



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**DEVELOPMENT OF MULTI-LAYERED KENAF (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*)  
BOARD USING CORE AND BAST FIBRES**

**NOR HAFIZAH HJ. AB. WAHAB**

**IPTPH 2007 1**



**DEVELOPMENT OF MULTI-LAYERED KENAF (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.)  
BOARD USING CORE AND BAST FIBRES**

**By**

**NOR HAFIZAH HJ. AB. WAHAB**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of  
Science**

**January 2007**



DEDICATE TO:

PARENTS

Hj. Ab. Wahab Bin Hassan  
Hjh. Asiah Binti Daud

BROTHER

Khairul Anwar Bin Hj. Ab. Wahab

SISTERS

Zuraini Binti Hj. Ab. Wahab  
Norlin Binti Hj. Ab. Wahab  
Noorul Azura Shida Binti Rosman

NIECE

Sara Khadeeja Binti Khairul Anwar



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**DEVELOPMENT OF MULTI-LAYERED KENAF (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*)  
BOARD USING CORE AND BAST FIBRES**

By

**NOR HAFIZAH HJ. AB. WAHAB**

**January 2007**

**Chairman : Paridah Md. Tahir, PhD**

**Institute : Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products**

Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*) is relatively a new crop in Malaysia. This fast growing species has been chosen and introduced in Malaysia to ensure a continuous supply of raw material for the composite industry, and as alternative solution for the shortage in rubberwood supply.

An attempt was made to develop a multi-layered kenaf board (MLKB) utilising different parts of kenaf stem: bast, core and a combination of bast and core fibres. The objectives of this study were: 1) to evaluate methods of retting and separating of kenaf fibres (bast and core fibres) for kenaf board production, 2) to determine the effects of resin content and bast to core proportion on the physical and mechanical properties of MLKB, and 3) to determine the properties of kenaf board with woven-bast mat as core.

The kenaf bast and core were separated manually. The retting process (to separate bast fibres from the pectic materials) was carried out by submerging the bast in either cold water or alkali (NaOH) at certain duration. Three levels of alkali concentration were used: 1, 3, and 5%. The crude bast fibres were then combed and washed several times until straight and silky fibres were produced. The core portion was chipped into  $\leq$  2 cm size particles. Both bast fibres and core particles were then dried to about 5% moisture content. Multi-layered kenaf boards were fabricated using urea formaldehyde (UF) and melamine urea formaldehyde (MUF) resins as binders. Four types of  $0.50\text{ g/cm}^3$  density MLKB were made with varying bast : core proportions. Homogenous particleboards utilising 100% rubberwood particles were used as control. Since bast fibres have low wettability, a low molecular weight phenol formaldehyde (LPF) resin was used to pretreat the bast fibres prior to normal blending with either UF or MUF resin. An attempt was also made to produce a kenaf board with woven bast fibre mat as core. The properties of boards were tested using MS standards 1737: 2005. Data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and the effects were further analysed by means separation using Least Significant Difference (LSD) at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

The study indicates that treatment of kenaf bast with different alkali concentrations significantly affected the properties of kenaf bast fibres such as fibre and lumen diameter, cell wall thickness and chemical components. Kenaf

bast fibres that have been treated with 5% NaOH gave the lowest amount of holocellulose, hemicellulose,  $\alpha$ -cellulose, and lignin (48.7%, 29.7%, 19.0%, and 8.5% respectively.) High yield of holocellulose was obtained for treatment with water alone. Both the kenaf core and rubberwood have similar buffering capacity which is more sensitive towards acid. Bast fibre, on the other hand is more sensitive towards alkali. Due to its morphological properties, kenaf core inner surface exhibited higher wettability than outer surface.

Kenaf board comprising bast materials in the middle layer were stiffer than that of homogeneous 100% rubberwood. The incorporation of LPF resin in the fibres of MUF-bonded board comprising 70% kenaf core on the surface and 30% bast in the middle layer produced boards of reasonably good strength and dimensional stability. The modulus of elasticity (MOE) was 873 MPa, modulus of rupture (MOR) 8.9 MPa, internal bonding (IB) 0.32 MPa, thickness swelling (TS) 12.6%, and water absorption (WA) 118.9%. The presence of bast long fibres had improved the linear expansion (LE) length-wise by about 16.2%. All the kenaf board have higher MOR than that of 100% rubberwood.

