



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**BIOECONOMIC EVALUATION OF AGROFORESTRY PRACTICES IN
THE MOUNTAINOUS REGION OF RIMA'A VALLEY, YEMEN**

ABDUL-MOA'AMEN HAMOODSHOGA'A ALDEEN

T FH 2009 8



**BIOECONOMIC EVALUATION OF AGROFORESTRY PRACTICES IN
THE MOUNTAINOUS REGION OF RIMA'A VALLEY, YEMEN**

By

ABDUL-MOA'AMEN HAMOOD SHOGA'A ALDEEN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

February 2009



*ALLAH IS THE ALL MIGHTY WHO GIVES US THE POWER TO FIGHT
DISHONESTY AND INJUSTICE*

To

*MY BELOVED HOMETOWN YEMEN
THE MARTYRS OF THE HOMETOWN AND ARABIAN NATION
MY BELOVED PARENTS A BARRAGE OF LOVE AND COMPASSION
MY BROTHER ABDULKAREEM
MY PRECIOUS FRIEND DR. ADNAN ALSANOY
MY BELOVED WIFE*

WITH MY LOVE



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**BIOECONOMIC EVALUATION OF AGROFORESTRY PRACTICES IN
THE MOUNTAINOUS REGION OF RIMA'A VALLEY, YEMEN**

By

ABDULMOAAMEN HAMOOD SHOGAA ALDEEN

February 2009

Chairman: Professor Dato' Nik Muhamad Nik Ab. Majid, PhD

Faculty : Forestry

Yemen encounters serious problems of scarcity of natural resources as well as soil erosion and degradation. Agroforestry system is being promoted as a more appropriate land use system than monocropping practices for smallholders worldwide. Unfortunately, detailed studies on the sustainability of different land use systems are limited and in this region land has started to deteriorate and many farmers turned to traditional agriculture. The general objective of the study was to evaluate the sustainability of agroforestry compared to monocropping systems in terms of soil properties and farmers' perception. The first specific objective of this study was to determine the effects of agroforestry practices on soil properties and compare them with soil properties under monocropping system. The second objective was to simulate the changes over a 20-year period in soil total organic N, total organic P, and organic carbon, and depths which are the most important elements affecting soil productivity. The third objective was to develop a bio-economic model to determine the role of agroforestry and other factors affecting soil

conservation and net farm income. Three different methods were used to achieve the above objectives. First, 72 composite soil samples were collected from two sites (36 from site 1 and 36 from site 2) based on RCBD split plot design (6 systems X 3 replications X 2 depths). Soil N, P, K, organic carbon, and bulk density were determined. Second, the SCUAF model was used to predict the changes in soil properties over a 20-year simulation period. The output was then used in cost benefit analysis. Third, a questionnaire and direct interview with 162 agroforestry farmers and 83 non-agroforestry were conducted to collect data to develop the bio-economic model. The results showed that soil N, P, K and organic carbon were significantly higher under agroforestry practices mixed trees with coffee (S1), and Muringa (*Cordia africana L.*) with coffee (S2) at the two sites as compared to the Arabian jujube (*Ziziphus spina-christi L.*) with maize (S3) and the monocropping systems ($P < 0.01$). It was lowest under monocropping maize (S5) in both sites. Other physical soil properties were better under agroforestry practices (S1 and S2) than the monocropping maize (S5). The results of the SCUAF modeling illustrated that soil depth decline was negligible under agroforestry practices (S1 and S2) with soil loss less than 1000 kg/ha/yr even without using chemical fertilizers. The predicted decline of soil depth was highest under S5 followed by S3 which lost 43.1 % and 18 % of the top soil, respectively. Soil organic N and organic P are significantly higher under S1 and S2 systems even without using chemical fertilizers. It declined continuously under other systems at different rates during the simulation period. However adding 55 kg/ha/yr super phosphate (46 % P) and 271 kg/ha/yr urea (46 % N) to the systems (S1, S2, S4, and S6) resulted in maintaining soil fertility and led to sustaining the yield over 20 years of the simulation period. The results of cost benefit analysis showed that by using chemical fertilizers all systems were profitable at this

