

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MEASURING THE SERVICE QUALITY OF SELANGOR AREA FARMERS' ORGANISATIONS

MOHAMMED RIZAL BIN ABD. HALID

FP 2007 22



MEASURING THE SERVICE QUALITY OF SELANGOR AREA FARMERS' ORGANISATIONS

By MOHAMMED RIZAL BIN ABD. HALID

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

July 2007



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

MEASURING THE SERVICE QUALITY OF SELANGOR AREA FARMERS' **ORGANISATIONS**

By

MOHAMMED RIZAL BIN ABD HALID

July 2007

Chairman: Professor Md. Ariff bin Hussien, PhD

Faculty

: Agriculture

government has recognized agriculture sector as a valuable prospect that must be explored. Within the Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-based Industry, the agriculture sector has been restructured in particular to improve its service quality. The objective of this study is to examine the effectiveness of the services provided by the Selangor Area

Farmers' Organisations (AFOs) under the Farmers Organisation Authority (FOA) of the

ii

Agriculture sector is the third engine of growth for the Malaysian economy. The

Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-based Industry to their members.

Farmers, under the Farmers' Organisations of the FOA have certain expectations about the services they received. These expectations are beliefs about the upcoming services, which when compared with the perceived actual service delivered will influence the level of satisfaction of farmers and the judgment of AFOs and FOA's overall service quality.

The most popular measure of service quality, the SERVQUAL was used in this study to evaluate the quality of service provided in terms of what farmers expect and what they actually received. The SERVQUAL consists of five dimensions of service quality namely tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy. A pair of 22-item likert scale questions were used in this study to measure the levels of expectation and perception of farmers.

An analysis covering 104 farmers of Selangor area farmers' associations were selected as respondents. Frequency counts and percentages were used to analyse the demographic traits of the respondents. Gap Analysis was used to identify the differences between farmers' expectations and perceptions. Factor analysis was used to determine the underlying patterns of the statements made in the 22-items of the SERVQUAL instrument and a logistic regression analysis was conducted to further examine the relationship among those underlying patterns.

This study revealed that there was an overall service quality gap between expectations and perceptions of -0.6306. The responsiveness dimension represented the lowest score (-0.6899) on the SERVQUAL scale, followed by tangibles (-0.6779), reliability (-



0.6500), assurance (-0.5697) and empathy (-0.5654). Results of the factor analysis show that three factors had eigenvalue greater than 1.0. These three factors were work ethics (11.812), customer care (1.036) and appearance (1.008). Results of the logistic regression analysis show the odds ratio of those three factors reveals that when holding all other independent variables constant, for each one-point increase on the five-point work ethics, customer care and appearance scale, there is a 7.880, 7.909 and 6.382 times more likely that members of the Selangor Area Farmers' Organisation will be satisfied with the quality of services provided respectively.

Results from the gap analysis can provide important information for the FOA to plan and develop more programs for improving the quality of services delivered by the FO's to the farmers. With such programs, it would help to improve service quality of AFOs so that the gap between expectations and perceptions among the farmers can be narrowed. Meanwhile, results obtained in the factor analysis and logistic regression provided an extra data and information to the Area Farmers' Organisations in Selangor by revealing the unseen factors that could be useful to them. The analysis founded that, factors such as work ethics, customer care and appearance are significant to service quality. FOA should applied these factors as an indicator to enhance the service quality.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

MENGUKUR TAHAP KUALITI PERKHIDMATAN PERTUBUHAN PELADANG KAWASAN DI SELANGOR

Oleh

MOHAMMED RIZAL BIN ABD HALID

Julai 2007

Pengerusi: Profesor Md. Ariff bin Hussien, PhD

Fakulti : Pertanian

Di Malaysia, sektor pertanian menjadi sumber pendapatan yang ketiga kepada

pertumbuhan ekonomi negara. Sektor pertanian juga telah dikenal pasti oleh kerajaan

sebagai prospek yang berharga dan perlu dititikberatkan. Justeru itu, kualiti

perkhidmatan sektor pertanian telah distruktur semula di bawah tanggung jawab

Kementerian Pertanian dan Industri Asas Tani. Objektif kajian ini ialah untuk menilai,

keberkesanan kualiti perkhidmatan Pertubuhan Peladang Kawasan (PPK) di Selangor di

bawah Lembaga Pertubuhan Perladang (LPP) Kementerian Pertanian dan Sumber Asas

Tani kepada ahli-ahli pertubuhan peladang bernaung di bawahnya.