The woven technique applied to improve the performance of the MLKB was found to be effective, producing 8.2% stronger and 22.3% stiffer board. The IB was also improved by 61.9%. The dimensional stability of these boards was superior to that of MLKB. Boards having 100% kenaf core consistently gave

superior performance in mechanical strength but relatively poor in TS and WA due to the high porosity and absorbent of the core itself. The linear regression between IB and strength showed higher R<sup>2</sup> values were obtained for all boards containing bast fibres compared to those having 100% core particles. The lack of fibre bonding among the bast fibres was found to be the dominant factor affecting the performance of woven-layered kenaf board (WLKB).

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PENGHASILAN PAPAN KENAF (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*) PELBAGAI  
LAPIS MENGGUNAKAN GENTIAN TERAS DAN KULIT**

Oleh

**NOR HAFIZAH HJ. AB. WAHAB**

**Januari 2007**

**Pengerusi : Paridah Md. Tahir, PhD**

**Institut : Institut Perhutanan Tropika dan Produk Hutan**

Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*) merupakan tanaman yang agak baru di Malaysia. Spesis yang cepat tumbuh ini dipilih dan diperkenalkan di Malaysia adalah untuk memastikan bekalan bahan mentah yang berterusan bagi industri komposit, dan sebagai penyelesaian alternatif kepada kekurangan bekalan bagi kayu getah.

Percubaan untuk menghasilkan papan kenaf pelbagai lapis (MLKB) menggunakan bahagian batang kenaf yang berbeza: kulit, teras dan kombinasi gentian kulit dan teras telah dibuat. Objektif kajian ini adalah 1) untuk menilai kaedah-kaedah melembutkan dan memisahkan gentian-gentian kenaf (gentian kulit dan teras) untuk menghasilkan papan kenaf, 2) untuk menentukan kesan-kesan kandungan resin dan nisbah gentian kepada teras ke atas sifat-sifat

fizikal dan mekanikal papan kenaf pelbagai lapis (MLKB), dan 3) untuk menentukan sifat papan kenaf dengan tenunan-gentian sebagai teras.

Gentian kulit dan teras kenaf telah dipisahkan secara manual. Proses untuk melembutkan (untuk memisahkan gentian kulit daripada bahan-bahan "pectic") telah dilakukan dengan merendam gentian di dalam air sejuk atau alkali ( $\text{NaOH}$ ) pada tempoh tertentu. Tiga tahap kepekatan alkali telah digunakan: 1, 3, dan 5%. Gentian kulit mentah telah disikat dan dibersihkan beberapa kali sehingga hasil yang lurus seperti gentian sutera diperolehi. Bahagian teras telah diserpihkan sehingga saiz serpai  $\leq 2$  cm. Kedua-dua gentian kulit dan serpai teras telah dikeringkan sehingga kandungan lembapan 5%. Papan kenaf pelbagai lapis telah dihasilkan menggunakan resin urea formaldehid (UF) dan melamin urea formaldehid (MUF) sebagai pengikat. Empat jenis papan kenaf pelbagai lapis (MLKB) yang berketumpatan  $0.50 \text{ g/cm}^3$  telah dibuat dengan nisbah kulit dan teras yang berbeza-beza. Papan serpai yang sama jenis menggunakan 100% serpai kayu getah telah digunakan sebagai kawalan. Gentian kulit mempunyai nilai pembasahan yang rendah, resin fenol formaldehid yang berjisim molekul rendah (LPF) telah digunakan sebagai pra-rawatan gentian-gentian kulit sebelum campuran sama ada dengan resin urea formaldehid (UF) dan melamin urea formaldehid (MUF). Percubaan menghasilkan papan kenaf dengan tenunan gentian kulit sebagai teras juga telah dibuat. Papan yang dihasilkan diuji menggunakan piawaian Malaysia

1737: 2005. Data kemudian dianalisis menggunakan analisis varian (ANOVA) dan kemudian kesannya dianalisis menggunakan perbezaan minimum yang ketara (LSD) pada  $p \leq 0.05$ .

