

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

THE ECONOMICS OF PRODUCTION IN CHINSSE RUBBER SMALLHOLDINGS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

CHEW TEK ANN

FP 1981 4



THE ECONOMICS OF PRODUCTION IN CHINESE RUBBER SMALLHOLDINGS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

bу

Chew Tek Ann B.Agric.Sc.(Hons), University of Malaya M.Phil., University of Sussex

A thesis submitted to Universiti Pertanian Malaysia for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

June 1981



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to express my gratitude to my thesis supervisor, Dr. Wan Leong Fee, for his guidance, suggestions and forbearance. The current Dean of the Faculty, Dr. Mohd. Ariff Hussein, has also been most cooperative and unstinting in his support.

I am indebted to RRI Malaysia, for providing me the raw data from its 1963/64 Rubber Smallholdings Survey, especially to Encik Rashid for helping me to interpret the computer codes used in the tabulation of the data.

My own 1978 Survey in Selangor would not have successfully conducted had it not been for the support and advice of Mr. Mak Khong Hee, Pegawai RISDA Negeri Selangor and his staff.

All the computer analyses for this research was done at the University of Malaya Computer Centre. I am grateful to Mr. K.Y. Choong and the programmers at the Centre for their assistance.

I benefited at various stages of thesis preparation from discussions I have had with Dr. Sam Strong (University of Queensland), Prof. Harlan Lampe (University of Rhode Island) and my colleague Dr. Mohd. Yusoff. Dr. J.B. Hardaker (University of New England) and Professors E.C. Pasour Jr, and R.K. Perrin (both at North Carolina State University, Raleigh) reviewed and commented on a draft of the thesis. The clarity of expression and rigour of analysis in a number of places are much improved as a result of their comments. I am very grateful to them for their suggestions.



It is customary to say a few words of appreciation to the institution to which one is attached. Technically I am indebted to Universiti Pertanian Malaysia for providing financial support for this research. I am also grateful, in a way, for the 1½ years sabbatical-cum-study leave that enabled me to attend a nondegree program at NCSU, Raleigh. However, I wish I had been given the full study leave to complete my Ph.D at NCSU, Raleigh on the ADC Fellowship that I was awarded in 1975. The lost opportunity and subsequent constraints caused me great mental anguish.

Finally responsibility for surviving errors and ambiguities in the thesis is mine alone.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
LIST OF T	ABLES	VIII
LIST OF F	IGURES	ΧI
LIST OF A	PPENDICES	XII
ABSTRACT		XIII
CHAPTER		
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Purposes of Study Definitions Data 1963/64 RRI Survey 1978 Survey Concluding Remarks	1 5 17 17 18 25
II.	THE CHOICE OF FUNCTIONAL FORM: THEORETICAL AND EMPIRICAL CONSIDERATIONS	27
	Production Functions Cobb-Douglas and CES Functions: Some	27
	Theoretical Remarks	29
	Smallholdings: Variables to Consider	30
	Smallholdings: Capital Service Flow Empirical Results with Cobb-Douglas and	38
	CES Functions	40
III.	FACTOR INPUTS AND PRODUCTIVITY: OWNER-TAPPED SMALLHOLDINGS	51
	Introduction Owner-Tapped Smallholdings: Factor Inputs Variation in Factor Inputs Owner-Tapped Smallholdings: Productivity	51 52 55
	Per Hectare and Returns to Scale	62 62 66
	Decreasing Returns to Scale	73



		Page
	Desregarding Quality Difference in Tapping Labour	76 79 80 86
IV.	FACTOR INPUTS AND PRODUCTIVITY: SHARE-TAPPED SMALLHOLDINGS	89
	Preliminary	89 89
	Scale	94 99 101 107
٧.	FACTOR INPUTS AND PRODUCTIVITY: CONTRACT-TAPPED SMALLHOLDINGS	109
	Preliminary	109 109
	Scale Some Final Remarks Optimality Tests Main Findings	112 117 119 123
VI.	FACTOR INPUTS AND PRODUCTIVITY: WAGE-TAPPED SMALLHOLDINGS	125
	Preliminary	125 125
	Scale Some Final Remarks Optimality Tests Main Findings	128 133 133 137
VII.	THE THEORY OF THE FIRM AND TENANCY	139
	Introductory Remarks The Firm and Tenancy: A Theoretical Framework Cohen's Hypothesis Extended Summary for Emphasis Review of Sharecropping Theories Competing Theories Bardhan-Srinivasan's and Cheung's Theories	139 140 141 148 149 150
	Evaluated Using Our Theoretical Framework	154



