



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**BASIC ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF LAMINATED VENEER  
LUMBER (LVL) PRODUCED FROM TROPICAL HARDWOOD  
SPECIES**

**H'NG PAIK SAN**

**FH 2003 15**

**BASIC ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF LAMINATED VENEER  
LUMBER (LVL) PRODUCED FROM TROPICAL HARDWOOD  
SPECIES**

**By**

**H'NG PAIK SAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the  
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**November 2003**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**BASIC ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF LAMINATED VENEER  
LUMBER PRODUCED FROM TROPICAL HARDWOOD SPECIES**

By

**H'NG PAIK SAN**

**November 2003**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Paridah Md. Tahir, Ph.D.**

**Faculty: Forestry**

One of the reasons for a limited structural usage of LVL in tropical countries is the lack of research and development to establish design criteria for this material. Such information is essential for the development of design values as well as new structural uses of LVL. This study aimed to establish basic engineering properties of LVL produced from selected tropical hardwood species; i.e. Yellow Meranti (*Shorea spp.*), Kedondong (*Canarium spp.*), Bintangor (*Calophyllum spp.*), White Meranti (*Shorea spp.*), and Keruing (*Dipterocarpus spp.*). Tests for static bending, bending shear, tensile and compression parallel to longitudinal axis were carried out using in-grade size specimens. The tests were conducted in accordance with AS/NZS 4357 (Structural Laminated Veneer Lumber). The effects of two important factors; (1) wood species and (2) veneer thickness, on the strength properties of LVL were studied. The results show that Keruing LVL has the highest density (700 – 820 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), followed by White Meranti (600-680 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), Bintangor (570-590 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), Kedondong (545-574 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) and Yellow Meranti (510-541 kg/m<sup>3</sup>). Using thinner veneers increased the LVL panel density between 2.8% (in Kedondong) to 8.9% (in Keruing). Despite having lower board density, both White Meranti and Bintangor

LVLs had significantly superior engineering properties than those made from Keruing, Kedondong and Yellow Meranti. Keruing LVL performed below the expectation in all the strength properties which was attributed to the poor bond quality observed through the gluebond shear test and scanning electron microscope analysis. The study also indicate that shear strength of the LVL was much more governed by the wood species where denser wood LVL apparently produced lower shear values due to poor adhesion that eventually gives rise to glueline failure. LVL made from thinner veneers performed better than that made of thicker ones with increased strength: 2% to 34.3% in bending; 0.6% to 14.6% in bending shear; 5% to 50% in tensile; and 7% to 45% in compression. The minimum calculated ratios for all species for ultimate tensile strength (UTS) and tensile modulus of elasticity (TMOE) was 0.52 and for ultimate compression strength (UCS) and modulus of rupture (MOR) was 0.69 which are within the range of solid wood. These ratios are used to estimate the tensile and compression strengths using values from static bending test. The grade stresses of LVLs produced in this study was found to have at least one grade higher than the solid wood of the same species published in MS 544 Part 2.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat University Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**SIFAT KEJURUTERAAN ASAS BAGI KAYU VENIR BERLAMINA YANG  
BIPERBUAT DARIPADA SPESIS KAYU KERAS TROPIKA**

