



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***DEVELOPMENT OF MACHINERY OPERATIONS AND
QUALITY FIELD PRACTICES ASSESSMENT FOR WETLAND RICE
CULTIVATION AREA IN MALAYSIA***

MODATHER MAIRGHANY ABDIN AHMED

FK 2021 105



**DEVELOPMENT OF MACHINERY OPERATIONS AND
QUALITY FIELD PRACTICES ASSESSMENT FOR WETLAND RICE
CULTIVATION AREA IN MALAYSIA**

By

MODATHER MAIRGHANY ABDIN AHMED

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

November 2020

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

Dedicated to

My Parents,

My Brothers & sisters,

My Wife,

My Friends, and to

My Lovely kids:

Lamees,

Mohamed,

Monzer,

Layar,

Lareen

.....With Love.....

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF MACHINERY OPERATIONS AND QUALITY FIELD PRACTICES ASSESSMENT FOR WETLAND RICE CULTIVATION AREA IN MALAYSIA

By

MODATHER MAIRGHANY ABDIN

November 2020

Chairman : Professor Azmi bin Dato' Yahya, PhD, P.Eng.
Faculty : Engineering

Rice is one of the most essential staple foods for a large part of the world's population including Malaysia, and there is a need to increase production for filling the gap between production and consumption. Maximum paddy productivity could be achieved through the implementation of the best and most effective agricultural practices and the maximum quality of operations output. The Rice Check system is a holistic integrated crop management component that provides objective recommendations and a method of use to improve the yields, grain quality, and profitability of rice growing. In this study, *in situ* comprehensive evaluation of farm operations and practices conducted in 30 farms for two seasons at Sungai Burong, North-West Integrated Agricultural Development Authority Selangor Malaysia was conducted. The study aims to evaluate the quality of agricultural practices and machinery operations based on the Rice Check and determine the most effective key parameter for improving the quantity production, then optimize the effective input by using an optimization model. To evaluate practices and operations quality, six benchmarking parameters were selected, namely (1) seeding, (2) tillage, (3) water management, (4) fertilization, (5) chemical spraying, and (6) harvesting. A comprehensive evaluation of the quality and effectiveness of all agricultural operations and practices was performed to assess the degree of perfection and quality index of these farming practices, agricultural operations, and the effect of that on the crop in general and the yield as final output was performed. The evaluation was done based on four rights, right source, the right amount, right timing, and right placing and quality of these operations. Multiple linear regression was used to rank the parameters of the cultural practices based on the most effective yielding and the key parameter subjected to the DEA optimization model for high-yielding rice. The result showed that for land preparation just 22.6% of farmers follow exactly the requirement of the Rice Check, for tillage practices 61.9% of farmers follow, for planting 26.2% of farmers follow, for pesticides 44.4% of farmers follow, for fertilizer, 41.1% of farmers follow, and for harvesting, 37.5% of farmers follow the Rice check recommendations. Farmers do not follow the standard in terms of amount, source, and timing of operations in total. In terms

of operation quality, tillage is ranked as first achieving 100% of the quality index, and pesticide operation the lowest-achieving 56.4%. Fertilizer has 78.7% Q.I, (quality index), planting achieved 86.7%, harvesting 78.7%, and water irrigation 62.4%. For ranking the parameters, multiple linear regression showed that fertilizer is the most effective parameter on rice yield/production with $R^2 = 0.85$, then planting with $R^2 = 0.80$ followed by pesticides $R^2 = 0.52$. Fertilizer and pesticide inputs were subjected to data envelopment analysis optimization models by using the GAMS optimization package. Running the models showed there were just 5 (16.7%) efficient plots for CCR-I and CCR-O, just 7 (23.3%) efficient plots for BCC-I, and 8 (26.7%) efficient plots for the BCC-O model. Results showed that there was excess use of input materials more than the required optimum ranges from 13.3% (18.1 kg/ha) for Nitrogen, 12% (10.9 kg/ha) for Phosphorus 17.1% (11.4 kg/ha) for Potassium, Fungicides 32.6%, Liquid Insecticides 34.2%. Powder Insecticide 39.0%, and Herbicides 28.9%. It could be concluded that the quality indices for agricultural practices and operations were (61.9 and 100%) for tillage, (26.2 and 86.73%), for planting, (37.7 and 56.4%) for pesticides, (41.4 and 78.71%) for fertilizer, and (37.5 and 78.7%) for harvesting respectively, for water management the quality index was 67.1%. The most effective parameter on yield of rice was the fertilizer, 2nd was the planting, 3rd was the pesticides, 4th was the harvesting, and 5th was the soil factor.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBANGUNAN OPERASI JENTERA DAN PENAKSIRAN KUALITI AMALAN LADANG UNTUK PENANAMAN PADI BASAH DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

MODATHER MAIRGHANY ABDIN

November 2020

**Pengerusi: Profesor Azmi bin Dato' Yahya, PhD, P.Eng.
Fakulti: Kejuruteraan**

Nasi adalah salah satu makanan asas yang paling penting untuk sebahagian besar populasi dunia termasuk Malaysia, dan wujud keperluan meningkatkan pengeluaran untuk mengisi jurang antara pengeluaran dan penggunaan. Produktiviti padi maksimum dapat dicapai melalui pelaksanaan amalan pertanian terbaik dan berkesan dan kualiti operasi maksimum. Sistem Jadual Semak Padi (Rice Check) adalah komponen pengurusan tanaman bersepadu holistik yang memberikan cadangan objektif dan kaedah penggunaan untuk meningkatkan hasil, kualiti biji-bijian, dan keuntungan penanaman padi. Dalam kajian ini, penilaian *in situ* menyeluruh untuk operasi lading dan amalan ladang yang dilakukan di 30 ladang selama dua musim di Sungai Burong, dibawah Lembaga Pembangunan Pertanian Bersepadu Utara-Barat Selangor Malaysia. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai kualiti amalan pertanian dan operasi mesin berdasarkan Sistem Semak Padi (Rice Check) dan menentukan parameter utama yang paling berkesan untuk meningkatkan kuantiti pengeluaran, kemudian meminimumkan input berkesan dengan menggunakan model DEA dengan menetapkan kuantiti pengeluaran dan memaksimumkan kuantiti pengeluaran pada input berkesan yang ditetapkan (fixed). Untuk menilai amalan dan kualiti operasi, sebanyak enam parameter penanda aras, telah dipilih yaitu (1) penyemaian, (2) pembajakan (3) pengurusan air, (4) pembajaan, (5) penyemburan kimia, dan (6) penuaian. Penilaian dilakukan berdasarkan empat hak, ia itu sumber yang tepat, jumlah yang tepat, waktu yang tepat, dan penempatan yang tepat dan kualiti semua operasi ini. Regresi berbilang linear telah digunakan untuk menentukan pepangkatan parameter amalan pertanian berdasarkan hasil yang paling efektif dan parameter utama yang melalui aplikasi model pengoptimuman DEA untuk beras hasil tinggi. Penilaian komprehensif mengenai kualiti dan keberkesanan kesemua operasi dan amalan pertanian yang dilakukan untuk menilai tahap kesempurnaan dan indeks kualiti amalan pertanian, operasi pertanian, dan kesannya terhadap tanaman secara umum dan hasil pertanian sebagai hasil akhir. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa untuk penyediaan tanah hanya 22.6% petani mengikuti syarat dengan tepat keperluan Sistem Semak Padi, untuk amalan pembajakan 61.9% petani mengikuti jadual yang disyorkan, manakala untuk penanaman 26.2% petani mengikuti jadual Semak, untuk racun perosak, 44.4% petani mengikuti, Jadual Semak; untuk pembajaan 41.1 % petani

mengikuti, Jadual Semak dan untuk penuaian, 37.5% petani mengikuti cadangan Semak Padi. Petani tidak mengikut standard dari segi jumlah, sumber, dan ketepatan waktu operasi. Dari segi kualiti operasi, pembajakan tanah diperingkat pertama mencapai 100% indeks kualiti, dan operasi racun perosak adalah terendah yakni mencapai 56.4%. Pembajaan mempunyai 78.7% Q.I, penanaman mencapai 86.7%, penuaian 78.7%, dan pengairan 62.4%. Untuk parameter pemangkatan, regresi berbilang linear menunjukkan bahawa pembajaan adalah parameter yang paling efektif terhadap hasil / pengeluaran padi dengan $R^2 = 0.85$, diikuti penanaman $R^2 = 0.80$ dan penyemburan racun perosak $R^2 = 0.52$. Input baja dan racun perosak diaplikasikan model pengoptimuman analisis envelopment data dengan menggunakan pakej pengoptimuman GAMS. Penggunaan model menunjukkan hanya terdapat 5 (16.7%) petak cekap untuk CCR-I dan CCR-O, dan hanya 7 (23.3%) petak yang cekap untuk BCC-I, dan 8 (26.7%) petak yang cekap untuk BCC-O model. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa sekitar 13.3% (18.1 kg / ha) dari jumlah input baja digunakan lebih banyak daripada nilai yang optimum yang diperlukan. Lebihan penggunaan bahan input antara 13.3% (18.1 kg / ha) untuk Nitrogen, 12% (10.9 kg / ha) untuk Fosfor 17.1% (11.4 kg / ha) untuk Kalium, Racun kulat 32.6%, Racun Perosak Cecair 34.2%. racun serangga serbuk 39.0%, dan Herbisida 28.9%. Dapat disimpulkan bahawa indeks kualiti untuk praktik dan operasi pertanian adalah (61.9 dan 100%) untuk penanaman, (26.2 dan 86.73%), untuk penanaman, (37.7 dan 56.4%) untuk racun perosak, (41.4 dan 78.71%) untuk baja, dan (37.5 dan 78.7%) untuk penuaian masing-masing, untuk pengurusan air indeks kualiti adalah 67.1%. Parameter yang paling berkesan terhadap hasil padi adalah baja, ke-2 adalah penanaman, ke-3 adalah racun perosak, ke-4 adalah penuaian, dan ke-5 adalah faktor tanah. Baja dan racun perosak dioptimumkan menggunakan model DEA, jumlah optimum dan akses ditunjukkan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful, all praise and thanks are due to Allah, and peace and blessings are upon His Messenger.

I would like to express my most sincere appreciation to those who made this work possible. Firstly, I would like to express my great gratitude to my respected supervisor Professor Ir. Dr. Azmi bin Dato Yahya for his invaluable advice and comments, constant encouragement, guidance, support, and patience through my study work. Equally the appreciation extends to the supervisory committee members Professor Ir. Dr. Nor Mariah binti Adam and Dr. Ahmed Suhaizi Mat Su for providing me the opportunity to complete my studies under their valuable guidance.

The authors are very grateful to Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing us with the research grant. The authors also are very grateful to both the Department of Agriculture (DOA) and Integrated Agricultural Development Authority (IADA) Rice Granary Area from the Ministry of Agriculture, Malaysia for providing us with technical assistance throughout our field engagement at the paddy fields in Kuala Selangor, Selangor.

The enormous support from the paddy farmers at Sungai Burong is also highly appreciated, especially Mr. Ramli bin Haleed. I also want to appreciate the kind assistance from Mr. Roshdi of our Machine Design Laboratory and my lab mates. The timely assistance from my wife Suha is highly acknowledged.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Azmi bin Dato Yahya, PhD, P.Eng.

Professor, Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Nor Mariah binti Adam, PhD, P.Eng.

Professor, Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ahmad Suhaizi bin Mat Su, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 October 2021

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted, and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory

Committee: Professor Ir. Dr. Azmi bin Dato Yahya

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory

Committee: Professor Ir. Dr. Nor Mariah binti Adam

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory

Committee: Dr. Ahmad Suhaizi bin Mat Su

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	Page
ABSTRAK	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	v
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
CHAPTER	xxvi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2. The Concept of Quality	4
1.3 Problem Statement	5
1.4 Research objectives	8
1.5 Scope of the study	9
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1. The GAP standard	10
2.1.1 History and development	10
2.1.2 GlobalGap	10
2.1.3 Sustainable Agricultural Production in GAP	11
2.1.4 Benefits of GAP for Farmers	11
2.2. ASEAN Gap	11
2.2.1 Thailand Gap	12
2.3. Good or Best Agricultural Practices in Rice	13
2.4. MyGAP	14
2.4.1 Classification of Rules of SALM Standard	14
2.5. Rice Check Standard	15
2.5.1 Historical and Development of Rice Check	15
2.5.2 Concepts of Rice Check	15
2.5.3 Malaysia Rice Check	16
2.6. Comparison Between GAP and Rice Check	17
2.7. Land Preparation Quality	18
2.8. Rice Planting Practices	19
2.8.1 Planting Techniques	19
2.8.2 Rice Direct Seeding Techniques	20
2.8.3 Transplanting Techniques	20
2.9. Water Management	21
2.10. Pests and Pesticides Application	21
2.10.1 Quantitative and Qualitative Crop Losses Due to the Pests	22
2.10.2 Pesticides Applications Methods and Techniques	22
2.11. Fertilizer Application	24
2.11.1 Fertilizer application timing and rating	24
2.11.2 Effect of Fertilizer on Grain Yield	25
2.12. Harvesting Operation	26
2.12.1 Type of Combine Harvesters	26

	2.12.2	Harvesting Field Performance and Quality	28
	2.12.3	Quality and Output of Harvesting Operation	28
	2.12.4	Effect of Harvester Passing on Soil Compaction	29
2.13.		Rice Check Standard Requirement for all Farming Steps	30
	2.13.1	Land Preparation	31
	2.13.2	Seeding	32
	2.13.3	Pesticides Spraying	33
	2.13.4	Water Management	34
	2.13.5	Weed Management	34
	2.13.6	Fertilizer Applications	34
	2.13.7	Harvesting Operation	35
2.14.		Weaknesses of Rice Check Standard	35
2.15.		The Concept of Four Rights (4Rs)	36
	2.15.1	Right Source	36
	2.15.2	Right Amount	36
	2.15.3	Right Time	37
	2.15.4	Right Place	37
2.16.		Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)	37
2.17.		Previous Researches Contributions	38
2.18.		General Review on the Standards in Agricultural Sector	39
3		MATERIALS AND METHODS	41
3.1.		Research Methodology Flow Chart	41
3.2.		Description of the Study Area	43
	3.2.1.	Climate Status	43
3.3		Data Collection	44
	3.3.1.	Farmers' Crop Management Practices	46
	3.3.2.	Soil Characteristics	47
	3.3.3.	Tillage Operations	48
	3.3.3.1.	Tillage Practices Data	48
	3.3.3.2.	Tillage Operations Data	48
	3.3.4.	Planting Operations	53
	3.3.4.1.	Planting Practices Data	53
	3.3.4.2.	Planting Operations Data	53
	3.3.5.	Water Irrigation Management	60
	3.3.6.	Chemical Spraying Applications	61
	3.3.6.1.	Chemical Spraying Practices Data	61
	3.3.6.2.	Chemical Spraying Operations Data	62
	3.3.7.	Fertilizer Applications	68
	3.3.7.1.	Fertilizer Practices Data	68
	3.3.7.2.	Fertilizer Broadcasting Operations Data	69
	3.3.8.	Harvesting Operations	71
	3.3.8.1.	Harvesting Practices Data	71
	3.3.8.2.	Harvesting Operation Data	71
3.4.		Statistical Analysis	78
3.5.		The Concept of Four Rights (4Rs)	78
	3.5.1.	Right Source	79
	3.5.2.	Right Amount	79
	3.5.3.	Right Time	79
	3.5.4.	Right Place	79
3.6.		Methods for calculation the Quality Index of agricultural Practices	79

