

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MECHANICAL AND BALLISTIC RESISTANCE PROPERTIES OF A COCONUT SHELL POWDER EPOXY COMPOSITE (COEX) SUBJECTED TO IMPACT LOADS

RISBY MOHD SOHAIMI

FK 2009 84



MECHANICAL AND BALLISTIC RESISTANCE PROPERTIES OF A COCONUT SHELL POWDER EPOXY COMPOSITE (COEX) SUBJECTED TO IMPACT LOADS

RISBY MOHD SOHAIMI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2009



DEDICATION

First and foremost, I submit in humility and utmost gratitude to "**Allah Subhana Wa Taala**" for having giving me this opportunity and inspire me in fulfilling this thesis. Islam is a religion that strongly encourages its followers to gain and search for knowledge (as stated by **Allah S.W.T** in Surah Al - 'Alaq, verses 1-5):

"In the Name of Allah, The Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Read (O Muhammad) in the name of your Lord Who created, He created man from a clot; Read, and your Lord is Most Honorable, - Who taught (to write) with the pen, Taught man what he knew not."

Secondly, i would not be truly thankful also if i did not express gratitude towards my mum, Hafshah and my dad Mohd Sohaimi, who always taught me to chase the dreams: when I caught it, learn to live with it. I would like to fully thank them for the necessary contributions made especially during my current studies. My deepest gratitude and love goes to my beautiful wife, Siti Noor Adnalizawati, my sons, Danish Muqri, Harraz Zihni, Muhammad Faris Irfan and my daughter Nur Batrisyia. They are my inspirations and guiding light in everything I do. Many personal sacrifices they had endured for me in order to accomplish these works are greatly appreciated. They have been there through it all, the good and the bad and i am eternally thankful to Allah S.W.T for bequeathing me this family in my care.



Abstract of Thesis Presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MECHANICAL AND BALLISTIC RESISTANCE PROPERTIES OF A COCONUT SHELL POWDER EPOXY COMPOSITE (COEX) SUBJECTED TO IMPACT LOADS

By

RISBY MOHD SOHAIMI

March 2009

Chairman: Associate Professor Wong Shaw Voon, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

An armor design study has been carried out to determine the feasibility of utilizing a coconut shell powder (CSP) reinforced composite as one of the protective component in hard body armor application. Few experimental approaches have been carried out to determine the physical and mechanical properties of coconut shell powder-epoxy composite (COEX). The COEX composite panel bonded with Twaron CT716 fabric as its spall liner (or COMBAT) was also subjected to ballistic tests at several impacting velocity in order to determine the COEX armor ballistic resistance capabilities at certain threat levels.

The physical properties of CSP-A (coarse grade) with low aspect ratio of 0.71, bulk density of 0.424 g/cm³ and broad particle size distribution were important factors in



the its selection as the best powder type for COEX specimen fabrication. This was due to its potential influences (based from the properties) of increasing the particlematrix interfacial bonding in the COEX composite system. CSP-B (fine grade) and CSP-C (super fine grade) although possessing higher bulk density which can lead to better compaction, were not chosen due to its higher moisture content and aspect ratio. where these properties is expected to give a weak interfacial bonding for the composite system. These statements was proven in the mechanical testing (tensile, flexural, compression and hardness), where COEX-A (with CSP content of 50%) imparts the highest value in all mechanical properties. It had been found that the tensile, compressive and flexural strength of COEX-A was measured at 17.44 MPa, 100.05 MPa and 194.8 MPa respectively when compared to the other COEX configurations. The Rockwell hardness value for COEX-A was also found to be the highest compared to COEX-B and COEX-C. All these mechanical properties play a significant influence in the ballistic resistance capabilities of the COEX materials

Statistical models were developed using 2 level of Full Factorial Design method to predict the armor's impact resistance and blunt trauma depth using several parameters which are critical to the fabrication and ballistic testing of the COMBAT armor panel. The models were verified and showed good agreement with the actual laboratory test data. Finally, the for actual ballistic armor test of the COMBAT armor panels were tested according to NIJ Standard 0101.08 with 9 mm Full Metal jacket and 7.52 mm M-16 bullets. It was observed that the imprint patterns on the COEX materials could be identified according to the effectiveness in impact energy dissipation. COMBAT test panels were found to withstand impact equivalent to NIJ Level IIIA using a 9 mm FMJ ammunition but perforated at NIJ Level III of 7.62



mm FMJ bullet impacts. Test results showed that COEX panel do possess shock absorbance characteristics and can be utilized as an armor component in the hard body armor system. Dependency on the numbers of Twaron fabric layers as ballistic reinforcements had been reduced up to 3 times with 170 % improvement on energy absorption capabilities when using COEX composite as the frontal component of the armor.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

