



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***ENHANCED REPLICATION STRATEGY WITH BALANCED QUORUM
TECHNIQUE AND DATA CENTER SELECTION METHOD IN CLOUD
ENVIRONMENT***

FAZLINA BINTI MOHD ALI

FSKTM 2022 13



**ENHANCED REPLICATION STRATEGY WITH BALANCED QUORUM
TECHNIQUE AND DATA CENTER SELECTION METHOD IN CLOUD
ENVIRONMENT**

By

FAZLINA BINTI MOHD ALI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

March 2022

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved father, mother, husband, sons, mother in-law, brother, sisters, family members, friends and everyone that involved in this journey.

Thank you for all the prayers.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**ENHANCED REPLICATION STRATEGY WITH BALANCED QUORUM
TECHNIQUE AND DATA CENTER SELECTION METHOD IN CLOUD
ENVIRONMENT**

By

FAZLINA BINTI MOHD ALI

March 2022

Chairman : Associate Professor Rohaya Latip, PhD
Faculty : Computer Science and Information Technology

Digital data are growing tremendously, and the massive amount of data are core contributors to data evolutions across the globe. In this Industry Revolution (IR) 4.0 era, heterogenous data are generated in various sources and platforms. In order to store these huge volumes with heterogenous data categories, cloud computing became the mainstream solution to provide multiple services to keep safe, process and distribute the data. As each data is substantial to everyone, cloud computing emphasises and facilitates a fast and flexible platform to users. Despite continually standing as a resilient storage provider to heterogenous data, the prominent issue encountered as performance challenges is to accommodate sufficient services with high data cloud storage. The issues are crucial because poor data availability and accessibility are often influencing delays on data retrieval which ultimately leads to system performance degradations.

In order to mitigate the issues, 'cloud data replication' is commonly implemented for better data performance and promising business continuity. Cloud replication is recognised as storing more than one copy of data in multiple distributed storage nodes. Additionally, cloud replication is well-known as a comprehensive technique which is capable in serving high data availability, faster response time, and better fault tolerance yet cost-effective for both cloud users and provider. Regardless of the replication valuable keys, occasionally this technique has the tendency to attain performance degradation issues too. Some existing research works did not considers substantial factors in determining popular files. As a result, the poor popular file selection strategies affect file download and lead to ineffective response time. Besides, there are also issues that arise during data placement techniques consuming extensive space and high replication time due to replica copies located in every storage node in the same cluster environment to achieve high data availability. Furthermore, there are also problems identified when choosing an appropriate data center to store replica copies caused by ineffective data center selection criteria. Holistically, there are many previous studies which have

enlightened issues in cloud replications such as delayed response time, high replication time, extensive storage space, and massive network consumption due to inefficient replication strategies implemented in cloud environments.

Therefore, to evade the negative probabilities and satisfy users, an established and extensive cloud replication strategy is significant to be deployed in a cloud environment to enhance the overall replication performance.

In this research, there are three (3) main contributions proposed for a cloud replication environment. Firstly, this research proposed an Enhanced Replication Strategy (ERS) that improves response time for file download in a replication cloud environment without neglecting the popular file selection. Secondly, this research proposed a dynamic Balanced Quorum (BQ) technique for replica placement to reduce storage consumption without disregarding a faster replication time and preserve data availability. As for the third contribution, an efficient Data Center Selection Method (DCSM) was proposed to ensure files are available in the best local data center; thus, this research is able to facilitate efficient network usage and minimise replication frequency in a cloud replication environment.

The proposed ERS, BQ and DCSM are agile depending on the replication environment requirements and dynamically adapt user access patterns, guarantee that essential data is always available and accessible by users. Thorough experiments were conducted using the 'CloudSim' simulation tool. The dataset generated by CloudSim was in random structured master files in various sizes. The simulation results were analysed and the analytical graphs are presented in the discussions. In order to validate the competence of these proposed algorithms, results were compared with another similar research work known as DPRS algorithm. Apparently, the proposed ERS, BQ and DCSM in this research outperformed the DPRS, concurrently evidenced 10.47% improvement in average response time, reduced storage consumptions are 11.43% and 31%, accelerated replication time with 6% and 20%, sustained high data availability between 98.59% to 99.03%, offered effective network usage reduced by 20% more efficiency and finally betterments on overall replication frequency with 14%. Individual measurement metrics in this research was outpaced the other existing method, the DPRS and contributed better performance in cloud replication environment.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**STRATEGI REPLIKASI YANG DITINGKATKAN DENGAN KAEDAH
PEMILIHAN TEKNIK QUORUM DAN KAEDAH PEMILIHAN PUSAT DATA
DALAM PERSEKITARAN PENGKOMPUTARAN AWAN**

Oleh

FAZLINA BINTI MOHD ALI

Mac 2022

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Rohaya Latip, PhD
Fakulti : Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Data digital berkembang dengan pesat dan jumlah data yang besar adalah penyumbang utama kepada evolusi data di seluruh dunia. Dalam era Revolusi Industri (IR) 4.0 ini, data heterogen dijana dalam pelbagai sumber dan platform. Untuk menyimpan jumlah data yang besar dengan kategori data yang pelbagai, pengkomputeran awan menjadi penyelesaian dengan menyediakan pelbagai perkhidmatan untuk memastikan keselamatan memproses dan mengedarkan data. Oleh kerana setiap data penting kepada semua, pengkomputeran awan menekankan penyediaan platform yang cepat dan fleksibel kepada pengguna. Walaupun pengkomputeran awan dikenali sebagai pembekal storan yang utuh bagi pelbagai data, isu utama yang dihadapi adalah cabaran dalam menampung permintaan perkhidmatan dengan ketersediaan data yang tinggi dan akses data yang lebih cepat tanpa mengabaikan sensitiviti data yang disimpan dalam storan awan. Isu-isu ini adalah kritikal kerana ketersediaan dan kebolehcapaian data yang lemah sering mempengaruhi kelewatan capaian data yang akhirnya menjejaskan prestasi sistem.

Bagi membendung isu-isu berbangkit tersebut, hampir semua perkhidmatan awan mengadaptasi strategi 'replikasi data awan' untuk meningkatkan prestasi capaian data yang lebih baik dan menjanjikan kesinambungan perniagaan. Replikasi awan diiktiraf sebagai satu kaedah penyimpanan lebih daripada satu salinan data dalam pelbagai nod storan teragih. Di samping itu, replikasi awan terkenal sebagai teknik komprehensif yang berkeupayaan dalam ketersediaan data yang tinggi, masa capaian yang lebih cepat, toleransi ralat yang lebih baik dan menjanjikan kos yang efektif untuk pengguna dan pembekal awan. Namun demikian, strategi replikasi ini kadangkala mempunyai kecenderungan menjejaskan prestasi pengkomputeran awan.

Terdapat banyak kajian terdahulu yang menjelaskan isu-isu dalam replikasi awan seperti; kelewatan masa capaian data, masa replikasi yang tinggi, penggunaan ruang storan yang banyak dan penggunaan rangkaian yang tinggi disebabkan pelaksanaan strategi replikasi

yang tidak cekap dalam persekitaran awan. Oleh itu, bagi mengelakkan kebarangkalian negatif dan memenuhi kepuasan hati pengguna, pembangunan strategi replikasi data yang mantap dan efisien adalah signifikan untuk digunakan dalam persekitaran awan bagi meningkatkan prestasi replikasi secara holistik.

Dalam penyelidikan ini, terdapat tiga (3) sumbangan utama dicadangkan untuk persekitaran replikasi awan. Pertama, penyelidikan ini mencadangkan Strategi Replikasi Dipertingkatkan (ERS) yang mempercepatkan masa apabila muat turun fail dalam persekitaran replikasi awan tanpa mengabaikan pemilihan fail yang popular. Kedua, penyelidikan ini mencadangkan teknik penempatan replika yang dinamik Quorum Seimbang (BQ) untuk mengurangkan penggunaan storan tanpa mengabaikan masa replikasi yang lebih cepat dan mengekalkan ketersediaan data secara optimum. Bagi sumbangan ketiga, Kaedah Pemilihan Pusat Data (DCSM) yang cekap dicadangkan untuk memastikan fail sentiasa disimpan di pusat data tempatan yang terbaik. Dengan itu penyelidikan ini dapat mencapai penggunaan rangkaian yang efektif dan meminimalkan kekerapan replikasi dalam persekitaran replikasi awan.

ERS, BQ dan DCSM yang dicadangkan adalah komprehensif bergantung kepada keperluan persekitaran replikasi dan menyesuaikan corak akses pengguna secara dinamik yang menjamin data penting sentiasa tersedia dan boleh diakses oleh pengguna. Eksperimen menyeluruh dijalankan menggunakan aplikasi simulasi 'CloudSim'. Keputusan simulasi dianalisa dan graf analitik dibentangkan dalam perbincangan. Untuk mengesahkan kecekapan dan keberkesanan algoritma yang dicadangkan ini, keputusan dibandingkan dengan satu kerja penyelidikan lain dalam bidang yang sama dikenali sebagai algoritma DPRS. Ternyata ERS, BQ dan DCSM yang dicadangkan dalam penyelidikan ini adalah lebih efisien daripada DPRS, dan ianya telah berjaya dibuktikan dengan keputusan masa capaian data yang lebih baik, pengurangan penggunaan storan, masa replikasi yang cepat, mengekalkan ketersediaan data yang tinggi, penggunaan rangkaian yang efektif dan akhirnya dapat meminimumkan kekerapan replikasi dalam persekitaran replikasi awan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah. From the depths of my heart, I thank Allah the Al-Mighty for being my anchor. His omnipresence, faithfulness, and wisdom enabled me to complete my PhD and this thesis.

