



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**HETEROSIS, COMBINING ABILITY AND YIELD PREDICTION
IN HYBRIDS FROM LOCAL MAIZE INBRED LINES**

SRIANI SUJIPRIHATI

FP 1996 8



**HETEROSIS, COMBINING ABILITY AND YIELD PREDICTION
IN HYBRIDS FROM LOCAL MAIZE INBRED LINES**

By

SRIANI SUJIPRIHATI

**Dissertation Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of
Agriculture, Universiti Pertanian Malaysia**

December, 1996



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ghizan Saleh, the Chairman of the supervisory committee, for his valuable guidance and support throughout my study, and for his constructive suggestions as well as time spent for the supervision on the research work. I am also grateful to Dr. Maheran Abdul Aziz, Prof. Dr. Yap Thoo Chai, and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Idris Zainal Abidin, members of the supervisory committee, for their constant discussion and assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

I am particularly grateful to Prof. Emeritus Dr. Earl T. Gritton (University of Wisconsin) an independent examiner, for his suggestions to improve this dissertation.

I also appreciate the cooperation from the Bogor Agricultural University (IPB), for approving and granting me the study leave. In particular, I wish to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Hj. Amris Makmur and Prof. Dr. Sri Setyati Hariadi, lecturers at the Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, IPB, Bogor, for their support and encouragement on the pursue of this study.

I wish to thank Dr. Hj. Alang Perang Zainuddin, Prof. Dr. Hj. Rahim Md Sail and their families, for their support and encouragement.



A note of thanks goes to SEAMEO-SEARCA, for providing the scholarship, and also to MPKSN for their IRPA research financial assistance.

My sincere thanks are also due to Dr. Mohd Said Saad, lecturer at the Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, UPM, for his advice and suggestions on the computer programme for the diallel analysis. Thanks are also extended to Mr. Abdul Aziz Bahsir, and Miss Fadzlon Mohd. Yusof of the Graduate School, UPM, for their suggestions in the preparation of my dissertation.

I am indebted to the staff members of Field 2 and Share Farm, UPM, especially Mr. Shahril Abd. Rahman, for the technical assistance while conducting my field experiments. To Ms. Salmi Yaacob and Mr. Mohammad Yacob, staff members of the Biometry Laboratory, my sincere thanks for their assistance in the computer use for data analysis.

Thanks are also due to fellow graduate students, especially Mr. Mei Rochjat, Mr. Hadi Suryanto, Ms. Rafeah Abd. Rahman, Mr. and Ms. Mulyadi, Mr. and Ms. Lalang Buana, Mr. and Ms. Waridin, Mr. Wagiman, Miss Sirikul, Mohd. Noor Ibrahim, Abdullah Daud, and many others, for their co-operation and involvements in discussions during the course of my study.

To my beloved mother, Sri Ruminarti Soedjijo, my father in-law, Hj. Raja Sangun, all my sisters and brothers, especially Yati, for their help and prayers for the success of my study, I am very deeply indebted.



Finally, my deepest appreciation goes to my husband Enisar Sangun and my children Diannisa Ikarumi, Vidya Nursolihati, Muhammad Arifyandi and Ayuni Nuramalina for their love, understanding, and patience throughout the period of this challenging course.

Above all, my praise to Almighty God, Allah SWT., for all His blessings on me and my family.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES.....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xvii
ABSTRACT.....	xviii
ABSTRAK	xxi
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION.....	1
II LITERATURE REVIEW.....	4
Origin and History of Cultivation.....	4
Morphological and Botanical Classification.....	5
Area, Production and Utilisation.....	7
Production and Use of Maize Hybrids.....	10
Significance of Maize Hybrids.....	10
Maize Hybrids in Malaysia.....	11
Inbred Line Development.....	13
Performance of Inbred Lines.....	13
Inbreeding.....	14
Testing of Inbred Lines.....	16



	Page
Performance of Hybrids.....	17
Heterosis.....	17
Combining Ability.....	21
Heritability.....	26
Correlation among Characters.....	28
Estimation of Single and Double-Cross Hybrid Performance.....	30
III PERFORMANCE AND SELECTION OF INBRED LINES.....	32
Materials and Methods.....	33
Data Collection.....	35
Data Analyses.....	37
Results.....	38
Performance of Inbred Lines.....	38
Correlation among Characters.....	59
Phenotypic Variability for Grain Weight per Plant among and within Lines.....	65
Discussion.....	66
IV PERFORMANCE, HETEROSIS AND COMBINING ABILITY IN SINGLE-CROSS HYBRIDS.....	69
Materials and Methods.....	70
Diallel Cross.....	70
Evaluation at Two Locations.....	73
Data Collection.....	74
Data Analyses.....	75
Results.....	85



