



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**STRENGTH AND STIFFNESS OF JOINTS  
IN RATTAN FURNITURE**

**WAN TARMEZE WAN ARIFFIN**

**FK 1994 5**

STRENGTH AND STIFFNESS OF JOINTS  
IN RATTAN FURNITURE

By

WAN TARMEZE WAN ARIFFIN

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the  
Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia.

December 1994



This thesis is dedicated to the author's beloved wife;

*Khamsaton Sayuti*

and their energetic children;

*Wan Watari*

*Wan Amanina*



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

PRAISES and THANKS belong ONLY to ALLAH S.W.T for giving me the time to work with the following wonderful people and friends through-out the course of this study. In chronological order of appearance, they are:

The management of FRIM who offered me the opportunity to undertake this study; The Supervisory Committee - Ir. Dr. Shahnor Basri (Chairman), Dr. Shamsuddin Sulaiman and Prof. Madya Bahanuridin Hitam whose critics have strengthened my thesis; Azmi Ahmad, a one time Research Assistant in UPM (now, an executive in EON) whose 'can do' attitude has inspired me; Ab Jalil Muhammad who helped me in purchasing the rattan poles; Wan Abdul Nasir Harani (TUNAS BAKTI Sg. Besi) and Jamari Hj Shahlan (Koop. KIJANG EMAS Bkt. Lanjan) who allowed their staff to bind the rattan joints; The staff of Metal Workshop (FRIM), especially Tuan Hj Omar Osman who fabricated the jigs; The staff of Timber Technology Lab (FRIM) who helped me with the testing - Tuan Hj Omar Khaidzir (the boss), Ms Azian M. Kasby, Roszalli Mohd, Othman Desa and Ms. Chong; The Furniture Testing Lab (FRIM) personnels who allowed me to use their sophisticated facilities - Arshad Saru (the *Penghulu*), Abdul Hamid Saleh (who also perfected the technical drawings) and Zawawi Kassim; Zaini Ujang (my efficient Research Assistant) who helped me a lot; Arshad Omar (the computer wizard) who sharpened my skill in computer graphics; All my friends who gave answers and critics when I needed clarifications and justifications - to name some, a nice old



man Mr Chu Yue Pun (the Malaysian timber joints expert), Tuan Hj Shukari Midon (an experienced mechanical engineer), Hashim W. Samsi (on the statistical analyses), Kamarulzaman Nordin (for having a similar research interest), Razak Wahab, Hamdan Husain and Mohd Tamizi Mustafa (on various aspects of rattan furniture design and manufacturing); Finally, all who have helped directly and indirectly. **ALHAMDULILLAH.**



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES	.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	.....	x
LIST OF PLATES	.....	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	.....	xv
ABSTRACT	.....	xvii
ABSTRAK	.....	xix
CHAPTER		
I	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II	LITERATURE REVIEW .....	8
	Rattan .....	9
	Anatomical Features and Physical Properties .....	9
	Strength Properties .....	12
	Rattan Furniture Joints .....	16
	Wood Joints .....	19
	Past Studies on Wood Joints .....	19
	Withdrawal Resistance .....	20
	Lateral Strength .....	21
	Bending Strength .....	23
	Factors Influencing the Strength and Stiffness of Joints .....	25
	Relationships between the Strength Properties .....	26
III	MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	29
	Preparation of Test (Joint) Specimen ....	30
	Selection for Fasteners for Joint Specimen .....	33
	Construction of Joint Specimen .....	34
	Design and Fabrication of Test Jigs .....	38
	Strength and Stiffness Tests .....	42
	Specific Gravity Measurement .....	43
	Statistical Analyses .....	44



