

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MODEL OF SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION FOR PREDICTING PUBLIC RESILIENCE

UMAR BUKAR ALI

FSKTM 2022 4



MODEL OF SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION FOR PREDICTING PUBLIC RESILIENCE

By

UMAR BUKAR ALI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

December 2021

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of materials may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

 \mathbf{C}



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MODEL OF SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION FOR PREDICTING PUBLIC RESILIENCE

By

UMAR BUKAR ALI

December 2021

Chairman: Marzanah binti A. Jabar, PhDFaculty: Computer Science and Information Technology

Many scholars have proved the application and effectiveness of technology in crisis management and communication. In particular, social media has been effective for both formal and informal communication during and after a crisis. However, what matters to people when a crisis occurs is recovery, which involves resilience. Hence, researchers must begin to experiment on how social media is helping people to recover from a crisis and what social media task helps people recover quickly. Therefore, this study investigates existing crisis communication models from literature to identify commonalities within these models then proposes a social media crisis communication and resilience model (SMCCR). Moreover, the objectives of the study are to find out the impact of crisis response on social media interaction and public resilience, to determine the direct impact of social media interaction on public resilience, and to investigate the mediating effect of social media interaction on public resilience and the mediating effect crisis response on public resilience.

An operational research framework comprising literature review, model development, model validation, and result was adopted to address the research problem. An SMCCR model was derived based on the synthesis and thematic analysis of existing theoretical models. Specifically, situational crisis communication theory (SCCT), an interactive crisis communication model (ICCM), STREMII model, and social media disaster resilience model (SMDR) was adapted. A confirmatory study that involved an expert evaluation, pilot test, and a survey was conducted. The expert evaluation was conducted in two rounds with four experts, respectively. The first round was revised due to aggregated ratings to improve the agreement among the experts. Subsequently, based on the feedback of the experts, the questionnaire items were revised. 34 items were finalized from the expert review and analyze by content validity index (CVI) and modified kappa statistics. After passing the validation test, the instruments were pre-tested by 32 participants. The responses were assessed based on Cronbach alpha, regression model, and the hypothesis was independently evaluated in process macro models. Afterward, a survey was conducted with a population of social media users who had experienced the

Covid-19 crisis and were practicing physical distancing in Malaysia. The final sample consists of 393 responses that were analyzed using variance-based structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM).

The reliability and validity of the SMCCR model were demonstrated through a confirmatory study. The findings revealed the relationships among model constructs, namely: crisis, crisis response, social interaction, and resilience. In this regard, all eight research hypotheses were supported. Descriptive statistics were also used to present the summary of the data collected in the study. The results of the investigation demonstrated the impacts of the SMCCR model in the real world and elucidated how it can assist crisis management organizations in understanding the impact of social media crisis responses and social interaction to improve the ability of the people to recover from the crisis. The study makes theoretical contributions by examining the role of social media crisis communication through crisis response and social interaction in addressing the impact of crisis communication on public resilience based on the theoretical lens of SCCT, ICCM, and SMDR. Likewise, the activities of crisis management to improve the ability of the people to recover from crisis quickly, the relationship between crisis, crisis response, social interaction, and resilience proves to be effective. This study offers empirical evidence that social media crisis communication influence people's ability to recover from the crisis through the mediation of crisis responses and social media interaction.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

MODEL KOMUNIKASI KRISIS MENGGUNAKAN MEDIA SOSIAL UNTUK MERAMAL KETAHANAN AWAM

Oleh

UMAR BUKAR ALI

Disember 2021

Pengerusi: Marzanah binti A. Jabar, PhDFakulti: Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Ramai sarjana telah membuktikan aplikasi dan keberkesanan teknologi dalam pengurusan krisis dan komunikasi. Khususnya, media sosial telah berkesan untuk komunikasi formal dan tidak formal semasa dan selepas krisis. Walau bagaimanapun, apa yang penting kepada orang ramai apabila krisis berlaku ialah pemulihan, yang melibatkan daya tahan. Oleh itu, penyelidik mesti mula mencuba bagaimana media sosial membantu orang ramai pulih daripada krisis dan tugas media sosial yang membantu orang ramai pulih daripada krisis dan tugas media sosial yang membantu orang ramai pulih daripada krisis dan tugas media sosial yang membantu orang ramai pulih dengan cepat. Oleh itu, kajian ini menyiasat model komunikasi krisis sedia ada daripada literatur untuk mengenal pasti persamaan dalam model ini kemudian mencadangkan model komunikasi dan ketahanan krisis media sosial (SMCCR). Selain itu, objektif kajian adalah untuk mengetahui kesan tindak balas krisis terhadap interaksi media sosial terhadap daya tahan awam, dan untuk menyiasat kesan pengantaraan interaksi media sosial mengenai daya tahan awam dan tindak balas krisis kesan pengantaraan terhadap daya tahan orang ramai.

Rangka kerja penyelidikan operasi yang terdiri daripada kajian literatur, pembangunan model, pengesahan model, dan keputusan telah diterima pakai untuk menangani masalah penyelidikan. Model konsep diperolehi berdasarkan sintesis dan analisis bertepatan model teori sedia ada. Khususnya, teori komunikasi krisis situasi (SCCT), model komunikasi krisis interaktif (ICCM), model STREMII, dan model daya tahan bencana media sosial (SMDR) telah disesuaikan. Satu kajian pengesahan yang melibatkan penilaian pakar, ujian juruterbang, dan kaji selidik telah dijalankan. Penilaian pakar telah dijalankan dalam dua pusingan dengan empat pakar. Pusingan pertama telah disemak semula kerana penarafan agregat untuk memperbaiki perjanjian di kalangan pakar. Seterusnya, berdasarkan maklum balas pakar, barangan soal selidik telah disemak semula. 34 item telah dimuktamadkan daripada kajian pakar dan dianalisis oleh indeks kesahihan kandungan (CVI) dan statistik kappa yang diubahsuai. Selepas lulus ujian pengesahan, instrumen telah dipra-uji oleh 32 peserta. Jawapannya dinilai berdasarkan alpha Cronbach, model regresi, dan hipotesis dinilai secara bebas dalam model makro

proses. Selepas itu, satu kaji selidik telah dijalankan dengan populasi pengguna media sosial yang mengalami krisis Covid-19 dan mengamalkan penjarakan fizikal di Malaysia. Sampel terakhir terdiri daripada 393 respons yang dianalisis menggunakan pemodelan persamaan struktur berasaskan varians (PLS-SEM).

Kebolehpercayaan dan kesahihan model yang dicadangkan telah ditunjukkan melalui kajian pengesahan. Penemuan mendedahkan bahawa hubungan di kalangan konstruk model, iaitu: krisis, tindak balas krisis, interaksi sosial, dan daya tahan. Sehubungan itu, kesemua lapan hipotesis penyelidikan disokong. Statistik deskriptif juga digunakan untuk membentangkan ringkasan data yang dikumpulkan dalam kajian. Hasil siasatan menunjukkan kesan model yang dicadangkan di dunia nyata dan menghuraikan bagaimana ia boleh membantu organisasi pengurusan krisis untuk memahami kesan tindak balas krisis media social dan interaksi sosial untuk meningkatkan keupayaan rakyat untuk pulih daripada krisis. Kajian itu menjadikan sumbangan teori dengan meneliti peranan komunikasi krisis media sosial melalui tindak balas krisis dan interaksi sosial dalam menangani kesan komunikasi krisis ke atas daya tahan awam berdasarkan kanta teori SCCT, ICCM, dan SMDR. Begitu juga aktiviti pengurusan krisis untuk meningkatkan keupayaan rakyat pulih daripada krisis dengan cepat, hubungan antara krisis, tindak balas krisis, interaksi sosial, dan daya tahan terbukti berkesan. Kajian ini menawarkan bukti empirikal bahawa komunikasi krisis media sosial mempengaruhi keupayaan orang ramai untuk pulih daripada krisis melalui perantaraan tindak balas krisis dan interaksi media social.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to appreciate the favor of good health, wisdom, prosperity, and many more from the Almighty Allah. May Allah send prayers upon our master Muhammad (SAW), the opener of what was closed, the seal of what had preceded, and send more blessings upon his Families, as you prayed upon Ibrahim (SAW) and Al-Ibrahim.

Secondly, I must thank my parent, who always motivated me, showed me how important it was to study, even though they did not have the same opportunity in the past. Then, I would like to say a heartfelt thank you to my family, particularly my wife Rukayya, my children Hassan and Aisha. You endured my prolonged lack of care and continued to support me until the end. Without your love and understanding, this journey would not have been possible. Great thanks to you!!

Thirdly, I would like to thank my supervisor, Assoc. Professor Dr. Marzanah A. Jabar, for guiding me from the beginning to the end of this journey. I would also like to thank Assoc. Professor Dr. Fatimah Sidi for contributing many valuable comments during this period as my co-supervisor. Thanks are due to Dr. Rozi Nor Haizan Binti Nor and Dr. Salfarina Abdullah, who, as other co-supervisors, gives continuity and support to the success of my Ph.D. journey. Moreover, a big thanks to Professor Mohamed Othman; I would like to express my gratitude for your valuable help.