My heartfelt thanks and appreciation to my supervisors: PM Dr. Rohaya Latip: thank you for the support, guidance, and self-belief you have given me during my difficult times throughout my PhD journey. To Prof. Dr. Hamidah Ibrahim and PM. Dr. Azizol Abdullah: thanks for your valuable views to look at problems from a different light as well as constructive comments on my work. I am not forgetting to thank Dr. Mohamed AlHadi Mahmoud Alrshah, who has been my tutor and guide me on writing good journal papers throughout this PhD journey- May Allah expedite every attempt you make to succeed in life.

I remember with deep appreciation the wind beneath my wings: my husband, Syed Asbar, and my pride and joy, Syed Arham and Syed Arfan, who believed in me, and loved me and all my idiosyncrasies, especially during my most stressful days, my parents: Hj. Mohd Ali & Hjh. Kathoon, my siblings: Bazir, Suaraini, Latifah & Sabrina, my mother-in-law: Hjh. Salmath and in-law family members, my family in Bahau whose prayers and encouragement were always with me.

Special mention goes to Kak Fara, Dalila, Niza, Nisyak, Yatt, Ikin: my friends, companions, motivators, and handy assistants who gave me all the support during my critical encounters -May Allah bless you, girls! Not forgetting other friends/acquaintances who directly or indirectly involved in my PhD journey; Thank you. Last but not least, my closest PhD buddy, Pije whom I could always turn to during my difficult times and rely on for technical assistance throughout my PhD journey. May Allah bless you always.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Rohaya binti Latip, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Hamidah binti Ibrahim, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Azizol bin Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 June 2022

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Fazlina binti Mohd Ali, GS49592

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory

Committee: _____

Associate Professor Dr. Rohaya binti Latip

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory

Committee: _____

Professor Dr. Hamidah binti Ibrahim

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory

Committee: _____

Associate Professor Dr. Azizol bin Abdullah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	1
1.3 Problem Statement	2
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Research Question	4
1.6 Research Scope	4
1.7 Thesis Structure	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Cloud Computing	7
2.2.1 Cloud Computing Services	8
2.3 Data Management	9
2.3.1 Data Processing	10
2.3.2 Data security	10
2.3.3 Data Storage	11
2.4 Data Recovery	11
2.4.1 Backup	11
2.4.2 Checkpointing	12
2.4.3 Replication	12
2.5 Data Replication	13
2.5.1 Static Data Replications	15
2.5.2 Dynamic Data Replications	16
2.6 Data Selection (Popular File Selection)	21
2.7 Data Placement Techniques	28
2.8 Data Center Selection Methods	31
2.9 Summary	39
3 METHODOLOGY	40
3.1 Introduction.	40
3.2 Simulation	40
3.3 Discrete Event Simulation (DES)	41

3.3.1	Define Study	41
3.3.2	Analyse	45
3.3.3	Parameter Estimation	46
3.3.4	Model Development	47
3.3.5	Experiment, Interpret and Evaluate	54
3.4	Summary	55
4	ENHANCED REPLICATION STRATEGY (ERS)	56
4.1	Introduction	56
4.2	Cloud Replication Strategy	56
4.2.1	File Access Computation	57
4.2.2	Popular File Selection	58
4.2.3	File Replication Candidates	60
4.2.4	ERS Process Diagram, Algorithm, and Flowchart	61
4.3	Measurement Metrics	66
4.3.1	Average Response Time (ART)	66
4.3.2	Popular File Selection	66
4.4	Results and Discussion for ERS	67
4.5	Summary	70
5	BALANCED QUORUM (BQ) TECHNIQUE	71
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Replica Placement Technique	71
5.2.1	Balanced Quorum (BQ) Process Diagram, Algorithm, and Flowchart	75
5.3	Measurement Metrics	78
5.3.1	Storage Usage (<i>SU</i>)	78
5.3.2	Average Response Time (Replication)	78
5.3.3	Data Availability	78
5.4	Results and Discussions for BQ Technique	79
5.5	Results and Discussions for Combined ERS-BQ	88
5.6	Summary	93
6	DATA CENTER SELECTION METHOD (DCSM)	95
6.1	Introduction	95
6.2	Data Center Selections	95
6.3	Selection Criteria	96
6.3.1	Accumulation of <i>User Merit</i> (μ)	96
6.3.2	Accumulation <i>Storage Merit</i> (σ)	98
6.3.3	Accumulation <i>Centrality Merit</i> (λ)	99
6.4	DCSM Algorithm and Flowchart	105
6.5	Measurement Metrics	107
6.5.1	Effective Network Usage (ENU)	107
6.5.2	Replication Frequency (<i>RF</i>)	107
6.6	Results and Discussions for DCSM	107
6.7	Results and Discussions for Combined ERS+BQ+DCSM	112
6.8	Summary	115

7	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	117
7.1	Introduction	117
7.2	Research Contributions	117
7.3	Research Conclusion	118
7.4	Recommendation for Future Works	119
	REFERENCES	120
	APPENDICES	136
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	160
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	161



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Diversity of Other Related Research	20
2.2	Summary of Related Works on Data Selection (Popular Files)	27
2.3	Summary of Related Works on Data Placement Techniques	31
2.4	Summary of Related Works on Data Center Selection Methods	37
3.1	Simulation Parameters	47
5.1	Weightage Option for Simulation Environment	73
6.1	Example Calculation of Closeness Centrality using Adjacency Matrix	101
6.2	Example Calculation of Degree of Centrality	103

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Basic Cloud Computing Architecture	8
2.2	Basic Replication Architecture	14
2.3	Data Replication Taxonomy	18
2.4	Complete Replication Strategy	38
3.1	Discrete Event Simulation (DES) Life Cycle	41
3.2	The DPRS Algorithm	44
3.3	System Architecture	46
3.4	Research Framework	48
3.5	ART (Zipf ^α)	52
3.6	ART (Uniform)	52
3.7	Validation Result for Average Storage Usage	53
3.8	Validation Result for Average Effective Network Usage	53
4.1	ERS Components	57
4.2	ERS Process Diagram	62
4.3	Average Response Time	67
4.4	Popular File Selection	69
4.5	Total Average Response Time	70
5.1	BQ Technique Process Diagram	75
5.2	Storage Usage for Random File Size	80
5.3	Average Response Time	81
5.4	Number of Replicas and Average Data Availability	82
5.5	Total Storage Usage with Constant File Size	84

5.6	Total Average Response Time with Constant File Size	85
5.7	Number of Replicas and Average Data Availability	86
5.8	Number of Replicas and Average Data Availability	86
5.9	Number of Replicas and Average Data Availability	87
5.10	Average Response Time (File Download)	89
5.11	Percentage of Selected Popular Files	90
5.12	Storage Usage	91
5.13	Average Response Time	92
5.14	Number of Replicas and Average Data Availability	93
6.1	Process Diagram for User Merit	97
6.2	Process Diagram for Storage Merit	98
6.3	Example of a Cluster Environment	101
6.4	Example of Calculation on Number of Connections	102
6.5	Effective Network Usage for Random File Sizes	108
6.6	Effective Network Usage with Constant File Sizes	109
6.7	Replication Frequency for Random File Sizes	110
6.8	Replication Frequency for Constant File Sizes	111
6.9	Average Response Time (File Download)	113
6.10	Storage Usage	114
6.11	Efficient Network Usage	115

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
A Chapter 3	136
A1 Average Response Time for File Download (Random File Size)	136
A2 Average Storage Usage (Random File Size)	136
A3 Effective Network Usage (Random File Size)	137
A4 Average Replication Frequency (Random File Size)	137
B Chapter 4- Enhanced Replication Strategy	137
B1 Average Response Time for File Download (Random File Size): ERS vs DPRS	138
B2 Average Response Time for File Download (Constant File Size)	138
B3 Popular File Selection (Random File Size)	139
B4 Popular File Selection (Constant File Size)	139
C Chapter 5- Balance Quorum Technique	140
C1 BQ Technique: Analysis on Best Weightage (β)	140
C2 BQ Technique: Analysis on Random Number of Clusters to Find Quorums Checking	141
C3 Average Storage Usage (Random File Size)	141
C4 Average Response Time for File Replication (Random File Size)	142
C5 Average Storage Usage (Constant File Size)	142
C6 Average Response Time for File Replication (Constant File Size)	142
C7(a) Data Availability (Random File Size)	143
C7(b) Number of Replica (Random File Size)	143
C8(a) Data Availability (Constant File Size)	143
C8(b) Number of Replica (Constant File Size)	143

C9	Average Response Time for File Download (Random File Size): ERS-BQ vs DPRS	144
C10	Popular File Selection (Random File Size): ERS-BQ vs DPRS	144
C11	Average Storage Usage (Random File Size): ERS-BQ vs DPRS	145
C12	Average Response Time for File Replication (Random File Size): ERS-BQ vs DPRS	145
C13(a)	Data Availability (Random File Size): ERS-BQ vs DPRS	146
C13(b)	Number of Replica (Random File Size): ERS-BQ vs DPRS	146
D	Chapter 7- Data Center Selection Method	147
D1	Average Effective Network Usage (Random File Size)	147
D2	Average Replication Frequency (Random File Size)	147
D3(a)	Average Effective Network Usage (Constant File Size): DPRS	148
D3(b)	Average Effective Network Usage (Constant File Size): DCSM	148
D3(c)	Average Effective Network Usage (Constant File Size): DPRS vs DCSM	148
D4	Average Replication Frequency (Constant File Size)	150
D5	Average Response Time for File Download (Random File Size): ERS+BQ+DCSM vs DPRS	151
D6	Popular File Selection (Random File Size): ERS+BQ+DCSM vs DPRS	151
D7	Average Storage Usage (Random File Size): ERS+BQ+DCSM vs DPRS	152
D8	Average Response Time for File Replication (Random File Size): ERS+BQ+DCSM vs DPRS	152
D9	Effective Network Usage (Random File Size): ERS+BQ+DCSM vs DPRS	153
D10	Average Replication Frequency (Random File Size): ERS+BQ+DCSM vs DPRS	153
D11(a)	Data Availability (Random File Size): ERS+BQ+DCSM vs DPRS	154

