

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS AND STIMULATION PRACTICES AFFECTING IN HEVEA BRASILIENSIS (MUELL.ARG.)

ISMAIL BIN HASHIM

FP 1988 3



LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS AND STIMULATION PRACTICES AFFECTING RESPONSES IN HEVEA BRASILIENSIS (MUELL. ARG.)

by

ISMAIL BIN HASHIM

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in the Department of Agronomy and Horticulture
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia

July 1988



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was accomplished with the valuable contributions from many individuals. While it is not possible for me to mention all, I am pleased to express my gratitude to the following:

I am grateful to the Director, Assistant Director (Biology) and Board of the Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia for allowing me to pursue this study on a part-time basis.

The understanding, useful suggestions and guidance from my supervisors, Associate Prof. Dr Raja Muhammad bin Raja Harun and Associate Prof. Dr Wong Kai Choo are much appreciated. I am also indebted to Dr P.D. Abraham, Head of Tapping and Exploitation Physiology Division for his cooperation and expert advice.

Many of my colleagues were very helpful, especially to Dr S. Sivakumaran for reading through the initial draft, Dr Samsidar Hamzah for providing the electron microscopy service, Dr S.W. Pakianathan for allowing his staff, Encik Aw Kim Fatt to help in the physiological studies, Encik Napi bin Daud and Encik Mohd Akib bin Mohd Yusof, the RRIM statisticians, and to Encik Lee Chew Kang, Tuan Haji Ahmad Zarin bin Haji Mat Tasi and Tuan Haji Sakhibun bin Mohd Husin for their capable supervision of the field trials sited at their respective regions. The



involvement of Dr P'ng Tat Chin and Dr Yoon Pooi Kong in the conduct of the preliminary trials also needs mention here.

I also appreciate the dedication of the many field staff involved without whose effort, systematic and proper records may not be obtained. I also wish to thank Puan Siti Rashidah, Encik Albert Chua, Encik D. Ramasamy, Encik Rahman Karimon, Encik Richard Chua, Encik Henry Chua, Encik M. Munusamy, Encik Liong Heng Mo and Encik Choo Gee Tiem for their technical assistance. The patience shown by Encik Teo Kim Wah in the computation of tables and typing of this thesis is very much admired.

This work may not have materialised without the understanding and love from my wife, Sapiah, and my children Idayu, Idahani, Idham and Idazureen, who provide the comfort, and are a constant source of inspiration for me to proceed despite the busy official and personal matters affecting a parttime student.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF PLATES	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
ABSTRACTS	κxi
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 - REVIEW OF LITERATURE	Ŀ
CHAPTER 3 - PRELIMINARY FIELD TRIALS	64
MATERIALS AND METHODS	66
EXPERIMENTAL	73
I. RESPONSES TO FOURTH DAILY TAPPING SYSTEMS AND STIMULATION PRACTICES ON VIRGIN BARK OF SECOND BASE PANEL	73
freatments	73
Results	73
Discussion	2/1



	Page
II. RESPONSES TO QUARTER CUT CHANGE-OVER SYSTEM AND STIMULATION PRACTICES ON VIRGIN BARK OF SECOND BASE PANEL.	88
Treatments	88
Results	88
Discussion	96
III. RESPONSES TO LOW-INTENSITY CONTROLLED UPWARD TAPPING SYSTEM AND STIMULATION PRACTICES ON VIRGIN BARK OF FIRST HIGH PANEL	99
Treatments	99
Results	100
Discussion	1,02
IV. RESPONSES TO DIFFERENT STIMULATION PRACTICES ON RENEWED BARK OF FIRST BASE PANEL	107
Treatments	107
Results	107
Discussion	117
JHAPTER 4 - MAIN FIELD TRIALS	121
MATERIALS AND METHODS	122
EXPERIMENTAL	128
I. RESPONSES TO LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS AND STIMULATION PRACTICES ON VIRGIN BARK OF FIRST BASE PANEL	128
Treatments	128
Results	129
Discussion	172