Oleh

**H'NG PAIK SAN**

**November 2003**

**Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Paridah Md. Tahir, Ph.D.**

**Fakulti: Perhutanan**

Salah satu alasan yang menyebabkan kekurangan minat yang mendalam menggunakan kayu venir berlamina sebagai bahan kejuruteraan di negara tropika ialah kajian dan pengembangan yang terhad untuk mengubal ciri-ciri pembinaan yang melibatkan bahan ini. Informasi ini penting bagi mewujudkan kegunaan yang tidak terhad bagi kayu venir berlamina. Kajian ini mempunyai tujuan utama untuk menerbitkan sifat kejuruteraan asas kayu venir berlamina yang diperbuat daripada kayu keras tropika; antaranya ialah Meranti Kuning (*Shorea spp.*), Kedondong (*Canarium spp.*), Bintangor (*Calophyllum spp.*), Meranti Putih (*Shorea spp.*) dan Keruing (*Dipterocarpus spp.*). Spesimen bersaiz besar digunakan untuk ujian bagi lentur static, ricih lentur, ketegangan dan kemampatan berdasarkan Standard AS/NZS 4357 (Structural Laminated Veneer Lumber). Dua parameter yang penting ditentukan didalam kajian ini; antaranya (1) kesan daripada spesis kayu dan (2) kesan daripada ketebalan venir. Keputusan menunjukkan kayu venir berlamina yang diperbuat daripada Keruing mempunyai ketumpatan yang paling tinggi ( $700 - 820 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ), diikuti oleh Meranti Putih ( $600-680 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ), Bintangor ( $570-590 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ), Kedondong ( $545-574 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ) dan Meranti Kuning ( $510-541 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ). Dengan

menggunakan venir yang lebih nipis, ia dapat meningkatkan ketumpatan kayu venir berlamina dari 2.8% (dalam Kedondong) hingga 8.9% (dalam Kering). Kayu venir berlamina yang diperbuat daripada spesis Meranti Putih dan Bintangor mempunyai sifat kejuruteraan yang signifikansi tinggi berbanding yang diperbuat daripada spesis Keruing, Kedondong dan Meranti Kuning. Dalam kajian ini juga, kayu venir berlamina yang diperbuat daripada Keruing didapati mempunyai sifat kekuatan yang tidak dapat didugai lebih rendah berbanding spesis lain. Hal ini mungkin disebabkan oleh sifat pelekatan yang kurang memuaskan telah dijumpai di dalam kayu venir berlamina yang diperbuat daripada Keruing. Pada dasarnya, kayu venir berlamina yang diperbuat daripada venir yang nipis mempunyai kekuatan yang lebih baik kayu venir berlamina yang diperbuat daripada venir tebal: 2% hingga 34.3% dalam lentur statik; 0.6% hingga 14.6% dalam ricih lentur; 5% hingga 50% dalam ketegangan; dan 7% hingga 455% dalam kemampuan. Nisbah minima bagi semua spesis yang dikira bagi UTS dan TMOE adalah 0.52, manakala UCS dan MOR adalah 0.69, di mana kedua-dua nisbah itu jatuh dalam jarak kayu. Berdasarkan kepada sifat kekuatan kayu venir berlamina dalam kajian ini, didapati bahawa kekuatan kayu venir berlamina lebih tinggi sekurang-kurangnya satu gred daripada kayunya dalam spesis yang sama berdasarkan tegasan gred yang diterbitkan dalam MS 544 Part 2.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Paridah Md. Tahir for her invaluable help, dedicated efforts, guidance, suggestions and constructive criticisms throughout this study. I am also very grateful indeed to my three other supervisors, Prof. Dr. Mohd. Hamami Shari, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ariff Jamaludin and Assoc. Prof. Zakiah Ahmad for their kind assistance, knowledge and advice. I am also grateful to Dr. Wong Ee Ding for her invaluable comments and suggestion in completing this study. Heartfelt thanks are also due to APAFRI, for providing scholarships and other lecturers in UPM and UiTM for helping me complete this study.

Grateful acknowledgements are due to Mr. Razman and Mr. Maksum of Faculty of Civil Engineering, UiTM, Shah Alam for their accommodating attitude in the use of their facilities in the preparation and testing of LVL samples and to CHG plywood mill for their facilities in the preparation of LVL boards. I would also like to thank Mr. Jala, Mr. Chee and Mr. Harmaen for providing reading materials, guidance and assistance during the conduct of the experiments.