	Page
Performance of Single-Cross Hybrids.....	85
Heterosis.....	108
Combining Ability.....	120
Variance Components for GCA and SCA Effects.....	137
Graphical Analysis.....	139
Heritability.....	145
Correlations among Characters.....	152
Discussion.....	157
V PERFORMANCE OF DOUBLE-CROSS HYBRIDS.....	171
Materials and Methods.....	173
Data Collection.....	174
Data Analyses.....	174
Results.....	175
Performance of Double-Cross Hybrids.....	176
Prediction of Performance.....	184
Discussion.....	186
VI GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....	189
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	197
APPENDICES.....	214
Appendix A. Additional Figure	215
Appendix B. Additional Tables	217
VITA.....	245



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Source Populations and Origins of the Maize Inbred Lines Used in the Study.....	34
2.	Mean Squares for the Characters Measured on 13 TW Inbred Lines of Maize.....	39
3.	Mean Squares for the Characters Measured on 13 SM5 Inbred Lines of Maize.....	40
4.	Mean Squares for the Characters Measured on 13 SM7 Inbred Lines of Maize.....	41
5.	Mean Squares for the Characters Measured on 13 SW Inbred Lines of Maize.....	42
6.	Mean Squares for the Characters Measured on 13 MT Inbred Lines of Maize.....	43
7.	Mean Values, Ranges, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for the Characters Measured on 13 TW Inbred Lines of Maize.....	44
8.	Mean Values for the Characters Measured on 13 TW Inbred Lines of Maize.....	45
9.	Mean Values, Ranges, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for the Characters Measured on 13 SM5 Inbred Lines of Maize.....	47
10.	Mean Values for the Characters Measured on 13 SM5 Inbred Lines of Maize.....	49
11.	Mean Values, Ranges, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for the Characters Measured on 13 SM7 Inbred Lines of Maize.....	50



Table	Page
12. Mean Values for the Characters Measured on 13 SM7 Lines of Maize.....	52
13. Mean Values, Ranges, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for the Characters Measured on 13 SW Inbred Lines of Maize.....	53
14. Mean Values for the Characters Measured on 13 SW Inbred Lines of Maize.....	55
15. Mean Values, Ranges, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for the Characters Measured on 13 MT Inbred Lines of Maize.....	56
16. Mean Values for the Characters Measured on 13 MT Inbred Lines of Maize.....	58
17. Correlation Coefficients among Characters Measured on 13 TW Inbred Lines of Maize.....	60
18. Correlation Coefficients among Characters Measured on 13 SM5 Inbred Lines of Maize.....	61
19. Correlation Coefficients among Characters Measured on 13 SM7 Inbred Lines of Maize.....	62
20. Correlation Coefficients among Characters Measured on 13 SW Inbred Lines of Maize.....	63
21. Correlation Coefficients among Characters Measured on 13 MT Inbred Lines of Maize.....	64
22. The 12 Selected Maize Inbred Lines Used in the Diallel Cross, their Pedigrees and Mean Values for Grain Weight per Plant, Days to Taselling and Days to Silking.....	71
23. Outline of the ANOVA Table in the Analysis at Separate Location.....	76



Table	Page
24. Outline of the ANOVA Table in the Combined Analysis over Locations.....	77
25. Outline of the ANOVA Table for Test of Combining Ability in Method 2.....	78
26. Outline of the ANOVA Table for Test of Combining Ability on Combined Data.....	81
27. Mean Squares from the ANOVA for 14 Characters Measured in a 12x12 Diallel Cross among Maize Inbred Lines and Three Check Varieties, at Field 2.....	86
28. Mean Squares from the ANOVA for 14 Characters Measured in a 12x12 Diallel Cross among Maize Inbred Lines and Three Check Varieties, at Share Farm.....	87
29. Mean Squares from the ANOVA for 14 Characters Measured in a 12x12 Diallel Cross among Maize Inbred Lines and Three Check Varieties, at Two Locations Combined.....	88
30. Mean Values for 14 Characters Measured on the Maize Hybrids, Inbred Lines and Check Varieties, at Field 2.....	90
31. Mean Values for 14 Characters Measured on the Maize Hybrids, Inbred Lines and Check Varieties, at Share Farm.....	95
32. Mean Values for 14 Characters Measured on the Maize Hybrids, Inbred Lines and Check Varieties, at Two Locations Combined.....	100
33. Estimates of Better-parent and Mid-parent Heterosis and their Ranges for Maize Hybrids Evaluated at Field 2 (Upper Row), Share Farm (Middle Row) and Locations Combined (Lower Row).....	109