SIFAT-SIFAT MEKANIKAL DAN RINTANGAN BALISTIK KOMPOSIT SERBUK TEMPURUNG KELAPA-EPOKSI (COEX) APABILA DIKENAKAN BEBANAN IMPAK

Oleh

RISBY MOHD SOHAIMI

Mac 2009

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Wong Shaw Voon ,Ph.D.

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menentukan keupayaan penggunaan komposit serbuk tempurung kelapa (CSP) yang akan digunakan sebagai salah satu daripada komponen dalam aplikasi perisai pelindungan keras. Suatu pendekatan secara ujikaji telah dipilih untuk menentukan keupayaan ringtangan dan respon balistik komposit serbuk kelapa (COEX) yang dilapisi dengan fabrik Twaron CT716 (atau COMBAT) pada tahap ancaman yang spesifik apabila dikenakan hentaman berkelajuan tinggi.

Sifat-sifat fizikal CSP-A (gred kasar) dengan nisbah aspek yang rendah iaitu 0.71; ketumpatan pukal sebanyak 0.424 g/cm³ dan saiz taburan partikel yang luas adalah factor penting dalam pemilihan CSP-A sebagai serbuk yang terbaik dalam proses pembuatan COEX disebabkan oleh ia memepunyai potensi untuk meningkat ikatan

partikel-matrik dalam system komposit tersebut. CSP-B (gred halus) and CSP-C (gred super halus), walaupun mempunyai ketumpatan pukal yang tinggi dimana akan memberikan kemampatan yang tinggi, tidak terpilih disebabkan oleh kandungan air dan nilai nisbah aspek yang juga tinggi. Ini akan menyebabkan ikatan antara muka yang lemah dalam sistem komposit. Ini telah terbukti dalam ujikaji mekanikal (tegangan, mampatan, lenturan dan kekerasan), dimana COEX-A (dengan kandungan CSP sebanyak 50%) menunjukkan sifat mekanikal yang paling baik. Daripada keputusan ujikaji-ujikaji yang telah dijalankan, didapati bahawa kekuatan tegangan, mampatan dan lenturan bagi COEX-A adalah 11.44 MPa, 100.05 MPa dan 194.8 MPa. Kekerasan Rockwell skala R yang diukur pada COEX-A adalah juga yang terbaik diantara konfigurasi COEX yang lain. Kesemua sifat-sifat mekanikal ini memainkan peranan penting dalam keupayaan rintangan balistik bahan COEX tersebut.

Model statistik telah dibangunkan untuk menganggarkan rintangan impak dan trauma tumpul perisai pelindung tersebut dengan menggunakan beberapa parameter yang dianggap kritikal kepada fabrikasi dan ujikaji balistik panel COEX/Twaron tersebut. Panel COEX/Twaron didapati boleh menahan impak yang menggunakan peluru 9 mm pada tahap NIJ IIIA tetapi tembus dengan impak peluru M-16 7.62mm pada tahap NIJ III . Hasil ujian menunjukkan bahawa panel COEX/Twaron juga mempunyai sifat-sifat penyerap kejutan dan boleh digunakan sebagai komponen dalam sistem baju kalis peluru. Pengantungan terhadap bilangan lapisan fabrik Twaron sebagai tetulang balistik telah berjaya dikurangkan tiga kali ganda dengan menggunakan komposite COEX sebagai komponen hadapan untuk perisai.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A research work of this nature is generally a teamwork effort which requires assistance, cooperation and support from various parties to achieve its objectives. My profound thanks go to my chairman, Associate Professor Dr. Wong Shaw Voon for his assistance and guidance throughout the course of this study.

