



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF FLOW CHARACTERIZATIONS OF  
FLUIDS AROUND BYPASS PIPELINE INSPECTION GAUGE***

**RABBY MD INSIAT ISLAM**

**FK 2022 6**



**NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF FLOW CHARACTERIZATIONS OF  
FLUIDS AROUND BYPASS PIPELINE INSPECTION GAUGE**

By

**RABBY MD INSIAT ISLAM**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**August 2021**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF FLOW CHARACTERIZATIONS OF FLUIDS AROUND BYPASS PIPELINE INSPECTION GAUGE

By

**RABBY MD INSIAT ISLAM**

August 2021

**Chairman : Associate Professor Siti Ujila binti Masuri, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

In oil and gas industry the transportations of fluids such as oil, gas and petroleum in production plants are operated by pipeline networks. During regular operation, the pipeline wall faces various obstructions, debris, deposits and corrossions, which cause several damages to the pipelines. Therefore, regular maintenance, cleaning and inspections of the pipelines are necessary for continuous pipeline operations. Pipeline Inspection Gauge (PIG) is a device used for such purposes, which moves forward in the pipeline due to differential pressure of fluid around the PIG. However, the performance of PIG is greatly affected by the PIG speed as very high speed may cause several damages to pipelines wall and the PIG itself. Therefore, it is important to investigate the flow characterizations of the fluid around the PIG and the relation to the PIG speed as the PIG parameters and fluids vary. This study focuses on investigating the relationship between PIG speed and bypass opening percentages of disk bypass PIG with hole in disk for different fluids including water, crude oil and butane using computational fluid dynamics approach. The control volume method along with steady state Turbulent  $k-\epsilon$  model was applied for simulation purposes by using ANSYS Fluent 19 software. Ten different geometries of disk bypass PIG with hole in disk with eleven different bypass opening percentages (2% to 20%) were considered in this study. Relationship for PIG speed, pressure loss around PIG section and a general correlation for bypass opening percentages were investigated for all considered cases of water, crude oil and butane. By using numerical data, a relationship between PIG speed and other parameters (fluid and PIG geometrical parameters) was developed to determine PIG speed, which provided good agreement with experimental results within maximum 2% standard deviation. The findings of this study showed that by increasing the bypass opening percentages from 2% to 20% the PIG speed has reduced 102% to 189% in water medium, 21% to 52% in crude oil medium, and 85% to 139% in butane medium, respectively. Meanwhile, pressure loss has reduced 85% to 99% in water medium, 77 to 98% in crude oil medium, and 81% to 98% in butane medium for all cases, respectively. This study also developed a general correlation to determine the required bypass opening percentages at a certain PIG speed for water, crude oil and butane, which provided good agreement with

simulation and experimental results. The correlations developed in this study are important towards providing more valuable insights into improving pigging operations for oil and gas industries.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

## **SIMULASI BERANGKA BAGI PENCIRIAN ALIRAN BENDALIR DI SEKELILING TOLOK PEMERIKSAAN SALURAN PAIP PINTAS**

Oleh

**RABBY MD INSIAT ISLAM**

Ogos 2021

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Siti Ujila binti Masuri, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan**

Bagi industri minyak dan gas, pengangkutan cecair seperti minyak, gas dan petroleum di kilang pengeluaran dikendalikan melalui rangkaian saluran paip. Semasa operasi, saluran paip menghadapi pelbagai halangan, serpihan, mendakan dan hakisan yang menyebabkan kerosakan pada saluran paip. Oleh itu, penyelenggaraan, pembersihan dan pemeriksaan saluran paip secara berkala adalah penting untuk pengoperasian saluran paip secara berterusan. Tolok pemeriksaan saluran paip (PIG) ialah alat yang digunakan untuk mencapai tujuan tersebut, yang bergerak di dalam saluran paip melalui perbezaan tekanan bendalir di sekeliling PIG. Namun, prestasi PIG sangat dipengaruhi oleh kelajuan PIG kerana kelajuan yang tinggi boleh menyebabkan kerosakan pada saluran paip dan juga PIG itu sendiri. Oleh itu, ciri-ciri aliran cecair di sekeliling PIG dan hubungan dengan kelajuan PIG amat penting untuk dikaji apabila parameter PIG dan bendalir berbeza-beza. Kajian ini memberi fokus kepada menyelidiki hubungan antara kelajuan PIG dan peratusan pembukaan pintas bagi PIG pintas cakera dengan lubang dalam cakera untuk cecair yang berbeza termasuk air, minyak mentah dan butana menggunakan pendekatan perkomputeran dinamik bendalir. Kaedah isipadu terkawal beserta model Gelora  $k-\epsilon$  berkeadaan mantap digunakan untuk tujuan simulasi dengan menggunakan perisian ANSYS Fluent 19. Sepuluh geometri berbeza bagi PIG pintas cakera dengan lubang dalam cakera dan sebelas peratusan bukaan pintas yang berbeza (2% hingga 20%) telah dipertimbangkan dalam kajian ini. Hubungan antara kelajuan PIG, kehilangan tekanan di sekeliling bahagian PIG dan hubungkait umum untuk peratusan pembukaan pintas telah dikaji untuk semua kes yang diambil kira termasuk air, minyak mentah dan butana. Dengan menggunakan data berangka, hubungan antara kelajuan PIG dan parameter lain (bendalir dan parameter geometri PIG) telah dibangunkan untuk menentukan kelajuan PIG, yang memberi persetujuan baik dengan hasil eksperimen dalam sisihan piawai maksimum 2%. Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa dengan meningkatkan peratusan bukaan pintas dari 2% hingga 20%, kelajuan PIG masing-masing telah menurun 102% hingga 189% dalam medium air, 21% hingga 52% dalam medium minyak mentah, dan 85% hingga 139% dalam medium butana. Sementara itu, kehilangan tekanan telah menurun masing-masing dari 85% hingga 99%