Table	Page
34. Estimates of Better-parent (BP) and Mid-parent (MP) Heterosis for the Top Ten Maize Hybrids, for Grain Yield, at Two Locations and Locations Combined.....	112
35. Estimates of Better-parent (BP) and Mid-parent (MP) Heterosis for the Top Ten Maize Hybrids, for Ear Weight, at Two Locations and Locations Combined.....	113
36. Estimates of Better-parent (BP) and Mid-parent (MP) Heterosis for the Top Ten Maize Hybrids, for Grain Weight per Ear, at Two Locations and Locations Combined.....	114
37. Estimates of Better-parent (BP) and Mid-parent (MP) Heterosis for the Top Ten Maize Hybrids, for Plant Height, at Two Locations and Locations Combined.....	115
38. Estimates of Better-parent (BP) and Mid-parent (MP) Heterosis for the Top Ten Maize Hybrids, for Days to Silking, at Two Locations and Locations Combined.....	116
39. Mean Squares for Combining Ability for 14 Characters Measured from a 12x12 Diallel Cross on Maize, at Field 2.....	121
40. Mean Squares for Combining Ability for 14 Characters Measured from a 12x12 Diallel Cross on Maize at Share Farm.....	122
41. Estimates of GCA Effects for 14 Characters Measured on 12 Maize Inbred Lines, at Field 2.	123
42. Estimates of GCA Effects for 14 Characters Measured on 12 Maize Inbred Lines, at Share Farm.....	124



Table	Page
43. Estimates of SCA Effects for 14 Characters Measured on 66 Maize Hybrids from a 12x12 Diallel Cross, at Field 2.....	128
44. Estimates of SCA Effects for 14 Characters Measured on 66 Maize Hybrids from a 12x12 Diallel Cross, at Share Farm.....	132
45. Estimates of Variance Components for GCA (σ^2_G) and SCA (σ^2_s), the Residual Error (σ^2_e) and the Ratio of SCA to GCA (σ^2_s/σ^2_G) for 14 Characters Measured on Maize Hybrids, at Field 2 and Share Farm.....	138
46. Broad-sense Heritability Estimates for 14 Characters of Maize Hybrids, Measured from Variance Components in ANOVA	147
47. Narrow-sense Heritability Estimates for 14 Characters, Measured from Variance Components in the Combining Ability Analysis and from Regression of Progenies on Maize Inbred Lines.....	150
48. Simple Correlation Coefficients among Characters Measured on Maize Hybrids Derived from the Diallel Cross, at Field 2.....	153
49. Simple Correlation Coefficients among Characters Measured on Maize Hybrids Derived from the Diallel Cross, at Share Farm..	154
50. Simple Correlation Coefficients among Characters Measured on Maize Hybrids Derived from the Diallel Cross, at Two Locations Combined.....	155
51. Ten Double-Cross Maize Hybrids and their Pedigrees Used in the Study.....	174



Table	Page
52. Mean Squares for 14 Characters Measured on Double-Cross Maize Hybrids, at Field 2.....	177
53. Mean Squares for 14 Characters Measured on Double-Cross Maize Hybrids, at Share Farm....	178
54. Mean Squares for 14 Characters Measured on Double-Cross Maize Hybrids from Locations Combine.....	179
55. Mean Values for 14 Characters Measured on Double-Cross Maize Hybrids and Check Varieties, at Field 2.....	180
56. Mean Values for 14 Characters Measured on Double-Cross Maize Hybrids and Check Varieties, at Share Farm.....	181
57. Mean Values for 14 Characters Measured on Double-Cross Maize Hybrids and Check Varieties, at Two Locations Combined.....	182
58. Correlations between Predicted and Actual Performance of 10 Double-Cross Maize Hybrids Evaluated in the Study.....	185
59. Means, Minimum and Maximum, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for Grain Weight per Plant of Each of the TW Inbred Lines.....	218
60. Means, Minimum and Maximum, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for Grain Weight per Plant of Each of the SM5 Inbred Lines.....	219
61. Means, Minimum and Maximum, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for Grain Weight per Plant of Each of the SM7 Inbred Lines.....	220
62. Means, Minimum and Maximum, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for Grain Weight per Plant of Each of the SW Inbred Lines.....	221