I would like to record my appreciation for the valuable comments and guidance given by co-supervisor Professor Dr. Abdel Magid Hamouda for his patience and expertise in guiding me to accomplish what I have started in UPM. His vast experience, friendship and wisdom have made major impact on the progress of this research work. I thank also Dr. Elsadig Mahdi, for his constructive arguments and innovative ideas towards the progress of this study.

Special thanks and gratitude to Mr. Khairul Azmi Md Rezali and Mr. Amjad Hanesh, for their help and assistance in the development of this research work. Mr. Khairul Azmi have contributed much in the fabrication stage which I am very indebted for his diligent and workmanship whereas Mr. Amjad Hanesh have helped me to develop the BANG-SYSTEMS and enriched me with his knowledge and ideas.

I wish to thank all the faculty of engineering staffs and technicians who participate in my work from the Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering department for their kind assistance during the design and laboratory works .Many thanks to all the ITMA staffs and researchers without exclusion who I enjoyed very much for their assistance during the course of my study.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 27th March 2008 to conduct the final examination of Risby bin Mohd Sohaimi on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Mechanical and Ballistic Resistance Properties of a Coconut Shell Powder Epoxy Composite (COEX) Subjected to Impact Loads" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Sapuan Salit, Phd

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Berkawi Shaari, Phd

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Ahmad Samsuri, Phd

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Radzali Othman, Phd

Professor School of Material and Mineral Engineering Universiti Sains Malaysia (External Examiner)

> **BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD** Professor/Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Wong Shaw Voon, Ph.D.

Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Abdel Magid Saleem Hammuda, Ph.D.

Professor Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Elsadig Mahdi, Ph.D.

Fakulti Kejuruteraan Aeroangkasa Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17th July 2009



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

RISBY BIN MOHD SOHAIMI

Date: 27th March 2009



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	e Pa	age
2.1	Shear, delamination and fibre fracture in hemp com.posites under ballistic impact (Wambua <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	21
2.2	Front and back surfaces of 37 layer plain and satin weave laminates (Wen <i>et al.</i> , 2001).	24
2.3	Comparison of the remaining ceramic tile after impact for an epoxy adhesive layer of thicknesses of (a) 0.1mm and (b) 1.1mm (Navarro <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	26
2.4	(a) Configuration at the end of first phase (b) phenomenological description of the second phase (Chocron Benloulo <i>et al.</i> , 1998).	29
2.5	Development of fracture and axial cracks in a ceramic faced lightweight armor (Wilkins, 1968).	30
2.6	Front views of the test armor samples using alumina tiles and Al2024 alloy backing plate after impact of 7.62mm projectile (Mustafa Ubeyli <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	31
2.7	Transverse effect to single fiber subject to impact (Cunniff, 1992a).	32
2.8	Sphere impacting single ply of fabric (a) side view, (b) top view of z displacement contours and (c) bottom view showing principal yarns under high stress (Duan <i>et al.</i> , 2006).	34
2.9	Cone formation during ballistic impact on the back face of the composite targets (Morye et al., 2000).	36
2.10	Damage propagation in the composite laminate during ballistic event (Morye et al., 2000).	37
2.11	Schematic showing plug formation (Lee and Sun, 1993).	40
2.12	Florence's model of ceramic backed with composite backing armor (Florence, 1969).	42
3.1	Flow chart outlining the overall research methodology	53
3.2	The process flow of the bio-based composite development	56
3.3	The process flow of the high velocity impact testing methodology	57



3.4	The process flow of the ballistic testing system and apparatus development methodology.	60
3.5	a) Section and b) top view of a coconut shell	61
3.6	 (1)Shell Conveyor (2)Primary Crusher (3)Blower (4)Conveying Pipe Line (5)Storage Bin (6)Secondary Crusher (7)Secondary Return Line (8)Over Ret Line (9) Cyclone Collector (10) Dust Collector/Bagging unit 	urn 63
3.7	Image from SEM micrograph analyzed using AnalySIS FIVE software	68
3.8	Characteristic dimensions used to calculate aspect ratio, roundness, and irregularity	68
3.9	Diagram for mold cavity design estimation based from Florence Model	72
3.10	Graphical drawing of (a) outer surface (b) inner surface of the tile format mold	73
3.11	Graphical drawing of (a) outer surface (b) inner surface of the curvature format mold	73
3.12	The process flow of the bio-based composite development.	75
3.13	(a) Tile format mold (b) Curvature format mold prior to compression process using the press machine	77
3.14	(a) Tile format mold (b) Curvature format mold during compression process the press machine	by 77
3.15	Cured specimen (a) Tile format of COEX (b) Curvature format of COEX	77
3.16	Justification of the important of spall liner in ceramic armor	78
3.17	(a) TWARON fabric (b) pieces of small cut TWARON fabric for COEX tile	79
3.18	(a) TWARON fabric pasted at the COEX backface (b) COMBAT ARMOR pa covered using ballistic grade rayon cloth	nel 80
3.19	COMBAT ARMOR inserted inside a conventional bullet proof jacket.	80
3.20	The process flow of the laboratory ballistic test operation	90
3.21	Schematic of ballistic test setup following the NIJ standard	91



