

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTELLIGENCE, CREATIVITY, SELFESTEEM AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AMONG IRANIAN UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS IN MALAYSIAN UNIVERSITIES

# HABIBOLLAH NADERI

FEM 2009 7



## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTELLIGENCE, CREATIVITY, SELF-ESTEEM AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AMONG IRANIAN UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS IN MALAYSIAN UNIVERSITIES

By

## HABIBOLLAH NADERI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2009



This thesis is dedicated to: My wife, Daughters (Haniyeh & Mohaddeseh), son (Hossein), And, Teachers who always affected my mind and memory; May God blesses them



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

### RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTELLIGENCE, CREATIVITY, SELF-ESTEEM AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF IRANIAN UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS IN MALAYSIAN UNIVERSITIES

By

#### HABIBOLLAH NADERI

**May 2009** 

#### Chairman: Associate Professor Rohani Abdulla, PhD

Faculty: Human Ecology

The purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between intelligence, creativity, self-esteem and academic achievement among Iranian undergraduate students in Malaysian universities. A quantitative research methodology (cross-sectional) was designed for this research. One hundred and fifty three Iranian undergraduates' students were randomly selected out of six universities. Both descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the data in the study using SPSS. The statistical techniques used were Descriptive Statistics, Independent-Sample t-test, Multiple Liner Regression, Chi-square , Multinomial Logistic Regression and Pearson Correlation.

The findings in this research study did not support the difference between male and female on creativity. However there was a significant gender difference on the creativity subscales of environmental sensitivity, initiative and what kind of person are you (WKOPAY). Males obtained significantly higher scores on initiative and the WKOPAY



subscale than females, but female achieved significantly higher scores on environmental sensitivity than males. The research findings showed that there was no significant difference between female and male students on intelligence.

The results of the multiple regression analysis showed that intelligence, gender were not significant predictors of academic achievement. The  $R^2 = 0.02$  implies that the two predictors variables explain about 0.2 % of the variance in academic achievement. On the other hand, the multiple regression analysis also revealed that creativity, age and gender explained a low proportion ( $R^2 = 0.143$ ) of the variation in academic achievement. The findings revealed that the variations in academic achievement explained by the independent variables, intelligence, creativity and gender, was also low. Consequently, a dissimilar aspect of creativity and academic achievement was significant relationship for males and females when looking at the relationships between creativity and academic achievement. The research findings showed that there were significant differences between male and female students on self-esteem. Additionally, the findings of the multinomial logistic regression analysis showed that self-esteem was strong significantly correlated with academic achievement, when gender was controlled. Generally, intelligence, creativity, gender and age were not significant factors in explaining the variance of academic achievement, but self esteem and dissimilar aspect of creativity were the most significant relationship on academic achievement. Implications of the findings are discussed, as well as recommendations for future research. Specially, for students that show characteristics of attribution theory and its causes to which they attribute their success or failure.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

### HUBUNGAN ANTARA KEBIJAKSANAAN,KREATIVITI, JATI DIRI DAN PENCAPAIAN AKADEMIK MAHASISWA DAN MAHASISWI DARI NEGARA IRAN DI INSTITUSI PENGAJIAN TINGGI DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

#### HABIBOLLAH NADERI

**May 2009** 

#### Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Rohani Abdulla, PhD

Fakulti: Ekologi Manusia

Tujuan kajian adalah untuk memantau hubungan antara kebijaksanaan, kreativiti, jati diri dan pencapaian akademik antara mahasiswa-mahasiswi Iran di institusi-institusi pengajian tinggi di Malaysia. Kaedah penyelidikan yang berbentuk kuantitatif telah digunakan dalam kajian ini. Seratus lima puluh penuntut Iran telah dipilih secara rawak dari enam buah universiti tempatan. Kaedah statistik penerangan yang terperinci dan data digunakan dalam menganalisa data melalui kaedah SPSS. Teknik-teknik statistik yang digunakan adalah statistik penerangan, uji kaji sampel bebas, t-test, Multiple Liner Regression, Chi-square, Multinomial Logistic Regression dan Pearson Correlation.