Page		
178	RESPONSES TO LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS AND STIMULATION PRACTICES ON VIRGIN BARK OF SECOND BASE PANEL.	II.
178	Treatments	
179	Results	
196	Discussion	
200	RESPONSES TO LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS AND STIMULATION PRACTICES ON VIRGIN BARK OF FIRST HIGH PANEL.	III.
200	Treatments	
201	Results	
220	Discussion	
224	ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS	
227	Profitability of young rubber - Clone RRIM 712	
231	Profitability of mature rubber - Clone RRIM 600	
233	Profitability of high panel exploitation - Clone RRIM 600	
235	Discussion and conclusions	
239	5 - PHYSIOLOGICAL AND ANATOMICAL STUDIES ON DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF TAPPING AND STIMULATION PRACTICES	CHAPTER
239	MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
~40	MEDOFIE UID DIDODDIOLOGICALIA COLLOGICALIA COLLOGICA COLLOGIC	



	Page
RESPONSES OF YIELD AND GROWTH PARAMETERS TO DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF TAPPING AND STIMULATION PRACTICES	246
Results	246
Discussion	258
PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES TO DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF TAPPING AND STIMULATION PRACTICES	267
Results	267
Discussion	283
ANATOMICAL RESPONSES TO DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF TAPPING AND STIMULATION	
PRACTICES	290
Results	290
Discussion	300
CHAPTER 6 - GENERAL DISCUSSION	303
CHAPTER 7 - PROPOSAL FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	321
CHAPTER 8 - SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	324
BIBLIOGRAPHY	332
APPENDICES	353
VITA	359



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	MATERIALS AND METHODS OF PRELIMINARY TRIALS	67
2.	TABLE FOR G/T/T, KG/HA OVER FIVE YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 701, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH	74 75
3.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA AND PERCENTAGE RESPONSE OVER FIVE YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 701, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH	76
4.	COMPARATIVE D.R.C. AND LATE DRIP OVER FIVE YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 701, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH	81
5.	COMPARATIVE DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER FIVE YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 701, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH	83
6.	YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA, D.R.C. AND LATE DRIP OVER FIVE YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 623, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH	89
7.	COMPARATIVE DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER FIVE YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 623, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH	95
8.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T AND KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE GT 1, PANELS BI-1 AND HO-1 - CENTRAL	101
9.	DRY RUBBER CONTENT AND LATE DRIP OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE GT 1, PANELS BI-1 AND HO-1 - CENTRAL.	
10.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T OVER SEVEN YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 605, PANEL BI-1 - NORTH	108
11.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN KG/HA AND PERCENTAGE RESPONSE OVER SEVEN YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 605, PANEL BI-1 - NORTH	109
12.	PERCENTAGE D.R.C. OVER SEVEN YEARS ON CLONE	113



Table		Page
13.	PERCENTAGE LATE DRIP OVER SEVEN YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 605, PANEL BI-1 - NORTH	114
14.	PERCENTAGE DRYNESS INCIDENCE AND BARK CONSUMPTION OVER SEVEN YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 605, PANEL BI-1 NORTH	115
15.	GIRTH INCREMENT OVER SEVEN YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 605, PANEL BI-1 - NORTH	116
16.	EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS OF MAIN TRIALS	124
17.		130
18.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE AND D.R.C. OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE PB 255, PANEL BO-1 - NORTH	132
19.	BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE PB 255, PANEL BO-1 -	134
20.		137
21.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE AND D.R.G. OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-1 - NORTH	1 3 8
22.	COMPARATIVE LATE DRIP, DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-1 - NORTH	140
23.	TABLE FOR G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 712, PANEL BO-1 - NORTH	143
24.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE AND D.R.C. OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 712, PANEL BO-1 - NORTH	144



