

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

## ECOTOURISM PROMOTIONAL STRATEGY: DOMESTIC TOURISTS' INTENTION-TO-REVISIT MODEL IN KERINCI DISTRICT, JAMBI, INDONESIA

**JOKO PRIHATNO** 

FH 2003 16

### ECOTOURISM PROMOTIONAL STRATEGY: DOMESTIC TOURISTS' INTENTION-TO-REVISIT MODEL IN KERINCI DISTRICT, JAMBI, INDONESIA

By

## JOKO PRIHATNO

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2003



This thesis is dedicated to: My beloved wife, Tuti Iriani My son, Rangga Agung Prabowo My daughters, Prastiti Laras Nugraheni and Heninda Ambariani In memory of my loving parents Mudjirah and Slamet Mitro Wihardjo My father in-law, Drs. Suyadi, MP My mother in-law, Ibu Sri Rahayu Suyadi My brothers and sisters



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

## ECOTOURISM PROMOTIONAL STRATEGY: DOMESTIC TOURISTS' INTENTION-TO-REVISIT MODEL IN KERINCI DISTRICT, JAMBI, INDONESIA

By

#### **JOKO PRIHATNO**

#### October 2003

## Chairman: Dr. Khamurudin Bin Mohd. Noor

#### Faculty: Forestry

Studies on intention-to-revisit is important in understanding consumers' (tourists') behavior in relation to their decision to revisit a tourism destination. To determine the factors which influence a tourist's intention to visit an area more than once, a model of intention-to-revisit an ecotourism destination in Kerinci-Jambi was developed and tested using path analysis.

The results of the study indicate that domestic tourists' intention-to-revisit are significantly influence by their accessibility to information sources (from professional advice, advertisement, and other-tourism support), the variety of information sources, tourists' perception, satisfaction, image of the destination, and tourists' preference, simultaneously. The results provided an important tool for the application of an intention-to-revisit model in developing an ecotourism promotional strategy in Kerinci District, particularly, the combination of the promotional components, namely; publicity, advertisements, and personal selling.



Kerinci District attracted a target market of domestic tourists with an average age of 24 years and a monthly average income of US \$80. These domestic tourists observed that Kerinci District has strengths in product characteristics based on nature, serenity, and historical/cultural attractions. Hence, Kerinci is appropriate to be promoted as an integrated ecotourism destination with core attractions that include Kerinci Seblat National Park, complimentary attractions that include Kerinci Lake Festival, and supporting attractions that include features surrounding the park.

The analysis on the effectiveness of the existing promotional programs indicated that the variety of information sources had the highest effect on domestic tourists' intention-to-revisit. The level of accessibility to information sources from other-tourism-support had the second effect on domestic tourists' intention-to-revisit, followed by professional advice, and advertisement which has the lowest effect.

A model of domestic tourists' intention-to-revisit a destination could be used to develop ecotourism promotional strategy in Kerinci District, Jambi, Indonesia.



Abstrak tesis yang dipersembahkan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian keperluan penganugerahan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

### STRATEGI PROMOSI EKOPELANCONGAN: MODEL KEINGINAN-MELAWAT-SEMULA BAGI PELANCONG-PELANCONG TEMPATAN DI DAERAH KERINCI, JAMBI, INDONESIA

Oleh

#### **JOKO PRIHATNO**

## October 2003

## Pengerusi: Dr. Khamurudin Bin Mohd. Noor

#### Fakulti: Perhutanan

Kajian terhadap keinginan-melawat-semula adalah penting dalam pemahaman perilaku pengguna (pelancong) berhubung dengan keputusan mereka untuk melawat semula sesuatu destinasi pelancongan. Salah satu cara untuk menentukan factor yang mempengaruhi seorang pelawat untuk berkunjung ke satu destinasi lebih daripada sekali lawatan, satu model keinginan-melawatsemula ke destinasi ekopelancongan di Daerah Kerinci, Jambi, telah dihasilkan dan diuji dengan menggunakan analisis lintasan.