Table	Page
63. Means, Minimum and Maximum, and Coefficients of Variation (cv) for Grain Weight per Plant of Each of the MT Inbred Lines.....	222
64. Test for Homogeneity of Error Variance from the ANOVA on Performance of Maize Hybrids and Inbred Lines between Locations.....	223
65. Heterosis (%) over Better-parent (Upper Row) and Mid-parent (Lower Row) for 14 Characters from a 12x12 Diallel Cross among Maize Inbred Lines, at Field 2.....	224
66. Heterosis (%) over Better-parent (Upper Row) and Mid-parent (Lower Row) for 14 Characters from a 12x12 Diallel Cross among Maize Inbred Lines, Share Farm.....	230
67. Heterosis (%) over Better-parent (Upper Row) and Mid-parent (Lower Row) for 14 Characters from the Combined Analyses from a 12x12 Diallel Cross among Maize Inbred Lines, at Two Locations Combined.....	236
68. Ten Top Yielding Hybrids, their Performance, Heterosis, and SCA and GCA Effects in a 12x12 Diallel Cross of Maize for Grain Yield at Field 2 and Share Farm.....	242
69. Test for Homogeneity of Error Variance from the ANOVA on Performance of Double-Cross Maize Hybrids between Locations.....	243
70. Predicted (Upper Row) and Actual (Lower Row) Performance of Double-Cross Maize Hybrids at Field 2, Share Farm and Two Locations Combined.....	244



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	The Regression of W_r - V_r for Ear Diameter, at Field 2 (Top), and at Share Farm (Bottom).....	140
2.	The Regression of W_r - V_r for Number of Kernel Rows per Ear, at Field 2 (Top) and at Share Farm (Bottom).....	142
3.	The Regression of W_r - V_r for Plant Height, at Field 2 (Top), and at Share Farm (Bottom).....	144
4.	The Regression of W_r - V_r for Days to Tasselling, at Field 2 (Top), and at Share Farm (Bottom).....	146
5.	The Monthly Rainfall at UPM, Serdang in 1992 to 1994.....	216



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FAO	: Food and Agriculture Organisation
CIMMYT	: International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre
GCA	: general combining ability
GLM	: ground magnesium limestone
ICS	: interpopulation cross superiority
MT	: Metro
OPV	: open-pollinated variety
QPM	: quality protein maize
RGR	: relative growth rate
RRIM	: Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia
SAS	: statistical analysis system
SCA	: specific combining ability
SM5	: SMC 305 (San Miguel Corporation 305)
SM7	: SMC 317 (San Miguel Corporation 317)
SW	: Suwan
TW	: Tanco White
UPM	: Universiti Pertanian Malaysia



Abstract of dissertation submitted to the Senate of Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**HETEROSIS, COMBINING ABILITY AND YIELD PREDICTION
IN HYBRIDS FROM LOCAL MAIZE INBRED LINES**

By

SRIANI SUJIPRIHATI

December 1996

Chairman : Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ghizan Saleh

Faculty : Agriculture

Studies were conducted to identify and select for superior maize inbred lines based on their performance *per se*, and to evaluate their performance and combining ability in all possible crosses. Performance and heterosis of the single crosses were evaluated at two locations, in Universiti Pertanian Malaysia, Serdang. Furthermore, some promising double cross hybrids developed from the potential single crosses were also evaluated for performance and for comparison with the predicted.

In general, most of the inbred lines exhibited poor performances due to inbreeding effects after successive generations of selfing, but some were however, found to have high potential for use in hybrid production.

Hy-58, Hy-59 and Hy-60 were identified as the best performing F_1 single-cross hybrids at both locations, with grain yields of 3703.7 kg/ha,



3940.7 kg/ha and 3451.9 kg/ha, respectively, at Field 2; 5659.3 kg/ha, 5725.9 kg/ha and 5948.1 kg/ha, respectively, at Share Farm; and 4681.5 kg/ha, 4833.3 kg/ha and 4700.0 kg/ha, respectively, in the locations combined. In general, hybrids that produced high yields were those formed between inbred parents that were themselves high yielding and from genetically diverse population sources.

High heterosis was shown by grain yield, ear weight and grain weight per ear, moderate heterosis by number of kernels per row, ear height, plant height and ear length, while low heterosis was shown by ear diameter, number of kernel rows per ear, 100-grain weight and shelling percentage. Maturity characters showed negative heterosis, indicating earliness of the hybrids.