level of the capital cost. The results also showed that Muringa coffee system (S2) and monocropping coffee (S4) obtained the highest net present value (NPV) (YR 1,171,077 USD 6163.6/ha) and (YR 1,117,965 USD 5884/ha), respectively. The lowest NPV (YR 55,116 USD 290.1/ha) was obtained under monocropping maize (S5). Consequently, the agroforestry system (S2) using fertilizers predicted the highest annualized net benefits (ANB) (YR 156,783 USD 825.2/ha/year), to the farmers and the lowest ANB (YR 7378 USD 38.8/ha/yr) was from monocropping maize (S5). The results of soil conservation model showed that educational level, number of terraces and channels maintained during the last ten years, geographical location, water efficiency, farmers' experience, and agroforestry index are significantly influenced soil conservation in both models (OLS and SUR). The results of income regression model showed that the net income increased in output price and fixed inputs such as farm size, and decreased in input prices mainly wage of labor, and price of fodder in both models (OLS and SUR). The coefficients of the wage of labor (*PLAB*), fodder price (*PFOD*), are negative and significantly decreased the net income in both models as well. Farm size and price of cows sold are significantly affected the net farm income in models 1 and 2. The model shows that agroforestry can improve soil properties. The calculated values suggest that soil conservation generated productivity benefits in range of 4 to 9 percent of the current farm income. It is equivalent to about 23261 YR/yr (US\$ 122.4) for an average area of 0.6 ha for each farmer. It can be concluded that agroforestry practices are more profitable and sustainable compared to monocropping systems.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENILAIAN BIO-EKONOMI TENTANG AMALAN PERHUTANAN-TANI
DI KAWASAN PERGUNUNGAN LEMBAH RIMA'A, YEMEN**

Oleh

ABDULMOAAMEN HAMOOD SHOGAA ALDEEN

Februri 2009

Pengerusi : Profesor Dato' Dr. Nik Muhamad Nik Ab. Majid, PhD

Fakulti : Perhutanan

Yemen menghadapi masalah kekurangan sumber pertanian selain dari-pada kekhakisan serta penurunan mutu tanah. Sistem perhutanan-tani telah dipromosikan sebagai sistem guna tanah yang lebih sesuai berbanding dengan amalan tanaman tunggal. Walaubagaimanapun, kajian jangka panjang mengenai kemampuan pelbagai jenis sistem guna tanah tersebut adalah kurang dan pada masa sekarang sistem perhutanan-tani di kawasan tersebut telah menunjukkan kemerosotan di mana petani mula berubah arah kepada sistem pertanian asal. Secara keseluruhannya, kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai kemampuan sistem perhutanan-tani berbanding dengan amalan tanaman tunggal berdasarkan tanggapan petani serta kesan terhadap ciri-ciri tanah. Objektif pertama adalah untuk menilai kesan amalan tanaman tunggal terhadap ciri-ciri tanah dan membandingkannya dengan amalan perhutanan-tani. Objektif kedua adalah untuk melakukan simulasi selama 20 tahun tentang perubahan pada kandungan N, P, karbon organik dan ke dalaman tanah di mana ini merupakan elemen penting yang mempengaruhi produktiviti tanah tersebut. Objektif ketiga pula