3.7.	Methods for Calculation of the Operation Quality Index	80
3.7.1	Uniformity and Evenness	80
3.7.2	Area Coverage	80
3.7.3	Degree of Realizing the Objective	80
3.8.	Ranking the Key Parameters in Improving Rice Yield	81
3.9.	Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)	81
3.9.1.	Technical Efficiency (TE)	81
3.9.2.	Pure Technical Efficiency (PTE)	82
3.9.3.	Scale Efficiency (SE)	82
3.10.	Model Validation and Sensitivity Analysis	83
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	84
4.1	Land Preparations	84
4.1.1	Land Preparation Practices Quality	84
4.1.2	Tillage Operations Quality	86
4.2	Planting Operations	102
4.2.1	Planting Practices Quality	102
4.2.2	Planting Operations Quality	103
4.3	Water Irrigation Practices Quality	126
4.4	Chemical Spraying Applications	127
4.4.1	Chemical Spraying Practices Quality	127
4.4.2	Chemical Spraying Operations Quality	136
4.5	Fertilizer Applications	151
4.5.1	Fertilizer Practices Quality	151
4.5.2	Fertilizer Broadcasting Operations Quality	156
4.6	Harvesting Operations	168
4.6.1	Harvesting Practices Quality	168
4.6.2	Harvesting Operation Quality	169
4.7	Summary of the Quality Index	183
4.8	Ranking the Key Parameter in Improving Rice Yield	185
4.9	Inputs and Output Analysis and Optimizing Using DEA Models	191
4.9.1	Estimation Efficiencies Of Plots	192
4.9.1.1	Technical Efficiency	193
4.9.1.2	Pure Technical Efficiency	194
4.9.2	Comparing Input Use between Efficient And Inefficient Plots Based on CCR	195
4.9.3	Comparison between Efficient and Inefficient Plots Based on BCC	197
4.9.4	Comparison of Actual Versus Optimum Sources Inputs Based on Input-Oriented	197
4.9.5	Comparison of Actual and Optimum Inputs and Output Based On Output-Oriented	199
4.9.6	Resources Saving from Different Inputs in Inefficient Plots	201
4.9.7	Utilizing the Output of DEA	202
4.9.8	Model Validation	204
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	207
5.1	Conclusions	207
5.2	Novelty and Contributions of the Research Work	210
5.3	Recommendations for future research	211
	REFERENCES	212

APPENDICES	238
BIODATA OF STUDENT	258
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	259



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1 Technical specification of tillage machine and tool	46
3.2 Data collected before and after tillage operations during the field study from 2017 to 2018	52
3.3 Data collected before, after, and during seeding broadcasting operations during the field study from 2017 to 2018	57
3.4 Data collected before, after, and during mechanical seedlings transplanting operations during the field study from 2017 to 2018	60
3.5 Data collected before, after, and during pesticides operations during the field study from 2017 to 2018	67
3.6 Data collected before, after, and during fertilizer broadcasting operations during the field study from 2017 to 2018	70
3.7 Data collected before, after, and during harvesting operations during the field study from 2017 to 2018	78
4.1 Practices quality in land preparation during the first and second seasons of 2017 and 2018	85
4.2 Soil properties of two soil layers of samples for the first season	87
4.3 Soil properties of two soil layers of samples for the second season	87
4.4 Soil properties of two soil layers of samples for the third season	87
4.5 T-test for moisture content between tillage operations	93
4.6 Soil penetration resistance (MPa) in response to tillage operations during the first season	94
4.7 Soil penetration resistance (MPa) in response to tillage operations during the second season	94
4.8 Soil penetration resistance (MPa) in response to tillage operations during the third season	94
4.9 Depth of first and second tillage operation during first and second season 2017 and 2018.	100

4.10	Practices quality in planting during the first and second seasons 2017 and 2018	102
4.11	Summary of data for land preparing and planting losses	103
4.12	Quality and accuracy of planting for transplanted plots during the first and the second season 2017 and 2018	104
4.13	Quality and accuracy of planting for broadcasted plots during the first and the second season 2017 and 2018	105
4.14	Practice Quality of Water Irrigation	127
4.15	Practices quality of chemical spraying in the direct seeding rice field during the first and second seasons 2017 and 2018	128
4.16	Practices quality of chemical spraying in transplanting rice field during the first and second seasons 2017 and 2018	128
4.17	Quantities of pesticides used by farmers due to the recommended amount in Rice Check for first and second season 2017 and 2018	129
4.18	Evaluation of pesticides spraying timing by farmers according to the recommended spray	130
4.19	Summary of farmers practices of following Rice Check Economic Threshold Level (ETL)	131
4.20	Operation factors affect the quality of operational performance for Motorized Knapsack and Power Sprayer for the first and second seasons 2017 and 2018	132
4.21	Evaluation of the farmers' practices to control spraying drift in wetland paddy cultivation	134
4.22	Operator practices to control spraying drift if the weather changed during spray operations for the first and second seasons 2017 and 2018	135
4.23	Maximum, minimum, and mean walking speeds km/h for the operators of Motorized Knapsack Mistblower for two seasons 2017 and 2018	136
4.24	Maximum, minimum, and mean walking speed km/h for the operators of Power Sprayer for two seasons 2017 and 2018	136
4.25	Spray coverage drop/cm ² in the upper, medium, and lower part of the plant in 1, 2, and 3 meters away from the spray operators using Motorized Knapsack in the first and second seasons 2017 and 2018	144

4.26	Spray coverage drop/cm ² in the upper, medium, and lower part of the plant in 1, 2, and 3 meters away from the spray operators using Power Sprayer in the first and second seasons 2017 and 2018	144
4.27	Spray coverage drop/cm ² in the plant upper part for 1, 2, and 3 meters away from the spray operators using Motorized Knapsack in the first and second season 2017 and 2018	145
4.28	Spray coverage drop/cm ² in the plant medium part for 1, 2, and 3 meters away from the spray operators using Motorized Knapsack in the first and second season 2017 and 2018	145
4.29	Differences between spraying density droplet/cm ² between the upper, medium, and lower part of the plant at the same distance from spraying nozzle for Motorized Knapsack	146
4.30	Differences between spraying density droplet/cm ² between the upper, medium, and lower part of the plant at the same distance from spraying nozzle for Power Sprayer	146
4.31	Mean spray penetration index PI % into paddy canopy for three penetration levels at three distances from the sprayer for Knapsack and Power Sprayer for both first and second season 2017 and 2018	147
4.32	Spray penetration index PI % into paddy canopy for three penetration levels at three distances from the sprayer for Knapsack and Power Sprayer for first and second season 2017 and 2018	147
4.33	Spray penetration ratio for Knapsack and Power Sprayer for the first season and second season 2017 and 2018	148
4.34	Yield losses due to imperfection pesticide spray during first and second season 2017 and 2018	150
4.35	Rice Check requirement for fertilizers applications for right source, the right amount, and the right time	151
4.36	Evaluation of farmers' practices for fertilizers due to Rice Check in the broadcasted direct seeding rice field during first and second season 2017 and 2018	152
4.37	Evaluation of farmers' practices for fertilizers due to Rice Check in the mechanical transplanting rice seedling field during first and second season 2017 and 2018	152
4.38	Summary of the distributed amount of fertilizer g/m ² based on the broadcasted rate of fertilizer kg/ha and benchmarking with the potential distributed amount g/m ²	156

4.39	Average walking speeds km/ha of the operators during the first and second season 2017 and 2018	161
4.40	The average amount of yield ton/ha and fertilizers used N, P, and K kg/ha for the first season, second season, and two seasons together	166
4.41	Main factors of quality of farmer's practices in terms of harvesting due to the Rice Check	168
4.42	Quality of farmer's practices in terms of harvesting due to the Rice Check	169
4.43	Technical specification of combines	169
4.44	Crop parameters of harvesting plots	169
4.45	Harvesting performances of combines	170
4.46	Quality of collected grains in grain tank of conventional and mid-size combines)	172
4.47	Harvesting losses between conventional and mid-size combines	175
4.48	Threshing, Separation, and cleaning efficiency of conventional and mid-size combines	178
4.49	Terrain soil bulk density measurements with combines	180
4.50	Terrain soil moisture content with combines	181
4.51	Terrain soil penetration resistance measurements with combines	182
4.52	Summary of comparison quantity and quality of work between mid-size and conventional wetland rice combines	183
4.53	Quality Index of the involved farming practices in wetland rice cultivation in Malaysia	183
4.54	Quality index of the involved field operation in wetland rice cultivation in Malaysia	184
4.55	Coefficients of soil factors multiple linear regression	186
4.56	Multiple linear regression model summary for soil factors and broadcast seeding rice (off-season)	186
4.57	Analysis of Variance ANOVA of soil factors versus yield	186
4.58	Coefficients of plant factors multiple linear regression	187

4.59	Multiple linear regression model summary for plant factors	187
4.60	Analysis of Variance ANOVA of plant factors versus yield	187
4.61	Coefficients of pesticides factors multiple linear regression	188
4.62	Multiple linear regression model summary for pesticides factors	188
4.63	Analysis of Variance ANOVA of pesticides factors versus yield	188
4.64	Coefficients of fertilizer multiple linear regression	189
4.65	Multiple linear regression model summary for NPK fertilizers	189
4.66	Analysis of Variance ANOVA of NPK fertilizer versus yield	190
4.67	Coefficients of harvesting multiple linear regression	190
4.68	Multiple linear regression model summary for harvesting factors	190
4.69	Analysis of Variance ANOVA of NPK fertilizer versus yield	191
4.70	Ranking the parameters based on the most effective on yield and rice production	191
4.71	The weather condition in the first season and the second season	192
4.72	Statistics on Input/output original data for first and second season 2017 and 2018	192
4.73	Mean technical, pure technical, and scale efficiencies of the farmers for the first season of 2017	193
4.74	Mean technical, pure technical, and scale efficiencies of the farmers for the second season of 2018	193
4.75	Efficiencies scores distribution of plots for DEA CCR and BCC models for first 2017	194
4.76	Comparison of sources input and output yield ratios for efficient and inefficient plots for various DEA models for the first season 2017.	196
4.77	Fertilizer and pesticides input optimization using DEA CCR-I, and BCC-I models in the total farms including efficient and inefficient farms for first and second season 2017 and 2018	198
4.78	Fertilizer and pesticides input and yield output optimization using DEA CCR-O, and BCC-O models in the total farms including	200

efficient and inefficient farms for first and second season 2017 and 2018

4.79	The mean of the actual amount of materials input, yield output, and the optimum and the difference between actual and optimum for inefficient farms using CCR and BCC models for the first and second season 2017 and 2018	201
4.80	The sources, amount, and time of the optimum amount of fertilizers outcome from running the CCR-I model for broadcasted direct seeding rice method	203
4.81	The sources, amount, and time of the optimum amount of fertilizers outcome from running the CCR-I model for transplanting method	203
4.82	The sources, amount, and time of the optimum amount of fertilizers outcome from running the CCR-I model for transplanting method	203
4.83	The sources, amount, and time of the optimum amount of fertilizers outcome from running the CCR-I model for transplanting method	203
4.84	Coefficients, Analysis of Variance and model summary of the multiple linear regression prediction models	204
4.85	T-statistics Sensitivity analysis between actual and predicted yield using the optimum amount of input used in CCR-I and BCC-I model	205

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Flow chart for the whole study	42
3.2	Weather station standing at one of the rice plots in the center among the study plots	44
3.3	Flow chart for data collection	45
3.4	Tillage operation with four-wheel drive Kubota M9540 tractor	45
3.5	The planting operation with direct seeding (a) and mechanical transplanting (b)	47
3.6	Collecting samples for bulk density using Eijkelkamp sampler 50 mm (diameter) × 51 mm (height) cylindrical cores	49
3.7	Soil penetration resistance measurement in the field before and after tillage and harvesting operations using Penetrologger Eijkelkamp	50
3.8	Wind speed measurement in one of the studied farms using an anemometer	54
3.9	Plant height measurement in one of the studied farms using a metal ruler	59
3.10	Measuring the amount of pesticide for application (a) and recording Specifications of pesticides from the label (b)	61
3.11	Inspection and catching rice insects (rice bug) one of the high damage insects in the rice field	62
3.12	The flow rate of the power sprayer was calibrated and measured using a stopwatch and graduated cylinder	63
3.13	Distribution, canopy penetration, and coverage of pesticides spraying measurement	65
3.14	The operators performing pesticides application in one of the studied plots	66
3.15	The operator is applying fertilizer with a Motorized Knapsack mist duster	68
3.16	Measurement of fertilizer application distribution g/m ² in one of the studied farm	69

3.17	Drivers performing harvesting operations in the study area	71
3.18	Measurement of rice yield and yield components	72
3.19	A document shows the weight of the lorry before and after unloading and the yield net weight of kg	77
4.1	Soil bulk density in response to repeated passing tillage 1st season	88
4.2	Soil bulk density in response to repeated passing tillage 1st season	88
4.3	Soil porosity in response to repeated passing tillage 1st season	92
4.4	Soil porosity in response to repeated passing tillage 2nd season	92
4.5	Soil penetration resistance (MPa) in response to tillage operations during the first season	95
4.6	Soil penetration resistance (MPa) in response to tillage operations during the second season	96
4.7	Correlation between PR and GMC in the topsoil layer 0 to 10 cm	97
4.8	Correlation between PR and GMC in hardpan layer 10 to 20 cm	97
4.9	Correlation between PR and Db in the topsoil layer 0 to 10 cm	98
4.10	Correlation between PR and Db in the hardpan layer 10 to 20 cm	98
4.11	Coefficient of variation of seed distance for the first season 2017	107
4.12	Coefficient of variation of seed distance for second season 2018	107
4.13	Percentage of hill planting losses of transplanting for the first season	108
4.14	Percentage of hill planting losses of transplanting for the second season	109
4.15	Linear correlation between penetration resistance at 10 cm depth and planting losses for transplanting for the first season	110
4.16	Linear correlation between penetration resistance at 10 cm depth and planting losses for transplanting for the second season	110
4.17	Linear correlation between water depth and planting losses for transplanting in the first season	111
4.18	Linear correlation between water depth and planting losses for transplanting in the second season	111

4.19	Linear correlation of penetration resistance versus flooding period for the first season	113
4.20	Linear correlation of penetration resistance versus sedimentation period for the first season	113
4.21	Linear correlation of planting losses versus flooding period for the first season in mechanical transplanted rice	114
4.22	Linear correlation of planting losses versus flooding period for the second season in mechanical transplanted rice	114
4.23	Linear correlation of planting losses versus sedimentation period for the first season in mechanical transplanted rice	116
4.24	Linear correlation of planting losses versus sedimentation period for and the second season in mechanical transplanted rice	117
4.25	Linear correlation between tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² versus hill/m ² for the second season in mechanical transplanted rice	117
4.26	Effect of seeding density/m ² on tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² for the first season in broadcasted direct seeding	119
4.27	Effect of planting density/m ² on tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² for the first season in broadcasted direct seeding rice (average of main and off-season)	120
4.28	Linear correlation between missing hill/m ² , floating hill/m ² , buried hill/m ² , and total lost hill/m ² versus tillers/m ² for the second season in mechanical transplanted rice	121
4.29	Linear correlation between missing hill/m ² , floating hill/m ² , buried hill/m ² , and total lost hill/m ² versus panicles/m ² for the first season in mechanical transplanted rice	122
4.30	Linear correlation for hill/m ² , seedling/hill, and seedlings/m ² versus yield for transplanted rice for the first season	123
4.31	Linear correlation for seeding/m ² , seed losses/m ² , plant/m ² , and planting losses/m ² versus yield for direct seeding for the first season)	124
4.32	Linear correlation between missing hill/m ² , floating hill/m ² , buried hill/m ² , and total lost hill/m ² versus yield for the second season in mechanical transplanted rice	125
4.33	Coefficient of variation of operator speed of Knapsack for the first season 2017 and second season 2018	137