Hasil kajian tidak menyokong kewujudan perbezaan antara jantina terhadap kreativiti. Tetapi terdapat perbezaan yang ketara antara jantina di bawah pecahan kreativiti terhadap sensitiviti persekitaran, inisiatif dan jenis keperibadian seseorang (WKOPAY). Pencapaian kaum lelaki adalah lebih tinggi terhadap inisiatif dan WKOPAY berbanding kaum perempuan tetapi pencapaian kaum perempuan lebih tinggi terhadap





sensitiviti persekitaran berbanding kaum lelaki. Kajian juga menunjukkan tiada perbezaan yang nyata diantara jantina terhadap kebijaksanaan. Keputusan analisis 'multiple regression' menunjukkan kebijaksanaan, jantina tidak mempengaruhi pencapaian akademik.  $R^2 = 0.02$  dua faktor penjangka yang mewakili 0.19% dalam variasi pencapaian akademik. Analisis ini juga mendedahkan bahawa kreativiti, umur dan jantina mewakili variasi yang rendah ( $R^2 = 0.143$ ) dalam pencapaian akademik. Kajian menunjukkan bahawa variasi dalam pencapaian akademik diwakili oleh faktor-faktor bebas, kebijaksanaan, kreativiti dan jantina adalah rendah. Perbezaan dalam aspek kreativiti dan pencapaian akademik adalah nyata apabila melihat perhubungan antara kreativiti dan pencapaian akademik di antara kaum lelaki dan perempuan.

Kajian menunjukkan variasi dalam pencapaian akademik yang diwakili oleh faktor bebas, kebijaksanaan, kreativiti dan jantina adalah rendah. Kesinambungannya, aspek yang berlainan dalam kreativiti dan pencapaian akademik adalah hubungan yang sangat penting untuk penuntut lelaki dan perempuan apabila melihat hubungan antara kreativiti dan pencapaian akademik. Hasil kajian menunjukkan terdapat perbezaan jantina yang ketara dalam jati diri. Tambahan pula, kajian daripada analisis ' multinomial logistic regression' menunjukkan jati diri yang kuat dalam pencapaian akademik apabila jantina menjadi kawalan. Secara amnya, kebijaksanaan, kreativiti, jantina, dan umur bukan faktor –faktor penting yang mewakili variasi dalam pencapaian akademik, tetapi jati diri dan aspek kreativiti mempunyai hubungan yang sangat penting dalam pencapaian akademik. Implikasi dari hasil kajian dan cadangan untuk kajian masa hadapan turut dibincangkan, terutamanya bagi pelajar yang menunjukan ciri-ciri teori attribuasi serta kesannya terhadap kejayaan atau kegagalan mereka.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, praise is to "Allah" the cherisher, and the sustainers of the world for giving me strengths, health and determination to complete this thesis. This research effort represents a culmination of advice and great support of many people to whom I am deeply grateful. I wish to express my utmost appreciation and deepest gratitude. I wish to express my utmost appreciation and deepest gratitude to the following individuals:

I am greatly indebted to my thesis supervisory committee members. I would like to acknowledge their patience, guidance, and willingness to share their knowledge, skills, and time and experience particularly, my supervisor, associate professor Dr. Ronani Abdullah and the committee members.

To associate professor Dr. Ronani for her efforts as the source of my success, strength, and inspiration for this work. She is a sincere and extremely caring educator who has always encouraged me to be creative in my research work. She has always to bring out the best in me to be a good researcher. She also patiently perused and validated the contents of the research instrument, from time to time. Her constant constructive ideas and criticisms as well as invaluable advice throughout this study provided me with the right direction and motivation to successfully complete this study.

To associate professor Dr. Tengku Aizan Hamid, for her concern, support and valuable remarks for continuous guidance especially in the statistical analysis of the data. She provided the necessary suggestions and ideas that contributed to the quality of the study.



