Specially dedicated to my late mother,

Allahyarhamah Hjh. Rajmah Hj. Kassim

To my beloved Father,

Hj. Oyop Sabidin

Dearest siblings

Bang Long, Kak Idah, Kak Ziah, Ateh

Kak Maz, Bang Yan, Bang Yanz and Poey

for their support and once again providing encouragement throughout

this project..

Also not to forget,

to my lab mates and housemates

for giving me advice and always be there for me...

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

COMPLIANCE AUDIT OF SAFETY, HEALTH, ENVIRONMENT AND SERVICES AT SELECTED ECOTOURISM SITES IN MALAYSIA

By

SITI MASTURA BINTI OYOP

May 2006

Chairman : Professor Ir. Mohamed Daud, PhD.

Faculty : Engineering

applied on-site.

The purpose of this research is to evaluate the compliances on Safety, Health, Environmental and Services (SHE) parameters in ecotourism sites. A number of ecotourism sites in Peninsular Malaysia were chosen randomly for analysis. The success indicators were identified for each parameter and data of evaluation activities were gathered by using a checklist to quantify the performances of the 17 selected ecotourism sites. The evaluation focuses on the relevant practices and consistency of the environmental management system towards sustainable ecotourism that was

The result showed that in order of importance, the four dominant elements are safety (12.63 percent), services (11.48 percent), environmental (9.65 percent) and health (8.64 percent). There is 57.60 percent left as a residue of percentage and this explained most of operators in ecotourism sites did not fully addressed SHE management issues. Compliances of each ecotourism sites showed their differences

iii

by the indicators and therefore grouped into grades of ECO 1, ECO 2 and ECO 3. Site 10 was ranked as the highest level of ECO 3 and Site 4, Site 7, Site 8, Site 11, Site 12, Site 13, Site 14 and Site 15 were ranked as the lowest level of ECO 1.

Based on the ranking of ecotourism sites, the percentages showed small figures as it have been transformed into certainty factors in order to suit the ranking system for basic module in ESRG website. The values ranges from the lowest (-52.95 percent) for Site 7 to the highest (40.25 percent) for Site 10. A Compliance Performance Report on Site 1 was made as a sample towards corrective and preventive actions. The indicators used for this research were inserted into Moderator's Rating Page meant to rate ecotourism sites and to serve as an important diagnostic tool in evaluating the sites performance for SHE.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

PENILAIAN TAHAP KEPATUHAN KESELAMATAN, KESIHATAN, ALAM SEKITAR DAN PERKHIDMATAN DI KAWASAN EKOPELANCONGAN TERPILIH

DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

SITI MASTURA BINTI OYOP

Mei 2006

Pengerusi

: Professor Ir. Mohamed Daud, PhD.

Fakulti

: Kejuruteraan

Kajian ini dibuat bertujuan untuk menilai tahap kepatuhan kawasan ekopelancongan

terhadap parameter keselamatan, kesihatan, alam sekitar dan lain-lain perkhidmatan

(SHE). Beberapa kawasan ekopelancongan di Semenanjung Malaysia dipilih secara

rawak untuk dianalisa. Tahap pencapaian penunjuk-penunjuk telah dikenalpasti bagi

setiap parameter dan kesemua data terkumpul yang diperolehi dari senarai semakan

tahap perlakuan di setiap 17 kawasan ekopelancongan digabungkan bagi tujuan

mengenalpasti tahap pencapaian setiap penunjuk di kawasan-kawasan kajian.

Penilaian difokuskan terhadap penggunaan yang sesuai dan amalan sistem pengurusan

alam sekitar yang berterusan di kawasan kajian.

Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa susunan turutan tertinggi bagi empat unsur utama

ialah keselamatan (12.63 peratus), perkhidmatan (11.48 peratus), alam sekitar (9.65

peratus) dan kesihatan (8.64 peratus). Terdapat 57.60 baki peratusan dan ini

V

menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan pengurus di kawasan ekopelancongan tidak mengamalkan isu pengurusan SHE. Kepatuhan bagi setiap kawasan ekopelancongan menunjukkan perbezaan dari segi penunjuk dan ia telah dikumpul mengikut gred ECO 1, ECO 2 dan ECO 3. Kawasan 10 menduduki tahap tertinggi iaitu ECO 3 dan Kawasan 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14 dan 15 menduduki tahap yang paling rendah iaitu ECO3.