adalah untuk menghasilkan model bio-ekonomi untuk melihat peranan amalan perhutanan-tani serta faktor lain yang mempengaruhi pendapatan para petani serta pemuliharaan tanah. Tiga kaedah telah digunakan untuk mencapai objektif kajian di mana dalam kaedah pertama sebanyak 72 sampel komposit tanah yang diperolehi daripada dua kawasan (36 dari setiap tapak) berdasarkan rekabentuk RCBD split plot (6 sistem x 3 replikat x 2 ke dalaman) telah dianalisa. Pada kaedah kedua, model SCUAF telah digunakan untuk membuat ramalan kepada perubahan dalam sifat tanah untuk jangkamasa simulasi selama 20 tahun yang kemudiannya dikaitkan dengan analisa kos faedah. Seterusnya, soal selidik dan temubual dengan 162 petani yang mengamalkan amalan perhutanan-tani dan 83 daripada kumpulan yang mengamalkan amalan tanaman tunggal telah dijalankan untuk mengumpul data yang digunakan untuk menghasilkan model bio-ekonomi. Hasil daripada kajian telah menunjukkan bahawa amalan perhutanan-tani dengan menggandingkan pokok dengan tanaman kopi (S1) dan Muringa (*Cordia africana Lam*) dengan tanaman kopi (S2) memberikan nilai N, P, K dan kandungan karbon organik yang ketara di kedua-dua tapak ($P < 0.01$) jika dibandingkan dengan gandingan Arab jujube (*Ziziphus spina-christi L.*) dengan tanaman jagung serta amalan pertanian tunggal. Kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa amalan S5 memberikan nilai terendah untuk kedua-dua kawasan kajian tersebut. Sifat fizikal tanah lain juga adalah lebih baik dengan amalan perhutanan-tani (S1 dan S2) berbanding dengan amalan tanaman tunggal bijiran (S5). Hasil ramalan menunjukkan pengurangan kedalaman tanah adalah tidak ketara di bawah amalan perhutanan-tani (S1 and S2) di mana kehilangan tanah adalah kurang daripada 1000 kg/hektar/tahun walaupun tanpa penggunaan baja kimia. Pengurangan ke dalaman tanah adalah tinggi untuk S5 dan disusuli oleh S3 di mana kehilangan bahagian permukaan tanah adalah sebanyak 43.1% dan 18%. Kandungan organik N

dan P pada tanah juga didapati meningkat di bawah sistem perhutanan-tani S1 dan S2 yang tidak menggunakan baja kimia. Pada sistem lain, ianya telah menunjukkan penurunan secara berterusan dalam tempoh simulasi tersebut. Walau bagaimanapun, penambahan super phosphate (46% P) sebanyak 55 kg/hektar/tahun dan 271 kg/hektar/tahun urea (46% N) kepada sistem S1, S2, S4 dan S6 telah mengekalkan kesuburan tanah serta memberikan hasil berkekalan selama 20 tahun simulasi. Hasil daripada kos faedah telah menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan baja pada tahap modal kos tersebut telah memberikan keuntungan kepada kesemua sistem. Hasil kajian juga telah mendapati bahawa sistem amalan berdasarkan tanaman kopi Muringa (S2) dan penanaman kopi secara tunggal (S4) memberikan nilai bersih terkini yang tertinggi iaitu (YR 1,171,077 USD 6163.6)/hektar dan (YR 1,117,96 USD 5884)/hektar. Nilai NPV terendah (YR 55,116 USD 290.1)/hektar pula didapati daripada sistem yang mengamalkan tanaman tunggal bijiran (S5). Selain itu, ramalan yang diperolehi daripada sistem amalan perhutanan-tani dengan pembajaan (S2) menunjukkan faedah tahunan bersih faedah tahunan yang tertinggi (YR 156,783 USD 825.2)/hektar/tahun kepada petani manakala S5 memberikan faedah tahunan yang terendah (YR 7378 USD 38.8)/hektar/tahun. Hasil daripada model pemuliharaan tanah, menunjukkan bahawa tahap pendidikan, jumlah terusan serta tali air yang diselenggara dalam tempoh sepuluh (10) tahun, lokasi geografik, keberkesanan pengairan, pengalaman petani, dan indek perhutanan-tani sangat mempengaruhi pemuliharaan tanah pada kedua model (OLS dan SUR) tersebut. Koefisien harga buruh, harga makanan ternakan, adalah bersifat negatif dan berkurangan dengan ketara terhadap pendapatan bersih pada kedua-dua model tersebut. Keluasan ladang, dan harga jualan lembu, adalah ketara dalam Model 1 dan 2. Model tersebut juga menunjukkan bahawa perhutanan-tani boleh memperbaiki keadaan sifat tanah di mana produktiviti

berasaskan penuliharaan tanah yang diperolehi memberikan peningkatan nilai sebanyak 4 hingga 9 peratus daripada pendapatan ladang bagi peladang kecil yang bersamaan dengan 23261 YR/tahun (USD 122.4) untuk keluasan purata 0.6 hektar bagi setiap petani. Secara kesimpulannya, amalan perhutanan-tani adalah lebih mampan jika dibandingkan dengan amalan sistem pertanian tunggal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises and thanks are due to Allah who gave me competence to finish my study and to acquire the knowledge in science; and peace and blessings are upon His Trustworthy and Honest Messenger.

