

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MISCUE ANALYSIS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TWO GROUPS OF PUPILS IN A SECONDARY SCHOOL

LEE POH LE

FPP 1995 9



MISCUE ANALYSIS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TWO GROUPS OF PUPILS IN A SECONDARY SCHOOL

By

LEE POH LE

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Educational Studies,
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia.

June 1995



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to all those who have kindly rendered me their assistance in one way or another in writing this thesis.

Special thanks go to Dr. Sali Zaliha Mustapha, the chairman of my supervisory committee, and Dr. Sharifah Mohd Nor and Puan Hajah Nora Mohamed Nor who have kindly devoted much time and patience to help in the writing of this thesis.

I would also like to thank the Education Ministry and the Federal Territory Education Department for granting me the permission to carry out my research in the school. Special thanks also go to the pupils of Sekolah Menengah Bandar Baru Seri Petaling and Mr Philip Loo Kim Seng (AMN), the Principal of Sekolah Menengah Bandar Baru Seri Petaling, who have not only granted me the permission to carry out the research in the school, but have also voluntarily helped me in much of my data collection work.

Of course, I do not leave out my coursemates, Mr Freddie Low and Miss Ting Su Hie in my expression of gratitude. They have been of tremendous help to me.



Thanks also go to Dr. Zain Mohd Ali for introducing me to this Master of Science (TESL) programme. Without him, this thesis would not have been written.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my two daughters, Evelyn and Joyce for their patience, encouragement and sacrifices to help write this thesis.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES ABSTRACT ABSTRAK | | |
|--|--|-----|
| CHAPTE | tr. | |
| I | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | Context of the Research Problem | ī |
| | Statement of the Problem | 7 |
| | Objectives of the Study | 9 |
| | Significance of the Study | 11 |
| | Limitations of the Study | 15 |
| | Operational Definitions | 17 |
| | Average Readers | 17 |
| | Second Language (L2) Learning | 18 |
| | National Primary Schools | 18 |
| | National-Type Primary Schools | 18 |
| | Reading Strategies | 19 |
| | Interlanguage Interference | 20 |
| 11 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 21 |
| | Reading : A Psycholinguistic Process . | 21 |
| | Bottom-up Top-down Views | 23 |
| | Miscues and Reading Strategies | 26 |
| | Miscues and Contextual Cues | 31 |
| | Language Cues and Reading | |
| | Strategies | 34 |
| | Miscues and the Process of | |
| | Reading Acquisition | 35 |
| | Proficient and Less Proficient | |
| | Readers | 36 |
| | Retelling | 40 |
| | The Reading Process and | 4.0 |
| | Text Structure | 42 |
| III | RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY | 47 |
| | Sampling | 47 |
| | The School | 47 |
| | The Subjects | 48 |
| | The Text | 50 |
| | Preview | 51 |



| | | Page |
|-------|--|---|
| | Instruments | 51 52 54 59 59 60 |
| IV | ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS Results of the Miscue Analysis Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 Question 5 Examples of Two Readers a) Yap | 70 70 72 75 90 94 97 103 |
| | b) Khoo | 116 126 |
| v | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | 128 131 135 136 |
| BIBLI | OGRAPHY | 138 |
| ADDIT | IONAL REFERENCES | 144 |
| APPEN | DIX | |
| A | Cloze Passage | 147 |
| В | Reading Selection | 151 |
| С | Miscue Analysis: Questionnaire for Teachers | 156 |
| D | Preview Exercise | 158 |
| E | Reading Selection - Teacher's Copy | 159 |



| | | Page |
|------|--|------|
| F | Reading Miscue Inventory Questions | 165 |
| G | Reading Selection - Marked Sample | 167 |
| H | A Sample Analysis of Miscues - Affendi (NP) | 173 |
| I | Classification of Miscues - in the Passage from Maimunah | 174 |
| J | Reading Miscue Inventory Coding Sheet | 175 |
| Н | Additional Tables | 176 |
| VTTA | | 181 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| l | Use of Syntactic and Semantic Cues as Strategies in Reading | 32 |
| 2 | Language Cues and Reading Strategies . | 35 |
| 3 | Pupils' Cloze Test Scores and Teachers' Rating | 53 |
| 4 | Teachers' Assessment of the Passage | 58 |
| 5 | Rating Scale for Retelling Scores | 63 |
| 6 | Mean Scores, Standard Deviations and t-values of Miscues | 74 |
| 7 | Summary of Quantitative Analysis | 77 |
| 8 | Retelling Scores | 94 |
| 9 | Mean Scores for Graphic and Grammatical Utilization, and Retelling According to Age Classification | 98 |
| 10 | Yap (NTP)- Analysis of Miscue Totals . | 111 |
| 11 | Yap's Strengths | 111 |
| 12 | Khoo (NP)- Analysis of Miscue Totals . | 124 |
| 13 | Khoo's Strengths | 124 |
| 14 | Types of Miscues Made by the NTP Pupils | 176 |
| 15 | Types of Miscues Made by the NP Pupils | 177 |
| 16 | Miscues Per Hundred Words (MPHW) and Percentage of Right Words (RW) | 178 |
| 17 | Graphic Similarity | 178 |
| 18 | Phonic Similarity | 179 |
| 19 | Grammatical Functions of Miscues | 179 |
| 20 | Meaning Change | 180 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| Figure | | |
| 1 | Miscue Totals of the National-Type Primary School (NTP) pupils and the National Primary School (NP) pupils | 73 |
| 2 | Retelling Scores of the National-Type Primary School (NTP) pupils and the National Primary (NP) pupils | 92 |
| 3 | Relationship between RW and Retelling Scores | 95 |



An abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Pertanian Malaysia as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

MISCUE ANALYSIS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TWO GROUPS OF PUPILS IN A SECONDARY SCHOOL

by

LEE POH LE

June 1995

Chairman : Sali Zaliha Mustapha, Ph. D.

Faculty : Educational Studies

This study made use of Miscue Analysis as a psycholinguistic approach to investigate the reading strategies of a group of English as a Second Language pupils from the National-Type Primary Schools and National Primary Schools who are currently in Sekolah Menengah Bandar Baru Seri Petaling in Kuala Lumpur. The Reading Miscue Inventory by Yetta Goodman and Burke (1972) was modified for data collection in this study. The main question addressed here is whether the National-Type Primary and the National Primary pupils make the same miscues in oral reading and if they do,



to what extent do these miscues commensurate with their comprehension of the text as revealed in their retelling scores.

The findings show that both the National-Type Primary (NTP) and the National Primary (NP) pupils primarily made miscues in this order; substitutions, omissions and insertions. The NTP pupils made more miscues than the NP pupils. Both groups of pupils relied heavily on graphophonemic cues when they fail to break a code with the syntactic and semantic cues. Their omissions of inflected plural and past tense endings showed that they were conscious of word-economy in processing text. However the NTP pupils were less efficient than the NP pupils in the use of reading strategies and were therefore weaker readers resulting in poorer comprehension. Age had a negative effect on the NTP pupils.

Miscue Analysis could be further modified for use in schools as a research tool.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Pertanian Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada syarat keperluan ijazah Master Sains

ANALISIS KESILAPAN KIU: KAJIAN PERBANDINGAN ANTARA DUA KUMPULAN MURID DI SEBUAH SEKOLAH MENENGAH

oleh

LEE POH LE

June 1995

Pengerusi : Sali Zaliha Mustapha, Ph. D.

Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Kajian ini menggunakan Analisis Kesilapan Kiu sebagai pendekatan psikolinguistik untuk menyelidik strategi-strategi pembacaan sekumpulan pembaca Bahasa Inggeris sebagai Bahasa Kedua. Mereka terdiri daripada murid-murid Sekolah Rendah Jenis Kebangsaan (SRJK) dan Sekolah Rendah Kebangsaan (SRK) yang menuntut di Sekolah Menengah Bandar Baru Seri Petaling, Kuala Lumpur. "Reading Miscue Inventory" (1972) oleh Yetta Goodman dan Burke diubahsuaikan untuk kegiatan pengumpulan data kajian ini.



Persoalan penyelidikan ini berarah kepada perbandingan kesilapan kiu di antara kedua-dua kumpulan murid kajian ini, dan juga perbandingan kesilapan kiu dengan tahap kefahaman teks yang mereka baca dalam sesi penceritaan semula teks yang dibaca.

Keputusan analisis menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua kumpulan murid kajian ini melakukan kesilapan kiu semasa membaca. Penggantian perkataan yang tidak diketahui, ketinggalan perkataan serta penyisipan perkataan atau ayat yang dibaca dengan perkataan sendiri merupakan beberapa kesilapan kiu yang dilakukan oleh kedua-dua kumpulan murid.

Murid-murid SRJK melakukan lebih kesilapan kiu berbanding dengan murid-murid SRK. Kedua-dua kumpulan murid kajian ini amat bergantung kepada isyarat grafofonemik apabila mereka gagal meneka sesuatu perkataan dengan menggunakan isyarat sintaktik ataupun isyarat semantik. Ketinggalan imbuhan akhir dilakukan oleh mereka menunjukkan bahawa mereka cuba mengurangkan penggunaan perkataan semasa memproses teks. Walau bagaimanapun murid-murid SRJK kurang mahir dari segi penggunaan strategi pembacaan berbanding dengan murid-murid SRK. Oleh itu, kumpulan murid ini



lebih lemah dalam kefahaman. Usia seseorang didapati memberi kesan negatif bagi murid-murid SRJK.

