

## UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

METHANE PRODUCTION FROM ANAEROBIC CO-DIGESTION OF SEWAGE SLUDGE AND DECANTER CAKE

NORSHAFIQAH BINTI KHAIRUL ANUAR

FK 2022 5



## METHANE PRODUCTION FROM ANAEROBIC CO-DIGESTION OF SEWAGE SLUDGE AND DECANTER CAKE

By

NORSHAFIQAH BINTI KHAIRUL ANUAR

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

March 2021

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## METHANE PRODUCTION FROM ANAEROBIC CO-DIGESTION OF SEWAGE SLUDGE AND DECANTER CAKE

By

### NORSHAFIQAH BINTI KHAIRUL ANUAR

March 2021

Chair Faculty : Ir. Hasfalina Che Man, PhD : Engineering

Increased production of sewage sludge from wastewater treatment and decanter cake from palm oil mill industry results in the generation of large quantities of solid waste. These wastes can cause environmental pollution and can give bad impact on human lifestyle. Sewage sludge can produce methane gas at a very minimum rate of production. Co-digestion with decanter cake which content high of carbon can increase methane gas production. In this research, an anaerobic co-digestion has been considered to convert these organic pollutants into methane gas. The preliminary study was set up to optimized the ratio of sewage sludge (as inoculum) and decanter cake (as substrate) in batch biochemical methane potential (BMP) in serum bottle of 125 mL volume for 30 days. The effect of different inoculum to substrate ratios (I/S) on biogas production was investigated at mesophilic condition (38 ± 1 °C) and constant initial pH 7. The batch study was conducted at the ratio I/S of 2:1, ratio 1:1 and ratio 1:2 and sewage sludge only as control experiment. The preliminary study resulted in daily biogas collection for the ratio of 1:2 showed the highest cumulative biogas production of 247 mL. Total solid content and ammonia removal had been compared at initial and final fermentation in the biochemical methane potential (BMP) batch fermentation. The highest methane yield was obtained at the mixing ratio of 2:1 with 165.6 mL CH4/g VS and this ratio had been choose to be the best I/S ratio from BMP test. Then the ratio of 2:1 is upscale into a lab-scale anaerobic digester to determine the maximum production of methane gas. 6.4L of anaerobic digester has been used with 5.6L of the working volume and was fermented for 30 days. Cumulative biogas production resulted in 5848 mL and cumulative methane gas production resulted in 10451 mL CH4/g VS. Theoretically, biogas is mainly composed of methane (60%) and carbon dioxide (40 %) as of end product of anaerobic digestion. These were compared for all ratios and resulted significantly as theoretical. The experimental value of methane yield from lab-scale anaerobic digester then compared with the theoretical value of methane yield by using the Gompertz equation solve in Excel Solver. As a comparison, all co-digestion ratios produced more biogas than the sewage sludge alone. This proved that anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake can improve the production of biogas.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

## PENGELUARAN METANA DARI PENCERNAAN ANAEROBIK BERSAMA ENAPCEMAR KUMBAHAN DAN KEK PENYIRING

Oleh

### NORSHAFIQAH BINTI KHAIRUL ANUAR

Mac 2021

#### Pengerusi : Ir. Hasfalina Che Man, PhD Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Peningkatan pengeluaran enapcemar kumbahan dari rawatan air sisa dan kek penyiring dari industri kilang kelapa sawit menghasilkan penjanaan sisa pepejal dalam jumlah yang besar. Bahan buangan ini boleh menyebabkan pencemaran alam sekitar dan boleh memberi kesan buruk kepada gaya hidup manusia. Enapcemar kumbahan menghasilkan gas metana pada kadar pengeluaran yang sangat minimum. Pencernaan bersama kek penyiring yang kandungan karbonnya tinggi dapat meningkatkan pengeluaran gas metana. Dalam penyelidikan ini, pencernaan anaerobik bersama telah dipertimbangkan untuk mengubah bahan pencemar organik ini menjadi gas metana. Kajian awal dibuat untuk mengoptimumkan nisbah menggunakan enapcemar kumbahan (sebagai inokulum) dan kek penyiring (sebagai substrat) dalam potensi metana biokimia (PMB) dalam botol serum dengan isipadu 125mL selama 30 hari. Kesan nisbah inokulum ke substrat (I/S) yang berbeza terhadap pengeluaran biogas disiasat pada keadaan mesofilik (38 ± 1 °C) dan pH awal yang tetap 7. Kajian kumpulan dilakukan pada nisbah I/S 2:1, nisbah 1:1 dan nisbah 1:2 dan enapcemar kumbahan hanya sebagai kawalan eksperimen. Kajian awal menghasilkan pengumpulan biogas harian dengan nisbah 1:2 menunjukkan pengeluaran biogas kumulatif tertinggi sebanyak 247mL. Jumlah kandungan pepejal dan penyingkiran ammonia telah dibandingkan di awal dan di akhir penapaian potensi metana biokimia (PMB). Hasil metana tertinggi diperoleh pada nisbah pencampuran 2:1 dengan 165.6 mL CH4/g VS dan kemudian telah dipilih untuk menjadi nisbah yang paling terbaik dari eksperimen potensi metana biokimia (PMB) untuk penapaian kumpulan. Nisbah 2:1 ditingkatkan kepada pencerna anerobik skala makmal untuk menentukan pengeluaran maksimum gas metana. 6.4L pencerna anaerobik telah digunakan dengan isi padu 5.6L dan ditapai selama 30 hari. Pengeluaran biogas kumulatif menghasilkan 5848mL dan pengeluaran gas metana kumulatif menghasilkan 10451 mL CH4/g VS. Secara teorinya, biogas terdiri terutamanya dari metana (60%) dan karbon dioksida (40%) sebagai hasil akhir pencernaan anaerobik. Hal ini dibandingkan untuk semua nisbah dan hasilnya adalah signifikan seperti teorinya. Nilai eksperimen hasil metana dari pencerna anaerobik skala makmal kemudian dibandingkan dengan nilai teoritik hasil metana dengan menggunakan penyelesaian persamaan Gompertz dalam Excel Solver. Sebagai perbandingan, semua nisbah pencernaan bersama menghasilkan lebih banyak biogas daripada enapcemar kumbahan sahaja. Ini membuktikan bahawa pencernaan anaerobik bersama antara enapcemar kumbahan dan kek penyiring dapat meningkatkan pengeluaran biogas.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, all praise to Allah for granting me the opportunity to conduct this study and to complete this thesis.

