamic Membrane Bioreactors: Comparison of Membrane Bioreactors and Different Support Materials, Transmembrane Pressure. *ICENS International Conference on Engineering and Natural Sciences, May.*
- Colussi, I., Cortesi, A., Gallo, V., Fernandez, A. S. R., & Vitanza, R. (2014). Methane Production from Solid Potatoes by a Procedure Simulating a Bench-Scale Sequencing Batch Reactor Anaerobic Process. *Chemical Biochemical Engineering*, 28(1), 135–141.
- Comino, E., Rosso, M., & Riggio, V. (2010). Investigation of increasing organic loading rate in the co-digestion of energy crops and cow manure mix. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(9), 3013–3019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.12.025
- Damasceno, F. R. C., Cavalcanti-Oliveira, E. D., Kookos, I. K., Koutinas, A. A., Cammarota, M. C., & Freire, D. M. G. (2018). Treatment of wastewater with high fat content employing an enzyme pool and biosurfactant: Technical and economic feasibility. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 35(2), 531–542. https://doi.org/10.1590/0104-6632.20180352s20160711
- Damodara Kannan, A., Evans, P., & Parameswaran, P. (2020). Long-term microbial community dynamics in a pilot-scale gas sparged anaerobic membrane bioreactor treating municipal wastewater under seasonal variations. *Bioresource Technology*, 310(February), 123425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123425
- Darcy, H. (1856). Exposition et Application des Principes a Suivre et des Formulesa Employer dans les Questions de Distribution d'Eau. *Les Fontaines Publiques de La Ville de Dijon*, 647.

- Delbès, C., Moletta, R., & Godon, J. J. (2000). Monitoring of activity dynamics of an anaerobic digester bacterial community using 16S rRNA polymerase chain reaction- ingle-strand conformation polymorphism analysis. *Environmental Microbiology*, 2(5), 506–515. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00132.x
- Demirel, B., Yenigun, O., & Yenigün, O. (2002). Two-phase anaerobic digestion processes: A review. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 77(7), 743–755. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.630
- Demirer, G. N., & Chen, S. (2005). Two-phase anaerobic digestion of unscreened dairy manure. *Process Biochemistry*, 40(11), 3542–3549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2005.03.062
- Department of Statistics, M. (2010). Report on Characteristics of Household 2010. *Population and Housing Cencus of Malaysia*, 1–12. https://www.statistics.gov.my/images/stories/files/LatestReleases/population/We b\_Release\_Ciri\_IR2010.pdf
- Dereli, R. K., Ersahin, M. E., Ozgun, H., Ozturk, I., Jeison, D., van der Zee, F., & van Lier, J. B. (2012). Potentials of anaerobic membrane bioreactors to overcome treatment limitations induced by industrial wastewaters. *Bioresource Technology*, 122, 160–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.139
- Dereli, R. K., Urban, D. R., Heffernan, B., Jordan, J. A., Ewing, J., Rosenberger, G. T., & Dunaev, T. I. (2012). Performance evaluation of a pilot-scale anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) treating ethanol thin stillage. *Environmental Technology*, *August* 2014, 37–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2012.665491
- Ding, A., Fan, Q., Cheng, R., Sun, G., Zhang, M., & Wu, D. (2018). Impacts of applied voltage on microbial electrolysis cell-anaerobic membrane bioreactor (MEC-AnMBR) and its membrane fouling mitigation mechanism. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 333(October 2017), 630–635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.09.190
- Dong, B., & Jiang, S. (2009). Characteristics and behaviors of soluble microbial products in sequencing batch membrane bioreactors at various sludge retention times. *Desalination*, 243(1–3), 240–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.04.026
- Drews, A. (2010). Membrane fouling in membrane bioreactors-Characterisation, contradictions, cause and cures. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *363*(1–2), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.06.046
- Drosg, B. (2013). Process monitoring in biogas plants. In *IEA Bioenergy*. http://www.iea-biogas.net/files/daten-redaktion/download/Technical Brochures/Technical Brochure process\_montoring.pdf
- Drosg, B., Fuchs, W., Al Seadi, T., Madsen, M., & Linke, B. (2015). *Nutrient Recovery* by *Biogas Digestate Processing*. IEA Bioenergy.

- Dubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A., & Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric Method for Determination of Sugars and Related Substances. *Analytical Chemistry*, 28(3), 350–356. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60111a017
- Dvořák, L., Gó Mez, M., Dolina, J., Ernín, A. Č., Gómez, M., Dolina, J., & Černín, A. (2016). Anaerobic membrane bioreactors—a mini review with emphasis on industrial wastewater treatment: applications, limitations and perspectives. *Desalination*, 57(41), 19062–19076. https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015.1100879
- EQ. (2009). Environmental Quality (Industrial Effluent) Regulations 2009. In Department of Environment Malaysia.
- Erkan, H. S., Onkal Engin, G., Ince, M., & Bayramoglu, M. R. (2016). Effect of carbon to nitrogen ratio of feed wastewater and sludge retention time on activated sludge in a submerged membrane bioreactor. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(11), 10742–10752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6215-2
- Ersahin, M. E. (2015). Application of Dynamic Membranes in Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactors Systems (Issue October) [DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND ISTANBUL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY]. http://polen.itu.edu.tr/bitstream/11527/12359/1/10092821.pdf
- Ersahin, M. E., Gimenez, J. B., Ozgun, H., Tao, Y., Spanjers, H., & van Lier, J. B. (2016). Gas-lift anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactors for high strength synthetic wastewater treatment: Effect of biogas sparging velocity and HRT on treatment performance. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 305, 46–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.02.003
- Ersahin, M. E., Ozgun, H., Dereli, R. K., Ozturk, I., Roest, K., & van Lier, J. B. (2012). A review on dynamic membrane filtration: Materials, applications and future perspectives. *Bioresource Technology*, *122*, 196–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.03.086
- Ersahin, M. E., Ozgun, H., Tao, Y., & van Lier, J. B. (2014). Applicability of dynamic membrane technology in anaerobic membrane bioreactors. *Water Research*, 48(1), 420–429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.09.054
- Ersahin, M. E., Ozgun, H., & van Lier, J. B. (2013). Effect of Support Material Properties on Dynamic Membrane Filtration Performance. *Separation Science and Technology*, 48(15), 2263–2269. https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2013.804840
- Ersahin, M. E., Tao, Y., Ozgun, H., Gimenez, J. B., Spanjers, H., & van Lier, J. B. (2016). Impact of Anaerobic Dynamic Membrane Bioreactor Configuration on Treatment and Filterability Performance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 526(December), 387–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.12.057
- Ersahin, M. E., Tao, Y., Ozgun, H., Spanjers, H., & van Lier, J. B. (2016). Characteristics and role of dynamic membrane layer in anaerobic membrane bioreactors. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *113*(4), 761–771. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25841

- Ezugbe, E. O., & Rathilal, S. (2020). Membrane technologies in wastewater treatment: A review. *Membranes*, 10(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes10050089
- Fan, B., & Huang, X. (2002). Characteristics of a self-forming dynamic membrane coupled with a bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 36(23), 5245–5251. https://doi.org/10.1021/es025789n
- Fane, A. G. (1996). Membranes for water production and wastewater reuse. *Desalination*, 106(1–3), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(96)00085-9
- Fang, C., Boe, K., & Angelidaki, I. (2011). Anaerobic co-digestion of desugared molasses with cow manure; focusing on sodium and potassium inhibition. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2), 1005–1011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.09.077
- Fard, G. H., & Mehrnia, M. R. (2017). Investigation of mercury removal by Micro-Algae dynamic membrane bioreactor from simulated dental waste water. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 5(1), 366–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.11.031
- Feng, X., Karlsson, A., Svensson, B. H., & Bertilsson, S. (2010). Impact of trace element addition on biogas production from food industrial waste - Linking process to microbial communities. October 2018. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00932.x
- Fernández, N., Díaz, E. E., Amils, R., & Sanz, J. L. (2008). Analysis of microbial community during biofilm development in an anaerobic wastewater treatment reactor. *Microbial Ecology*, 56(1), 121–132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-007-9330-2
- Filer, J., Ding, H. H., & Chang, S. (2019). Biochemical Methane Potential (BMP) Assay Method for Anaerobic Digestion Research. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(921).
- Franke-whittle, I. H., Walter, A., Ebner, C., & Insam, H. (2014). Investigation into the effect of high concentrations of volatile fatty acids in anaerobic digestion on methanogenic communities. *Waste Management*, 34(11), 2080–2089. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.07.020
- Fricke, K., Santen, H., Wallmann, R., Hu, A., Dichtl, N., & Dinitrous, N. O. (2007). Operating problems in anaerobic digestion plants resulting from nitrogen in MSW. *Waste Management*, 27, 30–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.03.003
- Galbraith, H., & Miller, T. B. (1973). Physicochemical Effects of Long Chain Fatty Acids on Bacterial Cells and their Protoplasts. *Journal Applied Microbiology*, *36*, 647–658. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1973.tb04150.x
- Galib, M., Elbeshbishy, E., Reid, R., Hussain, A., & Lee, H. S. (2016). Energy-positive food wastewater treatment using an anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 182, 477–485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.07.098

