



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR RICE CULTIVATION ON ACID
SULFATE SOILS**

TOTOK SUSWANTO

FP 2005 39

A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR RICE CULTIVATION ON ACID SULFATE SOILS

TOTOK SUSWANTO

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

2005



**A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR RICE CULTIVATION
ON ACID SULFATE SOILS**

By

TOTOK SUSWANTO

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

January 2005



Dedicated to

My Parents

**My lovely wife,
Ermina Sari**

**My lovely son,
Nabiha Tegar Suswanto**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR RICE CULTIVATION
ON ACID SULFATE SOILS**

By

TOTOK SUSWANTO

January 2005

Chairman : Professor J. Shamshuddin, PhD

Faculty : Agriculture

Acid sulfate soils pose chemical, biological and physical problems for rice cultivation. The proposed amelioration for the study includes: 1) correct water management; 2) applying lime at appropriate rate and time; and 3) adequate fertilizer application. Those procedures were organized into an integrated decision support system (DSS), which used analytical methods and models. Main component for the model was production function as a response of those amelioration processes. The model used that function to simulate yield and in advance step, doing micro economic analysis by calculating profit in order to find the maximum one.

In delivering production function, glasshouse experiment has been conducted using soil from acid sulfate soil area in Jelawat Rusa Irrigation Scheme, under Project Kemasin-Semerak (PERKASA), Kelantan. The result of glasshouse experiment showed that yield was significantly affected by the combination of lime and fertilizer



(P<0.02). Water management and any of its combination did not affect yield. The highest yield was found on combination of GML at rate 4 t/ha using maximum fertilizer rate. Ameliorative assessment of lime and fertilizer have improved yield shown by increased soil quality and a better plant performance. Liming has increased soil pH, exchangeable Ca and exchangeable Mg, and these have decreased toxicity caused by of Al and Fe. Liming and water management has also improved rice yield by increasing grain weight and decreasing empty spikelet number.

Field experiments, which include experimental plot and demonstration plot, have been conducted in that area for 2 seasons with the purpose of validating glasshouse experiment. Statistical analysis showed that yield of plot experiment on the first season was not significantly different (P>0.12). It was primarily because the first season of field experiment was disturbed by flood due to high rainfall and poor drainage system at the location. It may be also because lime is still not stably interacted with the soils to increase soil pH. Crop cutting test (CCT) in the second season of the field experiment showed a significant effect of lime treatment on the yield (P<0.07). The highest yield of 7.52 t/ha was found on treatment 6 using application of GML at 4 t/ha in combination with organic-based fertilizer (JITU). Treatment 5 using application of GML at 8 t/ha resulted on yield of 7.22 t/ha, which was not different from treatment 6 and this become the second highest value. Using field experiment, field adjusting factor (FAF) has been developed. It showed percentage of achieved yield on field trial from the potential yield (glasshouse experiment). The value of FAF was 0.40, which means only 40% of potential yield will be gained on the field. The 60% loss may be because of technical problems,



inefficient harvester machines, incorrect fertilizer applications, pest and disease damages.

Response curve as production function was formed using TableCurve 3D v4.0. From surface fitting process, an equation has been found ($P<0.01$). It consists of 4 parameters which were also significant at the same α of 5.00%. The value of r^2 of the equation was 0.71, while the curve on initial data and the equation is quite similar.

A model, RiCASS, used predicted yield from the equation to calculate the cost of inputs both fixed and variable, and to calculate the profit. It ran simulation under various inputs to find the optimum level that result in maximum profit under 4 different scenarios. Simulation showed that for the first general scenario, maximum profit margin was found to be \$ 2,847/ha from predicted yield at 6.15 t/ha. It used lime at the rate of 6.50 t/ha and fertilizer index of 10. The second scenario in which total cost was limited to RM 1,500, maximum profit was found to be RM 2,024. Based on farmer's cost, the maximum profit was found to be RM 3,624, while under limitation of cost below RM 1,000, the profit was found at RM 3,263.

Validation carried out to evaluate the equation which consisted of paired comparison t-test and mean estimation error. Result showed that the equation was able to represent actual yield shown by insignificant difference with field experiment yield (average $P>0.20$) and small estimation error (2%).

