



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***REGENERATIVE BRAKING MODEL FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES WITH
MODIFIED SUPER-TWISTING SLIDING MODE CONTROL***

ANITH KHAIRUNNISA BINTI GHAZALI

FK 2022 26



**REGENERATIVE BRAKING MODEL FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES WITH
MODIFIED SUPER-TWISTING SLIDING MODE CONTROL**

By

ANITH KHAIRUNNISA BINTI GHAZALI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

June 2021

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

REGENERATIVE BRAKING MODEL FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES WITH MODIFIED SUPER-TWISTING SLIDING MODE CONTROL

By

ANITH KHAIRUNNISA GHAZALI

June 2021

Chair : Mohd Khair Hassan, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

As global warming comes and the prices of fuel keep rising, the clean and environmental friendly features of electric vehicles are increasingly focused. The electric vehicle is ideally compatible with the existing situation due to its efficiency compared to the Internal Combustion Engine (ICE). For full electric vehicles, the battery is the only source of energy, and the battery faces issues such as longer charging period. In general, Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV) has limited driving range due to battery capacity storage. The regenerative braking system (RBS) became important for electric vehicles that could allow motor vehicles function as a generator and alternator for the recovery process of kinetic energy during a braking event.

During regenerative braking, the kinetic energy produced by the engine during deceleration and the energy needs to be recycled to extend driving range. The energy will be transmitted to charge the battery or store it in the energy storage. If the braking force distribution is not adequately regulated, the controller might fail to generate the necessary braking torque. In reality, the battery pack will cause harm due to overcharging induced by uncontrolled recovery. Appropriate braking system are required to be established in order to optimise the energy transferred during the regenerative braking process.

The presence of classical regenerative braking is to optimise the regeneration of kinetic energy by reconciling regenerative technology with braking efficiency and vehicle behaviour. However, the existing result is insufficient where only 1056.6 kJ per cycle for the Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) and 4599 kJ per cycle for New European Driving Cycle (NEDC). This research introduced new topology of Integrated Regenerative Braking Force Distribution (IBFD) for

optimum braking and vehicle stability by combining average speed distribution of the braking force with National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) braking design. The average speed level for the urban driving cycle in Malaysia is 31.89 km/h. The average braking force is used to optimise the default braking distribution mechanism.

This research verify conventional Sliding Mode Control Super-Twisting (SMCST) controller because it is useful due to its robustness against the disturbances and uncertainties. Even though the conventional SMCST controller confirms the stability, nevertheless it gives unsatisfied performance to obtain the desired State of Charge (SoC). Thus, the modified Sliding Mode Control Super-Twisting with hybrid fuzzy-gain scheduling optimisation component was proposed. The proportional gain was added to the switching control for faster response to the desired sliding surface. The modified SMCST is pairing with IBFD. Based on the results for NEDC, driving cycle using modified SMCST with IBFD braking, the energy transmitted is 600 kJ more than NREL, average motor efficiency increase to 0.85, overall efficiency 2.799 and the SoC is 0.899. The slip ratio output at 32 km/h deceleration is -0.19 that proved the stability of this topology. The proposed methodologies successfully integrate the regenerative and friction braking forces to achieve the control goal.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

MODEL BREK REGENERATIF UNTUK KENDERAAN ELEKTRIK DENGAN PENGENDALIAN MOD GELONGSOR BERPUSING DIUBAHSUAI

Oleh

ANITH KHAIRUNNISA GHAZALI

Jun 2021

Pengerusi : Mohd Khair Hassan, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Ketika pemanasan global dan harga bahan bakar terus meningkat, ciri-ciri kenderaan elektrik yang bersih dan mesra alam semakin menjadi perhatian. Kenderaan elektrik sesuai dengan keadaan sekarang kerana kecekapannya berbanding dengan Mesin Pembakaran Dalaman (ICE). Dengan kenderaan yang dikendalikan sepenuhnya tenaga elektrik, bateri adalah satu-satunya sumber tenaga, dan bateri tersebut menghadapi masalah seperti masa pengisian dan memerlukan pengisian yang lebih lama. Secara amnya Kenderaan Elektrik Bateri (BEV) mempunyai jarak pemanduan yang pendek kerana kapasiti bateri yang terhad. Sebagai perkembangan pesat dari pelbagai jenis kenderaan elektrik untuk memelihara bahan bakar karbon dan menyelamatkan iklim, sistem brek regeneratif (RBS) menjadi penting bagi kenderaan elektrik yang memungkinkan kenderaan bermotor berfungsi sebagai penjana dan pengganti untuk proses pemulihan tenaga kinetik semasa membrek.

Semasa brek regeneratif, tenaga kinetik yang dihasilkan oleh enjin semasa nyah pecutan dan tenaga perlu dikitar semula untuk meningkatkan jarak pemanduan. Tenaga akan dihantar untuk mengecas bateri atau menyimpannya di stor tenaga. Sekiranya pengedaran daya brek tidak diatur dengan secukupnya, pengawal mungkin gagal menghasilkan tork brek yang diperlukan. Pada hakikatnya, pek bateri akan menyebabkan bahaya akibat pengecasan berlebihan yang disebabkan oleh pemulihan yang tidak terkawal. sistem brek yang baik diperlukan untuk mengoptimumkan tenaga yang dipindahkan semasa proses brek regeneratif.

Kehadiran brek regeneratif klasik tidak mencukupi untuk mengoptimumkan penjanaan semula tenaga kinetik dengan menggabungkan teknologi regeneratif dengan kecekapan brek dan tingkah laku kenderaan. Walau bagaimanapun,

hasil yang ada tidak mencukupi di mana hanya 1056.6 kJ setiap kitaran untuk Jadual Pemanduan Dynamometer Bandar (UDDS) dan 4599 kJ setiap kitaran untuk Kitaran Pemanduan Eropah Baru (NEDC). Penyelidikan ini memperkenalkan topologi baru pengagihan daya brek regeneratif bersepadu untuk brek dan kestabilan kenderaan yang optimum dengan menggabungkan taburan kelajuan purata daya brek dengan rekaan brek Laboratorium Tenaga Diperbaharui Nasional (NREL). Tahap kelajuan purata bagi kitaran memandu bandar di Malaysia ialah 31.89 km/j. Daya brek purata digunakan untuk mengoptimumkan mekanisme pengedaran brek sedia ada.

Penyelidikan ini mengimplementasikan pengawal super-putar mod gelongsor (SMCST) konvensional kerana ia terkenal dengan ketahanan terhadap gangguan dan ketidakpastian. Walaupun pengawal SMCST konvensional mengesahkan kestabilan, namun ia memberikan prestasi yang tidak memuaskan untuk mendapatkan State of Charge (SoC) yang diinginkan. Oleh itu, mod gelongsor yang diubah super-memutar dengan komponen pengoptimuman penjadualan gandaan fuzzy hibrid dicadangkan. Gandaan berkadar ditambahkan pada kawalan pensuisan untuk tindak balas yang lebih pantas ke permukaan gelangar yang diinginkan. pengawal SMCST yang diubah suai dipasangkan dengan pengedaran daya brek bersepadu. Berdasarkan hasil untuk kitaran pemanduan NEDC menggunakan SMCST yang diubahsuai dengan pengereman bersepadu, tenaga yang dihantar adalah 600 kJ lebih banyak daripada NREL, kecekapan motor rata-rata meningkat menjadi 0.85, kecekapan keseluruhan 2.799 dan SoC adalah 0.899. Keluaran nisbah slip pada perlambatan 32 km / j adalah -0.19 membuktikan kestabilan topologi ini. Metodologi yang dicadangkan berjaya mengintegrasikan daya brek regeneratif dan geseran untuk mencapai matlamat kawalan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah and thanked for everything. May Allah's peace and blessings lead me in the right direction. I pray that Allah blesses all of the people who assisted me in my research.

First and foremost, I want to express my gratitude to my loving parents, my late father Lt Col Hj Ghazali bin Othman and Hjh Che Norlida binti Ismail, and my siblings Abdul Qaiyum, Abdul Hakim, Abdul Rafie and Abdul Syakir for their unwavering love, encouragement, support, and prayers, without which I would not have been able to achieve this milestone.