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude and deep regards to my supervisor, Prof. Ir. Dr Hasfalina Che Man and my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr Syazwani Idrus and Assoc. Prof. Dr Nik Norsyahariati Nik Daud for their continuos supervision and guidance, monitoring and constant encouragement throughout the course of this research work. The blessing, help and guidance given by them from time to time shall carry me a long way in the journey of life upon which I am about to embark.

I also take this opportunity to express a deep sense of gratitude to the staff members of the Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, for the valuable information provided by them in their respective fields. I am grateful for their cooperation during the period of my study.

Lastly, I would like to thank my lovely husband, Mr. Ezzyrul Shafiq Shaarani and my dearest parents, Mr, Khairul Anuar Mohd Ariff and Mrs. Hanidah Mohd Idris, family and fellow friends for their constant encouragement.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

#### Hasfalina binti Che Man, PhD

Professor Ir. Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

## Syazwani binti Idrus, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engieering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

#### Nik Norsyahariati binti Nik Daud, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 20 January 2022

## Declaration by Members of the Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research and the writing of this thesis were done under our supervision;
- supervisory responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2015-2016) are adhered to.

| Signature:<br>Name of Chairman<br>of Supervisory<br>Committee: | Prof. Ir. Dr. Hasfalina binti Che<br>Man             |
|--|--|
| Signature:<br>Name of Member of<br>Supervisory<br>Committee:   | Assoc. Prof. Dr. Syazwani binti<br>Idrus             |
| Signature:<br>Name of Member of<br>Supervisory<br>Committee:   | Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nik<br>Norsyahariati binti Nik Daud |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                       | Page |
|-----------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT              | i    |
| ABSTRAK               | iii  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS      | iv   |
| APPROVAL              | vi   |
| DECLARATION           | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES        | xii  |
| LIST OF FIGURES       | xiii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | XV   |
|                       |      |

## CHAPTER

| 1 | 1.1 | RODUCTION<br>Overview of the study                  | 1<br>1   |
|---|-----|---|----------|
|   | 1.2 | Problem statement                                   | 2<br>3   |
|   | 1.3 | Objectives<br>Limitation of study                   |          |
|   | 1.4 | Limitation of study                                 | 4        |
| 2 |     | ERATURE REVIEW                                      | 5        |
|   | 2.1 |   | 5        |
|   |     | Decanter cake                                       | 6        |
|   | 2.3 | Anaerobic digestion                                 | 8        |
|   | 0.4 | 2.3.1 Anaerobic co-digestion                        | 10       |
|   | 2.4 |   | 12       |
|   |     | 2.4.1 Temperature<br>2.4.2 pH value                 | 12<br>13 |
|   |     | 2.4.2 Carbon to nitrogen ratio (C/N ratio)          | 13       |
|   |     | 2.4.4 Ammonia                                       | 15       |
|   | 2.5 | Biochemical methane potential (BMP)                 | 15       |
|   |     | Gompertz model                                      | 16       |
| 3 | MET | THODOLOGY   | 18       |
| 5 |     | Flowchart of study                                  | 18       |
|   | 3.2 |   | 19       |
|   | 3.3 |   | 19       |
|   | 3.4 | •   | 21       |
|   | 3.5 |   | 22       |
|   | 3.6 |   | 24       |
|   | 3.7 | Analytical methods                                  | 24       |
|   |     | 3.7.1 Biogas collection and storage                 | 24       |
|   |     | 3.7.2 Biogas analysis                               | 25       |
|   |     | 3.7.3 Total solid (TS)                              | 25       |
|   |     | 3.7.3 Total solid (TS)<br>3.7.4 Volatile solid (VS) | 26       |
|   |     | 3.7.5 Carbon and nitrogen content (C/N ratio)       | 26       |
|   |     | 3.7.6 Ammonia-nitrogen content (NH <sub>4</sub> -N) | 27       |
|   |     |   |          |