The results of combining ability analyses showed significant GCA and SCA effects for all characters studied, except for shelling percentage, indicating the importance of both additive and non-additive gene actions in the control of these characters. However, the SCA variances for most characters were much higher than their respective GCA variances at both locations.

The varied estimates of broad-sense heritability between the two locations for all characters were due to the presence of genotype x location interactions. Estimates of broad-sense heritability were high for 100-grain weight, plant height, days to tasselling and days to silking, at Field 2; for



grain yield, ear weight, ear length, number of kernels per row, 100-grain weight, days to tasselling and days to maturity at Share Farm; and for 100-grain weight, days to tasselling, days to silking and days to maturity in the combined analysis. Narrow-sense heritability estimates obtained from the variance components were generally in agreement with those from the parent-offspring regression, although those obtained from the regression were slightly higher.

The WR-VR analysis indicated that the gene actions involved in the control of ear diameter and days to tasselling were generally over dominance, while that for number of kernel rows per ear was between partial dominance and complete dominance, and that for plant height was between complete dominance and over dominance.

Grain yield for the single-cross hybrids was highly significantly correlated with most of the other characters studied. With some exceptions, correlations among the yield components were generally positive and significant. Maturity characters were negatively correlated with most other characters.

The best performing double-cross hybrids, DC-26 and DC-34, were found to have high yield potentials. From the correlation analysis, the actual and the predicted performances of the double-cross hybrids were found to have generally a good agreement at both locations, and locations combined.



Abstrak disertasi ini dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Pertanian Malaysia bagi memenuhi syarat memperoleh ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

HETEROSIS, KEUPAYAAN BERGABUNG DAN JANGKAAN HASIL PADA HIBRID DARI WARISAN INBRED JAGUNG TEMPATAN

Oleh

SRIANI SUJIPRIHATI

Disember 1996

Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Dr. Ghizan Saleh

Fakulti : Pertanian

Kajian dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti dan memilih warisan inbred jagung bijian yang unggul berdasarkan prestasinya sendiri, dan menilai prestasi serta kemampuan bergabungnya dalam semua kombinasi kacukan di antaranya. Prestasi dan heterosis pada hibrid kacukan tunggal tersebut dinilai di dua lokasi di Universiti Pertanian Malaysia. Selanjutnya, beberapa hibrid terpilih dari kacukan ganda dua yang dibentuk dari kacukan tunggal yang berpotensi juga dinilai prestasinya serta dilakukan perbandingan dengan prestasi yang dijangka.

Pada amnya, kebanyakan warisan inbred menunjukkan prestasi yang rendah disebabkan kesan kemelesetan akibat dari beberapa generasi penyendirian yang dijalankan, tetapi beberapa diantaranya, walaubagaimanapun mempunyai potensi yang tinggi untuk pembentukan hibrid. Hy-58, Hy-59 dan Hy-60 dikenalpasti sebagai hibrid-hibrid kacukan-tunggal F₁ terbaik di kedua-dua lokasi, dengan hasil bijian masing-masing

3703.7 kg/ha, 3940.7 kg/ha dan 3451.9 kg/ha di Ladang 2, 5659.3 kg/ha, 5725.9 kg/ha dan 5948.1 kg/ha di Ladang Kongsi, dan 4681.5 kg/ha, 4833.3 kg/ha dan 4700.0 kg/ha di gabungan kedua lokasi. Pada amnya, hibrid-hibrid yang memberikan hasil bijian tinggi adalah hibrid-hibrid yang dibentuk dari warisan-warisan inbred yang sendirinya berprestasi tinggi dan diperolehi dari populasi-populasi sumber yang luas perbezaan genetiknya.

Heterosis yang tinggi ditunjukkan oleh hasil bijian, berat tongkol dan berat bijian setongkol, heterosis yang sederhana ditunjukkan oleh bilangan biji sebaris, tinggi tongkol, tinggi pokok dan panjang tongkol, manakala heterosis yang rendah ditunjukkan oleh garispusat tongkol, bilangan baris setongkol, berat 100-biji dan peratus peleraian. Heterosis pada ciri-ciri kematangan adalah negatif, ini menunjukkan hibrid-hibrid lebih awal matang.

Keputusan analisis keupayaan bergabung menunjukkan kesan GCA dan SCA yang bererti untuk kesemua ciri yang dikaji kecuali peratus peleraian. Ini menunjukkan pentingnya kedua-dua tindakan gen menambah dan gen tak-menambah dalam pengawalan ciri-ciri tersebut. Walaubagaimanapun, varian SCA untuk kebanyakan ciri amat melebihi varian GCA-nya di kedua-dua lokasi.