I am very much grateful to Assoc. Prof. Ts. Ir Dr. Mohd Khair bin Hassan who had been an outstanding supervisor, mentor, and guider to me. He offered me the flexibility to make decisions and put ideas into action, as well as consistently supporting and promoting excellent work throughout my PhD journey.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Mohd Amran bin Mohd Radzi and Dr. Azizan bin As'aary particular for this insightful comments and fascinating discussions. Their help has been precious during my PhD research. I want to express my gratitude to their assistance. I want to thank all my friends and technician for their help and advice on this project.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to the UPM for providing me with such a wonderful PhD journey.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Khair bin Hassan, PhD

Associate Professor, Ir Ts
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Amran bin Mohd Radzi, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Azizan bin As'arry, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 20 January 2022

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: _____

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: Assoc Prof Ts. Ir Dr Mohd Khair bin Hassan

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Prof Dr Mohd Amran bin Mohd Radzi

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Dr Azizan bin As'arry

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER	
1	
INTRODUCTION	1
2.1 Problem Statement	1
2.2 Aim and Objectives	3
2.3 Contribution	4
2.4 Scope	4
2.5 Summary	5
2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Types of Electric Vehicle	8
2.3 Conventional Braking	8
2.4 Regenerative Braking Concept	9
2.5 Electric Vehicle overall system	14
2.6 Vehicle Dynamics	16
2.7 Vehicle Resistance	16
2.7.1 Rolling Resistance	17
2.7.2 Aerodynamic Drag	18
2.7.3 Grading Resistance	19
2.8 Transmission and Gearbox	20
2.9 Electric Motors	20
2.10 Energy Storage (Lead, Nickel and Lithium)	27
2.11 Lithium Battery	28
2.12 Slip Ratio	30
2.13 Braking Force Distribution	31
2.13.1 Series Brake Optimal Feel	32
2.13.2 Series Brake Optimal Energy Recovery	33
2.13.3 Parallel Braking	34
2.14 Regenerative Braking Controller	38
2.15 Sliding Mode Control in Regenerative Braking	42
2.16 Optimization Approach	43
2.17 Summary	44
3	
METHODOLOGY	45
3.1 Introduction	45
3.2 Electric Vehicle Design	48
3.3 Sliding Mode Super-Twisting Controller Design	52

3.4	Modified SMCST Design	54
3.5	Braking Force Distribution	55
3.6	Fuzzy-Gain Scheduling Optimization	56
3.6.1	Relationship SoC and Regenerative braking	60
3.6.2	The Relationship of Speed and Braking Force	61
3.7	Proposed Algorithm	64
3.8	Stopping Distance Test	65
3.9	Driving Cycle	66
3.10	Summary	67
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	68
4.1	Introduction	68
4.2	NEDC	70
4.2.1	Without Controller (Validation model)	70
4.2.2	Conventional SMCST	74
4.2.3	Modified SMCST	78
4.3	UDDS	82
4.3.1	Without Controller	82
4.3.2	Conventional SMCST	86
4.3.3	Modified SMCST	91
4.4	FTP	95
4.4.1	Without Controller	95
4.4.2	Conventional SMCST	100
4.4.3	Modified SMCST	104
4.5	WVUCITY	109
4.5.1	Without Controller	109
4.5.2	Conventional SMCST	113
4.5.3	Modified SMCST	117
4.6	Manual Tuning	122
4.6.1	NEDC Conventional SMCST	122
4.6.2	NEDC Modified SMCST	126
4.7	Overcharging	131
4.8	Stopping Distance	132
4.9	One Cycle Test	134
4.10	Summary	135
5	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH	136
5.1	Conclusions	136
5.2	Suggestions and Future Work	137
	REFERENCES	138
	APPENDICES	152
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	154
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	155

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Comparison of an ICE and EV	1
2.1	Rolling resistance coefficient	18
2.2	SAFT lithium specification	30
2.3	Review of regenerative controller	41
3.1	PMSM specification	51
3.2	Standard braking force distribution lookup table	55
3.3	Modified braking force distribution lookup table	56
3.4	Fuzzy rule base	58
3.5	Safety distance code in England	66
3.6	Details of driving cycle	66
4.1	NEDC without Controller	70
4.2	NEDC conventional SMCST	74
4.3	NEDC modified SCMST	79
4.4	UDDS without controller	83
4.5	UDDS conventional SMCST	87
4.6	UDDS modified SMCST	91
4.7	FTP without a controller	96
4.8	FTP conventional SMCST	100
4.9	FTP modified SMCST	105
4.10	WVUCITY without a controller	109
4.11	WVUCITY conventional SMCST	113
4.12	WVUCITY modified SMCST	118
4.13	Manual tuning conventional SMCST	122

4.14	Manual tuning modified SMCST	127
4.15	Validation with other research	135



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Research background	7
2.2	NEDC driving range vs. curb weight	8
2.4	Regenerative flow front wheel	11
2.5	Regenerative flow rear wheel	12
2.6	Energy flow of regenerative	12
2.7	Regenerative braking energy flow	13
2.8	Electric vehicle simulation block diagram	15
2.9	Soft and hard surface rolling resistance	17
2.10	Shape drag	18
2.11	Grading resistance	19
2.12	Principle of BEV structure	21
2.13	Map efficiency for PMSM, IM, and SRM	23
2.14	Motor efficiency map	24
2.15	Converter operating modes	26
2.16	Lithium ion battery storage	29
2.17	R_{int} Model	29
2.18	Slip ratio	31
2.19	Series brake optimal feel	33
2.20	Series brake optimal recovery curve	34
2.21	Parallel braking	35
2.22	Parallel braking speed based	36
2.23	Parallel braking force speed based calculation	36
2.24	Neural network self-adaptive PID algorithm	38

3.1	Research flow chart	47
3.2	Electric vehicle block diagram	49
3.3	Sliding surface	52
3.4	Modified super-twisting SMC	54
3.5	Tuning process	57
3.6	Error fuzzification	58
3.7	Derivative error fuzzification	58
3.8	Dynamic gain scheduling	59
3.9	Fuzzy-gain scheduling design	60
3.10	SoC ratio	60
3.11	NREL and new topology ratio of braking forces	62
3.12	Proposed braking flow	63
3.13	IBFD braking force distribution	64
4.1	Results outline	69
4.2	Improvement NEDC without controller	71
4.3	NEDC SoC without a controller	72
4.4	NEDC ESS temperature without a controller	72
4.5	NEDC motor torque without a controller	73
4.6	NEDC motor speed without a controller	73
4.7	NEDC slip ratio without a controller	74
4.8	Improvement NEDC conventional SMCST	75
4.9	NEDC SoC conventional SMCST	76
4.10	NEDC ESS temperature conventional SMCST	76
4.11	NEDC motor torque conventional SMCST	77
4.12	NEDC motor speed conventional SMCST	77
4.13	NEDC slip ratio conventional SMCST	78

4.14	Improvement NEDC modified SMCST	79
4.15	NEDC SoC modified SMCST	80
4.16	NEDC ESS temperature modified SMCST	81
4.17	NEDC motor speed modified SMCST	81
4.18	NEDC motor torque modified SMCST	82
4.19	NEDC slip ratio modified SMCST	82
4.20	Improvement UDDS without controller	83
4.21	UDDS SoC without a controller	84
4.22	UDDS ESS temperature without a controller	85
4.23	UDDS motor speed without a controller	85
4.24	UDDS motor torque without a controller	86
4.25	UDDS slip ratio without a controller	86
4.26	Improvement for UDDS conventional SMCST	87
4.27	UDDS SoC conventional SMCST	88
4.28	UDDS ESS temperature conventional SMCST	89
4.29	UDDS motor speed conventional SMCST	89
4.30	UDDS motor torque conventional SMCST	90
4.31	UDDS slip ratio conventional SMCST	90
4.32	Improvement for UDDS modified SMCST	92
4.33	UDDS SoC modified SMCST	93
4.34	UDDS ESS temperature modified SMCST	93
4.35	UDDS motor speed modified SMCST	94
4.36	UDDS motor torque modified SMCST	94
4.37	UDDS slip ratio modified SMCST	95
4.38	Improvement for FTP without controller	96
4.39	FTP SoC without a controller	97

4.40	FTP ESS temperature without a controller	98
4.41	FTP motor speed without a controller	98
4.42	FTP motor torque without a controller	99
4.43	FTP slip ratio without a controller	99
4.44	Improvement for FTP conventional SMCST	101
4.45	FTP SoC conventional SMCST	102
4.46	FTP ESS temperature conventional SMCST	102
4.47	FTP motor speed conventional SMCST	103
4.48	FTP motor torque conventional SMCST	103
4.49	FTP slip ratio conventional SMCST	104
4.50	Improvement for FTP modified SMCST	105
4.51	FTP SoC modified SMCST	106
4.52	FTP ESS temperature modified SMCST	107
4.53	FTP motor speed modified SMCST	107
4.54	FTP motor torque modified SMCST	108
4.55	FTP slip ratio modified SMCST	108
4.56	Improvement for WVUCITY without controller	110
4.57	WVUCITY SoC without a controller	111
4.58	WVUCITY ESS temperature without a controller	111
4.59	WVUCITY motor speed without a controller	112
4.60	WVUCITY motor torque without a controller	112
4.61	WVUCITY slip ratio without a controller	113
4.62	Improvement for WVUCITY conventional SMCST	114
4.63	WVUCITY SoC conventional SMCST	115
4.64	WVUCITY temperature conventional SMCST	115