## 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

28

| <ul><li>4.1 Introduction</li><li>4.2 Characteristics of sewage sludge and decanter cake</li></ul> | 28<br>30 |
|---|----------|
| 4.3 Co-digestion of biochemical methane potential (BMP)   | 30       |
| 4.3.1 Biogas profile at different ratios of sewage sludge and decanter cake                       | 34       |
| 4.3.2 Methane yield at different ratios of<br>sewage sludge and decanter cake                     | 36       |
| 4.3.3 Total solid and ammonia-N removal   | 38       |
| 4.3.4 CH <sub>4</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> end product comparison                                  | 41       |
| 4.4 Co-digestion in a lab-scale anaerobic digester  | 42       |
| 4.4.1 Methane production at ratio 2:1   | 45       |
| (SS+DC) in a lab-scale anaerobic  |          |
| 4.5 The kinetic study of methane production using gompertz model                                  | 49       |
| 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS  | 50       |
| REFERENCES  | 51<br>58 |
| BIODATA OF STUDENT  | 66       |

# BIODATA OF STUDENT LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

66 67

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1   | Performance of AcoD of different types of waste   | 11   |
| 2.2   | Performance of Gompertz model in anaerobic digestion process                            | 17   |
| 4.1   | Characteristics of sewage sludge and decanter cake                                      | 29   |
| 4.2   | Comparison of biogas production between co-digestion and inoculum alone                 | 33   |
| 4.3   | Comparison of methane yield in batch mode operation                                     | 44   |
| 4.4   | Confirmation of model validity of I/S ratio 2:1 predicted by modified Gompertz equation | 46   |

 $\bigcirc$ 

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 2.1    | Various sewerage systems used in Malaysia   | 5    |
| 2.2    | Palm oil extraction processes   | 7    |
| 2.3    | Sequential processes during anaerobic digestion   | 9    |
| 3.1    | Flowchart of the study for the anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake  | 18   |
| 3.2    | The schematic diagram of samples in the serum bottle  | 20   |
| 3.3    | Water displacement method in a container  | 20   |
| 3.4    | The set up of anaerobic digester  | 23   |
| 3.5    | Schematic diagram of anaerobic digester   | 23   |
| 4.1    | Cumulative biogas production at different I/S ratio of SS:DC  | 31   |
| 4.2    | Daily methane yield for different I/S ratio of SS:DC  | 34   |
| 4.3    | Cumulative methane yield for different I/S ratio of SS:DC   | 35   |
| 4.4    | (a) Total solid removal and (b) Ammonia-N rate for BMP test of co-digestion of SS:DC  | 37   |
| 4.5    | CH <sub>4</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> at I/S ratio of (a) control experiment; (b) 2:1;<br>(c) 1:1 and (d) 1:2   | 39   |
| 4.6    | Cumulative biogas production for lab-scale anaerobic digester at I/S ratio of 2:1   | 41   |
| 4.7    | Cumulative and daily methane yield for lab-scale anaerobic digester at I/S ratio of 2:1   | 42   |
| 4.8    | Microbial growth curve  | 45   |
| 4.9    | Log phase of actual experiment  | 46   |
| 4.10   | (a) Cumulative CH <sub>4</sub> actual experimental data and predicted by Gompertz model and (b) Comparison of experimental and predicted by Gompertz model co-<br>digestion between sewage sludge and decanter cake at ratio of 2:1 | 47   |

G

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AD | Anaerobic digestion |
|----|---------------------|
|    |                     |

- AcoD Anaerobic co-digestion
- ASW Acorn slag waste
- BMP Biochemical methane potential
- CPO Crude palm oil
- C/N Carbon/Nitrogen
- CH<sub>4</sub> Methane gas
- CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon dioxide gas
- DC Decanter cake
- DM Dairy manure
- EFB Empty fruit bunch
- FFB Fresh fruit bunch
- GC Gas chromatography
- H<sub>2</sub> Hydrogen gas
- HCI Hydrochloric acid
- H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Sulphuric acid
- I/S Inoculum/substrate
- MPOB Malaysia Palm Oil Board
- N Nitrogen gas
- NaOH Sodium hydroxide
- NH<sub>4</sub>-N Ammonia-nitrogen
- POME Palm oil mill effluent
- PKSPalm kernel shellRSTPRegional sewage treatment plant
- RSM Respond surface methodology

- STP Sewage treatment plant
- SS Sewage sludge
- STP Standard temperature and pressure
- TS Total solid
- TCD Thermal conductivity detector
- TAN Total ammonia nitrogen
- VFAs Volatile fatty acids
- VS Volatile solid
- WWTPs Wastewater treatment plants

## CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Overview

Abundant of wastes has become a serious matter that should be a worry. This matter contributes to the greenhouse effect, global warming, thinning of the ozone layer, and pollutions include minimum spaces for waste disposal sites. Along with the rapid development in this developing country, wastes and residues were produced from municipal, industrial and agricultural sources (Nasir et al., 2012). Increased production of agricultural waste gives difficulty to the environment and economic to find the methods of disposal.