Anggaran kebolehwarisan luas yang berbeza ditunjukkan antara dua lokasi pada semua ciri adalah disebabkan kewujudan interaksi genotip x persekitaran. Nilai kebolehwarisan luas adalah tinggi untuk berat 100-biji, tinggi pokok, hari pentaselan dan hari perambutan, di Ladang 2, untuk hasil

bijian, berat tongkol, panjang tongkol, bilangan biji sebaris, berat 100-biji, hari pentaselan dan kematangan, di Ladang Kongsi, serta untuk berat 100-biji, hari pentaselan, hari perambutan dan kematangan di gabungan kedua lokasi. Anggaran kebolehwarisan sempit yang diperolehi daripada komponen varian pada amnya adalah selari dengan anggaran yang diperolehi dari regresi induk-anak, walaupun nilai yang diperolehi dari cara regresi adalah agak lebih tinggi sedikit.

Analisis W_r-V_r menunjukkan bahawa tindakan gen yang terlibat mengawal ciri-ciri garispusat tongkol dan hari pentaselan adalah pada amnya, dominan lampau, manakala untuk bilangan baris setongkol adalah antara separa dominan dan dominan lengkap, serta untuk tinggi pokok pula adalah antara dominan lengkap dan dominan lampau.

Hasil bijian pada hibrid kacukan tunggal berkorelasi secara sangat bererti dengan kebanyakan ciri-ciri yang dikaji. Korelasi diantara ciri komponen hasil secara amnya adalah positif dan bererti, kecuali dalam beberapa keadaan. Ciri-ciri kematangan berkorelasi negatif dengan kebanyakan ciri yang lain.

Hibrid kacukan dua ganda terbaik, DC-26 dan DC-34, didapati mempamerkan potensi penghasilan yang tinggi. Dari analisis korelasi, prestasi sebenar hibrid kacukan dua ganda pada amnya, didapati menunjukkan pertalian yang rapat dengan prestasi yang dijangka, di kedua-dua lokasi, dan gabungannya.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Maize is the world's third most important cereal crop after wheat and rice, and is mainly used for human food, animal feed, and many unique industrial and commercial products in many parts of the world (Boyer and Hannah, 1994).

Maize is planted in a wide range of climatic conditions. It is known for its versatile nature and tremendous genetic variability, enabling it to grow successfully throughout the world. In Asia, maize is grown in varied environmental conditions, from tropical lowlands at sea level to high elevations in the Himalaya region, and from latitudes of 45° N to 20° S (De Leon and Paroda, 1993a).

In Malaysia, maize is presently a relatively minor crop, where the area planted with it is very small as compared to those in the other Asian countries. In 1993, the area planted with maize in Peninsular Malaysia was 21,000 ha (CIMMYT, 1994), mainly with sweet corn. The demand for grain maize, however, has increased greatly in the past ten years, due to rapid growth in the ruminant livestock industry. To meet this need, therefore, Malaysia has been very much dependent on the importation of grain maize



from other countries, particularly from China, Thailand, and the United States. In 1994, the demand for imported maize was 1.9814 million tonnes costing about RM 683.3 million (Department of Statistics, Malaysia, 1994). This demand is expected to increase in the future (Nik Fuad, 1992). This trend indicates the great need to develop the local maize growing industry, so as to reduce the dependence on imported feeds in the long term (Ahmad Badri, 1987; Saleh *et al.*, 1994).

The unavailability of high yielding varieties suited to local conditions, and the high cost of production are the main reasons for the lack of interest among local farmers in growing grain maize. Nevertheless, Yap and Saleh (1994) suggested the use of adapted superior genotypes that can substantially reduce the cost of production. In addition, De Leon and Paroda (1993b) emphasised that area expansion and increase in productivity of maize in Malaysia would be possible only when improved production technologies using high yielding hybrid varieties in a large-scale maize industry and mechanised cultivation with appropriate inputs are made available to the farmers.

Therefore, the development of local superior genotypes or hybrid varieties, fully adaptable to the local environment, is much encouraged. The full potential of the locally-adapted inbred lines as parents of hybrid maize varieties through selection for their performance and combining ability in hybrid combinations needs to be fully exploited. Maize breeding programmes at Universiti Pertanian Malaysia were initiated in 1980, directed