3.22	(a) SMG-Sub Sterling Gun (b) 9mm Full Metal Jacketed Round Nose bullet	t 92
3.23	(a) Clamping of TWARON panel (b) Target provision for COEX/Twaron panel.	94
4.1	Schematic of Gun Operation	103
4.2	Typical Load vs. time history curve	105
4.3	Load and energy history of impact testing	106
4.4	Overview of the compressed gas gun	107
4.5	Schematic diagram of gun assembly	108
4.6	First version of gas gun pressure system component	110
4.7	Modified gas gun pressure system	110
4.8	First version impact box and base of the gas gun	112
4.9	Improved impact box and base design for the gas gun (side view)	113
4.10	Improved impact box and base design for the gas gun (a) rear view (b) Base plate view	114
4.11	(a) Ogival nose shape (b) Hemispherical nose shape (c) Flat nose shape-projectile	116
4.12	Graphical interface of the PTV program	118
4.13	Block diagram of relay designed for the 240AC solenoid valve	119
4.14	Block diagram for the triggering solenoid valve circuit	120
4.15	Graphical interface of the Gagescope software	121
4.16	(a) Dome camera (b) Pan/Tilt/Zoom camera installed to observed experimentation at the ballistic testing room	122
4.17	Schematic diagram for the proposed REMOTE system	123
4.18	REMOTE subprogram main program (using Visual Basic)	124
4.19	REMOTE subprogram integrated into PTV-Remote (PTVR) main program (using LabView)	124



4.20	Comparison graph between the projectile theoretical velocity values and the mean projectile measured velocity	126
4.21	Load vs time history of conical nose projectile at 10cm x 10 cm aluminium pof various impacting velocity	plate 128
4.22	Energy vs time history curves of type of conical shape projectile nose shape impact on 10 cm x 10 cm aluminium plate at various impacting velocity.	128
4.23	Load vs. time history of conical and hemispherical nose projectile impact at average 220 m/s of impacting velocity.	130
4.24	Energy vs. time history of conical and hemispherical nose projectile impact average 220 m/s of impacting velocity	at 130
4.25	Aluminium plate impacted with cone nose projectile at 221 m/s impacting Velocity	132
4.26	Aluminium plate impacted with cone nose projectile at 221 m/s impacting Velocity	132
4.27	Laboratory scale Blunt Trauma Box	133
5.1	CSP particle size distribution for different types of powder grain size	136
5.2	SEM micrograph of CSP-A particles at 300x magnification	137
5.3	SEM micrograph of CSP-C particles at 300x magnification	138
5.4	SEM micrograph of CSP-A particles at 1,000x magnification	138
5.5	SEM micrograph of CSP-C particles at 1,000x magnification	139
5.6	SEM micrograph of CSP-A particles of 300 Mesh at 10,000x magnification	140
5.7	SEM micrograph of CSP-C particles of 100 Mesh at 10,000x magnification	140
5.8	SEM micrograph of oak particles at 50x magnification (Clemons, 2005).	141
5.9	SEM micrograph of maple wood flour at 149000x magnification (Clemons, 2005).	142