- Gao, D. W., Zhang, T., Tang, C. Y. Y., Wu, W. M., Wong, C. Y., Lee, Y. H., Yeh, D. H., & Criddle, C. S. (2010). Membrane fouling in an anaerobic membrane bioreactor: Differences in relative abundance of bacterial species in the membrane foulant layer and in suspension. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 364(1–2), 331–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.08.031
- Gao, M., Guo, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, Y., & Liu, Y. (2019). Microbial community dynamics in anaerobic digesters treating conventional and vacuum toilet flushed blackwater. *Water Research*, *160*, 249–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.05.077
- Gao, W. J. J., Lin, H. J., Leung, K. T., & Liao, B. Q. (2010). Influence of elevated pH shocks on the performance of a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Process Biochemistry*, 45(8), 1279–1287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2010.04.018
- Gao, W. J., Lin, H. J., Leung, K. T., Schraft, H., & Liao, B. Q. (2011). Structure of cake layer in a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 374(1–2), 110–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2011.03.019
- Gao, W. J., Qu, X., Leung, K. T., & Liao, B. Q. (2012). Influence of temperature and temperature shock on sludge properties, cake layer structure, and membrane fouling in a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 421–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2012.07.003
- Garg, S., & Chaudhry, S. (2017). Treatment of Wastewater of Food Industry by Membrane Bioreactor. *Iarjset*, 4(6), 153–156. https://doi.org/10.17148/iarjset.2017.4628
- Gerardi, M. H. (2003). The Microbiology of Anaerobic Digesters. In *John Wiley & Sons*, *Inc.* (Vol. 91). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471468967
- Gerardi, M. H. (2006). Wastewater Bacteria. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Ghosh, S., Ombregt, J. P., & Pipyn, P. (1985). METHANE PRODUCTION FROM INDUSTRIAL WASTES BY TWO-PHASE ANAEROBIC DIGESTION. *Water Research*, 19(9), 1083–1088.
- Gkotsis, P., Banti, D., Peleka, E., Zouboulis, A., & Samaras, P. (2014). Fouling Issues in Membrane Bioreactors (MBRs) for Wastewater Treatment: Major Mechanisms, Prevention and Control Strategies. *Processes*, 2(4), 795–866. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr2040795
- Gkotsis, P. K., & Zouboulis, A. I. (2019). Biomass Characteristics and Their Effect on Membrane Bioreactor Fouling. *Molecules*, 24(16), 2867. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24162867
- Goux, X., Calusinska, M., Fossépré, M., Benizri, E., & Delfosse, P. (2016). Start-up phase of an anaerobic full-scale farm reactor - Appearance of mesophilic anaerobic conditions and establishment of the methanogenic microbial community. *Bioresource Technology*, 212, 217–226.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.04.040

- Grace, H. P. (1956). Structure and performance of filter media. II. Performance of filter media in liquid service. *AIChE Journal*, *2*, 316–336.
- Guermoud, N., Ouadjnia, F., Abdelmalek, F., Taleb, F., & addou, A. (2009). Municipal solid waste in Mostaganem city (Western Algeria). *Waste Management*, 29(2), 896–902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.03.027
- Gujer, W., & Zehnder, A. J. B. (1983). Conversion Processes in Anaerobic Digestion. Water Science & Technology, 15, 127–167.
- Gutman, J., Walker, S. L., Freger, V., & Herzberg, M. (2013). Bacterial attachment and viscoelasticity: Physicochemical and motility effects analyzed using quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47(1), 398–404. https://doi.org/10.1021/es303394w
- H Al-Mashhadani, D. K., Zimmerman, W., H Al-mashhadani, M. K., & Wilkinson William B Zimmerman Professor Professor, S. J. (2015). Laboratory Preparation of Simulated Sludge for Anaerobic Digestion Experimentation. *Number 6 Journal* of Engineering, 21(6). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283080521
- HACH. (2015). Nitrogen, Total Persulfate Digestion Method ~ Method 10072. 1-8.
- HACH. (2017). Phosphorus, Reactive (Orthophosphate) Method 8048. Water Analysis Handbook, 3(10), 1–8.
- Hansen, T. L., Schmidt, J. E., Angelidaki, I., Marca, E., Jansen, J. L. C., Mosbæk, H., & Christensen, T. H. (2004). Method for determination of methane potentials of solid organic waste. *Waste Management*, 24(4), 393–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2003.09.009
- Harb, M., & Hong, P. (2017). Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor Effluent Reuse: A Review of Microbial Safety Concerns. *Fermentation*, 3(3), 39. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation3030039
- Hermans, P. H., & Bredée, H. (1936). Principles of the mathematic treatment of constant-pressure filtration. *Journal of The Society of Chemical Industry*, 55T, 1– 4.
- Hermia, J. (1982). Constant Pressure Blocking Filtration Laws Applicatio[1] J. Hermia, Constant Pressure Blocking Filtration Laws - Application To Power-law Nonnewtonian Fluids, Inst. Chem. Eng. 60 (1982) 183–187.n To Power-law Nonnewtonian Fluids. *Institution of Chemical Engineers*, 60(3), 183–187.
- Ho, J., & Sung, S. (2010). Methanogenic activities in anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBR) treating synthetic municipal wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(7), 2191–2196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.11.042
- Holliger, C., Alves, M., Andrade, D., Angelidaki, I., Astals, S., Baier, U., Bougrier, C., Buffière, P., Carballa, M., De Wilde, V., Ebertseder, F., Fernández, B., Ficara, E.,

Fotidis, I., Frigon, J.-C. C., de Laclos, H. F., Ghasimi, D. S. M. M., Hack, G., Hartel, M., ... Wierinck, I. (2016). Towards a standardization of biomethane potential tests. *Water Science and Technology*, 74(11), 2515–2522. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2016.336

- Hong, H., Peng, W., Zhang, M., Chen, J., He, Y., Wang, F., Weng, X., Yu, H., & Lin, H. (2013). Thermodynamic analysis of membrane fouling in a submerged membrane bioreactor and its implications. *Bioresource Technology*, 146, 7–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.040
- Hou, C., Shen, J., Zhang, D., Han, Y., Ma, D., Sun, X., Li, J., Han, W., Wang, L., & Liu, X. (2017). Bioaugmentation of a continuous-flow self-forming dynamic membrane bioreactor for the treatment of wastewater containing high-strength pyridine. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(4), 3437–3447. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8121-z
- Hu, A. Y., & Stuckey, D. C. (2006). Treatment of dilute wastewaters using a novel submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 132(2), 190–198. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2006)132:2(190)
- Hu, M., Wang, X., Wen, X., & Xia, Y. (2012). Microbial community structures in different wastewater treatment plants as revealed by 454-pyrosequencing analysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 117, 72–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.061
- Hu, Y., Wang, X. C., Hao Ngo, H., Sun, Q., Yang, Y., Ngo, H. H., Sun, Q., Yang, Y., Hao Ngo, H., Sun, Q., Yang, Y., Ngo, H. H., Sun, Q., & Yang, Y. (2018). Anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (AnDMBR) for wastewater treatment: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 247(September 2018), 1107–1118. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.101
- Hu, Y., Wang, X. C., Sun, Q., Ngo, H. H., Yu, Z., Tang, J., & Zhang, Q. (2017). Characterization of a hybrid powdered activated carbon-dynamic membrane bioreactor (PAC-DMBR) process with high flux by gravity flow: Operational performance and sludge properties. *Bioresource Technology*, 223, 65–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.036
- Hu, Y., Wang, X. C., Tian, W., Ngo, H. H., & Chen, R. (2016). Towards stable operation of a dynamic membrane bioreactor (DMBR): Operational process, behavior and retention effect of dynamic membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 498, 20– 29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2015.10.009
- Hu, Y., Yang, Y., Wang, X. C., Hao Ngo, H., Sun, Q., Li, S., Tang, J., & Yu, Z. (2017). Effects of powdered activated carbon addition on filtration performance and dynamic membrane layer properties in a hybrid DMBR process. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 327, 39–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.06.072
- Huang, Z., Ong, S. L., & Ng, H. Y. (2011). Submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor for low-strength wastewater treatment: Effect of HRT and SRT on treatment performance and membrane fouling. *Water Research*, 45(2), 705–713.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.08.035

- Hurlbert, S. H. (2012). The Nonconcept of Species Diversity : A Critique and Alternative Parameters Author (s): Stuart H. Hurlbert Reviewed work (s): Published by : Ecological Society of America Stable URL : http://www.jstor.org/stable/1934145 . THE NONCONCEPT OF SPECIES DI. Ecology, 52(4), 577–586.
- Ibrahim, M. S. ., Aziz, A., Latiff, A., Daud, Z., Shahidah, N., & Aziz, A. (2013). Performance of Two-Phase Anaerobic Reactor Systems Treating Food Industry Wastewater. *International Journal of Environment and Bioenergy*, 7(2), 75–90.
- Ibrahim, M. S. S., Latiff, A., & Daud, Z. (2013). Preliminary Study: Treatment Of Food Industry Wastewater Using Two-Phase Anaerobic Treatment System. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 5(1), 1–7.
- Illumina. (2013). 16S Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation. *Illumina.Com*, 1–28. http://support.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-support/documents/documentation/chemistry\_documentation/16s/16s-metagenomic-library-prep-guide-15044223-b.pdf
- Ionics Freshwater Ltd. (2010). *Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) technology combines conventional wastewater treatment*. http://www.ionicsfreshwater.com/index.php/wastewater-treatment
- Iritani, E., & Katagiri, N. (2016). Developments of Blocking Filtration Model in Membrane Filtration †. 33(33), 179–202. https://doi.org/10.14356/kona.2016024
- Isik, O., Hudayarizka, R., Abdelrahman, A. M., Ozgun, H., Ersahin, M. E., Demir, I., & Koyuncu, I. (2020). Impact of support material type on performance of dynamic membrane bioreactors treating municipal wastewater. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 95(9), 2437–2446. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6426
- Jamali, N. S., Jahim, J. M., Isahak, W. N. R. W., & Abdul, P. M. (2017). Particle size variations of activated carbon on biofilm formation in thermophilic biohydrogen production from palm oil mill effluent. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 141, 354–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2016.09.067
- Janga, N., Ren, X., Kim, G., Ahn, C., Cho, J., & Kim, I. S. (2007). Characteristics of soluble microbial products and extracellular polymeric substances in the membrane bioreactor for water reuse. *Desalination*, 202(1–3), 90–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2005.12.043
- Jasni, Aida Batrisya, Kamyab, H., Chelliapan, S., Arumugam, N., Krishnan, S., & Md Din, M. F. (2020). Treatment of Wastewater Using Response Surface Methodology: A Brief Treatment of Wastewater Using Response Surface Methodology: A Brief Review. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 78(February), 535–540. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET2078090