Analisis kesilapan kiu boleh diubahsuaikan lagi untuk digunakan sebagai alat penyelidikan di sekolah.



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Context of the Research Problem

Reading is a vital skill that holds the key to the whole world of thoughts and imaginations. However, little attention has been paid to develop this important skill among children in schools. It is known fallacy that children after being taught the rudiments and mechanics of reading will develop the skill of reading (Southgate, Arnold and Johnson, 1981). As English is taught as a Second Language in schools in Malaysia, the ability to read in English is made even more difficult. The lack of ability to read in English will be a drawback for learners who will pursue their education in institutions of higher learning as reference materials are still in English. Therefore, the teaching of reading in English should not treated lightly. A more in-depth knowledge of reading process would be required in this case.

However, there are conflicting views about the reading process. Rosenblatt (1978) and Zamel (1992)



claim that people generate and organize their memories related to a topic, allow their thinking to be guided externally, assimilate new ideas, accommodate old ones and then re-organize them in reading. Therefore, there is a continuous interaction between the reader and the writer. It is this interaction which results in an interpretation of the writer's message.

On the other hand, there are also researchers theorists, like Shannon and Rose (cited in Zamel, 1992) who have suggested that the reading process been overly simplified by text writers. They feel reading is not a receptive and static process, rather, one that is active and participatory, which involves dynamic contributions οf the Bartholomae (1986) holds a similar view that reading overly simplified, citing evidence has been language used in reading instructions and the test questions which call for predetermined answers. This model of reading has reduced the purpose of reading to merely answering questions that follow a text (Barnett, 1989). A reader would, therefore, feel that he understood a text well if he can answer questions that follow it (Belanoff, 1987). Seen these viewpoints, we would then be faced with these questions: What, then, is the reading process; and, how can we go about to learn more about this process?



Earlier attempts to analyse this reading process have not been able to trace the psychological aspect in reading. Huey (1908) fails to analyse what actually goes on in the mind of the reader when he interacts with the text. This view is supported by Southgate et al. (1981) who claim that silent reading enhances the problem of this investigation.

Goodman (1967, 1969, and 1981), a psycholinguist, finds that miscue analysis can be used to explain the reading process. This view is supported by Southgate et al. (1981). According to them, miscue analysis examines the errors made by readers in oral reading and attempts to explain what the readers do through these miscues. Thus, research using miscue analysis, will view reading from the psycholinguistic point of view (Goodman, 1967).

According to Goodman (1976) and Rumelhart (1977), three major cues are used by readers to interact with the text in reading: semantic, syntactic and graphophonemic. These three cues will give insight into the process of comprehending text as they will reveal:

- (1) what initiates the reading;
- (2) how readers process information; and
- (3) how readers organize information.



linguistics has used oral reading Applied analyse this complex process. Kenneth Goodman and Yetta (1981) are of the opinion that errors Goodman reading are not the result of failure to comprehend, the evidence of the rather, effects οf psycholinquistic processes that have taken unexpected The nature of turns resulting in miscues. miscues reveals the underlying cognitive schema that quides a person's comprehension of the text.

Accuracy of visual and auditory strategies is then of prime importance. To arrive at the meaning, the reader must go through a language process that depends largely on sound equivalence for words.

Goodman (1967), however, views that reading begins with a hypothesis or prediction about the meaning of what is to be read. Readers must make initial use of their prior knowledge and language competence. The reader need to look at or pronounce does not every letter or word to get to the meaning. reader samples and selects from the text only the most useful information with the intent of confirming expectations.



Rumelhart (1977), on the other hand, suggests that the reading process may be initiated either by the print or by the reader's expectations. The reader makes use of whatever strategies needed at that time. This view is further supported by Zamel (1992) who reading as an active engagement through which meaning is produced. Pehrson and Robinson (1985:5) sum up that "reading begins and ends with meaning". The outcome of reading then, will also depend on the reader's knowledge and experience of the world to create affective proximity with the writer. However, reading selections in school textbooks do not usually cater for individual pupil's need or even individual school need. Therefore, the way reading is taught in schools, suggests to pupils that reading is a receptive and static process. It is an act of finding a particular in the text. Bartholomae (1986) points out that idea reading instructions support this approach to reading. Pupils need not have to understand the text well to able to answer test questions given the at end the reading text. They only need to look through the text progressively, following the questions as they go to answer them (Barnett, such pupils see the purpose of reading as process required prior to answering questions following a text (Belanoff, 1987). Pupils have come to



believe that 'reading is the attempt to memorize text which someone else selects so that one can reproduce factual information when questioned' (Shannon, 1989:91). In this context, meaning in a text is predetermined and the reader has to find this out. This has caused a general decline in the ability to read well among pupils today.