		Page
IV	WITHDRAWAL RESISTANCE .....	46
	Objectives of the Study .....	47
	Selection of Joint Specimens .....	47
	Preparation of Joint Specimens for Testing .....	48
	Withdrawal Tests .....	49
	Statistical Analyses .....	51
	Results and Discussion .....	52
V	LATERAL AND SIDE LATERAL STRENGTH .....	62
	Objectives of the Study .....	63
	Selection of Joint Specimens .....	65
	Preparation of Joint Specimens for Testing .....	66
	Lateral and Side Lateral Tests .....	66
	Statistical Analyses .....	69
	Results and Discussion .....	71
VI	BENDING AND SIDE BENDING STRENGTH .....	83
	Objectives of the Study .....	84
	Selection of Joint Specimens .....	87
	Preparation of Joint Specimens for Testing .....	87
	Bending and Side Bending Tests .....	88
	Statistical Analyses .....	92
	Results and Discussion .....	93
VII	THE EFFECTS OF RATTAN SPECIFIC GRAVITY ON THE STRENGTH AND STIFFNESS OF RATTAN JOINTS .	104
	Objectives of the Study .....	106
	Materials and Methods .....	106
	Results and Discussion .....	107
VIII	THEORETICAL MODELS FOR ROTATIONAL STIFFNESSES OF RATTAN FURNITURE JOINTS ...	117
	Objectives of the Study .....	118
	Bending Rotational Stiffness Model .....	120
	Force Analysis and Model Derivation .	120
	Model Verification .....	123
	Side Bending Rotational Stiffness Model .	126
	Force Analysis and Model Derivation .	127
	Fastener Bending Test and Model Verification .....	136



	Page
IX	
SUMMARY, OVERALL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	142
Summary .....	142
Overall Conclusions .....	143
Recommendation .....	144
REFERENCES .....	146
APPENDICES .....	154
VITA .....	167





## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Some Average Strength Values of <i>Calamus man.</i> Tested at Green and Air-Dry Conditions .....	13
2	Some Average Strength Values of Hardwood at 12 Percent Moisture Content .....	14
3	Selection of Joint Specimen for Withdrawal Test ....	48
4	Average Strength and Stiffness Values of Rattan Joints Tested in Withdrawal Loading .....	56
5	Summary of Analysis of Variance on Sample Characteristics and Withdrawal Resistance between Groups .....	58
6	Selection of Joint Specimen for Lateral (L) and Side Lateral (SL) Tests .....	65
7	Average Strength and Stiffness Values of Rattan Joints Tested in Lateral Loading .....	76
8	Average Strength and Stiffness Values of Rattan Joints Tested in Side Lateral Loading .....	76
9	Summary of Analysis of Variance on Sample Characteristics and Lateral Strength and Stiffness between Groups .....	77
10	Summary of Analysis of Variance on Sample Characteristics and Side Lateral Strength and Stiffness between Groups .....	78
11	Selection of Joint Specimens for Bending (BG) and Side Bending (SBG) Tests .....	89
12	Average Strength and Stiffness Values of Rattan Joints Tested in Bending .....	99
13	Average Strength and Stiffness Values of Rattan Joints Tested in Side Bending .....	100



	Page
14	Summary of Analysis of Variance on Sample Characteristics and Bending Strength and Stiffness Properties between Groups ..... 101
15	Summary of Analysis of Variance on Sample Characteristics and Side Bending Strength and Stiffness Properties between Groups ..... 102
16	Summary of Regression Analyses between the Specific Gravity and the Ultimate Withdrawal Strength of Rattan Joints ..... 108
17	Summary of Regression Analyses between the Specific Gravity and the Lateral Stiffness and Strength Properties of Rattan Joints ..... 109
18	Summary of Regression Analyses between the Specific Gravity and the Side Lateral Stiffness and Strength Properties of Rattan Joints ..... 110
19	Summary of Regression Analyses between the Specific Gravity and the Bending Stiffness and Strength Properties of Rattan Joints ..... 111
20	Summary of Regression Analyses between the Specific Gravity and the Side Bending Stiffness and Strength Properties of Rattan Joints ..... 112
21	Predicted and Experimental Bending Loads of the Tested Rattan Joints ..... 125
22	Experimental Results of Bending Properties of Nails and Screws Used in the Study ..... 138
23	Predicted and Experimental Side Bending Rotational Stiffnesses of Rattan Joints ..... 139
24	Some Physical Properties of Rattan Poles Used in the Study ..... 154