I do not have enough words to express my gratitude to them who directly or indirectly contributed to accomplish this study and shared my journey towards exploring knowledge.

My thanks and gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dato Dr. Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid, the chairman of Supervisory Committee, for his trust on my capability to join the PhD program at the Faculty of Forestry, UPM, and for providing advices, encouragement, support, guidance and comments through the academic program and research.

I am also equally grateful to my co-supervisor; Associate Professor Dr. Awang Noor Abd. Ghani, the Dean of Faculty Forestry, UPM, for his kind help in analysis the data, valuable suggestions, and comments during my study program. His critical suggestions and invaluable comments were very useful to develop this thesis.

Special thanks go to the other members of Supervisory Committee; Associate Professor Dr. Mohamed Azani Alias, Associate Professors Dr. Shukri Bin Mohamed, and Dr. Christopher Teh Boon Sung, for the time they took out of their schedules to serve on my committee and provide professional input throughout the duration of my study. Without their help, this thesis could not have completed.



I would also like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to the former Minister of Agriculture and Irrigation, and the member of Yemeni Parliament, Eng. Hassan Omar Mohammed Suwiad, for providing me financial support, and encouragement during collection of soil samples and conducting the survey of this study.

I am very much grateful to the Dr. Mohammed Yahya Al-Ghashm, Chairman of Tihama Development Authority for his cooperation and encouragement during data collection of the study.

I remember the kind hand of my brother Abdul-Kareem H. Shuja'a Adeen, colonel, Naval Force of Yemen for his kind hand in every moment of my stay in Yemen and from far away when I am in Malaysia. His inspiration helped me to always proceed. I am highly grateful to Dr. Affendi Suhili, Forestry Department, Sarawak, Malaysia, for his kind help in editing and organize my thesis. He was always beside me as my brother.

I am very grateful to my close friends Adnan Alsanoy, Wa'el Alaghbari, Sakhar Hatim, Mohammed Alkhawlani, Abdulrahman Alkhirbash and their families for their hospitality when I was invited to their houses and for making me feel at home. I enjoyed the company of friends and colleagues namely, Waleed Alsabir, Sadeq Alsheraji, Fua'ad Hassan, Mohammed Nusari, Fadel Alsanabani, Abdulnasir Alhammadi and Hamoud Al-Seaghi. I thank all friends and compatriots living in Posnia Flat, especially Hareth, & Muneer Alqubati, Abdul-Raqeeb Al-Eryani, Maher

Alsanabani, and Ali Alsharafi, for the interesting discussions we occasionally had on a wide range of issues.

I remember the kind help of my brothers Mahamoud, Abdul Ghani, Mohammad, Bassam, Abdul-Ghalil, and Abdul-Allah, and my good friends Ahmed Salih Alsabal, Mohammed Ali Albarah, my good driver Ibraheem Ahmed, and my friends Abdulqawi Mohammed Saeed Alsharabe, Abdullateef Almaqtari, Tihamah Development Authority, for helping me to achieve my aim. My thanks go also to Alshiakh Saleh Bermeel, Bani Horaish, Alsharq district, Yemen for his kindness and hospitality during data collection.

I remember the support and encouragement received from my late Uncle Mr. Abdul-Raman Gasim Shogaa Aldeen. I beg the Almighty ALLAH to bless his pure soul in Heaven.

Last but not least, I am grateful to my parents for their prayers, I am thankful to my wife Taisseer, my son Ahmed, my daughters Salwa and Salma for their inspiration and moral support and long patience as I undertook my studies. I also thank my father-in-law, mother-in-law, and relatives for the strong moral and emotional support they provided to my family while I was away. I also remember my uncles, sisters' love and affection to me during this study.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 27th February 2009 to conduct the final examination of Abdul-Moa'amen Shoga'a Al-Deen on his Doctoral thesis entitled "Bio-economic Evaluation of Agroforestry Practices in the Mountainous Region of Rima'a Valley, Yemen" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (higher Degree) regulation 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Azmy Mohamed, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mohd. Zaki Hamzah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Babiker Idris Babiker, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
University of Khartoum
Sudan
(External Examiner)

Bujang Kim Huat, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Nik Muhamad Nik Ab. Majid, Ph.D

Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Purta Malaysia
(Chairman)

Awang Noor Abd. Ghani, Ph.D

Associate Professor
Dean of Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Purta Malaysia
(Member)

Mohamed Azani Alias, Ph.D

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Shukri Bin Mohamed, Ph.D

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Christopher Teh Boon Sung, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/ Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or any other institutions.