v

Para petani yang menjadi ahli pertubuhan peladang mempunyai jangkaan tersendiri semasa menerima perkhidmatan daripada Lembaga Pertubuhan Peladang. Disini, jangkaan adalah bermaksud sesuatu kepercayaan mengenai perkara yang akan diterima ketika ingin mendapatkan sesuatu perkhidmatan. Jangkaan ini pula akan dibandingkan dengan persepsi petani semasa menerima perkhidmatan yang sebenar ketika disampaikan oleh PPK dan LPP. Perbandingan ini dilakukan untuk mengenal pasti tahap kepuasan para petani dan kualiti perkhidmatan keseluruhan PPK di Selangor dan LPP itu sendiri.

SERVQUAL, satu kaedah yang popular untuk mengukur kualiti perkhidmatan telah digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk mengukur kualiti perkhidmatan dalam aspek jangkaan para petani dan penerimaan sebenar perkhidmatan. SERVQUAL ini terdiri daripada lima dimensi perkhidmatan berkualiti iaitu, ketaraan, kebolehpercayaan, jaminan, empati dan tangungjawab. Di dalam kajian ini, 22-item pasangan soalan telah digunakan dalam borang soal-selidik untuk bahagian jangkaan dan sebahagian lagi menyatakan persepsi para petani.

Sebanyak 104 ahli persatuan peladang di sekitar Selangor telah dipilih sebagai reaponden. Ciri – ciri demografi responden dianalisa dengan menggunakan kiraan frekuansi dan peratusan. Manakala bagi mengenalpasti perbezaan antara persepsi dan jangkaan para petani kaedah analisis jurang telah digunakan. Analisis faktor pula digunakan bagi menilai corak penilaian ke atas 22-item kenyataan SERVQUAL dan analisis regressi logistik telah diaplikasi bagi mempelajari dengan lebih mendalam mengenai hubungkait anatara corak penilaian yang didapati.



Kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa jurang purata bagi keseluruhan perkhidmatan berkualiti di antara jangkaan dan persepsi adalah -0.6306. Dimensi tanggungjawab mencatatkan skor (-0.6899) yang terendah pada skala SERVQUAL. Ini diikuti pula oleh dimensi ciri ketaraan (-0.6779), kebolehpercayaan (-0.6500), jaminan (-0.5697) dan akhir sekali dimensi empati (-0.5654). Keputusan bagi analisis faktor pula telah menemui tiga faktor baru yang mempunyai kiraan eigenvalue yang melebihi daripada 1.0. Faktor- faktor ini telah dinamakan iaitu etika kerja (11.812), perhatian terhadap pelanggan (1.036) dan penampilan (1.008). Keputusan bagi analisis regressi logistik pula mendapati ratio perubahan kepuasan kualiti perkhidmatan oleh ahli-ahli pertubuhan peladang kawasan di Selangor adalah 7.880, 7.909 dan 6.382 kali lebih bagi setiap kenaikan satu aras pada pemboleh ubah etika kerja, perhatian terhadap pelanggan dan penampilan yang berskala lima-aras apabila dianggap nilai pemboleh ubah- pemboleh ubah merdeka yang lain adalah sekata.

Hasil daripada keputusan analisis jurang, dapat menyediakan maklumat penting kepada LPP untuk merancang dan membina lebih banyak lagi progam yang dapat memperbaiki tahap perkhidmatan yang diberikan kepada petani-petani oleh pertubuhan-pertubuhan peladang dibawah naungannya. Dengan adanya program berkenaan kualiti perkhidmatan PPK dan LPP dapat ditingkatkan. Secara tidak langsung jurang antara jangkaan dan persepsi para petani dapat dikurangkan. Sementara itu keputusan daripada analisis faktor dan analisis regressi logistik menyediakan maklumat dan data tambahan dengan mendedahkan faktor-faktor yang mungkin terlepas pandang tetapi berguna kepada Pertubuhan Peladang Kawasan di Selangor. Analisis-analisis ini telah menemui faktor-faktor seperti etika kerja, perhatian terhadap pelanggan dan penampilan adalah penting



terhadap kuali perkhidmatan. Faktor-faktor ini perlulah dijadikan sebagai indikator oleh LPP bagi untuk menambahbaikan kualiti perkhidmatannya.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank u Allah, god to whom i owe my life, health and strength without his power none of this would be possible.