		vii
		Page
	Further Remarks Empirical Observations Cited in the	161
	Sharecropping Literature	163 169
VIII.	DIFFERENT TENANCY GROUPS IN RUBBER SMALLHOLDINGS: EMPIRICAL TESTS Introductory Remarks Hypotheses with Regard to Rubber Smallholdings Hypotheses 1, 2 and 3 Hypothesis 7 Hypothesis 8 Shift in Equilibrium Sharecropping (Share-Tapping) Position Incidence of Sharecropping in Peninsular Malaysia Hypotheses Derived from Alternate Theories of Sharecropping Hypothesis 9 Hypothesis 10 Hypothesis 11 Final Remarks: Towards a Transactional Paradigm	170 170 171 171 177 181 182 185 189 192 192 195 202 204
IX.	TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE IN RUBBER SMALLHOLDINGS: MICROECONOMIC ANALYSIS	206
	Technological Progress: General	206 209 213
	Level	2.1.5
Х.	SUMMARY AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS	220
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	231
	APPENDICES	238



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Chinese Smallholdings in 1963/64 Survey Distributed According to States and Tenancy Groups	18
1.2	Chinese Smallholdings in 1978 Survey Distributed According to Localities and Tenancy Groups	24
2.1	Empirical Estimates of Parameters for Models A, B, C and D for Owner-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	43
2.2	Empirical Estimates of Parameters for Models A, B, C and D for Share-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	44
2.3	Empirical Estimates of Parameters for Models A, B, C and D for Contract-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	45
2.4	Empirical Estimates of Parameters for Models A, B, C and D for Wage-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	46
2.5	Empirical Estimates of Parameters for Models A, B, C and D for Owner-Tapped Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	47
2.6	Empirical Estimates of Parameters for Models A, B, C and D for Share-Tapped Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	48
2.7	Empirical Estimates of Parameters for Models A, B, C and D for Contract-Tapped Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	49
3.1	Means of Variables for Owner-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	53
3.2	Means of Variables for Owner-Tapped Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	54
3.3	Estimates of Production Functions for Owner-Tapped Rubber Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	67
3.4	Estimates of Production Functions for Owner–Tapped Rubber Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	68



Table		Page
3.5	Statistics for Categories of Smallholdings Having Decreasing Returns to Scale	75
3.6	Summary of Various Elasticities for Owner-Tapped Smallholdings	80
3.7	Optimality Tests for Owner-Tapped Smallholdings	83
4.1	Means of Variables for Share-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	90
4.2	Means of Variables for Share-Tapped Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	91
4.3	Estimates of Production Functions for Share-Tapped Rubber Smallholdings (1963/64 Survey)	95
4.4	Estimates of Production Functions for Share-Tapped Rubber Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	96
4.5	Summary of Various Elasticities for Share-Tapped Rubber Smallholdings	100
4.6	Optimality Tests for Share-Tapped Smallholdings	102
5.1	Means of Variables for Contract-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	110
5.2	Means for Variables for Contract-Tapped Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	111
5.3	Estimates of Production Functions for Contract- Tapped Rubber Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	114
5.4	Estimates of Production Functions for Contract— Tapped Rubber Smallholdings (1978 Survey)	115
5.5	Summary of Various Elasticities for Contract— Tapped Smallholdings	118
5.6	Optimality Tests for Contract—Tapped Smallholdings	120
6.1	Means of Variables for Wage-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI (1963/64 RRI Survey)	127
6.2	Estimates of Production Functions for Wage-Tapped Rubber Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	130