Berdasarkan pengkelasan kadaran kawasan-kawasan ekopelancongan, nilai peratusan berkurangan selepas diubah kepada faktor kepastian. Tujuannya ialah memastikan kesesuaiannya dengan model asas sistem kadaran yang terdapat di laman jaringan ESRG. Didapati nilai kadaran tersebut adalah dari tahap terendah (-52.95 peratus) bagi Kawasan 7 kepada tahap yang tertinggi (40.25 peratus) bagi Kawasan 10. Satu Laporan Perlakuan Kehendak ke atas Kawasan 1 telah dibuat sebagai sampel untuk tujuan tindakan pembetulan dan tindakan pencegahan. Penunjuk-penunjuk yang digunakan dalam kajian ini telah dimasukkan ke dalam *Moderator's Rating Page* bagi tujuan kadaran kawasan ekopelancongan dan seterusnya bertindak sebagai satu alat pengesan yang penting untuk menilai perlakuan terhadap kawasan dari segi pencapaian SHE.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank Professor Ir. Mohamed Daud, the chairman of my supervisory committee, for his persistent inspiration, patience in guidance, wise counsel, encouragement, kindness and various logistic supports throughout the stages of my study.

My deep appreciation and sincere gratitude towards two of my subcommittee members, Prof. Dato'. Dr. Ir. Mohd Zohadie Bardaie for his kind co-operation and thoughtfulness to improve my study and special appreciation to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdullah Mohd for his supervision and helpful comments to improve the research. His sincerity in assisting and continuous encouragement during the research will not be forgotten.

At last, my heartfelt thankfulness to my beloved father, Hj. Oyop and to my dearest siblings, Abdul Rashid, Rashidah, Nor Aziah and Norafidah. Thank you for always taking care of me and for their co-operation, assistance, advice and encouragement.

Most of all, praise be to the Almighty Allah S.W.T. I would not have been able to retain my patience and to continue until completion of my study in University Putra Malaysia and also peace unto Prophet Muhammad (pbuh) and his blessing.

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 29th May 2006 to conduct the final examination of Siti Mastura Binti Oyop on her Master of Science thesis entitled "Compliance Audit Of Safety, Health, Environment And Services At Selected Ecotourism Sites In Malaysia" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Nor Mariah Adam, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Desa Ahmad, PhD.

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Muhammad Salih Hj. Ja'afar

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Halimahtun Dato' Mohd Khalid, PhD

Professor Damai Sciences Sdn Bhd Kuala Lumpur (External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/ Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Mohamed Daud, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Dato' Mohd Zohadie Bardaie, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Abdullah Mohd, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor/Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I hereby declare	e that the thesi	s is based o	n my	orig	inal w	ork exce	pt fo	r quota	ition	s and
citations, which	h have been o	duly acknow	wledg	ged. I	also	declare	that	it has	not	been
previously or institutions.	concurrently	submitted	for	any	other	degree	at	UPM	or	other