- Jasni, Aida Batrisyia, Chelliapan, S., Din, M. F. M., & Arumugam, N. (2020). Treatment of landfill leachate using granular multi-stage anaerobic reactor: Optimisation through response surface methodology. *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques*, 8(2), 567–572.
- Jegatheesan, V., Pramanik, B. K., Chen, J., Navaratna, D., Chang, C. Y., & Shu, L. (2016). Treatment of textile wastewater with membrane bioreactor: A critical review. *Bioresource Technology*, 204, 202–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01.006
- Jeison, D., Díaz, I., & Van Lier, J. B. (2008). Anaerobic membrane bioreactors: Are membranes really necessary? *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 11(4). https://doi.org/10.2225/vol11-issue4-fulltext-9
- Jensen, P. D., Yap, S. D., Boyle-Gotla, A., Janoschka, J., Carney, C., Pidou, M., & Batstone, D. J. (2015). Anaerobic membrane bioreactors enable high rate treatment of slaughterhouse wastewater. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 97, 132–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2015.02.009
- Ji, P., Motin, A., Shan, W., Bénard, A., Bruening, M. L., & Tarabara, V. V. (2016). Dynamic crossflow filtration with a rotating tubular membrane: Using centripetal force to decrease fouling by buoyant particles. *Chemical Engineering Research* and Design, 106, 101–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2015.11.007
- Jia, R., Sun, D., Dang, Y., Meier, D., Holmes, D. E., & Smith, J. A. (2020). Carbon cloth enhances treatment of high-strength brewery wastewater in anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactors. *Bioresource Technology*, 298(November 2019), 122547. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122547
- Jiang, L., Tang, Z., Park-Lee, K. J., Hess, D. W., & Breedveld, V. (2017). Fabrication of non-fluorinated hydrophilic-oleophobic stainless steel mesh for oil-water separation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 184, 394–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2017.05.021
- Jingura, R. M., & Kamusoko, R. (2017). Methods for determination of biomethane potential of feedstocks: a review. *Biofuel Research Journal*, 4(2), 573–586. https://doi.org/10.18331/BRJ2017.4.2.3
- Jokela, J. P. Y., & Rintala, J. A. (2003). Anaerobic solubilisation of nitrogen from municipal solid waste (MSW). *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, 2(1), 67–77. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:RESB.0000022830.62176.36
- Jones, W. J., & Holzer, G. U. (1991). The Polar and Neutral Lipid Composition of Methanosphaera stadtmanae. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 14(2), 130– 134. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(11)80290-0
- Joo, J. Y., Park, C. H., & Han, G. B. (2016). Optimization of two-phased anaerobic sludge digestion using the pressurized ultra filtration membrane with a mesh screen (MS-PUFM). *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 300, 20–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.04.078

- Jørgensen, M. K., Eriksen, K. B., & Christensen, M. L. (2020). Particle track and trace during membrane filtration by direct observation with a high speed camera. *Membranes*, 10(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes10040068
- Judd, S. (2006). *The MBR Book: Principles and Applications of Membrane Bioreactors for Water and Wastewater Treatment*. Elsevier.
- Judd, S. (2008). The status of membrane bioreactor technology. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 26(2), 109–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.11.005
- Judd, S. J. (2016). The status of industrial and municipal effluent treatment with membrane bioreactor technology. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 305, 37–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.08.141
- Jun, D., Kim, Y., Hafeznezami, S., Yoo, K., Hoek, E. M. V, & Kim, J. (2017). Biologically induced mineralization in anaerobic membrane bioreactors: Assessment of membrane scaling mechanisms in a long-term pilot study. *Journal* of Membrane Science, 543(August), 342–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.08.025
- Kanat, G., & Saral, A. (2009). Estimation of Biogas Production Rate in a Thermophilic UASB Reactor Using Artificial Neural Networks. *Environmental Modeling and* Assessment, 14(5), 607–614. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10666-008-9150-x
- Kayhanian, M. (1994). Performance of a high solids anaerobic digestion process under various ammonia concentrations. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 59(4), 349–352. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.280590406
- Kayhanian, M. (1999). Ammonia Inhibition in High-Solids Biogasification: An Overview and Practical Solutions. *Environmental Technology (United Kingdom)*, 20, 355–365. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593332008616828
- Khairul Anuar, N., Che Man, H., Idrus, S., & Nik Daud, N. N. (2018). Biochemical methane potential (BMP) from anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 368(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/368/1/012027
- Khalid, A., Arshad, M., Anjum, M., Mahmood, T., & Dawson, L. (2011). The anaerobic digestion of solid organic waste. *Waste Management*, 31(8), 1737–1744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.03.021
- Kim, M., & Speece, R. E. (2002). Reactor configuration Part II comparative process stability and efficiency of thermophilic anaerobic digestion. *Environmental Technology* (*United Kingdom*), 23(6), 643–654. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593332308618380
- Kim, Y. M., Chon, D. H., Kim, H. S., & Park, C. (2012). Investigation of bacterial community in activated sludge with an anaerobic side-stream reactor (ASSR) to decrease the generation of excess sludge. *Water Research*, 46(13), 4292–4300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.04.040

- Kimura, K., Yamato, N., Yamamura, H., & Watanabe, Y. (2005). Membrane fouling in pilot-scale membrane bioreactors (MBRs) treating municipal wastewater. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39(16), 6293–6299. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0502425
- Kinnunen, M., Hilderbrandt, D., Grimberg, S., Rogers, S., & Mondal, S. (2014). Comparative study of methanogens in one- and two-stage anaerobic digester treating food waste. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 30(6), 515–523. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170514000350
- Kiso, Y., Jung, Y. J., Park, M. S., Wang, W., Shimase, M., Yamada, T., & Min, K. S. (2005). Coupling of sequencing batch reactor and mesh filtration: Operational parameters and wastewater treatment performance. *Water Research*, 39(20), 4887–4898. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.05.025
- Klaucans, E., & Sams, K. (2018). Problems with Fat, Oil, and Grease (FOG) in Food Industry Wastewaters and Recovered FOG Recycling Methods Using Anaerobic Co-Digestion: a Short Review. *Engineering Materials, February*. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.762.61
- Kooijman, G., Lopes, W., Zhou, Z., Guo, H., de Kreuk, M., Spanjers, H., & van Lier, J. (2017). Impact of Coagulant and Flocculant Addition to an Anaerobic Dynamic Membrane Bioreactor (AnDMBR) Treating Waste-Activated Sludge. *Membranes*, 7(2), 18. https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes7020018
- Kougias, P. G., Boe, K., O-thong, S., Kristensen, L. A., & Angelidaki, I. (2014). Anaerobic digestion foaming in full-scale biogas plants : A survey on causes and solutions. *Water Science & Technology*, 69.4(February), 889–895. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2013.792
- Krzeminski, P., Gil, J. A., van Nieuwenhuijzen, A. F., van der Graaf, J. H. J. M., & van Lier, J. B. (2012). Flat sheet or hollow fibre — comparison of full-scale membrane bio-reactor configurations. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 42(1– 3), 100–106. https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2012.682963
- Krzeminski, P., Leverette, L., Malamis, S., & Katsou, E. (2017). Membrane bioreactors - A review on recent developments in energy reduction, fouling control, novel configurations, LCA and market prospects. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 527(September 2016), 207–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.12.010
- Kugelman, I. J., & Mccarty, P. L. (1965). Cation toxicity and stimulation in anaerobic waste treatment. *Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation)*, 37(1), 97–116.
- Labatut, R.A., & Gooch, C. A. (2012). Monitoring of Anaerobic Digestion Process To Optimize Performance and Prevent System Failure. In Proceedings of Got Manure? Enhancing Environmental and Economic Sustainability, 209–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11517-006-0101-x
- Labatut, Rodrigo A., Angenent, L. T., & Scott, N. R. (2011). Biochemical methane potential and biodegradability of complex organic substrates. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(3), 2255–2264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.10.035