ABDUL-MOA'AMEN SHOGA'A ALDEEN

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	x
APPROVAL	xiii
DECLARATION	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xx
LIST OF FIGURES	xxii
LIST OF PLATES	xxiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Background of Yemen	1
1.1.1 Location and population	1
1.1.2 Roles of agricultural sector in national economy	1
1.1.3 Importance of agroforestry	2
1.1.4 Food security	3
1.1.5 Problems of soil degradation	4
1.2 Problem statement and significance of study	5
1.3 Objectives of the study	7
1.4 Constraints of the study	8
1.5 Organization of the thesis	8
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Definition and concept of agroforestry	10
2.3 Classification of agroforestry	12
2.4 Agroforestry practices in Yemen	15
2.5 Common agroforestry practices in the highlands of Ethiopia	19
2.5.1 Scattered trees in crop lands	19
2.5.2 Home gardens in Ethiopia	19
2.5.3 Hedgerow intercropping	20
2.5.4 Riparian zone vegetation	21
2.6 Soil conservation and sustainability	22
2.6.1 Sustainable land use systems	23
2.6.2 Agroforestry and sustainability	25
2.7 Environmental aspects of agroforestry	28
2.7.1 Agroforestry and biodiversity	28
2.7.2 Agroforestry and soil erosion	29
2.7.3 Agroforestry and soil properties	31
2.7.4 Agroforestry and soil fertility	35
2.8 Factors influencing soil conservation	38
2.9 Socio-economic aspects of agroforestry	42
2.10 Factors influencing farm income	46
2.11 Agroforestry adoption	49



2.12	Factors affecting adoption of agroforestry	51
2.13	Soil changes under agroforestry modelling (SCUAF)	54
2.13.1	SCUAF basic and compartments	56
2.13.2	Previous applications of SCUAF	57
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	61
3.1	Introduction	61
3.2	Location of study	62
3.3	Topography	62
3.4	Climate	64
3.5	Soil	64
3.6	Water supply	65
3.7	Selection of the study area	66
3.8	Conceptual framework of the study	67
3.9	Data collection	69
3.10	General description of the six land use systems	70
4	POPULATION PROFILE AND LAND USE SYSTEMS	72
4.1	Introduction	72
4.2	Land use pattern	72
4.3	Economic activities	73
4.4	Socio-economic profile of the respondents	73
4.4.1	Gender	73
4.4.2	Age structure	74
4.4.3	Educational level	75
4.4.4	Family size	76
4.4.5	Ownership pattern & average land size holding	77
4.5	Farming systems	78
4.6	Agrisilvicultural system	79
4.6.1	Arabian coffee (<i>Coffea arabica</i>) + Muringa (<i>Cordia africana</i>)	79
4.6.2	Arabian coffee with mixed trees	80
4.6.3	Multipurpose trees on farmland or terraces	81
4.6.4	Interactions between components	82
4.7	Agrosilvopastoral system components	83
4.7.1	Maize and sorghum with Arabian jujube	83
4.7.2	Mixed multipurpose trees with annual crops	85
4.7.3	Livestock	85
4.7.4	Arrangement and interaction of system	87
4.8	Crop production of agroforestry and monocropping systems	87
4.8.1	Coffee	88
4.8.2	Mango	89
4.8.3	Maize	90
4.8.4	Vegetables	91
4.8.5	Oranges and Mandarin	92
5	EFFECTS OF AGROFORESTRY AND MONOCROPPING ON SOIL FERTILITY	93
5.1	Introduction	93
5.2	Material and Methods	94
5.2.1	Soil sampling	94