5.10 SEM micrograph of coir fiber at 250x magnification (Tomzak et al., 2000). 143



5.11	SEM micrograph (tranverse view) of coir fiber at 1700x magnification (Tomzak <i>et al.</i> ,2000).	143
5.12	SEM micrograph (tranverse view) of coir fiber at 300x magnification (Tomzak <i>et al.</i> ,2000).	144
5.13	Graphical illustration of COEX fabrication process	151
5.14	SEM micrograph of polished COEX-A specimen (50% CSP) at 300x magnification	153
5.15	SEM micrograph of polished COEX-B specimen (50% CSP) at 300x magnification	153
5.16	SEM micrograph of polished COEX-C specimen (50% CSP) at 300x magnification	154
5.17	SEM micrograph of polished COEX-A specimen (60% CSP) at 300x magnification	155
5.18	SEM micrograph of polished COEX-A specimen (50% CSP) at 10,000x magnification	156
5.19	SEM micrograph of polished COEX-B specimen (50% CSP) at 10,000x magnification	153
5.20	SEM micrograph of polished COEX-C specimen (50% CSP) at 10,000x magnification	157
5.21	SEM micrograph of polished COEX-A specimen (60% CSP) at 10,000x magnification	157
5.22	Tensile stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 77 MPa loading	159
5.23	Tensile stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 385 MPa loading	159
5.24	Tensile stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% and 60% CSP) at various loading conditions.	161
5.25	Tested COEX samples failure mode (shear) after compression testing	162
5.26	Compressive stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 77 MPa loading	163



5.27	Compressive stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 385 MPa loading	164
5.28	Compressive stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% and 60% CSP) at various loading conditions.	ious 165
5.29	Flexural stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 77 MPa loading	167
5.30	Flexural stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 385 MPa loading	168
5.31	Flexural stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% and 60% CSP) at various loading conditions.	170
6.1	Sample of COEX specimens for DOE testing	181
6.2	Graphical representation of the half normal plot for the impact resistance pha the composite armor panel	se of 184
6.3	Normal plot of residuals for impact phase analysis	187
6.4(a)	Effect graph on C (Mean thickness of the COEX specimens) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	188
6.4(b)	Effect graph on C (Mean thickness of the COEX specimens) at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	l 189
6.5(a)	Effect graph on D (Mean number of layers of the COEX specimens) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting	190
6.5(b)	Effect graph on D (Mean number of layers of the COEX specimens) at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting	190
6.6(a)	Effect graph on CD (Mean COEX thickness and number of TWARON fabric plies at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	191
6.6(b)	Effect graph on CD (Mean COEX thickness and number of TWARON layer at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	rs) 192
6.7	Effect of graph DE (TWARON number of layers and Mean projectile impacting velocity) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	193
6.8	Graphical representation of the half normal plot for the blunt trauma effect of the composite armor specimens	of 194



6.9	Normal plot of residuals for blunt trauma effect	197
6.10(a) Effect graph on C (Mean thickness of the COEX specimens) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	198
6.10(b	b) Effect graph on C (Mean thickness of the COEX specimens) at a maximize factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	ed 199
6.11(a	 Effect graph on D (Mean number of layers of the COEX specimens) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting 	200
6.11(b	b) Effect graph on D (Mean number of layers of the COEX specimens) at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting	200
6.12(a) Effect graph on CE (Mean COEX thickness and projectile impacting velocity) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	201
6.12(b	b) Effect graph on CE (Mean COEX thickness and projectile impacting velocity) at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting	202
6.13	Effect of graph DE (TWARON number of layers and Mean projectile impacting velocity) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting.	203
6.14	Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limits TW5 and TW15 using 9mm FMJ ammunition.	for 209
6.15	(a) Front face (b) Back face of the TW5 subjected to 9mm FMJ bullet impact at various speeds.	t 209
6.16	(a) Front face (b) Back face of the TW15 subjected to 9mm FMJ bullet impa at various speeds.	et 210
6.17	Fiber shearing and delamination within the Twaron fabric ply upon bullet impact.	211
6.18	Damage area similar to projectile diameter due to shearing effect during penetration process.	211
6.19	Representation of global deformation in low velocity impact and local deformation in ballistic velocity impact high velocity impact.	212
6.20	Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limit f CTW5 panel using 9mm ammunition (no perforation occurred).	or 213