4.34	Coefficient of variation of operators' speed of Power Sprayer for the first season 2017 and second season 2018	138
4.35	Mean operators' walking speeds km/h for Motorized Knapsack during first season 2017 and second season 2018	138
4.36	Mean operators walking speeds km/h for Power Sprayer during first season 2017 and second season 2018	139
4.37	Nozzle height (cm) over the plant from first to sixth spray application for first and second season 2017 - 2018	140
4.38	Nozzle orientation angle over plant during late spraying 60 DAT to 96 DAT for first season 2017 compared to the standard orientation angle	141
4.39	Nozzle orientation angle over plant during late spraying 60 DAT to 96 DAT for second season 2018 compared to the standard orientation angle	142
4.40	Correlation between nozzle orientation and penetration ratio (cm) of Motorized Knapsack and Power Sprayer for first season 2017	142
4.41	Correlation between nozzle orientation and penetration ratio (cm) of Motorized Knapsack and Power Sprayer for second season 2018	143
4.42	Rice panicle affected and grain became empty by infection of rice bug during first season 2017	150
4.43	A destroyed rice plant due to the infection by brown planthopper (BPH) during the second season of 2018	150
4.44	Benchmarking the actual time of 1st fertilizer application with the standardized starting and lasting day date in Rice Check in transplanting method	153
4.45	Benchmarking the actual time of 1st fertilizer application with the standardized starting and lasting day date in Rice Check in direct seeding method	154
4.46	Benchmarking the actual time of 4th fertilizer application with the standardized starting and lasting day date in Rice Check in transplanting method	154
4.47	Benchmarking the actual time of 4th fertilizer application with the standardized starting and lasting day date in Rice Check in direct seeding method	155

4.48	The actual distributed amount of fertilizer g/m ² as compared to the potential distributed amount for the second application	157
4.49	The actual distributed amount of fertilizer g/m ² as compared to the potential distributed amount for the fifth application	158
4.50	Coefficient of variation of the actual distributed amount of fertilizer g/m ² for the first application	159
4.51	Coefficient of variation of the actual distributed amount of fertilizer g/m ² for the second application	160
4.52	Coefficient of variation of working speed for every operator for first season 2017	161
4.53	Different walking speeds for the same operator during operation	162
4.54	High variation of different walking speeds for the same operator during different operations	162
4.55	Correlation between Nitrogen kg/ha versus tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² for the first season 2017	163
4.56	Correlation between Nitrogen kg/ha versus tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² for the second season 2018	163
4.57	Correlation between Phosphorus kg/ha versus tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² for the first season 2017	164
4.58	Correlation between Phosphorus kg/ha versus tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² for the second season 2018	165
4.59	Correlation between Potassium kg/ha versus tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² for the first season 2017	65
4.60	Correlation between Potassium kg/ha versus tillers/m ² and panicles/m ² for the second season 2018	166
4.61	Correlation between N, P, and K versus yield for the first season 2017	167
4.62	Correlation between N, P, and K versus yield for the second season 2018	167
4.63	The whole healthy grain in the tangential and axial flow combine harvesters	172
4.64	Quality of output grain in tangential and axial flow combine harvesters	173

4.65	Total, threshing, and cleaning losses of the tangential combine and axial flow combine harvesters	176
4.66	Threshing, Separation, and cleaning efficiency of conventional and mid-size combines	179
4.67	Predicted and measured paddy yield using the CCR-I model	205
4.68	Predicted and measured paddy yield using BCC-I model	205



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

M_d	Mass of dry soil sample (grams).
BCC-I	Banker, Charnes and Copper, Input Oriented
BCC-O	Banker, Charnes and Copper, Output Oriented
BDS	Broadcasted Direct Seeding
CCR-I	Charnes, Cooper and Rhodes, Input Oriented
CCR-O	Charnes, Cooper and Rhodes, Output Oriented
DAP	Days After Planting
DAT	Days After Transplanting
DEA	Data Envelopment Analysis
DMU	Decision-Making Unit
D_b	Bulk Density g/cm ³
D_p	Soil particle Density g/cm ³
GMC	Gravimetric Water Content
MC	Moisture Content
MOG	Material Other Than Grain
MTP	Mechanic Transplanting
PR	Penetration Resistance MPa
SPR	Soil Penetration Resistance MPa
TE	Technical Efficiency
PTE	Pure Technical Efficiency
V	Soil Volume
VMC	Volumetric water content
ϕ	Total porosity

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

One of the great challenges that face people in the world every day is how to feed the greatest growth of the global population which is rising uncontrollably and expected to increase to nine billion by the year 2050 (FAOSTAT 2018). While the availability of agricultural arable land is declining year after year due to the huge increase of urbanization, and the soil problems caused by flooding, drought, and increasing salinity levels. Also, weather conditions and climate changes could affect the harvested yield in both quality and quantity. Nowadays, agriculture is considered as very low income and discourages the sector for people to work in, and this also affects food production. Rice is one of the most essential staple foods for a large part of the world's human population as well as Malaysia. The country depends on imported rice to meet the shortage of domestic productions against demands. Increasing production and enhancing paddy productivity could be achieved through the implementation of the best and most effective agricultural practices and the quality of output of farming practices and operations.

To realize world food sufficient based on agricultural food production, agricultural practices, and machinery operations must be managed in a quality manner to achieve high output within the same input used and minimize both the losses and miss placing inputs of the crop in the field. These farming quality management systems should be involved in all rice production stages. Firstly, land preparation and physical properties of the soil should be managed and controlled to be the base of increasing rice production by creating a suitable proportion between the three main factors of solid, water, and gaseous (Gliński and Lipiec., 1990) and availability of adequate porosity that allows the plant to uptake the adequate needed of water, mineral, and fertility. Soil physical properties are considered a vital role in plant growth. No doubt that tillage influences and affects the soil's physical properties. The first step to guaranteeing that the crop can achieve the highest potential yield is land preparation in a way ensuring that the crops found the optimum conditions and uptake an adequate amount of needed material for the necessary development. Rice fields should be ready for planting at the right time. Tillage is the main tool used for land preparation and soil physical properties controlling and management, if it is used properly could be an important means to prepare the land in the direction of achieving the potential utility and productivity. On the other hand, tillage could have a negative impact if it does not use properly causing soil degradation accelerated erosion, declination of soil fertility and organic matter, and so on. Proper tillage prepares land perfectly, controls weeds, recycles and distributes plant nutrients, and softens the mass of soil to facilitate the working of mechanical transplanting. One of the important factors of preparing land is leveling the field as it improves the efficiency of water using, shares in yield increasing, and improves the quality of grain as it ensures uniform and adequate water availability for the plant. Many researchers showed that the increase of soil strength decreased the yield, it could be measured by the determination of soil penetration resistance. Tillage affects soil physical properties such as soil bulk

density, penetration resistance, and soil porosity. As reported by (Hillel, 1982) when the soil contains 50% solid particles and 50% pore space volume it considers ideal soil. The level of bulk density commonly ranges from 0.9 to 1.8g/cm³ (Erbach, 1987). Husnjak et al. (2002) reported that there is a strong reciprocal dependence between bulk density and crop yield, while there is a strong direct dependence between porosity and crop yield.

The second step in achieving high production and productivity is the quality of planting to establish the plant in a perfect condition that allows the crop to grow healthy and in proper spacing with an optimum density with the least seeding and planting losses. Rice plants by two main methods direct seeding and transplanting, every one of them has many types and many machines used for that purpose. The transplanting technique is used widely in most countries in Asia, as rice is normally grown by transplanting the young seedlings into a wet puddled soil where the land is prepared by performing tillage or puddling in a flooded or saturated soil (Kumar and Ladha, 2011). Both of these methods of direct seeding and transplanting have advantages and disadvantages. However, in the transplanting method weed is efficiently managed better than indirect seeding which always gave a higher yield than direct seeding (Ehsanullah et al., 2007). Looking towards the labor shortage in the farm manual transplanting operations in some countries, governments promote mechanized transplanting to make farming more profitable. The farmers have come forward to adopt transplanting with the help of a self-propelled rice transplanting machine. Due to small landholding and farmers' weak economic position, they are not in a position to purchase the machine individually, but on a hiring basis, the technology should be adopted (Guru et al., 2018). The uneven field surface is the main factor influencing the performance of paddy mechanical transplanting, well puddled and leveled field is crucial with no standing water on the soil surface as it creates more floating hills. Before transplanting operation, the fields were puddled uniformly and left for 24 hours to allow the puddled soil to settle down completely and to avoid soil flow to ensure better seedling establishment. Mechanical self-propelled rice transplanting machine has many problems with wet puddled soil in wetland cultivation areas as same as other agricultural machinery. Poor traction, sinkage, and steerability are the main problems of the transplanting machine. This problem could be avoided if the field was prepared properly and did not loosen more than the soil required level, the soil should have sufficient bearing capacity to hold the machine without sinking (Behera, 2009).

The farmers tend to soften and loosen the topsoil too much which leads to these problems to the mechanical transplanting machine. Farmers do not follow Rice Check recommendation in drainage the field before the harvesting operation this makes it impossible to drain the field after harvesting due to the huge grooves made by the harvester, this leads to submerge the soil with water for a long time and delay the first plowing, and that leads to softening and loosening the soil more than the required. Transplanted rice showed a significant effect as compared to direct-seeded rice in plant growth characters like plant height and plant population/m². At harvest, transplanted crop recorded significant effect in all growth characters as compared to direct-seeded which might be due to optimum spacing between every two rows and the distance between a plant that resulted in less competition between the plants, higher nutrient uptake due to even planting distribution and lesser weed infestation, light interception and so, all the characters such as plant height, plant population/m² were more in transplanting condition

than direct seeding (Konwar et al., 2018). To gain satisfied crop yield, there should plant a suitable amount of seed in a suitable prepared area uniformly.

The third step of improving crop productivity and increasing production and crop yield is improved water efficiency, and by controlling and managing irrigation systems, and the drainage system which is crucial to achieving a full potential yield of rice. Produce optimum rice yields through continuous flowing flooding irrigation, with 10 to 15 cm depth of water and that is perfect to achieve the optimum output of grain yield, availability of optimum supply of nutrients, and control weeds excellently with this depth and with using herbicides.

The fourth step for gaining high crop yield and improving productivity is the management and quality of chemical spraying to avoid or minimize the losses due to pests and diseases to the least limit. Huge competition faces the crop with weed and weedy rice, these species fight for space, water, and nutrient. Insects are big harm to the crop, outbreaks of pests and diseases such as brown planthopper (BPH) or Stem Borer could destroy the whole crop or at least make huge losses of the crop yield that distress the farmers. So, farmers should be aware that their crops should be always and all-time be protected in every small area and every individual plant. The major challenges that face agricultural production are the losses of yield caused by pathogens, pests, and weeds (Oerke and Dehne, 2004). Reducing and minimizing pest and insects' impact on the crop is believable to add significantly to the global harvested quantity and quality of rice. Rice faces more than 100 known kinds of pests including insects, fungal diseases, and weeds, these pests can cause significant and severe damage to the rice plant, and as mentioned above there are some insects and diseases that can destroy the entire whole harvest crop. Proper and quality pesticide spraying is very important for agricultural crop production with the protection of human health. Quality pesticide applications depend on the quality of pesticides product of the active ingredient, which achieves the goal of elimination of pests without causing unacceptable effects if it is used as the recommended amount using the recommended machine and in a recommended amount of water to solute the chemical. Nowadays, agricultural crop production relay mainly on pesticides to reduce losses caused by insect pests, diseases, and weeds which could reduce the quantity and quality of harvestable products markedly. All other traditional means and even biological methods do not realize the target.

The fifth step to raise the crop yield and increase production is perfect fertilization and adequate plant nutrition management. Fertilizer should give to the plant when it needs it, so the right time of fertilizer application is among the main roles that affect the quality of fertilization and could achieve high and beneficial output. Fertilizers need high control to guarantee uniform distribution in the field. As a result of low-quality control, deficiency and high costs are being incurred. To achieve the highest potential crop yield, an adequate amount of fertilizer should be distributed in the intended area uniformly. Fertilizer distributed in a non-uniform way in the field leads to inequality of fertilizer distribution and all the plants may not get the required nutrients. Farmers should use the efficient rates of fertilizer applications at the appropriate timing to increase crop productivity and maintain the environmental sustainability. To ensure high fertility uptake by the plant, it is better to apply granular fertilizer in many splits in several and

different times according to the growing stage to ensure that the plant takes the adequate amount of needed fertilizer at the suitable timing without insufficient infertility at the critical stages of growth, tillering, panicle initiation, heading, and grain filling.

The sixth step to realizing and achieving the targeted rice yield and quality is harvesting in a high-quality performance. Harvesting is one of the most important activities to sustain the productivity and quality of rice. Nowadays, combine harvesters have been playing an increasingly important role in modern agricultural production in recent years, they are being employed massively and continuously in harvesting rice in Malaysia. Harvesting and threshing, play an important role to reduce postharvest rice losses of the fully matured rice crops and the quality of milled rice as well (Chandrajith, et al.,2016). The loss rates are important factors in harvesting, but also the quality of rice is a major concern. To produce the highest quality and quantity of rice possible, the effects of combine operating parameters over the entire range of rice conditions are required. Grain losses due to harvesting with a combine harvester are one of the main focuses regarding waste and loss control, they are important parameters to weigh the performance of a combine harvester. The harvest system should perform with a minimum loss, maximum efficiency, and maximum return for all involved. The cleanliness and healthy condition of the product are primary concerns for rice consumption. The combine harvesters should not cause negative impacts on the quantity and the quality of paddy grains which will seriously affect the profitability of the crop.

The performance of the combine harvester should work in trend for reduced grain losses. Grain losses during harvest represent a direct loss of income for the farmers. In some countries, it is perceived that reasonable small grain loss should reach a maximum of 3 % of the total crop yield. It is very important to determine total losses and quality of harvested mass in the rice harvest combines, not only in terms of economic calculation and determination of total yield and the effects of the harvester but also for informing users when harvesters are rented or are engaged in the machinery rings. The concept of machinery rings extends beyond the sharing of farm machinery and includes access to other resources such as farm labor, competitively priced commodities, farm supplies (e.g. fuels, fertilizer, seed), and training. The introduction of combined harvesters in the technological process of rice harvesting is to reduce the total losses and raise quality harvested mass, which also, means a higher yield of rice in the harvester bunker (Barac et al.,1990).

1.2 The Concept of Quality

Quality control in all agricultural production operations is difficult to achieve perfection. In manufacturing system, quality control started earlier, but in agriculture, till this day it cannot say there is a known system for quality control that farmers can follow, just a regional trial and not completed system such as total quality management system (TQM) that is already applied in the industrial sector. In agriculture, this needs more research, there are huge variations in materials, machines used, the timeline of operations, quality, and efficiency of operation implementation, and the skills and education of the operators and employees, besides their concern about quality control as these peoples want just to

finish their jobs in any way and do not look to the results and outputs, farmers probably do not give the activity the required care that it deserves. Also, this sector needs a uniform comprehensive, and completed standard that farmers are forced to follow. Nowadays, there are many standards in Malaysia concerning agriculture such as MyGap, Rice Check, and ISO, but still, all these standards do not cover all issues in crop production operations.

The practices and operations aim to ensure that the production of crops through all agricultural operations is carried out according to the standard specifications to ensure farmers use the right source of materials and machines, with the right amount not lesser not more, at a right time not before and not delaying it and put all that in the right place not out of the small intended place to achieve the aim of operations, and to avoid wasting of resources, after all, which produce the product that the of customers need and satisfy. The fact, is now there is no process of inspecting the output of any agricultural operations if they meet the required quality standards or not. Agricultural practices and operations need to be checked during and after the completion of the agricultural production operation's weaknesses and faults. Inspection of quality is achieved by measuring and evaluating the output of operations for every field taking random samples that are appropriate to the type of operation quality. The quality control's main objective is to check and ensure that the farming system follows the standard, and is achieved by using inspection. The quality control system could be achieved through inspection and then making corrections procedures. The Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle is the operating principle of all quality management systems. Plan to establish objectives and draft the plan, do: implement the plans, check: measure and monitor the actual results against the planned objectives, act: correct and improve the plans to meet and exceed the planned results. Inspection is intended for quality control to prevent and avoid faults that could affect the crop product. Farmers could be inspectors for their farms check during and after the operations and make corrections procedures.