- Lausund, E. (2014). Anaerobic digestion: biodegradability and biogas production of model wastes. March.
- Le Clech, P., Jefferson, B., Chang, I. S., & Judd, S. J. (2003). Critical flux determination by the flux-step method in a submerged membrane bioreactor. *Journal of Membrane* Science, 227(1–2), 81–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2003.07.021
- Lee, D. H., Behera, S. K., Kim, J. W., & Park, H. S. (2009). Methane production potential of leachate generated from Korean food waste recycling facilities: A lab-scale study. *Waste Management*, 29(2), 876–882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.06.033
- Lee, S., Jung, J., & Chung, Y. (2001). Novel method for enhancing permeate flux of submerged membrane system in two-phase anaerobic reactor. *Water Research*, 35(2), 471–477. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00255-4
- Leena, A. V, Meiaraj, C., & Balasundaram, N. (2016). BOD / COD a Measure of Dairy Waste Treatment Efficiency- A Case Study. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 13(5), 107–114. https://doi.org/10.9790/1684-130508107114
- Lettinga, G. (1995). Anaerobic digestion and wastewater treatment systems. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 67(1), 3–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00872193
- Lettinga, G., & Hulshoff Pol, L. W. (1991). USAB-process design for various types of wastewaters. *Water Science and Technology*, 24(8), 87–107. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.1991.0220
- Li, C., Champagne, P., & Anderson, B. C. (2013). Biogas production performance of mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic co-digestion with fat, oil, and grease in semi-continuous flow digesters: Effects of temperature, hydraulic retention time, and organic loading rate. *Environmental Technology (United Kingdom)*, 34(13– 14), 2125–2133. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2013.824010
- Li, Chenxi, Champagne, P., & Anderson, B. C. (2011). Evaluating and modeling biogas production from municipal fat, oil, and grease and synthetic kitchen waste in anaerobic co-digestions. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(20), 9471–9480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.07.103
- Li, J., Cai, W., & Cai, J. (2009). The characteristics and mechanisms of pyridine biodegradation by Streptomyces sp. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 165(1–3), 950–954. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.10.079
- Li, L., Xu, G., & Yu, H. (2017). Dynamic membrane filtration: formation, filtration, cleaning and applications. *Chemical Engineering & Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201700095
- Li, N., He, L., Lu, Y.-Z., Zeng, R. J., & Sheng, G.-P. (2017). Robust performance of a novel anaerobic biofilm membrane bioreactor with mesh filter and carbon fiber (ABMBR) for low to high strength wastewater treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 313, 56–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.12.073

- Li, W. W., Sheng, G. P., Wang, Y. K., Liu, X. W., Xu, J., & Yu, H. Q. (2011). Filtration behaviors and biocake formation mechanism of mesh filters used in membrane bioreactors. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 81(3), 472–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2011.08.026
- Liang, S., Qu, L., Meng, F., Han, X., & Zhang, J. (2013). Effect of sludge properties on the filtration characteristics of self-forming dynamic membranes (SFDMs) in aerobic bioreactors : Formation time, filtration resistance, and fouling propensity. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 436, 186–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2013.02.021
- Lianhua, L., Dong, L., Yongming, S., Longlong, M., Zhenhong, Y., & Xiaoying, K. (2010). Effect of temperature and solid concentration on anaerobic digestion of rice straw in South China. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(13), 7261–7266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.03.074
- Liao, B.-Q. Q., Kraemer, J. T., & Bagley, D. M. (2006). Anaerobic membrane bioreactors: Applications and research directions. In *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology* (Vol. 36, Issue 6). https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380600678146
- Lien, T. R., & Phillips, C. R. (1974). Determination of Particle Size Distribution of Oilin-Water Emulsions by Electronic Counting. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 8(6), 558–561. https://doi.org/10.1021/es60091a006
- Lier, J B, Zee, F. P., Frijters, C. T. M. J., & Ersahin, M. E. (2015). Celebrating 40 years anaerobic sludge bed reactors for industrial wastewater treatment. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, 14(4), 681–702. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-015-9375-5
- Lim, S. Y., Kim, S., Yeon, K. M., Sang, B. I., Chun, J., & Lee, C. H. (2012). Correlation between microbial community structure and biofouling in a laboratory scale membrane bioreactor with synthetic wastewater. *Desalination*, 287, 209–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.09.030
- Lin, H., Gao, W., Meng, F., Liao, B. Q., Leung, K. T., Zhao, L., Chen, J., & Hong, H. (2012). Membrane bioreactors for industrial wastewater treatment: A critical review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 42(7), 677– 740. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2010.526494
- Lin, H. J., Gao, W. J., Leung, K. T., Liao, B. Q., & Lin, H. J. (2011). Characteristics of different fractions of microbial flocs and their role in membrane fouling. *Water Science and Technology*, 63(2), 262–269. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2011.047
- Lin, H. J., Xie, K., Mahendran, B., Bagley, D. M., Leung, K. T., Liss, S. N., & Liao, B. Q. (2009). Sludge properties and their effects on membrane fouling in submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactors (SAnMBRs). *Water Research*, 43(15), 3827– 3837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.05.025
- Lin, H., Peng, W., Zhang, M., Chen, J., Hong, H., & Zhang, Y. (2013). A review on anaerobic membrane bioreactors: Applications, membrane fouling and future

perspectives. *Desalination*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.01.019

- Lin, Y.-H., & Hsien, H.-J. (2011). Characteristics Transformation of Humic Acid During Ozonation and Biofiltration Treatment Processes. *Water Environment Research*, 83(5), 450–460. https://doi.org/10.2175/106143010x12851009156088
- Liu, H. H., Wang, Y., Yin, B., Zhu, Y., Fu, B., & Liu, H. H. (2016). Improving volatile fatty acid yield from sludge anaerobic fermentation through self-forming dynamic membrane separation. *Bioresource Technology*, 218, 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.077
- Liu, H., Yang, C., Pu, W., & Zhang, J. (2009). Formation mechanism and structure of dynamic membrane in the dynamic membrane bioreactor. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 148(2–3), 290–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2008.08.043
- Luostarinen, S., Luste, S., & Sillanpää, M. (2009). Increased biogas production at wastewater treatment plants through co-digestion of sewage sludge with grease trap sludge from a meat processing plant. *Bioresource Technology*, *100*, 79–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.06.029
- Ma, J., Wang, Z., Zou, X., Feng, J., & Wu, Z. (2013). Microbial communities in an anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (AnDMBR) for municipal wastewater treatment: Comparison of bulk sludge and cake layer. *Process Biochemistry*, 48(3), 510–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2013.02.003
- Mahat, S.B., Chelliapan, S., Yuzir, A., Din, M. F. F., Anwar, A. N. N., Othman, N., & Shamsuddin, S. (2013). Performance of an anaerobic stage reactor (ASR) treating synthetic wastewater during start-up phase using palm oil mill effluent (POME) sludge. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 4(4), 1067–1076.
- Mahat, Siti Baizura, Idris, A., Omar, R., Lee, J. L., Man, H. C., Mohd Idris, A. I., Man, H. C., Mustapa Kamal, S. M., & Idris, A. (2020). Effect of pore size of monofilament woven filter cloth as supporting material for dynamic membrane filtration on performance using aerobic membrane bioreactor technology. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 15(February), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/apj.2453
- Mahat, Siti Baizura, Omar, R., Che Man, H., Mohd Idris, A. I., Mustapa Kamal, S. M., Idris, A., & Khairul Anuar, N. (2020). Influence of substrate to inoculum ratio (S/I) on the treatment performance of food processing wastewater containing high oil and grease (O&G) in batch mode. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 203, 267–278. https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2020.26231
- Mahat, Siti Baizura, Omar, R., Idris, A., Mustapa Kamal, S. M., & Mohd Idris, A. I. (2018). Dynamic membrane applications in anaerobic and aerobic digestion for industrial wastewater: A mini review. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*, 112, 150–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2018.09.008

- Malaeb, L., Le-Clech, P., Vrouwenvelder, J. S., Ayoub, G. M., & Saikaly, P. E. (2013). Do biological-based strategies hold promise to biofouling control in MBRs? *Water Research*, 47(15), 5447–5463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.06.033
- Malamis, S., Andreadakis, A., Mamais, D., & Noutsopoulos, C. (2011). Investigation of long-term operation and biomass activity in a membrane bioreactor system. *Water Science and Technology*, 63(9), 1906–1912. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2011.416
- Martinez-Sosa, D., Helmreich, B., Netter, T., Paris, S., Bischof, F., & Horn, H. (2011). Anaerobic submerged membrane bioreactor (AnSMBR) for municipal wastewater treatment under mesophilic and psychrophilic temperature conditions. *Bioresource Technology*, *102*(22), 10377–10385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.09.012
- Maruyama, T., Katoh, S., Nakajima, M., Nabetani, H., Abbott, T. P., Shono, A., & Satoh, K. (2001). FT-IR analysis of BSA fouled on ultrafiltration and microfiltration membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 192(1–2), 201–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(01)00502-6
- Maspolim, Y., Zhou, Y., Guo, C., Xiao, K., & Ng, W. J. (2015). Comparison of singlestage and two-phase anaerobic sludge digestion systems - Performance and microbial community dynamics. *Chemosphere*, 140, 54–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.07.028
- McCarty, P. L. (1964). Anaerobic Waste Treatment Fundamentals. Public Works.
- Mccarty, P. L., & Mckinney, R. E. (1961). Salt toxicity in anaerobic digestion. *Journal* of Water Pollution Control Federation, 33(4), 399–415. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25034369
- Md Huzir, N., Azmi Nik Mahmood, N., Anuar Faua, S., Syed Muhammad, ad, Azrimi Umor, N., & Ismail, S. (2019). Effect of Specific Methanogenic Activity (SMA) of Anaerobic Sludge under High Salinity. *Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Sciences and Engineering Technology Journal Homepage*, *16*(1), 35–40. www.akademiabaru.com/araset.html
- Meng, F., Chae, S.-R., Drews, A., Kraume, M., Shin, H.-S., & Yang, F. (2009). Recent advances in membrane bioreactors (MBRs): Membrane fouling and membrane material. *Water Research*, 43(6), 1489–1512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.044
- Meng, F., Liao, B., Liang, S., Yang, F., Zhang, H., & Song, L. (2010). Morphological visualization, componential characterization and microbiological identification of membrane fouling in membrane bioreactors (MBRs). *Journal of Membrane Science*, 361(1–2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.06.006
- Meng, F., Wang, Y., Huang, L. N., Li, J., Jiang, F., Li, S., & Chen, G. H. (2013). A novel nonwoven hybrid bioreactor (NWHBR) for enhancing simultaneous nitrification and denitrification. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 110(7), 1903–1912.