6.21	(a) CTW5 panel front face (b) CTW5 panel backface after 9mm FMJ bullet impact at various speeds.	214
6.22	(Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limit CTW5 panel using 9mm ammunition (no perforation occurred)	for 215
6.23	a) CTW15 panel front face (b) CTW15 panel backface after 9mm FMJ bulle impact at various speeds.	et 216
6.24	Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limit CTW15A panel using 7.62 and 9mm ammunition.	for 217
6.25	(a) CVW15 panel front face (b) CVW15 panel backface after 9mm FMJ bullet impact at various speeds.	218
6.26	(a) CVW15 panel frontface (b) CVW15 panel after to 9mm FMJ bullet imp at various speeds.	act 220
6.27	Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limit for CVW15A panel using 9mm ammunition.	220
6.28	Typical ballistic impact damage imprints on brittle type hard armor with a back plate	221
6.29	Damage observation of CTW15 (a) Front face (b) Close-up view of deform projectile in multi-hits event (c) Close-up of deformed 9mm ammunition bullets in a single COEX tile cell.	ned 223
6.30	Damage observation of CTW5A (a) Front face (b) Close up of COEX chur impacted by 9mm projectile (c) Close-up semi deformed 9mm ammunition bullet via mushrooming effect.	nks 1 224
6.31	Damage observation of CVW15A (a) Front face (b) Close-up view of COEX panel area in multi-hits event (c) Close-up conoid fracture initiated from bullet impact.	225
6.32	Damage observation of CVW15 (a) Front face (b) Close-up view of deform projectile in multi-hits event (c) Close-up spall liner with fiber tearing damage.	ned 225
7.1	(a) Waste Kevlar honeycomb core (b) Typical COEX tile.	231
7.2	Hybridization of Kevlar honeycomb core and COEX tile.	231



LIST OF TABLES

Table	1	Page
1.1	Total number of solved aggressive crime cases in Malaysia 2000-2006 (Roya Malaysian Police Website, 2006)	1 6
2.1	Typical ceramic armor mechanical properties (Salamé and Quefelec, 2006).	15
2.2	Tensile properties of typical armor-grade fibers (Song,2006).	17
2.3	Types of phenomenological experiments (Jonas and Zukas, 1978)	47
2.4	Characterization of Testing Techniques; Armor-material characterization experiments (Jonas and Zukas, 1978)	48
3.1	Coconut shell powder composition and comparison with other works	62
3.2	Test samples classification for NIJ Standard testing	94
4.1	Comparison between the First and Improved Design of the Pressure System	111
4.2	Comparison between the First and Improved Design of the Impact Box	115
4.3	Test results of 4mm thick aluminum plate at several impacting velocities	129
4.4	Test results of 4mm thick aluminum plate at different projectile nose shapes	131
5.1	Particle sizes of coconut shell powders received samples.	136
5.2	Values of CSP particles shape parameters from image analysis	146
5.3	Values of various types of CSP bulk density and moisture content	148
5.4	Mass Density and Volume Fraction of tested COEX specimen of 50% CSP mixing ratio	150
5.5	Mass Density and Volume Fraction of tested COEX-A specimen of different mixing ratio	152
5.6	Tensile properties of COEX specimens of different particles size	160
5.7	Tensile properties of COEX-A specimens at different mixing ratio	161



5.8	Compressive properties of COEX s specimens of different particles size	164
5.9	Compressive properties of COEX s specimens of different particles size	165
5.10	Flexural properties of COEX-A specimens at different mixing ratio	168
5.11	Flexural properties of COEX specimens at different mixing ratio	170
5.12	Rockwell Hardness values for specimens at different mixing ratio	172
5.13	Rockwell Hardness values for various type of COEX samples	172
6.1	Input parameters and output responses for full factorial experimentation	180
6.2	Selected input parameters and output responses for full factorial experimentation	180
6.3	Design matrix for 25 of 32 runs	182
6.4	ANOVA for the impact resistance level analysis	186
6.5	ANOVA for the blunt trauma depth analysis	196
6.6	Experimental Validation of IRL and BTD Prediction Models	207
6.7	Test samples classification for NIJ Standard testing	212



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ii
iii
vi
vii
ix
xi
xii
XV
xvii
XXV