Keputusan daripada kajian menunjukkan bahawa keinginan-melawat-semula pelancong tempatan adalah dipengaruhi secara ketara oleh kemudahan mereka untuk mendapat sumber maklumat (daripada nasihat profesional, pengiklanan dan sokongan-pelancongan-lain), kepelbagaian sumber maklumat, persepsi, kepuasan, imej destinasi dan kegemaran mereka, secara serentak. Keputusan dari kajian ini memberikan satu alat penting untuk mengaplikasi satu model keinginan-melawat-semula di dalam pengembangan strategi promosi



ekopelancongan di Daerah Kerinci, terutamanya untuk penubuhan komponen campuran promosi pemasaran melalui publisiti, pengiklanan dan jualan pribadi.

Daerah Kerinci telah menarik satu pasaran sasaran bagi pelancong tempatan dengan purata umur sebanyak 24 tahun dan purata pendapatan bulanan sebanyak US \$80. Plancong tempatan memperkatakan bahawa Daerah Kerinci mempunyai kekuatan bagi ciri-ciri tempat pelancongan berkenaan dengan tarikan alam semulajadi, ketenangan dan sejarah/budaya tempatan. Oleh itu, Daerah Kerinci boleh dipromosikan sebagai satu destinasi ekopelancongan berintegrasi dengan tarikan utama di dalam Taman Negara Kerinci Seblat, tarikan tambahan di Festival Tasik Kerinci, dan tarikan sokongan di persekitaran Taman Negara tersebut.

Keberkesanan program promosi yang sedia ada menunjukkan kepelbagaian sumber maklumat mempunyai kesan secara tidak langsung yang tertinggi pada keinginan-melawat-semula pelancong tempatan, diikuti dengan sumber maklumat sokongan-pelancongan-yang lain, nasihat profesional, dan pengiklanan dengan kesan yang terendah.

Satu model kenginan-melawat-semula bagi pelancong tempatan ke sesuatu destinasi boleh digunakan untuk menghasilkan strategi promosi ekopelancongan di Daerah Kerinci, Jambi, Indonesia.



vi

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my grateful acknowledgement and appreciation to Dr. Khamurudin bin Mohd Noor, the Chairman of the supervisory committee and two members, Dr. Abdullah bin Mohd and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shukri bin Mohammed, for their constructive ideas, encouragement and assistance in the preparation and completion of this dissertation.

My sincere thanks and appreciation also go to Madam Asmeen Khan from the Rural Department and Natural Resource World Bank Office based in Jakarta, who had provided the much needed financial support throughout the duration of my studies. I would like to extend similar regard to Mr. Roedjai Djakaria, from the office of the Secretary General, who had made it possible for me to pursue my PhD studies by giving me permission for a four-year study leave, beginning from November 1999 till October 2003.

A special mention is dedicated to Mr. Widodo Sukohadi Ramono and Listya Kusumawardani for their help in the administrative processes of obtaining my study budget as well as Sri Sugiarti who also gave invaluable assistance in the administrative process of seeking permission from the Secretary General to further my studies.



My special thanks are due to Mr. Kristanto, the Secretary of Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation, who had supported my application to extend my study budget.

A note of thanks also goes to Dr. Dwi Sudarto, my senior, who guided me in my first year in UPM. His help made my assimilation in UPM go on smoothly. Not forgetting Dr. Suhatmini Hardyastuti and the late Dr. Mulyadi who had provided invaluable support and encouragement from my first semester until the completion of my studies.

A beacon of light is how I would refer to Prof. Dr. Aminah Ahmad, Dr. Awang Noor and Dr. Bahaman Abu Samah for their enlightening knowledge and information covering social research method and statistical analysis.

My sincere thanks are also due to the enumerators who had worked hard to supply the much needed data for my analysis. To Aananthi Thuraisamy, Mageswari Munusamy, Evelyn Bigcas, Rosmalina binti Abdul Rashid , Yip, Mohammad Samaun Safa, Zahari bin Ibrahim, Noor Farikah, Radiah Zakaria and Puan Chong Leong. I express my heartfelt appreciation to their assistance in editing my dissertation from the draft proposal to the final full thesis. They are my English tutors as well as my close friends.