On this oppurtinity I also would like to express my appreaciation and thanks to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Md. Ariff Bin Hussien and my committee members Associate Professor Dr. Zainal Abidin bin Mohamed and Dr. Amin Mahir bin Abdullah for their valuable guidance, comments, advices and dedication.

I would like to express my deepest affection to my beloved family and the one whom I love from deep of my heart, because they are my source of inspiration and strength. May hapiness be with us forever.

My gratitude is due also to my friends, Nalini, Along, Ayen, Sue, Abdullah, Golnaz, Majid and others whom I am greatly indebted. Their help, encouragement and moral support are very much appreciated in making this study a sucess.

Finally, I would like thanks to all the staffs in Department of Agribusiness and Information System for their kindness and helpfulness to me throughout my study in UPM.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 06th July 2007 to conduct the final examination of Mohammed Rizal Bin Abd Halid on his Master of Science thesis entitled "Measuring The Service Quality of Selangor Area Farmers' Organisations" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the degree of Master of Science.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohd. Ghazali Mohayidin, PhD

Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohd Mansor Ismail, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Norsida Man, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Mohd Noor Mohd Shariff, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Business Management Universiti Utara Malaysia (External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 24 October 2007



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Md. Arif bin Hussien, PhD

Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Zainal Abidin bin Mohamed, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Amin Mahir bin Abdullah, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 15 November 2007



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

MOHAMMED RIZAL BIN ABD HALID

Date: 23 October 2007



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Al Al Al Dl Ll	STRACT STRAK KNOWLEDGEMENTS PROVAL CLARATION T OF TABLES T OF FIGURES T OF ABBREVIATIONS	Page ii v ix x xii xvi xix xx
Cl	APTER	
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Overview of Malaysia Agriculture Sector	1
	1.2 Food Trade	6
	1.3 National Agriculture Policy	7
	1.3.1 NAP1 (1984-1991)	7
	1.3.2 NAP2 (1992-1996)	8
	1.3.3 NAP3 (1998 – 2010)	9
	1.4 Ministry of Agriculture and Agro Based Industry	11
	1.5 Ministry of Agriculture Incorporated (MoA Inc.)	13
	1.5.1 MoA Inc. Organisation Structure	14
	1.6 The Farmers Organisation Authorities	15
	1.6.1 Organisation Structure	15
	1.6.2 The Functions of FOA	18
	1.7 Improving the Delivery System1.8 Problem Statement	23
		25 28
	1.9 Study Objectives1.10 The Significance of the Study	28 28
	1.10 The Significance of the Study	20
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Delivery System	30
	2.2 Dimension of Delivery System	34
	2.3 The Different of Service Delivery Setting and Manufacturing Delivery	
	Setting	36
	2.4 Delivery System for Agricultural Extension	36
	2.5 The Definitions of Satisfaction	37
	2.6 Customer Satisfaction	38
	2.7 The Definitions of Quality	40
	2.8 Service Quality	40



		Relationship Between Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction	42
		Service Quality Models	45
		Service Quality Dimensions	75
		2 The Conceptual Model	79
	2.13	3 Conclusion	84
3		ГНОDOLOGY	
	3.1	The Conceptual Framework	85
	3.2	Data Analysis	89
		3.2.1 Descriptive Analysis	89
		3.2.2 Gap Analysis	89
		3.2.3 Factor Analysis	90
	3.3	Structure of The Questionnaires	92
		3.3.1 The Questionnaire Design	92
	3.4	Data Source	93
	3.5	Sampling Design	94
	3.6	The Sample Size	94
	3.7	Method of Analysis	95
4		SULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
		Demographic Characteristic	96
	4.2	1	99
		4.2.1 Farmers Contact With Other Departments or Agencies Inside	100
		the Ministry of Agriculture and Agro based Industry	103
		4.2.2 Ranking of Dimensions According to Their Importance to	
		Farmers	105
	4.3	Expectations and Perceptions of the Service Quality (Total Sampled	
		Population)	106
		4.3.1 Expectations and Perceptions of The Service Quality According	
		To Type of Project	113
		4.3.2 Comparison Between The Perception and Expectation Mean	
		Scores Along The SERVQUAL Dimensions	130
		4.3.3 Selangor Area Farmers' Organisationa Service Gap According	
		To Type Of Project Along The SERVQUAL Dimensions	132
	4.4	Factor Analysis	144
		4.4.1 Measurement of Sampling Adequacy and Significant of	
		Correlation Matrix	145
		4.4.2 Communality	146
		4.4.3 Results of Factor Analysis	148
	4.5	J J	152
	4.6	Logistic Regression Analysis	153