She scrutinized this work specially my results and gave valuable feedback which greatly improved it. I really extend particular thanks for his work tirelessly at guiding and constructively criticizing every step of my work.

To Dr. Sharir Jamulddin for his comments, guidance and support especially in the research method, He provided the necessary advices for this work regarding the research ethics. He was always ready to listen and to give advice when it was needed most. His contribution encouraged me to complete this work. Once more, I would like to express my gratitude to the panel of languages and instruments experts for their valuable comments and suggestions. I am also indebted to the administration of the Malaysian universities where data were collected for their permission to allow the data collection from their students.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge all types of support rendered to me technically, financially, and morally from other parties not mentioned here that helped to make this research a success.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 28<sup>th</sup> of May 2009 to conduct the final examination of Habibollah Naderi on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "the relationship between intelligence, creativity, self-esteem and academic achievement among Iranian undergraduate students in Malaysian universities " in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

#### Rumaya Juhari, PhD

Associate professor Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Rahimah Ibrahim, PhD

Senior lecturer Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Tan Jo-Pei, PhD

Senior lecturer Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

### Akbar Hussain, PhD

Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Malaya (External Examiner)

**BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD** 

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 January 2009

ix

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

#### Rohani Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

## Tengku Aizan Hamid, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### Jamaluddin Sharir, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Malaya (Member)

### HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 January 2009

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

## HABIBOLLAH NADERI

Date:



## TABLE OF CONTENS

|  | Page  |
|--|---|
| ABSTRACT   | III   |
| ABSTRAK  | V   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS   |   |
| APPROVAL   |   |
| DECLARATION  | XI  |
| LIST OF FIGURES<br>LIST OF TABLES<br>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS   | XVI<br>XVII<br>XVIII  |
| CHAPTER 1  | 1   |
| INTRODUCTION   | 1   |
| <ul> <li>1.1 Chapter structure</li> <li>1.2 Introduction</li> <li>1.3 Statement of the problem</li> <li>1.4 Significance of the study</li> <li>1.5 Objectives of the study</li> <li>1.6 Research questions</li> <li>1.7 Research null hypothesis</li> <li>1.8 Conceptual framework</li> <li>1.9 Operational Definition of Terms</li> <li>1.9.1 Intelligence</li> <li>1.9.2 Creativity</li> <li>1.9.3 Self esteem</li> <li>1.9.4 Academic achievement</li> <li>1.10 Scope and limitations of the study</li> </ul> | $ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 4\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 13\\ 13\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $ |
| CHAPTER 2  | 18  |
| REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE   | 18  |
| <ul> <li>2.1 Introduction</li> <li>2.2 Academic achievement</li> <li>2.2.1 The concept of academic achievement</li> <li>2.2.2 The definition of academic achievement</li> <li>2.2.3 Cumulative grade point average (CGPA) and academic achievement</li> <li>2.3 Intelligence</li> </ul>  | 18<br>18<br>18<br>22<br>24<br>26  |