Table		Page
25.	COMPARATIVE LATE DRIP, DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 712, PANEL BO-1 - NORTH.	146
26.	TABLE FOR G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 712, PANEL BO-1- EAST	149
27.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE AND D.R.C. OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 712, PANEL BO-1 - EAST	151
28.	COMPARATIVE LATE DRIP, DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 712, PANEL BO-1 - EAST	153
29.		155
30.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T. KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE AND D.R.C. OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - EAST	156
31.	COMPARATIVE LATE DRIP, DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - EAST.	158
32.	CUMULATIVE YIELDS IN KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS FOR YOUNG RUBBER, PANEL B9-1 AT DIFFERENT SITES	160
33•	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 712, PANEL BO-1 AT DIFFERENT SITES	166
34.	TABLE FOR G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-2 - NORTH	180
35.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE AND D.R.C. OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-2 - NORTH	181



Table		Page
36.	COMPARATIVE LATE DRIP, DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-2 - NORTH.	183
37.	TABLE FOR G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH	186
38.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE AND D.R.C. OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH	187
39.	COMPARATIVE LATE DRIP, DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-2 - SOUTH.	189
40.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-2 AT DIFFERENT SITES	192
41.	TABLE FOR G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANELS BI-1 AND HO-1-CENTRAL.	202
42.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANELS BI-1 AND HO-1 - CENTRAL	203
43.	COMPARATIVE D.R.C., LATE DRIP, DRYNESS AND BARK CONSUMPTION OVER TWO YEARS FOR CLONE RRIM 600, PANELS BI-1 AND HO-1 - CENTRAL	205
44.	TABLE FOR G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANELS BI-1 AND HO-1 - NORTH.	208
45.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T AND KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANELS BI-1 AND HO-1 - NORTH	210
46.	COMPARATIVE D.R.C., LATE DRIP, DRYNESS AND BARK CONSUMPTION OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANELS BI-1 AND HO-1 - NORTH	212



[able		Page
47.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANELS BI-1, HO-1 AT DIFFERENT SITES	214
48.	GROSS REVENUE AND YIELD RESPONSE OVER TWO YEARS FROM LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS ON TWO CLONES AT DIFFERENT SITES	226
49.	COMPARATIVE NET AND % REVENUE, MEAN % TAPPING COST AND YIELD PER TAPPER FROM LOW INTENSITY SYSTEMS ON YOUNG RUBBER	228
50.	COMPARATIVE NET AND % REVENUE, MEAN % TAPPING COST AND YIELD PER TAPPER FROM LOW INTENSITY SYSTEMS ON MATURE RUBBER	232
51.	COMPARATIVE NET AND % REVENUE, % TAPPING COST AND YIELD PER TAPPER FROM LOW INTENSITY SYSTEMS ON HIGH PANELS	234
52.	EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS FOR STUDIES ON ANATOMY AND PHYSIOLOGY ON CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - CENTRAL	242
53.	SELECTION OF TREES BASED ON YIELDS AT TIME OF BARK SAMPLINGS FOR CLONE PR 255	245
54.	TABLE FOR G/T/T AND KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - CENTRAL	
55.	COMPARATIVE YIELD IN G/T/T, KG/HA WITH PERCENTAGE RESPONSE AND D.R.C. OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - CENTRAL	248
56.	COMPARATIVE PERCENTAGE LATE DRIP, DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - CENTRAL	254
57.	NOMINAL TAPPING INTENSITIES OF THE FIVE TAPPING SYSTEMS AGAINST MEAN G/T/T, D.R.C.,	



YEARS ON CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - CENTRAL.. 257

LATE DRIP, DRYNESS INCIDENCE, BARK CONSUMPTION AND GIRTH INCREMENT OVER TWO

Table		Page
58.	DISTRIBUTION OF PERCENTAGE FALL IN TURGOR PRESSURES IN DIFFERENT TAPPING SYSTEMS	275
59.	DISPLACEMENT AREAS AND PLUGGING INDEX OF LOWEST INTENSITY AND HIGHEST INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS AGAINST 1/2S d/2 CONTROL	
60.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN YIELD, PLUGGING INDEX AND DRAINAGE AREA OF LOWEST INTENSITY AND HIGHEST INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS AGAINST 1/2S d/2 CONTROL	282
61.	BARK THICKNESS, NUMBER, AND DIAMETER OF LATEX VESSELS IN TREES SUBJECTED TO DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF TAPPING - CLONE PR 255 PANEL BO-1 - CENTRAL	296