Many thanks to those who help me to complete this study as well as my friends for their moral support and most of all their invaluable friendship. Special thanks is due to Lay Lee, Steven, Pei Kiun, Poh Hoon, Shawn, Albert and Liong who were always ready to listen to numerous complaints and who are ever ready to extend helping hands in whatever and whenever need is necessary.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest love and gratitude to my wife, Yip Lai Yee for her care and understand in completing this study, to father, mother, brothers and sisters for their prayers, support and encouragement during the course of this study.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ABSTRAK.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vi
APPROVAL.....	viii
DECLARATION.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xxiii

### CHAPTER

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 General.....	1
	1.2 Justification.....	4
	1.3 Objectives of the Study.....	7
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>9</b>
	2.1 Background.....	9
	2.2 Working Toward Engineered Wood Products (EWPs).....	12
	2.2.1 Characteristics of Engineered Wood Products.....	14
	2.2.2 EWPs as Building Elements.....	14
	2.2.3 Current Market Situation of EWPs.....	16
	2.2.4 Future Outlook for EWPs.....	18
	2.3 Laminated Veneer Lumber.....	19
	2.3.1 Common Uses of LVL.....	21
	2.3.2 LVL Performance.....	22
	2.3.3 Strength Properties of LVL.....	24
	2.4 Factor Affecting LVL Engineering Properties.....	24
	2.8.1 Wood Species.....	25
	2.8.2 Veneer Thickness.....	26
	2.8.3 Veneer Quality.....	27
	2.8.4 Adhesives.....	29
	2.8.5 Bonding Ability of Wood.....	31
	2.5 LVL Current Market and Consumption.....	34
	2.6 Potential Growth of LVL.....	37
	2.7 Summary of Literature Review.....	40

<b>3</b>	<b>THE MANUFACTURE AND EVALUATION OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER PRODUCED FROM TROPICAL HARDWOOD SPECIES</b> .....	41
3.1	Introduction.....	41
3.2	Basic Processing Schemes.....	44
3.3	Processing Variables in LVL Manufacture.....	44
3.3.1	Veneer End Jointing.....	45
3.3.2	Veneer Quality/Grade LVL.....	48
3.3.3	Veneer Lathe Checks.....	48
3.3.4	Modification of Constituents' Properties.....	50
3.4	Characteristic of Wood Species.....	51
3.4.1	Keruing.....	52
3.4.2	Kedondong.....	53
3.4.3	Yellow Meranti.....	53
3.4.4	White Meranti.....	54
3.4.5	Bintangor.....	55
3.5	Objective.....	55
3.6	Methodology.....	56
3.6.1	Moisture Content and Density.....	56
3.6.2	The Depth of Lathe Checks.....	56
3.6.3	Bond Integrity Assessment.....	57
3.6.3.1	Adhesive Penetration.....	57
3.6.3.2	Gluebond Shear.....	57
3.7	Manufacture of LVL.....	58
3.7.1	Veneer.....	59
3.7.2	Adhesive Application.....	60
3.7.3	Assembly and Hot Pressing.....	61
3.8	LVL Cutting Patterns.....	61
3.9	Results and Discussion.....	64
3.9.1	Density and Moisture Content.....	64
3.9.2	Depth of Lathe Checks.....	67
3.9.3	Bond Integrity Assessment.....	68
3.9.3.1	Adhesive Penetration.....	69
3.9.3.2	Gluebond Shear.....	73
3.10	Conclusion.....	75
<b>4</b>	<b>BENDING PROPERTIES OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER</b> .....	76
4.1	Introduction.....	76
4.2	Bending Theory.....	77
4.2.1	Bending in Small Clear.....	78
4.2.2	Bending in laminates.....	80
4.3	Bending in LVLs.....	82
4.4	Objective.....	84
4.5	Methodology.....	85



4.5.1	Test Design.....	85
4.5.2	Static Bending Test.....	86
4.5.3	Evaluation of LVL.....	87
4.5.4	Statistical Analysis.....	88
4.6	Results and Discussion.....	89
4.6.1	Density and Moisture Content.....	90
4.6.2	LVL Bending Performance.....	91
4.6.2.1	Flatwise Direction.....	91
4.6.2.1.1	Modulus of Elasticity.....	95
4.6.2.1.1.1	Effect of Wood Species.....	96
4.6.2.1.1.2	Effect of Veneer Thickness.....	98
4.6.2.1.1	Modulus of Rupture.....	101
4.6.2.2	Edgewise Direction.....	106
4.6.2.2.1	Modulus of Elasticity.....	109
4.6.2.2.1.1	Effect of Wood Species.....	109
4.6.2.2.1.2	Effect of Veneer Thickness.....	110
4.6.2.2.2	Modulus of Rupture.....	112
4.7	Conclusion.....	115