| 2.3.1       | Intelligence and academic achievement                                  | 28 |
|-------------|--|----|
| 2.3.2       | Intelligence and academic achievement among Iranian students           | 31 |
| 2.4 Creat   | ivity  | 32 |
| 2.4.1       | Creativity and academic achievement                                    | 34 |
| 2.4.2       | •  | 38 |
| 2.5 Self-   | Esteem   | 40 |
| 2.5.1       | Self-Esteem and academic achievement                                   | 40 |
| 2.5.2       | Self-Esteem and academic achievement among Iranian students            | 43 |
| 2.6 Gend    |  | 46 |
| 2.6.1       | Intelligence and gender  | 46 |
| 2.6.2       | Creativity and gender  | 48 |
| 2.6.3       | Self-Esteem and gender   | 51 |
| 2.7 Theorem | retical framework  | 52 |
| 2.7.1       | Weiner's attribution theory (Weiner, 1974, 1986)                       | 52 |
| 2.7.2       | Sternberg's triarchic theory of intelligence                           | 54 |
| 2.7.3       | The theory of successful intelligence (Sternberg, 1999)                | 57 |
| 2.8 Sumr    | nary   | 62 |
| CHAPTER     | 3  | 64 |
| RESEARC     | H METHODOLOGY  | 64 |
| 3.1 Introd  | luction  | 64 |
| 3.2 Resea   | arch design  | 64 |
|             | view of statistical analysis   | 65 |
|             | ription of variables   | 66 |
| 3.5 Popu    | lations, sample and location of the study                              | 67 |
| 3.5.1       | Population   | 67 |
| 3.5.2       | Research (sampling) procedure  | 69 |
| 3.5.3       |  | 71 |
| 3.6 Meas    | urement of tools   | 74 |
| 3.6.1       | Measurement of intelligence  | 75 |
| 3.6.2       | Reliability measurement of cattell culture cree intelligence test      | 75 |
| 3.6.3       | Validity measurement of cattell culture free intelligence test         | 76 |
| 3.6.4       | Administration and procedures data collection administration and scori | ng |
|             |  | 78 |
| 3.6.5       | Measurement of creativity  | 80 |
| 3.6.6       | Reliability of the Khatena-Torrance creative perception inventory test | 82 |
| 3.6.7       | Validity of the Khatena-Torrance creative perception inventory test    | 83 |
| 3.6.8       | Scoring procedures Khatena-Torrance creative perception inventory      |    |
| (KTCP)      |  | 86 |
| 3.6.9       | Adaptation and pilot test of the Khatena-Torrance creative perception  |    |
|             | ry test and CCFIT  | 87 |
| 3.6.10      | Measurement of self esteem   | 88 |
| 3.6.11      | Validity and reliability of rosenberg's self-esteem scale (RSES)       | 88 |
| 3.6.12      | Measurement of academic achievement                                    | 89 |
|             | riptive statistics   | 90 |
| 3.8 Data    | analysis   | 93 |



| 3.9 Summary   | 94             |
|---|----------------|
| CHAPTER 4   | 96             |
| FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS  | 96             |
| 4.1 Introduction  | 96             |
| 4.2 Demographic of the respondents  | 96             |
| 4.3 Intelligence, creativity, gender and academic achievement               | 97             |
| 4.3.1 Intelligence and gender as predictors of academic achievement         | 97             |
| 4.3.2 Intelligence, creativity and gender as predictors of academic achieve | ment           |
|   | 101            |
| 4.4 Gender differences on intelligence                                      | 109            |
| 4.5.1 Gender differences in creative perception                             | 113            |
| 4.6 Creativity, age, gender and academic achievement                        | 118            |
| 4.6.1 Creativity, age and gender as predictors of academic achievement      | 118            |
| 4.6.2 Creativity and academic achievement: gender differences               | 123            |
| 4.7 The Gender differences on the self-esteem                               | 132            |
| 4.8 Self esteem, gender and academic achievement                            | 132            |
| CHAPTER 5   | 143            |
| SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATION                        | <b>DNS</b> 143 |
| 5.1 Introduction  | 143            |
| 5.2 Summary and conclusion of the study                                     | 143            |
| 5.3 Implications of the study   | 149            |
| 5.4 Recommendations for future research                                     | 152            |
| REFERENCES  | 156            |
| APPENDICES  | 171            |
| <b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>  | 212            |





## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1.1    | Conceptual framework                                | 12   |
| 3.1    | Decision tree-statistical techniques                | 66   |
| 3.2    | The population target population and sampling frame | 69   |
| 3.3    | Determine sample size Cochran (1963:75)             | 72   |
| 3.4    | CGPA  | 89   |