Furthermore, I want to express my appreciation for the funding and financial support I received during my Ph.D. Journey, from Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TetFund) Nigeria, the Taraba State University Jalingo (TSUJ), and Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), you made this research study a reality.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Marzanah binti A. Jabar, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairperson)

Fatimah binti Sidi, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Rozi Nor Haizan binti Nor, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Salfarina binti Abdullah, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

> ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations, and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual-property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____

Date:

Name and Matric No.: <u>Umar Bukar Ali, GS53832</u>

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision; •
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate ٠ Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Marzanah binti A. Jabar
Signature	
Name of	
Member of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Fatimah binti Sidi
Signature:	
Name of	
Member of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Rozi Nor Haizan binti Nor
Signature:	
Name of	
Member of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Salfarina binti Abdullah

Dr. Salfarina binti Abdullah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABSTRACT	r	i
ABSTRAK	-	iii
ACKNOWL	LEDGEMENT	v
APPROVAI	L	vi
DECLARA	ΓΙΟΝ	viii
LIST OF TA	ABLES	xiii
LIST OF FI	GURES	XV
LIST OF AI	BBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER		
1 IN	TRODUCTION	1
1.1	1 Background	1
1.2	2 Problem Statement	3
1.3	3 Research Question	3
1.4	4 Research Objectives	4
1.5	5 Research Scope	4
1.0	6 Research Contribution	4
1.7	7 Organization of Thesis	5
2 LI	TERATURE REVIEW	6
	1 Introduction	6
2.2	2 Crisis Informatics: Social Media and Crisis Communication	6
2.3	3 Crisis Communication Theoretical Models	9
2.3	3.1 Synthesis of Crisis Communication Models	10
2.3	3.2 Dominant Crisis Communication Theoretical Models	10
2.4	4 Social Media Based Crisis Communication Theories	14
2.5	5 Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience	20
2.0	6 Conclusion	23
3 M	FTHODOLOGY	24
3	1 Introduction	24
3.3	2 Research Philosophy and Strategies	24
3.3	3 Overview of Research Framework	25
3.4	4 Phase I - Literature Review	26
	3.4.1 SLR Process	27
	3.4.2 Problem Definition	28
	3.4.3 Theoretical Foundation	28
3.5	5 Phase II - Model Development	28
	3.5.1 Expert Review Process	29
	3.5.2 Expert Reviewers Selection Criteria and Protocol	30
	3.5.3 Expert Review Document Design	30
	3.5.4 Expert Feedback	31
	3.5.5 Expert Validation Procedure	31
	3.5.6 Pilot Test Design	32
	3.5.7 Respondents Demography and Selection Criteria	33