4.65	WVUCITY motor speed conventional SMCST	116
4.66	WVUCITY motor torque conventional SMCST	116
4.67	WVUCITY slip ratio conventional SMCST	117
4.68	Improvement for WVUCITY modified SMCST	118
4.69	WVUCITY SoC modified SMCST	119
4.70	WVUCITY ESS temperature modified SMCST	120
4.71	WVUCITY motor speed modified SMCST	120
4.72	WVUCITY motor torque modified SMCST	121
4.73	WVUCITY slip ratio modified SMCST	121
4.74	Improvement for conventional SMCST	123
4.75	SoC conventional SMCST	124
4.76	ESS temperature conventional SMCST	124
4.77	Motor speed conventional SMCST	125
4.78	Motor torque conventional SMCST	125
4.79	Slip ratio Conventional SMCST	126
4.80	Improvement for NEDC modified SMCST	127
4.81	SoC modified SMCST	128
4.82	ESS temperature modified SMCST	129
4.83	Motor speed Modified SMCST	129
4.84	Motor torque Modified SMCST	130
4.85	Slip ratio modified SMCST	130
4.86	Overcharging Condition	131
4.87	Overcharging ESS temperature	132
4.88	Emergency braking speed response	133
4.89	Emergency stopping distance	133
4.90	Emergency braking SoC	134

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABFD	Average Braking Force Distribution
AC	Alternating Current
AC	Alternating Current
AEV	All Electric Vehicle
BEV	Battery-Driven Electric Vehicle
BLDC	Brushless Direct Current
CVT	Continuously Variable Transmission
DC	Direct Current
ECE	Economic Commission for Europe
EREV.	Extended Range Electric Vehicles
EV	Electric Vehicles
FCEV	Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles
FLC	Fuzzy Logic Controller
FOC	Field-Oriented Control
FTP 75	Federal Test Procedure
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
HEV,	Hybrid Electric Vehicle
IBFD	Integrated Braking Force Distribution
ICEV	Internal Combustion Engine Vehicle
IM	Induction Motors
ISMS	Intelligent Sliding Mode Scheme
NEDC	New European Driving Cycle
NiCad	Nickel-Cadmium
NiMh	Nickel-Metal Hydride

NMPC	Nonlinear Model Predictive Controller
NN	Neural-Network
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
OCV	Open Circuit Voltage
PHEV	Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle
PID	Proportional Integral Derivative
PM	Permanent Magnet
PMSM	Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimisation
SMC	Sliding Mode Control
SMCST	Conventional Sliding Mode Control Super-Twisting
SoC	State Of Charge
SR	Switched Reluctance
UDDS	Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WVUCITY	West Virginia University City Cycle

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Electric vehicles (EV) are entirely relying on an electrical propulsion system, and no internal combustion engine is used. All control is dependent on batteries as a source of energy. Thus, the quality of electrical energy is the most significant benefit during power conversion through its proposition scheme. Massive research and development activity has recently been documented in both academics and industry [1]. Electric powered vehicles promise a significant reduction in carbon emissions, local air pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions. They will also be more efficient, environmentally sustainable, quiet and secure [2], [3].

Due to environmental concerns and increasing energy demands, electric vehicles have steadily expanded their exposure to customers and producers in recent years. In line for to the decrease in air quality, which is a problem for the environment, and the increase in oil prices, EVs are the alternative option for transport. Electrical Energy Storage (EES) technology refers to transferring energy from one source to a storage form and keeping it in energy storage. The energy collected can be transformed back to electrical energy as desired [4]. Unlike a hybrid electric vehicle (HEV), a battery-driven electric vehicle (BEV) is entirely powered by an electric motor and a battery without any assistance of a traditional internal combustion engine. As a consequence, BEV is the most effective vehicle to reduce emissions and reduction in fossil fuel energy [5], [6]. A battery-driven electric motor replaces the need for an Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) vehicle and a fuel tank. While not in service, BEVs may be plugged in for charging.

Electric vehicle will minimise emissions, which energy supply should be available worldwide for potential usage as well as the cost should be low with a great performance of the vehicle. In addition, electric vehicles are perfectly adapted as electric vehicle drivetrain is much more efficient than the ICE vehicle. The motor performance is about 90%, battery efficiency is approximately 75% and power converter efficiency is around 90%. Therefore, electric vehicle performance's overall efficiency is approximately 75%, which in contrast to ICE vehicles is very high. The effectiveness of the ICE is very low. The engine itself has about 30-37% gasoline efficiency and about 40% diesel, but when energy is on the wheel it only has 5-10% efficiency [7], [8]. Moreover, the EV and ICE powertrain efficiency is approximately 70% and 20%, respectively, although this gap will substantially decrease when considering primary energy efficiency [9]. Besides, when the energy sources used in an electric vehicle are produced from

renewable energy, these vehicles contribute to a to a reduction in fossil fuel energy and emission [6].

Malaysia plans to introduce 100,000 units of electric vehicles and 2,000 electric buses with the national car company's capacity by 2030. The EV launch would reduce fossil fuel reliance for the transport industry and reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [10]. In addition, the energised BLDC motor took up the first 4 seconds. The motor was stopped by its own inertia after 4 seconds, and regenerative braking was performed after that period. Voltage was expected to be induced in phases as the motor decelerated. To store the generated energy in the battery, this voltage must be increased to a higher value than the battery voltage [11].

The comparison of the major components of the ICE and BEV is defined in Table 1.1. The battery serves the same function in an electric vehicle as the fuel tank in an ICE, storing energy until it is desired. An ICE uses a fuel injection system to control the flow of energy in order to regulate the speed and acceleration of the vehicle. In a BEV, a controller controls the flow of energy. At the required rate, the controller provides electrical energy to the motor. The rate is varied according to the position of the accelerator pedal. The power output is used for rotating the drive wheels in both vehicle types.

Table 1.1: Comparison of an ICE and EV [6]

ICE	Purpose	EV
Gasoline tank	Stores the energy to run the vehicle	Battery
Gasoline pump	Replaces the energy to run the vehicle	Battery charger
Gasoline engine	Provides the force to move the vehicle	Electric motor
Fuel injection system	Controls acceleration and speed	Controller
Generator/ alternator	Converts AC to DC to charge the battery and run the accessories	Inverter and DC/DC converter
Not needed	Converts DC to AC to power traction motor	Inverter
Emission	Reduces pollutants from the exhaust	Not needed
Mechanical to heat	Energy conversion during braking	Kinetic to electrical

1.1 Problem Statement

For battery operated EV, the battery is the only energy source, and these batteries are confronted with problems such as longer charging and recharging times and limited performance in the driving range. Electric vehicles have faced a limited driving range compared to traditional vehicles. Running out of energy is the same as braking down. A maximum charging cycle takes an average of 1-2 hours, which is about 120 times the time required to refuel a car [12]. Regenerative braking is more crucial in city driving, where the brakes are used more frequently[13]. In addition, at average city speed, 33.23 km/h the final SoC is 37.55 % which is drop 12 % of state of charge (SoC) for 10.93 km [14]. In addition, to maximise the lifespan of the battery, regenerative should perform at 10% to 90% SoC to avoid overcharging process at temperature range 20 °C to 60 °C. The solution using Neural Network Sliding Mode Control (NNSMC) able to improve the energy saving and driving range to 6% [15]. However, NNSMC does not provide any temperature state of energy storage to avoid overheating and degradation of battery.

Regenerative braking in BEV, which can efficiently increase automotive fuel economy by restoring kinetic energy throughout deceleration cycles, has been used in different electrified vehicles as one of the main innovations. The regenerative brake should be synchronised with the mechanical brake to achieve

high regeneration efficiency and guarantee protection for the vehicle's brake. Consequently, the mechanical braking system's layout and the brake mixing control method can significantly impact the regenerative braking control efficiency.

One of the practical approaches to enhance EV's energy rate is cooperative regenerative braking force distribution, whereby the energy consumed during acceleration is recovered during braking. Regenerative braking can currently contribute to a 20 % to 50% improvement in fuel usage. However, the electric vehicle's most critical issues are their capacity to recover a large amount of braking energy. The presence of traditional regenerative braking does not make it feasible to optimise the regeneration of kinetic energy by reconciling regenerative energy with braking efficiency and vehicle behaviour.

Generally, like the traditional fuel-driven vehicle braking system, it consists of brakes and power to slow the engine down or stop it. In electric vehicles a regenerative braking system is available that can benefit from the engine control system that decelerates the braking energy back into the battery to ensure regeneration.

Moreover, the need to increase overall efficiency has contributed to the design of the regenerative braking system. In regenerative braking, the energy during deceleration is converted to electricity and used to expand the driving range. The harvested energy will be used to charge the battery or store in the energy storage [19] [20] [21] [22]. Therefore, efficient braking control designs are necessary to be determined in order to maximise the transmitted energy while regenerative braking is executed.