D11(b)	Number of Replica (Random File Size): ERS+BQ+DCSM vs DPRS	154
E	Screenshots -Example of Cloudsim Outputs	155
E1	Benchmark Average Response Time Download & Replication Time	155
E2	Benchmark Popular File Taken	155
E3	Benchmark Storage Usage	156
E4	Benchmark Data Availability	156
E5	Benchmark Effective Network Usage	157
E6	Improvement Average Response Time Download & Replication Time	157
E7	Improvement Popularity File Taken	158
E8	Improvement Storage Usage	158
E9	Improvement Data Availability	159
E10	Improvement Effective Network Usage	159

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI	Artificial Intelligent
ART	Average Response Time
BQ	Balance Quorum
BQ	Balance Quorum
CPU	Central Processor Unit
DC	Data Center
DCSM	Data Center Selection Method
DES	Discrete Event Simulation
DFS	Distributed File Systems
DMS	Database Management Systems
ENU	Effective Network Usage
ERS	Enhanced Replication Strategy
FRM	File Request Map
GB	Giga Byte
Gbps	Giga Byte per second
GFS	Google File System
GRM	Global Replica Manager
IaaS	Infrastructure as a Service
IBM	International Business Machines Corporation
ID	Identification Detail
IoT	Internet of Things
IR	Industry Revolution
IT	Information Technology

LRM	Local Replica Manager
LTO	Line Tape-Open
MB	Mega Byte
MCC	Mobile Cloud Computing
P2P	Peer-To-Peer
PaaS	Platform as a Service
PF	Popular Files
QoS	Quality of Service
RAM	Random Access Memory
RF	Replication Frequency
SaaS	Software as a Service
SLA	Service Level Agreement
SLO	Service Level Objective
SU	Storage Usage
VM	Virtual Machines
VPN	Virtual Private Network

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter introduces the concept of cloud computing and data replication strategies. The background of research in current trends is presented. Additionally, the research problem is listed, and objectives for this research work are further explained. The research questions and overall research scope is described for this research. Expectation

1.2 Background

A massive amount of data is used extremely in the current era around the globe. Business researchers like International Data Corporation (IDC) reported that data growth is overwhelming and potentially reaches 35ZB by 2020 (Kaur, Chana, & Bhattacharya, 2018; Nannai John & Minalinee, 2020). This phenomenon is derived from the most interconnected Internet of Things (IoT) devices, leading to 100 billion terminals connected in 2025 (Shao, Li, Fu, Jia, & Luo, 2019). The enormous data consist of heterogenous data types from various sources, which are likely recognized as big data (Rajabion, Shaltoolki, Taghikhah, Ghasemi, & Badfar, 2019; C. Yang, Huang, Li, Liu, & Hu, 2017). Countless studies embarked in big data emphasised the unsolvable challenge encountered along the decades is focused on data availability and accessibility (Cai, Xu, Jiang, & Vasilakos, 2016; Kaseb, Khafagy, Ali, & Saad, 2019; Slimani, Hamrouni, & Ben Charrada, 2021; Yan, Zhang, Ding, & Zheng, 2017).

Cloud computing is well known as a data management platform to address high-volume data demanded to be accessible by users anytime from anywhere (Mrozek, 2020; Shakarami, Ali, Mohammad, & Hamid, 2021). Cloud computing offers users a “pay-as-you-go” pricing model since it enables multiple services such as Software as a Service (SaaS), which provides real-time application services, Platform as a Service (PaaS), which delivers various operating systems, Consistency as a Service (CaaS), which promises data consistency in storage nodes, and Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), which provides many hardware solutions to users as an on-demand basis (C. Li, Wang, Tang, & Luo, 2019; Logesswari, Jayanthi, Kalaiselvi, Muthusundari, & Aswin, 2020; N. Mansouri, Javidi, & Mohammad Hasani Zade, 2019; Ostermann & Prodan, 2012; Vikhe & Malhotra, 2015). Cloud computing empowers users with various resilient services that stands vibrantly as preferable technology for almost everyone to ensure data are efficiently managed and business continuity is guaranteed too (Q. Liu, Wang, & Wu, 2014; Nivetha & Vijayakumar, 2016; Tan, Hijazi, Lim, & Gani, 2018). However, cloud computing as a reliable multiple service provider is not exceptional in facing issues in providing high data availability to users while preserving data sensitivity. In fact, fear of losing data during node failures is one of the core issues too (Logesswari et al., 2020; Nannai John & Minalinee, 2020; J. Wang, Wu, & Wang, 2017).

Hence, to mitigate the arising concerns in a cloud platform, data replication is recognised as a promising cloud environment strategy (Djebbara & Belbachir, 2018b; Shakarami et al., 2021; Shao et al., 2019; Xie, Yan, & Shen, 2017). Data replication is an empirical technique to accelerate system performance by generating identical data copies across multiple storages (Najme Mansouri, Javidi, & Zade, 2021; Spinnewyn, Botero, & Latre, 2018). Precisely, in a cloud environment, data replication is defined as creating several physical copies for every logical data item and locates the replica copies in different sites or storage nodes (Alami Milani & Jafari Navimipour, 2016; Khelifa, Hamrouni, Mokadem, & Charrada, 2020). There are several ways to apply the data replication function depending on the cloud replication objectives. Respective objectives have drawbacks that occasionally tend to breed performance degradation (Ciritoglu, Saber, Buda, Murphy, & Thorpe, 2018a; N. Mansouri, Javidi, & Mohammad Hasani Zade, 2020; Slimani et al., 2021). Therefore, established and comprehensive replication strategies must be developed to resolve such performance hitches. Literally, a competent replication strategy will allow cloud providers to serve accelerated performance to users with better data availability, faster response time, low fault tolerance, reduced storage usage, and efficient network usage (Alami Milani & Jafari Navimipour, 2017; Fu, Li, Liu, Deng, & Wang, 2019; K. Liu et al., 2020; Malik et al., 2016).

1.3 Problem Statement

Since the past decades, the data replication trend is yet to subside, instead it is progressing rapidly in multiple perspectives to enhance cloud replication performance (Castro-Medina et al., 2019; Seguela, Mokadem, & Pierson, 2020; Slimani et al., 2021). Abundant literature insights show data replication strategies are popular and widespread, leading to fulfilling the demands of cloud replication. The implementation of data replication usually achieves speedy data access, high data availability, efficient network usage, fast replication process, low storage consumptions, and certainly cost-effective in maintaining the entire cloud infrastructure (Fu et al., 2019; J. Liu et al., 2020; Mousavi Nik, Naghibzadeh, & Sedaghat, 2021; Nannai John & Mirnalinee, 2020; Shao et al., 2019; D. W. Sun, Chang, Gao, Jin, & Wang, 2012; Tos, Mokadem, Hameurlain, & Ayav, 2021). Researchers are eagerly focusing on enhancing the strategies in various perceptions; unfortunately, the vulnerability in every strategy is inevitable (P. J. Kumar & Ilango, 2017; N. Mansouri, Javidi, et al., 2020; Slimani et al., 2021; Yi, Wei, & Song, 2017; Zeng & Veeravalli, 2014; Zhang et al., 2021). A non-comprehensive replica strategy would be the main cause to the vulnerability and drawbacks. The drawbacks that usually reside in the developed strategies are not limited to high network usage, high process time, high response time, high storage consumptions and more, depending on the research areas (Alami Milani & Jafari Navimipour, 2016; Runhui Li, Hu, & Lee, 2015; Nannai John & Mirnalinee, 2020; Shao et al., 2019; Tos et al., 2021).

Therefore, the primary issue emphasised in this research is inefficient response time while obtaining data from a cloud replication environment. A good replication strategy must keep substantial data instead of copying the entire data set, leading to replication performance degradation (Karuppusamy & Muthaiyan, 2016; Nannai John & Mirnalinee, 2020; Souravlas & Sifaleras, 2017b). Similarly, many researchers overlooked their strategies while determining crucial data derived explicitly from inefficient response time

(K. A. Kumar, Quamar, Deshpande, & Khuller, 2014; C. Li, Bai, Chen, & Luo, 2020; Long, Zhao, & Chen, 2014; N. Mansouri & Javidi, 2018b; N. Mansouri, Rafsanjani, & Javidi, 2017; Najme Mansouri et al., 2021; Mousavi Nik et al., 2021). Computation overheads commonly trigger the delay in data retrieval during the selection of necessary data files as replica candidates. Nevertheless, some existing research works did not consider substantial factors in determining popular files. As a result, the poor popular file selection strategies affect file download and lead to ineffective response time (Abbes, Louati, & Cérin, 2020; Hussein & Mousa, 2012; Luiz André Barroso, Clidaras, & Urs Hölzle, 2013; N. Mansouri, Javidi, et al., 2020; N. Mansouri et al., 2017; Najme Mansouri, 2016; Najme Mansouri et al., 2021; Shakarami et al., 2021; Shao et al., 2019). Similarly, (N. Mansouri et al., 2017) considered many insignificant factors, such as file size and the total number of jobs requested in every round, which delays their computations. These computations contribute to several additional processes and lead to a higher response time. Furthermore, DPRS includes constant criteria for computations, especially to retrieve the average popularity value in all clusters to find relationships for popular file selection. This constant criterion causes irrelevant processing operations that increase the response time too. The elevated process time eventually defeats the purpose of the replication to accelerate data access by cloud replication users. Therefore, this research work proposed an algorithm to achieve a faster response time with comprehensive popular file selection factors capable of selecting crucial data according to users' access patterns.