3.5.9 Survey Design 34 3.6 Phase III - Data Collection and Model Validation 34 3.6.1 Sampling Method and Sample Size 34 3.6.2 Data Collection 35 3.6.3 Data Collection 35 3.6.4 Data Screening Methods 37 3.6.5 Measurement and Structural Model Assessment Methods 38 3.6.6 Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure 39 3.7 Phase IV - Results and Discussion 40 3.7.1 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects 41 3.7.2 Usefulness Model Validation Process 41 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 4 SOCLAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESULTENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2.1 MotoMetion 43 4.2.1 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication 43 4.2.1 Summary of Rescarch Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation Analysis 57 5.2.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 62 4.3 Conclusion 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 62 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Data Screening 60 5.4 Data Screening 60 5.4 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the SMCCR Medel 66 5.7.3 Data Screening 60 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Medel 66 5.7.4 Subscriptive Statistics 77 5.7.2 Indicator Reliability (Pactor Loadings) 67 5.7.3 Indicator Reliability (Pactor Loadings) 67 5.7.4 Hypothesis Festing and Path Analysi			3.5.8 Empirical Study	34
3.6 Phase III – Data Collection and Model Validation 34 3.6.1 Sampling Method and Sample Size 34 3.6.2 Data Collection 35 3.6.3 The Research Data 36 3.6.4 Data Screening Methods 37 3.6.5 Measurement and Structural Model Assessment Methods 38 3.6.6 Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure 39 3.7 Phase IV - Results and Discussion 40 3.7.1 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects 41 3.7.2 Conclusion 42 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILIENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Joneations of the SMCR Model 43 4.3.1 Chrisis Response 47 4.3.2 Superational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis Response 51 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51			3.5.9 Survey Design	34
 3.6.1 Sampling Method and Sample Size 3.6.2 Data Collection 3.6.3 The Research Data 3.6.4 Data Screening Methods 3.6.4 Data Screening Methods 3.6.5 Measurement and Structural Model Assessment Methods 3.6.6 Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure 39 3.7 Phase IV - Results and Discussion 40 3.7.1 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects 41 3.7.2 Usefulness Model Validation Process 41 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESULENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2.1 Support of Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 43 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 43 4.3 Crisis Response 51 4.3 Social Media Interaction 52 5.2 Prefinmary Validation 55 5.2 Prefinmary Validation of the Respondents 61 5.4 Description of the Respondents 62 5.4 Description of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 67 58 51.1 Collinearity Assessment Model 57 52.2 Interpret Validation (R³) 70 53.4 Hypothesis Festing and Path Analysis 54 		3.6	Phase III – Data Collection and Model Validation	34
3.6.2 Data Collection 35 3.6.3 The Research Data 36 3.6.4 Data Screening Methods 37 3.6.5 Measurement and Structural Model Assessment Methods 37 3.6.6 Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure 39 3.7 Phase IV - Results and Discussion 40 3.7.1 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects 41 3.7.2 Userluness Model Validation Process 41 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 4 Social MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESULERNCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 41 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3.1 Crisis Response 51 4.3.2 Crisis Response 52 4.3.3 Resilience 52 5.1 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>3.6.1 Sampling Method and Sample Size</th> <th>34</th>			3.6.1 Sampling Method and Sample Size	34
3.6.3The Research Data 36 $3.6.4$ Data Screening Methods 37 $3.6.5$ Measurement and Structural Model Assessment Methods 37 $3.6.6$ Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure 39 $3.7.2$ Phase IV - Results and Discussion 40 $3.7.1$ Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects 41 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.8 Conclusion 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILIENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 $4.2.1$ The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 $4.2.2$ Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 $4.2.3$ Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 $4.3.1$ Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 $4.3.2$ Crisis Response 51 $4.3.3$ Social Media Interaction 52 4.4 Conclusion 54 5.2 Preliminary Validation Analysis 57 $5.2.1$ Expert Validation Analysis 57 $5.2.2$ Pilot Test Results 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 $5.4.1$ Descriptior of the Respondents 61 $5.4.2$ Statistics of Social Media Usage 63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model			3.6.2 Data Collection	35
3.6.4Data Screening Methods37 $3.6.5$ Measurement and Structural Model Assessment Methods38 $3.6.6$ Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure39 3.7 Phase IV- Results and Discussion40 3.7 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects41 $3.7.2$ Usefulness Model Validation Process41 3.8 Ethical Considerations42 3.9 Conclusion42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND43RESULENCE MODEL4343 4.1 Introduction43 $4.2.1$ The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model43 $4.2.2$ Summary of Rescarch Hypotheses47 $4.2.3$ Operational Definitions of the Constructs48 $4.3.1$ Crisis50 $4.3.2$ Crisis Response51 $4.3.3$ Social Media Interaction52 4.4 Conclusion55 $5.1.1$ Introduction55 $5.2.1$ Preliminary Validation Analysis57 $5.2.2$ Proliminary Validation55 $5.1.1$ Introduction58 5.3 Data Screening60 $5.4.2$ Statistics of Social Media Usage63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics58 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Medel67 $5.1.2$ Inforduction55 $5.2.2$ Fiol Tear Results58			3.6.3 The Research Data	36
3.6.5 Measurement and Structural Model Assessment Methods 38 3.6.6 Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure 39 3.7 Phase IV - Results and Discussion 40 3.7.1 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects 41 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESULENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resiltence Model 43 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3.1 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Indicators of the SMICCR Model 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation Analysis 57 5.2.1 Piot Test Results 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Description of the Resp			3.6.4 Data Screening Methods	37
Methods383.6.6Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure393.7Phase IV - Results and Discussion403.7.1Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects413.8Ethical Considerations423.9Conclusion424SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESULENCE MODEL434.1Introduction434.2Model Development for Full-Scale Study434.2.1The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model434.2.2Summary of Research Hypotheses474.2.3Operational Definitions of the Constructs484.3.1Crisis504.3.2Crisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3.4Resilience524.4Conclusion555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation Analysis575.3.1Bescriptico of the Respondents615.4.1Descriptior of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.8Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.9Assessment of the SMCCR Structu			3.6.5 Measurement and Structural Model Assessment	
3.6.6 Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure 39 3.7 Phase IV - Results and Discussion 40 3.7.1 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects 41 3.7.2 Usefulness Model Validation Process 41 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILIENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.3.4 Resilience Model 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation Analysis 57 5.2.2 Piloi Test Results 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 61 5.4.2 Statistics of Social Media Usage 63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the RMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7.1 Reliability (Factor Loadings) 67 5.7.2 Indicator Results 58 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7.1 Reliability (Factor Loadings) 67 5.7.2 Indicator Results 63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7.3 Discription of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Collinearity Assessment Model 67 5.7.3 Discription of the SMCCR Model 66 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model 67 5.7.1 Reliability (Factor Loadings) 67 5.7.2 Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings) 67 5.7.3 Discription of the SMCCR Model 69 5.8.1 Collinearity Assessment 69 5.8.1 Collinearity Assessment 69 5.8.2 Coefficient of Determination (R ²) 70 5.8.3 Effect Size (f ²) 71 5.8.4 Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis 72			Methods	38
3.7 Phase IV - Results and Discussion 40 3.7.1 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects 41 3.7.2 Usefulness Model Validation Process 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILIENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypothese 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.3.4 Resilience 52 4.4 Conclusion 54 5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation Analysis 57 5.2.2 Pilot Test Results 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 61 5.4.2 Statistics of Social Media Usage 63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7.1 Reliability and Validity 67 5.7.2 Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings) 67 5.7.3 Discriminant Validation (R ²) 70 5.8.1 Collinearity Assessment 69 5.8.1 Collinearity Assessment 69 5.8.1 Collinearity Assessment 69 5.8.2 Coefficient of Determination (R ²) 70 5.8.3 Effect Size (r ²) 71 5.8.4 Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis 72			3.6.6 Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure	39
3.7.1Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects413.7.2Usefulness Model Validation Process413.8Ethical Considerations424SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION ANDRESILIENCE MODEL434.1Introduction434.2Model Development for Full-Scale Study434.1Introduction434.2Model Development for Full-Scale Study434.2.1The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model434.2.2Summary of Research Hypotheses474.2.3Operational Definitions of the Constructs484.3.1Crisis Response514.3.2Crisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3.4Resilience524.3Accolusion555.1Introduction555.2Piteliminary Validation555.3Data Screening605.4Descriptive Statistics635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7.3Discription of the SMCCR Medaurement Model675.7.4Heinbilty and Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (R ²)705.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		3.7	Phase IV - Results and Discussion	40
3.7.2 Usefulness Model Validation Process 41 3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.4 Conclusion 54 5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation Analysis 57 5.2.2 Piol Test Results 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the SMCCR Mod			3.7.1 Interpretation of Results and the Mediation Effects	41
3.8 Ethical Considerations 42 3.9 Conclusion 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILIENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2. Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resiltence Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3.1 Crisis Response 51 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.4 Conclusion 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2.1 Preliminary Validation Analysis 57 5.2.2 Pilot Test Results 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the SMCCR Model 66 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.6			3.7.2 Usefulness Model Validation Process	41
3.9 Conclusion 42 4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILIENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.3.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.4 Conclusion 54 5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2.1 Expert Validation Analysis 57 5.2.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.2 Statistics of Social Media Usage 63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.6 As		38	Ethical Considerations	42
4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILIENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis Response 51 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.3.4 Resilience 52 4.3 Resulter AND DISCUSSION 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2.1 Picture And Discussion 55 5.2.1 Expert Validation Analysis 57 5.2.1 Expert Validation 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 61 5.4.2 Statistics of Social Media Usage 63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 67 5.7.1 Reliability and Validity 67 5.7.3 Discriminant Validity 68 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 69 5.8.1 Colinearity Assessment 69 5.8.2 Coefficient of Determination (R ²) 70 5.8.3 Effect Size (f ²) 71 5.8.4 Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis 72		39	Conclusion	42
4 SOCIAL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND RESILIENCE MODEL 43 4.1 Introduction 43 4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.4 Conclusion 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 62 5.4 Description of the SMCCR Model 66 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 62 5.4 Descriptive Statistics 63 <th></th> <th>5.7</th> <th>conclusion</th> <th>12</th>		5.7	conclusion	12
RESILIENCE MODEL434.1Introduction434.2Model Development for Full-Scale Study434.2.1The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resiltence Model434.2.2Summary of Research Hypotheses474.2.3Operational Definitions of the Constructs484.3Indicators of the SMCCR Model484.3.1Crisis504.3.2Crisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3.4Resilience524.4Conclusion545RESULT AND DISCUSSION555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation585.3Data Screening605.4Description of the Respondents615.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Descriptive Statistics635.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model675.7.1Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Coefficient of Determination (R ²)705.8.1Effect Size (f)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72	4	SOC	AL MEDIA CRISIS COMMUNICATION AND	
4.1Introduction434.2Model Development for Full-Scale Study434.2Model Development for Full-Scale Study434.2.1The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model434.2.2Summary of Research Hypotheses474.2.3Operational Definitions of the Constructs484.3Indicators of the SMCCR Model484.3.1Crisis504.3.2Crisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.4Conclusion545RESULT AND DISCUSSION555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2Preliminary Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents615.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity685.8Coefficient of Determination (R ²)705.8.1Effect Size (r ²)715.8.2Coefficient of Determination (R ²)705.8.3Effect Size (r ²)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72	-	RES	LIENCE MODEL	43
4.2 Model Development for Full-Scale Study 43 4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.3.4 Resilience 52 4.4 Conclusion 54 5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2.1 Expert Validation Analysis 57 5.2.2 Piol Test Results 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 67 5.7.1 Reliability and Validity <th></th> <th>4.1</th> <th>Introduction</th> <th>43</th>		4.1	Introduction	43
4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model 43 4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses 47 4.2.3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs 48 4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.3.4 Resilience 52 4.4 Conclusion 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2.1 Introduction 55 5.2.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.2.2 Preliminary Validation 55 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 61 5.4.2 Statistics of Social Media Usage 63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 67 5.7.2 Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings) 67 5.7.3 Discriminant Validity 68 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model 69 5.8.1 Collinearity Assessment 69 5.8.2 Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2) 70 5.8.3 Effect Size (2) 71 5.8.4 Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis 72		4.2	Model Development for Full-Scale Study	43
and Resilience Model434.2.2Summary of Research Hypotheses474.2.3Operational Definitions of the Constructs484.3Indicators of the SMCCR Model484.3.1Crisis504.3.2Crisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3Resilience524.4Conclusion555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Pielminary Validation555.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents615.4.2Statistics635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7.3Discriminant Validity675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Model695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size ($\hat{\Gamma}$)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			4.2.1 The Proposed Social Media Crisis Communication	
4.2.2Summary of Research Hypotheses474.2.3Operational Definitions of the Constructs484.3Indicators of the SMCCR Model484.3.1Crisis504.3.2Crisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3.4Resilience524.4Conclusion545 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Piol Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents625.5Description of the SMCCR Medel665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity675.7.4Collinearity Assessment695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (\mathbb{f}^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			and Resilience Model	43
4.2.3Operational Definitions of the Constructs484.3Indicators of the SMCCR Model484.3.1Crisis Response504.3.2Crisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3.4Resilience524.4Conclusion545RESULT AND DISCUSSION555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the SMCCR Model635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (\mathbb{r}^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			4.2.2 Summary of Research Hypotheses	47
4.3 Indicators of the SMCCR Model 48 4.3.1 Crisis 50 4.3.2 Crisis Response 51 4.3.3 Social Media Interaction 52 4.3.4 Resilience 52 4.4 Conclusion 54 5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 55 5.1 Introduction 55 5.2 Preliminary Validation Analysis 57 5.2.1 Expert Validation Analysis 57 5.2.2 Pilot Test Results 58 5.3 Data Screening 60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 61 5.4.1 Description of the Respondents 62 5.4.2 Statistics of Social Media Usage 63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics 63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 67 5.7.1 Reliability (Factor Loadings) 67 5.7.2 Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings) 67 5.7.3 Discriminant Validity 68 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model 69 5.8.1 Collinearity Assessment (R ²) 70 5.8.3 Effect Size (r^2) 71 5.8.4 Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis 72			4 2 3 Operational Definitions of the Constructs	48
4.3.1Crisis504.3.2Crisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3.4Resilience524.4Conclusion545 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Decroption of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents625.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (R ²)705.8.3Effect Size (r ²)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		43	Indicators of the SMCCR Model	48
4.3.2Cirisis Response514.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3.4Resilience524.4Conclusion545RESULT AND DISCUSSION555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2Preliminary Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents615.4.2Statistics635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model675.7.2Indiability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			431 Crisis	50
4.3.3Social Media Interaction524.3.4Resilience524.4Conclusion545RESULT AND DISCUSSION555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4.1Description of the Respondents615.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			432 Crisis Response	51
4.3.4Result including 52 4.4Conclusion545 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents625.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7.1Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (f^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			4.3.3 Social Media Interaction	52
4.4Conclusion54 5RESULT AND DISCUSSION 55 51 Introduction 57 55 59 Preliminary Validation 55151 Introduction 51 Expert Validation Analysis 55151 Expert Validation Analysis 55 Preliminary Validation Analysis 55151 Expert Validation Analysis 55 Pilot Test Results 53 Data Screening 51 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents 51 Description of the Respondents 51 Description of the SMCCR Model 55 Descriptive Statistics 56 Assessment of the SMCCR Model 5655151515151515151515151655161516151615151615161 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>434 Resilience</th><th>52</th></td<>			434 Resilience	52
5RESULT AND DISCUSSION555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents625.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Model675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (f^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		44	Conclusion	54
5RESULT AND DISCUSSION555.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents625.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determinion (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (\mathbb{f}^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			conclusion	51
5.1Introduction555.2Preliminary Validation555.2.1Expert Validation Analysis575.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents625.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (R ²)705.8.3Effect Size (f ²)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72	5	RES	ILT AND DISCUSSION	55
5.2Preliminary Validation55 $5.2.1$ Expert Validation Analysis57 $5.2.2$ Pilot Test Results58 5.3 Data Screening60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents61 $5.4.1$ Description of the Respondents62 $5.4.2$ Statistics of Social Media Usage63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model67 $5.7.2$ Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)67 $5.7.3$ Discriminant Validity68 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model69 $5.8.1$ Collinearity Assessment69 $5.8.2$ Coefficient of Determination (R ²)70 $5.8.4$ Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72	-	5.1	Introduction	55
5.2.1Expert Validation Analysis57 $5.2.1$ Expert Validation Analysis57 $5.2.2$ Pilot Test Results58 5.3 Data Screening60 5.4 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents61 $5.4.1$ Description of the Respondents62 $5.4.2$ Statistics of Social Media Usage63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model67 $5.7.1$ Reliability and Validity67 $5.7.2$ Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)67 $5.7.3$ Discriminant Validity68 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model69 $5.8.1$ Collinearity Assessment69 $5.8.2$ Coefficient of Determination (R ²)70 $5.8.4$ Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		5.2	Preliminary Validation	55
5.2.2Pilot Test Results585.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents625.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (R ²)705.8.3Effect Size (f ²)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		0	5.2.1 Expert Validation Analysis	57
5.3Data Screening605.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents615.4.1Description of the Respondents625.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (R ²)705.8.3Effect Size (f ²)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			5.2.2 Pilot Test Results	58
5.4Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents61 $5.4.1$ Description of the Respondents62 $5.4.2$ Statistics of Social Media Usage63 5.5 Descriptive Statistics63 5.6 Assessment of the SMCCR Model66 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model67 $5.7.1$ Reliability and Validity67 $5.7.2$ Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)67 $5.7.3$ Discriminant Validity68 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model69 $5.8.1$ Collinearity Assessment69 $5.8.2$ Coefficient of Determination (R ²)70 $5.8.3$ Effect Size (f ²)71 $5.8.4$ Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		53	Data Screening	60
5.4.1Description of the Respondents625.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (f^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		5.4	Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents	61
5.4.2Statistics of Social Media Usage635.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (f^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			5.4.1 Description of the Respondents	62
5.5Descriptive Statistics635.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (f^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			5.4.2 Statistics of Social Media Usage	63
5.6Assessment of the SMCCR Model665.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model675.7.1Reliability and Validity675.7.2Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)675.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (f^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		5.5	Descriptive Statistics	63
5.7Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model67 5.7 Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model67 $5.7.1$ Reliability and Validity67 $5.7.2$ Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)67 $5.7.3$ Discriminant Validity68 5.8 Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model69 $5.8.1$ Collinearity Assessment69 $5.8.2$ Coefficient of Determination (R ²)70 $5.8.3$ Effect Size (f ²)71 $5.8.4$ Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		5.6	Assessment of the SMCCR Model	66
		5.7	Assessment of the SMCCR Measurement Model	67
		017	5.7.1 Reliability and Validity	67
5.7.3Discriminant Validity685.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model695.8.1Collinearity Assessment695.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)705.8.3Effect Size (f^2)715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			5.7.2 Indicator Reliability (Factor Loadings)	67
5.8Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model69 $5.8.1$ Collinearity Assessment69 $5.8.2$ Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)70 $5.8.3$ Effect Size (f^2)71 $5.8.4$ Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			5.7.3 Discriminant Validity	68
$5.8.1$ Collinearity Assessment 69 $5.8.2$ Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2) 70 $5.8.3$ Effect Size (f^2) 71 $5.8.4$ Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis 72		5.8	Assessment of the SMCCR Structural Model	69
5.8.2Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2) 705.8.3Effect Size (f^2) 715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72		2.0	5.8.1 Collinearity Assessment	69
5.8.3Effect Size (f^2) 715.8.4Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis72			5.8.2 Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2)	70
5.8.4 Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis 72			5.8.3 Effect Size (f^2)	71
			5.8.4 Hypothesis Testing and Path Analysis	72

xi

5.9	Assessment of Predictive Power of the SMCCR Model	73
5.10	Usefulness Validation of SMCCR	74
5.11	Discussion	75
5.12	Conclusion	79
CON	CLUSION	80
6.1	Introduction	80
6.2	Research Contributions	80
6.3	Limitation	82
6.4	Future Work	82
6.5	Conclusion	82
RENCES	3	84
		100