If the braking force's distribution is not effectively controlled, the controller may fail to produce the required braking torque. The battery pack can cause damage due to overcharging produced by unregulated recovery. In technological trends, the Sliding Mode Control (SMC) design has become attention due to its robustness, good performance and disturbance rejection. Besides that, SMC also approaches critical issues such as elimination of chattering, adaptability to the uncertain system and enhancement of the dynamic performance of the closed loop system. However, the traditional SMC configuration produces a chattering phenomenon in control, which is why it is not applicable in real practise. The solution provided using the Intelligent Sliding Mode Scheme (ISMS) on 2018, which has a primary logic-based torque limiter, provided an excellent tracking of the required slip during an extreme braking scenario with high braking performance. It successfully achieved a significant energy recovery without overcharging the battery pack by efficiently implementing the chosen brake torque distribution. However, this method produced an overshoot of about 0.24 while tracking the slip ratio which exceeding the ideal value 0.2 [16].

As a key device of the regenerative braking mechanism, the efficiency of the motor specifically influences the recovery of energy. The amount of energy transmitted using the dynamic low-speed cut-off point detection for maximising energy transmitted can only transmit 1056.6 kJ per cycle for the UDDS driving cycle and 4599 kJ per cycle for NEDC driving cycle. Next, the amount of recovered braking energy through the fuzzy logic control strategy introduced by is 2145 kJ [13]. Furthermore, the current regenerative motor efficiency of RBS is 0.75.

In addition, fuzzy logic for regenerative has been proposed by [17] using Sugeno method due to its viable and effective. The simulation results show that the fuzzy logic control strategy can obtain more regenerative braking energy than the default strategy, as well as an increase in overall vehicle system efficiency. In addition to enhancing the vehicle's overall efficiency, regeneration can significantly increase the life of the braking system by reducing damage on its components [18].

Based on previous studies, electric regenerative braking can help to improve fuel efficiency by 20-50% depending on electric motor size. Various efforts were made earlier to increase the regenerative braking energy by the proposed control strategy by adjusting the Continuously Variable Transmission (CVT) gear ratio to sustain the motor at a high-efficiency motor region. However, only 8% improvement of regeneration energy through this strategy [19], thus appropriate new regenerative braking control strategy needed in order to maximise transmitted regenerative braking energy.

1.2 Aim and Objectives

This research aims to design an algorithm for optimum energy recovery without overcharging the battery (regenerative only perform at 10% to 90% SoC). Lower SoC higher inner resistance of batteries, and charging current should be decreased at high SoC to prevent the deposit of Li-on (Lithium-ion). The following are objectives of the research.

1. To develop Integrated Braking Force Distribution (IBFD) for optimum braking and vehicle stability.
2. To develop hybrid Modified Sliding Mode Control Super-Twisting (SMCST) with hybrid fuzzy-gain scheduling optimisation component in order to reduce control error of vehicle speed based.

3. To evaluate the performance of Modified Sliding Mode Control Super-Twisting (MSMCST) with hybrid fuzzy-gain scheduling optimisation component with conventional Sliding Mode Control Super-Twisting (SMCST) and National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) default design.
4. To analysis IBFD the driving cycle's performance in terms of SoC, energy transmitted, motor efficiency, temperature, slip ratio, and overall efficiency.

1.3 Contribution

This research focuses on parallel braking force distribution based on vehicle speed based. The main contribution of this research is development of integrated braking force distribution by considering the average city driving speed in order to obtain maximum energy transmitted during braking. The integration of average speed will act as optimize component for the existing braking force distribution. Next, the Modified Sliding Mode Control Super-Twisting has been introduce by introduce the proportional gain. The output speed able to track the input driving cycle and reduce the steady state error. In addition, fuzzy-gain scheduling has been introduce as tuning component in order to improve the SoC level and provides better performance.

1.4 Scope

This research demonstrates an algorithm of braking force distribution passenger electric vehicle with specification of total mass 903 kg without passenger. The mass was considered 592 kg of vehicle mass without component, 34 kg energy storage system, 91 kg electric motor, 50 kg transmission and 136 cargo mass. The mathematical model has been formulating for vehicle dynamics that consists of rolling resistance, aerodynamic drag and grading resistance. The parallel braking force distribution strategy was design by considering Malaysia's average city speed at 31.89 km/h and the maximum ratio for regenerative braking is at 60 km/h. The braking strategy is designed to recuperate maximum energy without overcharging the battery while maintaining the vehicle stability. The energy storage system was designed to execute the regenerative braking at 10% to 90% of SoC to prevent from overcharging. This algorithm has been test for dry asphalt condition with four types of driving cycle to investigate the proposed algorithm performance such as New European Driving Cycle (NEDC), Federal Test Procedure (FTP 75), Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) and West Virginia University City Cycle (WVUCITY) driving cycles.

1.5 Summary

This thesis is organised into five chapters. Chapter 1 briefly introduces the research work, which includes research background, problem statement, aim and objectives, and scope of the work.

In the second chapter, all related literature review with refer to regen are reviewed. The principle of regenerative braking consists of vehicle dynamics, electric motors, batteries, braking force distribution, regenerative braking control and sliding mode control in regenerative braking. Summary of existing finding, advantage and disadvantage is tabulated.

In the third chapter, the methodology of design the integrated braking force distribution through Malaysia's average speed at 31.89 km/h method is presented. Next, the controller algorithm design using conventional sliding mode super-twisting and hybrid sliding mode super-twisting with fuzzy and gain scheduling as optimisation mechanism is discussed.

Chapter 4 presents the results and findings obtained through four types of driving cycle comprehensive analysis on NREL default topology, conventional SMCST, and modified SMCST. Also, comparative evaluation for several important parameters such as SOC, motor efficiency, overall efficiency, energy transmitted, and temperature are presented.

Chapter 5 concludes the work, contribution of the research, and suggestions for future works.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. W. E. Cheng, "Recent development on electric vehicles," *2009 3rd Int. Conf. Power Electron. Syst. Appl. PESA 2009*, 2009.
- [2] I. A. Cortezón, R. S. Borrull, A. Q. López, and V. F. Roig, "The impact of fully electric vehicles demand in the spot market," *Int. Conf. Eur. Energy Mark. EEM*, no. 314328, 2014, doi: 10.1109/EEM.2014.6861249.
- [3] F. Zhang, X. Zhang, M. Zhang, and A. S. E. Edmonds, "Literature review of electric vehicle technology and its applications," *Proc. 2016 5th Int. Conf. Comput. Sci. Netw. Technol. ICCSNT 2016*, pp. 832–837, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ICCSNT.2016.8070276.
- [4] X. Luo, J. Wang, M. Dooner, and J. Clarke, "Overview of current development in electrical energy storage technologies and the application potential in power system operation," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 137, pp. 511–536, 2015.
- [5] M. Khanra, D. Chakraborty, and A. K. Nandi, "Improvement of Regenerative Braking Energy of Fully Battery Electric Vehicle Through Optimal Driving," *J. Asian Electr. Veh.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1789–1798, 2018, doi: 10.4130/jaev.16.1789.
- [6] J. Erjavec, *Hybrid, Electric & Fuel-Cell Vehicles*, vol. 2, no. Cengage Learning, 2013.
- [7] M. Chris, M. M. Abul, and D. Wenzhong Gao, *Hybrid electric vehicles Principles and applications with practical perspectives*. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Publication, 2011.
- [8] A. Tiwari and O. P. Jaga, "Component selection for an electric vehicle: A review," *6th Int. Conf. Comput. Power, Energy, Inf. Commun. ICCPEIC 2017*, vol. 2018-Janua, pp. 492–499, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ICCPEIC.2017.8290416.
- [9] E. Redondo-Iglesias, P. Venet, and S. Pelissier, "Efficiency Degradation Model of Lithium-Ion Batteries for Electric Vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 1932–1940, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TIA.2018.2877166.
- [10] A. Rahman, K. Myo Aung, A. Faris Ismail, and A. K. M. Mohiuddin, "Prospect and challenges of electric vehicle adaptability: An energy review Malaysia Green Transportation System View project Electromagnetic Actuated Continuous Variable Transmission System View project Prospect and challenges of electric vehicle adaptabil," *Energy Educ. Sci. Technol. Part A Energy Sci. Res.*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 139–151, 2018, [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326326504>.