## **5 SHEAR STRENGTH OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER.....**

5.1	Introduction.....	117
5.2	Experimental Shear Failures.....	120
5.3	LVL Approach in Shear Testing.....	123
5.4	Justification.....	124
5.5	Objective.....	125
5.6	Methodology.....	126
5.6.1	Test Design.....	126
5.6.2	Test Method.....	127
5.6.3	Evaluation of LVL.....	128
5.6.4	Statistical Analysis.....	128
5.7	Results and Discussion.....	129
5.7.1	LVL Shear Performance.....	130
5.7.1.1	Flatwise Direction.....	134
5.7.1.1.1	Effect of Wood Species.....	134
5.7.1.1.2	Effect of Veneer Thickness.....	136
5.7.1.2	Edgewise Direction.....	138
5.7.1.2.1	Effect of Wood Species.....	138
5.7.1.2.2	Effect of Veneer Thickness.....	140
5.8	Conclusion.....	141

<b>6</b>	<b>TENSILE STRENGTH PARALLEL TO THE LONGITUDINAL AXIS OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER.....</b>	<b>142</b>
6.1	Introduction.....	142
6.2	Objective.....	147
6.3	Methodology.....	147
6.3.1	Test Design.....	147
6.3.2	Test Methods.....	149
6.3.3	Evaluation of LVL.....	150
6.3.4	Statistical Analysis.....	152
6.4	Results and Discussion.....	152
6.4.1	Variation Tensile Properties.....	154
6.4.2	Poisson's Ratio.....	155
6.4.3	Tensile Properties.....	156
6.4.3.1	Effect of Wood Species.....	158
6.4.3.2	Effect of Veneer Thickness.....	161
6.4.4	Relationship between UTS and TMOE.....	163
6.5	Conclusion.....	166
<b>7</b>	<b>COMPRESSION STRENGTH PARALLEL TO THE LONGITUDINAL AXIS OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER (LVL).....</b>	<b>168</b>
7.1	Introduction.....	168
7.2	Compression Parallel to Grain.....	169
7.3	In-grade Compression Test.....	170
7.3.1	Crushing.....	171
7.3.2	Buckling.....	171
7.4	Compression Strength of Laminated Veneer Lumber.....	172
7.5	Objective.....	174
7.6	Methodology.....	175
7.6.1	Preparation of Test Specimens.....	175
7.6.2	Test Method.....	177
7.6.3	Evaluation of LVL.....	177
7.6.4	Statistical Analysis.....	178
7.7	Results and Discussion.....	179
7.7.1	Variation in Compression Properties.....	179
7.7.2	Compression Parallel to the Longitudinal Axis of LVL.....	181
7.7.2.1	Effect of Wood Species.....	183
7.7.2.2	Effect of Veneer Thickness.....	186
7.7.4	Relationship between UCS and MOR.....	188
7.8	Conclusion.....	191
<b>8</b>	<b>FAILURE CHARACTERISTICS OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER MANUFACTURED FROM TROPICAL .....</b>	<b>193</b>
8.1	Introduction.....	193
8.2	Failure Respond.....	194
8.3	Objective.....	196
8.4	Assessment of Failure Characteristics.....	196