REFERENCES APPENDICES BIODATA OF STUDENT LIST OF PUBLICATION

6

 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Key Features of Crisis Communication Theoretical Models	12
2.2	Most Dominant Crisis Communication Theories	13
2.3	Summary of Social Media Crisis Communication Model	15
2.4	Social Media Crisis Communication and Management Phases	17
4.1	Summary of Research Hypotheses	47
4.2	Operational Definitions of the Constructs	48
4.3	The Indicators of Crisis	51
4.4	The Indicators of Crisis Response	51
4.5	The Indicators of Social Media Interaction	52
4.6	The Indicators of Resilience	53
5.1	Expert Review Comments	56
5.2	Content Validity Index and Modified Kappa Statistics for the Items	57
5.3	Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Parameters for All the Constructs	58
5.4	Pilot Test Model Summary	58
5.5	ANOVA Table for Pilot Test	59
5.6	KMO and Bartlett's Test	61
5.7	Demographic Characteristics of the Sample	62
5.8	Mean and Standard Deviation of Items of Crisis	64
5.9	Mean and Standard Deviation of Items of Crisis Response	64
5.10	Mean and Standard Deviation of Items of Social Media Interaction	65
5.11	Mean and Standard Deviation of Items of Resilience	65
5.12	Reliability and Validity of the Measures	67

5.13	Factor Loading After Adjustment	68
5.14	Discriminant Validity- Fornell-Larcker Criterion	68
5.15	Discriminant Validity- (HTMT)	68
5.16	Cross Loading of Constructs Indicators	69
5.17	Collinearity Statistics (VIF): Outer VIF Values	70
5.18	Collinearity Statistics (VIF): Inner VIF Values	70
5.19	Results of the Effect Size (f ²)	71
5.20	Paths Coefficient of the Structural Model	72
5.21	Paths Coefficients of the Structural Model for Mediation	72
5.22	Hypotheses Assessment of Direct and Indirect Effects	73
5.23	Result of PLS predict of the Model	74
5.24	Summary of Result and Findings	77

G

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page	
2.1	Crisis Communication Matrix	8	
2.2	Public Emotions and Crisis Response	-11	
2.3	Conceptual Model	21	
3.1	Research Philosophy and Strategies	25	
3.2	Research Framework	26	
3.3	Literature Review Method	27	
3.4	Expert Review Process	29	
3.5	Pilot Test Procedure and Methods	33	
3.6	Sample Size Calculation Setting	35	
3.7	Assessment Procedure of the Measurement Model	39	
3.8	Assessment Procedure of Structural Model	39	
3.9	Predictive Relevance Assessment Procedure of the Model	40	
3.10	Usefulness Model Validation Procedure	41	
4.1	Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model	44	
4.2	Hypothesized Model (Indirect Relationship)	47	
4.3	Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience Model plus Indicators	50	
5.1	Relationships between IVs and DV	59	
5.2	Multivariate Normality of the Pilot Test	60	
5.3	Normality Distributions	61	
5.4	Respondents Social Media Usage	63	
5.5	Measurement Model After Modification	66	
5.6	Mediation Model by Bootstrapping on 10000 Samples	66	

5.7	Structural Model of Social Media Crisis Communication and Resilience	71
5.8	Usefulness of the Constructs based on IPMA Analysis	74
5.9	Performance of the indicators based on IPMA Analysis	75



 \bigcirc

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3Rs	Robust, Rapid, and Redundant
AVE	Average Variance Extracted
CB-SEM	Covariance Based Structural Equation Model
CCS	Crisis Communication Strategies
CERC	Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication Model
CR	Composite Reliability
CST	Channel Specification Theory
CVI	Content Validity Index
HTMT	Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio of Correlations
ICCM	Interactive Crisis Communication Model
ICM	Integrated Crisis Mapping
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
I-CVI	Item Content Validity Index
IPMA	Importance-Performance Map Analysis
LM	Linear Model
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
МСО	Movement Control Order
NCC	Networked Crisis Communication Model
PLS	Partial Least Square
PLS-SEM	Partial Least Square Based Structural Equation Model
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
SA	Situational Awareness
SARF	Social Amplification/Attenuation of Risk Framework
SCCT	Situational Crisis Communication Theory

xvii

- SEM Structural Equation Modelling
- SLR Systematic Literature Review
- SMCC Social-Mediated Crisis Communication Model
- SMCMF Social Media Crisis Management Matrix and Framework
- SMDR Social Mediated Disaster Resilience Model
- UGT Uses and Gratification Theory
- VIF Variance Inflation Factor



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The widespread adoption of technology has enabled crisis response and humanitarian development to be considered as the future of human progress and wellbeing (Qadir et al., 2016). The effort of crisis management is driven by advances in computing, communications, storage, processing, and analysis. Technology-driven emergency management is continuously evolving as a new research field. Each step to improve methods or tools can significantly contribute to saving human lives and resources. Emergency management, disaster management, and crisis management are often used interchangeably (Mijović et al., 2019). The role of disaster or crisis management is to coordinate efficient response to address communication, information, security, supply, lodging in a highly dynamic and uncertain environment (De Brito et al., 2016). The occurrence of a crisis, particularly a disaster, is hard to predict, but its effects can be minimized through enabling technologies (Starbird et al., 2010).

Social media has proven to be effective in helping the affected citizens and is important as an information source (Groen et al., 2017). Crisis management and communication are increasingly being challenged by the impact of social media as a forum for crisis communication. Social media builds upon crisis informatics is a concept that views emergency response as an expanded social system (Reuter et al., 2018) where it encourages stakeholders (i.e., public, emergency managers) to participate in generating and sharing disaster-related information to a broader audience (Kar, 2016). Crisis management evaluates what happened to increase resilience in future events (Palttala & Vos, 2012). Social networking sites are used as a forum for crisis communication (Iannarino et al., 2015). This pave the way for new questions, including how and to what purpose organizations will use social media to have interaction in inter-media dialog how neutral crisis management voices stay neutral on social media (Chewning, 2015).

Most extant research is based on the prevalent situational crisis communication theory (SCCT) (Coombs, 2018). However, certain theories from many domains have been adapted to solve the issues associated with social media communication. The majority of these studies focused on major or minor areas, including the stages of crisis (pre-crisis, crisis, and post-crisis), the response strategy employed by an organization or the public, the orientation of strategy messages employed, relationships and emotions, and understanding the behaviour of social media users and their interactions. The Coombs' SCCT theory is heavily influenced by image repair theory. Despite its contributions, SCCT focuses exclusively on the sender and ignores the receivers' perceptions of the sender's message. Another shortcoming of the SCCT is that it places a greater emphasis on the organization and less on the public. However, the importance of communication between senders and receivers in crisis communication is stressed (Johansen & Frandsen, 2007; Gascó et al., 2017). This restriction harmed SCCT's effectiveness in guiding organizations' crisis response (Gascó et al., 2017), particularly in a dynamic environment enabled by social media.

The social networking platforms have heightened concerns about the public's ability to analyse information and the possible visibility of crisis-related difficulties (Roh, 2017). The SCCT's prediction of an appropriate response strategy is its strength (Alfonso & Suzanne, 2008), and it is significantly more efficient for natural disasters (Kriyantono, 2012). SCCT is a conventional theory that emphasises the message over the means of communication. Stewart and Wilson (2016) highlighted that despite the theory's advancements, it cannot give the solutions required by social media's dynamic nature. According to Kriyantono (2012) and Avvenuti et al. (2016), an active application of crisis theory is unquestionably more pertinent when the crisis is a natural disaster.

Similarly, the social-mediated crisis communication model (SMCC) was proposed to address SCCT's shortcomings about new media (social media). According to the SMCC, social media facilitates a variety of forms of public engagement and exchanges between stakeholders due to everyone's involvement in crisis response. Furthermore, the social-mediated disaster resilience model (SMDR) was developed to study the role of social media in community resilience (Möller et al., 2018). Moreover, Lambret & Barki (2018) introduced the social media crisis management matrix and framework (SMCMF), and Cheng (2018) introduced an interactive crisis communication model (ICCM), which adapted the SCCT's strengths for social media crisis management and communication. However, additional refinement is required to address the holistic picture of the dynamics and characteristics of both social media and the dynamic nature of the crisis.

While there is a growing literature suggesting the impact of crisis responses and social interaction and how this can affect stakeholder relationships or build resilience (Chewning, 2015; Tan et al., 2017; Valecha, 2019), for example, authors in Meer et al. (2017) investigated the change of relationship when an organization is under pressure by using a general structural path model and concluded that stakeholder's relationship changes during normal times and in crisis times. Plessis (2018) affirms this view based on dialogic communication theory, which shows that stakeholder relationships should increase during and after the crisis using open, responsive, transparent, and interactive dialogic content that should support and build relationships. Besides, Hellsten et al. (2019) network theory identified the relationship between actors involved in an issue arena and suggested the interaction between authors, topics, and addressed actors.

Accordingly, the study of social media crisis response and social interaction is understudied. Hence, studies investigating the impact of social media interaction on community resilience and the impact of the crisis and crisis response that is mediated by interaction on resilience are lacking. Hence, it is observed that one of the objectives of crisis informatics is to understand the interaction between stakeholders involved (Tan et al., 2017). Therefore, this study draws upon situational crisis communication theory (SCCT) (Coombs, 2007; 2014), interactive crisis communication model (ICCM) (Cheng, 2018), STREMII model (Stewart & Wilson, 2016), uses and gratification theory (UGT) (Whiting & Williams, 2013), and social mediated disaster resilience model (SMDR) (Moller et al., 2018) to investigate the impact of the crisis, crisis response and social media interaction on community resilience.