https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24866

- Meng, Z. G., Yang, F. L., & Zhang, X. W. (2005). MBR focus: Do nonwovens offer a cheaper option? *Filtration and Separation*, 42(5), 28–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-1882(05)70558-9
- Mike, A., & Shannon, G. (2014). *Treating food processing plant wastewater with anaerobic MBR technology*. Environmental Science and Engineering Magazine.
- Mohd-Nor, D., Ramli, N., Sharuddin, S. H., Hassan, M. A., Mustapha, N. A., Ariffin, H., Sakai, K., Tashiro, Y., Shirai, Y., & Maeda, T. (2019). Dynamics of Microbial Populations Responsible for Biodegradation during the Full-Scale Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent. *Microbes and Environments*, 00(0), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.ME18104
- Mohd Idris, A. I. (2016). In Situ Biofouling Mitigation Using Ultrasonic in Membrane Bioreactor. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Mojiri, A., Aziz, H. A., Zaman, N. Q., & Aziz, S. Q. (2012). A Review on Anaerobic Digestion, Bio-reactor and Nitrogen Removal from Wastewater and Landfill Leachate by Bio-reactor. Advances in Environmental Biology, 6(7), 2143–2150.
- Monnet, F. (2003). Final Report: An Introduction to Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Wastes. *Remade Scotland*, *November*.
- Moody, L., Burns, R., Wu-Haan, W., & Spajić, R. (2009). Use of biochemical methane potential (BMP) assays for predicting and enhancing anaerobic digester performance. *Proceedings of The 4th International and 44th Croatian Symposium of Agriculture*, 930–934. http://sa.agr.hr/pdf/2009/sa2009\_p1009.pdf
- Morel, E., Santamaria, K., Perrier, M., Guiot, S. R., & Tartakovsky, B. (2004). Application of multi-wavelength fluorometry for on-line monitoring of an anaerobic digestion process. *Water Research*, 38(14–15), 3287–3296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.05.003
- Mota, V. T., Santos, F. S., & Amaral, M. C. S. (2013). Two-stage anaerobic membrane bioreactor for the treatment of sugarcane vinasse: Assessment on biological activity and filtration performance. *Bioresource Technology*, 146, 494–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.110
- Mulat, D. G., Dibdiakova, J., & Horn, S. J. (2018). Microbial biogas production from hydrolysis lignin : insight into lignin structural changes. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, *11*(61), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1054-7
- Müller, N., Worm, P., Schink, B., Stams, A. J. M., & Plugge, C. M. (2010). Syntrophic butyrate and propionate oxidation processes: From genomes to reaction mechanisms. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 2(4), 489–499. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00147.x
- Muro, C., Riera, F., & Carmen Diaz, M. del. (2012). Membrane Separation Process in Wastewater Treatment of Food Industry. *Food Industrial Processes - Methods*

and Equipment, February. https://doi.org/10.5772/31116

- Musa, M. A., Idrus, S., Hasfalina, C. M., Norsyahariati, N., & Daud, N. (2018). Effect of Organic Loading Rate on Anaerobic Digestion Performance of Mesophilic (UASB) Reactor Using Cattle Slaughterhouse Wastewater as Substrate. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(2220), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15102220
- Mussoline, W., Esposito, G., Lens, P., Spagni, A., & Giordano, A. (2013). Enhanced methane production from rice straw co-digested with anaerobic sludge from pulp and paper mill treatment process. *Bioresource Technology*, 148, 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.107
- Mutamim, N. S. A., & Noor, Z. Z. (2017). Removal of Micro-Pollutants from Wastewater through MBR Technologies: A Case Study on Spent Caustic Wastewater. In Sustainable Water Treatment. CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315116792-4
- Mutamim, N. S. A., Noor, Z. Z., Hassan, M. A. A., Yuniarto, A., & Olsson, G. (2013). Membrane bioreactor: Applications and limitations in treating high strength industrial wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 225, 109–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.02.131
- Nabaterega, R., Kumar, V., Khoei, S., & Eskicioglu, C. (2021). A review on two-stage anaerobic digestion options for optimizing municipal wastewater sludge treatment process. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 105502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105502
- Nadais, H., Barbosa, M., Capela, I., Arroja, L., Ramos, C. G., Grilo, A., Sousa, S. A., & Leitão, J. H. (2011). Enhancing wastewater degradation and biogas production by intermittent operation of UASB reactors. *Energy*, 36(4), 2164–2168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2010.03.007
- Narihiro, T., Terada, T., Ohashi, A., Kamagata, Y., Nakamura, K., & Sekiguchi, Y. (2012). Quantitative detection of previously characterized syntrophic bacteria in anaerobic wastewater treatment systems by sequence-specific rRNA cleavage method. *Water Research*, 46(7), 2167–2175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.01.034
- Nazaitulshila, R., Idris, A., Harun, R., & Wan Azlina, W. A. K. G. K. G. (2015). The influence of inoculum to substrate ratio on the biochemical methane potential of fat, oil, and grease in batch anaerobic assays. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects*, 37(6), 590–597. https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2014.907374
- Nazih Abdallh, M., Sayed Abdelhalim, W., & Sayed Abdelhalim, H. (2016). Industrial Wastewater Treatment of Food Industry Using Best Techniques. *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention ISSN (Online, 5*(8), 2319–6734. www.ijesi.org

- Ng, W. J. (2006). *Industrial Wastewater Treatment* (K. W. Tjan (ed.)). Imperial College Press.
- Nguyen, P. H. L., Kuruparan, P., & Visvanathan, C. (2007). Anaerobic digestion of municipal solid waste as a treatment prior to landfill. *Bioresource Technology*, 98, 380–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.12.018
- Nguyen, T. (2014). Biomethane potential test for rapid assessment of anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge: co-digestion with glycerol and trace organic removal. University of Wollongong.
- Nie, Y., Kato, H., Sugo, T., Hojo, T., Tian, X., & Li, Y.-Y. (2017). Effect of anionic surfactant inhibition on sewage treatment by a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor: efficiency, sludge activity and methane recovery. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 315, 83–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.01.022
- Niu, Q., Hojo, T., Qiao, W., Qiang, H., & Li, Y. Y. (2014). Characterization of methanogenesis, acidogenesis and hydrolysis in thermophilic methane fermentation of chicken manure. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 244, 587–596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.11.074
- Niu, Q., Kubota, K., Qiao, W., Jing, Z., Zhang, Y., & Yu-You, L. (2015). Effect of ammonia inhibition on microbial community dynamic and process functional resilience in mesophilic methane fermentation. *Journal of Chemical Technology* & *Biotechnology*, 90, 2161–2169. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.4527
- Nogueira, R., Melo, L. F., Purkhold, U., Wuertz, S., & Wagner, M. (2002). Nitrifying and heterotrophic population dynamics in biofilm reactors: Effects of hydraulic retention time and the presence of organic carbon. *Water Research*, *36*(2), 469– 481. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00229-9
- Nurshamimi, W., Jusoh, W., Amin, K., Ha, M., Zaid, M., Zainuddin, N., Zulhasif, M., Khiri, A., Asyikin, N., Rahman, A., Abdul, R., & Kul, E. (2019). Effect of sintering temperature on physical and structural properties of Alumino-Silicate-Fluoride glass ceramics fabricated from clam shell and soda lime silicate glass. *Results* in *Physics*, *12*(January), 1909–1914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2019.01.077
- O'Dell, J. W. (1996). Determination of Turbidity By Nephelometry. *Methods for the Determination of Metals in Environmental Samples*, August, 378–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-8155-1398-8.50021-5
- Osman, F. (2018). *Plant Engineer, Ramly Processing Sdn. Bhd., Personal communication, August 20.* Ramly Food Processing Sdn Bhd.
- Owusu-Agyeman, I., Plaza, E., & Cetecioglu, Z. (2020). Production of volatile fatty acids through co-digestion of sewage sludge and external organic waste: Effect of substrate proportions and long-term operation. *Waste Management*, 112(2020), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.05.027

- Pajooh, Y. (2018). *Dead-End Membrane Module*. http://www.yasinpajooh.com/page/en-80/Dead-End-Membrane-Module
- Pang, C., He, C., Hu, Z., Yuan, S., & Wang, W. (2019). Aggravation of membrane fouling and methane leakage by a three-phase separator in an external anaerobic ceramic membrane bioreactor. *Frontiers of Environmental Science and Engineering*, 13(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-019-1131-6
- Parawira, W. (2004). Anaerobic Treatment of Agricultural Residues and Wastewater -Application of High-Rate Reactors. PhD Dissertation in Department of Biotechnology from Lund University, Sweden, January 2004.
- Parawira, W., Murto, M., Read, J. S., & Mattiasson, B. (2004). Volatile fatty acid production during anaerobic mesophilic digestion of solid potato waste. *Journal* of *Chemical Technology* and *Biotechnology*, 79(7), 673–677. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1012
- Park, J. H., Anburajan, P., Kumar, G., Park, H. D., & Kim, S. H. (2017). Biohydrogen production integrated with an external dynamic membrane: A novel approach. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.05.145
- Park, M. S., Kiso, Y., Jung, Y. J., Simase, M., Wang, W. H., Kitao, T., & Min, K. S. (2004). Sludge thickening performance of mesh filtration process. *Water Science and Technology*, 50(8), 125–133. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2004.0505
- Pellera, F.-M., & Gidarakos, E. (2016). Effect of substrate to inoculum ratio and inoculum type on the biochemical methane potential of solid agroindustrial waste. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 4(3), 3217–3229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.05.026
- Pereira, M. A., Cavaleiro, A., Mota, M., & Alves, M. S. (2003). Accumulation of long chain fatty acids onto anaerobic sludge under steady state and shock loading conditions : Effect on acetogenic and methanogenic activity. *Water Science & Technology*, 48(6), 33–40. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2003.0352
- Pohland, F. G. (1971). Developments in anaerobic stabilization of organic wastes the two-phase concept. *Environmental Letters*, 1(4), 255–266.
- Poostchi, A. A., Mehrnia, M. R., & Rezvani, F. (2015). Dynamic membrane behaviours during constant flux filtration in membrane bioreactor coupled with mesh filter. *Environmental Technology (United Kingdom)*, 36(14), 1751–1758. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2015.1009496
- Quek, P. J., Yeap, T. S., & Ng, H. Y. (2017). Applicability of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket and dynamic membrane-coupled process for the treatment of municipal wastewater. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 101(16), 6531–6540. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8358-6
- R. Zall, R. (2004). *Managing Food Industry Waste: Common Sense Methods for Food Processors* (First Edit). Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