Biogas is usually used to generate electricity, used in cooking, heating and pipeline injection. Therefore, renewable energy production from wastewater has become interest (Kaosol & Sohgrathok, 2014). The volume of wastewater generated by municipal and industrial sectors is estimated at around 2.97 billion cubic meters per year. In Malaysia, there are only three basic standards of wastewater treatment types which are preliminary (removal of rags, rubbish, grit, oil, grease), primary (removal of settleable and floatable materials), and secondary treatment (biological treatment to remove organic and suspended solids). Due to high operation and maintenance costs, there is no tertiary treatment in Malaysia (Mat et al., 2013).

Sewage sludge is a semi-solid or slurry by-product of physical, chemical and biological processes from secondary wastewater treatment. The sewage sludge contain high organic compound and has increased dramatically from time to time due to the increase in the number of households connected to municipal wastewater treatment plants. Therefore, disposal management of sewage sludge has become a challenging matter to be done. This is 1because of limited space available for disposal in landfills, environmental protection that needs to be considered and more stringent environmental standards for sewage sludge disposal via a land application (Alqaralleh et al., 2016).

Apart from crude palm oil (CPO) that produced from fresh fruit bunch (FFB), fibre, shell, decanter cake (DC) and empty fruit bunch (EFB) were also produced for 30, 6, 3 and 28.5 % from the FFB respectively. It is estimated that about 26.7 million tonnes of solid biomass was generated from 381 palm oil mills in Malaysia in 2004 (Yacob et al. 2005). The abundance of this biomass waste needs sustainable management to deal with to decrease the environmental pollution issues.

Decanter cake (DC) is one of the solid wastes from palm oil mill and produced from three-phase of CPO purification process in oil palm mill plant. It is about 3-5 wt% of the rate of DC production from FFB and estimated about 3.6 million tonnes of DC generated by palm oil mill in the year 2012. DC contains 76 % of the water on a wet basis, 12% of residual oil on dry basis and cellulose, nutrients, lignin and ash (Pragas et al., 2013). Decanter cake mostly used as animal feed that made in grade pellets (Chavalparit et al., 2006) and as digestate which is used as fertilizer (Holm-Nielsen et al., 2009) but currently, DC has been utilized as feedstock for the production of cellulose and polyose, bio-surfactant, bio-butanol and bio-diesel (Dewayanto et al., 2014). Due to the small amount of utilization ways of DC, it has become a new abundance wastes problem that does not utilize completely.

Anaerobic co-digestion (AcoD) is now a very common practice for methane production. The purpose of anaerobic co-digestion is to improve the biogas production and methane yield. AcoD is the process of mixing two or more substrates to overcome the disadvantages of AD due to higher methane production. There are some benefits of AcoD which are it can improve the stabilization of the process, dilution of inhibitory substances, a balanced nutrient, the moisture content in the digester feed can be required, reducing the emission of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere, synergetic effects of microorganisms, a load of biodegradable organic matter can be increased and economic strategy by sharing apparatus and cost (Hagos et al., 2017). Anaerobic co-digestion can improve biogas production from 25 to 400 % over a single resource of a substrate. However, anaerobic co-digestion of decanter cake has more studied in Thailand compared to Malaysia. Therefore, anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake will become a new finding in the biogas production field in Malaysia.

#### 1.2 Problem statement

Large quantities of disposal of organic wastes from domestic, agricultural sources and industrial have become a concern along with the need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Therefore, the development of anaerobic digestion technology has become an interest to researchers all over the world. They are working hard to find as many as good ways to utilize wastes by the anaerobic digestion process. Anaerobic digestion is very limited when its work alone with a single resource such as sewage sludge. Anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge has been done in most wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) all over the world including Malaysia. According to the Sustainability Report 2011 of Indah Water Konsortium, only 13 of their regional plants has been practising the reuse of sewage by-products to conserving water, energy and the environment. However, the reuse of the treated effluent is limited to internal housekeeping or non-potable use such as sewage treatment plant (STP) compound cleaning, vehicles cleaning and watering of plants for landscaping purpose (Mat et al., 2013). Disposal of sewage sludge also representing up to 50 % of the overall operating costs of WWTP. Sewage sludge has relatively low biodegradability which makes it hard to digest since it has a high content of organic compound (Sosnowski et al., 2003; Alqaralleh et al., 2016).

Decanter cake as one of the solid waste in palm oil mill industry has not been utilized completely. It can be a fire hazard to the mill when it dried. There is some usability of the decanter cake in the area of bio-fertilizer, biofuels and cellulose. It is also used as animal feed but not as much as other waste such as palm oil mill effluent (POME) and EFB. Decanter cake uses in anaerobic digestion has not been fully developed. Addition of decanter cake into anaerobic digestion is another way to utilize more of the waste from palm oil mill and to create sustainable and cleaner society. This way not only solve the present environmental issues but also can enhance economic benefits since decanter cake has low interest in the utilization of palm oil mill waste.