1.2 Problem Statement

The application and effectiveness of technology in crisis management and communication have been proven by many scholars. In particular, social media has been effective for both formal and informal communication during and after a crisis. However, what matters to people when a crisis occurs is recovery, which involves resilience. Hence, researchers must begin to experiment on how social media is helping people to recover from a crisis and what social media task helps people recover quickly. However, the study of how social media usage predicts public resilience has not been well addressed in the literature (Moller et al., 2018). Specifically, the impact of social media interaction and crisis response upon public resilience has not been fully addressed.

Hence, the use of social media enabled groups and individuals to collaborate and engage in crisis communication. Social media is now the game-changer that could impact every aspect of life through which people interact. Social media has enabled the digital convergence of people, information, and resources during crises (Palen & Sophia, 2007). Publics are far from being passive receivers, and their participation in crisis response is not new; they actively seek out crisis information and exchange views with others (Ji & Kim, 2019). The dependence of formal and informal stakeholders (management and public) response is an established requisite for effective crisis communication and management (Palen et al., 2010; Purohit et al., 2014). Social media hypothetically intensifies the influence of the public's responses (Purohit et al., 2014).

Remarkably, the emergence of crisis/disasters exposed stakeholders to digital interaction, and the nature of its range makes people have minimum physical interaction. Following the emergence of Coronavirus (Covid-19), stakeholders are increasingly exposed to digital interaction. As a result of the crisis and the nature of its spread, it becomes more difficult to have physical interaction. The situation worsens as crisis management authorities force citizens to stay at home for several weeks in the name of self-isolation or quarantine. Therefore, community resilience has become even more important to avoid panic. Social media has proven to be effective in helping the affected citizens and is important as an information source (Groen et al., 2017).

1.3 Research Question

The study's background and research problem provide the basic information that guides the formulation of the research question. Thus, the main focus of this study is defined by the following research question:

What is the impact of social media crisis communication on the ability of people to recover from a crisis?

Specifically, the main research question is further split into sub-questions to help systematically address the research problem. Therefore, the main research question is sub-divided into three as presented in the following:

Research sub-question 1: What social media crisis communication models and factors affect public resilience during crisis communication using social media?

Research sub-question 2: How do the most crucial social media crisis communication factors predict public resilience?

Research sub-question 3: How has social media crisis communication affected public resilience?

1.4 Research Objectives

The research aims to investigate the impact of social media crisis communication on the ability of the people to recover from crisis. Hence, to achieve the aim of the study, the following research objectives are covered. The research objectives correspond to each research question.

- To identify the main indicators of public resilience in crisis communication using social media.
- To propose a model for crisis communication using social media for predicting public resilience.
- To examine the impact of social media crisis communication on predicting public resilience.

1.5 Research Scope

This study focuses on public resilience due to the crisis and the factors that significantly impact resilience building. The study builds upon social interaction and examines the relationship between crisis, crisis response, social media interaction, and resilience to crisis, which is still unclear. Moreover, drawing upon SCCT, SMDR, STREMII, and ICCM models, the study demonstrates that crisis, crisis response, and social media interaction affect community resilience. The model's mediation constructs, crisis response, and social interaction are the most significant aspect of this study.

1.6 Research Contribution

This research focuses on crisis management and communication theoretical models' effectiveness to advance the use of social media as the crisis communication medium. The usage of social media has the three characteristics of the resilience model (robust,

rapid, and redundant), which can improve community resilience. Therefore, the outcome of this study is a social media crisis communication and resilience model. The proposed model studied the impacts of social media usage for crisis response and social media interaction on public resilience to enrich the crisis communication literature further. The model could be helpful for crisis management authorities to understand how social media crisis communication affects public resilience. Moreover, applying the model could help authorities improve their social media crisis communication strategies.

1.7 Organization of Thesis

Chapter one provides the background of the study and discusses the research problem with the corresponding research questions. The aim and objectives of the study are also presented. Furthermore, the scope and contributions of the research endeavor are explained.

The organizations of the remaining chapters are presented as follows;

Chapter 2 evaluates the existing literature on social media crisis communication models to identify commonalities within these models. The social media-based crisis communication model to study the impact of the crisis, crisis responses, and social media interaction on community resilience were derived.

Chapter 3 discusses the research methodology of the study. Specifically, the chapter explains the steps and techniques used in data collection and model validation processes.

Chapter 4 discusses the development process of the proposed social media crisis communication and resilience model and the research hypothesis. Moreover, the chapter presents the expert's review of the instrument's early validation phase, the pilot test procedures, and the measurement models.

Chapter 5 presents the finding and discussion of the study. In particular, the proposed model based on the structural equation modeling approach was discussed, and the results were analyzed.

Chapter 6 summarizes the research work and discusses the research conclusions, limitations, and future work.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, Y.A., Ahmed, M.N., Ahmad, N. & Zakaria, N.H., 2018. Social media for knowledge-sharing: A systematic literature review. Telematics and Informatics, 37, 72-112.
- Alfonso, G. H., & Suzanne, S. (2008). Crisis communications management on the web: how internet-based technologies are changing the way public relations professionals handle business crises. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 16(3), 143-153.
- Ali, A. Q., Sultan, A. B. M., Abd Ghani, A. A., & Zulzalil, H. (2019). A Systematic Mapping Study on the Customization Solutions of Software as a Service Applications. IEEE Access, 7, 88196-88217.
- Andrews, D., Nonnecke, B., & Preece, J. (2007). Conducting research on the internet: Online survey design, development and implementation guidelines.
- Astrachan, C. B., Patel, V. K., & Wanzenried, G. (2014). A comparative study of CB-SEM and PLS-SEM for theory development in family firm research. Journal of Family Business Strategy, 5(1), 116-128.
- Augusto, M., Godinho, P., & Torres, P. (2019). Building customers' resilience to negative information in the airline industry. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 50, 235-248.
- Austin, L., Fisher Liu, B., & Jin, Y. (2012). How audiences seek out crisis information: Exploring the social-mediated crisis communication model. Journal of Applied Communication Research, 40(2), 188-207.
- Avvenuti, M., Cimino, M. G., Cresci, S., Marchetti, A., & Tesconi, M. (2016). A framework for detecting unfolding emergencies using humans as sensors. SpringerPlus, 5(1), 1-23.
- Awang, Z., Afthanorhan, A., & Mamat, M. (2016). The Likert scale analysis using parametric based Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). Computational Methods in Social Sciences, 4(1), 13.
- Bartko, J. J. (1966). The intraclass correlation coefficient as a measure of reliability. Psychological Reports, 19(1), 3-11.
- Bird, D. K. (2009). The use of questionnaires for acquiring information on public perception of natural hazards and risk mitigation - A review of current knowledge and practice. Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 9(4), 1307–1325. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-1307-2009.

Black, J. F. H. J. W. C., & Anderson, B. J. B. R. E. (2013). Multivariate Data Analysis.

- Bölenius K, Brulin C, Grankvist K, Lindkvist M, Söderberg J. A content validated questionnaire for assessment of self reported venous blood sampling practices. BMC Res Notes 2012; 5:39.
- Bonett, D. G., & Wright, T. A. (2015). Cronbach's alpha reliability: Interval estimation, hypothesis testing, and sample size planning. Journal of Organizationsal Behaviour, 36(1), 3-15.
- Boudah, D.J., 2010. Chapter 2: Identifying a Research Problem and Question, and Searching Relevant Literature. In Conducting Educational Research Guide to Completing a Major Project. London: SAGE Publications, Inc. 21-42.
- Bryman, Alan. "The Research Question in Social Research: What is its Role?" International Journal of Social Research Methodology 10 (2007): 5-20;
- Callaghan, C. W. (2016). Disaster management, crowdsourced R&D and probabilistic innovation theory: Toward real time disaster response capability. International journal of disaster risk reduction, 17, 238-250.
- Carrillo-Álvarez, E., Villalonga-Olives, E., Riera-Romaní, J., & Kawachi, I. (2019). Development and validation of a questionnaire to measure family social capital. SSM - Population Health, 8(July), 100453.
- Cheng, Y., Huang, Y. H. C., & Chan, C. M. (2017). Public relations, media coverage, and public opinion in contemporary China: Testing agenda building theory in a social mediated crisis. Telematics and Informatics, 34(3), 765-773.
- Cheng, Y., (2018). How Social Media Is Changing Crisis Communication Strategies: Evidence from the Updated Literature. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 26, 58-68.
- Chewning, L. V. (2015). Multiple voices and multiple media: Co-constructing BP's crisis response. Public Relations Review, 41(1), 72-79.
- Cheong, M., & Lee, V. C. (2011). A microblogging-based approach to terrorism informatics: Exploration and chronicling civilian sentiment and response to terrorism events via Twitter. Information Systems Frontiers, 13(1), 45-59.
- Chin, W. W. (2010). How to write up and report PLS analyses. In Handbook of partial least squares (655-690). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Cicchetti, D. V. (1984). On a model for assessing the security of infantile attachment: Issues of observer reliability and validity. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 7(1), 149-150.
- Cohen, J. (2013). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Academic press.
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Hillsdale, NJ: Laurence Erlbaum Associates.