5.2.2	Soil Chemical and physical analysis	95
5.2.3	Statistical analysis	96
5.3	Results and discussion	96
5.3.1	Soil texture	96
5.3.2	Soil bulk density	99
5.3.3	Soil porosity	101
5.3.4	Soil organic carbon	104
5.3.5	Total nitrogen (N) concentration	108
5.3.6	Available phosphorus (P) concentration	111
5.3.7	Extractable potassium (K) concentration	115
5.3.8	Soil pH	117
5.4	Conclusions	119
6	SUSTAINABILITY MODELING OF AGROFORESTRY AND MONOCROPPING SYSTEMS	120
6.1	Introduction	120
6.2	Material and Methods	121
6.2.1	Data collection	121
6.3	Economic Valuation Framework	123
6.4	Prediction of changes in soil over a 20 year period	123
6.4.1	Modeling agrisilvicultural system (S1)	125
6.4.2	Modeling agrisilvicultural system (S2)	126
6.4.3	Modeling agrosilvopastoral systems (S3)	127
6.4.4	Modeling monocropping coffee farm (S4)	128
6.4.5	Modeling monocropping maize farm (S5)	129
6.4.6	Modelling monocropping mango farm (S6)	130
6.5	Economic Analysis	130
6.5.1	Net Present Value	131
6.5.2	Annualized Net Benefits	132
6.5.3	Sensitivity Analysis	132
6.6	Results and discussions	132
6.6.1	Predicted soil depth decline from the different land use systems	133
6.6.2	Change in soil carbon	135
6.6.3	Total soil organic phosphorus	136
6.6.4	Total soil organic nitrogen	138
6.6.5	Predicted yield of maize	140
6.6.6	Predicted Yield of coffee	142
6.6.7	Predicted yield of fuel wood and timber	144
6.6.8	Predicted yield of mango	145
6.7	Profitability of alternative farming systems	147
6.8	Sensitivity Analysis	148
6.9	Conclusions	153
7	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AGROFORESTRY, SOIL CONSERVATION AND FARM INCOME: BIO-ECONOMIC FRAMEWORK	155
7.1	Introduction	155
7.2	Materials and Methods	156
7.2.1	Theoretical framework of the study	156
7.2.2	Data Collection	158

7.2.3	Sampling technique	160
7.2.4	Instrument	161
7.2.5	Data analysis	163
7.2.6	Logistic regression	164
7.2.7	Calculation of the selection parameter (λ)	165
7.2.8	Relationship between agroforestry and soil conservation	166
7.2.9	Regression analysis of net farm income	172
7.2.10	Value of soil conservation due to agroforestry	174
7.2.11	Estimation Methods	176
7.3	Results and discussions	177
7.3.1	Agroforestry adoption and calculation of the selection parameter (λ)	177
7.3.2	Determinants of agroforestry adoption	179
7.3.3	Soil conservation index (W_{si})	182
7.3.4	Determinants of soil conservation	183
7.3.5	Results of soil conservation equation	185
7.3.6	Determinants of farm income	189
7.3.7	Results of the income equation	190
7.3.8	Valuing soil conservation benefits	194
7.4	Conclusion	197
8	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	200
8.1	Summary of findings	201
8.2	Recommendations	204
	REFERENCES	207
	APPENDIXES	229
	BIODATA OF THE STUDENT	275
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	276

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1 Gender of respondents	74
4.2 Age structure of respondents	75
4.3 Educational level of respondents	76
4.4 Family size of respondents	77
4.5 Average land size and ownership pattern	78
4.6 Multipurpose trees on farm land	82
4.7 An average cattle and hens holding	86
4.8 Average crop production and land size	91
5.1 Particle size (%) in relation to farming systems (mean) in the site A	97
5.2 Particle size (%) in relation to farming systems (mean) in the site B	98
5.3 Particle size (%) in relation to soil depth in the site A	98
5.4 Particle size (%) in relation to soil depth in the site B	99
5.5 Summary of two-way ANOVA results for chemical and physical soil properties in site A of Rima'a Valley (P value)	103
5.6 Summary of two-way ANOVA results for chemical and physical soil properties in site B of Rima'a Valley (P value)	103
5.7 Soil bulk density, porosity, organic carbon, pH, total N, available P, and extractable K in relation to farming systems (mean) in the site A	105
5.8 Soil bulk density, porosity, organic carbon, pH, total N, available P, and extractable K in relation to farming systems (mean) in the site B	105
5.9 Table 5.9: Soil bulk density, porosity, organic carbon (SOC), pH, total N, available P, and extractable K in relation to soil depths (mean) in the site A	107
5.10 Table 5.10: Soil bulk density, porosity, organic carbon, pH, total N, available P, and extractable K in relation to soil depths (mean) in the site B	107