SITI MASTURA BINTI OYOP

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
DED	OICATI	ON	ii
ABS	TRAC	Γ	iii
	TRAK		V
		LEDGEMENTS	VII
	ROVA		V111
	CLARA'	HON ABLES	X
		ABLES IGURES	XIV
		BBREVIATIONS	xvi xviii
CHA	APTER		
1	INTI	RODUCTION	
	1.1	Prelude	1
	1.2	Compliance Evaluation in Ecotourism Sites	2 5
	1.3		
	1.4		10 11
	1.5	1.4.1 Moderator's Rating Page Problem Statement	12
	1.6	Objectives of the Study	14
	1.7	Significance of the Study	15
	1.8	Expected Results of the Study	16
	1.9	Scope and Limitations	17
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1	Summary	19
	2.2.	Ecotourism	19
		2.2.1 Ecotourist	22
		2.2.2 Ecotourism Management	23
		2.2.3 Sustainable Development in Ecotourism Site	26
	2.2	2.2.4 Standard for Ecotourism Site	28
	2.3	Evaluation	30
		2.3.1 Evaluation Define2.3.2 Evaluation as Management Tool	30 32
		2.3.2 Evaluation as Management Tool2.3.3 Evaluating Ecotourism Sites	33
		2.3.4 Compliance Evaluation	35
		2.3.5 Compliance Management System	37
		2.3.6 Key Requirements of Compliances	39
		2.3.7 Benefits of Compliance Evaluation	40
	2.4	Benchmarking Indicators	40
		2.4.1 Purpose of Indicators	41

	2.5 Creating Checklist			45
	2.5.1	Legal	References	46
		2.5.2	Evaluation Plan	48
		2.5.3	Evaluation and Report of Performance	49
			Corrective Action	52
		2.5.5	Preventive Action	54
		2.5.6	Follow-up Evaluation	54
			Evaluation for Continual Improvement	55
	2.6		System	56
		-	ESOK Eco-rating Scheme	58
			Ranking System	59
	2.7		l Tool for Knowledge Based System in	
			urism Management	60
		2.7.1	Knowledge Based System Define	61
		2.7.2	· ·	62
	2.8	Evalua	ation in Expert System	64
			Environmental Compliance Self Appraisal	65
			ECSS	66
		2.8.3	Expert Advisory System for the ISO 9001	
			Quality System	66
	3.2	Develors 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.2.7 3.2.8 3.2.9 3.2.10 3.2.11	opment of Ecotourism Site Compliance Evaluating Model Ecotourism Site Review Selection of Parameters Selection of Indicators Identification of Evaluation Tools Identification of Definitional Limitations Preparation of the Checklist Compliance Evaluation Management Procedure Culling the data Use of Qualitative and Comparative Research Methods Identification of Weighting and Combining Categories Evaluation Research	69 70 71 73 76 77 79 83 83 83
		3.2.12	Reliability of Data	84
			Data Analysis	86
	3.3		Website	92
		3.3.1	Insertion and Application in Basic Module of Moderator's Rating Page	93
4			ND DISCUSSIONS	00
	Resul			98
	4.1.	-	ance Evaluation	98
		4.1.1	Percentages of Concentrated Parameters	101
			at Ecotourism Sites	101
		4.1.2	Percentages of Eco-score at Ecotourism Sites	101

		4.1.3 Rating and Ranking System at	
		Ecotourism Sites	115
		4.1.4 Scores of Condition	118
		4.1.5 Information of Evaluation Compliances in	
		Ecotourism Sites	119
	4.2	Compliance Performance Report	120
		4.2.1 Evaluation Report	120
		4.1.8 Ranking System of Moderator's Page	140
	Discu	ussions	147
	4.3	Final Action of the Compliance Result	148
	4.4	Discussion on Ranking System	151
5	CON	NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	Cond	clusion	153
	Reco	ommendations	155
	5.1	Future Research	156
	5.2	Usage in Future	157
DEE			150
KEF	EREN	CES	159
APP	ENDIC	CES	172
BIO	DATA	OF THE AUTHOR	312
LIST	OF P	UBLICATIONS	313

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Relative Weightage of Attributes	3
2.1	Definitions of Ecotourism Given by WA Tour Operators, 1992	20
2.2	Principles of Ecotourism	25
2.3	Follow-up Decision Matrix	55
3.1	Malaysian National Ecotourism Plan: Summary	70
3.2	Major and Minor Issues on the Response of Safety	71
3.3	Major and Minor Issues on the Response of Health	72
3.4	Major and Minor Issues on the Response of Environmental	72
3.5	Major and Minor Issues on the Response of Services	72
3.6	Indicators of Fourth Environmental Major Issues	74
3.7	Conventional Rating Scheme	77
3.8	Description of 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	81
3.9	Format of Calculation Procedure	88
3.10	Final Point Translation According to Grading System of ESRG Website	91
4.1	Percentages of Parameters and Eco-scores of 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	102
4.2	Observed and Predicted Analysis of Certainty Factors (CFs) and Plotting Positions	115
4.3	Final Cluster Centres of 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	116
4.4	Grades for the 17 Ecotourism Sites	117
4.5	Evaluation and Rating within 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	117
4.6	Indicators of Safety Compliances with Condition of Implemented with Significant Problems in Site 1	130