- Ramos, C., Garcia, A., Diez, V., García, A., & Diez, V. (2014). Performance of an AnMBR pilot plant treating high-strength lipid wastewater: Biological and filtration processes. *Water Research*, 67(2009), 203–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.09.021
- Ramos, C., Zecchino, F., Ezquerra, D., & Diez, V. (2014). Chemical cleaning of membranes from an anaerobic membrane bioreactor treating food industry wastewater. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 458, 179–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2014.01.067
- Rao, D. G., Senthilkumar, R., Anthony Byrne, J., & Feroz, S. (2012). Wastewater Treament: Advanced Processes and Technologies (1st ed.). IWA Publishing CRC Press Taylor & Francis.
- Raposo, F., De La Rubia, M. A., Fernández-Cegrí, V., & Borja, R. (2012). Anaerobic digestion of solid organic substrates in batch mode: An overview relating to methane yields and experimental procedures. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(1), 861–877. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.09.008
- Rasit, N., Idris, A., Harun, R., & Wan Ab Karim Ghani, W. A. (2015). Effects of lipid inhibition on biogas production of anaerobic digestion from oily effluents and sludges: An overview. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 45(October 2016), 351–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.01.066
- Regueiro, L., Veiga, P., Figueroa, M., Alonso-Gutierrez, J., Stams, A. J. M., Lema, J. M., & Carballa, M. (2012). Relationship between microbial activity and microbial community structure in six full-scale anaerobic digesters. *Microbiological Research*, 167(10), 581–589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2012.06.002
- Ripley, L. E., Boyle, W. C., & Converse, J. C. (1986). Improved Alkalimetric Monitoring for Anaerobic Digestion of High-Strength Wastes. Water Pollution Control Federation, 58(5), 406–411. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25042933
- Rivière, D., Desvignes, V., Pelletier, E., Chaussonnerie, S., Guermazi, S., Weissenbach, J., Li, T., Camacho, P., & Sghir, A. (2009). Towards the definition of a core of microorganisms involved in anaerobic digestion of sludge. *ISME Journal*, 3(6), 700–714. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.2
- Rodrigues, L. S., Silva, I. J., Oliveira, P. R., Pinto, A. C. A., & Lima, C. A. (2014). Influence of the Ratio IA/PA and Volatile Acids in the Monitoring of UASB Reactor in the Treating of Swine Waste Water. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 6, 526–531. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.2014.65051
- Rodríguez-méndez, R., Bihan, Y. Le, Béline, F., & Lessard, P. (2017). Long chain fatty acids (LCFA) evolution for inhibition forecasting during anaerobic treatment of lipid-rich wastes: Case of milk-fed veal slaughterhouse waste. *Waste Management*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.05.028
- Ruiz, I., Veiga, M. C., De Santiago, P., & Blázquez, R. (1997). Treatment of slaughterhouse wastewater in a UASB reactor and an anaerobic filter.

*Bioresource Technology*, 60(3), 251–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(97)00020-5

- Ruth, B. F. (1935). Studies in filtration, III. Derivation of general filtra tion equations. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry*, 27, 708–723.
- Ruth, B. F. (1946). Correlating filtration theory with industrial practice. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry*, 38, 564–571.
- Saddoud, A., Hassaïri, I., & Sayadi, S. (2007). Anaerobic membrane reactor with phase separation for the treatment of cheese whey. *Bioresource Technology*, 98(11), 2102–2108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.08.013
- Saeki, D., Karkhanechi, H., Matsuura, H., & Matsuyama, H. (2016). Effect of operating conditions on biofouling in reverse osmosis membrane processes: Bacterial adhesion, biofilm formation, and permeate flux decrease. *Desalination*, 378, 74– 79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.09.020
- Sahinkaya, E., Yurtsever, A., & Cinar, O. (2017). Treatment of textile industry wastewater using dynamic membrane bioreactor: Impact of intermittent aeration on process performance. *Separation and Purification Technology*, *174*, 445–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.10.049
- Saleem, Mubashir, Alibardi, L., Cossu, R., Lavagnolo, M. C., & Spagni, A. (2016). Analysis of fouling development under dynamic membrane filtration operation. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *312*, 136–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.11.123
- Saleem, Mubashir, Alibardi, L., Lavagnolo, M. C., Cossu, R., & Spagni, A. (2016). Effect of filtration flux on the development and operation of a dynamic membrane for anaerobic wastewater treatment. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 180, 459–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.05.054
- Saleem, Mubbshir, Cristina, M., Campanaro, S., & Squartini, A. (2018). Dynamic membrane bioreactor (DMBR) for the treatment of landfill leachate; bioreactor's performance and metagenomic insights into microbial community evolution. *Environmental Pollution*, 243, 326–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.08.090
- Saleem, Mubbshir, Cristina, M., Concheri, G., & Stevanato, P. (2018). Application of anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (AnDMBR) for the successful enrichment of Anammox bacteria using mixed anaerobic and aerobic seed sludge. *Bioresource Technology*, 266(August), 532–540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.06.100
- Salerno, C., Vergine, P., Berardi, G., & Pollice, A. (2017). Influence of air scouring on the performance of a Self Forming Dynamic Membrane BioReactor (SFD MBR) for municipal wastewater treatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 223, 301–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.054

- Sanapareddy, N., Hamp, T. J., Gonzalez, L. C., Hilger, H. A., Fodor, A. A., & Clinton, S. M. (2009). Molecular diversity of a north carolina wastewater treatment plant as revealed by pyrosequencing. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 75(6), 1688–1696. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01210-08
- Sanders, H. L. (1968). Marine Benthic Diversity: A Comparative Study. *The American Naturalist*, 102(925), 243–282. https://doi.org/10.1086/282541
- Satyawali, Y., & Balakrishnan, M. (2008). Treatment of distillery effluent in a membrane bioreactor (MBR) equipped with mesh filter. Separation and Purification Technology, 63(2), 278–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2008.05.008
- Satyawali, Y., & Balakrishnan, M. (2009). Performance enhancement with powdered activated carbon (PAC) addition in a membrane bioreactor (MBR) treating distillery effluent. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 170(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.04.074
- Schmidt, I., Sliekers, O., Schmid, M., Bock, E., Fuerst, J., Kuenen, J. G., Jetten, M. S. M., & Strous, M. (2003). New concepts of microbial treatment processes for the nitrogen removal in wastewater. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 27(4), 481–492. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6445(03)00039-1
- Shahot, K., Idris, A., Omar, R., & Yusoff, H. M. (2014). Review on biofilm processes for wastewater treatment. *Life Science Journal*, *11*(11), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.205.1.76a
- Shen, L. G., Lei, Q., Chen, J. R., Hong, H. C., He, Y. M., & Lin, H. J. (2015). Membrane fouling in a submerged membrane bioreactor: Impacts of floc size. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 269, 328–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.02.002
- Shin, H. S., Kim, S. H., Lee, C. Y., & Nam, S. Y. (2003). Inhibitory effects of long-chain fatty acids on VFA degradation and  $\beta$ -oxidation. *Water Science & Technology*, 47(10), 139–146.
- Shin, S. G., Han, G., Lim, J., Lee, C., & Hwang, S. (2010). A comprehensive microbial insight into two-stage anaerobic digestion of food waste-recycling wastewater. *Water Research*, 44(17), 4838–4849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.07.019
- Shirato, M., Aragaki, T., & Iritani, E. (1979). Blocking filtration laws for filtration of power-law non-Newtonian fluids. *Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan*, 12, 162–164.
- Siddiqui, M. A., Dai, J., Guan, D., Chen, G., Ahmar Siddiqui, M., Dai, J., Guan, D., & Chen, G. (2019). Exploration of the formation of self-forming dynamic membrane in an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 212, 757–766. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.11.065
- Silva, A. F. R., Magalhães, N. C., Cunha, P. V. M., Amaral, M. C. S., & Koch, K. (2020). Influence of COD/SO42- ratio on vinasse treatment performance by two-stage

anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 259(August 2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.110034