Table		Page
6.3	Summary of Various Elasticities for Wage-Tapped Smallholdings	134
6.4	Optimality Tests for Wage-Tapped Smallholdings	135
8.1	Means of Variables for Different Tenancy Groups (1963/64 RRI Survey)	ל175
8.2	Means of Variables for Different Tenancy Groups (1978 Survey)	176
8.3	Proportion of Smallholdings by Mode of Operation (Tapping) and by Region	190
8.4	Share-Tapped Smallholdings: Characteristics of Various Subgroups Classified According to Different Landlord's Share of Product (r)	197
8.5	Share-Tapped Smallholdings: Means of (1-r)(Yield/Hectare) + (Tapping Hours/Hectare) for Different Groups of Smallholdings Classified According to Different Landlord's Share of Product (r)	199
9.1	Comparing Means of Variables for 1963/64 RRI Survey	212



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Map of Peninsular Malaysia	19
1.2	Map of Selangor	21
3.1	Optimality Point of Tapping Labour	84
4.1	Marginal Values Product of (Share) Tapping Labour Compared to Wage Rate (1963/64 RRI Survey)	103
4.2	Marginal Value Product of (Share) Tapping Labour Compared to Wage Rate (1978 Survey)	104
5.1	Marginal Value Product of (Contract) Tapping Labour Compared to Wage Rate (1963/64 RRI Survey)	121
5.2	Marginal Value Product of (Contract) Tapping Labour Compared to Wage Rate (1978 Survey)	122
7.1	Monitoring Input Continuum	143
7.2	Sharecropping Equilibrium Solutions	151
8.1	Marginal Value Product of Tapping Labour Compared to Wage Rate for Old Share-Tapped Smallholdings (1963/64 RRI Survey)	188
8.2	Share-Tanning Continuum	201



LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
APPENDIX TEST 3.1 (OWNER-TAPPED SMALLHOLDINGS)	239
APPENDIX TEST 4.1 (SHARE-TAPPED SMALLHOLDINGS)	243
APPENDIX TEST 5.1 (CONTRACT-TAPPED SMALLHOLDINGS)	246
APPENDIX TEST 6.1 (WAGE-TAPPED SMALLHOLDINGS)	250
APPENDIX TEST 8.1	252
APPENDIX: EXAMPLE OF QUESTIONNAIRE	254
APPENDIX: COMMERCIAL YIELD PROFILE	264
APPENDIX REGRESSIONS 3.1 to 3.32	265
APPENDIX REGRESSIONS 4.1 to 4.24	297
APPENDIX REGRESSIONS 5.1 to 5.24	321
APPENDIX REGRESSIONS 6.1 to 6.12	345
APPENDIX REGRESSIONS 8.1 to 8.2	357
APPENDIX REGRESSIONS 9.1 to 9.2	359
APPENDIX: FUTHER NOTES ON TENUITY	361



ABSTRACT

THE ECONOMICS OF PRODUCTION IN CHINESE RUBBER SMALLHOLDINGS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

bу

CHEW TEK ANN

In this study standard production function techniques are used to analyse the economics of production in Chinese rubber smallholdings in Peninsular Malaysia. The data used were taken from two surveys, the 1963/64 RRI Survey and the 1978 Survey conducted by the author in the state of Selangor. Considering the importance of the rubber smallholding sector in the Malaysian economy, it is surprising that there has been only one production function study on rubber smallholdings in Malaysia thus far.

The probable reason for dearth of research in this area is the difficulty in handling the perennial nature of the rubber tree. A variable must be specified to capture the capital service flow accruing from the live capital tree-stock. In this thesis, "expected yields" estimated from yield profiles of various clonal material are used as proxies for the capital service flows. The yield profiles were drawn from published yield records of various selected commercial estates. Estates are more advanced technologically in rubber production than smallholdings. Thus the "expected yields" estimated will be higher than the real capital service flows.



However, as long as multiplicative production functions are used, the constant term will absorb whatever proportionality exists between "expected yields" and actual capital service flows. The various elasticities of production will not be affected. Thus using the "expected yields" derived as one of the independent variables, Cobb-Douglas production functions were estimated for the data obtained from the two surveys. The empirical results achieved are highly satisfactory.