1.3 Problem Statement

The challenges keys facing farmers today are improving rice productivity and grain quality, so they could increase their income if they achieved this. The main factors that affect the rice crop yield are the selection of good hybrids seed variety, weeds and weedy rice, the infestation of pests and diseases in the field, managing water irrigation inefficiently, and climatic changes. Farmers do not understand the contribution of each factor to overall rice crop yield production and grain quality, and they do not have selected strategies to integrate high quantity with high quality.

Farmers till the soil because they used to do so, but the problem is they do not know what the limit and amount of soil loosening and inversion they should do. The soil in Sungai Burong is fine textured and very soft soil, the moisture content is always very high, and the soil does not need more loosening because it already loosened, but farmers keep plow the soil three times every season, this plowing loosens the soil too much and that affects the other operations such as mechanical transplanting that come after tillage, besides the loosened soil prevent strong contact between the plant and the soil which may affect the

uptake of mineral material and fertility. The reason that the soil is too loosened is that the farmers do not follow Rice Check recommendations in terms of water drainage before harvesting, this makes water drainage after harvesting impossible, and this leads to submerging the soil for a long time leads to softening and loosening the soil. Farmer's practices do not result in the optimal soil physical properties, which is required for planting operation and plant growth, and thus affect the crop yield by reducing planting density and reducing fertility uptake. So, tillage does not achieve its aim.

Puddling harms the topsoil layer by loosening it more than the required level because the puddling operation performed in very high moisture content, it also, consumes a large quantity of the total water requirement in rice because farmers flood the field up to 10 cm for more than 2 days avoiding following Rice Check standard requirement. For efficient working of self-propelled rice transplanting machine, a suitable puddle soil condition, degree of puddling, an optimum depth of puddling, optimum bulk density, the standardized water depth, and soil strength of the puddle wheel should be done following the standard. This affects the spacing of transplanted paddy in the rows and between rows, the number of planting seedlings within the hill, degree of vertical standing, and depth of planting which should be maintained within the standardized system to obtain high quality of transplanting.

Farming planting practices do not achieve the required planting density and spacing, for transplanting the soil conditions affect their performance and that lead to planting missing, number of hill per square meter, number of seedlings/hill and number of seedlings/m², is not done as the required. Also, the field condition affects the row spacing which is not adjustable, and the distance between the plants, which were calculated based on previous research, so any negative effect could affect the performance of planting growth. The field condition and land preparation affect also the depth of planting and the planting angle which affect plant growth. So, the imperfection of land preparation is the main reason for the weakness of mechanical transplanting performance.

Farmers do not care about the required schedule of water irrigation for the rice plant and the required depth of water. Many times during the season, plants suffer from insufficient and inadequate water, which affects the grain yield. Also during fertilizers broadcasting and pesticide spraying operations, all the gates in or out of irrigation or drainage should be closed, but in reality, many times during these operations the gates were open because farmers forgot that. Also, the farmers do not keep the depth of water at the required level during these operations, which affects the quality of operations especially during fertilizer broadcasting operations, and that share and cause fertility losses. Improve water control by better irrigation and drainage to achieve full potential yield rice is missing in the farming system. Producing optimum rice yields through continuous flooding irrigation with 10 to 15 cm of water depth is optimum for fertility efficiency, fighting weeds, grain filling, and high grain yield. Land leveling also affects the uniform and even distribution of the water in the field.

Farmers do not use the efficient rates of fertilizer applications in the appropriate timing, and in the right places which could share in increasing crop productivity and maintaining

environmental sustainability. Farmers apply fertilizers in a split way and in many times, but the applications periods are very closed which causes the plant to take the greatest amount of fertility earlier, besides, there would be times and stages that plant need nutrition, but there was no more application because the fertilizer is already applied before, so the timing is very important and also, the applying of enough amount during the important stage tillering, panicle initiation and grain filling. One of the problems related to the fertilizer application is that the broadcasting operators do not achieve the fertilizer broadcasting operations quality through the evened and uniformed distribution of granular fertilizer, this is an important factor that guarantees the success of agriculture (Cunha and Soares Filho, 2016), the operator does not perform the distribution in a uniform and accurate way, so the fertilizer does not reach the right place, some plant could not get the adequate amount of fertility. The perfection of fertilizer performance affects crop production (Sanaeifar and Sheikhdavoodi, 2012). The farmers do not follow perfectly the schedule and they do not use chlorophyll meter or leaf color chart LCC to determine the actual needs of the plant and perform the application based on that. The fertilizer should apply following the four rights, right source, the right amount, right time, and right place, but unfortunately, they do not follow that.

Farmers do not monitor and examine their plants closely to diagnose the nature and source of pests and diseases accurately and evaluate the problem for specific needs management to their paddy plots, but they just follow a traditional schedule and practices to do so. Synthetic pesticides should be used at a specific time in the pests' life cycle. Farmers should spray with the least toxic, most effective, and most pest-specific chemicals available. The timing of chemical applications is critical to effective pest control. Farmers should carefully read the manufacturer's instructions label about how to use the product and how often they should spray. The objective of pesticide spraying operations is to put an adequate amount of the effective active ingredient in a uniform dose to the target in the suitable time of the pest life cycle in an environmentally friendly and safe. Cost-Effective control of insect pests and diseases could be achieved, if the population of pests reaches the known Economic Threshold Level (ETL), a pesticide product with the right action mode should be used. Satisfied control depends on several factors such as choosing suitable equipment including the spraying machine and the working nozzle, application timing, size of the droplet, level of drift, coverage percentage, penetration index, and ratio. One problem of the current practices is the uneven and ununiformed distribution of the chemical spraying solution irregularly in the field which may affect the controlling of the damaging pests and diseases, especially those located and live at the lower part of the plants, where spraying deposition and cover amount of chemical spraying are not effective and enough, besides increase spray drift which reduces the quality of spraying. The farmers and spray operators do not consider the weather status and field conditions for specific local and temporal situations, which comprise a set of uncontrollable factors able to impair application efficiency and that should be taken into consideration (Doruchowski et al, 2013). The weather situation affects the quality of spraying and increases the spraying drift.

Increasing rice production, rice yields, and reducing losses caused by pests and diseases could be achieved through controlling pests, weeds and weedy rice effectively is the main objective of quality pesticide spraying operation. Farmers do not examine the plant damaged by pests across the rice field before and after the pesticide applications and do

not avoid outbreaks of disease and pests. They should spray the intended area with recommended pesticides following Rice Check Economic Threshold Level (ETL), but they just follow the traditional schedule, they rarely make a visual examination of the crop for defected grain by diseases and insects or review and record plant damages by pest survey and control. Sustainable pest and disease management reduce losses and costs while minimizing on-farm and off-farm health and environmental impacts.

In harvesting, farmers do not care or measure the harvesting losses rate which is an important factor, besides the quality of rice as a major concern which is also negligible. Farmers do not evaluate or even care about the effects of combine operating parameters over the entire range of rice conditions, which is required to produce the highest quality and quantity of rice possible by reducing harvesting loss, increasing the whole healthy grain percentage, and reducing the damaged grain and the materials other than grain (MOG). It is worth mentioning that by using Service Providers, farmers have no choice and have to rush for harvest even with a low level of maturity. Grain losses due to harvesting with a combine harvester are one of the main focuses regarding waste and loss control, they are important parameters to weigh the performance of a combine harvester. The harvest system should perform with the least loss, least grain damage, least dockage, and materials other than grain (MOG), with maximum efficiency and maximum return for whole healthy grain. Farmers and also the drivers do not aware that the combine harvesters should not cause negative impacts on the quantity and the quality of paddy grains, which will seriously affect the amount of produced grain, the profitability of the crop, and quality operation. The mean of the total grain losses is more than 3%, which is higher than the standard. The percentage of damaged grains such as husked and broken grains is not lesser than 1%. The percentage of materials other than grain (MOG) is not lesser than 1% of the total grains.

In this study, Rice Check used as a benchmarking standard for the farming practices and operations, and that because Rice Check is a comprehensive standard that includes all agricultural operations quality keys in perfect scheduling showing the source of materials, tools, and machines, the amount of material and machines that should be used, in a detailed time to cover specific placing. MyGap just gives instructions for using pesticides, fertilizer, and soil conservation in a way that does not harm the product and the environment. ISO 22006 includes guidelines for the application of ISO 9001:2008 to crop production, it focused mainly on customer satisfaction and record of all agricultural activities, it has some strong points but it is more generalized not in detail as same as Rice Check.

1.4 Research Objectives

The main objective of the study is to evaluate the current status of quality management in paddy cultivation by measuring and evaluating each component in all agricultural operations and practices in terms of accuracy, effectiveness, quality, compliance with applicable standard Rice Check.

Specific Objectives:

1. To evaluate the quality of agricultural practices and operations in wetland rice cultivation based on the existing national standards Rice Check.
2. To rank the agricultural parameters based on the effectiveness of rice yield, and determine the most effective key parameter for improving the quantity production in wetland rice cultivation.
3. To use data envelopment analysis (DEA) to minimize the effective inputs with fixed quantity production, and maximize the quantity production with fixed effective inputs. Then validate the two optimization models.

1.5 Scope of the Study

Paddy in Malaysia is cultivated in lowland (wetland) and upland (dryland), this study is limited to lowland paddy fields. The study area is in Sungai Borung in east-west Selangor the best area in rice productivity and yield. These plots under study were chosen because the farmers are concerned about their farms and keep records of their agricultural activities, have a good education level, and show their willingness to cooperate. There are two seasons in Malaysia, main season (dry season) and off-season (wet season), this study included both seasons, main season in 2017 and off-season in 2018. Farmers in Malaysia cultivate paddy using both transplanting and direct seeding cultivation, this study includes both systems, transplanting and direct seeding. For transplanting paddy, this study did not include the nursery stage because the farmers do not plant seedlings on their farm, they just buy it from producers. Both broadcasting and transplanting systems are performed on puddled soil. Farmers practicing during every season known operations namely slashing or burning the straw, pre-spraying, tillage, planting (broadcasting or transplanting), water irrigation, paddy embroidery, fertilizing, spraying, weeding, drainages and harvesting. The research is, however, limited to evaluating all operations mentioned above that were practiced by farmers in the study area. The study does not include leveling because farmers do not level their fields regularly. Malaysian Rice Check was used to evaluate the quality of farming practices and determined the quality index based on the four rights (4Rs) the right source, the right amount, the right time, and the right place. Rice Check has a detailed schedule that contains the type of materials, machine, and tools, the amount of materials, the time of operation, and the place that should be covered. To develop quality assessment, the study utilized data collected from operations (tillage, planting, irrigation, fertilizing, spraying, and harvesting) because of their relationship with paddy yield. The study includes using a mathematical model for optimizing the inputs of fertilizer because it is the main factor that affects the paddy output.

REFERENCES

- Adeboye, O. C., Ajadi, S. O., & Fagbohun, A. B. (2006). An Accurate Mathematical Formula for Estimating Plant Population in a Four Dimensional Field of Sole Crop. *Journal of Agronomy*, 5(2), 289–292. doi:10.3923/ja.2006.289.292
- Afza, K. N., Mohamad, O., & Alias, I. (2017). Variation of panicle characteristics in seven rice genotypes and F 2 populations. *J. Trop. Agric. and Fd. Sc*, 45(1), 89–99.
- Agenbag, G. A., & Maree, P. C. J. (1991). Effect of tillage on some soil properties, plant development and yield of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in stony soil. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 21(1–2), 97–112. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987\(91\)90008-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987(91)90008-L)
- Ahmad, T., Ali, Z., & Mahmood, H. S. (2017). Factors causing low head rice recovery in combine-harvested paddy. *Science Technology and Development*, 36(2), 84-90. <https://doi.org/10.3923/std.2017.84.90>
- Ahuja, M. (2016). Studies on axial flow paddy thresher equipped with modified mechanical feeding systems (Doctoral dissertation, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana). In Farm Machinery And Power Engineering Department of Farm Machinery and Power Engineering.
- Ahuja, M., Dogra, B., Narang, M., & Dogra, R. (2017). Development and Evaluation of Axial Flow Paddy Thresher Equipped with Feeder Chain Type Mechanical Feeding System. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 23(2), 1–10. doi:10.9734/cjast/2017/35696
- Ahuja SS, Dhaliwal IS, Sharma VK (1986) Performance evaluation of IRRI-PAK axial flow thresher on wheat and paddy. *J Agric Eng* 23(1):18-23.
- Aikins, S., & Afuakwa, J. (2012). Effect of four different tillage practices on soil physical properties under cowpea. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*, 3(1), 17–24. <https://doi.org/10.5251/abjna.2012.3.1.17.24>
- Aimrun, W., Amin, M. S. M., & Eltaib, S. M. (2004). Effective porosity of paddy soils as an estimation of its saturated hydraulic conductivity. *Geoderma*, 121(3–4), 197–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2003.11.010>
- Aimrun, W., Amin, M. S. M., & Nouri, H. (2011). Paddy Field Zone Characterization using Apparent Electrical Conductivity for Rice Precision Farming. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6(1), 10–28. doi:10.3923/ijar.2011.10.28
- Al-Gaadi, K. A. (2010). Effect of nozzle height and type on spray density and distribution for a ground field sprayer. *J. Saudi Soc. for Agric. Sci*, 9(1), 1-12.
- Alam, M. K., Islam, M. M., Salahin, N., & Hasanuzzaman, M. (2014). Effect of Tillage Practices on Soil Properties and Crop Productivity in Wheat-Mungbean-Rice

Cropping System under Subtropical Climatic Conditions. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 1–15. doi:10.1155/2014/437283

Alexandratos, N., & Bruinsma, J. (2012). World agriculture towards 2015/2030: The 2012 Revision. In *ESA Working Paper*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8377\(03\)00047-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8377(03)00047-4)

Alizadeh, M. R., & Allameh, A. (2013). Evaluating rice losses in various harvesting practices. *International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences*, 4(4), 894-901.

Alam, M. M., Hasanuzzaman, M., & Nahar, K. (2009). Tiller dynamics of three irrigated rice varieties under varying phosphorus levels. *Differences*, 2, 3. Amekawa, Y. (2009). Reflections on the Growing Influence of Good Agricultural Practices in the Global South. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 22(6), 531–557. doi:10.1007/s10806-009-9171-8

Amekawa, Y. (2009). Reflections on the Growing Influence of Good Agricultural Practices in the Global South. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 22(6), 531–557. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-009-9171-8>

Amin, M., Khan, M. J., Jan, M. T., ur Rehman, M., Tariq, J. A., Hanif, M., & Shah, Z. (2014). Effect of different tillage practices on soil physical properties under wheat in semi-arid environment. *Soil Environ*, 33(1), 33-37.

Ariane Vallet, Cyril Tinet, & Jean-Paul Douzals. (2016). Effect of Nozzle Orientation on Droplet Size and Droplet Velocity from Vineyard Sprays. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology B*, 5(10). <https://doi.org/10.17265/2161-6264/2015.10.004>

Arvidsson, J. (1999). Nutrient uptake and growth of barley as affected by soil compaction. *Plant and Soil*, 208(1), 9–19. doi:10.1023/a:1004484518652

ASAE Standards, American Society of Agricultural Engineers. 1992. American Society of Agricultural Engineers Standards. 39th ed. ASAE, St. Joseph MI.

Ata-ul-karim, S. T., Liu, X., Lu, Z., Zheng, H., & Cao, W. (2017). Field Crops Research Estimation of nitrogen fertilizer requirement for rice crop using critical nitrogen dilution curve. *Field Crops Research*, 201, 32–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.10.009>

Atwell, B. J. (1993). Response of roots to mechanical impedance. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 33(1), 27-40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472\(93\)90053-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472(93)90053-I)

Aulakh, J., & Regmi, A. (2013). Post-harvest food losses estimation-development of consistent methodology. *Selected Poster Prepared for Presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association's 2013 AAEE & CAES Joint Annual Meeting*.