6.1	Economic indices (YR/ha) of alternative land use systems over 20 Years	147
6.2	Summary of sensitivity analysis results	150
6.2	Cont. Summary of Sensitivity Analysis Results	151
7.1	Description of the Variables included in the AF adoption model	165
7.2	Definition of variables used in soil equation (Descriptive statistics)	168
7.3	Changes on soil attributes due to agroforestry	169
7.4	Correlation between the five agroforestry activities	169
7.5	Alternative Soil Conservation Indices	170
7.6	Description of the variables of agroforestry activities (AF index)	171
7.7	Correlation between the five agroforestry activities	172
7.8	Description and measurement of variables in the models	173
7.9	Variables used in AF adoption model (Descriptive Statistics)	178
7.10	Results of logistic model	179
7.11	Correlations between the four Soil attributes	182
7.12	Improvement of soil attributes due to AFS (Descriptive Statistics)	183
7.13	Variables used in soil equation (Descriptive statistics)	184
7.14	Effects of agroforestry and other variables in soil conservation	186
7.15	Price and income variables in income equation (Descriptive statistics)	189
7.16	Non-price variables in net income equation (Descriptive Statistics)	189
7.17	Determinants of farmers' net income	192
7.18	Robustness Alternative Soil Conservation Indices	195
7.19	Summary statistics of the marginal and incremental value of soil conservation for the sample of agroforestry households in YR	195

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Conflicts between agriculture and forestry have led to the evolution of multiple-use agroforestry systems.	27
2.2	Economic Valuation Framework Used to Quantify the Impacts of Alternative Land Use Systems	58
3.1	Location of the study area, Mountainous Region of Rima'a Valley	63
3.2	Conceptual framework of the study	68
5.1	Soil bulk density in the six different land use systems in two sites	100
5.2	Soil porosity in the six different land use systems in two sites	102
5.3	Mean soil organic carbon content in six land use system in two sites	106
5.4	Mean soil organic carbon content in two soil depth in two sites	108
5.5	Mean total soil nitrogen concentration in six land use system in two sites	110
5.6	Mean total soil nitrogen concentration, at two soil depths in two sites	111
5.7	Mean soil available phosphorus concentration in six land use system in two sites	113
5.8	Mean soil available phosphorus concentration, at two soil depths in two sites	114
5.9	Mean soil Potassium (K) concentration in six land use system in two sites	116
5.10	Mean soil potassium concentration, at two soil depths in two sites	117
5.11	Mean soil pH in six land use system in two sites	118
6.1	Soil Depth changes over 20 years under the different land use systems	134
6.2	Predicted changes in soil carbon of the different land use systems	136
6.3	Changes in soil organic phosphorus	138
6.4	Changes in soil organic nitrogen	139
6.5	Predicted yield of maize under different systems	141

6.6	Predicted residues of maize under different systems	142
6.7	Predicted yield of coffee under different systems	143
6.8	Predicted yield of wood under S1, S2, and S3 systems	145
6.9	Predicted yield of mango monocropping systems	146



LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
4.1	Agrisilvicultural system of <i>Coffea arabica</i> with <i>Cordia african L.</i>	79
4.2	<i>Coffea arabica</i> with mixed trees	80
4.3	Spatial mixed cropping with multipurpose trees	81
4.4	Agrosilvopastoral systems of maize with <i>Ziziphus spina-christ L.</i>	84
4.5	Agrosilvopastoral system of mixed trees and crops	84
4.6	Arabian coffee (monocropping)	88
4.7	Mango farm monocropping system	90