In an ESL situation, this problem is further compounded. Emphasis on determining and identifying the meaning in a text will give rise to ineffective reading strategy like word for word reading. Hirsch (1987) agrees that this form of reading denies the reader his own transactions with a text and the understanding that reading involves such transactions (Rosenblatt, 1978; Freire, 1983; Bartholomae and Petrosky, 1987).

Reading theory and research in second language (Carell, Devine and Eskey, 1988; Barnett, 1989; Mikulecky, 1990; Grabe, 1991) also stress the importance of recognizing that readers bring meaning to the text while interacting with it. However, reading selections for classroom use do not give enough room for teachers to interact with them in a meaningful



way. The teacher's role is reduced to only monitoring and managing students (Shannon, 1989). But, if reading is affected by purpose and goal, it should involve recursiveness which then makes the text malleable and open to interpretations.

On the other hand, if reading ends with meaning, that is, a developed meaning arising from readers' comprehension of the text, it is then imperative that a device be used to gauge this comprehension. Guszak (1972) states that retelling of the material by the readers will demonstrate their understanding of it. Gershon (1985), however, cautions that retelling is a weak instrument to assess comprehension as this requires the reader to recall in order to retell. Thus, probing questions and prompts need to be used to help readers retell when necessary during the retelling session to evaluate their comprehension of the text read.

Statement of the Problem

The reading process is difficult to understand.

Yet reading plays an important role in the ESL



curriculum. Therefore, the reading process should be further investigated so that the teaching of reading strategies can be improved.

Lee Su Kim (1983) and Spykerman (1988) carried out researches on the reading process among Malaysian pupils. They found that miscues made in oral reading can be analysed quantitatively and qualitatively to investigate the reading process (Goodman and Burke, 1972 and Spykerman, 1988). It is the aim of this study to investigate the effect of miscues on the comprehension of a text among Form Two pupils in a secondary school.

The purpose of this study gives rise to two primary questions:

- (i) Do readers who were formerly from the National-Type Primary Schools (NTP) and the National Primary Schools (NP) differ in using miscues as strategies in oral reading?
- (ii) If they do, to what extent do these miscues in oral reading affect retelling which would be used as a comprehension measure?



It is hoped that the implications from the results of the analysis would help educators plan and evaluate their reading programmes.

Objectives of the Study

Ιt is within the context of these propositions that this study attempts to analyse the reading process of two groups of ESL readers, that is, pupils from the National-Type Primary Schools (NTP) and pupils from the National Primary Schools (NP). Both groups of pupils are in Form Two and are following the English Language programme at the "intermediate" level. This intermediacy is determined by the Curriculum Development Centre of the Ministry of Education Language Syllabus for Secondary Schools, (English 1989).

The NP pupils have completed six years of primary education where English is introduced as a Second Language from year one. The NTP pupils have completed six years of primary education where English is introduced only in the third year of their primary education. These NTP pupils do a year of intensive



course to equip them with sufficient skills in the English Language to meet the requirement of the Form One English Language programme. Both groups of pupils are taught English as a Second Language.

Specifically, this study seeks answers to the following questions:

- (1) Is there a significant difference in the total number of miscues used between the NTP pupils and the NP pupils ?
- (2) Is there a marked difference in the type of miscues (substitution, omission, insertion, repetition, pause and reversal) used by the NTP pupils and the NP pupils in reading?
- (3) Is there a significant difference in comprehension between the two groups based on retelling?
- (4) Is there a relationship between their miscue scores and retelling scores?
- (5) Does a difference in age affect the strategies used in reading?



Significance of the Study

Reading is an important skill in any language teaching and learning context. As a teacher, one ought to have a more in-depth understanding of the reading process. This understanding will make it possible for the teacher to place the pupils at the correct level in the reading programme, supply appropriate reading material, and, at the same time recommend recreational reading for them (Burns and Roe, 1989). Thus, we need to know the strategies the readers use in comprehending text.

This understanding of the reading process will also help learners build up confidence in reading. Therefore, a research into the reading process is important so that one can understand what the average learners at the intermediate level do while reading.

An unpublished earlier study was done by the researcher on three hundred and sixty-four pupils to verify the achievement of the NTP pupils and the NP pupils based on their English Language mid-year examination results. It was found that more NP pupils (26% NP as opposed to 4% NTP) were placed at the top