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Diagrammatic Illustration of Rattan Stem and Structure .....	10
2	Schematic Representation of General Patterns of Variation in Certain Physical, Mechanical and Anatomical Properties Along (Longitudinal) and Across (Radial) a Rattan Stem .....	12
3	Basic Types of Joint Most Frequently Used in Rattan Furniture .....	16
4	Some Binding Patterns in Rattan Furniture .....	17
5	Withdrawal Tests, (a) Joint Withdrawal, (b) Direct Withdrawal .....	21
6	Typical Chair Side Frame (a) under Sitter's Load, (b) Lateral Loading Effect at Side Rail-to-Backpost Joint .....	22
7	Lateral Loading Tests (a) Single Shear (b) Double Shear (c) 'T' Joint .....	23
8	Typical Chair Side Frame (a) under Sitter's Fidgeting Load, (b) Bending Effect at Stretcher-to-Backpost Joint .....	24
9	'T' Joint Tested in Bending .....	25
10	Common (a) Nail and (b) Screw Used in Rattan Furniture Joints .....	33
11	Schematic Diagram of 'T' Rattan Joint Specimen .....	34
12	Schematic Diagram of Rattan Joint Prepared for Withdrawal Test .....	49
13	Schematic Diagram of the Withdrawal Test Arrangement .....	51



Figure	Page
14	Withdrawal Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Nailed (N) Rattan Joints ..... 53
15	Withdrawal Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Screwed (S) Rattan Joints ..... 53
16	Withdrawal Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Nailed and Bound (N+B) Rattan Joints ..... 54
17	Withdrawal Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Screwed and Bound (S+B) Rattan Joints ..... 54
18	Typical (Average) Withdrawal Load-Displacement Characteristics of the Four Joint Groups ..... 55
19	Schematic Diagram of Rattan Joint Prepared for Side Lateral Test ..... 66
20	Schematic Diagram of the Lateral Test Arrangement .. 68
21	Schematic Diagram of the Side Lateral Test Arrangement ..... 70
22	Lateral Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Nailed (N) Rattan Joints ..... 72
23	Lateral Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Screwed (S) Rattan Joints ..... 72
24	Lateral Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Nailed and Bound (N+B) Rattan Joints ..... 73
25	Lateral Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Screwed and Bound (S+B) Rattan Joints ..... 73
26	Side Lateral Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Nailed (N) Rattan Joints ..... 74
27	Side Lateral Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Screwed (S) Rattan Joints ..... 74
28	Side Lateral Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Nailed and Bound (N+B) Rattan Joints ..... 75



Figure	Page
29 Side Lateral Load-Displacement Characteristics of Some Screwed and Bound (S+B) Rattan Joints .....	75
30 Schematic Diagram of the Bending Test Arrangement ..	90
31 Schematic Diagram of the Side Bending Test Arrangement .....	92
32 Method of Calculating Joint Rotation Angle .....	93
33 Bending Load-Rotation (P- $\theta$ ) Characteristics of Some Nailed (N) Rattan Joints .....	94
34 Bending Load-Rotation (P- $\theta$ ) Characteristics of Some Screwed (S) Rattan Joints .....	94
35 Bending Load-Rotation (P- $\theta$ ) Characteristics of Some Nailed and Bound (N+B) Rattan Joints .....	95
36 Bending Load-Rotation (P- $\theta$ ) Characteristics of Some Screwed and Bound (S+B) Rattan Joints .....	95
37 Side Bending Load-Rotation (P- $\theta$ ) Characteristics of Some Nailed (N) Rattan Joints .....	96
38 Side Bending Load-Rotation (P- $\theta$ ) Characteristics of Some Screwed (S) Rattan Joints .....	96
39 Side Bending Load-Rotation (P- $\theta$ ) Characteristics of Some Nailed and Bound (N+B) Rattan Joints .....	97
40 Side Bending Load-Rotation (P- $\theta$ ) Characteristics of Some Screwed and Bound (S+B) Rattan Joints .....	97
41 Force Analysis on the Fastener of Joint Tested in Bending .....	121
42 Typical Predicted and Experimental Bending Loads of Joints .....	126
43 (a) Force Analysis on the Fastener of Joint Tested in Side Bending (b) Triangular Distributed Load from above the Fastener (c) Triangular Distributed Load from underneath the Fastener .....	128



Figure	Page
44	(a) Total Force on the Fastener of Joint Tested Side Bending (b) Downward Slope of Deflection caused by $W_o'$ (c) Upward Slope of Deflection caused by $W_o''$ ..... 131
45	Assumed Bending Characteristics of the Fasteners in the Tested Rattan Joints ..... 133



## LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
1	A Rattan Dining Chair .....	3
2	Rattan Baskets and Utility Box .....	4
3	A <i>Calamus manan</i> Pole .....	31
4	Cutting of a Rattan Pole into 160 mm Poles .....	32
5	Four Types of Joint Used in the Study .....	35
6	Nailing Jig .....	36
7	Common Types of Binding Used in the Study .....	37
8	The Withdrawal Test Sample Holder Jig .....	39
9	The Lateral and Bending Tests Sample Holder Jig ....	40
10	The Joint Leg End Supporter Jig for Lateral and Side Lateral Tests .....	40
11	The Side Lateral and Side Bending Tests Sample Holder Jig .....	41
12	The Withdrawal Test .....	50
13	In Service Lateral Load on Joint Connecting Seat Frame and Backrest of a Rattan Chair .....	63
14	The Lateral Test .....	67
15	The Side Lateral Test .....	69
16	The Bending Test .....	89
17	The Side Bending Test .....	91



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

N	Nailed Rattan Joint
S	Screwed Rattan Joint
N+B	Nailed and Bound Rattan Joint
S+B	Screwed and Bound Rattan Joint
SG	Specific Gravity
MC	Moisture Content
Ø	Diameter of Rattan
P-X	Load-Displacement Curve
P-θ	Load-Rotation Curve
P <sub>uw</sub>	Ultimate Withdrawal Load
UWS	Ultimate Withdrawal Strength
S <sub>w</sub>	Withdrawal Stiffness
S <sub>1</sub>	Initial Slope of Lateral P-X Curve
S <sub>2</sub>	Secondary Slope of Lateral P-X Curve
PA	First Lateral Load Peak
PB	Second Lateral Load Peak
P <sub>0.05</sub>	Bending Load at 0.05 rad Joint Rotation Angle (Similarly applied to P <sub>0.02</sub> , P <sub>0.10</sub> & P <sub>0.15</sub> )
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ns	Not Significant at 95% Probability Level
*	Significant at 95% Probability Level
**	Significant at 99% Probability Level





$S_b$	Bending Rotational Stiffness
$L$	Moment Arm
$S_{sb}$	Side Bending Rotational Stiffness
$E$	Modulus of Elasticity
$I$	Moment of Inertia
$w_0$	Distributed Load
$\theta$	Rotation Angle in radian
$J_1$	A Joint Constructed from the Rattan Pole Numbered as 1 (Similarly applied to $J_2, J_3, \dots, J_{74}$ & $J_{75}$ )

Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

## STRENGTH AND STIFFNESS OF JOINTS IN RATTAN FURNITURE

By

WAN TARMEZE BIN WAN ARIFFIN

DECEMBER 1994

Chairman: Ir. Dr. Shahnor Basri

Faculty: Engineering

A study was conducted with the objective of gathering information on the strength and stiffness properties and behaviour of joints in rattan furniture subjected to various kinds of load. Four types of joint commonly found in rattan furniture making industry, *i.e.*, nailed (N), nailed and bound with rattan rope (N+B), screwed (S), and screwed and bound (S+B) were tested in withdrawal, lateral and bending loads. The results showed that in general screwed joints were stronger and stiffer than nailed joints. Furthermore, although bindings have increased the joint strength, the bindings have not improved the joint stiffness against the all types of load, except the side bending load. Regression analyses showed that the rattan specific gravity has significantly influenced



the withdrawal and lateral strength. The results of the analyses have also provided some insights especially on how the nails, screws or bindings were contributing to the strength and stiffness properties of the joints. Through this knowledge, theoretical models were developed for predicting the bending and side bending rotational stiffnesses of the joints. In the first model, the bending stiffness was proportional to the withdrawal stiffness of joint. The second model showed that the side bending stiffness was much determined by the bending properties ( $EI$ ) of the embedded fasteners. Beside its predictive functions, the theoretical models were envisaged to be a basis for future efforts to develop better rattan jointing methods.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Pertanian Malaysia sebagai memenuhi syarat ijazah Sarjana Sains.