dalam medium air, 77% hingga 98% dalam medium minyak mentah, dan 81% hingga 98% dalam medium butana untuk semua kes. Kajian ini juga telah membangunkan hubungkait umum untuk menentukan peratusan pembukaan pintas yang diperlukan pada kelajuan PIG yang tertentu untuk air, minyak mentah dan butana, yang memberi persetujuan baik dengan hasil simulasi dan eksperimen. Hubungkait-hubungkait yang dibangunkan dalam kajian ini adalah penting ke arah memberikan pandangan yang lebih bernilai dalam menambahbaik operasi 'pigging' untuk industri minyak dan gas.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deepest gratitude to Allah Subhanahu wa ta'ala for helping me in my entire journey and complete my study properly.

I am very delighted to express my deepest thank to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Ujila Binti Masuri very sincerely for giving me this research opportunity and her kind as well as friendly supervision. Working under her supervision was a great honor.

I also sincerely acknowledged Eureka Efektif Sdn. Bhd. for their financially support to my study under vote number 6300211 (Universiti Putra Malaysia).

In addition, I would like to thank Mr. Ahmad Syakir Fariz Samsul Kamal from Eureka Efektif Sdn. Bhd. for his support and excellent suggestions during this research.

Furthermore, I would like to sincerely acknowledge and thank to my co-supervisors- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zulkifli Leman, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdul Aziz Hairuddin and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nuraini Abdul Aziz, for their encouragement, kind help as well as creative and comprehensive advice during this research.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, family members and friends. For me, it was impossible to complete my study program in UPM without their supports and endless love. This thesis is my finest present to them.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Siti Ujila binti Masuri, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Zulkifflle bin Leman, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Abdul Aziz bin Hairuddin, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Nuraini binti Abdul Aziz, PhD**

Associate Professor, Ir.  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 20 January 2022

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Associate Professor  
Dr. Siti Ujila binti Masuri

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Associate Professor  
Dr. Zulkifile bin Leman

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Associate Professor  
Dr. Abdul Aziz bin Hairuddin

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Associate Professor  
Dr. Ir. Nuraini binti Abdul Aziz

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF NOMENCLATURES</b>	xviii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Scope of the Study	3
1.5 Thesis Organization	4
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Overview	6
2.2 Pipelines Integrity	6
2.2.1 Threats and Failures	7
2.2.2 Pipeline Maintenance in the Integrity Management Process	8
2.2.3 Inspection, Monitoring and Testing	8
2.3 Pigging Operation	8
2.4 Purpose of PIG	9
2.5 Pigging Categories and System	10
2.5.1 Utility PIGs	11
2.5.2 ILI (In-Line Inspection) Tools	13
2.5.3 Gel PIGs	14
2.6 Launcher and Receiver	15
2.7 PIG Design	16
2.7.1 PIG Body	16
2.7.2 Cups and Seals	17
2.7.3 Bypass	18
2.7.4 Cleaning Element	20
2.8 Operating Conditions	21
2.8.1 Product	21
2.8.2 Pressure	21
2.8.3 Temperature	23
2.8.4 Flow Rate and Fluid Velocity	23
2.8.5 Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)	24
2.9 Recent Studies on Bypass Pigging	25
2.10 Correlations for Bypass PIG	32