Finally to the most important people in my life, my wife Tuti Iriani, my son Rangga Agung Prabowo, and my daughters Prastiti Laras Nugraheni and Heninda Ambariani, my gratitude for their love and support is boundless. Their patience and sacrifice paved the way to the successful completion of my



dissertation. A special word of thanks is also forwarded to my father in-law, Drs Suyadi, MP, and my mother in-law, Ibu Sri Rahayu Suyadi, for their prayers and motivation for the fast and safe completion of my studies and the well-being of my family, throughout my sojourn in Malaysia.

Above all, to Almighty God, Allah S.W.T., for all the blessings he has given me and my family.



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DEDICATION	ii
	11
ABSTRACT	111
ABSTRAK	v
AKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL SHEETS	х
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv

## CHAPTER

1.	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background of the Study	1
		Problem Statement	4
	1.3	Objectives of the Study	8
		Scope and Limitation of the Study	8
2	. LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1	Theoretical basis of the Method	11
	2.2	Intention-to-Revisit	12
		2.2.1 Intention-to-Revisit Models	15
		2.2.2 Tourists Typology	17
	2.3	Action Reaction Theory	20
	2.4	Image Destination	21
	2.5	Affective Evaluation	25
	2.6	Perceptual Evaluation	27
		2.6.1 Tourists' Perception	27
		2.6.2 Tourists' Perception and Behavior	29
	2.7	Travel Experience	31
	2.8	Destination Preference	34
		Stimulus and Personal Factors	36
		Information Sources	38
		Socio Demographic Factors	41
		Situational Constrains	43
		Promotional Strategy	45
		Government Ecotourism Policy	49
	2.15	The Advantages and Disadvantages Path	56