- [11] H. Mamur and A. K. Candan, "Detailed simulation of regenerative braking of BLDC motor for electric vehicles," *Bilge Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.*, pp. 63–72, 2020, doi: 10.30516/bilgesci.646901.
- [12] T. T. Duong, D. Van Do, and T. T. Nguyen, "Research on Braking Force Distribution in regenerative Braking System Apply to Conventional Vehicle," *4th Int. Conf. Green Technol. Sustain. Dev.*, pp. 1–25, 2018.
- [13] S. Heydari, P. Fajri, M. Rasheduzzaman, and R. Sabzehgar, "Maximizing regenerative braking energy recovery of electric vehicles through dynamic low-speed cutoff point detection," *IEEE Trans. Transp. Electr.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 262–270, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TTE.2019.2894942.
- [14] L. Gang and Y. Zhi, "Energy saving control based on motor efficiency map for electric vehicles with four-wheel independently driven in-wheel motors," vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 1–18, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1687814018793064.
- [15] J. Cao, B. Cao, P. Xu, and Z. Bai, "Regenerative-Braking Sliding Mode Control of Electric Vehicle Based on Neural Network Identification," pp. 1219–1224, 2008.
- [16] S. Rajendran, S. Spurgeon, G. Tsampardoukas, and R. Hampson, "Intelligent Sliding Mode Scheme for Regenerative Braking Control," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 51, no. 25, pp. 334–339, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.11.129.
- [17] H. Zhang, G. Xu, W. Li, and M. Zhou, "Fuzzy logic control in regenerative braking system for electric vehicle," *2012 IEEE Int. Conf. Inf. Autom. ICIA 2012*, no. June, pp. 588–591, 2012, doi: 10.1109/ICInfA.2012.6246881.
- [18] T. Patil, R. Yadav, A. Mandhare, M. Saggam, and A. Pratap Singh, "Performance Improvement of Regenerative Braking System," vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 161–165, 2018, [Online]. Available: <http://www.ijser.org>.
- [19] H. Yeo, S. Hwang, and H. Kim, "Regenerative braking algorithm for a hybrid electric vehicle with CVT ratio control," *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part D J. Automob. Eng.*, vol. 220, no. 11, pp. 1589–1600, 2006, doi: 10.1243/09544070JAUTO304.
- [20] E. A. Grunditz, *Design and Assessment of Battery Electric Vehicle Powertrain, with Respect to Performance, Energy Consumption and Electric Motor Thermal Capability*, vol. 59. 2016.
- [21] A. Nassar and E. Nassar, "Design of SMART Car," *Univers. J. Mech. Eng.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2013, doi: 10.13189/ujme.2013.010101.
- [22] S. Bawage, P. Ranjan, O. Chaudhari, and S. Rai, "Regenerative Braking System," *Int. J. Adv. Eng. Res. Dev.*, vol. 4, no. 03, pp. 2367–2369, 2017, doi: 10.21090/ijaerd.88558.
- [23] M. J and A. M, "Regenerative Braking Systems (RBS) (Future of Braking

- Systems),” *Int. J. Psychosoc. Rehabil.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 206–213, 2019, doi: 10.37200/ijpr/v23i4/pr190178.
- [24] W. Chueprasert and D. Phaoharuhansa, “Study of Regenerative Braking System and Brake Force using Pulse Width Module,” *MATEC Web Conf.*, vol. 306, no. 20 20, p. 01004, 2020, doi: 10.1051/mateconf/202030601004.
- [25] S. M. Sue, Y. S. Huang, J. S. Syu, and C. Y. Sun, “A bi-directional power flow IPM-BLDC motor drive for electrical scooters,” *Proc. 2010 5th IEEE Conf. Ind. Electron. Appl. ICIEA 2010*, pp. 1330–1334, 2010, doi: 10.1109/ICIEA.2010.5514921.
- [26] Z. Chu, X. Feng, M. Ouyang, Z. Wang, L. Lu, J. Li and X. Han, “Optimal charge current of lithium ion battery,” *Energy Procedia*, vol. 142, pp. 1867–1873, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2017.12.577.
- [27] M. Zhang and X. Fan, “Review on the state of charge estimation methods for electric vehicle battery,” *World Electr. Veh. J.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2020, doi: 10.3390/WEVJ11010023.
- [28] A. Joseph Godfrey and V. Sankaranarayanan, “A new electric braking system with energy regeneration for a BLDC motor driven electric vehicle,” *Eng. Sci. Technol. an Int. J.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 704–713, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jestch.2018.05.003.
- [29] M. J. Yang, H. L. Zhou, B. Y. Ma, and K. Ka. Shyu, “A cost-effective method of electric brake with energy regeneration for electric vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 2203–2212, 2009, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2009.2015356.
- [30] S. G. Song, X. P. Li, and Z. C. Sun, “Study on the charging characteristics of lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles regenerative braking,” *Adv. Mater. Res.*, vol. 853, pp. 389–394, 2014, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.853.389.
- [31] G. Zhang, “Research of the regenerative braking and energy recovery system for electric vehicle,” *World Autom. Congr. Proc.*, 2012.
- [32] P. S. Bokare and A. K. Maurya, “Acceleration-Deceleration Behaviour of Various Vehicle Types,” *Transp. Res. Procedia*, vol. 25, pp. 4733–4749, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.trpro.2017.05.486.
- [33] R. N. Hasanah, V. Andrian, H. Suyono, and Soeprapto, “An effective method of regenerative braking for electric vehicles,” *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1943–1949, 2017, doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.7.5.1405.
- [34] M. K. Yoong, Y. H. Gan, G. D. Gan, C. K. Leong, Z. Y. Phuan, and B. K. C. K. W. Chew, “Studies of Regenerative Braking in Electric Vehicle,” no. November, pp. 40–45, 2010.

- [35] Z. Jingming, S. Baoyu, and N. Xiaojing, "Optimization of parallel regenerative braking control strategy," *2008 IEEE Veh. Power Propuls. Conf. VPPC 2008*, pp. 3–6, 2008, doi: 10.1109/VPPC.2008.4677420.
- [36] Y. Zhao, J. Hou, C. Wang, L. Chen, and Q. Sun, "Design of vehicle control research and development platform for a pure electric vehicle," *Adv. Mech. Eng.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1–17, 2019, doi: 10.1177/1687814019826427.
- [37] X. Wu, D. Zheng, T. Wang, and J. Du, "Torque optimal allocation strategy of all-wheel drive electric vehicle based on difference of efficiency characteristics between axis motors," *Energies*, vol. 12, no. 6, 2019, doi: 10.3390/en12061122.
- [38] C. Qiu and G. Wang, "New evaluation methodology of regenerative braking contribution to energy efficiency improvement of electric vehicles," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 119, pp. 389–398, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2016.04.044.
- [39] W. Zhang, J. Yang, W. Zhang, and F. Ma, "Research on regenerative braking of pure electric mining dump truck," *World Electr. Veh. J.*, vol. 10, no. 2, 2019, doi: 10.3390/wevj10020039.
- [40] M. K. Yoong, Y.H Gan, GD. Gan, C.K Leong, Z.Y Phuan, B.K Cheah, K.W Chew, "Studies of regenerative braking in electric vehicle," *IEEE Conference on Sustainable Utilization and Development in Engineering and Technology 2010, STUDENT 2010 - Conference Booklet*, 2010. .
- [41] N. Huda, S. Kaleg, A. Hapid, M. R. Kurnia, and A. C. Budiman, "The influence of the regenerative braking on the overall energy consumption of a converted electric vehicle," *SN Appl. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 4, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s42452-020-2390-3.
- [42] J. Chen, J. Yu, K. Zhang, and Y. Ma, "Control of regenerative braking systems for four-wheel-independently-actuated electric vehicles," *Mechatronics*, vol. 50, pp. 394–401, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.mechatronics.2017.06.005.
- [43] G. Zhijun, Y. Dongdong, and W. Jingbo, "Optimization of Regenerative Braking Control Strategy for Pure Electric Vehicle," vol. 872, p. 7482, 2017, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.872.331.
- [44] C. Lv, J. Zhang, Y. Li, and Y. Yuan, "Novel control algorithm of braking energy regeneration system for an electric vehicle during safety-critical driving maneuvers," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 106, pp. 520–529, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2015.09.062.
- [45] G. Xu, W. Li, K. Xu, and Z. Song, "An intelligent regenerative braking strategy for electric vehicles," *Energies*, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 1461–1477, 2011, doi: 10.3390/en4091461.

- [46] J. Hou and X. Guo, "Modeling and simulation of hybrid electric vehicles using HEVSIM and ADVISOR," *2008 IEEE Veh. Power Propuls. Conf.*, pp. 1–5, 2008, doi: 10.1109/VPPC.2008.4677457.
- [47] P. Fajri, S. Lee, V. A. K. Prabhala, and M. Ferdowsi, "Modeling and Integration of Electric Vehicle Regenerative and Friction Braking for Motor/Dynamometer Test Bench Emulation," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 4264–4273, 2016, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2015.2504363.
- [48] L. Chu, M. Shang, Y. Fang, J. Guo, and F. Zhou, "Braking Force Distribution Strategy for HEV Based on Braking Strength," *2010 Int. Conf. Meas. Technol. Mechatronics Autom.*, vol. 1, pp. 759–764, 2010, doi: 10.1109/ICMTMA.2010.344.
- [49] H. Kong, "Regenerative Braking for Electric Vehicle based on Fuzzy Logic Control Strategy Zijian Zhang," vol. 1, no. Icmee, pp. 319–323, 2010, doi: 10.1109/ICMEE.2010.5558540.
- [50] Z. Zhang, Y. Dong, Z. Zhang, Y. Dong, and S. Ensurence, "Safety Ensurence Research On The Regenerative Braking System of Electric Vehicle," *Int. J. Pattern Recognit. Artif. Intelligence*, 2018, doi: 10.1142/S0218001419590146.
- [51] M. Zhou, Z. Gao, and H. Zhang, "Research on Regenerative Braking Control Strategy of Hybrid Electric Vehicle," *Proc. 2011 6th Int. Forum Strateg. Technol.*, vol. 1, pp. 300–303, 2011, doi: 10.1109/IFOST.2011.6021027.
- [52] W. Youyu, S. Mingyue, and G. Hua, "Research on Brake Force Distribution Control Strategy of Electric Vehicle Subtitle as needed Research on Brake Force Distribution Control Strategy of Electric Vehicle Subtitle as needed," 2018, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/452/3/032054.
- [53] R. Abdelmoula, N. Ben Hadj, M. Chaieb, and R. Neji, "Comparison of fuel consumption and emissions of two hybrid electric vehicle configurations," *2017 18th Int. Conf. Sci. Tech. Autom. Control Comput. Eng. STA 2017 - Proc.*, vol. 2018-Janua, pp. 191–197, 2018, doi: 10.1109/STA.2017.8314883.
- [54] J. W. Xu and L. Zheng, "Simulation and analysis of Series Hybrid Electric Vehicle (SHEV) based on ADVISOR," *2010 Int. Conf. Meas. Technol. Mechatronics Autom. ICMTMA 2010*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 354–357, 2010, doi: 10.1109/ICMTMA.2010.678.
- [55] K. B. Wipke, M. R. Cuddy, and S. D. Burch, "ADVISOR 2.1: A user-friendly advanced powertrain simulation using a combined backward/forward approach," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1751–1761, 1999, doi: 10.1109/25.806767.
- [56] A. Same, A. Stipe, D. Grossman, and J. W. Park, "A study on optimization of hybrid drive train using Advanced Vehicle Simulator (ADVISOR)," *J.*