The second crucial issue raised in this research is focused on data placement techniques consuming extensive space and high replication time during the placement of replica copies in every storage node in the same cluster environment to achieve high data availability (Kirubakaran, Valarmathy, & Kamalanathan, 2013; N. Mansouri, Mohammad Hasani Zade, & Javidi, 2020; N. Mansouri et al., 2017; Tos et al., 2021). As cloud users always demand high data availability, most of the researchers focused on producing data placement techniques with high numbers of replica copies in almost every cluster and storage nodes (Fu et al., 2019; Gill & Singh, 2016; N. Mansouri et al., 2019; Mousavi Nik et al., 2021; Zeng & Veeravalli, 2014). Eventually, with the developed data placement techniques, most researchers either attained high data availability but, on the other hand, neglected extensive storage usage or vice-versa (C. Li et al., 2020; N. Mansouri et al., 2017; Slimani et al., 2021). Furthermore, in research by (N. Mansouri et al., 2017), the increasing number of replications activities to place replica copies leads to additional replication time too. Therefore, a comprehensive placement technique is required to allocate an adequate number of replica copies while ensuring no extra process time for the replication process. Hence, this research proposed a placement technique that addresses all the raised issues and can reduce storage usage, faster replication time, minimal number of replicas, yet attain high data reliability.

The third problem addressed in this research work is massive network usage due to many data movements in networks to retrieve the requested data by users. The problem was identified when choosing an appropriate data center to store replica copies which were caused by ineffective data center selection criteria (Djebbara & Belbachir, 2018b; Khalajzadeh, Yuan, Zhou, Grundy, & Yang, 2020; N. Mansouri et al., 2017; Najme Mansouri et al., 2021). Researchers proposed many data center criteria to ensure faster access of replica copies in cloud environments. They focused on several substantial factors such as shortest distance or centrality, most requested files, storage availability,

and site failures probabilities (Karuppusamy & Muthaiyan, 2016; N. Mansouri et al., 2019, 2017; Nannai John & Mirnalinee, 2020; Tos et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). However, the designated factors in those produced algorithms are inadequate to minimise data movement in the network and preserve fault tolerance. Reducing fault tolerance and data movement between data centers are prevalent challenges in cloud replication environments. These two performances are crucial to mitigate the risk of data loss in networks. Therefore, this research is aware that there is still room for improvements and introduced suitable methods to reduce network usage and decrease replication frequency in existing strategies.

An ineffective replica strategy would result in various drawbacks, as discussed in previous paragraphs. Besides that, it is very decisive to determine how to replicate, how many to replicate, where to replicate, and when to place the replicas in the cloud to ensure the overall replication performance is exclusively attained (Fazlina, Latip, Ibrahim, & Abdullah, 2018; Karunakaran & Kalyanasaravanan, 2018). Hence, this research work proposed solutions for every raised issue through introducing the Enhanced Replication Strategy (ERS) with Balance Quorum (BQ) Replica Placement Technique and Data Center Selection Method (DCSM) for Cloud Replication Environment.

1.4 Research Objectives

The main objective of this thesis is to develop a replication strategy in a Cloud Replication environment that fulfills the following purposes:

1. to propose a replication algorithm that improves response time for file download and appropriate popular file selection.
2. to propose dynamic replica placement techniques to reduce storage usage and response time for file replication and sustain data availability.
3. to propose an efficient data center selection method to achieve efficient network usage and minimise replication frequency, ensuring files are available in the best local data center.

1.5 Research Question

1. What is the best strategy to improve download response time?
2. What is the best technique for replica placement to achieve low storage consumption?
3. What is the suitable method in determining the best data center to store replica copies?

1.6 Research Scope

Numerous latest research works embarked on developing cloud replication strategies by adapting the most advanced techniques such as data mining, fuzzy inference and

metaheuristic. Although these revolutionary techniques are recognised as futuristic features, the methods impose a high computation overhead that requires additional computing resources and is known as cost-expensive. Therefore, those distinct techniques were not comprised in this research work.

This thesis focused on a standard data replication strategy in a cloud environment. Furthermore, the critical areas encompassed in this thesis are limited to three (3) primary extents: replication file selection, data placement, and finally replication phase. A detailed explanation of the three (3) main modules/phases are shared in Figure 2.4, Chapter 2. A few areas in the replication strategies were not enclosed in this research, such as data replications that are restricted for download only, thus uploading updates/changes are not considered. Besides that, data deletion and replacement during deficiency of storage were not included in this work. Lastly, data consistency and data security were not within this research scope as well. This research adapted a similar system architecture as (N. Mansouri et al., 2019, 2017; N. Mansouri, Javidi, et al., 2020; Mokadem & Hameurlain, 2020), and the system requirements and parameters were implemented identical to the base work study (N. Mansouri et al., 2017) throughout this research experiment. The details on the system architecture and parameters are shared in Subsections 3.3.3 and 3.3.4, Chapter 3.

Unlike the advanced techniques from other researchers, this research does not require additional costing nor resources. An extensive experiment was conducted for individual contributions in this research. The results showed advancement in response time, storage usage, replication time, data availability, network usage, and replication frequency. Similar to the base work research, simulation was led using the CloudSim simulation tool, and all related performance metrics were proven analytically.

1.7 Thesis Structure

This thesis consists of seven (7) chapters. Chapter One (1) introduces the research background, concepts of cloud computing, and data replication. This chapter is also inclusive of research problems, objectives, research questions, and research scope.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Chapter Two (2) presents a thorough discussion on the related works that share cloud computing and data replication in a cloud replication environment. Similar research works are further detailed on the proposed algorithms, advantages and disadvantages of the algorithms, and measured performance metrics. Furthermore, data replication types, static and dynamic, are also explained. A complete structure in data replication is enlightened, which comprises selecting popular data, data placement techniques, and data center selection criteria.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

Chapter Three (3) introduces the methodology used for this research work. The Discrete Event Simulation (DES) lifecycle was adapted as methodology, and the CloudSim simulation tool was selected as the simulation tool for this research. A benchmark study is explained to validate that the simulation environment is identical prior running to the improvement algorithm. The research framework is presented for a holistic understanding of this research contribution. Input/output variables, assumptions, and parameters used in the simulation are listed, and system architecture is illustrated in this chapter too. Finally, related results and graphs are demonstrated for benchmark studies as verification proves.

Chapter 4: Enhance Replication Strategy (ERS)

In Chapter Four (4), the first contribution introduced a replication strategy known as Enhance Replication Strategy (ERS). In this chapter, a detailed ERS flow is explained in phases, and related formulations are introduced. Process diagrams are illustrated for a better understanding of the proposed strategy. A complete algorithm and flowchart are also demonstrated for ERS in this chapter. Detailed experiment results are discussed, and necessary graphs are presented for analytical view and proves.

Chapter 5: Balance Quorum (BQ) Technique

Chapter Five (5) presents the proposed Balance Quorum (BQ) Technique. This second contribution in this research is further discussed, and the related formulations are explained in detail. A process diagram, complete algorithm, and flow chart are presented to understand the BQ technique better. Experiment results and graphs as evidence of the algorithm's success, which outperforms the other research work, are discussed in detail at the end of this chapter.

Chapter 6: Data Center Selection Method (DCSM)

Chapter Six (6) discusses the third proposed contribution known as Data Center Selection Method (DCSM). DCSM comprises three (3) major factors, and the respective factors are explained with structured phases. Every factor inclusive of specific formulations and calculations are illustrated in the process diagram with examples. The complete algorithm and flowchart are presented as a reference in this chapter. Finally, the experiment results are demonstrated in graphs, and the analysis is discussed.

Chapter 7: Conclusion and Future Works

Contributions and conclusions of the overall research are explained in Chapter Seven (7). Then, recommendations on future works are shared as references for upcoming researchers to improvise this similar research area.