Analysis and Others Multivariate Techniques



3.1 General Description       61         3.2 Destination Description       68         3.2.1 Mount Kerinci       69         3.2.2 Mount Tujuh       73         3.2.3 Telun Berasap Water Fall       75         3.2.4 Aroma Pecco Tea Estate Kayu Aro       76         3.2.5 Semurup Hot Spring       77         3.2.6 Lake Kerinci       78         3.2.7 Sungai Penuh       79         3.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination       82         4. METHODOLOGY       84         4.1 Research Framework       84         4.2 Research Hypotheses       88         4.3 Measurement and Instrumentation       93         4.3.1 Variety of Information Sources       95         4.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources       95         4.3.3 Travel Experience       96         4.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation       97         4.3.5 Destination Preferences       98         4.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit       99         4.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit       99         4.3.6 Destination Preferences       103         4.7 Reliability of the Scales       103         4.8 Data Collection       106         4.9 Analysis of the Data       110         4.9.1 Descriptive
3.2.1 Mount Kerinci693.2.2 Mount Tujuh733.2.3 Telun Berasap Water Fall753.2.4 Aroma Pecco Tea Estate Kayu Aro763.2.5 Semurup Hot Spring773.2.6 Lake Kerinci783.2.7 Sungai Penuh793.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination824. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
3.2.2 Mount Tujuh733.2.3 Telun Berasap Water Fall753.2.4 Aroma Pecco Tea Estate Kayu Aro763.2.5 Semurup Hot Spring773.2.6 Lake Kerinci783.2.7 Sungai Penuh793.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination824. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
3.2.3 Telun Berasap Water Fall753.2.4 Aroma Pecco Tea Estate Kayu Aro763.2.5 Semurup Hot Spring773.2.6 Lake Kerinci783.2.7 Sungai Penuh793.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination824. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
3.2.4 Aroma Pecco Tea Estate Kayu Aro763.2.5 Semurup Hot Spring773.2.6 Lake Kerinci783.2.7 Sungai Penuh793.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination824. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
3.2.5 Semurup Hot Spring773.2.6 Lake Kerinci783.2.7 Sungai Penuh793.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination824. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
3.2.6 Lake Kerinci783.2.7 Sungai Penuh793.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination824. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
3.2.7 Sungai Penuh793.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination824. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
3.3. Getting to Kerinci Destination824. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4. METHODOLOGY844.1 Research Framework844.2 Research Hypotheses884.3 Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.1Research Framework844.2Research Hypotheses884.3Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1Variety of Information Sources954.3.2Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3Travel Experience964.3.4Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5Destination Preferences984.3.6Intention-to-Revisit994.4Location of the Study1004.5Population and Sample of Study1014.6Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7Reliability of the Scales1034.8Data Collection1064.9Analysis of the Data1104.9.1Descriptive statistics1114.9.2Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4Factor Analysis1154.9.5Bivariate Correlation118
4.2Research Hypotheses884.3Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1Variety of Information Sources954.3.2Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3Travel Experience964.3.4Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5Destination Preferences984.3.6Intention-to-Revisit994.4Location of the Study1004.5Population and Sample of Study1014.6Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7Reliability of the Scales1034.8Data Collection1064.9Analysis of the Data1104.9.1Descriptive statistics1114.9.2Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4Factor Analysis1154.9.5Bivariate Correlation118
4.3Measurement and Instrumentation934.3.1Variety of Information Sources954.3.2Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3Travel Experience964.3.4Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5Destination Preferences984.3.6Intention-to-Revisit994.4Location of the Study1004.5Population and Sample of Study1014.6Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7Reliability of the Scales1034.8Data Collection1064.9Analysis of the Data1104.9.1Descriptive statistics1114.9.2Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4Factor Analysis1154.9.5Bivariate Correlation118
4.3.1 Variety of Information Sources954.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.3.2 Level of Accessibility Information Sources954.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.3.3 Travel Experience964.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.3.4 Cognitive/Perceptual Evaluation974.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.3.5 Destination Preferences984.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.3.6 Intention-to-Revisit994.4 Location of the Study1004.5 Population and Sample of Study1014.6 Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.4Location of the Study1004.5Population and Sample of Study1014.6Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7Reliability of the Scales1034.8Data Collection1064.9Analysis of the Data1104.9.1Descriptive statistics1114.9.2Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4Factor Analysis1154.9.5Bivariate Correlation118
4.5Population and Sample of Study1014.6Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7Reliability of the Scales1034.8Data Collection1064.9Analysis of the Data1104.9.1Descriptive statistics1114.9.2Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4Factor Analysis1154.9.5Bivariate Correlation118
4.6Pre-testing of the questioners1034.7Reliability of the Scales1034.8Data Collection1064.9Analysis of the Data1104.9.1Descriptive statistics1114.9.2Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4Factor Analysis1154.9.5Bivariate Correlation118
4.7 Reliability of the Scales1034.8 Data Collection1064.9 Analysis of the Data1104.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.8Data Collection1064.9Analysis of the Data1104.9.1Descriptive statistics1114.9.2Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4Factor Analysis1154.9.5Bivariate Correlation118
4.9Analysis of the Data1104.9.1Descriptive statistics1114.9.2Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4Factor Analysis1154.9.5Bivariate Correlation118
4.9.1 Descriptive statistics1114.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.9.2 Exploratory Data Analysis1134.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.9.3 Kruskall-Wallis Test1144.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.9.4 Factor Analysis1154.9.5 Bivariate Correlation118
4.9.5 Bivariate Correlation 118
4.9.6 Path Analysis 118
5. RESULT AND DISCUSSION 124
5.1 Product Characteristics based on Tourists' 124
Evaluation
5.1.1 Tourists' Perception of Quality Destination 124
5.1.2 Tourists' Preference 130
Tourists' Preference for Activities130Tourists' Selection for Site Destination135
Tourists' Selection for Site Destination1355.1.3 Tourists' Experience120
5.1.3 Tourists' Experience 136
5.1.4 Strengths and Weaknesses of Kerinci 137 District as An Ecotourism Destination