## LIST OF TABLES

| Ta  | ble  | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| 3.1 | Result form A (CCFIT); result measured intelligence<br>(Cattell culture free intelligence test) among 600 Iranian students         | 77   |
| 3.2 | Result form B (CCIFT)  | 77   |
| 3.3 | Result measured intelligence (Cattell culture free intelligence test)<br>and intelligent quotient (I.Q) among 250 Iranian students | 79   |
| 3.4 | Descriptive statistics of CGPA   | 90   |
| 3.5 | Descriptive statistics of intelligence   | 91   |
| 3.6 | Comparisons of creativity perception inventory   | 92   |
| 3.7 | Descriptive statistics of self-esteem  | 92   |
| 4.1 | Descriptive statistics age and gender  | 97   |
| 4.2 | Descriptive statistics of intelligence   | 98   |
| 4.3 | Model summary  | 99   |
| 4.4 | ANOVA  | 99   |
| 4.5 | Coefficients   | 100  |
| 4.6 | Comparisons of something about myself scores of males and females  | 102  |
| 4.7 | Descriptive statistics of CGPA   | 103  |
| 4.8 | Model summary  | 104  |
| 4.9 | ANOVA  | 104  |
| 4.1 | 0 Coefficients   | 105  |
| 4.1 | 1 Model summary  | 106  |
| 4.1 | 2 ANOVA  | 106  |

xvi



| 4.13 | Coefficients   | 107 |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.14 | Descriptive statistics of intelligence                                   | 111 |
| 4.15 | T-Tests for equality of means intelligence                               | 112 |
| 4.16 | Comparisons of creativity perception inventory                           | 114 |
| 4.17 | Comparisons of creative perception inventory scores of males and females | 115 |
|      | Comparisons of what kind of person are you scores of males emales        | 116 |
| 4.19 | Model summary  | 119 |
| 4.20 | ANOVA  | 119 |
| 4.21 | Coefficients   | 121 |
| 4.22 | Descriptive statistics   | 124 |
| 4.23 | Pearson correlation results for males and females                        | 126 |
| 4.24 | Model summary for female   | 127 |
| 4.25 | ANOVA for female   | 128 |
| 4.26 | Model summary for male   | 128 |
| 4.27 | ANOVA for male   | 129 |
| 4.28 | Independent samples t-test   | 132 |
| 4.29 | Case processing summary: self-esteem & gender                            | 134 |
| 4.30 | Classification   | 135 |
| 4.31 | Likelihood ratio tests   | 136 |
| 4.32 | Model fitting information  | 137 |
| 4.33 | Parameter estimates: gender + self-esteem                                | 139 |



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| IESC     | Iran's Educational Statistical Center                |
|----------|--|
| CGPA     | Cumulative Grade Point Average                       |
| ANOVA    | Analysis Of Variance                                 |
| GF       | Fluid Intelligence                                   |
| CCFIT    | Catell Culture Free Intelligence Test                |
| WKOPAY   | What Kind Of Person Are You?                         |
| CTBS     | Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills                  |
| CAPP     | Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency        |
| GRE      | Graduate Record Exam                                 |
| WASI     | Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence           |
| WRAT3    | Wide Range Achievement Test Third Edition            |
| SAI      | Self Assessed Intelligence                           |
| AP       | Academic Performance                                 |
| SAM      | Something About Myself                               |
| I.Q      | Intelligence Quotient                                |
| RSES     | Rosenberg Self – Esteem Scale                        |
| KTCPI    | Khatena-Torrance Creative Perception Inventory       |
| TTCT     | Torrance Test of Creative Thinking                   |
| CPI      | Creative Perception Inventory                        |
| ASCT     | Abedi-Schumacher Creativity Test                     |
| SAI      | School Achievement Index                             |
| CFIT-3a  | Scale 3 of the Catell Culture Fair Intelligence Test |
| FS       | Female Students                                      |
| MS       | Male Students  |
| TAT      | Thematic Apperception Test                           |
| VACT     | Villa and Auzmendi Creativity Test                   |
| APA      | American Psychological Association                   |
| GS       | Crystallized Intelligence                            |
| WISC-III | Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children             |
| SAT      | Scholastic Aptitude Test                             |
| SPSS     | Statistical Package for the Social Sciences          |
| STAT     | Science Teachers Association of Texas                |

xviii



## CHAPTER 1

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Chapter Structure**

Chapter one introduces the study which includes introduction, statement of the problem, the significance of the study, research objectives, research questions, research hypothesis, operational definition of the terms. The conceptual framework, limitation and over of the study

### 1.2 Introduction

Over the past 20 years, higher education in Iran has seen a shift from elite to mass education. This national educational reform provides more equity and access to higher education and academic achievement to the general population. Accompanying the development in higher education, there is an increasing diversity amongst the student populations. Students from different social and cultural backgrounds, with varied life experiences, and diverse levels of education bring with them varied needs and academic potentials as noted by (Naeimi, 2005).