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Masters Sains

**SISTEM PENUNJANG KEPUTUSAN UNTUK PENANAMAN PADI
DI TANAH ASID SULFAT**

Oleh

TOTOK SUSWANTO

January 2005

Pengerusi : Professor J. Shamshuddin, PhD

Fakulti : Pertanian

Tanah asid sulfat menimbulkan masalah kimia, biologi dan fizikal untuk penanaman padi. Pemuliharaan yang diusulkan dalam kajian ini meliputi: 1) pengurusan air yang betul; 2) penggunaan kapur pada kadar dan masa yang sesuai dan 3) penggunaan baja yang mencukupi. Prosedur tersebut boleh dikumpulkan kepada sistem penyokong keputusan terintegrasi (DSS), yang menggunakan kaedah analisis dan model. Komponen utama model adalah fungsi produksi sebagai tindakbalas kepada proses pemuliharaan tersebut. Model menggunakan fungsi tersebut untuk mensimulasi hasil pengeluaran dan pada langkah selanjutnya, melakukan analisis kewangan dengan mengira keuntungan bagi mendapatkan tahap yang maksimum.

Untuk membuat fungsi produksi, kajian rumah kaca telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan tanah dari kawasan asid sulfat di Rancangan Pengairan Jelawat Rusa, di bawah Projek Kemasin Semerak (PERKASA), Kelantan. Hasil dari kajian rumah

kaca menunjukkan bahawa hasil pengeluaran dipengaruhi oleh kombinasi dari faktor kapur dan baja ($P<0.02$). Pengurusan air dan semua kombinasinya tidak mempengaruhi hasil pengeluaran. Hasil pengeluaran paling tinggi diperolehi dengan menggunakan GML pada kadar 4 t/ha pada kadar baja maksimum. Pembalikpulihan dengan menggunakan kapur dan baja telah meningkatkan hasil pengeluaran dengan pemberian pada kualiti tanah dan tanaman. Pengapuran telah meningkatkan pH tanah, Ca tukar ganti, Mg tukar ganti dan ini menurunkan toksikan Al and Fe. Pengapuran dan pengurusan air juga telah meningkatkan hasil pengeluaran dengan peningkatan pada berat bijian dan penurunan pada jumlah spikelet kosong.

Kajian di ladang, yang terdiri dari plot kajian dan plot demonstrasi, telah dilaksanakan pada kawasan tersebut untuk 2 musim dengan tujuan melakukan pengesahan terhadap kajian rumah kaca. Analisis statistik menunjukkan bahawa hasil pengeluaran dari plot kajian pada musim pertama tidak terlalu berbeza ($P>0.12$). Hal ini disebabkan musim pertama dari kajian telah diganggu oleh banjir kerana taburan hujan yang tinggi dan sistem pengairan yang tidak baik. Hal itu mungkin juga disebabkan kapur yang masih belum stabil berinteraksi dengan tanah untuk menaikkan pH. Musim kedua dari kajian ladang menunjukkan bahawa CCT pada plot kajian menunjukkan kesan tindakbalas kapur yang berarti ($P<0.07$). Nilai yang tertinggi ada pada rawatan 6 yang menggunakan GML pada 4 t/ha dengan baja organik (JITU). Rawatan 5, menggunakan GML pada 8 t/ha, tidak terlalu berbeza dengan rawatan 6 dan ianya merupakan nilai tertinggi kedua. Faktor koreksi ladang (FAF) telah dirumuskan dari pada kajian di ladang. Nilai itu menunjukkan kadar hasil pengeluaran dari ladang yang diperolehi dibandingkan dengan hasil

pengeluaran potensi (kajian rumah kaca). Nilai FAF adalah 0.40 yang bererti hanya 40% dari hasil pengeluaran potensi akan dicapai di ladang. Baki 60% hilang adalah kerana masalah teknik, mesin penuai yang tidak efisien, penggunaan baja yang tidak sesuai, hama dan penyakit.

Lengkuk sebagai fungsi produksi telah dibina dengan menggunakan TableCurve 3D v4.0. Dari proses *fitting*, persamaan telah diperolehi dengan bererti ($P<0.01$). Persamaan itu terdiri daripada 4 parameter yang juga bererti pada α yang sama 5.00%. Nilai r^2 dari persamaan adalah 0.71 manakala lengkuk data permulaan dan lengkuk dari persaman cukup serupa.

RiCASS yang menggunakan pengiraan hasil pengeluaran dari persamaan tersebut mengira kos tetap dan kos pemboleh-ubah dan mengira keuntungan. RiCASS melakukan simulasi dengan berbagai tahap input untuk mencari nilai penggunaan yang optimum dimana ianya menghasilkan keuntungan maksimum dengan 4 pendekatan yang berbeza. Simulasi pada pendekatan umum pertama menunjukkan maksimum keuntungan pada RM 2,847/ha dari perkiraan hasil pengeluaran pada 6.15 t/ha. Hasil itu dicapai dengan menggunakan kapur pada kadar 6.50 t/ha dan indeks baja 10. Pendekatan kedua dimana kos total di bawah RM 1,500, keuntungan maksimum diperolehi ialah pada RM 2,024. Berdasarkan pengeluaran petani, keuntungan maksimum diperolehi pada RM 3,624 manakala dengan batasan biaya di bawah RM 1,000, keuntungan maksimum diperolehi pada RM 3,263.