5.1.5 Kerinci District as an Integrated Ecotourism Destination	142
5.2 Tourists' Profiling 5.2.1 Tourists Background 5.2.2 Tourists' Preference Based on Geogra- phical segmentation	146 146 149
5.2.4 Discussion	151 154
5.3 The Effectiveness of Existing Information Sources 5.3.1 Level of Accessibility to Information Sources Based on Percentage	159 159
5.3.2 Effectiveness of Information Sources Based on Coverage Area	163
5.3.3 Discussion	164
5.4 Model Analysis 5.4.1 Factor Analysis 5.4.2 The Relationship Between Two Observed-Variables	169 170 173
5.4.3 Intention-to-Revisit Path Model Analysis 5.4.3.1 Initial and Modified Model Analysis 5.4.3.2 Specified Model for Domestic Tourists 5.4.3.3 Domestic Tourists' Intention-to-Revisit Equation Model	176 177 180 181
5.4.4 Direct, Indirect and Total Effect for Domestic Tourists' Intention-to-Revisit Model	184
5.4.5 Significant Path on domestic Tourists' Intention-to-Revisit	187
5.5 General Discussion	199
<ol> <li>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</li> <li>Conclusions</li> <li>Recommendations         <ul> <li>Recommendation for Promotional Strategy</li> <li>Recommendation for Practices</li> <li>Recommendation for Further Study</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	206 206 208 208 210 211
REFERENCES APPENDICES BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH	212 230 274



# LIST OF TABLES

Table	
4.1 Measurement of Each Variable	94
4.2 Number of Items and Reliability Coefficients of	105
Variables at Pre-testing	
4.3 First Stage: Purposive Sampling	108
4.4 Proportional Stratified Random Sampling	109
4.5 Structural Equation Model of Intention-to-Revisit	122
Ecotourism in Kerinci	
5.1 Factor Analysis of Perceptual Evaluation	125
5.2 Tourists' Perceptual Evaluation for the Quality Destination	128
5.3 Factor Analysis for Tourists' Preference	131
5.4 Tourists' Preference for Activities	134
5.5 Tourists' Selection of Seven Sites Destination	135
5.6 Tourists' Travel Experience to Kerinci Destination	136
5.7 Summary of Tourists' Evaluation of Kerinci District as	141
Ecotourism Destination	
5.8 Tourists Background Based on Geographical Segmentation	147
of Place of Origin	450
5.9 Tourists' Activities Preference Based on Geographical	150
Segmentation	454
5.10 Independence Test Between Socio-Demographic and	151
Next Time Intention-to-Revisit	400
5.11 Level of Accessibility to Information Sources	160
5.12 Percentage of Tourists to Get the Information Sources	162
5.13 Information Sources of Effectiveness Based on Coverage	164
Area	474
5.14 Summary of Input and Explained Variables of Information Sources, Perceptual Evaluation and Preference in Relation	171
to Activities at Site Destination	
5.15 Bivariate Correlation Scores for Thirteen Variables	175
(General Tourists with $n = 400$ )	175
5.16 Summary of Models Examination for Intention to Revisit	179
Kerinci Destination	115
5.17 Direct, Indirect, and Total Effect for Domestic Tourists'	186
Intention-to-Revisit Ecotourism in Kerinci District (n = 369)	



# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Theoritical Framework	12
2.2	Steps in Developing a Regional Promotion Strategy	47
2.3	Single Regression	57
2.4	Bivariate Regression	57
2.5	Non-Recursive System	58
2.6	Path Analysis Diagram	58
2,7	Latent Variables Model	59
3.1	Kerinci Tourism Map	80
3.2	Ecotourism Destination in Kerinci	81
4.1	Research Framework of Tourists' Intention-to-Revisit	86
	Models (After Revisiting)	
5.1	Domestic Tourists' Intention-to-Revisit Ecotourism Model (n = 369)	183
5.2	The Significant Path of the Professional Advice to the Intention-to-Revisit	188
5.3	The Significant Path of the Other-Tourism-Support to the Intention-to-Revisit	189
5.4	The Significant Path of the Advertisement to the Intention-to-Revisit	191
5.5	The Significant Path of the Variety of Information Sources to the Intention-to-Revisit	192



# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	
A Research Approval Letter	230
B Ministry of Tourism, Art, and Culture, Decision Number: S-118/M-PSB/1998	234
C Normality Test	235
D Factor Analysis	243
E Initial and Modified Models of Tourists' Intention-to-Revisit	251
F Kerinci District Territory Map	254
G Photographs of Kerinci Destination and Research Activities	255
H Questionnaires for Visitors	262
BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH	274



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of the Study

Domestic tourists are one of the target markets in developing the tourism industry in Indonesia. In addition to foreign tourism, domestic tourism sector can be an important alternative to increase income for the country. Inter-Province domestic tourism encourages the expenditure of money, thus creating a stable circulation and flow of currency into these provinces which are involved in the tourism trade. Traders who profit from tourism also use the money to buy additional raw materials, thereby opening job opportunities for those who need income. Domestic tourists sector can also reinforce its influence to curb the current economic crisis in Indonesia. This is in line with the government policy to encourage the local tourist movement in their own country. Foreign tourism may not be one of the economic boosters of the tourism industry but the movement of the domestic tourists from one province to another displays a significant growth to increase the use of disposable income.

The World Tourism Organization (2000) predicted that world tourists would increase from 692 million to 1.6 billion people in 2000 to 2020, with a spending rate of about US\$ 1.5 billion to US\$ 2.0 trillions, respectively. The WTO predicted that international travel will grow at 4.1% annually until 2020



(Rosabal, 2002). The arrival of foreign tourists to Indonesia reached 5.2 million with a foreign exchange of US\$ 5.4 million in 2002 (Anonymous, 2000d).

Economically, the contribution of the tourism industry to the Indonesian government's income covered around 7.8% and 10.6% of the Indonesian total export earnings in 1991 and 1993, respectively. Tourism sector was ranked fifth after textile, oil, timber and gas as the income earner of the country (Travel and Tourism Intelligence, 1996). The tourism sector still continued to play its role until 1997 with the average foreign exchange earnings of about 10% of the country's economy. This contribution however, has decreased after the economic turbulence in 1998.

In 1997, Indonesia generated US\$ 6.2 million of revenue from 5.2 million foreign tourists with the average of US\$ 110 per day within 11 days. Since the economic crisis in 1998, Indonesia experienced a negative growth, the number of foreign tourists decreased about 11% or equivalent of 4.6 million tourists from previous year.

The crisis and unstable political environment in Indonesia had to a certain extent discouraged foreign tourists from visiting Indonesia. As an alternative solution, the tourism industry started to focus on domestic tourists. As observed, the number of domestic tourists in Indonesia increases rapidly and plays an increasingly important role in tourism industry development (Ardika, 2000). These figures were expected to increase from 128.5 to 135.8 million in



2001 and 2004, respectively. Therefore, the domestic tourists possessed the potential to increase contribution to the economy besides foreign tourists.

Indonesia an archipelago with 17,508 islands, is considered a mega-biodiversity country. This biodiversity comprises 10% of the flowering flora in the world, 12% of mammals, 16% of amphibians and reptiles, 17% of birds, 25% of fishes and 15% of insects. In addition, Indonesia has over a hundred million hectares of forest, 30 million hectares of which are protected forest, around 7.3 million hectares are nature conservation area, and over 15 million hectares are nature preservation area comprising of national parks, grand forest parks and nature recreation parks. Moreover, Indonesia consists of a diverse culture, which includes ethnicity, religion, tradition and all other dimensions of culture. These potential values of nature, culture and mega-biodiversity provide a basis for developing ecotourism in Indonesia.