2.11	Research Gaps	36
2.12	Chapter Summary	36
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1	Overview	37
3.2	Governing Equations	39
3.2.1	Navier-Stokes Equations	39
3.2.2	Reynolds Number	39
3.2.3	The $k - \epsilon$ Turbulence Model	40
3.2.4	Fluid Dynamics of PIG	41
3.3	Buckingham $\pi$ Theorem to Develop General Correlation	42
3.4	Computational Model and Boundary Conditions	42
3.4.1	Assumptions	44
3.5	Parameters of PIGs	44
3.6	Properties of fluids	45
3.7	Grid Independency Test	46
3.8	Verification	48
3.9	Validation	50
3.10	Chapter Summary	50
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1	Overview	51
4.2	Relationship between PIG Speed and Other Parameters (Fluid and PIG Geometrical Parameters)	51
4.2.1	Developed Correlation to Determine PIG Speed	51
4.2.2	Validation of the Developed Correlation	52
4.3	PIG speed and Pressure Loss of Different PIG Geometries and Fluids (Water, Crude Oil and Butane)	53
4.3.1	PIG Speed as a Function of Bypass Opening Percentages for Different PIG Geometries (Water Medium)	53
4.3.2	Pressure Loss as a Function of Bypass Opening Percentages for Different PIG Geometries (Water Medium)	61
4.3.3	PIG Speed as a Function of Bypass Opening Percentages for Different PIG Geometries (Crude Oil Medium)	67
4.3.4	Pressure Loss as a Function of Bypass Opening Percentages for Different PIG Geometries (Crude Oil Medium)	74
4.3.5	PIG Speed as a Function of Bypass Opening Percentages for Different PIG Geometries (Butane Medium)	80
4.3.6	Pressure Loss as a Function of Bypass Opening Percentages for Different PIG Geometries (Butane Medium)	87
4.4	General Correlation for PIG Bypass Opening Percentages and Other Parameters (Fluid and PIG Geometrical Parameters)	94

4.4.1	The Developed General Correlation for PIG Bypass Opening Percentages	94
4.4.2	Comparison between Numerical Study and Developed General Correlation for All Considered Cases and Fluids	98
4.5	Chapter Summary	105
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	106
5.1	Overview	106
5.2	Conclusions	106
5.3	Recommendations	107
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	108
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	112
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT PUBLICATION</b>	126
		127

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Recommended typical pigging pressure	23
2.2 Standard PIG speed for operation	24
2.3 Summary of recent studies on bypass pigging system	28
3.1 Parameters for various cases of disk bypass PIG with hole in disk	45
3.2 Distance between disk and PIG body for different bypass opening percentages of disk bypass PIG with hole in disk	45
3.3 Fluid dynamic properties of water, butane and crude oil	46
3.4 Grid number and number of nodes used as meshing for grid independency test with water as case study	46
3.5 Key parameters used in the conventional PIG	49
4.1 PIG speed for various bypass opening percentages by using developed correlation	52
4.2 Reduction (%) of PIG speed with increase of bypass opening percentages from 2% to 20% for case-1 to case-10 of water as working medium	57
4.3 Reduction (%) of PIG speed with increase of bypass opening percentages from 2% to 20% for case-1 to case-10 of crude oil as working medium	70
4.4 Reduction (%) of PIG speed with increase of bypass opening percentages from 2% to 20% for case-1-10 of butane as working medium	83
4.5 Values of unknown factor “a” for water medium	96
4.6 Values of unknown factor “a” for crude oil medium	97
4.7 Values of unknown factor “a” for butane medium	98
4.8 Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) among the results of correlation and CFD simulation	105
A.1 Fluid velocity at bypass opening section for different cases and bypass opening percentages of water medium	117

A.2	Fluid velocity at bypass opening section for different cases and bypass opening percentages of butane medium	118
A.3	Fluid velocity at bypass opening section for different cases and bypass opening percentages of crude oil medium	119
A.4	Fluid Pressure at downstream of the pipeline for different cases and bypass opening percentages of water medium	120
A.5	Fluid Pressure at upstream of the pipeline for different cases and bypass opening percentages of water medium	121
A.6	Fluid Pressure at downstream of the pipeline for different cases and bypass opening percentages of crude oil medium	122
A.7	Fluid Pressure at upstream of the pipeline for different cases and bypass opening percentages of crude oil medium	123
A.8	Fluid Pressure at downstream of the pipeline for different cases and bypass opening percentages of butane medium	124
A.9	Fluid Pressure at upstream of the pipeline for different cases and bypass opening percentages of butane medium	125