5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
	5.1 Summary	157	
	5.2 Conclusion	160	
	5.3 Policy Implications	162	
	5.4 Recommendations for Future Study	165	
	5.5 Research Limitations	167	
REFERENCES			
REFERENCES APPENDICES	181		
BI	ODATA OF THE AUTHOR	188	



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Production According to Sector, 1980-2005 (% of GDP)	3
1.2	Exports Of Agriculture Sector (RM '000)	4
1.3	Malaysia Per Capita Food Consumption 1985-2010 (kg)	6
1.4	Exports and Imports of Food, 1990-2004 (RM Billion)	7
1.5	Numbers of FOs in 2003	19
4.1	Respondents Profile	97
4.2	Years of Involvement in Agriculture	100
4.3	Frequency of Respondents Visit to FOA	100
4.4	Services Received By the Respondents	100
4.5	Courses Attended By Respondents	102
4.6	Frequency of contact Farmers' with other Departments in Ministry of Agriculture and Agro based Industry	104
4.7	Points Ranking According to the Importance	105
4.8	Mean level of Farmers' Expectation and Perception and Service Gap Scores of the Service Quality (total sampled populations)	107
4.9	The Five Highest Expectations	109
4.10	The Five Lowest Expectations	109
4.11	The Five Highest Perceptions	111
4.12	The Five Lowest Perceptions	111
4.13	The Five Largest Service Gaps	112
4.14	The Five Smallest Service Gaps	112
4.15	Mean level of Farmers' Expectation and Perception and Service Gap Scores of the Service Quality (Animal husbandry)	114



4.16	Scores of the Service Quality (Vegetables)	116
4.17	Mean level of Farmers' Expectation and Perception and Service Gap Scores of the Service Quality (Fruits cultivation)	118
4.18	Mean level of Farmers' Expectation and Perception and Service Gap Scores of the Service Quality (Aquaculture)	121
4.19	Mean level of Farmers' Expectation and Perception and Service Gap Scores of the Service Quality (Food processing)	123
4.20	Mean level of Farmers' Expectation and Perception and Service Gap Scores of the Service Quality (Oil palm cultivation)	125
4.21	Mean level of Farmers' Expectation and Perception and Service Gap Scores of the Service Quality (Paddy farming)	127
4.22	Mean level of Farmers' Expectation and Perception and Service Gap Scores of the Service Quality (Others)	129
4.23	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Total sampled populations)	131
4.24	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Animal husbandry)	133
4.25	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Vegetables)	134
4.26	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Fruits cultivation)	136
4.27	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Aquaculture)	138
4.28	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Food processing)	139
4.29	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Oil palm cultivation)	141
4.30	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Paddy farming)	142
4.31	Means Perception and Expectation on Service Quality Dimensions (Others)	143



4.32	KMO and Bartlett's Test	146
4.33	Communalities	147
4.34	Variable Loadings on Factors and Eigenvalue	151
4.35	Internal Reliability Analysis	152
4.36	Results of Logistic Regression Analysis	155
4.37	Results of Hosmer and Lemeshow Test	156