REFERENCES

- Abbes, H., Louati, T., & Cérin, C. (2020). Dynamic replication factor model for Linux containers-based cloud systems. *Journal of Supercomputing*, 76(9), 7219–7241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-020-03158-5>
- Abdulhamid, S. M., & Latiff, M. S. A. (2017). A checkpointed league championship algorithm-based cloud scheduling scheme with secure fault tolerance responsiveness. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 61, 670–680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2017.08.048>
- Al-Dhuraibi, Y., Paraiso, F., Djarallah, N., & Merle, P. (2018). Elasticity in Cloud Computing: State of the Art and Research Challenges. *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSC.2017.2711009>
- Alami Milani, B., & Jafari Navimipour, N. (2016). A comprehensive review of the data replication techniques in the cloud environments: Major trends and future directions. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 64, 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2016.02.005>
- Alami Milani, B., & Jafari Navimipour, N. (2017). A Systematic Literature Review of the Data Replication Techniques in the Cloud Environments. *Big Data Research*, 10(C), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bdr.2017.06.003>
- Alli, A. A., & Alam, M. M. (2020). The fog cloud of things: A survey on concepts, architecture, standards, tools, and applications. *Internet of Things*, 9, 100177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2020.100177>
- Alshammari, M. M., Alwan, A. A., Nordin, A., & Abualkishik, A. Z. (2018). Disaster Recovery with Minimum Replica Plan for Reliability Checking in Multi-Cloud. *Procedia Computer Science*, 130, 247–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.04.036>
- Assunção, M. D., Calheiros, R. N., Bianchi, S., Netto, M. A. S., & Buyya, R. (2015). Big Data computing and clouds: Trends and future directions. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 79–80, 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2014.08.003>
- Atrey, A., Van Seghbroeck, G., Mora, H., Volckaert, B., & De Turck, F. (2020). UnifyDR: A Generic Framework for Unifying Data and Replica Placement. *IEEE Access*, 8(1), 216894–216910. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3041670>
- Awad, A., Salem, R., Abdelkader, H., & Salam, M. A. (2021). A Novel Intelligent Approach for Dynamic Data Replication in Cloud Environment. *IEEE Access*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3064917>
- Boru, D., Kliazovich, D., Granelli, F., Bouvry, P., & Zomaya, A. Y. (2015). Energy-efficient data replication in cloud computing datacenters. *Cluster Computing*, 18(1), 385–402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-014-0404-x>

- Bowers, A., Liao, C., Steiert, D., Lin, D., Squicciarini, A., & Hurson, A. (2021). Detecting Suspicious File Migration or Replication in the Cloud. *IEEE Transaction on Dependable and Secure Computing*, Vol. 18, N(1), 296–309.
- Breslau, L., Cao, P., Fan, L., Phillips, G., & Shenker, S. (1999). Web caching and zipf-like distributions: Evidence and implications. *Proceedings - IEEE INFOCOM*, 1, 126–134. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM.1999.749260>
- C.Venish Raja, D. L. J. (2020). A Cost Effective Scalable Scheme for Dynamic Data Service in Heterogeneous Cloud Environment. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, Vol. 28, N(January).
- Cai, H., Xu, B., Jiang, L., & Vasilakos, A. V. (2016). IoT-based Big Data Storage Systems in Cloud Computing: Perspectives and Challenges. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, PP(99), 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2016.2619369>
- Calheiros, R. N., Ranjan, R., Beloglazov, A., De Rose, C. A. F., & Buyya, R. (2011). CloudSim: A toolkit for modelling and simulation of cloud computing environments and evaluation of resource provisioning algorithms. *Software - Practice and Experience*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.995>
- Carns, P., Harms, K., Jenkins, J., Mubarak, M., Ross, R., & Carothers, C. (2017). Impact of data placement on resilience in large-scale object storage systems. *IEEE Symposium on Mass Storage Systems and Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSST.2016.7897091>
- Castro-Medina, F., Rodriguez-Mazahua, L., Abud-Figueroa, M. A., Romero-Torres, C., Reyes-Hernandez, L. A., & Alor-Hernandez, G. (2019). Application of data fragmentation and replication methods in the cloud: A review. In *CONIELECOMP 2019 - 2019 International Conference on Electronics, Communications and Computers* (pp. 47–54). <https://doi.org/10.1109/CONIELECOMP.2019.8673249>
- Chang, V. (2015). Towards a Big Data system disaster recovery in a Private Cloud. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 35, 65–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adhoc.2015.07.012>
- Chaturvedi, N. (2012). Analysis of Replication and Replication Algorithms in Distributed System, 2(5), 261–266.
- Chen, T., Bahsoon, R., & Tawil, A. R. H. (2014). Scalable service-oriented replication with flexible consistency guarantee in the cloud. *Information Sciences*, 264, 349–370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.11.024>
- Cidon, A., Stutsman, R., Rumble, S., Katti, S., Ousterhout, J., & Rosenblum, M. (2013). MinCopysets : Derandomizing Replication In Cloud Storage. *Networked Systems Design and Impementation (NSDI)*.
- Cirani, S., Ferrari, G., Mancin, M., & Picone, M. (2018). Virtual Replication of IoT Hubs in the Cloud: A Flexible Approach to Smart Object Management. *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, 7(2), 16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jsan7020016>

- Ciritoglu, H. E., Saber, T., Buda, T. S., Murphy, J., & Thorpe, C. (2018a). Towards a Better Replica Management for Hadoop Distributed File System. In *in 2018 IEEE International Congress on Big Data (BigData Congress)* (pp. 104–111). <https://doi.org/10.1109/BigDataCongress.2018.00021>
- Ciritoglu, H. E., Saber, T., Buda, T. S., Murphy, J., & Thorpe, C. (2018b). Towards a Better Replica Management for Hadoop Distributed File System. In *Proceedings - 2018 IEEE International Congress on Big Data, BigData Congress 2018 - Part of the 2018 IEEE World Congress on Services* (pp. 104–111). <https://doi.org/10.1109/BigDataCongress.2018.00021>
- Craft, R. C., & Leake, C. (2002). The Pareto principle in organizational decision making. *Management Decision*, 40(8), 729–733. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740210437699>
- Djebbara, M. R., & Belbachir, H. (2018a). Cloud data replication strategy using multiple-criteria decision analysis methods. *Multiagent and Grid Systems*, 14(2), 203–218. <https://doi.org/10.3233/mgs-180288>
- Djebbara, M. R., & Belbachir, H. (2018b). Cost Function Based On Analytic Hierarchy Process for Data Replication Strategy in Cloud Environment. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 96, 2638–2648.
- Ebadi, Y., & Jafari Navimipour, N. (2018). An energy-aware method for data replication in the cloud environments using a Tabu search and particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, (July 2017), e4757. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.4757>
- Elgendy, I. A., Zhang, W. Z., He, H., Gupta, B. B., & Abd El-Latif, A. A. (2021). Joint computation offloading and task caching for multi-user and multi-task MEC systems: reinforcement learning-based algorithms. *Wireless Network*, 27(3), 2023–2038. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11276-021-02554-w>
- Fazlina, M. A., Latip, R., Ibrahim, H., & Abdullah, A. (2018). A Review : Replication Strategies for Big Data in Cloud Environment. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7, 357–362.
- Filho, M. C. S., Oliveira, R. L., & Monteiro, C. C. (2018). CloudSim Plus Documentation Release 1.0.0. Retrieved from <https://media.readthedocs.org/pdf/cloudsimplus/latest/cloudsimplus.pdf>
- Fu, X., Li, J., Liu, W., Deng, S., & Wang, J. (2019). Data Replica Placement Policy Based on Load Balance in Cloud Storage System. *2019 IEEE 3rd Information Technology, Networking, Electronic and Automation Control Conference (ITNEC)*, (Itnecc), 682–685. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITNEC.2019.8728995>
- Gandomi, A., & Haider, M. (2015). Beyond the hype: Big data concepts, methods, and analytics. *International Journal of Information Management*, 35(2), 137–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2014.10.007>

- George, S., & Edwin, E. B. (2018). A Review on Data Replication Strategy in Cloud Computing. *2017 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research, ICCIC 2017*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIC.2017.8524269>
- Ghemawat, S., Gobioff, H., & Leung, S.-T. (2003). The Google file system. *Proceedings of the Nineteenth ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles - SOSP '03*, 29. <https://doi.org/10.1145/945449.945450>
- Gholipour, N., Arianyan, E., & Buyya, R. (2020). A novel energy-aware resource management technique using joint VM and container consolidation approach for green computing in cloud data centers. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 104(March), 102127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2020.102127>
- Gill, N. K., & Singh, S. (2016). A dynamic, cost-aware, optimized data replication strategy for heterogeneous cloud data centers. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 65, 10–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2016.05.016>
- Gode, R. V., & Dalvi, R. (2017). An effective storage management in a twin cloud architecture using an authorized deduplication technique. *2017 IEEE International Conference on Power, Control, Signals and Instrumentation Engineering (ICPCSI)*, 796–801.
- Gopinath, S., & Sherly, E. (2017). A weighted dynamic data replication management for cloud data storage systems. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 12(24), 15517–15524.
- Gopinath, S., & Sherly, E. (2018). ScienceDirect ScienceDirect International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Data Science (ICCIDS 2018) A Dynamic Replica Factor Calculator for Weighted Dynamic A Dynamic Replica Factor Calculator for Weighted Dynamic Replication Management in. *Procedia Computer Science*, 132, 1771–1780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.05.152>
- Gorbenko, A., Romanovsky, A., & Tarasyuk, O. (2019). Fault tolerant internet computing: Benchmarking and modelling trade-offs between availability, latency and consistency. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 146(July), 102412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2019.102412>
- Gracia-Tinedo, R., Artigas, M. S., & García López, P. (2012). Analysis of data availability in F2F storage systems: When correlations matter. *2012 IEEE 12th International Conference on Peer-to-Peer Computing, P2P 2012*, (September), 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1109/P2P.2012.6335803>
- Grolinger, K., Higashino, W. A., Tiwari, A., & Capretz, M. A. M. (2013). Data management in cloud environments: NoSQL and NewSQL data stores. *Journal of Cloud Computing*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2192-113X-2-22>
- Habibi, F., & Kumar, N. (2017). A brief survey on dynamic strategies of data replication in cloud environment : last five year study. *International Journal of Engineering Development and Research*, 5(4), 342–345.