The government has spent a lot of money to ensure the efficiency and effectiveness of the country's education system. This is evident from the annual report by the government's budget, it is quite evident that the education sector often got the highest priority (Dirgaunarsa, 1986). In the 2001 budget reports that, more than 1/3 of the Iranian



government's budget was allocated to the education sector (Iran's Budget Report, 2001).

Even though the government has spent money on the development of educational infrastructures, it was reported that the achievements of the Iranian students have deteriorated in comparison to the previous years (Fathei, 2006). The Iran's Educational Statistical Center (IESC) reported that the level of the students' achievement in higher education was 91.4% in 1999, 90.6% in 2000 and 89.9% in 2001. This clearly portrays the steady decline in the students' academic achievements within three year period (Educational Statistics Center of Iran, 2002)

Many researchers believe that success in higher education is not only essential to furthering one's own education but also ensures a more educated and productive society. Therefore, there has been a continuing interest in the identification of effective predictors of academic achievement in higher education (Mahmodiasl, 2002). Concomitantly, Fathei (2006) stated that each individual student is unique and has his or her own educational related needs. The fulfillment of these needs is vital for an individual's endeavor and pursuit to achieve the academic excellence. Understanding these important needs can help educators handle students' psychological problems better and assist the individual to enhance their abilities and potential talents (Mirzabigi, 2001).

Academic achievement has been studied for more than 100 years (Amini, 2004; Mahmodi, 1998 ; Mirzabigi, 2001). Several indicators of academic achievement including cumulative grade point average have been studied. Most of the early research in academic achievement focused on the use of ability measures and high school performance measures to predict college grades (Mahmodiasl, 2002; Naeimi, 2005).



Numerous investigators examined the influence of undergraduate cumulative grade point average on academic success and found significant positive correlation (Can et al., 2008; Cohn et al., 2004; Gelardi & Emby, 2005; Hirsh & Peterson, 2008; Hong, 2002).

The intelligence-achievement relationship has been established in the literature and intelligence is the strongest predictor of academic performance/achievement (Furnham & Monsen, 2009; Gelade, 2008; Xin & Zhang, 2009). Nevertheless, there are some studies which imply this relationship is weak or has moderating effect or is not significant (Chamorro et al., 2008; Coyle & Pillow, 2008; Ziegler, Knogler, & Bühner, 2009).

Inconsistent relationship between academic achievement and creativity has been reported in the literature. (Ai, 1999; Karimi, 2000; Palaniappan, 2007) in their studies they found barely positive relationships between creativity-academic achievement correlation have been reported in quite a number of studies. (Educational Statistics Center of Iran, 2002; Palaniappan, 2005; 2007, Ananda Kumar Palaniappan), on the other hand, did not find negative relationship between creativity and academic achievement. In addition, a review of studies relating creativity and academic achievement shows a substantial number of investigations did not find any significant relationship between these two variables (Behroozi, 1997; Nori, 2002; A. K Palaniappan, 1994).

The conception of intelligence is frequently associated with creativity. However, Furnham & Bachtiar (2008) cited early investigations which supported modest



relationship between creativity and intelligence. Intelligence and creativity both have an impact on academic achievement, but the direct effect of intelligence on academic achievement is much higher while creativity has a moderate effect on academic achievement (Rindermann & Neubauer, 2004).