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	THE METABOLIC PATHWAY IN RUBBER BIOSYNTHESIS	18
2.	SIZE AND SHAPE OF THE DRAINAGE AREA	22
3.	DIAGRAMATIC PRESENTATION OF THE CONCEPTS OF LATEX FLOW AND PLUG FORMATION	25
4.	FLOW CHART ON THE CONCEPTS OF ETHYLENE ACTION IN YIELD STIMULATION	58
5.	MONTHLY YIELD PATTERN IN KG/HA OVER FIVE YEARS FOR LOW INTENSITY FOURTH DAILY TAPPING SYSTEM WITH TWO LEVELS OF ETHEPHON STIMULATION ON CLONE RRIM 701, PANEL BO-2	7 9
6.	YIELD IN KILOGRAM PER PANEL BASIS FROM THE LOW FREQUENCY 1/2S d/4 SYSTEM WITH STIMULATION ON CLONE RRIM 701, PANELS BO-2 AND BI-1 - SOUTH.	80
7.	YIELD RESPONSES IN KG/HA OVER FIVE YEARS FOR THE QUARTER CUT CHANGE-OVER SYSTEM WITH STIMULATION ON CLONE RRIM 623, PANEL BO-2	91
8.	YIELD IN KILOGRAM PER PANEL BASIS FROM THE QUARTER CUT CHANGE-OVER SYSTEM WITH STIMULATION ON CLONE RRIM 623, PANELS BO-2 AND BI-1 - SOUTH	92
9.	CUMULATIVE YIELD IN KG/HA OF CONTROLLED UPWARD TAPPING WITH DIFFERENT LENGTHS OF CUT, FREQUENCIES AND ETHEPHON CONCENTRATIONS OVER TWO YEARS ON CLONE GT 1, PANEL BI-1 AND HO-1	103
10.	YEARLY YIELD TREND IN KILOGRAM PER HECTARE FOR SEVEN YEARS ON CLONE RRIM 605, PANEL BI-1 NORTH. COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF STIMULATION METHODOLOGY	111



Figure		Page
11.	RESPONSES IN MEAN KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS FOR THREE TAPPING SYSTEMS ON YOUNG RUBBER, PANEL BO-1 OF CLONES PB 235, RRIM 600 AND RRIM 712 - NORTH.	163
12.	RESPONSES IN MEAN KG/HA OVER TWO YEARS FOR THREE TAPPING SYSTEMS ON YOUNG RUBBER, PANEL BO-1 OF CLONES RRIM 712 AND PR 255 - EAST	164
13.	COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE BETWEEN SITES, CLONE RRIM 712 PANEL BO-1	167
14.	YIELD TRENDS AND OTHER RELATED PARAMETERS OVER TWO YEARS FROM LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS ON YOUNG RUBBER OF CLONE RRIM 712 - NORTH AND EAST	169
15.	COMPARATIVE YIELD, MEAN D.R.C., OF DIFFERENT ETHEPHON CONCENTRATIONS AND PLUGGING INDICES OF UNSTIMULATED LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS WITH DIFFERENT CLONES ON PANEL BO-1 - NORTH.	171
16.	YIELD TRENDS AND OTHER RELATED PARAMETERS OVER TWO YEARS FROM LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS ON MATURE RUBBER OF CLONE RRIM 600 - NORTH AND SOUTH	193
17.	CYCLICAL YIELD TRENDS AND COMPARATIVE EVALUATION BETWEEN STAGGERED AND CONTINUOUS ETHEPHON APPLICATION ON CLONE RRIM 600, PANEL BO-2 AT NORTH AND SOUTH	195
18.	COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE BETWEEN SITES, CLONE RRIM 600 PANELS BI-1, HO-1	216
19.		217