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Examples of bypass PIG (a) Liang, (2015) (b) Eureka Efektif Sdn. Bhd	1
1.2	Example of (a) intelligent PIG (Guo et al., 2014) and (b) cleaning PIG	2
2.1	Process from concept to operation	6
2.2	The chain of events from threats to failure	7
2.3	Specialist application of PIGs	10
2.4	(a) A typical foam PIG and (b) operation process of a foam PIG for cleaning the pipeline	11
2.5	Some common spheres used for pigging operations in pipeline	12
2.6	Some common solid cast PIGs for pigging operations	13
2.7	Some common mandrel PIGs for pigging operations	13
2.8	An ultrasonic inspection tool	14
2.9	Gel PIG's application in pigging operations	15
2.10	A typical configuration and components of a PIG launcher	15
2.11	A typical configuration and component of a PIG receiver	16
2.12	Typical bypass mandrel PIG	17
2.13	a) conical seal and b) heavy duty cup seal	17
2.14	Comparison between bypass pigging and conventional pigging system	19
2.15	A bypass PIG with the bypass adjusting device, made by the Rosen group	19
2.16	Structure of a bypass control devices	20
2.17	Cleaning Elements Arrangement for Full Pipe Covering	20
2.18	Various types of materials for cleaning element	21

2.19	Standard differential pressure for different pigging system	22
2.20	The relationship between the differential pressure over the PIG and the load torque acting on bypass-valve	33
2.21	The relationship between the load torque acting on PIG and different bypass-valve opening percentages	34
2.22	The relationship between the differential pressure over the PIG and Zhu et al., (2014)	34
2.23	2D sketch of conventional bypass PIG	36
3.1	Flow chart of the study	38
3.2	Geometry of disk bypass PIG	43
3.3	Mesh generated in Ansys fluent around PIG section for case 1, 10% bypass opening percentages	47
3.4	Turbulent kinetic energy at streamlines of bypass PIG with 10% bypass opening percentage as function of various grids used in grid independency test for water as study case	47
3.5	Turbulent kinetic energy at bypass entry section of bypass PIG with 10% bypass opening percentage as function of various grids used in grid independency test for water as study case	48
3.6	Comparison of velocity profiles at different locations with present work and (Liang, 2015) research work	49
4.1	Comparison of PIG speed by using developed correlation for different bypass opening percentage with experimental data from Eureka Efektif Sdn Bhd for disk bypass PIG with hole at disk	53
4.2	PIG speed as a function of different bypass opening percentages for different cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case 4,e) case 5, f) case 6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case- 9 and j) case-10 for water as working medium	56
4.3	Velocity contour for case-2 by using water as pipeline fluid	61
4.4	Pressure loss of different bypass opening percentages for different cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case 4,e) case 5, f) case 6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case- 9 and j) case-10 for water as working medium	62
4.5	Pressure contour for case-2 by using water as pipeline fluid	67

4.6	PIG speed of different bypass opening percentages for different cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case-4,e) case-5, f) case-6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case-9 and j) case-10 for crude oil as working medium	68
4.7	Velocity contour for case-2 by using crude oil as pipeline fluid	74
4.8	Pressure loss of different bypass opening percentages for different cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case 4,e) case 5, f) case 6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case- 9 and j) case-10 for crude oil as working medium	75
4.9	Pressure contour for case-2 by using crude oil as pipeline fluid	80
4.10	PIG speed of different bypass opening percentages for different cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case-4, e) case-5, f) case-6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case-9 and j) case-10 for butane as working medium	81
4.11	Velocity contour for case-2 by using butane as pipeline fluid	87
4.12	Pressure loss of different bypass opening percentages for different cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case 4,e) case 5, f) case 6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case- 9 and j) case-10 for butane as working medium	88
4.13	Pressure contour for case-2 by using butane as pipeline fluid	93
4.14	Comparison of correlation and simulation results for bypass opening percentages as a function of PIG speed for cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case-4, e) case-5, f) case-6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case-9 and j) case-10 for water as working medium	99
4.15	Comparison of correlation and simulation results for bypass opening percentages as a function of PIG speed for cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case-4, e) case-5, f) case-6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case-9 and j) case-10 for crude oil as working medium	102
4.16	Comparison of correlation and simulation results for bypass opening percentages as a function of PIG speed for cases- a) case-1, b) case-2, c) case-3, d) case-4, e) case-5, f) case-6, g) case-7, h) case-8, i) case-9 and j) case-10 for butane as working medium	104