- Aulakh, J., & Regmi, A. (2013). Post-harvest food losses estimation: development of consistent methodology: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Aung, N. M. (2012). Production and Economic Efficiency of Farmers and Millers in the Myanmar Rice Industry. Institute of Developing Economies, Japanese External Trade Organization.
- Azimi, A. H., Carpenter, T. G., & Reichard, D. L. (1985). Nozzle spray distribution for pesticide application. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 28(5), 1410–1414. doi:10.13031/2013.32451
- Azman, E. A., Jusop, S., Ishak, C. F., & Ismail, R. (2014). Increasing rice production using different lime sources on an acid sulphate soil in Merbok, Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 37(2), 223–247.
- Bacon, P. E., & Heenan, D. P. (1987). Nitrogen budgets for intensive rice growing in southern Australia. Efficiency of nitrogen fertilizers for rice'. (Eds JR Freney, R Wetselaar, ACF Trevitt, JR Simpson) pp, 89-95.
- Bacon, P. E., & Heenan, D. P. (1987). Nitrogen budgets for intensive rice growing in Southern Australia. In Meeting of the International Network on Soil Fertility and Fertilizer Evaluation for Rice, New South Wales (Australia), 10-16 Apr 1985.
- Badalikova, B. (2010). Soil Engineering. In *National Agency for Agriculture Research of the Czech Republic Ministry of Agriculture* (Vol. 20, pp. 19–30). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03681-1>
- Badalíková, B. (2009). Influence of Soil Tillage on Soil Compaction. *Soil Engineering*, 19–30. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-03681-1_2
- Balasubramanian, V., A.C. Morales, R.T. Cruz and S. Abdulrachman, 1999. On-farm adaptation of knowledge-intensive nitrogen management technologies for rice systems. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystem*, 53: 93-101. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5078-1_5
- Balesdent, J., Chenu, C., & Balabane, M. (2000). Relationship of soil organic matter dynamics to physical protection and tillage. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 53(3-4), 215–230. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(99)00107-5
- Ball, B. ., Campbell, D. ., & Hunter, E. . (2000). Soil compactibility in relation to physical and organic properties at 156 sites in UK. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 57(1-2), 83–91. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(00)00145-8
- Baloch, A. W., Soomro, A. M., Javed, M. a., Ahmed, M., Bughio, H. R., Bughio, M. S., & Mastoi, .N. N. (2002). Optimum Plant Density for High Yield in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 1(1), 25–27. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ajps.2002.25.27>
- Bansal, N. K., & Lahan, S. K. (2009). Design and development of an axial flow thresher for seed crops. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering*, 46(1), 1-8.

- Barać, S., Vuković, A., Milenković, B., & Biberdžić, M. (2011). Qualitative analysis of wheat combine harvester work effects in rye harvest. *Research Journal of Agricultural Science*, 43(1), 273–282.
- Barbieri, P., Echarte, L., Della Maggiora, A., Sadras, V. O., Echeverria, H., & Andrade, F. H. (2012). Maize Evapotranspiration and Water-Use Efficiency in Response to Row Spacing. *Agronomy Journal*, 104(4), 939–944. doi:10.2134/agronj2012.0014
- Bari, G., Mustafa, G., Soomro, A. M., Baloch, A. W., & Tahir, K. H. (1984). Effect of plant density on grain yield and yield components of different varieties and mutant strains of rice. *Pakistan Journal of Botany (Pakistan)*, 16 (2), 169 -174
- Barnes, A. P. (2006). Does multi-functionality affect technical efficiency? A non-parametric analysis of the Scottish dairy industry. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 80(4), 287–294. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2005.09.020
- Bawatharani, R., Dharmasena, D. A. N., Jayatissa, D. N., & Bandara, M. H. M. A. (2015). Field Performance of a Conventional Combine Harvester in Harvesting Bg-300 Paddy Variety in Batticaloa, Srilanka. *International Journal of Engineering Research*, 4(1), 33–35. doi:10.17950/ijer/v4s1/108
- Bawatharani, R., Jayatissa, D. N., Dharmasena, D. A. N., & Bandara, M. H. M. A. (2015). Impact of reel index on header losses of paddy and performance of combine harvesters. *Tropical Agricultural Research*, 25(1), 1. doi:10.4038/tar.v25i1.8025
- Bawatharani, R., Bandara, M. H. M. A., & Senevirathne, D. I. E. (2016). Influence of Cutting Height and Forward Speed on Header Losses in Rice Harvesting. *International Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Plantation*, 4, 1-9.
- Becerra, A. T., Botta, G. F., Bravo, X. L., Tourn, M., Melcon, F. B., Vazquez, J., ... Nardon, G. (2010). Soil compaction distribution under tractor traffic in almond (*Prunus amigdalus* L.) orchard in Almería España. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 107(1), 49–56. doi:10.1016/j.still.2010.02.001
- Behera, B. K. (2009). Effect of puddling on puddled soil characteristics and performance of self-propelled transplanter in rice crop. *Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal*. Vol. X. Manuscript PM 08 020.
- Bennie, A. T. P., & Krynauw, G. N. (1985). Causes, adverse effects and control of soil compaction. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*, 2(3), 109–114. doi:10.1080/02571862.1985.10634148
- Bengough, A.G. 1991. The penetrometer in relation to mechanical resistance. p. 431–446. In K.A. Smith and C.E. Mullins (ed.) *Soil analysis. Physical methods*. Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Bhowmik, S., Sarkar, M., & Zaman, F. (2013). Effect of spacing and number of seedlings per hill on the performance of aus rice cv. NERICA 1 under dry direct seeded rice (DDSR) system of cultivation. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*,

10(2), 191–196. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v10i2.14681>

- Bijay-Singh, Varinderpal-Singh, Purba, J., Sharma, R. K., Jat, M. L., Yadvinder-Singh, ... Gupta, R. (2015). Site-specific fertilizer nitrogen management in irrigated transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*) using an optical sensor. *Precision Agriculture*, 16(4), 455–475. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-015-9389-6>
- Bintner, D. W., Conrad, S. E., & Tate, R. W. (1977). Effect of nozzle positioning on spray deposit uniformity. American Society of Agricultural Engineering, Paper, (77-1038). St. Joseph, MI: ASAE.
- Blakeney, A. B., Lewin, L. G., Batten, G. D., & Welsh, L. A. (1997). Rice cultivation and quality in Australia. 93(2), 89–93. <http://om.ciheam.org/om/pdf/c24-2/CI011088.pdf>
- Blanco-Canqui, H., Lal, R., Post, W. M., Izaurralde, R. C., & Shipitalo, M. J. (2006). Organic Carbon Influences on Soil Particle Density and Rheological Properties. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 70(4), 1407–1414. doi:10.2136/sssaj2005.0355
- Blanco-Canqui, H., & Ruis, S. J. (2018). No-tillage and soil physical environment. *Geoderma*, 326, 164–200. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.03.011
- Bockari, M., Ishak, W., Azmi, Y., & Chan, C. (2004). Effect of rotary tillage on soil physical properties and energy consumption in a Malaysian paddy field. *Malays. J. Soil Sci*, 8, 25–38.
- Bogunovic, I., Kisic, I., Sraka, M., & Dekemati, I. (2015). Temporal changes in soil water content and penetration resistance under three tillage systems. *Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus*, 80(4), 187-195.
- Botta, G. F., Becerra, A. T., & Tourn, F. B. (2009). Effect of the number of tractor passes on soil rut depth and compaction in two tillage regimes. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 103(2), 381–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2008.12.002>
- Botta, G. F., Tolón-Becerra, A., Rivero, D., Laureda, D., Ramírez-Roman, M., Lastra-Bravo, X., ... Martiren, V. (2016). Compaction produced by combine harvest traffic: Effect on soil and soybean (*Glycine max* L.) yields under direct sowing in Argentinean Pampas. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 74, 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2015.12.011>
- Bouse L F; Kirk I W; Bode L E (1990). Effect of spray mixture on droplet size. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 33(3), 783–788
- Bradford, J.M., 1986. Penetrability. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 1—Physical and Mineralogical Methods*. SSSA Book Series 463–478. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.1.2ed>.
- Bruulsema, T., Lemunyon, J., & Herz, B. (2009). Know your fertilizer rights. *Crops and Soils*, 42(2), 13-18.

- Bruulsema, T. W., Witt, C., García, F., Li, S., Rao, T. N., Chen, F., & Ivanova, S. (2011). A global framework for fertilizer BMPs. *Icon*.
- Bruulsema, T. W., Peterson, H. M., & Prochnow, L. I. (2019). The Science of 4R Nutrient Stewardship for Phosphorus Management across Latitudes. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 48(5), 1295–1299. doi:10.2134/jeq2019.02.0065
- Bryla, D. R. (2020). 4R nutrient stewardship in fruit crops. *Fruit Crops*, 509–519. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-818732-6.00036-8
- Cambi, M., Hoshika, Y., Mariotti, B., Paoletti, E., Picchio, R., Venanzi, R., & Marchi, E. (2017). Compaction by a forest machine affects soil quality and *Quercus robur* L. seedling performance in an experimental field. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 384, 406–414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.10.045>
- Cameira, M. R., Fernando, R. M., & Pereira, L. S. (2003). Soil macropore dynamics affected by tillage and irrigation for a silty loam alluvial soil in southern Portugal. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 70(2), 131-140. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(02\)00154-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(02)00154-X)
- Çarman, K., 1997. Effect of different tillage systems on soil properties and wheat yield in middle Anatolia. *Soil Tillage Research* 40 (3-4), 201–207. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-1987\(96\)01059-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-1987(96)01059-8).
- Carter, M.R. and Ball, B. 1993. Soil porosity. In M.R. Carter, Ed. *Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis*. Canadian Society of Soil Science, Lewis Publishers, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 581–588.
- Celika, İ. (2011). Effects of tillage methods on penetration resistance, bulk density and saturated hydraulic conductivity in a clayey soil conditions. *Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi*, 17(2), 143–156. doi:10.1501/tarimbil_0000001166
- Çelika, I., 2011. Effects of tillage methods on penetration resistance, bulk density and saturated hydraulic conductivity in a clayey soil conditions [Killi toprak koşullarında toprak işleme yöntemlerinin penetrasyon direnci, hacim ağırlığı ve hidrolik iletkenliği]. *Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi* 17 (2), 143–156. Retrieved from. <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-82455175470&partnerID=40&md5=614152467cc19bf55baf1079f30ad99c>.
- Chan, K. (2016). *Manual on good agricultural practices (GAP)*. Asian Productivity Organization (APO).
- Chandrajith, U. G., Gunathilake, D. M. C. C., Bandara, B. D. M. P., & Swarnasiri, D. P. C. (2016). Effects of Combine Harvesting on Head Rice Yield and Chaff Content of Long and Short Grain Paddy Harvest in Sri Lanka. *Procedia Food Science*, 6, 242–245. doi:10.1016/j.profoo.2016.02.029
- Chandrakar, B. L., & Khan, R. A. (1981). Optimum spacing for early, medium and late duration tall indica rice cultivars. *Short Communication. Oryza*. 18(2), 108-109

- Chauhan, B. S., & Johnson, D. E. (2011). Row spacing and weed control timing affect yield of aerobic rice. *Field Crops Research*, 121(2), 226–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.12.008>
- Chinsuwan, W., Pongjan, N., Chuan-Udom, S., & Phayom, W. (2003). Effects of Feedrate and Threshing Speed on Performance of Axial Flow Rice Thresher. *TSAE Journal*, 10(1), 9–14.
- Choudhury, A. T. M. A., & Kennedy, I. R. (2005). Nitrogen Fertilizer Losses from Rice Soils and Control of Environmental Pollution Problems. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 36(11-12), 1625–1639. doi:10.1081/css-200059104
- Chuan-Udom, S., & Chinsuwan, W. (2011). Effects of operating factors of an axial flow rice combine harvester on grain breakage. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology*, 33(2), 221–225.
- Clerget, B., Bueno, C., Domingo, A. J., Layaoen, H. L., & Vial, L. (2016). Leaf emergence, tillering, plant growth, and yield in response to plant density in a high-yielding aerobic rice crop. *Field Crops Research*, 199, 52–64. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2016.09.018
- Crozier, C.; Roberson, G. T. Granular fertilizer spreader swath uniformity achieved at varying target application rates. *Crop Management*, Madison, v. 13, n. 1, p. 1-10, 2014.
- Cui, K., Défossez, P., Cui, Y. J., & Richard, G. (2010). Quantifying the effect of matric suction on the compressive properties of two agricultural soils using an osmotic oedometer. *Geoderma*, 156(3-4), 337–345. doi:10.1016/j.Geoderma.2010.03.003
- Culpin, C. (1986). *Farm machinery*. Fifth edition. William Collins Sons & Co. Ltd., UK, 106-107.
- Cunha, J. P. A. R., & Soares Filho, R. (2016). Broadcast distribution uniformity of fertilizer with centrifugal spreaders used in variable rate application. *Engenharia Agrícola*, 36(5), 928–937. doi:10.1590/1809-4430-eng.agric.v36n5p928-937/2016
- Da Silva, A. P., Kay, B. D., & Perfect, E. (1994). Characterization of the Least Limiting Water Range of Soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 58(6), 1775. doi:10.2136/sssaj1994.03615995005800060028x
- Dedousis, A. P., & Bartzanas, T. (Eds.). (2010). *Soil engineering* (Vol. 20). Springer Science & Business Media.
- De Datta, S. K. (1981). *Principles and practices of rice production*. A Wiley Interscience Publication.
- De Lima, R. P., Da Silva, A. R., Da Silva, A. P., Leão, T. P., & Mosaddeghi, M. R. (2016). Soilphysics: An R package for calculating soil water availability to plants by different soil physical indices. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 120,

- De Lima, Renato P., Da Silva, A. P., Giarola, N. F. B., Da Silva, A. R., & Rolim, M. M. (2017). Changes in soil compaction indicators in response to agricultural field traffic. *Biosystems Engineering*, 162, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2017.07.002>
- Derksen, R C, Zhu, H., Ozkan, H. E., Hammond, R. B., Dorrance, A. E., & Spongberg, A. L.. (2008). Determining the Influence of Spray Quality, Nozzle Type, Spray Volume, and Air-Assisted Application Strategies on Deposition of Pesticides in Soybean Canopy. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 51(5), 1529–1537. doi:10.13031/2013.25301
- Derksen, Richard C, Krause, C. R., Fox, R. D., Brazee, R. D., & Zondag, R. (2006). Effect of application variables on spray deposition, coverage, and ground losses in nursery tree applications. *Journal of Environmental Horticulture*, 24(1), 45–52.
- DOA Department of Agriculture Malaysia (DOA) (2012). Malaysia Farm Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practices (SALM). Retrieved from http://www.doa.gov.my/web/guest/skim_dan_pensijilan
- Dhal, P., & Misra, G. (1993). Effect of nitrogen on grain filling and yield in rice. *Oryza*, 30, 162–162.
- Doruchowski, G., Roettele, M., Herbst, A., & Balsari, P. (2013). Drift evaluation tool to raise awareness and support training on the sustainable use of pesticides by drift mitigation. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 97, 27–34. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2013.06.006
- Ehlers, W., U. Köpke, F. Hesse, and W. Böhm. 1983. Penetration resistance and root growth of oats in tilled and untilled loess soil. *Soil Tillage Res.* 3:261–275
- Ehsanullah, N. A., Jabran, K., & Tahir, M. (2007). Comparison of different planting methods for optimization of plant population of fine rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Punjab (Pakistan). *Pak. J. Agri. Sci*, 44, 597–599.
- Elrys, A. S., Desoky, E. S. M., Ali, A., Zhang, J. B., Cai, Z. C., & Cheng, Y. (2020). Sub-Saharan Africa's food nitrogen and phosphorus footprints: A scenario analysis for 2050. *Science of The Total Environment*, 752, 141964. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141964>
- Erbach, D. C. (1987). Measurement of Soil Bulk Density and Moisture. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 30(4), 0922–0931. doi:10.13031/2013.30500
- Erythrina & Zaini, Z. (2013). Indonesia Ricecheck procedure: an approach for accelerating the adoption of ICM. *Palawija Newsletter*, 30 (1), 6-8.
- Fakkhong, S., & Suwanmaneepong, S. (2017). The Implementation of Good Agricultural Practice among Rice Farmers in Eastern Region of Bangkok, Thailand. *Technology*, 13(7.3), 2509–2522.