Figure		Page
20.	COMPARATIVE YIELD PERFORMANCE OF LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS WITH DIFFERENT PANELS ON CLONE RRIM 600 - NORTH	219
21.	CYCLICAL YIELD IN MEAN G/T/T OVER TWO YEARS' TAPPING WITH CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - CENTRAL.	250
22.	CYCLICAL MEAN DRY RUBBER CONTENT OVER TWO YEARS' TAPPING WITH CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1 - CENTRAL	252
23.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TAPPING INTENSITY OF CONTROL AND STIMULATED SYSTEMS ON YIELD IN KG/HA AND G/T/T, MEAN D.R.C., GIRTH INCREMENT, DRYNESS INCIDENCE AND MEAN BARK CONSUMPTION ON CLONE PR 255, PANEL BO-1	259
24.	FLOW RATES FOR THE DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF TAPPING	26 8
25.	COMPARATIVE INITIAL FLOW RATES FOR THE DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF TAPPING BETWEEN PRE- AND POST-TAPPINGS	270
26.	DISTRIBUTION OF PRESSURE POINTS WITH TURGOR PRESSURES BEFORE TAPPING AND (AFTER TAPPING).	271
27.	TURGOR PRESSURES BEFORE AND AFTER TAPPING AT VARIOUS DISTANCES BELOW THE TAPPING CUT	273 274
28.	DISTRIBUTION OF PERCENTAGE FALL IN TURGOR PRESSURES WITH DIFFERENT INTENSITIES OF TAPPING.	276
29.	REGRESSION LINE AND REGRESSION EQUATION OF YIELD ON DRAINAGE AREA	279
	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PLUGGING INDEX AND	201



LIST OF PLATES

Page		Plate
11	THREE-DIMENSIONAL DIAGRAM OF THE STRUCTURE OF THE BARK OF HEVEA BRASILIENSIS	1.
291	TANGENTIAL LONGITUDUNAL SECTION OF A HIGH YIELDING TREE TAPPED WITH 1/2S d/2 SYSTEM SHOWING FULLY (F) AND PARTIALLY (P) PACKED LATEX VESSELS AND SIEVE TUBES (ST)	2.
292	LOOSELY PACKED DISCRETE RUBBER PARTICLES WITH MEMBRANES NOT FUSED IN THE LATEX VESSEL OF A HIGH YIELDING TREE TAPPED WITH 1/2S d/2 SYSTEM.	3.
293	A SLIGHT INSTABILITY IN THE LATEX AS SHOWN BY THE MICROFLOCCULATION AROUND THE LUTOID (L) FROM A HIGH YIELDING TREE WITH 1/2S d/2 TAPPING WITH ETHEPHON STIMULATION	4.
294	TANGENTIAL LONGITUDINAL SECTION OF A LOW YIELDING TREE TAPPED WITH 1/2S d/0.5 ET10.0% LAM SYSTEM SHOWING SCLEREIDS (SC) AND PARTIALLY EMPTIED LATEX VESSELS (LV)	5•
295	TANGENTIAL LONGITUDINAL SECTION OF A LOW YIELDING TREE TAPPED WITH 1/2S d/0.5 ET10.0% LAM SYSTEM SHOWING SCLEREIDS (SC) AND EMPTY LATEX VESSEL (LV).	6.
297	LONGITUDINAL SECTION OF A LATEX VESSEL FROM A TREE TAPPED WITH 1/2S d/0.5 WITH ETHEPHON STIMULATION	7.
298	LONGITUDINAL SECTION SHOWING WELL PRESERVED LATEX VESSEL WITH STABLE AND DISCRETE RUBBER PARTICLES FROM A TREE TAPPED WITH 1/4S d/4 CONTROL	8.
299	LONGITUDINAL SECTION OF LATEX VESSELS TAPPED WITH 1/4S d/4 ET10.0% SHOWING PARTIAL EMPTINESS IN THE LATEX VESSELS.	9.