In addition, there are not many research about anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake. As stated before, Thailand is the only country used decanter cake in their biogas production research. Furthermore, decanter cake in Malaysia also have the same problem of utilization and it becomes new interest or finding in biogas production field in Malaysia. The optimize I/S ratio is one of the important operating parameters in anaerobic co-digestion. A small numbers of study makes this research more challenging. Therefore, biochemical methane potential (BMP) is the best way to determine the best I/S ratio for anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake.

#### 1.3 Objectives

The aim of this study is to investigate the production of methane from codigestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake. The objectives of this study are:

(1) To optimize the best I/S ratio for methane production from co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake using Biochemical Methane Potential (BMP) in batch fermentation.

(2) To determine the maximum methane yield by using the best I/S ratio from BMP test in an up-scaled anaerobic digester.

(3) To analyze methane yield from anaerobic digester using Excel Solver between theoretical yield and experimental yield by using the Gompertz Equation.

## 1.4 Limitation of study

The scope of the study is to investigate the best I/S ratio from BMP test for methane production from co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake at different ratio of 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 and sewage sludge alone as control experiment with control of temperature 38°C and initial pH 7 in batch fermentation with only single test. The period for batch fermentation was 30 days. Other than that, the best performance of methane production from co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake was chosen to perform in an up-scaled anaerobic digester of volume 6 L with the same control temperature and initial of pH value and was fermented for 30 days too. Methane production obtained from anaerobic digester was then analyzed using Gompertz Equation in Excel Solver with reference to the theoretical value.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdeshahian, P., Lim, J. S., Ho, W. S., Hashim, H., & Lee, C. T. (2016). Potential of biogas production from farm animal waste in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 60, 714–723.
- Ahring, B. K., Ibrahim, A. A., & Mladenovska, Z. (2001). Effect of temperature increase from 55 to 65 8 c on performance and microbial population dynamics of an anaerobic reactor treating cattle manure. *35*(10), 2446–2452.
- Alemahdi, N., Che Man, H., Abd Rahman, N., Nasirian, N., & Yang, Y. (2015). Enhanced mesophilic bio-hydrogen production of raw rice straw and activated sewage sludge by co-digestion. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 40(46), 16033–16044.
- Alqaralleh, R. M., Kennedy, K., Delatolla, R., & Sartaj, M. (2016). Thermophilic and hyper-thermophilic co-digestion of waste activated sludge and fat, oil and grease: Evaluating and modeling methane production. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 183, 551–561.
- Angelidaki, I., Alves, M., Bolzonella, D., Borzacconi, L., Campos, J. L., Guwy, A. J., Kalyuzhnyi, S., Jenicek, P., & Van Lier, J. B. (2009). Defining the biomethane potential (BMP) of solid organic wastes and energy crops: A proposed protocol for batch assays. *Water Science and Technology*, 59(5), 927–934.
- APHA, AWWA, & WEF. (2017). 3120 B. Iductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Method. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Association, 1–5.
- Ash, B., Palm, O. F., & Mill, O. I. L. (2008). Palm-based bio-fertilizer from decanter cake and boiler ash of palm oil mill. 26–29.
- Astals, S., Batstone, D. J., Mata-Alvarez, J., & Jensen, P. D. (2014). Identification of synergistic impacts during anaerobic co-digestion of organic wastes. *Bioresource Technology*, 169, 421–427.
- Awalludin, M. F., Sulaiman, O., Hashim, R., & Nadhari, W. N. A. W. (2015). An overview of the oil palm industry in Malaysia and its waste utilization through thermochemical conversion, specifically via liquefaction. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *50*, 1469–1484.
- Bardjo, J. I., Semarang, S. H. N., & Sudarto, J. P. (2010). The Influence of Total Solid Contents on Biogas Yield from Cattle Manure Using Rumen Fluid Inoculum Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, *Energy*, 1(5), 6–11.
- Chavalparit, O., Rulkens, W. H., Mol, A. P. J., & Khaodhair, S. (2006). Options for environmental sustainability of the crude palm oil industry in Thailand through enhancement of industrial ecosystems. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 8(2), 271–287.