- Ferguson, J. C., Chechetto, R. G., Adkins, S. W., Hewitt, A. J., Chauhan, B. S., Kruger, G. R., & O'Donnell, C. C. (2018). Effect of spray droplet size on herbicide efficacy on four winter annual grasses. *Crop Protection*, 112, 118–124. doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2018.05.020
- Ferguson, J. C., Chechetto, R. G., Hewitt, A. J., Chauhan, B. S., Adkins, S. W., Kruger, G. R., & O'Donnell, C. C. (2016). Assessing the deposition and canopy penetration of nozzles with different spray qualities in an oat (*Avena sativa* L.) canopy. *Crop Protection*, 81, 14–19. doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2015.11.013
- Ferguson, J. C., O'Donnell, C. C., Chauhan, B. S., Adkins, S. W., Kruger, G. R., Wang, R., ... Hewitt, A. J. (2015). Determining the uniformity and consistency of droplet size across spray drift reducing nozzles in a wind tunnel. *Crop Protection*, 76, 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2015.06.008
- Ferreras, L. A., Costa, J. L., Garcia, F. O., & Pecorari, C. (2000). Effect of no-tillage on some soil physical properties of a structural degraded Petrocalcic Paleudoll of the southern “Pampa” of Argentina. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 54(1–2), 31–39.
- Fixen, P. E. (2020). A brief account of the genesis of 4R nutrient stewardship. *Agronomy Journal*, 112(5), 4511–4518. doi:10.1002/agj2.20315
- Fixen, P. (2009). Nutrient use efficiency in the context of sustainable agriculture. Nutrient Use Efficiency, International Plant Nutrition Institute (IPNI), USA, 1-10.
- Flis, S. (2018). 4R nutrient stewardship and nitrous oxide losses. *Crops & Soils*, 51(1), 10–12. doi:10.2134/cs2018.51.0106
- Franzen, H., Lal, R., & Ehlers, W. (1994). Tillage and mulching effects on physical properties of a tropical Alfisol. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 28(3-4), 329–346. doi:10.1016/0167-1987(94)90139-2
- Franzluebbers, a. J., Hons, F. M., & Zuberer, D. a. (1995). Tillage and crop effects on seasonal dynamics of soil CO₂ evolution, water content, temperature, and bulk density. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 2(2), 95–109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0929-1393\(94\)00044-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0929-1393(94)00044-8)
- Friedrich, T., Derpsch, R., Kassam, A.H., 2012. Overview of the global spread of conservation agriculture. *Field Actions Sci Rep* 6, 1–7
- Garcia, F. D., Escabarte Jr, R. S., Sta Cruz, P. C., & Obien, S. R. (1992). Improving the yield potential of lowland rice varieties through appropriate plant spacing and nitrogen management. *Philippine Journal of Crop Science* (Philippines).
- Gliński, J., & Lipiec, J. (1990). Soil Physical Factors Influencing Root Growth. *Soil Physical Conditions and Plant Roots*, 1–31. doi:10.1201/9781351076708-1
- Giller, K. E., Witter, E., Corbeels, M., & Tittonell, P. (2009). Conservation agriculture and smallholder farming in Africa: The heretics' view. *Field Crops Research*, 114(1), 23–34. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2009.06.017

- Grant, C. A., & Lafond, G. P. (1993). The effects of tillage systems and crop sequences on soil bulk density and penetration resistance on a clay soil in southern Saskatchewan. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 73(2), 223–232. doi:10.4141/cjss93-024
- Grant, C. A., & Flaten, D. N. (2019). 4R Management of Phosphorus Fertilizer in the Northern Great Plains. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 48(5), 1356–1369. doi:10.2134/jeq2019.02.0061
- Gravois, K. A., & Helms, R. S. (1992). Path Analysis of Rice Yield and Yield Components as Affected by 1029 Seeding Rate. *Agronomy Journal*, 84(1), 1. doi:10.2134/agronj1992.00021962008400010001x
- Gregory, P. J. (1994). Root growth and activity. Physiology and determination of crop yield, 65-93. <https://doi.org/10.2134/1994.physiologyanddetermination.c5>
- Griffith, W. K., & Murphy, L. S. (1991). The development of crop production systems using best management practices. Potash & Phosphate Institute, Norcross, USA.
- Grisso, R., Askew, S. D., & McCall, D. (2019). Nozzles: Selection and Sizing. Virginia Cooperative Extension, Virginia Tech. doi:10.21061/442-032_bse-262p
- Gummert, M. (1992). Performance evaluation of an IRRI axial-flow paddy thresher. *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America* 23(3) : 47-58.
- Gupta, R. P., & Abrol, I. P. (1993). A study of some tillage practices for sustainable crop production in India. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 27(1-4), 253–272. doi:10.1016/0167-1987(93)90071-v
- Guru, P. K., Chhuneja, N., Dixit, A., Tiwari, P., & Kumar, A. (2018). Mechanical transplanting of rice in India: Status, technological gaps and future thrust. *ORYZA-An International Journal on Rice*, 55(1), 100. doi:10.5958/2249-5266.2018.00012.7
- Gustafsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., & Emanuelsson, A. (2013). The methodology of the FAO study: Global Food Losses and Food Waste-extent, causes and prevention”-FAO, 2011. SIK Institutet för livsmedel och bioteknik. SIK report No. 857
- Gysi, M., Ott, A., Flu'hler, H., 1999. Influence of single passes with high wheel load on a structured, unploughed sandy loam soil. *Soil Tillage Res.* 52, 141–151 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(99\)00066-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(99)00066-5)
- Gysi, M., Klubertanz, G., & Vulliet, L. (2000). Compaction of an Eutric Cambisol under heavy wheel traffic in Switzerland—field data and modelling. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 56(3-4), 117-129. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(00\)00132-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(00)00132-X)
- Hamblin, A.P. 1985. The influence of soil structure on water movement, crop root growth, and water uptake. *Adv. Agron.* 38:95–158.

- Hammel, J. E. (1989). Long-Term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Bulk Density and Soil Impedance in Northern Idaho. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 53(5), 1515–1519. doi:10.2136/sssaj1989.03615995005300050036x
- Hamza, M. A., & Anderson, W. K. (2005). Soil compaction in cropping systems: A review of the nature, causes and possible solutions. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 82(2), 121–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2004.08.009>
- Hanna, H. M., & Quick, G. R. (2019). Grain Harvesting Machinery. *Handbook of Farm, Dairy and Food Machinery Engineering*, 157–174. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-814803-7.00008-7
- Hanna, H. M., & Quick, G. R. (2007). Grain Harvesting Machinery Design. *Handbook of Farm, Dairy, and Food Machinery*, 93–111. doi:10.1016/b978-081551538-8.50007-8
- Hanna, H. M., Robertson, A. E., Carlton, W. M., & Wolf, R. E. (2009). Nozzle and Carrier Application Effects on Control of Soybean Leaf Spot Diseases. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 25(1), 5–13. doi:10.13031/2013.25424
- Harrison, H. P. (1992). Grain separation and damage of an axial flow combine. *Canadian Agricultural Engineering*, 34(1), 49–53.
- Harun, R., Suhaimee, S., Zaffrie, M., Amin, M., & Sulaiman, N. H. (2015). Benchmarking and prospecting of technological practices in rice production. *Economic and Technology Management Review*, 10b, 77–88.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., Roy, T. S., Rahman, M. L., Hossain, M. Z., & Ahmed, J. U. (2009). Tiller dynamics and dry matter production of transplanted rice as affected by plant spacing and number of seedling per hill. *Academic Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2(3), 162–168.
- Hassen, N. S., Sidik, N. A. C., & Sheriff, J. M. (2013). Effect of nozzle type, angle and pressure on spray volumetric distribution of broadcasting and banding application. *Journal of Mechanical Engineering Research*, 5(4), 76–81. doi:10.5897/jmer2013.0272
- Hassan, M. S., Khair, A., Haque, M. M., Azad, A. K., & Hamid, A. (2009). Genotypic variation in traditional rice varieties for chlorophyll content, SPAD value and nitrogen use efficiency. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 34(3), 505–515. doi:10.3329/bjar.v34i3.3977
- Heenan, P. E. B. and D. P. (1987). *Nitrogen Budgets for Intensive Rice Growing in Southern Australia*.
- Henson, S., & Humphrey, J. (n.d.). Private Standards in Global Agri-Food Chains. *Private Standards and Global Governance*. doi:10.4337/9781849808750.00009
- Heiskanen, J. (1992). Comparison of three methods for determining the particle density of soil with liquid pycnometers. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant*

- Hernández, T. D. B., Slater, B. K., Corbalá, R. T., & Shaffer, J. M. (2019). Assessment of long-term tillage practices on physical properties of two Ohio soils. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 186, 270–279. doi:10.1016/j.still.2018.11.004
- Hettiaratchi, J. P. A., & Hrudey, S. E. (1987). Influence of Contaminant Organic-Water Mixtures on Shrinkage of Impermeable Clay Soils with Regard to Hazardous Waste Landfill Liners. *Hazardous Waste and Hazardous Materials*, 4(4), 377–388. doi:10.1089/hwm.1987.4.377
- Hill, R. L. (1990). Long-Term Conventional and No-Tillage Effects on Selected Soil Physical Properties. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 54(1), 161–166. doi:10.2136/sssaj1990.03615995005400010025x
- Hillel, D. (1982). Introduction to soil physics. New York, Academic Press (No. 631.43 H54). <https://doi.org/10.1016/c2009-0-03052-9>
- Horn, R., Way, T., & Rostek, J. (2003). Effect of repeated tractor wheeling on stress/strain properties and consequences on physical properties in structured arable soils. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 73(1-2), 101–106. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(03)00103-x
- Hou, W., Xue, X., Li, X., Khan, M. R., Yan, J., Ren, T., Lu, J. (2019). Interactive effects of nitrogen and potassium on: Grain yield, nitrogen uptake and nitrogen use efficiency of rice in low potassium fertility soil in China. *Field Crops Research*, 236, 14–23. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2019.03.006
- Huang, M., Yang, C., Ji, Q., Jiang, L., Tan, J., & Li, Y. (2013). Tillering responses of rice to plant density and nitrogen rate in a subtropical environment of southern China. *Field Crops Research*, 149, 187–192. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2013.04.029
- Huang, M., Chen, J., Cao, F., & Zou, Y. (2018). Increased hill density can compensate for yield loss from reduced nitrogen input in machine-transplanted double-cropped rice. *Field Crops Research*, 221, 333–338. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2017.06.028
- Hubbard, R. K., Hargrove, W. L., Lowrance, R. R., Williams, R. G., & Mullinix, B. G. (1994). Physical properties of a clayey coastal plain soil as affected by tillage. *Journal of soil and water conservation*, 49(3), 276–283.
- Huey, B. A. (1984). Seeding. Rice production handbook. University of Arkansas Coop. Ext. Ser. MP, 192, 8–12.
- Hulugalle, N. R., & Entwistle, P. (1997). Soil properties, nutrient uptake and crop growth in an irrigated Vertisol after nine years of minimum tillage. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 42(1-2), 15–32. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(96)01104-x
- Humphrey, J. (2008). Private standards, small farmers and donor policy: EUREPGAP in Kenya.

- Hunt, N., & Gilkes, R. (1992). *Farm Monitoring Handbook* University of Western Australia. Perth: UWA Publishing.
- Husnjak, S., Filipović, D., & Košutić, S. (2002). Influence of different tillage systems on soil physical properties and crop yield. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 48(No. 6), 249–254. doi:10.17221/4236-pse
- Hussain, S., Ramzan, M., Rana, M. A., Mann, R. A., & Akhter, M. (2013). Effect of various planting techniques on yield and yield components of rice. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 23(2), 672–674.
- Hussain, F. 2004. Soil fertility monitoring and management in wheat rice system. Annual Report LRRI, NARC, Islamabad.
- Hussain, F., K.F. Bronson, Y. Singh, B. Singh and S. Peng, 2000. Use of chlorophyll meter sufficiency indices for nitrogen management of irrigated rice in Asia. *Agronomy Journal*, 92: 875-879. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2000.925875x>
- Ilica, A., & Boz, A. F. (2018). Design of a Nozzle-Height Control System Using a Permanent Magnet Tubular Linear Synchronous Motor. *Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi*, 374–385. doi:10.15832/ankutbd.456662
- International Network for Genetic Evaluation of Rice. Standard evaluation system for rice. IRRI, International Rice Research Institute, 1996.
- IRRI. (2013). Standard Evaluation System for Rice. International Rice Research Institute, (1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1522164>
- Jiang, S., Hua, H., Sheng, H., Jarvie, H. P., Liu, X., Zhang, Y. Liu, X. (2019). Phosphorus footprint in China over the 1961–2050 period: Historical perspective and future prospect. *Science of the Total Environment*, 650, 687–695. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.064
- Jones, D. J. (1988). Quality Is Never an Accident. *Quality Assurance and Utilization Review*, 3(1), 1-1.
- Johnston, A. M., & Bruulsema, T. W. (2014). 4R Nutrient Stewardship for Improved Nutrient Use Efficiency. *Procedia Engineering*, 83, 365–370. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2014.09.029
- Jones, D. B., & Snyder, G. H. (1987). Seeding Rate and Row Spacing Effects on Yield and Yield Components of Ratoon Rice1. *Agronomy Journal*, 79(4), 627. doi:10.2134/agronj1987.00021962007900040008x
- Karunatilake, U. P., & Van Es, H. M. (2002). Rainfall and tillage effects on soil structure after alfalfa conversion to maize on a clay loam soil in New York. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 67(2), 135–146. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(02\)00056-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(02)00056-9)
- Kassam, A., Friedrich, T., Derpsch, R., Kienzle, J., 2015. “Overview of the worldwide spread of conservation agriculture.” *Field Actions Science Reports*. The Journal

of Field Actions 8, 1–11.

- Keller, T., da Silva, A. P., Tormena, C. A., Giarola, N. F. B., Cavalieri, K. M. V., Stettler, M., & Arvidsson, J. (2015). SoilFlex-LLWR: Linking a soil compaction model with the least limiting water range concept. *Soil Use and Management*, 31(2), 321–329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12175>
- Keller, Thomas, Carizzoni, M., Berisso, F. E., Stettler, M., & Lamandé, M. (2013). Measuring the Dynamic Soil Response During Repeated Wheeling Using Seismic Methods. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 12(3), 0. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2013.01.0033>
- Keegan, Desmond. 2005. Theoretical Principles of Distance Education. Routledge.
- Kepner, R. A., Bainer, R., & Barger, E. L. (1978). Principles of Farm Machinery. 3th (ed.) Avi Publishing Company. In *Inc. Westport, Connecticut, USA*. 527p.
- Khan, A. U. (1986). The Asian axial-flow threshers. *Small Farm Equipment for Developing Countries: Proceedings of the International Conference on Small Farm Equipment for Developing Countries: Past Experiences and Future Priorities, 2-6 September 1985*, 373.
- Kijima, Y., Ito, Y., & Otsuka, K. (2012). Assessing the Impact of Training on Lowland Rice Productivity in an African Setting: Evidence from Uganda. *World Development*, 40(8), 1610–1618. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2012.04.008
- Klenin, N.I., Popov, I.F., Sakun, V.A. (1985) Agricultural Machines, pp 491-429. Russian Translation series. 31 Balkema AA, Rotterdam
- Knoche, M. (1994). Effect of droplet size and carrier volume on performance of foliage-applied herbicides. *Crop Protection*, 13(3), 163–178. doi:10.1016/0261-2194(94)90075-2
- Konwar, M. J., Sarmah, M. K., Das, K. N., Pegu, L., Rahman, S. W., & Phukon, S. K. (2018). Performance of direct seeded sali rice as influenced by sowing dates, sowing methods and nutrient management practices. *Agricultural Science Digest*, 38(1), 40–43.
- Kumar, A., Kumar, A., Khan, K., & Kumar, D. (2017). Performance Evaluation of Harvesting and Threshing Methods for Wheat Crop. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci*, 5(2), 604–611. doi:10.18782/2320-7051.2497
- Kumar, V., & Ladha, J. K. (2011). Direct Seeding of Rice. *Advances in Agronomy*, 297–413. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-387689-8.00001-1
- Kutzbach, H. D., & Quick, G. R. (1999). CIGR Handbook of Agricultural Engineering, Volume III Plant Production Engineering, Chapter 1 Machines for Crop Production, 1.6 Harvesters and Threshers, Part 1.6. 1-1.6. 7 Harvesters and Threshers: Grain.
- Lacy, J., Clampett, W., & Nagy, J. (2000). Bridging the rice yield gap in Australia.