8.4.1	Failure Modes in Static Bending Test.....	197
8.4.2	Failure Modes in Tensile Parallel to Longitudinal Axis.....	198
8.4.3	Failure Modes in Compression Parallel to Longitudinal Axis.....	199
8.5	Evaluation of LVL Failure Patterns.....	200
8.6	Results and Discussion.....	200
8.6.1	Failure Characteristics in Static Bending.....	200
8.6.1.1	Edgewise Loading Direction.....	201
8.6.1.2	Flatwise Loading Direction.....	205
8.6.1.2.1	Effect of Veneer Thickness.....	216
8.6.2	Failure Characteristics in Tension Parallel to the Longitudinal Axis.....	217
8.6.3	Failure Characteristics in Compression Parallel to the Longitudinal Axis of Member.....	222
8.7	Conclusion.....	226
<b>9</b>	<b>ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER.....</b>	<b>227</b>
9.1	Introduction.....	227
9.2	Strength Grouping.....	228
9.3	Engineering Properties of LVL.....	229
9.4	Objective.....	230
9.5	Methodology.....	230
9.5.1	Modification of Moisture Content Variation.....	230
9.5.2	Derivation of Basic Stresses.....	231
9.6	Results and Discussion.....	232
9.7	Conclusion.....	235
<b>10</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>236</b>
10.1	Conclusions.....	236
10.2	Recommendations.....	239
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>242</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>259</b>
	<b>VITA</b>	<b>262</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Comparisons of Various Types Building Materials	23
2.2 Production of LVL, 1995-1999 (1000 m <sup>3</sup> )	36
2.3 LVL Consumption, 1995-1999 (1000m <sup>3</sup> )	36
3.1 Joint factor for various scarf slopes in veneer jointing	46
3.2 Experimental parameters for this study	63
3.3 Density and moisture content of 50-mm thick LVL	66
3.4 Mean depth of lathe checks for five tropical hardwood species veneers	68
3.5 Average gluebond shear and wood failure	74
4.1 Bending properties of LVL fabricated from five tropical hardwood species	92
4.2 Significant probabilities for study variables and interaction	95
4.3 Means comparison of flatwise MOE using Tukey-Kramer for different species groups of LVL	96
4.4 Means comparison of flatwise MOE using Tukey-Kramer for different veneer thickness of LVL	98
4.5 Pairwise comparison of flatwise MOR using Multiple Tukey-Kramer for all the variables studied	103
4.6 Significant probabilities for study variables and interaction	108
4.7 Means comparison of edgewise MOE using Tukey-Kramer for different species groups of LVL	109
4.8 Means comparison of edgewise MOE using Tukey-Kramer for different veneer thickness of LVL	111
4.9 Pairwise comparison of edgewise MOR using Multiple Tukey-Kramer for all the variables studied	114
5.1 Bending shear properties of LVL fabricated from five tropical hardwood species	131

5.2	Significant probabilities for study variables and interaction	132
5.3	Means comparison of flatwise shear strength using Tukey-Kramer for different species groups of LVL	134
5.4	Means comparison of flatwise shear strength using Tukey-Kramer for different veneer thickness of LVL	136
5.5	Means comparison of edgewise shear strength using Tukey-Kramer for different species groups of LVL	137
5.6	Means comparison of edgewise shear strength using Tukey-Kramer for different veneer thickness of LVL	140
6.1	Tensile properties of various species of LVL	153
6.2	Significant probabilities for study variables and interaction	158
6.3	Means comparison of tensile properties using Tukey-Kramer for different species groups of LVL	159
6.4	Means comparison of tensile properties using Tukey-Kramer for different veneer thickness of LVL	162
7.1	Compression strength of LVL made from selected tropical hardwood species	180
7.2	Significant probabilities for study variables and interaction	183
7.3	Means comparison of compression properties using Tukey-Kramer for different species groups of LVL	184
7.4	Means comparison of compression properties using Tukey-Kramer for different veneer thickness of LVL	186
7.5	Relationship between of UCS and flatwise MOR	190
7.6	Relationship between of UCS and edgewise MOR	190
8.1	Percentage of edgewise static bending failure modes	202
8.2	Percentage of flatwise static bending failure modes	206
8.3	Percentages of tension parallel to the longitudinal axis failure modes for LVL with thinner veneer (3.2 mm)	218
8.4	Percentages of tension parallel to the longitudinal axis failure modes for LVL with thicker veneer (4.0 mm)	219