- Silva, A., Salvador, A. F., Cavaleiro, A. J., Pereira, M. A., Alfons, J. M., Alves, M. M., & Sousa, D. Z. (2016). Toxicity of long chain fatty acids towards acetate conversion by Methanosaeta concilii and Methanosarcina mazei. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 9(4), 514–518. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12365
- Singh, R. P., Kumar, S., & Ojha, C. S. (1999). Nutrient requirement for UASB process: a review. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, *3*, 35–54.
- Smith, A. L., Skerlos, S. J., & Raskin, L. (2013). Psychrophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor treatment of domestic wastewater. *Water Research*, 47(4), 1655–1665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.12.028
- Smoluchowski, M. V. (1917). Mathematical theory of the kinetics of the coagulation of colloidal solutions. *Zeitschrift Für Physikalische Chemie*, 92, 129–135.
- Solera, R., Romero, L. I., & Sales, D. (2002). The evolution of Biomass in a two-phase anaerobic treatment process during start-up. *Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Quarterly*, 16(1), 25–29.
- Sousa, D. Z., Salvador, A. F., Ramos, J., Guedes, A. P., Barbosa, S., Stams, A. J. M., Alves, M. M., & Pereira, M. A. (2013). Activity and viability of methanogens in anaerobic digestion of unsaturated and saturated long-chain fatty acids. *Applied* and Environmental Microbiology, 79(14), 4239–4245. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00035-13
- Speece, R. E. (1983). Anaerobic biotechnology for industrial wastewater treatment. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 17(9), 416A-427A. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00115a725
- Srisowmeya, G., Chakravarthy, M., & Devi, G. N. (2019). Critical considerations in twostage anaerobic digestion of food waste – A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109587
- Stuckey, D. C. (2010). Environmental Anaerobic Technology Applications and New Developments. In *Imperial College Press*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1142/p706
- Sun, F., Zhang, N., Li, F., Wang, X., Zhang, J., Song, L., & Liang, S. (2018). Dynamic analysis of self-forming dynamic membrane (SFDM) filtration in submerged anaerobic bioreactor : Performance , characteristic , and mechanism. *Bioresource Technology*, 270(August), 383–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.09.003
- Suryawanshi PC, Chaudhari AB, Bhardwaj S, & Yeole TY. (2013). Operating Procedures for Efficient Anaerobic Digester Operation. *Res. J. Animal, Veterinary & Fishery Sci. International Science Congress Association*, 1(2), 12– 15. www.isca.in

- Svarovsky, L. (1981). *Chapter 9 Filtration Fundamentals. In Solid-liquid Separation.* (2nd ed., pp. 242–264). Monographs in Chemistry and Chemical Engineering.
- Tang, J., Wang, X. C., Hu, Y., Ngo, H. H., & Li, Y. (2017). Dynamic membrane-assisted fermentation of food wastes for enhancing lactic acid production. *Bioresource Technology*, 234, 40–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.019
- Tanimu, M. I., Idaty, T., Ghazi, M., Harun, M. R., & Idris, A. (2014). Effect of feed loading on biogas methane production in batch mesophilic anaerobic digesters treating food waste. *International Journal of Chemical and Environmental Engineering*, 5(1), 1–6.
- Tao, Y., Ersahin, M. E., Ghasimi, D. S. M., Ozgun, H., Wang, H., Zhang, X., Guo, M., Yang, Y., Stuckey, D. C., & van Lier, J. B. (2020). Biogas productivity of anaerobic digestion process is governed by a core bacterial microbiota. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 380, 122425. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2019.122425
- Tartakovsky, B., Mu, S. J., Zeng, Y., Lou, S. J., Guiot, S. R., & Wu, P. (2008). Anaerobic digestion model no . 1-based distributed parameter model of an anerobic reactor : II . Model validation. *Bioresource Technology*, *99*(1), 3676–3684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.07.061
- Tay, J. H., Luhai Zeng, J., & Sun, D. D. (2003). Effects of hydraulic retention time on system performance of a submerged membrane bioreactor. *Separation Science* and Technology, 38(4), 851–868. https://doi.org/10.1081/SS-120017630
- Tedjani, F., Khouider, A., & Ghoualem, H. (2012). Anaerobic treatment of a foodprocessing effluent. *Procedia Engineering*, *33*, 215–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.01.1196
- Tewari, P. K., Singh, R. K., Batra, V. S., & Balakrishnan, M. (2010). Membrane bioreactor (MBR) for wastewater treatment: Filtration performance evaluation of low cost polymeric and ceramic membranes. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 71(2), 200–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2009.11.022
- Thauer, R. K., Kaster, A. K., Seedorf, H., Buckel, W., & Hedderich, R. (2008). Methanogenic archaea: Ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6(8), 579–591. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1931
- Tiller, F.M., & Shirato, M. (1964). The role of porosity in filtration: VI. New definition of filtration resistance. *AIChE Journal*, *10*, 61–67.
- Tiller, F. M., & Cooper, H. R. (1960). The role of porosity in filtration: IV. Constant pressure filtration. *AIChE Journal*, *6*, 595–601.
- Trzcinski, A. P., & Stuckey, D. C. (2011). Parameters affecting the stability of the digestate from a two-stage anaerobic process treating the organic fraction of municipal solid waste. *Waste Management*, 31(7), 1480–1487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.02.015

- Van den Berg, M. F., Botes, M., & Cloete, T. E. (2018). The formulation of synthetic domestic wastewater sludge medium to study anaerobic biological treatment of acid mine drainage in the laboratory. *WaterSA*, 42(2), 1–6.
- Van Lier, Jules B, Mahmoud, N., Zeeman, G., Lier, J. B. Van, Mahmoud, N., Zeeman, G., Van Lier, J. B., Mahmoud, N., & Zeeman, G. (2008). Anaerobic Wastewater Treatment. In *Biological Wastewater Treatment: Principles, Modelling and Design* (Issue May 2014). https://doi.org/10.1021/es00154a002
- Vanwonterghem, I., Evans, P. N., Parks, D. H., Jensen, P. D., Woodcroft, B. J., Hugenholtz, P., & Tyson, G. W. (2016). Methylotrophic methanogenesis discovered in the archaeal phylum Verstraetearchaeota. *Nature Microbiology*, *I*(December), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.170
- Vatanpour, V., Yekavalangi, M. E., & Safarpour, M. (2016). Preparation and characterization of nanocomposite PVDF ultrafiltration membrane embedded with nanoporous SAPO-34 to improve permeability and antifouling performance. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 163, 300–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.03.011
- Vergine, P., Salerno, C., Berardi, G., Pappagallo, G., & Pollice, A. (2020). The Self-Forming Dynamic Membrane BioReactor (SFD MBR) as a suitable technology for agro-industrial wastewater treatment. *New Biotechnology*, 56(December 2019), 87–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2019.12.005
- Vidal, G., Carvalho, A., Méndez, R., & Lema, J. M. (2000). Influence of the content in fats and proteins on the anaerobic biodegradability of dairy wastewaters. *Bioresource Technology*, 74(3), 231–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00015-8
- Visvanathan, C., & Abeynayaka, A. (2012). Developments and future potentials of anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBRs). *Membrane Water Treatment*, 3(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.12989/mwt.2012.3.1.001
- Walker, M., Banks, C. J., & Heaven, S. (2009a). Two-stage anaerobic digestion of biodegradable municipal solid waste using a rotating drum mesh filter bioreactor and anaerobic filter. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(18), 4121–4126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.03.066
- Walker, M., Banks, C. J., & Heaven, S. (2009b). Development of a coarse membrane bioreactor for two-stage anaerobic digestion of biodegradable municipal solid waste. Water Science & Technology, 59(4), 729. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2009.012
- Walker, Mark, Zhang, Y., Heaven, S., & Banks, C. (2009). Potential errors in the quantitative evaluation of biogas production in anaerobic digestion processes. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(24), 6339–6346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.07.018
- Wang, C., Chen, W. N., Hu, Q. Y., Ji, M., & Gao, X. (2015). Dynamic fouling behavior and cake layer structure changes in nonwoven membrane bioreactor for bath

wastewater treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 264, 462–469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.11.132

- Wang, L., Liu, H., Zhang, W., Yu, T., Jin, Q., & Fu, B. (2018). Recovery of organic matters in wastewater by self-forming dynamic membrane bioreactor: Performance and membrane fouling. *Chemosphere*, 203, 123–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.03.171
- Wang, P., & Chung, T. S. (2015). Recent advances in membrane distillation processes: Membrane development, configuration design and application exploring. *Journal* of Membrane Science, 474, 39–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2014.09.016
- Wang, Shengli, Lu, X., Zhang, L., Guo, J., & Zhang, H. (2019). Characterization of the initial fouling layer on the membrane surface in a membrane bioreactor: Effects of permeation drag. *Membranes*, 9(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes9090121
- Wang, Shiwei, Ma, F., Ma, W., Wang, P., Zhao, G., & Lu, X. (2019). Influence of temperature on biogas production efficiency and microbial community in a twophase anaerobic digestion system. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/w11010133
- Wang, W., Jung, Y. J., Kiso, Y., Yamada, T., & Min, K. S. (2006). Excess sludge reduction performance of an aerobic SBR process equipped with a submerged mesh filter unit. *Process Biochemistry*, 41(4), 745–751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2005.09.016
- Ward, A. J., Hobbs, P. J., Holliman, P. J., & Jones, D. L. (2008). Optimisation of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(17), 7928–7940. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.02.044
- Watanabe, R., Qiao, W., Norton, M., Wakahara, S., & Li, Y.-Y. (2014). Recent Developments in Municipal Wastewater Treatment Using Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor: A Review. *Journal of Water Sustainability*, 2(June), 101–122. https://doi.org/10.11912/jws.4.2.101-122
- Wee Seow, T., Lim, C. K., Hanif, M., Nor, M., Fahmi, M., Mubarak, M., Lam, C. Y., Yahya, A., & Ibrahim, Z. (2016). Review on Wastewater Treatment Technologies. *International Journal of Applied Environmental Sciences*, 11(1), 111–126. http://www.ripublication.com
- Weiland, P., & Rozzi, A. (1991). The start-up, operation and monitoring of high-rate anaerobic treatment systems: Discusser's report. *Water Science and Technology*, 24(8), 257–277. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.1991.0227
- Weiland, Peter. (2010). Biogas production : current state and perspectives. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 85, 849–860. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2246-7
- Weimer, P. J., & Stevenson, D. M. (2012). Isolation, characterization, and quantification of Clostridium kluyveri from the bovine rumen. Applied Microbiology and