## LIST OF NOMENCLATURES

$A$	cross-sectional area of pipe
$a$	correlation factor
$D$	pipe diameter
$d$	diameter of PIG
$d_0$	diameter of disk hole
$T$	thickness of the disk
$f$	body force
$F$	force
$H$	disk diameter
$h$	bypass opening percentages
$t$	time
$g$	gravitational acceleration
$k$	turbulent kinetic energy
$L$	pipe length
$l$	horizontal bypass length
$m$	mass of the fluid
$P$	pressure
$t$	disk thickness
$U$	mean velocity
$u'$	root mean square of the fluctuating velocities
$v$	velocity
$\Delta P$	differential pressure
$Re$	Reynolds number
$\rho$	density

$\mu$	viscosity
$\epsilon$	turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate
$\delta$	Kronecker delta
$I$	sum of forces acting on a volume unit
$\vec{F}$	mass force per volume unit and
$\vec{P}$	surface force per volume unit
$\theta$	inclination angle
IMS	integrity management system
IMP	integrity management process
ILI	in-line inspection
MFL	magnetic flux leakage
UT	ultrasonic technology
RSM	Response surface methodology
PIG	pipeline inspection gauge
CFD	Computational fluid dynamics

### **Subscripts**

$c$	contact
$p$	driving pressure
$t$	turbulent eddy
$i$	$x$ direction
$j$	$y$ direction
$up$	upstream
$down$	downstream
$in$	inlet
$max$	maximum

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

In oil and gas industry, pipeline networks are used to transport various fluids such as oil, gas and petroleum from the wells to production plants. During regular operation, pipeline walls face various obstructions, debris, deposits and corrosion, which affect production rate and pipeline condition. Therefore, regular maintenance, cleaning, and inspections are required for the continuous operation of the pipelines. For these purposes, the pigging technique is applied widely, which is mainly performed by the device called Pipeline Inspection Gauge (PIG). A PIG is generally driven by the differential pressure of fluids around the PIG section inside the pipeline. There are numerous types of PIG for various pipeline applications. The basic PIG such as utility PIG is applied for cleaning purposes while intelligent PIG and smart PIG are utilized for inspection and cleaning. Moreover, the physical state of the pipe is inspected by using a smart PIG. Few examples of PIGs are provided in Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2.



(a)



(b)

**Figure 1.1 : Examples of bypass PIG (a) Liang, (2015) (b) Eureka Efektif Sdn. Bhd**



**Figure 1.2 : Example of (a) intelligent PIG (Guo et al., 2014) and (b) cleaning PIG (Liang, 2015)**

However, previous studies reported that PIGs would not be effective if it runs at high speed. The high speed of PIGs may create sudden damage or cracks in pipelines. The general standard speeds of utility PIGs are nearly 2-7 m/s for on-stream gas and 1-5 m/s for on-stream liquids (Cordell and Vanzant, 1999). By decreasing fluid speed, these risks can be overcome; however, this minimization of the fluid speed turns the production rates down. This issue can be solved by using PIGs that have fluid flowing path through their main body. This fluid flow path is known as bypass flow, which varies along with differential pressure over the PIG. The PIG with bypass flow is called as bypass PIG (Figure 1.1 is an example of bypass PIG), which is generally used to maintain the production rate by moderating the PIG speed (Chen et al., 2020; Hendrix et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2001a). There are various types of bypass PIG, among which disk bypass PIG is most potential for pigging operations (Liang, 2015). Previous studies demonstrated that fluids struck the disk due to the disk's presence, which caused a reduction of differential pressure and PIG speed (Korban, 2014; Liang, (2015); Mirshamsi & Rafeeyan, 2019; Zhang et al., 2020).

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The major problem faced by the pipeline inspection gauges (PIG) is to control and reduce the speed of the PIG during flows through the pipeline, which is usually very high for conventional and bypass PIG. The travelling speed of PIG will seriously affect the operation's results; therefore, strict requirements are necessary on the travelling speed. Very high speed of PIG causes several damages to the pipelines and PIG itself. Therefore, proper control and accurate prediction for PIGs' speed are highly significant during the pigging operations.

PIG speed usually depends on geometrical parameters of PIG, fluids properties and flow characteristics of fluids inside the pipeline. Studies reported that, use of disk in front of bypass PIG is more effective to reduce PIG speed. This is due to the bypass opening section at the disk, which can be adjusted by using different opening percentages that helps to reduce the velocity and pressure loss of fluids at upstream and downstream of PIG section. This decrease in fluid velocity and pressure loss reduces the PIG speed at

a moderate rate. Therefore, if bypass opening percentages are not considered correctly during the design of PIG then it may affect PIG speed as well as pigging performance. Other geometrical parameters such as diameter, length, height, shape and thickness also have impact on the PIG speed.