Pengesahan telah dijalankan untuk menilai persamaan yang terdiri dari perbandingan berpasangan t-test dan *mean estimation error*. Hasil menunjukkan bahawa persaman boleh mewakili hasil pengeluaran sebenar, ditunjukkan dengan perbezaan yang tidak bererti model dengan hasil pengeluaran sebenar (purata $P>0.20$) dan estimasi kesalahan yang kecil (2%).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation and sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Shamshuddin Jusop for his invaluable guidance, suggestions, constructive criticisms and constant encouragement throughout the course of study and in the preparation of this thesis. My deep appreciation and gratitude also go to Dr. Syed Omar Syed Rastan, Dr. Christopher Teh Boon Sung and Mr. Peli Mat, members of my supervisory committee for their invaluable guidance and suggestions, constructive criticisms and encouragement.

I would like to thank the Department of Land Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing the research facilities. I wish to thank to Mr Alias Tahar, Mr. Yusuf, Mr. Rahim, Mr. Jamil for kind cooperation during experiment. Appreciation also goes to research assistant, Mrs. Rozita and Mrs. Liza for helping in soil analysis.

I would like to thank the Project Kemasin Semerak (PERKASA) for providing the research facilities for field experiment in Kelantan. I wish to thank to Mr. Mohamad Zahari Awang, Mr. Mohd. Mokhtar Yunus and Mr. Mohd Nasir Ibrahim for kind cooperation during experiment. Appreciation also goes to the collaboration farmer, Mr. Che Lah bin Che Ngah for helping and maintaining the research plot.

Last but not the least, I am greatly indebted to my mother, Mrs. Suliyanti, and my father, Mr. Kustomo for their great loving support. Appreciation also goes to my father in law, Mr. Sofyan Tana and mother in law, Mrs. Gusmi Harwati. I wish to

express my deepest appreciation to my lovely wife, Mrs. Ermina Sari, my first son, Nabiha Tegar Suswanto, my sister Mrs. Syanti Dewi Yusnita and my little brother Reza Bayu Wardhana, for their encouragement. Special thanks to Mr. Joko Susilo Utomo who kindly offered help and encouragement during my study.

I also would like to thank all my friends (Markus, Diana, Zul, Dr. Prama, Antarjo, Wahyu) who gave me encouragement and support during the period of my study at Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM).

Finally, I am grateful to the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment Malaysia through the Intensification Research in Priority Areas (IRPA) for providing the scholarship for me to complete my study.



I certify that an Examination Committee met 26th January 2005, to conduct the final examination of Totok Suswanto on his Master of Science thesis entitled "A Decision Support System for Rice Cultivation on Acid Sulfate Soils" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Mohamed Hanafi Musa, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Anuar Abd. Rahim, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ahmad Husni Mohd Hanif, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Sahibin Abd. Rahim, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(Independent Examiner)

GULAM RUSUL RAHMAT ALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 22 APR 2005



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Shamshuddin Jusop, PhD

Professor

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Syed Omar Syed Rastan, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

Christopher Teh Boon Sung, PhD

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

Peli Mat

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD
Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 22 APR 2005

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

TOTOK SUSWANTO

Date: 20 APRIL 2005



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	x
APROVAL	xii
DECLARATION	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF PLATES	xxi
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1.1
1.2 Objectives	1.3
1.3 Hypothesis	1.3
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Acid Sulfate Soil	2.1
2.2 Rice	2.5
2.3 Water Management and Rice Cultivation	2.14
2.4 Liming	2.16
2.5 Fertilizer Application	2.18
2.6 Decision Support System	2.24
2.7 Micro Economic Theory	2.30
3 ESTABLISHMENT OF YIELD RESPONSE	
3.1 Site Characterization of the Field Trials	3.1
3.2 Methodology	3.6
3.2.1 Glasshouse Experiment	3.6
3.2.2 Field Trials	3.13
3.2.3 Soil Analysis	3.15
3.3 Results and Discussion	3.17
3.3.1 Glasshouse Experiment	3.17
3.3.2 Field Trials	3.27
3.4 Yield Response	3.32
3.5 Conclusion	3.34
4 DECISION MODEL FOR PROFIT MAXIMIZATION	
4.1 Curve Equation Development	4.1
4.2 Micro Economic Analysis	4.3
4.2.1 Fixed Cost	4.4
4.2.2 Variable Cost	4.5
4.2.3 Profit Analysis on Glasshouse Experiment	4.7