4.7	Indicators Safety Compliances with Condition of Not Implemented in Site 1	131
4.8	Indicators of Health Compliances with Condition of Implemented with Significant Problems in Site 1	132
4.9	Indicators of Health Compliances with Condition of Not Implemented in Site 1	133
4.10	Indicators of Environmental Compliances with Condition of Implemented with Significant Problems in Site 1	134
4.11	Indicators of Environmental Compliances with Condition of Not Implemented in Site 1	135
4.12	Indicators of Services Compliances with Condition of Implemented with Significant Problems in Site 1	137
4.13	Indicators of Services Compliances with Condition of Not Implemented in Site 1	137

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Schematic Overview of Schematic Process	49
2.2	Expanded Corrective Action Process	53
2.3	Basic Concept of an Expert System Function	64
3.1	Framework of Safety, Health, Environmental and Services Evaluation	69
3.2	Schematic Overview of Compliance Evaluation Management	80
3.3	System Layout of User Access	95
3.4	Administrator's Main Page	96
3.5	Admin Menu	96
3.6	Admin Page: Insertion of Issues	97
3.7	Admin Page: Insertion of Indicators	97
4.1	Location of the 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites for Evaluation Compliances	99
4.2	Percentages of the Safety, Health, Environmental and Services Compliances in 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	101
4.3	Percentages of Total Grade at the 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites in Peninsular Malaysia	102
4.4	Compliance Scores of the 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites in Peninsular Malaysia	105
4.5	Pareto Chart of Safety Compliance Scores of 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	106
4.6	Pareto Chart of Health Compliance Scores of 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	109
4.7	Pareto Chart of Environmental Compliance Scores of 17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	111

4.8	17 Selected Ecotourism Sites	113
4.9	Certainty Factors (CFs) Plot Plotting Graph	116
4.10	Histogram of Safety Compliances	121
4.11	Histogram of Health Compliances	122
4.12	Histogram of Environmental Compliances	123
4.13	Histogram of Services Compliances	124
4.14	Pareto Diagram of Evaluation Compliances on Safety Issues	126
4.15	Pareto Diagram of Evaluation Compliances on Health Issues	127
4.16	Pareto Diagram of Evaluation Compliances on Environmental Issues	128
4.17	Pareto Diagram of Evaluation Compliances on Services Issues	129
4.18	Section A of Safety Compliance	141
4.19	Section B of Health Compliance	142
4.20	Section C of Environmental	142
4.21	Section D of Services Compliance	143
4.22	Ranking System- Help Page	144
4.23	Moderator's Rating Notes	145
4.24	Moderator's Rating Results	146

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASP Active Server Page

BNA Bureau of National Affairs

CAPA Corrective/ Preventive Action

CERL Construction Engineering Research Laboratory

CFs Certainty Factors

CD Compact Disc

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ERP Emergency Response Plan

ESOK Ecotourism Society of Kenya

ESRG Expert System Research Group

FRIM Forest Research Institute of Malaysia

FSW Fish and Wildlife Service

IT Information Technology

LAC Limits of Acceptable Change

NEAP National Ecotourism Assessment Project

OECA Enforcement and Compliance Assurance

PVQ Pre- Visit Questionnaire

QMBA Quality Management Benchmark Assessment

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Sciences

TIES The International Ecotourism Society

UPM Universiti Putra Malaysia

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

USA United States of America

VERP Visitor Experience and Resource Protection Process

VIM Visitor Impact Management

WA Western Australia

WTO World Tourism Organisation

WWF-M World Wildlife Fund Malaysia

WWW World Wide Web