Generally, the pigging operations are conducted in pipelines with different fluid mediums, which may influence the PIG speed due to the change in fluid dynamics properties of fluids. Therefore, it is important to consider design parameters of a PIG based on the fluid mediums to get effective pigging operation.

Despite the importance of geometrical parameters for disk bypass PIG and fluid mediums in pigging operation, the PIG speed and flow characteristics of fluids for different geometrical parameters and fluids were not evolved in literature properly. Moreover, relationship between PIG speed and PIG geometrical parameters for different fluid mediums was not demonstrated in previous studies, which is important to calculate PIG speed during travelling. In addition, general correlation for bypass opening percentages and other parameters (PIG geometrical and fluids) was not examined properly in literature to identify the most effective bypass opening percentages for pigging operations.

### **1.3 Objectives**

The overall aim of this study is to establish relationships among PIG speed, bypass opening percentages and other parameters (fluid and PIG geometrical parameters) for disk bypass PIG with hole in disk. The objectives of the study are as follows.

1. To determine the relationship between PIG speed and other parameters (fluid and PIG geometrical parameters).
2. To determine PIG speed and pressure loss of different PIG geometries and fluids (water, crude oil and butane).
3. To establish a general correlation for PIG bypass opening percentages in terms of other parameters (fluid and PIG geometrical parameters).

### **1.4 Scope of the Study**

Several scopes have been considered in conducting the study which are presented as follows-

- The flow condition of the working fluid in this study was turbulent based on the company's data.
- This study only investigated the fluid mechanics inside the pipe and PIG as influenced by the PIG dimensions. The body of the PIG, including its

deformability, was beyond the scope of the study.

- The roughness of the pipeline wall was not considered in this numerical simulation. According to company data, the pipe for experimental study was smooth and flow of fluid was single phase. Therefore, in numerical study the roughness of the pipeline wall was negligible.
- PIG inside the pipelines was considered as a stop condition due to determine the fluid velocity and pressure around the PIG. During movement, it is difficult to determine the fluid velocity and pressure around the PIG therefore the PIG was treated stop condition inside the pipeline for simulation purpose.
- The influence of heat on the pipeline wall and production fluid was also taken as negligible since, company did not impose any heat flux on the pipe wall during pigging operation.
- The bypass PIG considered in this study was a disk bypass PIG with a hole at the disk section as provided by company.
- Geometry and dimensions were as given by company.
- Ten different PIG geometries and pipe dimensions were considered which was denoted as case 1 to case 10.
- Eleven different bypass opening percentages; 2%, 4%, 5%, 6%, 7.5%, 8%, 10%, 12%, 12.5%, 15% and 20% were applied.
- Three different fluids; water, crude oil and butane were considered as fluid medium.
- The flow was assumed axisymmetric. This means that in cylindrical coordinates ( $r, \theta, z$ ), there is no variation in the circumferential direction ( $\theta$ ). Therefore, the geometry in the simulation was 2D. Revolving the 2D geometry 360 degrees about the axis gives the full 3D geometry. As axisymmetric flow by revolving 2D geometry provides the full 3D geometry therefore, this study was assumed axisymmetric flow for simulation.

## 1.5 Thesis Organization

The thesis is organized in five chapters which are discussed as follows:

Chapter 1: A brief introduction consists of background, problem statement, objectives and scope of the study was explained in this chapter.

Chapter 2: A detail description of published researches on pipeline inspection gauges along with a summary table and research gap were demonstrated in this chapter. Pipeline integrity, categories, purposes, design, operating conditions of PIG were discussed. Moreover, developed correlations for bypass PIG from literature were also summarized.

Chapter 3: The applied methods and materials to conduct the current numerical study were presented in this chapter. Governing equations, geometrical parameters, PIG dynamics equations, grid independency test and verification were explained.

Chapter 4: The findings of the study according to the research objectives were presented in this chapter. PIG speed, pressure loss, velocity and pressure contours and relationships for PIG speed and bypass opening percentages were demonstrated.

Chapter 5: Base on the findings, analysis and discussion, the general conclusions and future recommendations were made.