8.5	Percentages of compression parallel to the longitudinal axis failure modes for LVL	222
8.6	Percentages of compression parallel to the longitudinal axis failure modes for LVL	223
9.1	Strength ratios of solid timber	229
9.2	Formulae for determining basic stresses	231
9.3	Basic working stresses and stiffness for LVL	232
9.4	Wet and dry grade stresses for various strength groups of timber as according to Malaysia Standard MS 544: Part 2 (Stresses and moduli expressed in $N/mm^2$ )	233
9.5	Strength group of wood species and LVL	234



## LIST OF FIGURE

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 The distribution of forested area in Malaysia	9
2.2 The regional market comparisons for glulam and LVL	17
2.3 I-beam production in North America and Europe	17
2.4 EWPs Product life	38
3.1 Rotary lathe cutting veneer showing lathe checks	49
3.2 Plywood Glue Bond Shear Specimen Used in the study	58
3.3 Design of LVL	59
3.4 Cutting Patterns for one LVL board	62
3.5 Density of tropical hardwood LVL fabricated from different wood species	67
3.6 Extent of adhesive penetration in Keruing LVL using (a) 3.2 mm thick veneers and (b) 4.0 mm thick veneers, White Meranti LVL using (c) 3.2 mm thick veneers and (d) 4.0mm thick veneers, and Bintangor LVL using (e) 3.2 mm thick and (f) 4.0 mm thick veneers	73
4.1 Stress zone of laminates beam (cross section)	81
4.2 Test setup for flatwise bending	87
4.3 Test setup for edgewise bending test	87
4.4 Moisture content (MC) of tropical hardwood LVL fabricated from different wood species	90
4.5 Density of tropical hardwood LVL fabricated from different species	90
4.6 Average MOR values of LVL loaded in flatwise direction	94
4.7 Average MOE values of LVL loaded in flatwise direction	94
4.8 Cell model of a single layer LVL	99
4.9 Average MOR values of LVL loaded in edgewise direction	107
4.10 Average MOE values of LVL loaded in edgewise direction	107

4.11	Transformed cross section in edgewise LVL oriented parallel to the load: (a) thinner veneer, (b) thicker veneer	112
5.1	ASTMD 143 shear block test	118
5.2	Test setup for flatwise bending	127
5.3	Test setup for edgewise bending test	128
5.4	Moisture content (MC) of tropical hardwood LVL fabricated from different species	135
5.5	Density of tropical hardwood LVL fabricated from different species	135
5.4	Typical shear failure observed in flatwise for all five species of LVL for both 3.2 mm and 4.0 mm veneer thickness	136
6.1	Tensile test setup	148
6.2	Tensile grip for structural LVL specimen	148
6.3	Plain view of position of traducers and strain gauges (not to scale)	149
6.4	Position of strain gauges (LT direction)	150
6.5	The average UTS values for the five species	157
6.6	The average TMOE values for the five species	157
6.7	The SEM photo of Keruing LVL (1000 X)	160
6.8	Relationship between the UTS and TMOE for all variables	164
6.9	Relationship between the UTS and TMOE for Kedondong	165
6.10	Relationship between the UTS and TMOE for Yellow Meranti	165
6.11	Relationship between the UTS and TMOE for Bintangor	165
6.12	Relationship between the UTS and TMOE for White Meranti	166
6.13	Relationship between the UTS and TMOE for Keruing	166
7.1	The compression test setup for structural LVL specimens	176
7.2	Lateral restraints used in the compression test setup	176
7.3	The average UCS values for the five species both thinner and thicker veneers	181