- Power Sources*, vol. 195, no. 19, pp. 6954–6963, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2010.03.057.
- [57] T. Markel, A. Brooker, T. Hendricks, V. Johnson, K. Kelly, B. Kramer, M. O'Keefe, S. Sprik, K. Wipke, "ADVISOR: A systems analysis tool for advanced vehicle modeling," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 110, no. 2, pp. 255–266, 2002, doi: 10.1016/S0378-7753(02)00189-1.
- [58] G. Park, S. Lee, S. Jin, and S. Kwak, "Integrated modeling and analysis of dynamics for electric vehicle powertrains," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 2595–2607, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2013.10.007.
- [59] M. M. Abdelhameed, M. Abdelaziz, N. E. Elhady, and A. M. Hussein, "Development of Integrated Brakes and Engine Traction Control System," *15th Int. Work. Res. Educ. Mechatronics*, pp. 1–5, 2014, doi: 10.1109/REM.2014.6920246.
- [60] L. Bo, "H' Robust Controller Design for Regenerative Braking Control of Electric Vehicles," pp. 214–219, 2011.
- [61] D. Perrotta, B. Ribeiro, R. J. F. Rossetti, and J. L. Afonso, "On the potential of regenerative braking of electric buses as a function of their itinerary," vol. 54, pp. 1156–1167, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.830.
- [62] E. Mehrdad, G. Yimin, E. G. Sebastian, and E. Ali, *Modern Electric, Hybrid Electric, and Fuel Cell Vehicles*. United States of America: CRC PRESS, 2005.
- [63] K. S. Jena and A. V. Joseph, "Dynamic Modelling and Control Design for a Vehicle in its Longitudinal Motion," vol. 9, no. August, pp. 1–6, 2016, doi: 10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i30/99019.
- [64] M. Y. Veeresh, V. N. B. Reddy, and R. Kiranmayi, "Range Estimation of Battery Electric Vehicle by Mathematical Modelling of Battery's Depth-of-Discharge," no. 6, pp. 3987–3992, 2019, doi: 10.35940/ijeat.F8800.088619.
- [65] A. O. Kiyakli and H. Solmaz, "Modeling of an Electric Vehicle with MATLAB / Simulink Modeling of an Electric Vehicle with MATLAB / Simulink," no. January, 2019, doi: 10.30939/ijastech..475477.
- [66] S. Lekshmi and L. P. Lal, "Mathematical modeling of Electric vehicles - A survey," *Control Eng. Pract.*, vol. 92, no. September, p. 104138, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.conengprac.2019.104138.
- [67] M. V. Č, "Overall Efficiency in Electric Road," pp. 51–56, 2011, doi: 10.7562/SE2018.8.01.09.
- [68] I. López, E. Ibarra, A. Matallana, J. Andreu, and I. Kortabarria, "Next generation electric drives for HEV/EV propulsion systems: Technology,

- trends and challenges,” *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 114, no. April 2018, p. 109336, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2019.109336.
- [69] N. Hashemnia and B. Asaei, “Comparative study of using different electric motors in the electric vehicles,” *Proc. 2008 Int. Conf. Electr. Mach. ICEM’08*, no. c, pp. 1–5, 2008, doi: 10.1109/ICELMACH.2008.4800157.
- [70] B. Das, S. Chakrabarti, P. R. Kasari, and A. Chakraborti, “Novel reverse regeneration technique of BLDC motor for capacitor charging,” *Int. Conf. Control. Instrumentation, Energy Commun. CIEC 2014*, no. December 2016, pp. 246–253, 2014, doi: 10.1109/CIEC.2014.6959088.
- [71] K. T. Chau, C. C. Chan, and C. Liu, “Overview of permanent-magnet brushless drives for electric and hybrid electric vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 2246–2257, 2008, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2008.918403.
- [72] E. Sato, “Permanent magnet synchronous motor drives for hybrid electric vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Electr. Electron. Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. xvii–xviii, 2007, doi: 10.1002/tee.20128.
- [73] A. Emadi, Y. J. Lee, and K. Rajashekara, “Power electronics and motor drives in electric, hybrid electric, and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 2237–2245, 2008, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2008.922768.
- [74] A. Kawahashi, “A new-generation hybrid electric vehicle and its supporting power semiconductor devices,” *IEEE Int. Symp. Power Semicond. Devices ICs*, vol. 16, pp. 23–29, 2004, doi: 10.1109/wct.2004.240285.
- [75] C. H. Chen, W. C. Chi, and M. Y. Cheng, “Regenerative braking control for light electric vehicles,” *Proc. Int. Conf. Power Electron. Drive Syst.*, no. December, pp. 631–636, 2011, doi: 10.1109/PEDS.2011.6147317.
- [76] Q. Gao *et al.*, “Regenerative braking system of PM synchronous motor,” *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 1955, no. April, 2018, doi: 10.1063/1.5033775.
- [77] S. Ichikawa, M. Tomita, S. Doki, and S. Okuma, “Sensorless control of permanent-magnet synchronous motors using online parameter identification based on system identification theory,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 363–372, 2006, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2006.870875.
- [78] D. Lu, M. Ouyang, J. Gu, and J. Li, “Instantaneous optimal regenerative braking control for a permanent-magnet synchronous motor in a four-wheel-drive electric vehicle,” *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part D J. Automob. Eng.*, vol. 228, no. 8, pp. 894–908, 2014, doi: 10.1177/0954407014521173.
- [79] I. Husain, *Electric and Hybrid Vehicles: Design Fundamentals, Second Edition*, Second. © 2010 Taylor & Francis Group, 2010.

- [80] L. Zhao, X. Zhou, and D. Gao, "The Efficiency Optimization of Permanent Magnet Synchronous Machine DTC for Electric Vehicles Applications Based on Loss Model," no. Ipemec, pp. 70–75, 2015, doi: 10.2991/ipemec-15.2015.14.
- [81] A. Mahmoudi, W. L. Soong, G. Pellegrino, and E. Armando, "Efficiency maps of electrical machines," *2015 IEEE Energy Convers. Congr. Expo. ECCE 2015*, pp. 2791–2799, 2015, doi: 10.1109/ECCE.2015.7310051.
- [82] N. Ben Hadj, R. Abdelmoula, M. Chaieb, and R. Neji, "Permanent magnet motor efficiency map calculation and small electric vehicle consumption optimization," *J. Electr. Syst.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 127–147, 2018.
- [83] Y. Xu, Q. Li, L. Zhang, and Q. Ma, "Development of permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor for Electric Vehicle," *1st Int. Conf. Sustain. Power Gener. Supply, SUPERGEN '09*, no. 3, pp. 1–5, 2009, doi: 10.1109/SUPERGEN.2009.5348015.
- [84] T. Finken, M. Felden, and K. Hameyer, "Comparison and design of different electrical machine types regarding their applicability in hybrid electrical vehicles," *Proc. 2008 Int. Conf. Electr. Mach. ICEM'08*, pp. 1–5, 2008, doi: 10.1109/ICELMACH.2008.4800044.
- [85] S. Stipetic and J. Goss, "Calculation of efficiency maps using scalable saturated flux-linkage and loss model of a synchronous motor," *Proc. - 2016 22nd Int. Conf. Electr. Mach. ICEM 2016*, no. March, pp. 1380–1386, 2016, doi: 10.1109/ICELMACH.2016.7732704.
- [86] A. Mahmoudi, W. L. Soong, G. Pellegrino, and E. Armando, "Efficiency maps of electrical machines," *2015 IEEE Energy Convers. Congr. Expo. ECCE 2015*, no. 2015, pp. 2791–2799, 2015, doi: 10.1109/ECCE.2015.7310051.
- [87] J. Drobnik and P. Jain, "Electric and hybrid vehicle power electronics efficiency, testing and reliability," *World Electr. Veh. J.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 719–730, 2013, doi: 10.3390/wevj6030719.
- [88] L. Albiol-Tendillo, E. Vidal-Idiarte, J. Maixé-Altés, J. M. Bosque-Moncusí, and H. Valderrama-Blaví, "Design and control of a bidirectional DC/DC converter for an Electric Vehicle," *15th Int. Power Electron. Motion Control Conf. Expo. EPE-PEMC 2012 ECCE Eur.*, no. 2, pp. 4–8, 2012, doi: 10.1109/EPEPEMC.2012.6397462.
- [89] Y. A. Makandar, "Performance Analysis of Bidirectional DC-DC Converter for Electric Vehicle Application," *Int. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 9, pp. 43–49, 2015.
- [90] P. Pany, R. Singh, and R. Tripathi, "Bidirectional DC-DC converter fed drive for electric vehicle system," *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 101–110, 2011, doi: 10.4314/ijest.v3i3.68426.