4.3	Model Development	4.9
4.3.1	Component and Flowchart of the System	4.10
4.3.2	Lime Application Submodel	4.16
4.3.3	Fertilizer Application Submodel	4.18
4.3.4	Scenario of Simulation	4.23
4.4	Result and Discussion	4.25
4.4.1	Output of RiCASS Simulation	4.25
4.4.2	General Discussion	4.27
4.5	Validation of the Model	4.30
4.5.1	Paired Comparison t-test	4.31
4.5.2	Mean Estimation Error	4.32

5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1	Conclusion	5.1
5.2	Recommendation	5.2

REFERENCES

R.1

APPENDICES

A	Analysis of Variance Table (ANOVA)	A.1
1.	Yield on glass house experiment	A.1
2.	Yield on field experiment season 1	A.3
3.	Yield on field experiment season 2	A.4
4.	Surface-Fit Equation	A.5
5.	Tiller number	A.6
6.	Panicle number	A.8
7.	Length of panicle	A.10
8.	Empty spikelet number	A.11
9.	Weight of 1000 seeds	A.13
10.	Soil pH	A.14
11.	Exchangeable Ca	A.15
12.	Exchangeable Mg	A.16
13.	Iron (Fe)	A.17
14.	Aluminum (Al)	A.18
B	Result of water analysis	A.19
C	RiCASS User Manual	A.20

BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR

B.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
2.1	Water level requirement of rice	2.15
2.2	Common lime materials	2.17
2.3	Some types of agricultural decision support system (DSS) currently in use or under development	2.28
2.4	Rice-based decision support systems currently in use or under development	2.29
3.1	Estimated rice yield for the Jelawat-Rusa Irrigation Scheme 1996-1999	3.3
3.2	Soil chemical property in experiment area, Jelawat Rusa Irrigation Scheme	3.4
3.3	Estimation on fertilizer requirement was based on gap between target and initial yield and crop nutrient uptake	3.10
3.4	List on component and rate of fertilizer, schedule of application was based on critical time during plant growth	3.12
3.5	Summary of treatments on glasshouse experiment	3.13
3.6	Treatment in the experiment and demonstration plot	3.14
3.7	The change in pH, exchangeable Ca, exchangeable Mg, and Fe with liming	3.22
3.8	Correlation (r) between yield and pH to exchangeable Ca, Mg, Fe and Al (n=56)	3.25
3.9	Rice yield (t/ha)	3.26
3.10	Rice yield at the 1 st and 2 nd harvest and the result of CCT	3.28
3.11	pH, total N and available P on top soil after the first and second season of experimental plot	3.29
3.12	The exchangeable cations (Al, Ca, Mg, K) of the topsoil at the second harvest (May 1, 2004)	3.30

Table No.		Page
3.13	Compilation of glasshouse experiment and field trial to produce a mean field adjusting factor	3.33
4.1	Fixed cost structure in Jelawat Rusa Irrigation Scheme per ha for one season	4.4
4.2	Variable cost structure in Jelawat Rusa Irrigation Scheme for one season (in RM)	4.6
4.3	Total cost in Jelawat Rusa Irrigation Scheme for one season (in RM)	4.6
4.4	Micro economic analysis of glasshouse experiment after using Field Adjusting Factor of 0.4 (in RM)	4.8
4.5	Parameters and its default value in RiCASS	4.14
4.6	Default value of fertilizer index composition on RiCASS	4.22
4.7	Result of RiCASS simulation on 4 scenarios	4.27
4.8	Mean Estimation Error (MEE) analysis	4.33

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.		Page
2.1	Formation of acid sulfate soil (Kyle, 2001)	2.2
2.2	Effect of aluminum toxicity on rice growth (Gupta and Toole, 1986)	2.12
2.3	Decision Support System structure (Minch and Burn, 1983)	2.25
2.4	A general production function with economic analysis (Bishop and Toussaint, 1958)	2.31
2.5	A production function with economic analysis on amelioration of acid sulfate soil for rice cultivation	2.33
3.1	A map of Kemasin-Semerak IADP, showing the study site	3.2
3.2	Determination of lime requirement of the soil	3.9
3.3	The effect of lime in combination with fertilizer on yield. Means with the same letter are not significantly different ($P \geq 0.05$)	3.19
3.4	The effect of water treatment in combination with lime and fertilizer on: (a) tiller number and (b) panicle number	3.20
3.5	The effect of water management and lime on weight of 1000 seeds and empty spikelet number. Means with the same letter are not significantly different ($P \geq 0.05$)	3.21
3.6	The effect of water treatment in combination with lime on exchangeable Al. Means with the same letter are not significantly different ($P \geq 0.05$)	3.23
3.7	Yield as a response of lime and fertilizer application from glasshouse experiment	3.32
4.1	Plot of equation (1)	4.2
4.2	Response curve of profit from glasshouse yield.	4.8
4.3	RiCASS v1.0.0 main window	4.10