7.4	The average CMOE values for the five species both thinner and thicker veneers	182
7.5	The form of the stress prism changes from an even distribution (A) to a very uneven distribution (E)	187
8.1	Types of failure in bending for wood: (A) simple tension, (B) splintering tension, (C) brash tension, (D) compression, (E) horizontal shear (interlaminar)	197
8.2	Types of failure of wood in tension parallel to grain: (A) splintering tension, (B) combined tension and shear, (C) shear, (D) brittle tension	198
8.3	Types of failure in compression parallel to grain for wood: (A) crushing, (B) shearing, (C) buckling	199
8.4	Load - displacement curves for all the LVL	201
8.5	Stress distribution in a clear wood beam caused by progressively increasing bending moment	203
8.6	Typical tension failure observed in Kedondong LVL for both 3.2 mm and 4.0 mm veneer thickness	204
8.7	Typical tension failure observed in Yellow Meranti LVL for both 3.2 mm and 4.0 mm veneer thickness	204
8.8	Typical tension failure observed in Bintangor LVL for both 3.2 mm and 4.0 mm veneer thickness	204
8.9	Typical tension failure observed in White Meranti LVL for both 3.2 mm and 4.0 mm veneer thickness	205
8.10	Typical tension failure observed in Keruing LVL for both 3.2 mm and 4.0 mm veneer thickness	205
8.11	Flatwise failure mode of Kedondong LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	207
8.12	Flatwise failure mode of Yellow Meranti LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	207
8.13	Flatwise failure mode of Yellow Meranti LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	207
8.14	Flatwise failure mode of Keruing LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	208

8.15	Flatwise failure mode of White Meranti LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	208
8.16	LVL subjected to bending test	209
8.17	Individual veneer slide or shear between each other during bending	210
8.18	Shear in weak core of a laminated member	211
8.19	Shear in strong core of a laminated member	212
8.20	A profile of cross section of LVL	212
8.21	Stress for outer surfaces and core layer for LVL	214
8.22	Initially crack as the veneer joints as found in Keruing LVL	216
8.23	Shift of neutral axis during static bending	217
8.24	Typical combined tension and shear failure observed for Kedondong, Yellow Meranti, Bintangor and White Meranti LVLs for both 3.2 mm and 4.0 mm veneer thickness	219
8.25	Typical shear failure observed for Keruing LVLs for both 3.2 mm and 4.0 mm veneer thickness	219
8.26	Earlier veneer joints failed observed in tension test for Keruing LVL	221
8.27	Similarity between (a) plywood shear specimen and (b) lathe checks found in Keruing LVL	221
8.28	Crushing of wood fibres observed in Kedondong LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm and (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	223
8.29	Crushing of wood fibres observed in Yellow Meranti LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm and (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	223
8.30	Crushing of wood fibres observed in Bintangor LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm and (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	224
8.31	Shearing of wood fibres observed in White Meranti LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm and (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	224
8.32	Shearing of wood fibres observed in Keruing LVL made from (a) 3.2 mm and (b) 4.0 mm veneer thickness	224
8.33	Force acts uniformly at the end of a wooden column	225

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFPA	America Forest & Paper Association
ANOCOVA	Analysis of Covariance
APA	The Engineered Wood Association
ASTM	America Standard of Testing Materials
AS/NZS	Australia/New Zealand Standard
CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia
CMOE	Compression Modulus of Elasticity
COV	Coefficient of Variances
E	Young Modulus
EWP	Engineered Wood Product
FJ	Finger Jointed
FPL	Forest Products Laboratory
FRIM	Forest Research Institute Malaysia
GLM	General Linear Model
HHW	Mixed Heavy Hardwood
JKR	Jabatan Kerja Raya
L	Longitudinal
LHW	Mixed Light Hardwood
LVL	Laminated Veneer Lumber
MC	Moisture Content
MDF	Medium Density Fiberboard
MHW	Mixed Medium Hardwood
MOE	Modulus of Elasticity
MOR	Modulus of Rupture
MS	Malaysia Standard
MSR	Machine Stress Rated
NER	National Evaluation Report
OSB	Oriented Strand Board

OSL	Oriented Strand Lumber
P	Probability
PF	Phenol Formaldehyde
PLV	Parallel Laminated Veneer
PRF	Permanent Reserved Forest
PSL	Parallel Strand Lumber
R	Radial
RH	Relative Humidity
SAS	Statistical Analysis System
SCL	Structural Composite Lumber
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
T	Tangential
TMOE	Tensile Modulus of Elasticity
UCS	Ultimate Compression Strength
UiTM	Universiti Teknologi Mara
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
US	United States
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
UTS	Ultimate Tensile Strength
WBP	Weather Boil Proof