- Lampurlanés, J., & Cantero-Martínez, C. (2003). Soil bulk density and penetration resistance under different tillage and crop management systems and their relationship with barley root growth. *Agronomy Journal*, 95(3), 526–536. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2003.0526>
- Larson, W. E., & Gupta, S. C. (1980). Estimating Critical Stress in Unsaturated Soils from Changes in Pore Water Pressure During Confined Compression. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 44(6), 1127–1132. doi:10.2136/sssaj1980.03615995004400060001x
- Lavers, A. (1983). *Pesticide application methods: by GA Matthews. ISBN 0-582-46351-3.(xii+ 336 pp; UK£7.95 paperback). New York and London: Longman. First published 1979 in hard covers; reprinted in paper covers 1982.* Elsevier.
- Lavers, A. (1983). *Pesticide application methods: by GA Matthews. ISBN 0-582-46351-3.(xii+ 336 pp; UK£ 7.95 paperback). New York and London: Longman. First published 1979 in hard covers; reprinted in paper covers 1982.*
- Lide, D. R. (2002). CRC Handbook of chemistry and physics: a ready-reference book of chemical and physical data. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics: A Ready-Reference Book of Chemical and Physical Data, 83rd Ed., by David R. Lide. Boca Raton: CRC Press, ISBN 0849304830, 2002.*
- Lide, D. R. (1995). CRC handbook of chemistry and physics: a ready-reference book of chemical and physical data. CRC press.
- Lopez, M.V., Arrúe, J.L., Sánchez-Girón, V., 1996. A comparison between seasonal changes in soil water storage and penetration resistance under conventional and conservation tillage systems in Aragon. *Soil Tillage Res.* 37 (4), 251–271.
- Liu, X., Wang, H., Zhou, J., Hu, F., Zhu, D., Chen, Z., & Liu, Y. (2016). Effect of N Fertilization Pattern on Rice Yield, N Use Efficiency and Fertilizer–N Fate in the Yangtze River Basin, China. *Plos One*, 11(11), e0166002. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166002
- Liu, Q., Zhou, X., Li, J., & Xin, C. (2017). Effects of seedling age and cultivation density on agronomic characteristics and grain yield of mechanically transplanted rice. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1). doi:10.1038/s41598-017-14672-7
- López, M. V., Arrúe, J. L., & Sánchez-Girón, V. (1996). A comparison between seasonal changes in soil water storage and penetration resistance under conventional and conservation tillage systems in Aragón. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 37(4), 251–271. doi:10.1016/0167-1987(96)01011-2
- Lu, Y., Zhang, X., Chen, S., Shao, L., Sun, H., & Niu, J. (2017). Increasing the Planting Uniformity Improves the Yield of Summer Maize. *Agronomy Journal*, 109(4), 1463–1475. doi:10.2134/agronj2016.12.0718

- Lundqvist, J., de Fraiture, C., & Molden, D. (2008). Saving water: from field to fork: curbing losses and wastage in the food chain. Stockholm: Stockholm International Water Institute.
- Luttrell, D. H. (1963). The effect of tillage operations on bulk density and other physical properties of the soil. *Iowa State University Of Science and Technology Ames, Iowa* doi:10.31274/rtd-180815-4759
- Mahajan, G., Chauhan, B. S., & Gill, M. S. (2011). Optimal nitrogen fertilization timing and rate in dry- seeded rice in Northwest India. *Agronomy Journal*, 103(6), 1676-1682.
- Manes, G. S., Dixit, A., Singh, A., Singh, M., & Singh, B. P. (2015). Comparative Performance Evaluation of Axial Flow and Tangential Axial Flow Threshing System for Basmati Rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Agricultural Research*, 4(3), 303–308. doi:10.1007/s40003-015-0169-3
- Mapa, R. B., Green, R. E., & Santo, L. (1986). Temporal Variability of Soil Hydraulic Properties with Wetting and Drying Subsequent to Tillage. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 50(5), 1133–1138. doi:10.2136/sssaj1986.03615995005000050008x
- Marsili, A., Servadio, P., Pagliai, M., & Vignozzi, N. (1998). Changes of some physical properties of a clay soil following passage of rubber- and metal-tracked tractors. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 49(3), 185–199. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(98)00169-x
- Masek, J., Kumhala, F., Novak, P., & Fic, T. (2016). Influence of different threshing system design on grain damage. *Engineering for Rural Development, 2016-Jelgava 15(2016)*, 756–761.
- Masek J., Novak P., Jasinskas A. Evaluation of combine harvester operation costs in different working conditions. Proceedings of International Conference “Engineering for Rural Development 2017” May 24-26, 2017, Jelgava. Latvia, pp. 1180-1185.
- Matthews, G. (2008). Pesticide application methods. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK
- Matthews, G. A., Bateman, R., & Miller, P. (Eds.). (2014). Pesticide Application Methods. John Wiley & Sons. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470760130>
- Matthews G.A. (2000). Pesticide application methods. Crop Protection (Vol. 2). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-2194\(83\)90034-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-2194(83)90034-0)
- McBride, R. A., Slessor, R. L., & Joosse, P. J. (2012). Estimating the Particle Density of Clay-rich Soils with Diverse Mineralogy. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 76(2), 569–574. doi:10.2136/sssaj2011.0177n
- McCarty, L. B., Hubbard, L. R., & Quisenberry, V. (2016). Applied Soil Physical Properties, Drainage, and Irrigation Strategies. *Springer International Publishing*. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-24226-2

- Mielke, L. N., Doran, J. W., & Richards, K. A. (1986). Physical environment near the surface of plowed and no-tilled soils. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 7(4), 355–366. doi:10.1016/0167-1987(86)90022-x
- Miu, P. (2015). *Combine Harvesters: Theory, Modeling, and Design*. CRC Press. doi:10.1201/b18852
- Mohamed, Z., Terano, R., Shamsudin, M., & Abd Latif, I. (2016). Paddy Farmers' Sustainability Practices in Granary Areas in Malaysia. *Resources*, 5(2), 17. doi:10.3390/resources5020017
- Mohd Zain, N. A., & Ismail, M. R. (2016). Effects of potassium rates and types on growth, leaf gas exchange and biochemical changes in rice (*Oryza sativa*) planted under cyclic water stress. *Agricultural Water Management*, 164, 83–90. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2015.09.022
- Mulumba, L. N., & Lal, R. (2008). Mulching effects on selected soil physical properties. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 98(1), 106–111. doi:10.1016/j.still.2007.10.011
- Murrell, T. S., Lafond, G. P., & Vyn, T. J. (2009). Know your fertilizer rights: right place. *Crops & Soils*. March-April, 29–33.
- Nabavi-Pelesaraei, A., Abdi, R., Rafiee, S., & Bagheri, I. (2016a). Determination of efficient and inefficient units for watermelon production-a case study: Guilan province of Iran. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, 15(2), 162–170. doi:10.1016/j.jssas.2014.11.001
- Nassiri, S. M., & Singh, S. (2009). Study on energy use efficiency for paddy crop using data envelopment analysis (DEA) technique. *Applied Energy*, 86(7–8), 1320–1325. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2008.10.007
- Nguu, N. V., & De Datta, S. K. (1979). Increasing efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen in wetland rice by manipulation of plant density and plant geometry. *Field Crops Research*, 2, 19–34. doi:10.1016/03784290(79)90003-0
- O'Sullivan, M. F., Dickson, J. W., & Campbell, D. J. (1987). Interpretation and presentation of cone resistance data in tillage and traffic studies. *Journal of Soil Science*, 38(1), 137–148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1987.tb02131.x>
- O'Sullivan, M. F., Henshall, J. K., & Dickson, J. W. (1999). A simplified method for estimating soil compaction. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 49(4), 325–335. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(98\)00187-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(98)00187-1)
- Oerke, E.-C., & Dehne, H.-W. (2004). Safeguarding production—losses in major crops and the role of crop protection. *Crop Protection*, 23(4), 275–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2003.10.001>
- Oerke, E.-C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144(1), 31–43. doi:10.1017/s0021859605005708

- Oerke, E. C., Dehne, H. W., Schönbeck, F., & Weber, A. (2012). Crop production and crop protection: estimated losses in major food and cash crops. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-00683-7>
- Olaoye, J. O. (2002). Influence of tillage on crop residue cover, soil properties and yield components of cowpea in derived savannah ectones of Nigeria. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 64(3–4), 179–187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(01\)00261-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(01)00261-6)
- Oleszczuk, R., Szatyłowicz, J., Brandyk, T., & Gnatowski, T. (2000). An analysis of the influence of shrinkage on water retention characteristics of fen peat-moorsh soil. *Suo*, 51(3), 139–147.
- Osunbitan, J. A., Oyedele, D. J., & Adekalu, K. O. (2005). Tillage effects on bulk density, hydraulic conductivity and strength of a loamy sand soil in southwestern Nigeria. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 82(1), 57–64. doi:10.1016/j.still.2004.05.007
- Ottis, B. V., & Talbert, R. E. (2005). Rice Yield Components as Affected by Cultivar and Seeding Rate. *Agronomy Journal*, 97(6), 1622. doi:10.2134/agronj2005.0123
- Özpınar, S., & Çay, A. (2005). Effects of Minimum and Conventional Tillage Systems on Soil Properties and Yield of Winter Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in Clay-Loam in the Çanakkale Region. 29, 9–18.
- Padmakanta Dhal and Gadadhar Misra. (1993). Effect of nitrogen on grain filling and yield in rice. 162–164.
- Pagliai, M., Marsili, A., Servadio, P., Vignozzi, N., & Pellegrini, S. (2003). Changes in some physical properties of a clay soil in Central Italy following the passage of rubber tracked and wheeled tractors of medium power. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 73(1-2), 119–129. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(03)00105-3
- Päivänen, J. (1973). Hydraulic conductivity and water retention in peat soils. *Acta Forestalia Fennica*, 0(129). doi:10.14214/aff.7563
- Palm, C., Blanco-Canqui, H., DeClerck, F., Gatere, L., & Grace, P. (2014). Conservation agriculture and ecosystem services: An overview. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 187, 87–105. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.10.010
- Pelegrin, F., Moreno, F., Martin-Aranda, J., & Camps, M. (1990). The influence of tillage methods on soil physical properties and water balance for a typical crop rotation in SW Spain. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 16(4), 345–358. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987\(90\)90070-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987(90)90070-T)
- Peng, S., F.C. Garcia, R.C. Laza and K.G. Cassman, 1993. Adjustment for specific leaf weight improves chlorophyll meter's estimation of rice leaf nitrogen concentration. *Agronomy Journal*, 85: 987-990. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1993.00021962008500050005x>
- Peng, S., R.C. Laza, F.C. Garcia and K.G. Cassman, 1995. Chlorophyll meter estimates leaf area-based N concentration of rice. *Commun. Soil Science and Plant*

Analysis, 26: 927-935. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629509369344>

- Peng, S., F.V. Garcia, R.C. Laza, A.L. Sanico, R.M. Visperas and K.G. Cassman, 1996. Increased N-use efficiency using a chlorophyll meter on high-yielding irrigated rice. *Field Crops Research*, 47: 243-252. <https://doi.org/10.1626/pp.2.227>
- Pierce, F. J., Larson, W. E., Dowdy, R. H., & Graham, W. A. P. (1983). Productivity of soils: Assessing long-term changes due to erosion. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 38(1), 39–44.
- Pires, L. F., Borges, J. A. R., Rosa, J. A., Cooper, M., Heck, R. J., Passoni, S., & Roque, W. L. (2017). Soil structure changes induced by tillage systems. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 165, 66–79. doi:10.1016/j.still.2016.07.010
- Price, J. S. (2003). Role and character of seasonal peat soil deformation on the hydrology of undisturbed and cutover peatlands. *Water Resources Research*, 39(9). doi:10.1029/2002wr001302
- Radcliffe, D. E., Clark, R. L., Tollner, E. W., Hargrove, W. L., & Golabi, M. H. (1988). Effect of Tillage Practices on Infiltration and Soil Strength of a Typic Hapludult Soil After Ten Years. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 52(3), 798–804. doi:10.2136/sssaj1988.03615995005200030036x
- Rahim, H. A., Kamarulzaman, N. H., & Latif, I. A. (2013). Review on Quality Assurance for Food-based Products in Malaysia. *Journal of Agribusiness Marketing*, Vol. 6, December 2013, p. 68-80.
- Ram, H., Singh, J. P., Bohra, J. S., Singh, R. K., & Sutaliya, J. M. (2014). Effect of seedlings age and plant spacing on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa*) genotypes under system of rice intensification. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 59(2), 256-260.
- Ranawake, A. L., Amarasingha, U. G. S., & Dahanayake, N. (2013). Agronomic characters of some traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars in Sri Lanka. *Journal of the University of Ruhuna*, 1(1), 3. doi:10.4038/jur.v1i1.6150
- Rasmussen, K. J. (1999). Impact of ploughless soil tillage on yield and soil quality: A Scandinavian review. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 53(1), 3–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(99\)00072-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(99)00072-0)
- Redding, T. E., & Devito, K. J. (2006). Particle densities of wetland soils in northern Alberta, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 86(1), 57–60. doi:10.4141/s05-061
- Reed, W.B. (1974) A Laboratory Study of Grain / Straw Separation. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 17(3):452-460.
- Reed, T. F. (1985). Advances in Spray Nozzle Designs for Chemical Application. *SAE Technical Paper Series*. doi:10.4271/851096

- Reeves, D. W. (1997). The role of soil organic matter in maintaining soil quality in continuous cropping systems. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 43(1-2), 131–167. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(97)00038-x
- Reicosky, D. C., Kemper, W. D., Langdale, G., Douglas, C. L., & Rasmussen, P. E. (1995). Soil organic matter changes resulting from tillage and biomass production. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 50(3), 253–261.
- Reissig, W. H. (1985). Illustrated guide to integrated pest management in rice in tropical Asia. Int. Rice Res. Inst..
- Rhoton, F. E., Bruce, R. R., Buehring, N. W., Elkins, G. B., Langdale, C. W., & Tyler, D. D. (1993). Chemical and physical characteristics of four soil types under conventional and no-tillage systems. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 28(1), 51–61. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987\(93\)90055-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987(93)90055-T)
- Rodriguez, R. C., & Ingram, K. T. (1991). Establishment and yield of rainfed lowland dry seeded rice as influenced by row spacing. *Philippine Journal of Crop Science (Philippines)*.
- Rodriguez, R. C., & Ingram, K. T. (1991). Establishment and yield of rainfed lowland dry seeded rice as influenced by row spacing. *Philippine Journal of Crop Science (Philippines)*, 16, 10.
- Romanekas, K., Romanekienė, R., Šarauskis, E., Pilipavičius, V., & Sakalauskas, A. (2009). The effect of conservation primary and zero tillage on soil bulk density, water content, sugar beet growth and weed infestation. *Agronomy Research*, 7(1), 73-86.
- Roberts, T. L. (2007). Right product, right rate, right time and right place... the foundation of best management practices for fertilizer. *Fertilizer best management practices*, 29, 1-8.
- Saffih-Hdadi, K., Défossez, P., Richard, G., Cui, Y.-J., Tang, A.-M., & Chaplain, V. (2009). A method for predicting soil susceptibility to the compaction of surface layers as a function of water content and bulk density. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 105(1), 96–103. doi:10.1016/j.still.2009.05.012
- Salyani, M., & Fox, R. D (1999). Evaluation of spray quality by oiland water-sensitive papers. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 42(1), 37–43. doi:10.13031/2013.13206
- Salman, D., Morteza, S., Dariush, Z., Nasiri, A., Reza, Y., Delavar Ehsan, G., & Nasiri Ali Reza, N. (2012). Application of Nitrogen and Silicon Rates on Morphological and Chemical Lodging Related Characteristics in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) at North of Iran. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4(6). doi:10.5539/jas.v4n6p12
- Sanaeifar, A., Sheikhdavoodi, M. J. (2012): Evaluating of broadcasting uniformity of centrifugal and oscillating granular broadcasters. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology* 4(15): 2460-2468.