Production function analyses show that resource allocations are general optimal in Chinese rubber smallholdings. The exceptional cases of nonoptimality are the "number of trees/hectare" variable which was generally below optimal for smallholdings in 1963/64 and "fertilizer-maintenance expenditure/hectare" variable which was inadequate for wage-tapped smallholdings in 1963/64. Application of tapping labour is consistent with the theory of labour market dualism.

A theoretical framework was formulated for the theory of the firm. The formulation is based on a definition that is a simplified version of Williamson's transactional paradigm. Conflicting theories on sharecropping becomes reconcilable within the framework. Further, empirical evidence both from the rubber surveys and from generalisations cited in the sharecropping literature, are consistent with the framework: eleven hypotheses were presented and tested or clarified. It is concluded that share-tapping (sharecropping) is an efficient institution given the production characteristics underlying its presence. However the efficiency argument presented



in this thesis is different from Cheung's efficiency argument. The efficiency rationale presented here was derived for the real world with transactional frictions while Cheung's efficiency argument was derived for a world with explicit zero risk and zero transaction cost assumptions. The important policy conclusion follows that any legislation which restricts or prohibits share-tapping is to be avoided.

The rate of technological progress was estimated in Chinese rubber smallholdings using microeconomic analysis. The usual procedure to determine rate of technical change is to use the aggregate production function approach. A result of 1.2% annual rate of technological progress was obtained compared with 4.2% that is cited in the literature for the estate sector. However 1.2% is realistic considering that the rate of technological progress is for smallholdings which are known to lag behind the estates in technological improvements and considering that the figure pertains only to clonal improvements. Product increase arising from increased fertilizer-maintenance expenditure is separated out in the microeconomic analysis. Product increase from ethrel stimulation is nonexistent in smallholdings. It is concluded that the major impediment to the adoption of ethrel stimulation by Chinese smallholders is the labour constraint which precludes the fertilizermaintenance programmes needed to go hand in hand with stimulation.



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Purposes of Study

Malaysia is the world's largest producer of natural rubber. In 1977 Malaysia produced an estimated 1,613,193 metric tons which is $44.75\%^{1}$ of the world's total output of natural rubber.

Rubber production in Malaysia is carried on in "estates" and in "smallholdings". An "estate" is conventionally defined as "a producing unit with 40 hectares or more of cultivated land and operating its own set of financial accounts". A producing unit less than 40 hectares is classified as a "smallholding". The introduction of land regulations in the late nineteenth century first established the size of 40 hectares as the distinction between "smallholdings" and "estates". This criterion was confirmed during the subsequent rapid expansion of the rubber industry and is now an accepted convention.



International Rubber Study Group (1979). Rubber Statistical Bulletin, London, Vol. 33, No. 12.

Pee Teck Yew and Ani bin Arope (1976). Rubber Owners' Manual. Economics and Management in Production and Marketing, Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, p. 19.

Jackson, James, C.(1968). Planters and Speculators. Chinese and European Agricultural Enterprise in Malaya 1786-1921, University of Malaya Press, Kuala Lumpur, p. XIV.

There are now some 500,000 rubber smallholdings in Malaysia, ranging in size from a fraction of a hectare to less than 40 hectares. The area under smallholdings now exceeds that under estates. Total smallholding production now exceeds estate production. It is estimated that smallholdings now occupy about 67% of total rubber area and contribute about 55% of total Malaysian rubber production. A It is anticipated that rubber production from the smallholding sector will increase as the sector is further expanded and modernised.

Despite the importance of smallholdings in the Malaysian economy, little is known about production relationships in smallholdings. Apart from the work by Sepien, there is no study on rubber using farm level data and established production function techniques. Studies available are usually deterministic or agronomic in nature, more useful for descriptive purposes than for understanding economic behaviour. Among such studies are the Census of Agriculture, 1960, the National Crop Survey



⁴Abraham, P.D. (1978). Improving the Productivity of Rubber Small Farmers, paper presented at Technology for Rural Development Regional Conference, Hotel Merlin, Kuala Lumpur, 24-29 April.

Sepien, Abdullah (1978). <u>Technical and Allocative</u> <u>Efficiency in Malaysian Rubber Smallholdings: A Production Function Approach</u>, Ph.D thesis, The Australian National University.