CHAPTER

I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Fundamental of Body Armor Protection	3
1.3 Market Size for Body Armor Protection Industry	4
1.4 Body Armor Scenario in Malaysia	5
1.5 Problem Statements	7
1.6 Aim of this Study	8
1.7 Research Objectives	9
1.8 Thesis Layout	10
II LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 Ballistic Resistance Materials	12
2.2 Development in Body Armor Research	17
2.3 Parameters Affecting the Ballistic Performance	22
2.4 Penetration Mechanism of Ceramic Armors	27
2.5 Penetration Mechanism of Ballistic Fabric during Impact	31
2.6 Models Developed from Armor-Projectile Interaction	40
2.7 Ballistic Testing Methods	44
2.8 Ballistic Standard For Body Armor	48
2.9 Conclusion	51
III EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND METHODOLOGY	52
3.1 General Method of Approach	52
3.2 Research Materials	61
3.2.1 Natural Based Materials	61
3.2.1.1 Coconut Shell Powder Processing	62
3.2.2 Ballistic Resistance Fabric	63
3.2.3 Binder or Resin System	64
3.3 Powder Physical Properties Characterisation	64



	3.3.1 Particle Size and Size Distribution using Laser	
	Diffraction Method	65
	3.3.2 Microscopic Study	66
	3.3.3 Powder Density Measurement	70
	3.3.4 Powder Moisture Content	70
	3.4 Fabrication of Composite Compression Mold	71
	3.5 COEX Composite Fabrication Process	74
	3.6 Mechanical Properties Determination	81
	3.6.1 Tensile Testing	81
	3.6.2 Flexural Testing	82
	3 6 3 Compression Test	84
	3.6.4 COEX Density and Porosity Measurement	85
	3.6.5 Volume and Weight Fraction	83
	3.6.6 Rockwell Hardness Test	88
	3.6.7 Scanning Electron Microscopy of COEX	88
	3.7 Ballistic Testing	89
	3.7.1 Laboratory Ballistic Test	89
	3.7.2 NII 0108 Ballistic Test	91
	3.8 Conclusion	99
IV	DEVELOPMENT AND COMMISIONING OF A BALLISTIC	
	TESTING APPARATUS	100
	4.1 Introduction	100
	4.2 Justification of the Development Ballistic Testing Apparatus	
	for this Study	101
	4.3 Gun Design Considerations and Acceptance Criteria	102
	4.4 High Velocity Impact Testing	104
	4.5 Compressed Gas Gun Current Status and Modifications	107
	4.6 High Velocity Data Acquisition (HIVAC) System	117
	4.7 Remote Online and Monitoring System (REMOTE)	122
	4.8 Testing and Commissioning of the BANG-SYSTEMS	125
	4.8.1 Projectile Velocity Measurement	125
	4.8.2 Ballistic Test	127
	4.9 Conclusion	133
V	PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF COCONUT	
	SHELL POWDER AND COMPOSITE.	134
	5.1 Physical Properties Characterisation	134
	5.1.1 CSP Particle Size Distribution	134
	5.1.2 CSP Surface Morphology	136
	5.1.3. CSP Particle Shape Analysis	145
	5.1.4 CSP Powder Bulk Density and Moisture Content	147
	5.2 Mechanical Properties of COEX composite	149
	5.2.1 COEX Density and Volume Fraction	149
	5.2.2 COEX Surface Morphology	152
	5.2.3 COEX Tensile Properties	158



	1 ~ ~
5.2.5 COEX Flexural Properties 10	166
5.2.6 COEX Rockwell Hardness 17	71
5.3 Conclucions 17	73
VII EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN ANALYSIS OF COEX/TWARON	
REINFORCED COMPOSITE SUBJECTED TO BALLISTIC	
TESTS 1'	174
6.1 Design of Experiment Background 17	74
6.2 Experimental Design and Testing	177
6.2.1 Data Analysis – Impact resistance level (IRL)	83
6.2.2 Data analysis- Blunt Trauma Effect 19	93
6.3 Experimental Validation of COMBAT Composite	
Statistical Model 20	203
6.3.1 Validation Test of Developed Models 20	204
6.4 NIJ Ballistic Test Validation 20	207
6.4.1 Results and Discussion 20	208
6.5 Conclusions 22	26
VIII GENERAL CONCLUSION AND FUTURE	
SUGGESTIONS 22	28
7.1 General Conclusion 22	28
7.2 Future Recommendation23	30
REFERENCES 23	.33
APPENDICES	
BIODATA OF STUDENT 25	252
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	