## REFERENCES

- Azpiroz, J. E., Hendrix, M. H. W., Breugem, W. P., & Henkes, R. A. W. M. (2015). CFD modelling of bypass pigs with a deflector disk. *17th International Conference on Multiphase Technology*, 141-158.
- Barrett, M. L. (1959). Using expandable spheroids for batch separation. *Pipe Line Industry*, 35-40.
- Bean D W, & Eagleton, H. N. (1960). Batching two-phase flow with spheroids. *Pipe Line Industry*, 47-51.
- Boghi, A., Brown, L., Sawko, R., & Thompson, C. P. (2018). A non-inertial two-phase model of wax transport in a pipeline during pigging operations. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 165, 664–672.
- Chen, J., He, L., Luo, X., Lu, L., Zhang, H., Li, X., He, S. (2020a). Bypass pigging technology on amelioration of pigging-induced liquid flow: An experimental and modelling study. *Ocean Engineering*, 198,1-12.
- Chen, J., He, L., Luo, X., Zhang, H., Li, X., Liu, H., Lu, L. (2020b). Characterization of bypass pig velocity in gas pipeline: An experimental and analytical study. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 73, 1-12.
- Chen, J., Luo, X., Zhang, H., He, L., Chen, J., & Shi, K. (2018). Experimental study on movement characteristics of bypass pig. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 59, 212-223.
- Cordell, J. L. (1990). Types of intelligent pigs. *Pipeline Pigging & Inspection Technology Conference*, Houston.
- Cordell, J., & Vanzant, H. (2003). *The Pipeline Pigging Handbook*. 3rd edition. Huston: Clarion Technical Publishers.
- Entaban, A., Ismail, A., Jambari, M., Ting, P., Amin, K. M., Ping, C. C., van Spronsen, G. (2013). By-Pass Pigging - A Simple Technology with Significant Business Impact. *International Petroleum Technology Conference*, 1-6.
- Groote, G. A., Van De Camp, P. B. J., Veenstra, P., Broze, G., & Henkes, R. A. W. M. (2015). By-pass pigging without or with speed control for gas-condensate pipelines. *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition and Conference*. Society of Petroleum Engineers, 1-13.
- Guo, B., Song, S., Ghalambor, A., & Lin, T. (2014). *Offshore pipelines*. Gulf Professional Publishing.
- He, H., & Liang, Z. (2019). Speed Simulation of Pig Restarting from Stoppage in Gas Pipeline. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 10, 1-11.

- Hendrix, M. (2020). *Experiments and modelling for by-pass pigging of pipelines*. Delft University of Technology, Netherlands.
- Hendrix, M. H. W., IJsseldijk, H. P., Breugem, W. P., & Henkes, R. A. W. M. (2018). Experiments and modeling of by-pass pigging under low-pressure conditions. *Journal of Process Control*, 71, 1–13.
- Hendrix, M. H. W., Liang, X., Breugem, W. P., & Henkes, R. A. W. M. (2017). Characterization of the pressure loss coefficient using a building block approach with application to by-pass pigs. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 150, 13–21.
- Hendrix, M. H. W., Sanderse, B., Breugem, W. P., & Henkes, R. A. W. M. (2020). Simulation of slug propagation for by-pass pigging in two-phase stratified pipe flow. *BHR 19th International Conference on Multiphase Production Technology*, 317–330.
- Hester, J. (2012). Technology's role. *Spirit Magazine*.
- Hosseinalipour, S. M., Zarif Khalili, A., & Salimi, A. (2007). Numerical Simulation of Pig Motion through Gas Pipelines. *16th Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference*, 971–975.
- Kobbeltvedt, A. B. (2009). Experiences of internal inspection of pipelines in the Ekofisk Field. *Operation and Inspection of Infield Pipelines*. Stavanger ConocoPhillips, 1-16.
- Kohda K, Suzukawa Y, Furukwa H (1988). A new method for analyzing transient flow after pigging scores well. *Oil Gas J*, 9, 40–47.
- Korban J. E. A. (2014). *CFD modelling of bypass pigs*. Delft University of Technology, Netherlands.
- Liang, X. (2015). *Numerical study of flow around bypass pigs*. Delft University of Technology, Netherlands.
- Liang, Z., He, H., & Cai, W. (2017). Speed simulation of bypass hole PIG with a brake unit in liquid pipe. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 42, 40–47.
- Liu, C., Wei, Y., Cao, Y., Zhang, S., & Sun, Y. (2020). Traveling ability of pipeline inspection gauge (PIG) in elbow under different friction coefficients by 3D FEM. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 75, 1-12.
- Maloney, N., Smith, G., & Pulsifer, A. (2015). Comparison of the speed control pig cleaning tool vs a standard hard-bodied cleaning pig. *Pipeline Pigging and Integrity Management Conference, PPIM 2015*.