Academic achievement and self esteem has also been studied. Pullmann & Allik (2008) reported that general self-esteem is positively related to academic achievement among secondary school students but not as strong as academic self-esteem. Further Marsh & Mara (2008) suggested that prior self-esteem has small positive effect on subsequent educational attainment. Pullmann & Allik (2008) cautioned that low general self-esteem does not necessarily signal a poor academic achievement. Children learn to detach their self-esteem from academic outcomes, thus, protecting them from the feeling of failure. The relationships between intelligence, creativity, self esteem and academic achievement is still debatable as the results seem to be inconsistent. Further research is needed to understand this phenomenon.

#### **1.3 Statement of the Problem**

Academic achievement has always been the interest of researchers and educators for a long time. Academic achievement is the outcome of both teaching and learning experiences. The relationships between creativity, intelligence, self-esteem, and academic achievement have been studied but, the relationships among these variables have not yielded consistent results (Ai, 1999; Amini, 2004; Furnham & Monsen, 2009; Grzegorek et al., 2004; Hirsh & Peterson, 2008; MehrAfza, 2004; Pullmann & Allik,



2008; Rohde & Thompson, 2007). For example Furnham & Monsen (2009), Rohde & Thompson (2007) and Tajvand (1998) studied relationship between academic achievement and intelligence, Amini (2004), Grzegorek et al., (2004) and Pullmann & Allik (2008) examined between self-esteem and academic achievement and (Ai, 1999; Hirsh & Peterson, 2008; MehrAfza, 2004) investigated between creativity and academic achievement. However, the above studies did not include all the variables in a single research. Hence, the interrelationships between these variables need further investigation.

The internationalization, liberalization and democratization of education adopted by the government of Malaysia have prompted the influx of international students enrolled in local institutions of higher learning (Ghazali & Kassim, 2004). Foreign students enrolments in Malaysia have increased rapidly from 32 students in 1990 to 12,605 in 1999 (Ghazali & Kassim, 2004). Foreign students represent less than two percent of higher education enrolments in Malaysia, but this proportion is likely to grow over the next decade as the Malaysian government aims to recruit 100,000 foreign students to study in universities of higher education by 2010 (Higher Education Minister Mustapa Mohamed, 2006).

According to Higher Education Minister Mustapa Mohamed (2006), Malaysia has the potential to become the choice country for foreign students to pursue tertiary education abroad. The social stability and reasonable cost of living were among those factors which helped draw foreign students to come to this country. The government will intensify promotion efforts to attract more students from neighboring Southeast Asian



nations, China and west Asia (such as Iran). Although, there are 40,000 foreign students in Malaysia, adding that the number is rather small compared with the facilities available (Higher Education Minister Mustapa Mohamed, 2006).

The number of Iranians students in Malaysia has increased from less than 60 students in 1999 to more than 4000 in 2009 (Iranian Counseling in Malaysia Noor, 2008). Iranian students' composition was only 0.5% in 1999 and has increased to 10% of the total of foreign students in Malaysia. The influx of Iranian students to Malaysia may be due the practice of Islam in Malaysia and a relatively low cost of living. These prompted the some Iranian students to pursue their tertiary educations in Malaysia which is a non traditional education hub for Iranian students (Mustapa Mohamed, 2006 & Noor, 2008). Traditional educational hubs for Iranian students are countries like the United States of America, Canada and European. Nonetheless, how are these students coping with their studies in Malaysia? Are they performing academically? What factors influence their academic achievement? Academic achievement is one measure to reflect their adjustment in their study programmes.

The relationship between intelligence, creativity, self-esteem and academic achievement has been established among Iranian secondary school students in Iran (Amini, 2004; MehrAfza, 2004; Naeimi, 2005; Tajvand, 1998; Zeinvand, 2006), but the relationship between the intelligence, creativity, self-esteem and academic achievement among Iranian undergraduate students has not been established (Amini, 2004; Behroozi, 2006; Tajvand, 1998; Zeinvand, 2006). Iranian researchers Amini (2004), Behroozi (2006) and Tajvand (1998) postulate that differences in academic achievement are due to