Figure No.		Page
4.4	RiCASS configuration, consisting of 2 submodels	4.11
4.5	RiCASS input-process-output	4.12
4.6	RiCASS flowchart	4.13
4.7	Interface of general parameters in RiCASS	4.16
4.8	Flowchart of lime submodel in RiCASS	4.17
4.9	Interface of lime submodel parameters in RiCASS	4.18
4.10	Flowchart of fertilizer submodel in RiCASS	4.19
4.11	Interface of fertilizer submodel parameters in RiCASS	4.20
4.12	Fertilizer index composition flowchart	4.21
4.13	Interface of fertilizer index composition in RiCASS	4.23
4.14	Multiple scenarios interface in RiCASS	4.24
4.15	Interface of output generated in RiCASS	4.26
4.16	Validation using treatment of 2, 4 and 8 t GML/ha on the experimental plot	4.31
4.17	Paired comparison t-test for model yield and the actual one	4.32
4.18	Comparison between actual and simulated yield for the second season of field experiment	4.34

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.		Page
3.1	The effect of water management on rice growth. Statistically, there was no significant effect on yield; (a) Continuous submerged; (b) Dry once during the experiment	3.18
3.2	A picture showing rice grown in the pot in the control treatment (a), GML treatment (4 t/ha GML to achieve 5 t/ha yield) (b) and GML treatment (13.8 t/ha GML to achieve 10 t/ha yield) (c)	3.24
3.3	A picture showing dry plowing (a), wet plowing (b) paddy at 20 DAS (c), paddy at 40 DAS (d), paddy at 70 DAS (e) and paddy before harvest (f)	3.31



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In Peninsular Malaysia, about 500,000 ha of acid sulfate soils occur in the coastal regions. Several agricultural projects and research have been carried out to alleviate problems of these acid sulfate soils for crop production. One of them is the Kemasin-Semerak Integrated Agriculture Development Project (IADP) which was launched in 1981 in Kelantan. Kemasin-Semerak IADP is the Malaysian Government project with the objectives of flood mitigation and improvement of agriculture productivity.

One area in the Kemasin-Semerak IADP that has been earmarked for rice cultivation is the Jelawat Rusa Irrigation Scheme. In this area, agriculture productivity has been slowly deteriorating due to land degradation, which is partly caused by incorrect management practices. Water resource in the area is acidic, containing toxic amounts of Al and Fe. The acidity is presumably generated by pyrite (FeS_2) undergoing oxidation when it is exposed to the atmosphere after the soils have been drained to make way for agriculture.

Acid sulfate soils pose chemical, biological and physical problems for crops. Chemically, acid sulfate soils have the following agronomic problems: 1) direct effect of severe acidity – primarily the increased solubility and toxicity of aluminum



and iron (Fe^{3+}); 2) decreased availability of phosphate; 3) low base status and nutrient deficiencies; and 4) salinity problems. Under flooded conditions, acidity is reduced, but new problems occur such as: 1) iron (Fe^{2+}) toxicity; 2) hydrogen sulfide toxicity; and 3) CO_2 and organic acid toxicity. Physical soil problems that arise mainly through the inhibition of root development in acid sulfate horizons are water stress and blocked field drains by iron oxide deposits (Dent, 1986). In a review of the literature on soil chemical properties and their relation to the growth of rice in acid sulfate soils, Satawathanant (1986) reported adverse effects of H^+ , toxicities of Fe, Al and sulphide, electrolyte stress, CO_2 and inorganic acids.

Ameliorative steps are needed to put the land into productive use. The several steps of ameliorations are: 1) correct water management to prevent pyrite oxidation by maintaining water table level above the pyrite layers; 2) applying lime and/or organic matter at appropriate rate and time; 3) adequate fertilizer application; and 4) in the case of rice, keeping the soil submerged as long as possible before transplanting.

These procedures can be organized into an integrated decision support system (DSS) which using analytical methods and models. The system can help decision makers and farmers formulate the best solutions in cultivating rice on acid sulfate soils to produce high yields and the maximal profit. The main users of the system are government, agencies, scientists, researchers, farmers and students.