⁶Selvadurai, S. (1962). <u>Census of Agriculture 1960</u>, <u>Preliminary Report No. 6A - Rubber Land: Area and Production</u>, <u>Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives</u>, <u>Kuala Lumpur</u>.

 $1967/1968^7$ and sample survey studies by Brown, Bevan, Ho, Woon and Selvadurai. A more comprehensive study which is nevertheless deterministic in approach is the analysis by Barlow-Chan.

The rubber smallholding sector comprises a very diverse group of farms, not only in terms of size but also in terms of production characteristics. On the one hand, there are frequent references in the literature to problems of uneconomic sized holdings, low productivity, lack of capital, problems of land tenancy and



⁷Malaysia. Department of Statistics (1972). <u>National Crop Survey</u>, 1967-68: Acreage and Tree Population of Crops on Smallholdings, West Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.

Brown, D.W.(1960). A Reconnaissance Study of Farming Organisation in a Coastal Area of West Johore, Economics Department, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

⁹Bevan, J.W.L.(1962). A Study of Yields, Labour Inputs and Incomes of Rubber Smallholdings in the Coastal Area of Selangor, Department of Agriculture, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

¹⁰Ho, R. (1967). Rubber Production by Peasants of the Terachi Valley, Malaya, publication No. 41, Institute of British Geographers, London.

¹¹ Voon, P.K. (1967). Chinese Smallholding Industry in Selangor, M.A. thesis, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

¹² Selvadurai, S. (1972). Economic Survey of Rubber Smallholdings in West Johore, Kementerian Pertanian dan Perikanan, Kuala Lumpur.

¹³Barlow, C. and Chan, C.K. (1969). Towards an Optimum Size of Rubber Holding, Journal of the Kubber Research Institute of Malaya, 21(5).

sharecropping. On the other hand, Voon in his study concluded that Chinese smallholdings are relative progressive, modern and distinguishable from peasant farms. The most readily available criterion for grouping smallholdings so as to reduce the diversity of smallholdings somewhat therefore, appears to be the ethnic variable as had been suggested by Voon. This thesis concentrates on Chinese rubber smallholdings. The choice of either Chinese or Malay smallholdings but not both, becomes inevitable in order to give the thesis reasonable limits. In terms of area, up to the end of 1978, Malays own 0.486 million hectares while Chinese own 0.462 million hectares. House the Chinese smallholding sector is not small.

The underlying theme in this thesis is a microeconomic analysis of Chinese smallholding rubber production. Processing and marketing are outside the scope of this study which addresses itself to the following areas:

(i) resource productivity: a major area of inquiry deals with the behaviour of yield and factor inputs as rubber smallholdings increase in size and as tenancy conditions change. Related questions include returns to scale in production and efficiency of factor use;



¹⁴ Sanusi Junid (1979), Deputy Land and Regional Development Minister, Malaysia, in speech in Dewan Negara, New Straits Times, December, 15.

- (ii) tenancy conditions: a considerable number of journal articles in agricultural economics deal with the merits of various tenancy conditions in particular sharecropping is an area of controversy. The second major area of the thesis concerns tenancy conditions. The sharecropping literature was reviewed and a theoretical framework of the firm was formulated which enables us to reconcile conflicting sharecropping theories. Several hypotheses with regard to tenancy conditions (including sharecropping) in rubber smallholdings were tested using the theoretical framework;
- (iii) technological progress: the third area of this thesis concerns technical progress in rubber smallholdings. The technical change literature was briefly reviewed and the rate of technical change in rubber smallholdings was estimated using farm level data at two different points in time. The results were compared with work done using the aggregate production function approach.