LIST OF APPENDICES

ppendix		
TIW	HEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF THE TAPPING CUTS THE ANNUAL BARK CONSUMPTION AND SOME OF THE PPING SYSTEMS REFERRED	353
	PERNATIONAL TAPPING AND STIMULATION CATIONS	354
-	OCEDURES ADOPTED IN THE APPLICATION OF ELD STIMULANT	355
4. FOR	MULAE FOR ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	356
	EAKDOWN ON TAPPING COST (PER HECTARE PER AR)	357
	CED COST PER HECTARE FOR ESTATE AND ALLHOLDING AND PERCENTAGE TAPPING COST THE CALCULATION OF NET PROFIT	358



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

a.i. active ingredient

AVROS Algemene Vereniging Rubber Oostkust Sumatra

B-serum Bottom fraction serum

Beta coefficient Standardized regression coefficient

Ca Calcium

CoA Coenzyme A

CUT Controlled Upward Tapping

CV covariance

d.r.c. dry rubber content

ET ethephon

f.o.b. freight on board

g/t/t gram per tree per tapping

GT Gondang Tapen (Indonesia)

IRCA Institut de Recherches sur le Caoutchouc

IRRDB International Rubber Research and Development

Board

IPP isopentenyl pyrophosphate

kg/ha kilogram per hectare

MAPA Malaysian Agricultural Producers'

Association

Mg Magnesium

MVA Mevalonic acid

NUPW National Union of Plantation Workers

NPK Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium

NR natural rubber

P Phosphorus

pH power of Hydrogen

PI plugging index

PR Proefstation voor Rubber (Indonesia)

PRI plasticity retention index

R & D research and development

SMR Standard Malaysian Rubber

TP Turgor pressure

U-gouge end of blade is U-shaped

V-shape end of blade is V-shaped

2,4-D 2,4-dichloro phenoxyacetic acid

2,4,5-T 2,4,5-trichloro phenoxyacetic acid

ABSTRACT

An abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

LOW INTENSITY TAPPING SYSTEMS AND STIMULATION PRACTICES
AFFECTING RESPONSES IN HEVEA BRASILIENSIS (MUELL, ARG.)

by

Ismail bin Hashim

July, 1988

Chief Supervisor : Associate Professor Raja Muhammad

bin Raja Harun, Ph.D.

Supervisor : Associate Professor Wong Kai Choo, Ph.D.

Co-Supervisor : P.D. Abraham, Ph.D.

Faculty : Agriculture

The natural rubber industry in Malaysia is experiencing serious problems of high production costs and increasing shortage of skilled tappers. Thus there is a pressing need to evolve suitable tapping systems to resolve these problems. With this objective in mind, the suitability of various less labour-intensive low-intensity tapping systems and stimulation practices are evaluated in this study.



The tapping systems included are the half-spiral fourth-daily system (1/2S d/4), suitable for estates, and the quarter-spiral alternate-daily change-over system (1/4S d/2(t,t)) suitable for smallholders; with various levels of ethephon stimulation. The conventional half-spiral alternate-daily tapping system (1/2S d/2) was included as control. Altogether, three groups of trials comprising a series of long-term preliminary trials, a series of main field trials and a trial on exploitation physiology and anatomy were conducted.

In a series of long-term preliminary experiments, the 1/2S d/4 and the 1/4S d/2(t,t) systems with stimulation have shown promising results on both the basal and high panels of some selected cultivars. These systems have given uniform and sustained yields, higher dry rubber content, low late drip, low dryness incidence, low bark consumption and better girthing than the 1/2S d/2 control. Some parameters on stimulation practices were also established for evaluation in the main field trials.

Evaluation of low intensity systems and stimulation practices on clones PB 255, RRIM 712, PR 255 and RRIM 600 carried out under main field trials confirmed the beneficial features of these systems on base panel BO-1. This also holds true for other panels on clone RRIM 600. Although the 1/2S d/2 systems were most profitable during the two years observation, the low intensity tapping systems showed a rising yield trend with good secondary characteristics.

xxii