- Chen, Y., Cheng, J. J., & Creamer, K. S. (2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process : A review. *99*, 4044–4064.
- Comino, E., Rosso, M., & Riggio, V. (2010). Bioresource Technology Investigation of increasing organic loading rate in the co-digestion of energy crops and cow manure mix. *Bioresource Technology*, *101*(9), 3013–3019.
- Conant, T., Karim, A., & Datye, A. (2008). Coating of steam reforming catalysts in non-porous multi-channeled microreactors. *Bioresource Technology*, *99*(4), 882–888.
- Dai, X., Li, X., Zhang, D., Chen, Y., & Dai, L. (2016). Simultaneous enhancement of methane production and methane content in biogas from waste activated sludge and perennial ryegrass anaerobic co-digestion: The effects of pH and C/N ratio. *Bioresource Technology*, 216, 323–330.
- Dewayanto, N., Isha, R., & Nordin, M. R. (2014). Use of palm oil decanter cake as a new substrate for the production of bio-oil by vacuum pyrolysis. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 86, 226–232.
- Divya, D., Gopinath, L. R., & Christy, P. M. (2015). A review on current aspects and diverse prospects for enhancing biogas production in sustainable means. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42, 690–699.
- Duan, N., Dong, B., Wu, B., & Dai, X. (2012). High-solid anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge under mesophilic conditions: Feasibility study. *Bioresource Technology*, 104, 150–156.
- Ebner, J. H., Labatut, R. A., Lodge, J. S., Williamson, A. A., & Trabold, T. A. (2016). Anaerobic co-digestion of commercial food waste and dairy manure: Characterizing biochemical parameters and synergistic effects. *Waste Management*, *52*, 286–294.
- El-mashad, H. M., & Zhang, R. (2010). Bioresource Technology Biogas production from co-digestion of dairy manure and food waste. *Bioresource Technology*, *101*(11), 4021–4028.
- Elsayed, M., Andres, Y., Blel, W., Gad, A., & Ahmed, A. (2016). Effect of VS organic loads and buckwheat husk on methane production by anaerobic co-digestion of primary sludge and wheat straw. *Energy Conversion and Management*, *117*, 538–547.
- Forster-Carneiro, T., Pérez, M., & Romero, L. I. (2008). Influence of total solid and inoculum contents on performance of anaerobic reactors treating food waste. *Bioresource Technology*, *99*(15), 6994–7002.
- Fountoulakis, M. S., & Manios, T. (2009). Enhanced methane and hydrogen production from municipal solid waste and agro-industrial by-products codigested with crude glycerol. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(12), 3043– 3047.
- Garcia, M. L., & Angenent, L. T. (2009). Interaction between temperature and ammonia in mesophilic digesters for animal waste treatment. *Water Research*, *43*(9), 2373–2382.

- Ge, X., Xu, F., & Li, Y. (2016). Solid-state anaerobic digestion of lignocellulosic biomass: Recent progress and perspectives. *Bioresource Technology*, 205, 239–249.
- Hagos, K., Zong, J., Li, D., Liu, C., & Lu, X. (2017). Anaerobic co-digestion process for biogas production: Progress, challenges and perspectives. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *76*(March), 1485–1496.
- Hakimi, R. P. S. M., & Norizan, I. (2010). Composting of waste from palm oil mill: a sustainable waste management practice. 2003, 331–344.
- Hasfalina, C. M., Norshafiqah, K. A., Muhammad Hazwan, H., Syazwani, I., & Nik Norsyahariati, N. D. (2021). The Potential Use of Decanter Cake Waste as Co-substrate for Methane Production under Mesophilic Condition. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 16(3), 2356–2367.
- Holm-Nielsen, J. B., Al Seadi, T., & Oleskowicz-Popiel, P. (2009). The future of anaerobic digestion and biogas utilization. *Bioresource Technology*, *100*(22), 5478–5484.
- Jain, S., Jain, S., Wolf, I. T., Lee, J., & Tong, Y. W. (2015). A comprehensive review on operating parameters and different pretreatment methodologies for anaerobic digestion of municipal solid waste. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *52*, 142–154.
- Jamali, N. S., Jahim, J. M., Isahak, W. N. R. W., & Abdul, P. M. (2017). Particle size variations of activated carbon on biofilm formation in thermophilic biohydrogen production from palm oil mill effluent. *Energy Conversion and Management*, *141*, 354–366.
- Kainthola, J., Kalamdhad, A. S., & Goud, V. V. (2019). A review on enhanced biogas production from anaerobic digestion of lignocellulosic biomass by different enhancement techniques. *Process Biochemistry*, *84*(January), 81–90.
- Kallistova, A. Y., Goel, G., & Nozhevnikova, A. N. (2014). Microbial Diversity of Methanogenic Communities. 14.
- Kanchanasuta, S., & Pisutpaisal, N. (2016). Waste utilization of palm oil decanter cake on biogas fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *41*(35), 15661–15666.
- Kanchanasuta, S., & Sillaparassamee, O. (2017). Enhancement of hydrogen and methane production from co-digestion of palm oil decanter cake and crude glycerol using two stage thermophilic and mesophilic fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *42*(5), 3440–3446.
- Kaosol, T., & Sohgrathok, N. (2013). Enhancement of biogas production potential for anaerobic co-digestion of wastewater using decanter cake. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 8(1), 67–74.
- Kaosol, T., & Sohgrathok, N. (2014). Increasing anaerobic digestion performance of wastewater with co-digestion using decanter cake. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*, *10*(5), 469–479.

Karthikeyan, O. P., & Visvanathan, C. (2013). Bio-energy recovery from high-

solid organic substrates by dry anaerobic bio-conversion processes: A review. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, *12*(3), 257–284.