- Hage, P., & Harary, F. (1995). Eccentricity and centrality in networks. *Social Networks*, 17(1), 57–63. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(94\)00248-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(94)00248-9)
- Hanandeh, F., Khazaaleh, M., Ibrahim, H., & Latip, R. (2012). CFS: A new dynamic replication strategy for data grids. *International Arab Journal of Information Technology*, 9(1).
- Hasan, M., & Goraya, M. S. (2018). Fault tolerance in cloud computing environment: A systematic survey. *Computers in Industry*, 99(March), 156–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.03.027>
- He, L., Qian, Z., & Shang, F. (2018). A novel predicted replication strategy in cloud storage. *Journal of Supercomputing*, 76(7), 4838–4856. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-018-2647-4>
- Huang, C., Chen, W., Yuan, L., Ding, Y., Jian, S., Tan, Y., ... Chen, D. (2020). Toward security as a service: A trusted cloud service architecture with policy customization. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, Volume 149, Pages 76-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2020.11.002>
- Hussein, M., & Mousa, M. (2012). A Light-weight Data Replication for Cloud Data Centers Environment. *International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)*, 1(6), 169–175. Retrieved from http://ijeit.com/vol_1/Issue_6/IJEIT1412201206_31.pdf
- Ibrahim, F. A. M., & Hemayed, E. E. (2019). Trusted Cloud Computing Architectures for infrastructure as a service: Survey and systematic literature review. *Computers and Security*, 82, 196–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2018.12.014>
- J. Sridharan, C. Valliyammai, R. N. K. and L. N. K. (2019). Data De-duplication Using Cuckoo Hashing in Cloud Storage. *Soft Computing in Data Analytics*, 758, Soft Comput. Data Anal. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0514-6>
- Jayapandian, N., Rahman, A. M. J. M. Z., & Nandhini, I. (2016). A novel approach for handling sensitive data with deduplication method in hybrid cloud. *IC-GET 2015 - Proceedings of 2015 Online International Conference on Green Engineering and Technologies*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GET.2015.7453774>
- Ji, C., Li, Y., Qiu, W., Awada, U., & Li, K. (2012). Big data processing in cloud computing environments. *Proceedings of the 2012 International Symposium on Pervasive Systems, Algorithms, and Networks, I-SPAN 2012*, 17–23. <https://doi.org/10.1109/I-SPAN.2012.9>
- Jong, J. J., Park, H., Arabnia, H. R., & Hutchison, D. (2013). Grid and Pervasive Computing. In *8th International Conference, GPC 2013 and Colocated Workshops, Seoul, Korea, Proceedings*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Julisch, K., & Hall, M. (2010). Security and control in the cloud. *Information Security Journal*, 19(6), 299–309. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19393555.2010.514654>

- Jun, Y., & Lihong, Y. (2017). The Cloud Technology Double Live Data Center Information System Research and Design Based on Disaster Recovery Platform. *Procedia Engineering*, 174, 1356–1370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.01.289>
- Karunakaran, S., & Kalyanasaravanan, A. (2018). Active Data Replication in Cloud to Enhance the Availability of Popular Facts. *Journal of Adroid and IOS Applications and Testing*, 3(1), 1–8.
- Karuppusamy, S., & Muthaiyan, M. (2016). An efficient placement algorithm for data replication and to improve system availability in cloud environment. *International Journal of Intelligent Engineering and Systems*, 9(4), 88–97. <https://doi.org/10.22266/ijies2016.1231.10>
- Kaseb, M. R., Khafagy, M. H., Ali, I. A., & Saad, E. S. M. (2019). An improved technique for increasing availability in Big Data replication. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 91, 493–505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.08.015>
- Kaur, R., Chana, I., & Bhattacharya, J. (2018). Data deduplication techniques for efficient cloud storage management: a systematic review. *Journal of Supercomputing*, 74(5), 2035–2085. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-017-2210-8>
- Khalajzadeh, H., Yuan, D., Zhou, B. B., Grundy, J., & Yang, Y. (2020). Cost effective dynamic data placement for efficient access of social networks. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 141, 82–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2020.03.013>
- Khan, M., Wu, X., Xu, X., & Dou, W. (2017). Big data challenges and opportunities in the hype of Industry 4.0. *2017 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2017.7996801>
- Khelifa, A., Hamrouni, T., Mokadem, R., & Charrada, F. Ben. (2020). SLA-aware task scheduling and data replication for enhancing provider profit in clouds. *Procedia Computer Science*, 176, 3143–3152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.09.174>
- Kirubakaran, S., Valarmathy, S., & Kamalanathan, C. (2013). Data replication using modified D2RS in cloud computing for performance improvement. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*.
- Kumar, K. A., Quamar, A., Deshpande, A., & Khuller, S. (2014). SWORD: workload-aware data placement and replica selection for cloud data management systems. *VLDB Journal*, 23(6), 845–870. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00778-014-0362-1>
- Kumar, P. J., & Ilango, P. (2017). Data Replication in Current Generation Computing Environment. *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*, 45(10), 488–492. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22315381/ijett-v45p292>
- Laouar, K. T. R. M. M. R. (2019). A Data Replication Strategy for Document Oriented NoSQL Databases. *International Journal of Grid and Utility Computing*, 10(1), 36–41. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJGUC.2019.097224>

- Latip, R., Mizan, T., Ghazali, N. F., Abd Kadir, R., & Hanandeh, F. A. (2013). Replica control protocol: Triple Quorum Replication (TQR) in Data Grid. *2013 5th International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology, CSIT 2013 - Proceedings*, 303–307. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CSIT.2013.6588796>
- Latip, R., Othman, M., Abdullah, A., Ibrahim, H., & Sulaiman, M. N. (2009). Quorum-based data replication in grid environment. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, 2(4), 386–397. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18756891.2009.9727670>
- Lauer, M. (2011). Data Security in the Cloud Why Cloud Computing ? *Seminar*, 61–64. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2009.87>
- Lei, M., Vrbsky, S. V., & Hong, X. (2008). An on-line replication strategy to increase availability in Data Grids. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 24(2), 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2007.04.009>
- Levitin, G., Xing, L., & Luo, L. (2019). Joint optimal checkpointing and rejuvenation policy for real-time computing tasks. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 182(October 2018), 63–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2018.10.006>
- Li, C., Bai, J., Chen, Y., & Luo, Y. (2020). Resource and replica management strategy for optimizing financial cost and user experience in edge cloud computing system. *Information Sciences*, 516, 33–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2019.12.049>
- Li, C., Tang, J., & Luo, Y. (2019). Scalable replica selection based on node service capability for improving data access performance in edge computing environment. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 75, 7209–7243. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-019-02930-6>
- Li, C., Wang, Y., Tang, H., & Luo, Y. (2019). Dynamic multi-objective optimized replica placement and migration strategies for SaaS applications in edge cloud. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 100, 921–938. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.05.003>
- Li, C., Wang, Y., Tang, H., Zhang, Y., Xin, Y., & Luo, Y. (2019). Flexible replica placement for enhancing the availability in edge computing environment. *Computer Communications*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2019.07.013>
- Li, Jianjiang, Zhang, P., Li, Y., Chen, W., Liu, Y., & Wang, L. (2017). A data-check based distributed storage model for storing hot temporary data. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 73, 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.03.019>
- Li, Jin, Li, Y. K., Chen, X., Lee, P. P. C., & Lou, W. (2015). A Hybrid Cloud Approach for Secure Authorized Deduplication. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 26(5), 1206–1216. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2014.2318320>

- Li, Rongheng, Zhang, J., & Shen, W. (2018). Replicas Strategy and Cache Optimization of Video Surveillance Systems Based on Cloud Storage. *Future Internet*, 10(4), 34. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi10040034>
- Li, Runhui, Hu, Y., & Lee, P. P. C. (2015). Enabling Efficient and Reliable Transition from Replication to Erasure Coding for Clustered File Systems. *2015 45th Annual IEEE/IFIP International Conference on Dependable Systems and Networks*, 28(9), 148–159. <https://doi.org/10.1109/DSN.2015.24>
- Li, W., Yang, Y., & Yuan, D. (2016). Ensuring Cloud Data Reliability with Minimum Replication by Proactive Replica Checking. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 65(5), 1494–1506. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TC.2015.2451644>
- Li, X., Jiang, X., Garraghan, P., & Wu, Z. (2018). Holistic energy and failure aware workload scheduling in Cloud datacenters. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 78, 887–900. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.07.044>
- Limam, S., Mokadem, R., & Belalem, G. (2019). Data replication strategy with satisfaction of availability, performance and tenant budget requirements. *Cluster Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-018-02899-6>
- Liu, J., Shen, H., Chi, H., Narman, H. S., Yang, Y., Cheng, L., & Chung, W. (2020). A Low-Cost Multi-Failure Resilient Replication Scheme for High-Data Availability in Cloud Storage. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNET.2020.3027814>
- Liu, J., Shen, H., & Narman, H. S. (2019). Popularity-Aware Multi-Failure Resilient and Cost-Effective Replication for High Data Durability in Cloud Storage. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 30(10), 2355–2369. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2018.2873384>
- Liu, K., Peng, J., Wang, J., Liu, W., Huang, Z., & Pan, J. (2020). Scalable and adaptive data replica placement for geo-distributed cloud storages. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 31(7), 1575–1587. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2020.2968321>
- Liu, Q., Wang, G., & Wu, J. (2014). Consistency as a service: Auditing cloud consistency. *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management*, 11(1), 25–35. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSM.2013.122613.130411>
- Logesswari, S., Jayanthi, S., Kalaiselvi, D., Muthusundari, S., & Aswin, V. (2020). Materials Today: Proceedings A study on cloud computing challenges and its mitigations. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, (xxxx). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.10.655>
- Londhe, A., Bhalerao, V., Ghodey, S., Kate, S., Dandekar, N., & Bhange, S. (2019). Data Division and Replication Approach for Improving Security and Availability of Cloud Storage. In *Proceedings - 2018 4th International Conference on Computing, Communication Control and Automation, ICCUBEA 2018* (pp. 1–4). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCUBEA.2018.8697355>