Definitions

There are a number of terms used in this thesis whose precise meaning are important. They are defined in this section. These terms and definitions are:



- (i) lot is defined as a surveyed piece of land registered with the District Land Office and possessing an identification number called a lot number. A holding or smallholding may include several lots or pieces which may or may not be contiguous;
- (ii) holding (or smallholding) is a unit of ownership.
 All the pieces or lots a person owns make up his holding;
- (iii) farm is a unit of production based on land. A farm may consist of one piece (or lot) or many pieces. The pieces may be scattered or contiguous. The important characteristic is that the farm is an active unit of production or "operation" where "operation" normally includes the whole set of crop husbandry activities from planting to harvesting. For annual crops there can be no ambiguity as usually one and the same person makes decisions with regard to all these farming activities. For perennial crops however, planting, maintenance, fertilizer application and tapping (harvesting) are interspersed in time and may be controlled by different



individuals. ¹⁵ For example in rubber smallholdings, planting, maintenance and fertilizer application are decided upon by the owner himself. Tapping of the trees, which is the harvesting activity, may be contracted out to hired tapper or tappers who may tap the trees in a manner or frequency the tappers consider suitable or convenient, depending on the degree of control exerted by the owner on the tapper inherent in the type of contact prevailing. The question then arises as to whether

The subsequent activities performed by the tapper after making the incisions include collecting the latex and processing the latex where processing involves sieving, bulking, coagulating, pressing the coagulum, machining (passing the coagulum through smooth and marker mangles) and washing utensils. Finally the rubber sheets must be sun dried or sent to the smokehouses for drying. These subsequent activities are not included in our economic analysis subsequently because the essence of labour input on production yield is already captured by tapping hours as defined later. These subsequent activities, individually or collectively, may act as constraints on production. Tapping time will reflect the reduction in output if any exists.



¹⁵A few words on what are involved in various rubber husbandry activities will make subsequent discussions clearer. Planting includes clearing and burning the land, making terraces if necessary, preparing cover crops and then planting the rubber seedlings. Maintenance includes slashing, weeding, root and leaf disease control and cleaning the drainage system. Fertilizer application involves applications of different fertilizer combinations at various stages of tree growth. Tapping includes peeling off the rubber laces from the collection cups and tapping panels and making the incisions on the tapping panels. The time to start tapping is important because latex flows best in the cool hours of early morning. Careless tapping causes wounds on the tapping panel leading to the onset of diseases and reduction in subsequent yield.

we consider the piece or pieces tapped by each tapper as the unit of "operation" or consider all the pieces tapped by different hired tappers belonging to a single owner as the unit of "operation." Different hired tappers represent varying degrees of independent decision-making as far as the tapping activity is concerned. For our purposes, to minimise confusion with existing terminology as used in annual crops, the following approach is adopted. Among the set of activities covered by the word "operation", the planting activity is deemed to be the most important as planting is connected immediately with exclusive property rights 16 with regard to the use of land. Maintenance, fertilizer application and harvesting can be considered as activities connected with property rights with regard to the use of trees or capital and not land. In the case of Chinese rubber smallholdings, planting, maintenance and fertilizer application are controlled by the owner who may contract out the harvesting operation to a hired



^{16&}quot;Property rights" is defined as "one's rights to do things and his effective rights to rewards, positive or negative, such rights resulting from the law, explicit contracts as well as rights resulting from tacit agreements, oral agreements or even tradition." See Wunderlich, Gene and Gibson, W.L.Jr. Eds. (1972). Perspectives of Property, published by Institute of Research on Land and Water Resources, The Pennyslvania State University.

tapper. Thus the idea of defining the farm or unit of "operation" as the unit of planting-maintenance-fertilizer application makes more sense than defining the farm based on the harvesting (tapping) activity.

It is conceivable that a farm may comprise several smallholdings as unit of "operation" (or farm) is an economic term indicating that a person is trying to produce something from the land while a smallholding is a legal term denoting possession of a piece/pieces of land. This could happen in a case where there is a transfer of property rights over use of land from owner to tenant for a fixed-rent. However such instances are virtually nonexistent among Chinese smallholders and exist only rarely in the North States of Peninsular Malaysia among Malays. ¹⁷ Thus in this thesis the terms "farm" and "smallholding" are synonymous;

(iv) farm operator is defined as a person who "operates" a farm. As with the definition immediately preceding this term can cause confusion as the word "operates" covers different activities that may be controlled



¹⁷Hill, R.D. (1967). Agricultural Land Tenure in West Malaysia, Malayan Economic Review, Vol. XII, No. 1, April, p. 102.