- Khairul Anuar, N., Che Man, H., Idrus, S., & Nik Daud, N. N. (2018). Biochemical methane potential (BMP) from anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and decanter cake. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 368(1).
- Khalid, A., Arshad, M., Anjum, M., Mahmood, T., & Dawson, L. (2011). The anaerobic digestion of solid organic waste. *Waste Management*, *31*(8), 1737–1744.
- Kim, M., Yang, Y., Morikawa-Sakura, M. S., Wang, Q., Lee, M. V., Lee, D. Y., Feng, C., Zhou, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2012). Hydrogen production by anaerobic co-digestion of rice straw and sewage sludge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 37(4), 3142–3149.
- Kumaran, P., Hephzibah, D., Sivasankari, R., Saifuddin, N., & Shamsuddin, A. H. (2016). A review on industrial scale anaerobic digestion systems deployment in Malaysia: Opportunities and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *56*, 929–940.
- Labatut, R. A., Angenent, L. T., & Scott, N. R. (2011). Bioresource Technology Biochemical methane potential and biodegradability of complex organic substrates. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(3), 2255–2264.
- Lerdrattranataywee, W., & Kaosol, T. (2015). Effect of mixing time on anaerobic co-digestion of palm oil mill waste and block rubber wastewater. In *Energy Procedia* (Vol. 79). Elsevier B.V.
- Li, R., Duan, N., Zhang, Y., Liu, Z., Li, B., Zhang, D., & Dong, T. (2017). Anaerobic co-digestion of chicken manure and microalgae Chlorella sp.: Methane potential, microbial diversity and synergistic impact evaluation. *Waste Management*, 68, 120–127.
- Li, Y., Park, S. Y., & Zhu, J. (2011). Solid-state anaerobic digestion for methane production from organic waste. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *15*(1), 821–826.
- Liao, X., Li, H., Cheng, Y., Chen, N., Li, C., & Yang, Y. (2014). Process performance of high-solids batch anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. *Environmental Technology (United Kingdom)*, *35*(21), 2652–2659.
- Mac, S., & Llabr, P. (2000). Anaerobic digestion of organic solid wastes . An overview of research achievements and perspectives. *74*.
- Malaysian-German Chamber of Commerce and Industry. (2017). Oil Palm Biomass & Biogas In Malaysia, 2017. *EU-Malaysia Chamber of Commerce and Industry (EUMCCI)*, 15. www.malaysia.ahk.de
- Management, S. W., Gas, S., & Report, C. (2010). *Microbiological Handbook for Biogas Plants.*
- Maragkaki, A. E., Vasileiadis, I., Fountoulakis, M., Kyriakou, A., Lasaridi, K., & Manios, T. (2018). Improving biogas production from anaerobic co-

digestion of sewage sludge with a thermal dried mixture of food waste, cheese whey and olive mill wastewater. *Waste Management*, *71*, 644–651.

- Mat, E. A. T., Shaari, J., & How, V. K. (2013). Wastewater production, treatment and use in Malaysia. Safe Use of Wastewater in Agriculture: 5th Regional Workshop for Southeast and Eastern Asia, 6.
- Matheri, A. N., Ndiweni, S. N., Belaid, M., Muzenda, E., & Hubert, R. (2017). Optimising biogas production from anaerobic co-digestion of chicken manure and organic fraction of municipal solid waste. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 80(March 2016), 756–764.
- Mes, T. De, Emirates, U. A., & Zeeman, G. (2003). *Bio-hydrogen* (Issue January).
- Nasir, I. M., Ghazi, T. I. M., & Omar, R. (2012). Production of biogas from solid organic wastes through anaerobic digestion: A review. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 95(2), 321–329.
- Nguyen, D. D., Chang, S. W., Jeong, S. Y., Jeung, J., Kim, S., Guo, W., & Ngo, H. H. (2016). Dry thermophilic semi-continuous anaerobic digestion of food waste: Performance evaluation, modified Gompertz model analysis, and energy balance. *Energy Conversion and Management*, *128*, 203–210.
- Nurliyana, M. Y., H'ng, P. S., Rasmina, H., Kalsom, M. S. U., Chin, K. L., Lee, S. H., Lum, W. C., & Khoo, G. D. (2015). Effect of C/N ratio in methane productivity and biodegradability during facultative co-digestion of palm oil mill effluent and empty fruit bunch. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 76, 409– 415.
- Pellera, F. M., & Gidarakos, E. (2016). Effect of substrate to inoculum ratio and inoculum type on the biochemical methane potential of solid agroindustrial waste. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 4(3), 3217–3229.
- Phukoetphim, N., Salakkam, A., Laopaiboon, P., & Laopaiboon, L. (2017). Kinetic models for batch ethanol production from sweet sorghum juice under normal and high gravity fermentations: Logistic and modified Gompertz models. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 243, 69–75.
- Pragas, G., Hindryawati, N., Nurfitri, I., Jose, R., Hasbi, M., Rahim, A., Aini, F., & Yusoff, M. M. (2013). Decanter cake as a feedstock for biodiesel production : A first report. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 76, 527– 532.
- Rahmat, B., Hartoyo, T., & Sunarya, Y. (2014). Biogas production from Tofu Liquid Waste on treated agricultural wastes. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 9(2), 226–231.
- Raposo, F., Rubia, M. A. De, & Borja, R. (2011). Anaerobic digestion of solid organic substrates in batch mode : An overview relating to methane yields and experimental procedures. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(1), 861–877.