## KEKUATAN DAN KEKAKUAN PENYAMBUNGAN DI DALAM PERABOT ROTAN

Oleh

WAN TARMEZE BIN WAN ARIFFIN

DISEMBER 1994

Pengerusi: Ir. Dr. Shahnor Basri

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Satu kajian telah dijalankan bertujuan mendapatkan maklumat mengenai ciri-ciri kekuatan dan kekakuan serta perlakuan penyambungan-penyambungan di dalam perabut rotan terhadap pelbagai jenis beban. Empat kaedah penyambungan yang biasa didapati di dalam industri pembikinan perabut rotan, iaitu berpaku (N), berpaku serta diikat dengan tali rotan (N+B), berskru (S), dan berskru serta diikat (S+B) telah diuji dengan beban-beban tarikan, sisian dan lenturan. Hasil ujian menunjukkan bahawa pada amnya penyambungan berskru lebih kuat dan kaku berbanding penyambungan berpaku. Seterusnya, walaupun ikatan tali rotan telah didapati dapat meningkatkan kekuatan penyambungan, terutama yang berpaku terhadap beberapa jenis beban, ikatan tersebut tidak menambahkan kekakuan penyambungan



terhadap semua jenis beban kecuali beban lenturan tepi. Analisis regresi menunjukkan bahawa graviti tentu rotan sangat mempengaruhi kekuatan tarikan dan sisian penyambungan. Keputusan analisis ini juga telah memberikan beberapa pemahaman terutamanya bagaimana paku, skru atau tali ikatan menyumbang ke atas ciri-ciri kekuatan dan kekakuan penyambungan. Menerusi pengetahuan tersebut, model-model teoretikal telah dibangunkan bagi meramal ciri-ciri kekakuan penyambungan rotan terhadap beban lenturan dan lenturan tepi. Di dalam model pertama, kekakuan lenturan telah didapati berkadar terus dengan nilai kekakuan tarikan penyambungan. Manakala model kedua telah menunjukkan bahawa kekakuan lenturan tepi sangat ditentukan oleh ciri-ciri kekakuan lenturan ( $EI$ ) yang dimiliki oleh paku atau skru. Selain daripada fungsi ramalannya, model-model teoretikal ini diharap dapat dijadikan asas kepada usaha-usaha seterusnya untuk membangunkan kaedah penyambungan rotan yang lebih baik.

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

It has been conventionally agreed that the overall performance of any engineering structure such as a bridge, a roof truss or even a furniture frame, is very much dependent on the behaviours of its joints or connections. Many studies have been conducted to investigate the behaviour of steel, concrete and timber structures. However, virtually none is done on structures made from rattan. Rattans are connected and made into structures mainly for making furniture frame. By understanding the behaviour of its joints, a rattan furniture design could be engineered for optimum performance.

Rattan, a word derived from a Malay term 'rotan' (Whitmore, 1973) is referred to spiny climbing plants belonging to a large subfamily of palms known as the Calamoideae (Uhl and Dransfield, 1987). There are about 600 rattan species arranged in 13 genera (Dransfield, 1992). However, only a small portion of the entire species are commercially used. For instance, there are 104 species of rattan in Peninsular Malaysia, but only 20 species are being utilized (Choo and Daljeet, 1985).



Rattan enters world of commerce as whole poles and in split forms as cores and skins. Being cylindrical and uniform in shape, light in weight but strong, pliable and durable, they are excellent materials for various end-products. The large-diameter (>18 mm) rattan poles are used mainly for making furniture frames (Plate 1) while the small-diameter (<18 mm) poles are woven into baskets and utility boxes (Plate 2). The split rattans such as skins and cores, beside being used for the wrapping of rattan furniture joints, are also used in wicker works and for making handicraft items (Choo and Daljeet 1985; Win and Jumogot, 1991; IDRC, 1992; Basu, 1992).

The rattan furniture manufacturing industry in Malaysia has grown significantly in the past decade. The export values of rattan furniture has increased from a mere RM 2.8 million in 1980 to RM 90.4 million in 1993 (MPI Malaysia, 1994). However, despite these delighting figures, the quality of the furniture produced is still considered lower than those manufactured in the Philippines, Hong Kong and Singapore. Many aspects of the local manufacturing industry need to be improved in order to obtain better quality rattan furniture. The strength and stiffness of rattan furniture is one aspect to look into.



Plate 1. A Rattan Dining Chair

It has been a common practice among the rattan furniture manufacturers in Malaysia to build rattan furniture according to aesthetic value with little attention given to the furniture strength characteristics. The designs are imitated from those found in international magazines and sometimes altered to meet the taste of local consumers. Even if a design has been proven to survive tremendous load and misuses (because it is over-



designed), the lack of knowledge often leads the manufacturers to use rattan and fasteners of incorrect properties. As a result, the furniture would not perform as expected and furniture of a same design would not behave similarly, from the engineering point of view.



Plate 2. Rattan Baskets and Utility Box