- Comrie, E. L., Burns, C., Coulson, A. B., Quigley, J., & Quigley, K. F. (2019). Rationalising the use of Twitter by official organizations during risk events: Operationalising the Social Amplification of Risk Framework through causal loop diagrams. European Journal of Operational Research, 272(2), 792-801.
- Cook, D. A., & Beckman, T. J. (2006). Current concepts in validity and reliability for psychometric instruments: theory and application. The American journal of medicine, 119(2), 166-e7.
- Coombs, W. T. (2007). Protecting organizations reputations during a crisis: The development and application of situational crisis communication theory. Corporate reputation review, 10(3), 163-176.
- Coombs, W. T. (2014). Ongoing crisis communication: Planning, managing, and responding. Sage Publications.
- Coombs, W. T. (2018). Crisis Communication: The Best Evidence from Research. In The Routledge Companion to Risk, Crisis and Emergency Management, 51-66. Routledge.
- Coombs, W. T., & Holladay, S. J. (2002). Helping crisis managers protect reputational assets: Initial tests of the situational crisis communication theory. Management Communication Quarterly, 16(2), 165-186.
- Coombs, W. T., & Holladay, S. J. (2009). Further explorations of post-crisis communication: Effects of media and response strategies on perceptions and intentions. Public Relations Review, 35(1), 1-6.
- Coombs, W. T., & Holladay, J. S. (2012). The paracrisis: The challenges created by publicly managing crisis prevention. Public Relations Review, 38(3), 408-415.
- Cull, W. L., O'connor, K. G., Sharp, S., & Tang, S. F. S. (2005). Response rates and response bias for 50 surveys of pediatricians. Health services research, 40(1), 213-226.
- Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2018). Predictions from partial least squares models. In Applying partial least squares in tourism and hospitality research. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Dao, B., Kermanshachi, S., Shane, J., Anderson, S., & Hare, E. (2016). Identifying and Measuring Project Complexity. Procedia Engineering, 145, 476–482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.04.024
- Daud, S., Abidin, N., Sapuan, N. M., & Rajadurai, J. (2011). Enhancing university business curriculum using an importance-performance approach. International Journal of Educational Management.
- De Brito, M., Thévin, L., Garbay, C., Boissier, O., &Hübner, J. F. (2016). Supporting flexible regulation of crisis management by means of situated artificial institution. Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering, 17(4), 309-324.

- De Leeuw, E. D. (2012). Counting and measuring online: the quality of internet surveys. Bulletin of Sociological Methodology/Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique, 114(1), 68-78.
- Dillman, D. A. (2011). Mail and Internet surveys: The tailored design method--2007 Update with new Internet, visual, and mixed-mode guide. John Wiley & Sons.
- DiStaso, M. W. Vafeiadis, M. and Amaral, C. "Managing a health crisis on Facebook: How the response strategies of apology, sympathy, and information influence public relations," Public Relations Review, 41, 222-231, 2015.
- Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., ... & Münkemüller, T. (2013). Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography, 36(1), 27-46.
- Evermann, J., & Tate, M. (2016). Assessing the predictive performance of structural equation model estimators. Journal of Business Research, 69(10), 4565-4582.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A. G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behavior research methods, 39(2), 175-191.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A. G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G* Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. Behavior research methods, 41(4), 1149-1160.
- Fleiss, J. L. (1971). Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters. Psychological Bulletin, 76(5), 378.
- Fortunato, J. A., Gigliotti, R. A., & Ruben, B. D. (2018). Analysing the dynamics of crisis leadership in higher education: A study of racial incidents at the University of Missouri. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 26(4), 510-518.
- Franke, G., & Sarstedt, M. (2019). Heuristics versus statistics in discriminant validity testing: a comparison of four procedures. Internet Research.
- Freberg, K., Saling, K., Vidoloff, K. G., & Eosco, G. (2013). Using value modeling to evaluate social media messages: The case of Hurricane Irene. Public Relations Review, 39(3), 185-192.
- Funkhouser, E., Fellows, J. L., Gordan, V. V., Rindal, D. B., Foy, P. J., Gilbert, G. H., & National Dental Practice-Based Research Network Collaborative Group. (2014). Supplementing online surveys with a mailed option to reduce bias and improve response rate: the National Dental Practice-Based Research Network. Journal of public health dentistry, 74(4), 276-282.
- Gascó, M., Bayerl, P. S., Denef, S., & Akhgar, B. (2017). What do citizens communicate about during crises? Analyzing twitter use during the 2011 UK riots. Government Information Quarterly, 34(4), 635-645.

- Gefen, D., Straub, D., & Boudreau, M. C. (2000). Structural equation modeling and regression: Guidelines for research practice. Communications of the association for information systems, 4(1), 7.
- Gerken, F., Van der Land, S. F., & van der Meer, T. G. (2016). Crisis in the air: An investigation of AirAsia's crisis-response effectiveness based on frame alignment. Public Relations Review, 42(5), 879-892.
- Ghosh, D., & Vogt, A. (2012, July). Outliers: An evaluation of methodologies. In Joint statistical meetings (Vol. 2012).
- Ginige, A., Paolino, L., Romano, M., Sebillo, M., Tortora, G., & Vitiello, G. (2014). Information sharing among disaster responders-an interactive spreadsheet-based collaboration approach. Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), 23(4-6), 547-583.
- Goggins, S. P., Mascaro, C. & Valetto, G., (2013). Group Informatics: A Methodological Approach and Ontology for Sociotechnical Group Research. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 64(3), 516-539.
- Goldstein, L., & Reinert, G. (1997). Stein's method and the zero bias transformation with application to simple random sampling. The Annals of Applied Probability, 7(4), 935-952.
- Goni, M. D., Naing, N. N., Hasan, H., Wan-Arfah, N., Deris, Z. Z., Arifin, W. N., ... & Arshad, M. R. (2020). Development and validation of knowledge, attitude, and practice questionnaire for prevention of respiratory tract infections among Malaysian Hajj pilgrims. BMC Public Health, 20(1), 1-10.
- Graham, M. W., Avery, E. J., & Park, S. (2015). The role of social media in local government crisis communications. Public Relations Review, 41(3), 386-394.
- Grover, P., Kar, A. K., & Davies, G. (2018). "Technology enabled Health"–Insights from twitter analytics with a socio-technical perspective. International Journal of Information Management, 43, 85-97.
- Groen, F. C., Pavlin, G., Winterboer, A., & Evers, V. (2017). A hybrid approach to decision making and information fusion: combining humans and artificial agents. Robotics and autonomous systems, 90, 71-85.
- Hagar, C. (2013). Crisis informatics: Perspectives of trust-is social media a mixed blessing?. School of Information Student Research Journal, 2(2), 2.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Gudergan, S. P. (2017a). Advanced issues in partial least squares structural equation modeling. saGe publications.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. European Business Review.

Hair, J.F., Hult, G.T.M., Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M. and Thiele, K.O. (2017b), "Mirror,

Mirror on the wall: a comparative evaluation of composite-based structural equation modeling methods", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 45 (5), 616-632.

- Hair Jr, J. F., Howard, M. C., & Nitzl, C. (2020). Assessing measurement model quality in PLS-SEM using confirmatory composite analysis. Journal of Business Research, 109, 101-110.
- Hansen, E. G., & Schaltegger, S. (2016). The sustainability balanced scorecard: A systematic review of architectures. Journal of Business Ethics, 133(2), 193-221.
- Harris, L. F., Awoonor-Williams, J. K., Gerdts, C., Gil Urbano, L., González Vélez, A. C., Halpern, J., ... Baffoe, P. (2016). Development of a conceptual model and survey instrument to measure conscientious objection to abortion provision. PLoS ONE, 11(10), 1–17.
- Hayes, A. F. (2017). Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach. Guilford publications.
- Hayes, A. F., & Scharkow, M. (2013). The relative trustworthiness of inferential tests of the indirect effect in statistical mediation analysis: Does method really matter?. Psychological science, 24(10), 1918-1927.
- Hellsten, I., Jacobs, S. & Wonneberger, A., (2019). Active and passive stakeholders in issue arenas: A communication network approach to the bird flu debate on Twitter. Public Relations Review, 45, 35-48.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. In New challenges to international marketing. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Henseler, J., Hubona, G., & Ray, P. A. (2016). Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: Updated guidelines. Industrial Management and Data Systems, 116(1), 2–20. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2015-0382
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. Journal of the academy of marketing science, 43(1), 115-135.
- Hill, R. (1998). What sample size is "enough" in internet survey research. Interpersonal Computing and Technology: An electronic journal for the 21st century, 6(3-4), 1-12.
- Honaker, J., & King, G. (2010). What to do about missing values in time-series crosssection data. American journal of political science, 54(2), 561-581.
- Hsu, S. H. (2008). Developing an index for online customer satisfaction: Adaptation of American Customer Satisfaction Index. Expert systems with Applications, 34(4), 3033-3042.

- Hutton, A. C. (2017). Assessing acquiescence in surveys using positively and negatively worded questions.
- Iannarino, N. T., Veil, S. R. & Cotton, A. J., (2015). Bringing Home the Crisis: How US Evening News Framed the 2011 Japan Nuclear Crisis. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 23(3), 169-181.
- Janadari, M. P. N., Sri Ramalu, S., & Wei, C. (2016). Evaluation of measurment and structural model of the reflective model constructs in PLS-SEM.Tourism Economics, 26(4), 531-554.
- Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A critical review of construct indicators and measurement model misspecification in marketing and consumer research. Journal of consumer research, 30(2), 199-218.
- Ji, Y., & Kim, S. (2019). Communication-mediated psychological mechanisms of Chinese publics' post-crisis corporate associations and government associations. J Contingencies and Crisis Management, 1-13.
- Jin, Y., Lin, J. S., Gilbreath, B., & Lee, Y. I. (2017). Motivations, consumption emotions, and temporal orientations in social media use: A strategic approach to engaging stakeholders across platforms. International Journal of Strategic Communication, 11(2), 115-132.
- Jin, Y., Liu, B. F., & Austin, L. L. (2014). Examining the role of social media in effective crisis management: The effects of crisis origin, information form, and source on publics' crisis responses. Communication research, 41(1), 74-94.
- Jin, Y., Pang, A., & Cameron, G. T. (2007). Integrated crisis mapping: Toward a publicsbased, emotion-driven conceptualization in crisis communication. Sphera Publica, (7), 81-95.
- Jin, Y., Pang, A., & Cameron, G. T. (2012). Toward a publics-driven, emotion-based conceptualization in crisis communication: Unearthing dominant emotions in multi-staged testing of the integrated crisis mapping (ICM) model. Journal of Public Relations Research, 24(3), 266-298.
- Johansen, W., & Frandsen, F. (2007). Krisekommunikation: Når virksomhedens image og omdømme er truet. Samfundslitteratur.
- Joslin, R., & Müller, R. (2015). Relationships between a project management methodology and project success in different project governance contexts. International Journal of Project Management, 33(6), 1377–1392.
- Kaewkitipong, L., Chen, C. C., & Ractham, P. (2016). A community-based approach to sharing knowledge before, during, and after crisis events: A case study from Thailand. Computers in Human Behavior, 54, 653-666.
- Kaiser, H.F. and Rice, J. (1974), "Little jiffy Mark IV", Educational Psychological Measurement, Vol. 34, pp. 111-17.