Biotechnology, 94(2), 461-466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3751-z

- Weiss, S., Xu, Z. Z., Peddada, S., Amir, A., Bittinger, K., Gonzalez, A., Lozupone, C., Zaneveld, J. R., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Birmingham, A., Hyde, E. R., & Knight, R. (2017). Normalization and microbial differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. *Microbiome*, 5(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0237-y
- Wijekoon, K. C., Visvanathan, C., & Abeynayaka, A. (2011). Effect of organic loading rate on VFA production, organic matter removal and microbial activity of a twostage thermophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(9), 5353–5360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.12.081
- Wijngaard, W. M. H., Creemers, J., Vogels, G. D., & Drift, C. (1991). Methanogenic pathways in Methanosphaera stadtmanae . *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 80(2–3), 207–211. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1991.tb04662.x
- Willis, A. D. (2019). Rarefaction, alpha diversity, and statistics. Frontiers in Microbiology, 10(OCT). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02407
- Wu, Q., Guo, W., Bao, X., Meng, X., Yin, R., Du, J., Zheng, H., Feng, X., Luo, H., & Ren, N. (2018). Upgrading liquor-making wastewater into medium chain fatty acid: Insights into co-electron donors, key microflora, and energy harvest. *Water Research*, 145, 650–659. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.08.046
- Xiao, X., Shi, W., & Ruan, W. (2019). Effects of High Sludge Cycle Frequency on Performance and Syntrophic Metabolism of Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor for Treating High-Lipid Kitchen Waste Slurry. *Energies*, *12*(2673), 1–13. https://doi.org/doi:10.3390/en12142673
- Xie, Z., Wang, Z., Wang, Q., Zhu, C., & Wu, Z. (2014). An anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (AnDMBR) for landfill leachate treatment: Performance and microbial community identification. *Bioresource Technology*, 161, 29–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.014
- Xiong, J., Fu, D., Singh, R. P., & Ducoste, J. J. (2016). Structural characteristics and development of the cake layer in a dynamic membrane bioreactor. *Separation and Purification Technology*, *167*, 88–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.04.040
- Xiong, Y., Harb, M., & Hong, P. Y. (2015). Characterization of biofoulants illustrates different membrane fouling mechanisms for aerobic and anaerobic membrane bioreactors. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 157, 192–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2015.11.024
- Xu, R., Yang, Z., Chen, T., Zhao, L., Huang, J., Xu, H., Song, P., & Li, M. (2015). Anaerobic co-digestion of municipal wastewater sludge with food waste with different fat, oil, and grease contents: Study of reactor performance and extracellular polymeric substances. *RSC Advances*, 5(125), 103547–103556. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ra21459a

- Yang, G., Zhang, P., Zhang, G., Wang, Y., & Yang, A. (2015). Degradation properties of protein and carbohydrate during sludge anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology*, 192, 126–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.076
- Yang, J., Ji, X., Lu, L., Ma, H., Chen, Y., Guo, J., & Fang, F. (2017). Performance of an anaerobic membrane bioreactor in which granular sludge and dynamic filtration are integrated. *Biofouling*, 33(1), 36–44. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2016.1262845
- Yang, Y., Zang, Y., Hu, Y., Wang, X. C., & Ngo, H. H. (2020). Upflow anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (AnDMBR) for wastewater treatment at room temperature and short HRTs: Process characteristics and practical applicability. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 383(August 2019), 123186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123186
- Yao, M., Zhang, K., & Cui, L. (2010). Characterization of protein-polysaccharide ratios on membrane fouling. *Desalination*, 259(1–3), 11–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.04.049
- Yasar, A., & Tabinda, A. B. (2010). Anaerobic treatment of industrial wastewater by UASB reactor integrated with chemical oxidation processes; An overview. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 19(5), 1051–1061.
- Yasin, N. H. M., Mumtaz, T., Hassan, M. A., & Abd Rahman, N. (2013). Food waste and food processing waste for biohydrogen production: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 130, 375–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.09.009
- Ye, Y., Le Clech, P., Chen, V., Fane, A. G., & Jefferson, B. (2005). Fouling mechanisms of alginate solutions as model extracellular polymeric substances. *Desalination*, 175(1 SPEC. ISS.), 7–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2004.09.019
- Yee, T. L., Rathnayake, T., & Visvanathan, C. (2019). Performance evaluation of a thermophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor for palm oil wastewater treatment. *Membranes*, 9(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes9040055
- Yenigün, O., & Demirel, B. (2013). Ammonia inhibition in anaerobic digestion: A review. *Process Biochemistry*, 48(5–6), 901–911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2013.04.012
- Yeshanew, M. M., Paillet, F., Barrau, C., Frunzo, L., Lens, P. N. L., Esposito, G., Escudie, R., & Trably, E. (2018). Co-production of Hydrogen and Methane From the Organic Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste in a Pilot Scale Dark Fermenter and Methanogenic Biofilm Reactor. 6(June), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00041
- Yu, D., Li, C., Wang, L., Zhang, J., Liu, J., & Wei, Y. (2016). Multiple effects of trace elements on methanogenesis in a two-phase anaerobic membrane bioreactor treating starch wastewater. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 100(15), 6631–6642. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7289-y

- Yu, D., Liu, J., Sui, Q., & Wei, Y. (2016). Biogas-pH automation control strategy for optimizing organic loading rate of anaerobic membrane bioreactor treating high COD wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.12.010
- Yu, H., Wang, Z., Wu, Z., & Zhu, C. (2015). Dynamic membrane formation in anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactors: Role of extracellular polymeric substances. *PLoS ONE*, 10(10), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139703
- Yu, Z., Hu, Y., Dzakpasu, M., Wang, X. C., & Ngo, H. H. (2019). Dynamic membrane bioreactor performance enhancement by powdered activated carbon addition: Evaluation of sludge morphological, aggregative and microbial properties. *Journal of Environmental Sciences (China)*, 75(Dm), 73–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2018.03.003
- Yurtsever, A., Çinar, Ö., & Sahinkaya, E. (2016). Treatment of textile wastewater using sequential sulfate-reducing anaerobic and sulfide-oxidizing aerobic membrane bioreactors. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 511, 228–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.03.044
- Yurtsever, A., Sahinkaya, E., & Çınar, Ö. (2020). Performance and foulant characteristics of an anaerobic membrane bioreactor treating real textile wastewater. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 33(November 2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.101088
- Zhang, H., Wang, B., Yu, H., Zhang, L., & Song, L. (2015). Relation between sludge properties and filterability in MBR: Under infinite SRT. *Membrane Water Treatment*, 6(6), 501–512. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.12989/MWT.2015.6.6.501
- Zhang, L., Hendrickx, T. L. G., Kampman, C., Temmink, H., & Zeeman, G. (2013). Codigestion to support low temperature anaerobic pretreatment of municipal sewage in a UASB-digester. *Bioresource Technology*, 148, 560–566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.09.013
- Zhang, T., Shao, M. F., & Ye, L. (2012). 454 Pyrosequencing reveals bacterial diversity of activated sludge from 14 sewage treatment plants. *ISME Journal*, 6(6), 1137–1147. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.188
- Zhang, X., Xu, R., & Li, J. (2014). Optimization of anaerobic micro-mesh separation bioreactor. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *39*(9), 59–63.
- Zhang, Xiaomei, Yue, X., Liu, Z., Li, Q., & Hua, X. (2015). Impacts of sludge retention time on sludge characteristics and membrane fouling in a submerged anaerobic???oxic membrane bioreactor. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 99(11), 4893–4903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6383-x
- Zhang, Xinying, Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Lu, F., Tong, J., & Zang, L. (2010). Formation of dynamic membrane in an anaerobic membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 165(1), 175–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.09.013

- Zhang, Xinying, Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Wei, T., Lu, F., Tong, J., & Mai, S. (2011). Membrane fouling in an anaerobic dynamic membrane bioreactor (AnDMBR) for municipal wastewater treatment: Characteristics of membrane foulants and bulk sludge. *Process Biochemistry*, 46(8), 1538–1544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2011.04.002
- Zhang, Yalei, Zhao, Y., Chu, H., Dong, B., & Zhou, X. (2014). Characteristics of dynamic membrane filtration: Structure, operation mechanisms, and cost analysis. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 59(3), 247–260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-013-0048-x
- Zhang, Yanghanzi, Caldwell, G. S., Blythe, P. T., Zealand, A. M., Li, S., Edwards, S., Xing, J., Goodman, P., Whitworth, P., & Sallis, P. J. (2020). Co-digestion of microalgae with potato processing waste and glycerol: Effect of glycerol addition on methane production and the microbial community. *RSC Advances*, 10(61), 37391–37408. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ra07840a
- Zhao, Y., Tan, Y., Wong, F.-S., Fane, A. ., & Xu, N. (2005). Formation of dynamic membranes for oily water separation by crossflow filtration. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 44(3), 212–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2005.01.010
- Zhu, Xiaobiao, Tian, J., Liu, C., & Chen, L. (2013). Composition and dynamics of microbial community in a zeolite biofilter-membrane bioreactor treating coking wastewater. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 97(19), 8767–8775. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4558-2
- Zhu, Xiaobo, Dudchenko, A., Gu, X., & Jassby, D. (2017). Surfactant-stabilized oil separation from water using ultrafiltration and nanofiltration. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 529, 159–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.02.004
- Zupančič, G. D., & Grilc, V. (2012). Anaerobic Treatment and Biogas Production from Organic Waste. In Dr. Sunil Kumar (Ed.), *Management of Organic Waste* (Vol. 2). InTech. https://doi.org/10.5772/32756