- Markeset, T. (2003). Design and development of product support and maintenance concepts for industrial systems. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, 9 (4), 376-392.
- McDonald, A. E., & Baker, O. (1964). A Method of Calculating Multiphase Flow in Pipe Lines Using Rubber Spheres to Control Liquid Holdup. *Dril. Prod. Pract.*, 56-68.
- Mirshamsi, M., & Rafeeyan, M. (2019). Speed control of inspection pig in gas pipelines using sliding mode control. *Journal of Process Control*, 77, 134–140.
- Nguyen, T. T., Yoo, H. R., Rho, Y. W., & Kim, S. B. (2001a). Speed control of PIG using bypass flow in natural gas pipeline. *IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics*, 2, 863–868.
- Nguyen, Tan Tien, Kim, S. B., Yoo, H. R., & Rho, Y. W. (2001b). Modeling and simulation for PIG with bypass flow control in natural gas pipeline. *KSME International Journal*, 15(9), 1302–1310.
- Norsok. (2011). *Risk based maintenance and consequence classification*. Z-008 (3rd ed.). Standards Norway.
- Palmer, D. A., & Jee, T. (1984). *Pipes and Pipelines International*. TDW Guide to Pigging, TD Williamson Inc Publisher.
- Patricio, R. A. C., Baptista, R. M., Rachid, B. de F., & Bodstein, G. C. R. (2020). Numerical simulation of pig motion in gas and liquid pipelines using the Flux-Corrected Transport method. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 189, 1-12.
- Saeidbakhsh, M., Rafeeyan, M., & Ziaei-Rad, S. (2009). Dynamic Analysis of Small Pigs in Space Pipelines. *Oil & Gas Science and Technology-Rev. IFP*, 64(2), 155–164.
- Shashi Menon, E. (2015). Pump Stations. *Transmission Pipeline Calculations and Simulations Manual*, 329–367.
- Singh, A., & Henkes, R. A. W. M. (2012, June 20). CFD Modeling of the Flow Around a By-pass Pig. BHR Group - 8th North American Conference on Multiphase Technology, 229 - 243.
- Talbizadeh, A., & Keshtkar, M. M. (2020). Numerical and experimental study on a bypass pig motion in oil transmission pipeline: a case study. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 10(7), 3007–3023.
- Thuenemann, U., & Judith, W.-K. (2003). *Control Your Speed*. Rosen Group, Germany, The Australian Pipeliner.

- Tiratsoo, J. N. H. (1992). *Pipeline Pigging Technology* (2nd ed.). Nayler The Printer Ltd, Accrington, UK.
- Tolmasquim, S. T., & Nieckele, A. O. (2008). Design and control of pig operations through pipelines. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 62(3–4), 102–110.
- Tu, J., Guan Heng Yeoh, & Chaoqun Liu. (2012). *Computational Fluid Dynamics: A Practical Approach* (2nd Edition). Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Van Spronsen, G., Entaban, A., Mohamad Amin, K., Sarkar, S., & Henkes, R. A. W. M. (2013). *Field Experience With By-pass Pigging to Mitigate Liquid Surge*. 6th International Conference on Multiphase Production Technology, BHR, 299 - 308.
- Veritas D. N. (2009). *Integrity management of submarine pipeline systems DNV-RP-F116*. Det Norske Veritas Group, Norway.
- Versteeg, Kaarle, H., & Malalasekera, W. (2007). *An introduction to computational fluid dynamics: the finite volume method*. Pearson education.
- Wang, Q., Sarica, C., & Volk, M. (2008). An experimental study on wax removal in pipes with oil flow. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology, Transactions of the ASME*, 130(4), 0430011–0430015.
- Liang Z., Zhou, J., He, H., & Georgiades, F. (2020). Modeling and Simulation of Pigging for a Gas Pipeline Using a Bypass Pig. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 10, 1-12.
- Zhang, Z., Yang, Y., Hou, J., & Gong, Y. (2020). Modeling and simulation on speed prediction of bypass pipeline inspection gauge in medium of water and crude oil. *Measurement and Control*, 53(9-10), 1851–1860.
- Zhixiang, L., Jin, Z., Changzhong, H., & Wei, W. (2009). A new pipe cleaning and inspection robot with active pipe-diameter adaptability based on ATmega64. *ICEMI 2009 - Proceedings of 9th International Conference on Electronic Measurement and Instruments*, 2616–2619.
- Zhu, X., Wang, W., Zhang, S., & Liu, S. (2017). Experimental Research on the Frictional Resistance of Fluid-Driven Pipeline Robot with Small Size in Gas Pipeline. *Tribology Letters*, 65(2), 1–10.
- Zhu, X., Zhang, S., Li, X., Wang, D., & Yu, D. (2015). Numerical simulation of contact force on bi-directional pig in gas pipeline: At the early stage of pigging. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 23, 127–138.
- Zhu, X., Zhang, S., Tan, G., Wang, D., & Wang, W. (2014). Experimental study on dynamics of rotatable bypass-valve in speed control pig in gas pipeline. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 47(1), 686–692.