- [91] A. Kumar and P. Gaur, "Bidirectional DC/DC converter for hybrid electric vehicle," *Proc. 2014 Int. Conf. Adv. Comput. Commun. Informatics, ICACCI 2014*, pp. 839–843, 2014, doi: 10.1109/ICACCI.2014.6968295.
- [92] S. Butterbach, B. Vulturescu, C. Forgez, G. Coquery, and G. Friedrich, "Lead-acid battery model for hybrid energy storage," *2011 IEEE Veh. Power Propuls. Conf. VPPC 2011*, 2011, doi: 10.1109/VPPC.2011.6043091.
- [93] W. Chen, J. Liang, Z. Yang, and G. Li, "A review of lithium-ion battery for electric vehicle applications and beyond," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 158, pp. 4363–4368, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2019.01.783.
- [94] H. He, R. Xiong, and J. Fan, "Evaluation of lithium-ion battery equivalent circuit models for state of charge estimation by an experimental approach," *Energies*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 582–598, 2011, doi: 10.3390/en4040582.
- [95] Y. Zhang, X. Cheng, Y. Fang, and Y. Yin, "On SOC estimation of lithium-ion battery packs based EKF," *Chinese Control Conf. CCC*, pp. 7668–7673, 2013.
- [96] S. K. Malode, R. H. Adware, M. T. P. E. D. Student, E. Engineering, and E. Engineering, "Regenerative Braking System In Electric Vehicles," pp. 254–260, 2016.
- [97] R. E. Tudoroiu, M. Zaheeruddin, N. Tudoroiu, and S. M. Radu, "Soc estimation of a rechargeable li-ion battery used in fuel cell hybrid electric vehicles— comparative study of accuracy and robustness performance based on statistical criteria. Part ii: Soc estimators," *Batteries*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1–36, 2020, doi: 10.3390/batteries6030041.
- [98] Q. Chen, S. Kang, H. Chen, Y. Liu, and J. Bai, "Acceleration Slip Regulation of Distributed Driving Electric Vehicle Based on Road Identification," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 144585–144591, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3014904.
- [99] L. Li, Y. Zhang, C. Yang, B. Yan, and C. Marina Martinez, "Model predictive control-based efficient energy recovery control strategy for regenerative braking system of hybrid electric bus," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 111, pp. 299–314, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2015.12.077.
- [100] Y. Chen, S. Chen, Y. Zhao, Z. Gao, and C. Li, "Optimized Handling Stability Control Strategy for a Four In-Wheel Motor Independent-Drive Electric Vehicle," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 17017–17032, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2893894.
- [101] H. Kataoka, H. Sado, S. ichiro Sakai, and Y. Hori, "Optimal Slip Ratio Estimator for Traction Control System of Electric Vehicle Based on Fuzzy Inference," *IEEJ Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 120, no. 4, pp. 581–586, 2000,

doi: 10.1541/ieejias.120.581.

- [102] P. M. Heerwan, S. M. Ashraf, and M. I. Ishak, "Combination of Skid Control and Direct Yaw Moment Control to Improve the Safety and Stability of the Small Electric Vehicle with Two In-Wheel Motors," *MATEC Web Conf.*, vol. 135, 2017, doi: 10.1051/mateconf/201713500022.
- [103] F. Sangtarash, V. Esfahanian, H. Nehzati, S. Haddadi, M. A. Bavanpour, and B. Haghpanah, "Effect of Different Regenerative Braking Strategies on Braking Performance and Fuel Economy in a Hybrid Electric Bus Employing CRUISE Vehicle Simulation," 2008.
- [104] Q. Shi, C. Zhang, and N. Cui, "AISC 169 - An Improved Electric Vehicle Regenerative Braking Strategy Research," vol. 2, pp. 637–642, 2012.
- [105] Y. Gao, L. Chen, and M. Ehsani, "Investigation of the Effectiveness of Regenerative Braking for EV and HEV," no. 724, 2018.
- [106] M. Panagiotidis, G. Delagrammatikas, and D. Assanis, "Development and use of a regenerative braking model for a parallel hybrid electric vehicle," *SAE Tech. Pap.*, no. 724, 2000, doi: 10.4271/2000-01-0995.
- [107] Z. Zhang and Y. Dong, "Safety Ensurance Research On The Regenerativ Braking System of Electric Vehicle," 2018, doi: 10.1142/S0218001419590146.
- [108] M. Panagiotidis, G. Delagrammatikas, and D. Assanis, "Development and Use of a Regenerative Braking Model for a Parallel Hybrid Electric Vehicle," no. 724, 2018.
- [109] X. Zhao, "Braking torque distribution for hybrid electric vehicles based on nonlinear disturbance observer," 2019, doi: 10.1177/0954407018823944.
- [110] F. Wang, "2012 International Conference on Computer Distributed Control and Intelligent Enviromental Monitoring A Series Regenerative Braking Control Strategy Based on Hybrid-Power," 2012, doi: 10.1109/CDCIEM.2012.22.
- [111] S. Xu, D. Wang, and Y. He, "Study on the Improved Parallel Braking Control Strategy of Range-extended Electric Commercial Vehicle," no. Ifmeita, pp. 280–285, 2016, doi: 10.2991/ifmeita-16.2016.53.
- [112] S. Li, B. Yu, and X. Feng, "Research on braking energy recovery strategy of electric vehicle based on ECE regulation and I curve," *Sci. Prog.*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0036850419877762.
- [113] W. Liu, G. Chen, C. Zong, and C. Li, "Research on Electric Vehicle Braking Force Distribution for Maximizing Energy Regeneration," *SAE Tech. Pap.*, vol. 2016-April, no. April, 2016, doi: 10.4271/2016-01-1676.

- [114] C. Jianbo, C. Binggang, C. Wenzhi, and X. Peng, "Neural network self-adaptive PID control for driving and regenerative braking of electric vehicle," *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Autom. Logist. ICAL 2007*, pp. 2029–2034, 2007, doi: 10.1109/ICAL.2007.4338908.
- [115] X. Huang and J. Wang, "Model predictive regenerative braking control for lightweight electric vehicles with in-wheel motors," *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part D J. Automob. Eng.*, vol. 226, no. 9, pp. 1220–1232, 2012, doi: 10.1177/0954407012440934.
- [116] H. Zhang, G. Xu, W. Li, and M. Zhou, "Fuzzy Logic Control in Regenerative Braking System for Electric Vehicle," *2012 IEEE Int. Conf. Inf. Autom.*, no. June, pp. 588–591, 2012, doi: 10.1109/ICInfA.2012.6246881.
- [117] J. Zhang, C. Lv, M. Qiu, Y. Li, and D. Sun, "Braking energy regeneration control of a fuel cell hybrid electric bus," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 76, pp. 1117–1124, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2013.09.003.
- [118] T. J. P. Ferreira, G. A. Melo, and C. A. Canesin, "Regenerative Brake System for Small Scale Electric Bus," pp. 0–5, 2014.
- [119] G. Maruthaipandian, S. Ramkumar, and M. Muruganandam, "Design and Implementation of BLDC Motor Using Regenerative Braking for Electric," pp. 694–701, 2015.
- [120] L. Qin, "Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm for Regenerative Braking Fuzzy Control of Electric Vehicle," no. Icismme, pp. 739–743, 2015.
- [121] S. Aiswarya and S. R. Thomas, "A Novel Energy Regeneration Technique in Brushless DC Motors for Automobile Applications," vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 348–352, 2016.
- [122] S. Geraee, H. Mohammadbagherpoor, M. Sha, and M. Valizadeh, "Regenerative braking of electric vehicle using a modified direct torque control and adaptive control theory ☆," vol. 69, no. October 2017, pp. 85–97, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compeleceng.2018.05.022.
- [123] R. Bayır and T. Soylu, "Downhill Speed Control of In-Wheel Motor During Regenerative Braking," *Elektron. Ir Elektrotehnika*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 40–45, 2017, doi: 10.5755/J01.EIE.23.6.19693.
- [124] Z. Zhang, Y. Dong, and Y. Han, "Dynamic and Control of Electric Vehicle in Regenerative Braking for Driving Safety and Energy Conservation," *J. Vib. Eng. Technol.*, no. 0123456789, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s42417-019-00098-0.
- [125] H. Han, X. Wu, and J. Qiao, "Design of Robust Sliding Mode Control With Adaptive Reaching Law," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man, Cybern. Syst.*, pp. 1–10, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2018.2852626.