- Kane, L., & Ashbaugh, A. R. (2017). Simple and parallel mediation: A tutorial exploring anxiety sensitivity, sensation seeking, and gender. The Quantitative Methods for Psychology, 13(3), 148-165.
- Kante, M., Chepken, C., & Oboko, R. (2018). Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modelling' use in Information Systems: An Updated Guideline of Practices in Exploratory Settings. Kabarak Journal of Research & Innovation, 6(1), 49–67.
- Kar, Bandana (2016). "Citizen science in risk communication in the era of ICT." Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience 28.7, 2005-2013.
- Karnincic, H., Cavala, M., & Rogulj, N. (2018). The relationship between handball players and alcohol and smoking habits. Journal of human kinetics, 63(1), 127-136.
- Kimberlin, C. L., & Winterstein, A. G. (2008). Validity and reliability of measurement instruments used in research. American journal of health-system pharmacy, 65(23), 2276-2284.
- Kitchenham, B., & Charters, S. (2007). Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering.
- Kline, R. B. (2015). Principles and practice of structural equation modeling. Guilford publications.
- Kline, T. J. (2017). Sample issues, methodological implications, and best practices. Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement, 49(2), 71.
- Krieger, N., Smith, K., Naishadham, D., Hartman, C., & Barbeau, E. M. (2005). Experiences of discrimination: validity and reliability of a self-report measure for population health research on racism and health. Social science & medicine, 61(7), 1576-1596.
- Kriyantono, R. (2012). Measuring a company reputation in a crisis situation: An ethnography approach on the situational crisis communication theory. International Journal of Business and Social Science, 3(9).
- Ko, H., Cho, C. H., & Roberts, M. S. (2005). Internet uses and gratifications: A structural equation model of interactive advertising. Journal of advertising, 34(2), 57-70.
- Kumar, A., & Singh, J. P. (2019). Location reference identification from tweets during emergencies: A deep learning approach. International journal of disaster risk reduction, 33, 365-375.
- Kwak, S. K., & Kim, J. H. (2017). Statistical data preparation: management of missing values and outliers. Korean journal of anesthesiology, 70(4), 407.
- Lambret, C. V. & Barki, E., (2018). Social media crisis management: Aligning corporate response strategies with stakeholders' emotions online. J Contingencies and Crisis Management, 26, 295-305.

- Lee, M., & Johnson, K. K. (2002). Exploring differences between internet apparel purchasers, browsers and non-purchasers. Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal.
- Li, Y., Yang, S., Zhang, S., & Zhang, W. (2019). Mobile social media use intention in emergencies among Gen Y in China: An integrative framework of gratifications, task-technology fit, and media dependency. Telematics and Informatics, 42, 101244.
- Linkov I, Trump BD (2019) The science and practice of resilience. Springer, Amsterdam
- Liu, B. F., Austin, L. & Jin, Y., 2011. How publics respond to crisis communication strategies: The interplay of information form and source. Public Relations Review, 37, 345-353.
- Liu, B. F., & Fraustino, J. D. (2014). Beyond image repair: Suggestions for crisis communication theory development. Public Relations Review, 40(3), 543-546.
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M., & Jarvis, C. B. (2005). The problem of measurement model misspecification in behavioral and organizationsal research and some recommended solutions. Journal of applied psychology, 90(4), 710.
- Maiorescu, R. D. (2016). Crisis management at General Motors and Toyota: An analysis of gender-specific communication and media coverage. Public Relations Review, 42(4), 556-563.
- Martilla, J. A., & James, J. C. (1977). Importance-performance analysis. Journal of marketing, 41(1), 77-79.
- Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. Psychological methods, 9(3), 275.
- Martin, P., Bateson, P. P. G., & Bateson, P. (1993). Measuring behaviour: an introductory guide. Cambridge University Press.
- Meer, T. G., Verhoeven, P., Beentjes, H. W., & Vliegenthart, R. (2017). Communication in times of crisis: The stakeholder relationship under pressure. Public Relations Review, 43(2), 426-440.
- Mijović, V., Tomašević, N., Janev, V., & Vraneš, S. (2016). Event-driven decision support system for intelligent emergency management in critical infrastructures, pre-print, 1-1.
- Möller, C., Wang, J., & Nguyen, H. T. (2018). # Strongerthanwinston: Tourism and crisis communication through Facebook following tropical cyclones in Fiji. Tourism Management, 69, 272-284.
- Murray, J. (2013). Likert data: what to use, parametric or non-parametric?. International Journal of Business and Social Science, 4(11).

- Nachigall, C., Kroehne, U., Funke, F., & Steyer, R. (2003). Should We Use SEM? Pros and Cons of Structural Equation Modelling. Methods of psychological Research Online, 8(2), 1-22.
- Nidhra, S., Yanamadala, M., Afzal, W., & Torkar, R. (2013). Knowledge transfer challenges and mitigation strategies in global software development—A systematic literature review and industrial validation. International journal of information management, 33(2), 333-355.
- Nijkrake, J., Gosselt, J. F., & Gutteling, J. M. (2015). Competing frames and tone in corporate communication versus media coverage during a crisis. Public relations review, 41(1), 80-88.
- Olson, K. (2010). An examination of questionnaire evaluation by expert reviewers. Field methods, 22(4), 295-318.
- Olsson, E. K. (2014). Crisis communication in public organizations: Dimensions of crisis communication revisited. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis management, 22(2), 113-125.
- Omilion-Hodges, L. M., & McClain, K. L. (2016). University use of social media and the crisis lifecycle: Organizationsal messages, first information responders' reactions, reframed messages and dissemination patterns. Computers in Human Behavior, 54, 630-638.
- Onorati, Teresa, Paloma Díaz, and Belen Carrion. "From social networks to emergency operation centers: A semantic visualization approach." Future Generation Computer Systems 95 (2019): 829-840.
- Oppong, F. B., & Agbedra, S. Y. (2016). Assessing univariate and multivariate normality. a guide for non-statisticians. Math. Theory Modeling, 6(2), 26-33.
- Osborne, J. W. (2013). Best practices in data cleaning: A complete guide to everything you need to do before and after collecting your data. Sage.
- Pang, A., Jin, Y., & Cameron, G. T. (2009). Final stage development of the integrated crisis mapping (ICM) model in crisis communication: The myth of low engagement in crisis.
- Palen, L., & Anderson, K. M. (2016). Crisis informatics—New data for extraordinary times. Science, 353(6296), 224-225.
- Palen, L., Vieweg, S., Sutton, J., Liu, S. B., & Hughes, A. (2007, October). Crisis informatics: Studying crisis in a networked world. In Proceedings of the Third International Conference on E-Social Science, 7-9.
- Palen, Leysia, Kenneth M. Anderson, Gloria Mark, James Martin, Douglas Sicker, Martha Palmer, and Dirk A. Grunwald (2010). Vision for Technology-Mediated Support for Public Participation & Assistance in Mass Emergencies & Disasters. In Proceedings of the 2010 ACM-BCS Visions of Computer Science Conference, 13–16 April 2010, Edinburgh, Scotland. Swinton, UK: British Computer Society, p. 8.

- Palen, Leysia and Sophia B. Liu (2007). Citizen Communications in Crisis: Anticipating a Future of ICT-Supported Participation. Proceedings of the 2007 ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing System (CHI 2007). ACM, New York, NY, 727–736.
- Palttala, P. and Vos, M. (2012). "Quality Indicators for Crisis Communication to Support Emergency Management by Public Authorities," Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 20 (1), 39-49.
- Panagiotopoulos, P., Barnett, J., Bigdeli, A. Z., & Sams, S. (2016). Social media in emergency management: Twitter as a tool for communicating risks to the public. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 111, 86-96.
- Peres-Neto, P. R., Jackson, D. A., & Somers, K. M. (2005). How many principal components? Stopping rules for determining the number of non-trivial axes revisited. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 49(4), 974-997.
- Pereira, J., Cerpa, N., Verner, J., Rivas, M., & Procaccino, J. D. (2008). What do software practitioners really think about project success: A cross-cultural comparison. Journal of Systems and Software, 81(6), 897–907.
- Pipek, V., Liu, S. B., & Kerne, A. (2014). Crisis informatics and collaboration: a brief introduction. Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), 23(4-6), 339-345.
- Plessis, C. D. (2018). Social media crisis communication: Enhancing a discourse of renewal through dialogic content. Public relations review, 44(5), 829-838.
- Pogrebnyakov, N., & Maldonado, E. (2018). Didn't roger that: Social media message complexity and situational awareness of emergency responders. International Journal of Information Management, 40, 166-174.
- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2006). The content validity index: are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. Research in nursing & health, 29(5), 489-497.
- Polit, D. F., Beck, C. T., & Owen, S. V. (2007). Is the CVI an acceptable indicator of content validity? Appraisal and recommendations. Research in nursing & health, 30(4), 459-467.
- Purohit, H., Hampton, A., Bhatt, S., Shalin, V. L., Sheth, A. P., & Flach, J. M. (2014). Identifying seekers and suppliers in social media communities to support crisis coordination. Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), 23(4-6), 513-545.
- Qadir, J., Ali, A., urRasool, R., Zwitter, A., Sathiaseelan, A., & Crowcroft, J. (2016). Crisis analytics: big data-driven crisis response. Journal of International Humanitarian Action, 1(1), 12.
- Qasem, Y. A., Abdullah, R., Jusoh, Y. Y., Atan, R., & Asadi, S. (2019). Cloud Computing Adoption in Higher Education Institutions: A Systematic Review. IEEE Access, 7, 63722-63744.