- Schjønning, P., McBride, R. A., Keller, T., & Obour, P. B. (2017). Predicting soil particle density from clay and soil organic matter contents. *Geoderma*, 286, 83–87. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.10.020
- Senjobi, B. A., Ande, O. T., & Okulaja, A. E. (2013). Effects of Tillage Practices on Soil Properties under Maize Cultivation on Oxic Paleustalf in South Western Nigeria. *Open Journal of Soil Science*, 03(03), 163–168. doi:10.4236/ojss.2013.33019
- Servadio, P., Marsili, A., Pagliai, M., Pellegrini, S., & Vignozzi, N. (2001). Effects on some clay soil qualities following the passage of rubber-tracked and wheeled tractors in central Italy. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 61(3-4), 143–155. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(01)00195-7
- Sessiz, A., & Ülger, P. (2003). Determination of threshing losses with a raspbar type axial flow threshing unit. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering*, 40(4), 1-8.
- Shahi, H. N., Gill, P. S., & Khind, C. S. (1976). Effect of number of seedlings per hill and spacing on growth and yield of rice [India]. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*.
- Shalaby, S. E. (2018). Influence of sowing dates and insecticides on the rice stem borer (*Chilo agamamnon* Bles) and rice leafminer (*Hydrellia griseola* Fallen). *Journal of Entomological Research*, 42(2), 195-200.
- Shamshuddin, J., Mokhtar, N., & Kamal, A. J. (1985). Chemical and Mineralogical Characteristics of an Organic Soil (Troposaprist) from Sg. Burong, Tg. Karang, Selangor. *Pertanika*, 8(3), 299-304.
- Sharratt, B. S., & McWilliams, D. A. (2005). Microclimatic and Rooting Characteristics of Narrow-Row versus Conventional-Row Corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 97(4), 1129–1135. doi:10.2134/agronj2004.0292
- Shaver, T. M., Peterson, G. A., & Sherrod, L. A. (2003). Cropping intensification in dryland systems improves soil physical properties: regression relations. *Geoderma*, 116(1-2), 149-164.
- Shreen, F. A. M., Badawy, M. E., & El-Naga, M. A. (2014). Comparison between the most common mechanical methods and rice combine modified for harvesting wheat crop in the Egyptian fields. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 92(2), 675–692.
- Silins, U., & Rothwell, R. L. (1998). Forest Peatland Drainage and Subsidence Affect Soil Water Retention and Transport Properties in an Alberta Peatland. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 62(4), 1048–1056. doi:10.2136/sssaj1998.03615995006200040028x
- Sinclair, T. R., & Rufty, T. W. (2012). Nitrogen and water resources commonly limit crop yield increases, not necessarily plant genetics. *Global Food Security*, 1(2), 94–98. doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2012.07.001
- Singh, B., Chanasyk, D. S., McGill, W. B., & Nyborg, M. P. K. (1994). Residue and tillage management effects of soil properties of a typical cryoboroll under continuous

- barley. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 32(2-3), 117–133. doi:10.1016/0167-1987(94)90015-9
- Singh, G., Sharma, T. R., & Bockhop, C. W. (1985). Field performance evaluation of a manual rice transplanter. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 32(3), 259–268. doi:10.1016/0021-8634(85)90083-6
- Singh, R. P., Brennan, J. P., Lacy, J., & Steel, F. (2005). An assessment of the economic, environmental and social impacts of the Ricecheck program Economic Research Report No.28, NSW Department of Primary Industries, Yanco.
- Singh, T. N., Singh, G., & Singh, H. P. (1983). Nitrogen fertilization and spacing to maximize upland rice yield. *Int. Rice Res. Newsl*, 86, 27.
- Sinhal, J. P., G. S. M., Dixit, A., Singh, A., Singh, M., & Singh, B. P. (2003). *Performance Evaluation of Axial Flow and Tangential Axial Flow Threshing System for Basmati Rice (Oryza Sativa)*. 1(2), 44–49.
- Sisie, S. A., & Mirshekari, B. (2011). Effect of phosphorus fertilization and seed biofertilization on harvest index and phosphorus use efficiency of wheat cultivars. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 9(2 part 1), 388–391.
- Smith, D. B. (1992). Uniformity and Recovery of Broadcast Sprays Using Fan Nozzles. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 35(1), 39–44. doi:10.13031/2013.28567
- Smith, D. B., & Plummer, D. D. (1984). Broadcast Spray Deposit Evaluations at Sprayer Speeds up to 12 km/h. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 27(3), 674–676. doi:10.13031/2013.32850
- Smith, D. W., Sims, B. G., & O'Neill, D. H. (1994). Testing and evaluation of agricultural machinery and equipment: Principles and practices. FAO Agricultural Services Bulletin (FAO).
- Soane, B. D., Blackwell, P. S., Dickson, J. W., & Painter, D. J. (1980). Compaction by agricultural vehicles: A review I. Soil and wheel characteristics. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 1(C), 207–237. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987\(80\)90026-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987(80)90026-4)
- Soane, B. D., & van Ouwerkerk, C. (1994). Soil Compaction Problems in World Agriculture. Soil Compaction in Crop Production, *Developments in Agricultural Engineering* (Vol. 11, pp. 1–21)1–21. doi:10.1016/b978-0-444-88286-8.50009-x
- Soroudi, Alireza. 2017. 78 Power System Optimization Modeling in GAMS. Springer.
- Sparling, G. P., Schipper, L. A., Hewitt, A. E., & Degens, B. P. (2000). Resistance to cropping pressure of two New Zealand soils with contrasting mineralogy. *Soil Research*, 38(1), 85. doi:10.1071/sr99065
- Spillman, J. J. (1984). Spray impaction, retention and adhesion: an introduction to basic characteristics. *Pesticide Science*, 15(2), 97–106.

- Srisopaporn, S., Jourdain, D., Perret, S. R., & Shivakoti, G. (2015). Adoption and continued participation in a public Good Agricultural Practices program: The case of rice farmers in the Central Plains of Thailand. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 96, 242–253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2015.03.016>
- Srivastava, A. K., Goering, C. E., Rohrbach, R. P., & Buckmaster, D. R. (2006). Engineering Principles of Agricultural Machines. American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers. *ST Josef, Michigan, USA*.
- Stout, B.A., and B. Cheze. 1999. Plant production engineering. CIGR Handbook Agric. Eng. Vol. 3. Am. Soc. of Agric. Eng., St. Joseph, MI.
- Strieder, M. L., Silva, P. R. F. da, Rambo, L., Sangoi, L., Silva, A. A. da, Endrigo, P. C., & Jandrey, D. B. (2008). Crop management systems and maize grain yield under narrow row spacing. *Scientia Agricola*, 65(4), 346–353.
- Subr, A., Milanowski, M., Parafiniuk, S., & Sawa, J. (2017). Testing the uniformity of spray distribution under different application parameters. *Farm Machinery and Processes Management in Sustainable Agriculture*, IX International Scientific Symposium. doi:10.24326/fmpmsa.2017.64
- Sui, B., Feng, X., Tian, G., Hu, X., Shen, Q., & Guo, S. (2013). Optimizing nitrogen supply increases rice yield and nitrogen use efficiency by regulating yield formation factors. *Field Crops Research*, 150, 99–107.
- Takebe, M. and T. Yoneyama, 1989. Measurement of leaf color scores and its implication to nitrogen nutrition of rice plants. *Japanese Agricultural Research Quarterly*, 23: 86–93.
- Taylor, D. C., Mohamed, Z. A., Shamsudin, M. N., Mohayidin, M. G., & Chiew, E. F. C. (1993). Creating a farmer sustainability index: A Malaysian case study. *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*, 8(4), 175–184. Doi:10.1017/s0889189300005403
- Tebrügge, F., & Düring, R.-A. (1999). Reducing tillage intensity - a review of results from a long-term study in Germany. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 53(1), 15–28. doi:10.1016/s0167-1987(99)00073-2
- Terano, R., Mohamed, Z., Shamsudin, M. N., & Latif, I. A. (2015). Farmers sustainability index: The case of paddy farmers in state of Kelantan, Malaysia. *Journal of the International Society for Southeast Asian Agricultural Sciences*, 21(1), 55–67.
- Tim Chamen, W. C., Moxey, A. P., Towers, W., Balana, B., & Hallett, P. D. (2015). Mitigating arable soil compaction: A review and analysis of available cost and benefit data. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 146, 10–25. doi:10.1016/j.still.2014.09.011
- Turner, F., & Jund, M. (1994). Assessing the nitrogen requirements of rice crops with a chlorophyll meter. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 34(7), 1001.

<https://doi.org/10.1071/EA9941001>

- Uk, S., & Courshee, R. J. (1982). Distribution and likely effectiveness of spray deposits within a cotton canopy from fine ultralow-volume spray applied by aircraft. *Pesticide Science*, 13(5), 529–536. doi:10.1002/ps.2780130511
- Unger, P. W. (1991). Overwinter Changes in Physical Properties of No-Tillage Soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 55(3), 778–782. doi:10.2136/sssaj1991.03615995005500030024x
- Van de Zande, J. C., Stallinga, H., Michielsen, J. M. G. P., & Van Velde, P. (2005). Effect of sprayer speed on spray drift. *Annual Review of Agricultural Engineering*, 4(1), 129–142.
- Van Donk, S.J., Tollner, E.W., 2000. Measurement and modelling of heat transfer mechanisms in mulch materials. *Transactions of the ASAE* 43 (4), 919–925. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.2988>.
- Van Ouwerkerk, C. V., & Boone, F. R. (1970). Soil-physical aspects of zero-tillage experiments. *Neth. J. Agric. Sci*, 18, 247–261.
- Varvel, G.E., W.W. Wilhelm, J.F. Shanahan and J.S. Schepers, 2007. An algorithm for corn nitrogen recommendations using a chlorophyll meter based sufficiency index. *Agronomy Journal*, 99: 701–706. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2006.0190>
- Vaz, C. M. P., Manieri, J. M., de Maria, I. C., & Th. van Genuchten, M. (2013). Scaling the Dependency of Soil Penetration Resistance on Water Content and Bulk Density of Different Soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 77(5), 1488–1495. doi:10.2136/sssaj2013.01.0016
- Vaz, C. M. P., Manieri, J. M., de Maria, I. C., & Tuller, M. (2011). Modeling and correction of soil penetration resistance for varying soil water content. *Geoderma*, 166(1), 92–101. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.07.016
- Voorhees, W. B., Senst, C. G., & Nelson, W. W. (1978). Compaction and Soil Structure Modification by Wheel Traffic in the Northern Corn Belt. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 42(2), 344–349. doi:10.2136/sssaj1978.03615995004200020029x
- Ullah, H., Rahimi, A. Z., & Datta, A. (2019). Growth and yield of lowland rice as influenced by potassium application and cultivation method under alternate wetting and drying water regime. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 42(13), 1529–1542. doi:10.1080/01904167.2019.1628973
- Wang, Z., Zhang, W., Beebout, S. S., Zhang, H., Liu, L., Yang, J., & Zhang, J. (2016). Grain yield, water and nitrogen use efficiencies of rice as influenced by irrigation regimes and their interaction with nitrogen rates. *Field Crops Research*, 193, 54–69. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2016.03.006
- Wang, M., Ma, L., Strokal, M., Chu, Y., & Kroeze, C. (2018). Exploring nutrient

- management options to increase nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiencies in food production of China. *Agricultural Systems*, 163, 58–72. doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2017.01.001
- Weise, G., & Bourarach, E. H. (1999). Tillage machinery. *CIGR Handbook of Agricultural Engineering*, 3, 184–217.
- Wells, B. R., & Faw, W. F. (1978). Short-statured Rice Response to Seeding and N Rates. *Agronomy Journal*, 70(3), 477. doi:10.2134/agronj1978.00021962007000030028x
- Wolf, R. E., & Daggupati, N. P. (2009). Nozzle Type Effect on Soybean Canopy Penetration. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 25(1), 23–30. doi:10.13031/2013.25426
- Wolf T.M., Harrison S.K., Hall F.R., Cooper J. 2000. Optimizing postemergence herbicide deposition and efficacy through application variables in no-till systems. *Weed Science* 48 (6): 761–768.
- Womac, A., Etheridge, R., Seibert, A., Hogan, D., & Ray, S (2001). Sprayer speed and venturi-nozzle effects on broadcast application uniformity. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 44(6) 1437. doi:10.13031/2013.7011
- Yasnolob, I. O., Pysarenko, V. M., Chayka, T. O., Gorb, O. O., Pestsova-Svitalka, O. S., Kononenko, Z. A., & Pomaz, O. M. (2018). Ecologization of tillage methods with the aim of soil fertility improvement. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 8(2), 280–286.
- Ying, J., Peng, S., He, Q., Yang, H., Yang, C., Visperas, R. M., & Cassman, K. G. (1998). Comparison of high-yield rice in tropical and subtropical environments. *Field Crops Research*, 57(1), 71–84. doi:10.1016/s0378-4290(98)00077-x
- Ying, J., Peng, S., Yang, G., Zhou, N., Visperas, R. M., & Cassman, K. G. (1998). Comparison of high yield rice in tropical and subtropical environments. *Field Crops Research*, 57(1), 85–93. doi:10.1016/s0378-4290(97)00121-4
- Yoshida, S. 1981. Fundamentals of rice crop science. Int. Rice Res. Inst., Los Baños, Philippines Yoshida, S.; Forno, D.; Cock, J.; Gomez, K. Laboratory Manual for Physiological Studies of Rice; 1131 International Rice Research Institute: Metro Manila, Philippines.
- Zainal, A. M., Nasir, S. M., Chiew Eddie, F. C. and M. M. Ghazali. 1994. Farmers' production practices and sustainable development: the case of English cabbage production in Cameron Highlands. *Malaysian Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 11, 1-22.
- Zhang, Y., Tang, Q., Zou, Y., Li, D., Qin, J., Yang, S. ... Peng, S. (2009). Yield potential and radiation use efficiency of “super” hybrid rice grown under subtropical conditions. *Field Crops Research*, 114(1), 91–98. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2009.07.008

Zhu, H., Dorner, J. ., Rowland, D. ., Derksen, R. ., & Ozkan, H. . (2004). Spray Penetration into Peanut Canopies with Hydraulic Nozzle Tips. *Biosystems Engineering*, 87(3), 275–283. doi:10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2003.11.012

Zia, M. S., Ali, A., Aslam, M., Baig, M. B., & Mann, R. A. (1997). Fertility issues and fertilizer management in rice wheat system. *Farm Research Notes for Asia and Far East*. FAO Publication, Issue, (23), 36-50.