Based on Bali Declaration signed on July 5, 1996, 61 regions were considered as potential Ecotourism Destination Regions (EDR) in Indonesia. Among the 61 potential ecotourism sites 8 are considered priority areas, namely; Sumatra (Kerinci Seblat National Park in Kerinci District, Jambi Province), Java (Borobudur), Bali (Bedugul), East Nusa Tenggara (Komodo National Park), Borneo (Tanjung Putting National Park), Celebes (Toraja and Takabonerate National Park), Molucas (Lease Archipelago), and Irian Jaya (Padaido Archipelago) (Jazanul and Soekirman, 2003).



3

As an ecotourism destination, Kerinci District possesses a lot of natural and cultural attributes, such as Kerinci Seblat National Park and the natural/cultural attraction surrounding the park, local culture, weather, infrastructure, Kerinci Lake Festival, Indonesian Independence Day ceremony in Kerinci mount, business and accommodation facilities. The drawback however is the number of visitors which reached only 5,317 tourists in 1997 as Kerinci was not popular especially among the domestic tourists. This situation had motivated the government to arrange for rescue programs in Kerinci District, Jambi, particularly to formulate ecotourism policy and action plan in 1998.

In 1998, Kerinci District became the first priority in ecotourism development in Jambi, as decided by the National Tourism Government with number: 118/M-PSB/1998 dated 24<sup>th</sup> July 1998. The Distrcit promoted Kerinci as being rich with nature and culture tourism, especially because of the Kerinci Lake Festival I in 1998.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

The festival was an extraordinary program to increase the number of visitors in Kerinci District as compared to non-festival days. The organizing committee of Kerinci Lake Festival had recorded the number of visitors to about 120,000 domestic tourists. Kerinci Lake Festival II in 2001 had also increased the number of visitors to 220,000 domestic tourists. In 2001, the number of tourists in Kerinci increased the revenue in one year's movement to US \$ 2.4 million.



These phenomena indicated that Kerinci had succeeded festival program to promote the destination. Hence, the destination had attracted the attention of domestic tourists.

The District Autonomy regulation has divided the authority into the central and local government. One of the central government tasks and autonomy that had been delegated to the local government is the tourism marketing plan. Hence, the local government should be active and proactive to get the tourism market opportunities especially through the marketing plan. The attractive destinations and successful marketing produced a positive impact especially in increasing the number of visitors.

A good combination of various promotional activities is important to promote Kerinci as ecotourism destination effectively. Currently, there are several promotional activities which done independently by various agencies or institutional. Therefore, integrated programs, methods and materials of promotion should be considered in the strategic promotional plan. It may guide all stakeholders to conduct promotional activities, comprehensively.

Before festival, various promotional activities were implemented to inform the potential or existing visitors. The institution of the district implemented tourism promotion activities using print media, mass media, electronic media, schools, websites, publication and personal selling, sporadically. Every institution promoted its business individually. Hence, there was no synergy to create a



good promotion. Several indicators showed the promotional activities were not easy accessible. These were not adequately explanatory to inform the tourists. The promotional activities before festival are not effective. Whereas, combination among promotional activities in Kerinci Lake Festival and Indonesian's Independence Day are successful program to promote Kerinci as a destination. This is so because of inefficient promotional activities. Thus, Kerinci is needed to evaluate regarding its existing promotion activities. Kerinci does not have accurate information to formulate the promotional strategic plan.

Another problem is the lack of information on the socio-demographic profiles of the tourists and the factors that influence their visitation. The factors consist of the following; information sources or promotion activities, perception, affective evaluation, image, satisfaction, and preference, which are important in understanding tourists' behavior. Hence, the management can anticipate the success of the next program by using promotional strategy to increase the number of visitors.

The promotion elements (advertising, personal selling, publicity, and sales promotion activities) inform or persuade existing or potential tourists to visit the destination (Heath and Wall, 1992). The promotional strategy plays a significant role in marketing, hence, promotion is a key marketing mix in increasing the number of visitors. Arranging the promotional strategy appropriately is not easy. The marketing promoter should understand tourists' behavior especially the relationship among existing promotion program, visitation, satisfaction,