- Ragini, J. R., Anand, P. R., & Bhaskar, V. (2018). Big data analytics for disaster response and recovery through sentiment analysis. International Journal of Information Management, 42, 13-24.
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H., & Memon, M. A. (2018). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) using smartPLS 3.0. In An Updated Guide and Practical Guide to Statistical Analysis. Pearson..
- Razali, N. M., & Wah, Y. B. (2011). Power comparisons of shapiro-wilk, kolmogorovsmirnov, lilliefors and anderson-darling tests. Journal of statistical modeling and analytics, 2(1), 21-33.
- Reuter, C. & Kaufhold, M.-A., (2018). Fifteen years of social media in emergencies: A retrospective review and future directions for crisis Informatics. J Contingencies and Crisis Management., 26, 41–57.
- Reuter, C., Ludwig, T., Kaufhold, M. A., & Spielhofer, T. (2016). Emergency services' attitudes towards social media: A quantitative and qualitative survey across Europe. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 95, 96-111.
- Reuter, C., Hughes, A. L., & Kaufhold, M. A. (2018). Social media in crisis management: An evaluation and analysis of crisis informatics research. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 34(4), 280-294.
- Reuter, C., Kaufhold, M. A., Spielhofer, T., & Hahne, A. S. (2017). Social media in emergencies: A representative study on citizens' perception in Germany. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 1(CSCW), 1-19.
- Reuter, C., Marx, A., & Pipek, V. (2012). Crisis management 2.0: Towards a systematization of social software use in crisis situations. International Journal of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management (IJISCRAM), 4(1), 1-16.
- Reynolds, B., & W. Seeger, (2005). Crisis and emergency risk communication as an integrative model. Journal of health communication, 10(1), 43-55.
- Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M. and Straub, D.W. (2012), "A critical look at the use of PLS-SEM in MIS quarterly", MIS Quarterly, 36 (1), iii-xiv.
- Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). Gain more insight from your PLS-SEM results. Industrial Management & Data Systems.
- Ringle, C. M., Schirmer, N., & Feistel, M. S. (2015). The Relationship between Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty: new Insights into the Role of Customer Heterogeneity. In 2nd International Symposium on Partial Least Squares Path Modeling-The Conference for PLS Users.
- Roberts, L. D., & Allen, P. J. (2015). Exploring ethical issues associated with using online surveys in educational research. Educational Research and Evaluation, 21(2), 95-108.

- Roh, S. (2017). Examining the paracrisis online: The effects of message source, response strategies and social vigilantism on public responses. Public Relations Review, 43(3), 587-596.
- Roopa S, M. R. (2012). Questionnaire Designing for a Survey. The Journal of Indian Orthodontic Society, 46(4), 273–377.
- Rosenbusch, J., Ismail, I. R., & Ringle, C. M. (2018). The agony of choice for medical tourists: a patient satisfaction index model. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology.
- Rumsey, D. J. (2015). How to interpret standard deviation in a statistical data set. Statistics for Dummies, 2.
- Salazar, M. S. (2015). The dilemma of combining positive and negative items in scales. Psicothema, 27(2), 192-199.
- Sangoseni, O., Hellman, M., & Hill, C. (2013). Development and validation of a questionnaire to assess the effect of online learning on behaviors, attitudes, and clinical practices of physical therapists in the United States regarding evidencedbased clinical practice. Internet Journal of Allied Health Sciences and Practice, 11(2), 7.
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2017). Partial least squares structural equation modeling. Handbook of market research, 26, 1-40.
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Cheah, J. H., Ting, H., Moisescu, O. I., & Radomir, L. (2020). Structural model robustness checks in PLS-SEM.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thornhill, A., (2009). Research Methods for Business Students. 5th ed. Harlow, England: Pearson Education Limited.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thornhill, A., (2012). Research Methods for Business Students. 6th ed. Harlow, England: Pearson Education Limited.
- Sauro, J. (2011). Are both positive and negative items necessary in questionnaires. Retrieved June, 20, 2013.
- Sawilowsky, S. S. (2009). New effect size rules of thumb. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 8(2), 26.
- Schleicher, A. (2020). The impact of covid-19 on education insights from education at a glance 2020. Retrieved from oecd. org website: https://www.oecd.org/education/the-impact-of-covid-19-on-education-insights-education-at-a-glance-2020.
- Seeger, M. W. & Sellnow, T. L., (2013). Theorizing crisis communication, Somerset. NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sekaran, U. & Bougie, R., (2016). Research Methods for Business. 7th ed. Chichester, West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

- Settersten Jr, R. A., Bernardi, L., Härkönen, J., Antonucci, T. C., Dykstra, P. A., Heckhausen, J., Kuh, D., Mayer, K. U., Moen, P., Mortimer, J. T., Mulder, C. H., Smeeding, T. M., Lippe, T., Hagestad, G. O., Kohli, M., Levy, R., Schoon, I., & Thomson, E. (2020). Understanding the effects of Covid-19 through a life course lens. Advances in Life Course Research, Volume 45, 100360.
- Shevlin, M., Miles, J. N. V., Davies, M. N. O., & Walker, S. (2000). Coefficient alpha: a useful indicator of reliability? Personality and individual differences, 28(2), 229-237.
- Shmueli, G., Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J. H., Ting, H., Vaithilingam, S., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using PLSpredict. European Journal of Marketing.
- Shrout, P. E., & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: new procedures and recommendations. Psychological methods, 7(4), 422.
- Sigala, M., 2012. Social media and crisis management in tourism: applications and implications for research. Information Technology & Tourism, 13, 1-000.
- Singer, E. (2008). Ethical issues in surveys. International handbook of survey methodology, 78-96.
- Singh, A., & Masuku, M. (2014). Sampling Techniques & Determination of Sample Size in Applied Statistics Research: an Overview. Ijecm.Co.Uk, II(11), 1–22.
- Sjöberg, U. (2018). It is not about facts-It is about framing. The App Generation's information-seeking tactics: Proactive online crisis communication. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 26(1), 127-137.
- Soehner, C., Godfrey, I., & Bigler, G. S. (2017). Crisis communication in libraries: Opportunity for new roles in public relations. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 43(3), 268-273.
- Starbird K, Palen L, Hughes A, Vieweg S (2010) Chatter on the red: what hazards threat reveal about the social life of microblogged information. CSCW 2010, February 6–10, 2010, Savannah, Georgia, USA, 241–250.
- Stewart, M. C., & Wilson, B. G. (2016). The dynamic role of social media during Hurricane# Sandy: An introduction of the STREMII model to weather the storm of the crisis lifecycle. Computers in Human Behavior, 54, 639-646.
- Stieglitz, S., Bunker, D., Mirbabaie, M., & Ehnis, C. (2018). Sense-making in social media during extreme events. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 26(1), 4-15.
- Straub, D., Boudreau, M.-C., & Gefen, D. (2004). Validation Guidelines for IS Positivist Research. Communications of the Association for Information Sys, 13(24), 380– 427.

- Streukens, S., & Leroi-Werelds, S. (2016). Bootstrapping and PLS-SEM: A step-by-step guide to get more out of your bootstrap results. European Management Journal, 34(6), 618-632.
- Sullivan, G. M., & Feinn, R. (2012). Using effect size—or why the P-value is not enough. Journal of graduate medical education, 4(3), 279.
- Syed, R. (2019). Enterprise reputation threats on social media: A case of data breach framing. The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28(3), 257-274.
- Taherdoost, H. (2016). Validity and Reliability of the Research Instrument; How to Test the Validation of a Questionnaire/Survey in a Research. SSRN Electronic Journal, (September).
- Tan, M. L., Prasanna, R., Stock, K., Hudson-Doyle, E., Leonard, G., & Johnston, D. (2017). Mobile applications in crisis informatics literature: A systematic review. International journal of disaster risk reduction, 24, 297-311.
- Trump, B. D., & Linkov, I. (2020). Risk and resilience in the time of the COVID-19 crisis.
- Ulmer, R. R., Sellnow, T. L., & Seeger, M. W. (2017). Effective crisis communication: Moving from crisis to opportunity. Sage Publications.
- Urbach, N., & Ahlemann, F. (2010a). Structural Equation Modeling in Information Systems Research Using Partial Least Squares. Journal of Information Technology and Application, 11(2), 5–40. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.4.710
- Urbach, N., & Ahlemann, F. (2010b). Structural Equation Modeling in Information Systems Research Using Partial Least Squares. Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application JITTA, 11(2), 2.
- Valecha, Rohit. (2019). "An investigation of interaction patterns in emergency management: A case study of the crash of continental flight 3407." Information Systems Frontiers, 1-13.
- Valencia, A., González, G., & Castañeda, M. (2016). Structural equation model for studying the mobile-learning acceptance. IEEE Latin America Transactions, 14(4), 1988-1992.
- Van Buuren, S. (2018). Flexible imputation of missing data. CRC press.
- Van Selm, M., & Jankowski, N. W. (2006). Conducting online surveys. Quality and quantity, 40(3), 435-456.
- Van Teijlingen, E. R., & Hundley, V. (2001). The importance of pilot studies.
- Vedung, E. (2000). Evaluation research and fundamental research. In Evaluationsforschung,103-126. VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden.

- Vignal Lambret, C., & Barki, E. (2018). Social media crisis management: Aligning corporate response strategies with stakeholders' emotions online. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 26(2), 295-305.
- Vogel, S., & Draper-Rodi, J. (2017). The importance of pilot studies, how to write them and what they mean. International Journal of Osteopathic Medicine, 23, 2-3.
- Whiting, A., & Williams, D. (2013). Why people use social media: a uses and gratifications approach. Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal.
- Wong, K. K. (2013). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Techniques Using SmartPLS. Marketing Bulletin.
- Wynd CA, Schmidt B, Schaefer MA. Two quantitative approaches for estimating content validity. West J Nurs Res 2003; 25 (5): 508-18. doi: 10.1177 /0193945903252998.
- Yang, A., & Bentley, J. (2017). A balance theory approach to stakeholder network and apology strategy. Public Relations Review, 43(2), 267-277.
- Yoo, E., Rand, W., Eftekhar, M., & Rabinovich, E. (2016). Evaluating information diffusion speed and its determinants in social media networks during humanitarian crises. Journal of Operations Management, 45, 123-133.
- Yusoff, M. S. B. (2019). ABC of Content Validation and Content Validity Index Calculation. Education in Medicine Journal, 11(2).
- Zamanzadeh, V., Ghahramanian, A., Rassouli, M., Abbaszadeh, A., Alavi-Majd, H., &Nikanfar, A. R. (2015). Design and implementation content validity study: development of an instrument for measuring patient-centered communication. Journal of caring sciences, 4(2), 165.
- Zughoul, O., Momani, F., Almasri, O. H., Zaidan, A. A., Zaidan, B. B., Alsalem, M. A., ... & Hashim, M. (2018). Comprehensive insights into the criteria of student performance in various educational domains. IEEE Access, 6, 73245-73264.
- Wong, K. K. (2013). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Techniques Using SmartPLS. Marketing Bulletin.