- [126] M. Furat, "Experimental Evaluation of Sliding-Mode Control Techniques Kaymalı - Kutup Kontrol Tekniklerinin Deneysel Değerlendirilmesi," vol. 27, no. June, pp. 23–37, 2012.
- [127] R. Konwar, "A Review on Sliding Mode Control : An Approach for Robust Control Process," *ADBU J. Electr. Electron. Eng.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 6–13, 2017.
- [128] J. Fang, Z. Long, M. Y. Wang, L. Zhang, and X. Dai, "Multi-mode sliding mode control for precision linear stage based on fixed or floating stator," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, vol. 87, no. 2, 2016, doi: 10.1063/1.4942641.
- [129] Y. Zhao, J. Zhang, C. Li, and C. He, "Sliding Mode Control Algorithm for Regenerative Braking of an Electric Bus with a Pneumatic Anti- lock Braking System Sliding Mode Control Algorithm for Regenerative Braking of an Electric Bus with a Pneumatic Anti-lock Braking System," 2019, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/538/1/012067.
- [130] U. T. B. D. Motors, "Energy-Regenerative Braking Control of Electric Vehicles Using Three-Phase Brushless Direct-Current Motors," pp. 99–114, 2014, doi: 10.3390/en7010099.
- [131] C. Lv, J. Zhang, and Y. Li, "Robust Control of Regenerative and Hydraulic Brakes for Enhancing Directional Stability of an Electric Vehicle During Straight-Line Braking," pp. 328–337, 2018, doi: 10.4271/2016-01-1669.
- [132] S. K. Hasan and A. K. Dhingra, "Development of a Fuzzy Logic Controller for Real-time Energy Optimization of a Hybrid vehicle," *J. Mechatronics Robot.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 236–253, 2020, doi: 10.3844/jmrsp.2020.236.253.
- [133] R. Sowmya, S. P. Ajitha, V. Keerthana, and M. Saranya, "Fuzzy Logic Control Based Regenerative Braking in Electric Vehicle," *Int. J. Soft Comput. Artif. Intell.*, no. 41, pp. 2321–404, 2016, [Online]. Available: http://www.ijar.in/journal/journal_file/journal_pdf/4-258-146545653547-49.pdf.
- [134] S. Arora, "Regenerative Braking for an Electric Car," no. July, pp. 3564–3566, 2020.
- [135] K. Navyasree and N. M. Reddy, "Mathematical Analysis and Simulation of Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor for Electric Vehicle Application," *Int. J. Innov. Technol. Explor. Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 379–382, 2020, doi: 10.35940/ijitee.k7852.0991120.
- [136] A. Rhif, "Stabilizing Sliding Mode Control Design And Application For A Dc Motor : Speed," vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 39–48, 2012.
- [137] C. T. Heng, Z. Jamaludin, A. Y .B Hashim, N. A Rafan, L. Abdullah, M.R Salleh, H. Arep@Ariff "Desing and Analysis of Super Twisting Sliding

Mode Control for Machine Tools" vol. 3, pp. 25–29, 2016.

- [138] P. Ankur and V. Savani, "Performance Analysis of PID Controller and Its significance for Closed Loop System," *Int. J. Eng. Res. Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1843–1847, 2014, [Online]. Available: <https://www.ijert.org/download/8854/performance-analysis-of-pid-controller-and-its-significance-for-closed-loop-system>.
- [139] J. Guo, J. Wang, and B. Cao, "Regenerative Braking Strategy for Electric Vehicles," *2009 IEEE Intell. Veh. Symp.*, pp. 864–868, 2009, doi: 10.1109/IVS.2009.5164393.
- [140] J. Liu, Y. Ma, L. Zhu, H. Zhao, H. Liu, and D. Yu, "Improved Gain Scheduling Control and Its Application to Aero-Engine LPV Synthesis," *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 22, p. 5967, 2020, doi: 10.3390/en13225967.
- [141] S. Supatmi, R. Hou, and I. D. Sumitra, "Study of Hybrid Neurofuzzy Inference System for Forecasting Flood Event Vulnerability in Indonesia," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2019, 2019, doi: 10.1155/2019/6203510.
- [142] E. B. M. Costa and G. L. O. Serra, "Fuzzy gain scheduling design based on multiobjective particle swarm optimization," *2015 Latin-America Congr. Comput. Intell. LA-CCI 2015*, 2016, doi: 10.1109/LA-CCI.2015.7435970.
- [143] V. Bagyaveereswaran and P. Arulmozhivarman, "Gain scheduling of a robust setpoint tracking disturbance rejection and aggressiveness controller for a nonlinear process," *Processes*, vol. 7, no. 7, 2019, doi: 10.3390/pr7070415.
- [144] A. Rodriguez-Martinez and R. Garduno-Ramirez, "Comparative analysis of PI controller gain-scheduling through fuzzy systems," *CERMA 2009 - Electron. Robot. Automot. Mech. Conf.*, no. 3, pp. 366–371, 2009, doi: 10.1109/CERMA.2009.74.
- [145] C. Fiori, K. Ahn, and H. A. Rakha, "Power-based electric vehicle energy consumption model: Model development and validation," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 168, pp. 257–268, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.01.097.
- [146] A. R. Mahayadin, I. Ibrahim, I. Zunaidi, A.B Shahrman, M.K Faizi, M. Sahari, M. S. M. Hashim, M. A. M. Saad, Z. M. Razlan, M. F. H. Rani, Z. M. Isa, N. S. Kamarrudin, A. Harun, Y. Nagaya, "Development of Driving Cycle Construction Methodology in Malaysia's Urban Road System," *2018 Int. Conf. Comput. Approach Smart Syst. Des. Appl.*, no. November, pp. 1–5, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ICASSDA.2018.8477619.
- [147] M. H. Hosseinlou, H. Ahadi, and V. Hematian, "A study of the minimum safe stopping distance between vehicles in terms of braking systems, weather and pavement conditions," *Indian J. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 3421–3427, 2012, doi: 10.17485/ijst/2012/v5i10/30921.

- [148] A. K. Singh, A. Dalai, and P. Kumar, "Analysis of induction motor for electric vehicle application based on drive cycle analysis," *2014 IEEE Int. Conf. Power Electron. Drives Energy Syst. PEDES 2014*, 2014, doi: 10.1109/PEDES.2014.7042134.
- [149] M. Zhang, S. Shi, N. Lin, and B. Yue, "High-efficiency driving cycle generation using a markov chain evolution algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 1288–1301, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2018.2887063.
- [150] L. Niu, L. Ye, and H. Yang, "Driving cycles self-recognition of HEV based on multi-agent theory," *Malaysian J. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 246–252, 2019, doi: 10.22452/mjcs.vol32no3.5.
- [151] K. Sayed, A. Kassem, H. Saleeb, A. S. Alghamdi, and A. G. Abo-Khalil, "Energy-saving of battery electric vehicle powertrain and efficiency improvement during different standard driving cycles," *Sustain.*, vol. 12, no. 24, pp. 1–26, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su122410466.
- [152] K. J. Kelly, M. Zolot, G. Glinsky, and A. Hieronymus, "Test results and modeling of the Honda insight using ADVISOR," *SAE Tech. Pap.*, no. August, 2001, doi: 10.4271/2001-01-2537.
- [153] T. Paul, T. Mesbahi, S. Durand, D. Flieller, and W. Uhring, "Study and influence of standardized driving cycles on the sizing of li-ion battery / supercapacitor hybrid energy storage," *2019 IEEE Veh. Power Propuls. Conf. VPPC 2019 - Proc.*, pp. 1–6, 2019, doi: 10.1109/VPPC46532.2019.8952494.
- [154] J. Peng, J. Jiang, F. Ding, and H. Tan, "Development of driving cycle construction for hybrid electric bus: A case study in Zhengzhou, China," *Sustain.*, vol. 12, no. 17, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12177188.
- [155] P. Nyberg, L. Nielsen, and E. Frisk, *Evaluation, Transformation, and Extraction of Driving Cycles and Vehicle Operations*, vol. PhD, no. 1596. 2013.
- [156] E. Tzirakis and F. Zannikos, "Development of processing methodologies used to form complete driving-cycle dynamometer tests based on urban on-road driving and road gradient data," *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part D J. Automob. Eng.*, vol. 229, no. 1, pp. 97–110, 2015, doi: 10.1177/0954407014529940.