- Long, S. Q., Zhao, Y. L., & Chen, W. (2014). MORM: A Multi-objective Optimized Replication Management strategy for cloud storage cluster. *Journal of Systems Architecture*, 60(2), 234–244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysarc.2013.11.012>
- Lu, S., Wu, J., Duan, Y., Wang, N., & Fang, J. (2020). Towards cost-efficient resource provisioning with multiple mobile users in fog computing. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 146, 96–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2020.08.002>
- Lui, F., Tong, J., Mao, J., Bohn, R., Messina, J., Badger, L., & Leaf, D. (2011). *NIST Cloud Computing Reference Architecture: Recommendations of NIST*. National Institute of Standard and Technology (Vol. NIST Speci).
- Luiz André Barroso, Clidas, J., & Urs Hölzle. (2013). The datacenter as a computer: an introduction to the design of warehouse-scale machines. *Synthesis Lectures on Computer Architecture*, 8(3), 1–154. <https://doi.org/10.2200/S00516ED2V01Y201306CAC024>
- Lynn, T., Rosati, P., Lejeune, A., & Emeakaroha, V. (2017). A Preliminary Review of Enterprise Serverless Cloud Computing (Function-as-a-Service) Platforms. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science, CloudCom, 2017-Decem*, 162–169. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CloudCom.2017.15>
- Ma, J., Wang, G., & Liu, X. (2016). DedupeSwift: Object-oriented storage system based on data deduplication. In *IEEE Trustcom/BigDataSE/ISPA* (pp. 1069–1076). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TrustCom.2016.0177>
- Malik, S. U. R., Khan, S. U., Ewen, S. J., Tziritas, N., Kolodziej, J., Zomaya, A. Y., ... Li, H. (2016). Performance analysis of data intensive cloud systems based on data management and replication: a survey. *Distributed and Parallel Databases*, 34(2), 179–215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10619-015-7173-2>
- Mansouri, N., & Dastghaibfard, G. (2011). *A New Dynamic Replication Algorithm for Hierarchy Networks in Data Grid*. 2011 International Conference on P2P, Parallel, Grid, Cloud and Internet Computing. IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/3PGCIC.2011.36>
- Mansouri, N., Ghafari, R., & Zade, B. M. H. (2020). Cloud computing simulators: A comprehensive review. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 104(July). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2020.102144>
- Mansouri, N., & Javidi, M. M. (2018a). A hybrid data replication strategy with fuzzy-based deletion for heterogeneous cloud data centers. *Journal of Supercomputing*, 74(10), 5349–5372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-018-2427-1>
- Mansouri, N., & Javidi, M. M. (2018b). A new Prefetching-aware Data Replication to decrease access latency in cloud environment. *The Journal of Systems & Software*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2018.05.027>

- Mansouri, N., Javidi, M. M., & Mohammad Hasani Zade, B. (2019). Using data mining techniques to improve replica management in cloud environment. *Soft Computing*, 0123456789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-019-04357-w>
- Mansouri, N., Javidi, M. M., & Mohammad Hasani Zade, B. A. (2020). A CSO- based approach for secure data replication in cloud. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 77(6), 5882–5933. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-020-03497-3>
- Mansouri, N., Mohammad Hasani Zade, B., & Javidi, M. M. (2020). A multi-objective optimized replication using fuzzy based self-defense algorithm for cloud computing. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 171(October 2019), 102811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2020.102811>
- Mansouri, N., Rafsanjani, M. K., & Javidi, M. M. (2017). DPRS: A dynamic popularity aware replication strategy with parallel download scheme in cloud environments. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 77, 177–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2017.06.001>
- Mansouri, N., & Javidi, M. M. (2019). Improving Data Availability Using Combined Replication Strategy in Cloud Environment. *Iranian Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering*, 15(3), 282–293. <https://doi.org/10.22068/IJEEE.15.3.282>
- Mansouri, Najme. (2016). Adaptive data replication strategy in cloud computing for performance improvement. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 10(5), 925–935. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-016-5182-6>
- Mansouri, Najme, Javidi, M. M., & Zade, B. M. H. (2021). Hierarchical data replication strategy to improve performance in cloud computing. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 15(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-019-9099-8>
- Maruti, M. V., & Nighot, M. K. (2016). Authorized data Deduplication using hybrid cloud technique. *International Conference on Energy Systems and Applications, ICESA 2015*, (Icesea), 695–699. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICESA.2015.7503439>
- Mazumdar, S., Seybold, D., Kritikos, K., & Verginadis, Y. (2019). *A survey on data storage and placement methodologies for Cloud-Big Data ecosystem*. *Journal of Big Data* (Vol. 6). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0178-3>
- Mesbahi, M. R., Rahmani, A. M., & Hosseinzadeh, M. (2017). Highly reliable architecture using the 80/20 rule in cloud computing datacenters. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 77, 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.06.011>
- Miao, Y., Wu, G., Li, M., Ghoneim, A., Al-Rakhami, M., & Hossain, M. S. (2020). Intelligent task prediction and computation offloading based on mobile-edge cloud computing. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 102, 925–931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.09.035>

- Mishra, P., & Somani, A. K. (2017). Host managed contention avoidance storage solutions for Big Data. *Journal of Big Data*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-017-0080-9>
- Mishra, S. (2017). On using CloudSim as a Cloud Simulator : The Manual, (December). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30215.91041>
- Mokadem, R., & Hameurlain, A. (2020). A data replication strategy with tenant performance and provider economic profit guarantees in Cloud data centers. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 159, 110447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2019.110447>
- Mousavi Nik, S. S., Naghibzadeh, M., & Sedaghat, Y. (2021). Task replication to improve the reliability of running workflows on the cloud. *Cluster Computing*, 24(1), 343–359. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-020-03109-y>
- Mrozek, D. (2020). A review of Cloud computing technologies for comprehensive microRNA analyses. *Computational Biology and Chemistry*, 88(July), 107365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2020.107365>
- Nannai John, S., & Mirnalinee, T. T. (2020). A novel dynamic data replication strategy to improve access efficiency of cloud storage. *Information Systems and E-Business Management*, 18(3), 405–426. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-019-00422-x>
- Newman, M. E. J. (2009). Networks of networks - An introduction. (2010, Oxford University Press.) *Artificial Life*, 80, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2015.03.016>
- Nirmala, S. J., Setlur, A. R., Singh, H. S., & Khoriya, S. (2020). An efficient fault tolerant workflow scheduling approach using replication heuristics and checkpointing in the cloud. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 136, 14–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2019.09.004>
- Nivetha, N. K., & Vijayakumar, D. (2016). Modeling fuzzy based replication strategy to improve data availability in cloud datacenter. In *2016 International Conference on Computing Technologies and Intelligent Data Engineering, ICCTIDE 2016* (Vol. 1, pp. 1–6). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCTIDE.2016.7725322>
- O'Donovan, P., Gallagher, C., Leahy, K., & O'Sullivan, D. T. J. (2019). A comparison of fog and cloud computing cyber-physical interfaces for Industry 4.0 real-time embedded machine learning engineering applications. *Computers in Industry*, 110, 12–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2019.04.016>
- Ostermann, S., & Prodan, R. (2012). *Impact of variable priced cloud resources on scientific workflow scheduling. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)* (Vol. 7484 LNCS). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32820-6_35

- Overby, E., Bharadwaj, A., & Sambamurthy, V. (2006). Enterprise agility and the enabling role of information technology. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 15(2), 120–131. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ejis.3000600>
- Pezoulas, V. C., Exarchos, T. P., & Fotiadis, D. I. (2020). *Cloud infrastructures for data sharing. Medical Data Sharing, Harmonization and Analytics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-816507-2.00006-2>
- Radi, M. (2017). Replica Placement Strategy Based on Analytic Hierarchy Process in Heterogeneous Cloud Data Storage. *International Arab Conference on Information Technology (ACIT ' 2016)*, (December 2016).
- Rahimi, M., Songhorabadi, M., & Kashani, M. H. (2020). Fog-based smart homes: A systematic review. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 153(November 2019), 102531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2020.102531>
- Rajabion, L., Shaltookki, A. A., Taghikhah, M., Ghasemi, A., & Badfar, A. (2019). Healthcare big data processing mechanisms: The role of cloud computing. *International Journal of Information Management*, 49(June 2017), 271–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.05.017>
- Ramachandran, M., & Chang, V. (2016). Towards performance evaluation of cloud service providers for cloud data security. *International Journal of Information Management*, 36(4), 618–625. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2016.03.005>
- Rohani, H., & Roosta, A. K. (2014). Calculating Total System Availability. *Universiteit van Amsterdam*. Retrieved from <https://staff.science.uva.nl/c.t.a.m.delaat/rp/2013-2014/p17/report.pdf>
- Saleh, A., Javidan, R., & FatehiKhajeh, M. T. (2015). A four-phase data replication algorithm for data grid. *Journal of Advanced Computer Science & Technology*, 4(1), 163. <https://doi.org/10.14419/jacst.v4i1.4009>
- Sargent, R. G. (2010). Verification and validation of simulation models. *Proceedings - Winter Simulation Conference*, (January 2011), 166–183. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WSC.2010.5679166>
- Saritha, K., & Subasree, S. (2015). Analysis of hybrid cloud approach for private cloud in the de-duplication mechanism. *ICETECH 2015 - 2015 IEEE International Conference on Engineering and Technology*, (March), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICETECH.2015.7275010>
- Seguela, M., Mokadem, R., & Pierson, J.-M. (2020). Comparing energy-aware vs. cost-aware data replication strategy. *International Green and Sustainable Computing Conference (IGSC)*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/igsc48788.2019.8957206>
- Selvi, S. A. E., & Anbuselvi, R. (2018a). Popularity (Hit Rate) based replica creation for enhancing the availability in cloud storage. *International Journal of Intelligent Engineering and Systems*, 11(2), 161–172. <https://doi.org/10.22266/IJIES2018.0430.18>