Serrano, A., Siles, J. A., Chica, A. F., & Martin, M. A. (2014). Improvement of

mesophilic anaerobic co-digestion of agri-food waste by addition of glycerol. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 140, 76–82.

- Shah, F. A., Mahmood, Q., Rashid, N., Pervez, A., Raja, I. A., & Shah, M. M. (2015a). Co-digestion, pretreatment and digester design for enhanced methanogenesis. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42(October), 627–642.
- Shah, F. A., Mahmood, Q., Rashid, N., Pervez, A., Raja, I. A., & Shah, M. M. (2015b). Co-digestion, pretreatment and digester design for enhanced methanogenesis. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42, 627– 642.
- Singh, P., Sulaiman, O., Hashim, R., Peng, L. C., & Singh, R. P. (2013). Using biomass residues from oil palm industry as a raw material for pulp and paper industry: Potential benefits and threat to the environment. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 15(2), 367–383.
- Sol, M., & Lansing, S. (2013). Characterizing food waste substrates for codigestion through biochemical methane potential (BMP) experiments. *Waste Management*, 33(12), 2664–2669.
- Song, Y., Kwon, S., & Woo, J. (2004). Mesophilic and thermophilic temperature co-phase anaerobic digestion compared with single-stage mesophilic- and thermophilic digestion of sewage sludge. *38*, 1653–1662.
- Sosnowski, P., Wieczorek, A., & Ledakowicz, S. (2003). Anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and organic fraction of municipal solid wastes. *Advances in Environmental Research*, 7(3), 609–616.
- Suksong, W., Kongjan, P., & O-Thong, S. (2015). Biohythane Production from Co-Digestion of Palm Oil Mill Effluent with Solid Residues by Two-Stage Solid State Anaerobic Digestion Process. In *Energy Procedia* (Vol. 79, Issue Dc). Elsevier B.V.
- Suksong, W., Promnuan, K., Seengenyoung, J., & O-Thong, S. (2017). Anaerobic Co-Digestion of Palm Oil Mill Waste Residues with Sewage Sludge for Biogas Production. *Energy Procedia*, 138, 789–794.
- Wang, M., Zhou, J., Yuan, Y. X., Dai, Y. M., Li, D., Li, Z. D., Liu, X. F., Zhang, X. Y., & Yan, Z. Y. (2017). Methane production characteristics and microbial community dynamics of mono-digestion and co-digestion using corn stalk and pig manure. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *42*(8), 4893–4901.
- Wang, X., Lu, X., Li, F., & Yang, G. (2014). Effects of temperature and Carbon-Nitrogen (C/N) ratio on the performance of anaerobic co-digestion of dairy manure, chicken manure and rice straw: Focusing on ammonia inhibition. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(5), 1–7.
- Ward, A. J., Hobbs, P. J., Holliman, P. J., & Jones, D. L. (2008). *Bioresource Technology Optimisation of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources*. 99, 7928–7940.
- Xie, S., Wickham, R., & Nghiem, L. D. (2017). Synergistic effect from anaerobic

co-digestion of sewage sludge and organic wastes. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, *116*, 191–197.

- Yan, Z., Song, Z., Li, D., Yuan, Y., Liu, X., & Zheng, T. (2015). The effects of initial substrate concentration, C/N ratio, and temperature on solid-state anaerobic digestion from composting rice straw. *Bioresource Technology*, 177, 266–273.
- Ye, J., Li, D., Sun, Y., Wang, G., Yuan, Z., Zhen, F., & Wang, Y. (2013). Improved biogas production from rice straw by co-digestion with kitchen waste and pig manure. *Waste Management*, 33(12), 2653–2658.
- Yen, H. W., & Brune, D. E. (2007). Anaerobic co-digestion of algal sludge and waste paper to produce methane. *Bioresource Technology*, 98(1), 130– 134.
- Yoon, Y., Lee, S., Kim, K. H., Jeon, T., & Shin, S. (2018). Study of anaerobic codigestion on wastewater treatment sludge and food waste leachate using BMP test. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*, 20(1), 283– 292.
- Yusof, T. R. T., Rahman, N. A., Ariff, A. B., & Man, H. C. (2019). Evaluation of hydrogen and methane production from co-digestion of chicken manure and food waste. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, *28*(4), 3003–3014.
- Zhai, N., Zhang, T., Yin, D., Yang, G., Wang, X., Ren, G., & Feng, Y. (2015). Effect of initial pH on anaerobic co-digestion of kitchen waste and cow manure. *Waste Management*, *38*(1), 126.
- Zhang, Q., Hu, J., & Lee, D. J. (2016). Biogas from anaerobic digestion processes: Research updates. *Renewable Energy*, *98*, 108–119.
- Zheng, Y., Zhao, J., Xu, F., & Li, Y. (2014). Pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for enhanced biogas production. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, *42*, 35–53.