LIST OF FIGURES

Figui	·e	Page
1.1	The Organisation Structure of FOA	17
2.1	Service Quality Model	47
2.2	Extended Model of Service Quality	48
2.3	Attribute Service Quality Model	50
2.4	Synthesized Model of Service Quality	51
2.5	Value and Attitude in Negative Disconfirmation Model	53
2.6	IT Alignment Model	56
2.7	Attribute Based Model and Overall Affect Model	58
2.8	Satisfaction-Service Quality Model	59
2.9	PCP Attribute Model	61
2.10	Modified Model	63
2.11	Model of Service Quality Customer Value and Customer Satisfaction	64
2.12	Antecedents and Mediator Model	65
2.13	Internal Service Quality Model	66
2.14	Data Envelope Analysis Service Quality Model	68
2.15	Model of Service Quality in Internet Banking	69
2.16	IT-Based Service Quality Model	71
2.17	e-Service Quality Model	72
2.18	The Original Gap Model	83
3.1	Conceptual Framework	88



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFTA ASEAN Free Trade Area

AFO Area Farmers Organisation

BPM Agriculture Bank of Malaysia

CEPT Common Effective Preferential Tariff

DOA Department of Agriculture

DOF Department of Fisheries

DOS Department of Statistic

DVS Department of Veterinary Services

EPU Economic Planning Unit

FAMA Federal Agriculture Marketing Authority

FOs Farmer Organisations

FOA Farmers' Organisation Authority

IADPs Integrated Agricultural Development Projects

KADA Kemubu Agricultural Development Association

LKIM Fisheries Development Authority of Malaysia

MADA Muda Agricultural Development Association

MARDI Malaysian Agricultural Research Development Institute

MOF Ministry of Finance

NAFAS National Farmers Association

NAP National Agriculture Policy

NEP New Economic Policy

PMUs Project Management Units



SFO State Farmers Organsation

WTO World Trade Organization



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview of Malaysian Agriculture Sector

The agricultural sector was the major provider for the Malaysian economy for three decades since independence and was the driving force behind the economic growth of the country. The contribution of this sector was used to finance the development of the country, which progressively led to the transformation of the economy towards industrialisation. Rapid development in the industrial sector during the last two decades had led to a decline in the sector's relative contribution to national income, export earnings, employment and investments (Johan, 2003).

Even as Malaysia moves towards becoming a highly globalised K-economy, the agricultural sector remains a significant sector of the economy. The implementation of three National Agricultural Policies (NAP1, NAP2 and NAP3) since 1984 had shown that the sector has achieved a growth rate of 3.2 per cent per annum for the previous 1985-1995 periods (NAP3, executive summary 1998-2010).

During the economic and financial crisis in July, 1997 agriculture was the saviour of the Malaysia economy. Its contribution to GDP in terms of absolute value increased slightly, from RM 17.1 billion in 1995 to RM 18.2 billion in 2000 (at 1987 prices). (8th Malaysia Plan). Johan (2003) stated that, the agriculture sector continues to be important as a source of income and livelihood to a majority of the rural population. This sector also



will continue to be an important sector for the government programs in poverty alleviation in particular, for the hard-core poverty in the agricultural sector. These programs will be implemented especially on paddy farmers, coconut and rubber smallholders, fishermen and other agricultural workers. Finally, the agricultural sector will continue to contribute to national income, employment, export earnings, government revenue and thereby to sustainable development of Malaysian economic.

Recently, the government has identified the agricultural sector as the third engine of economic growth behind the manufacturing sector and services sector (Table 1.1). As such it is considered as a key or strategic sector in the economy, to enhance economic development of the nation. It is the aim of the government that the agricultural sector be transformed from a sector that is associated with the rural population and poverty into a modern and commercially viable sector of high returns (Economic report, 2004/2005).

To achieve the above objective, agricultural projects and programs should be undertaken on a more commercial basis with greater private sector participation, utilizing modern methods and management (Said, 2000). Certain projects such as Agro- based food production, fisheries, vegetables, tropical fruits and floriculture have been identified as having great potentials for the export market. (Table 1.2).



Table 1.1: Production according to sector, 1980-2005 (% of GDP)

Caston	Year						
Sector	1980	1990	2000	2003	2004(p)	2005(f)	
Services	43.1	46.8	53.9	57.6	57.4	57.8	
Manufacturing	45	24.6	31.9	30.8	31.6	31.5	
Agriculture	21	16.3	8.9	8.7	8.5	8.4	
Mining	12.1	9.4	7.3	7.2	7	7	
Construction	2.7	3.5	3.3	3.2	2.9	2.8	

Excludes import duties and bank service charge (p) Preliminary (f)

Forecast

Sources: EPU, DOS