- Selvi, S. A. E., & Anbuselvi, R. (2018b). Popularity (Hit Rate) based replica creation for enhancing the availability in cloud storage. *International Journal of Intelligent Engineering and Systems*, 11(2), 161–172. <https://doi.org/10.22266/IJIES2018.0430.18>
- Shakarami, A., Ali, M. G., Mohammad, S., & Hamid, M. (2021). Data Replication Schemes in Cloud Computing: A Survey. *Cluster Computing*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-021-03283-7>
- Shao, Y., Li, C., Fu, Z., Jia, L., & Luo, Y. (2019). Cost-effective replication management and scheduling in edge computing. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 129(May 2018), 46–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2019.01.001>
- Shorfuzzaman, M. (2017). On the Dynamic Maintenance of Data Replicas based on Access Patterns in A Multi-Cloud Environment. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications (IJACSA)*, 8(3), 207–215.
- Shorfuzzaman, M., & Masud, M. (2019). Leveraging a multi-objective approach to data replication in cloud computing environment to support big data applications. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 10(3), 418–429. <https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2019.0100354>
- Singh, A. (2019). Comparative Study of Concurrency and Replica control protocols in Distributed Environment, 14(2), 329–334. Retrieved from <http://www.ripublication.com>
- Singh, L., & Malhotra, J. (2016). A Survey on Data Placement Strategies for Cloud based Scientific Workflows, 141(6), 30–33.
- Slimani, S., Hamrouni, T., & Ben Charrada, F. (2021). Service-oriented replication strategies for improving quality-of-service in cloud computing: a survey. *Cluster Computing*, 24(1), 361–392. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-020-03108-z>
- Souravlas, S., & Sifaleras, A. (2017a). Binary-tree based estimation of file requests for efficient data replication. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 28(7), 1839–1852. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2017.2650228>
- Souravlas, S., & Sifaleras, A. (2017b). On minimizing memory and computation overheads for binary-tree based data replication. *Proceedings - IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications*, (1), 1296–1299. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISCC.2017.8024703>
- Spinnewyn, B., Botero, J. F., & Latre, S. (2018). Cost-effective replica management in fault-tolerant cloud environments. In *2017 13th International Conference on Network and Service Management, CNSM 2017* (Vol. 2018-Janua, pp. 1–9). <https://doi.org/10.23919/CNSM.2017.8256019>
- Storey, V. C., & Song, I. Y. (2017). Big data technologies and Management: What conceptual modeling can do. *Data and Knowledge Engineering*, 108(February), 50–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.datak.2017.01.001>

- Sun, D., Chang, G., Miao, C., & Wang, X. (2013). Analyzing, modeling and evaluating dynamic adaptive fault tolerance strategies in cloud computing environments. *Journal of Supercomputing*, 66(1), 193–228. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-013-0898-7>
- Sun, D. W., Chang, G. R., Gao, S., Jin, L. Z., & Wang, X. W. (2012). Modeling a dynamic data replication strategy to increase system availability in cloud computing environments. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 27(2), 256–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11390-012-1221-4>
- Sun, S. Y., Yao, W. Bin, & Li, X. Y. (2018). DARS: A dynamic adaptive replica strategy under high load Cloud-P2P. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 78, 31–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.07.046>
- Sureshpatil, D., Mane, R. V., & Ghorpade, V. R. (2018). Improving the Availability and Reducing Redundancy using Deduplication of Cloud Storage System. In *2017 International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation, ICCUBEA 2017* (pp. 1–5). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCUBEA.2017.8463856>
- Tan, C. B., Hijazi, M. H. A., Lim, Y., & Gani, A. (2018). A survey on Proof of Retrievability for cloud data integrity and availability: Cloud storage state-of-the-art, issues, solutions and future trends. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 110(August 2017), 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2018.03.017>
- Tchernykh, A., Schwiegelsohn, U., ghazali Talbi, E., & Babenko, M. (2016). Towards understanding uncertainty in cloud computing with risks of confidentiality, integrity, and availability. *Journal of Computational Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2016.11.011>
- Tchernykh, A., Schwiegelsohn, U., Talbi, E. ghazali, & Babenko, M. (2016). Towards understanding uncertainty in cloud computing with risks of confidentiality, integrity, and availability. *Journal of Computational Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2016.11.011>
- Tos, U., Mokadem, R., Hameurlain, A., & Ayav, T. (2021). Achieving query performance in the cloud via a cost-effective data replication strategy. *Soft Computing*, 25(7), 5437–5454. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-020-05544-w>
- Tripathi, A., & Mishra, A. (2011). Cloud computing security considerations. *2011 IEEE International Conference on Signal Processing, Communications and Computing, ICSPCC 2011*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSPCC.2011.6061557>
- Vikhe, M., & Malhotra, J. (2015). A Review on Backup-up Practices using Deduplication, 4(9), 338–344.
- Wang, J., Wu, H., & Wang, R. (2017). A new reliability model in replication-based big data storage systems. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 108, 14–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2017.02.001>

- Wang, L., Ma, Y., Yan, J., Chang, V., & Zomaya, A. Y. (2018). pipsCloud: High performance cloud computing for remote sensing big data management and processing. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 78, 353–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2016.06.009>
- Wang, Y., & Wang, J. (2017). An Optimized Replica Distribution Method in Cloud Storage System. *Journal of Control Science and Engineering*, 2017, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/2428982>
- Warhade, S., Dahiwal, P., & Raghuwanshi, M. M. (2016). A Dynamic Data Replication in Grid System. *Physics Procedia*, 78(December 2015), 537–543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2016.02.099>
- Watkins, K. (1993). *Discrete Event Simulation in C*. McGraw-Hill, International Series in Software Engineering.
- Wehrle K., Güneş M., G. J. (2013). Introduction. In *Modeling and Tools for Network Simulation* (Vol. 21, pp. 1–2). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12331-3_1
- Weinman, J. (2017). The Evolving Cloud. *IEEE CLOUD COMPUTING*, 4–6.
- Wu, X. (2016). Combination Replicas Placements Strategy for Data sets from Cost-effective View in the Cloud. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, 10(1), 521. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ijcis.2017.10.1.36>
- Xia, Q., Liang, W., & Xu, Z. (2017). QoS-aware data replications and placements for query evaluation of big data analytics. *IEEE International Conference on Communications, 2017 IEEE(2017)*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2017.7997238>
- Xiao, Z., & Xiao, Y. (2013). Security and Privacy in Cloud Computing. *Communications Surveys Tutorials, IEEE*, 15(2), 843–859. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SURV.2012.060912.00182>
- Xie, F., Yan, J., & Shen, J. (2017). Towards Cost Reduction in Cloud-Based Workflow Management through Data Replication. *2017 Fifth International Conference on Advanced Cloud and Big Data (CBD)*, 94–99. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CBD.2017.24>
- Xiong, L., Yang, L., Tao, Y., Xu, J., & Zhao, L. (2018a). Replication strategy for spatiotemporal data based on distributed caching system. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s18010222>
- Xiong, L., Yang, L., Tao, Y., Xu, J., & Zhao, L. (2018b). Replication strategy for spatiotemporal data based on distributed caching system. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s18010222>

- Xiong, R., Du, Y., Jin, J., Luo, J., Yang, W., Ciritoglu, H. E., ... Li, H. (2018). A survey of dispatching rules for the dynamic unrelated machines environment. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, XI(Xi), 555–569. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.4830>
- Yan, Z., Zhang, L., Ding, W., & Zheng, Q. (2017). Heterogeneous Data Storage Management with Deduplication in Cloud Computing. *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*, (2), 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tbdata.2017.2701352>
- Yang, C., Huang, Q., Li, Z., Liu, K., & Hu, F. (2017). Big Data and cloud computing: innovation opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 10(1), 13–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2016.1239771>
- Yang, W., & Hu, Y. (2018). A replica management strategy based on MOEA/D. In *Proceedings of the 13th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications, ICIEA 2018* (pp. 2154–2159). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIEA.2018.8398067>
- Yi, M., Wei, J., & Song, L. (2017). Efficient integrity verification of replicated data in cloud computing system. *Computers and Security*, 65, 202–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2016.11.003>
- Zeng, Z., & Veeravalli, B. (2014). Optimal metadata replications and request balancing strategy on cloud data centers. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 74(10), 2934–2940. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2014.06.010>
- Zhang, Y., Nie, X., Jiang, J., Wang, W., Xu, K., Zhao, Y., ... Yao, G. (2021). BDS+: An Inter-Datacenter Data Replication System With Dynamic Bandwidth Separation. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 29(2), 918–934. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNET.2021.3054924>
- Zhao, Q., Xiong, C., Zhao, X., Yu, C., & Xiao, J. (2015). A data placement strategy for data-intensive scientific workflows in cloud. *Proceedings - 2015 IEEE/ACM 15th International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud, and Grid Computing, CCGrid 2015*, 928–934. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CCGrid.2015.72>