Kajian ini menunjukkan rawatan kulit kenaf dengan kepekatan alkali yang berbeza sangat ketara ke atas kesannya terhadap sifat gentian kulit kenaf seperti diameter gentian dan diameter lumen, ketebalan dinding sel dan komponen-komponen kimia. Gentian kulit kenaf yang dirawat dengan 5% NaOH telah memberikan jumlah holoselulosa, hemiselulosa,  $\alpha$ -selulosa dan lignin yang paling rendah (48.7%, 29.7%, 19.0%, dan 8.5% masing-masing). Hasil holoselulosa yang tinggi diperolehi bagi rawatan dengan air. Kayu getah dan teras kenaf mempunyai sifat keserupaan keupayaan menampang yang mana lebih sensitif ke arah asid. Sebaliknya, gentian kulit lebih sensitif ke arah alkali. Dengan sifat morfologinya kenaf teras permukaan dalam memperkenalkan nilai pembasahan yang lebih tinggi daripada permukaan luar.

Papan kenaf yang mengandungi bahan gentian di bahagian lapisan tengah adalah lebih teguh daripada 100% papan kayu getah. Penggabungan resin fenol formaldehid yang berjisim molikul rendah (LPF) dalam gentian-gentian papan ikatan-melamin urea formaldehid (MUF) yang mempunyai nisbah teras kenaf 70% dibahagian permukaan dan 30% kulit dibahagian tengah menghasilkan papan yang lebih teguh dan mempunyai kestabilan dimensi yang baik.

Modulus kekenyalan (MOE) adalah 873 MPa, modulus kehancuran (MOR) 8.9 MPa, kekuatan dalaman (IB) 0.32 MPa, pengembangan ketebalan (TS) 12.6%, dan serapan air (WA) 118.9%. Kehadiran gentian kulit kenaf yang panjang telah meningkatkan nilai pengembangan linear sebanyak 16.2%. Kesemua papan kenaf mempunyai nilai modulus kehancuran (MOR) yang lebih tinggi daripada papan 100% kayu getah.

Aplikasi teknik tenun untuk memperbaiki papan kenaf pelbagai lapis (MLKB) telah ditemui berkesan dengan menghasilkan kekuatan papan 8.2%, keanjalan papan 22.3%. Kekuatan dalaman (IB) papan juga telah ditingkatkan sebanyak 61.9%. Kestabilan dimensi papan yang dihasilkan lebih baik daripada papan kenaf pelbagai lapis (MLKB). Papan yang mempunyai 100% teras kenaf memberikan persembahan yang baik secara konsisten dalam kekuatan mekanikal tetapi mempunyai nilai pengembangan ketebalan (TS) dan serapan air (WA) yang tinggi berdasarkan kepada sifat poros dan serapan pada teras itu sendiri. Linear regresi di antara kekuatan dalaman (IB) dan kekuatan menunjukkan nilai  $R^2$  yang tertinggi diperolehi pada papan yang mengandungi gentian kulit berbanding 100% serpai teras. Kelemahan ikatan gentian dikalangan gentian kulit telah ditemui sebagai faktor yang dominan pada persembahan papan tenunan kenaf (WLKB).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In The Name of Allah, The Most Merciful and The Most Gracious.

First of all, I would like to express my deepest sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Paridah Md. Tahir, and committee members, namely Associate Professor Dr. Jalaludin Harun, Dr. Mohd Nor Mohd Yusoff and Associate Professor Dr. Azmi Ibrahim for their advice, guidance, encouragement, constructive criticisms and suggestion and help rendered throughout the progress of this study.

Special thanks are also due to Associate Professor Zakiah Ahmad for the guidance and great contribution towards the successful completion of this study. Many thanks also to Dr. Nor Yuziah Mohd Yunus and Malayan Adhesive and Chemical Sdn. Bhd. for supplying the resin needed in this study. I would like also to thank Puan Siti Noralakmam Yahya and Mr. Rozainie from Golden Hope MDF Sdn. Bhd who had assisted on the testing of this particleboard and to the staff of Forest Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM) for rendering full cooperation during the completion of this study.

Acknowledgements are also extended to all the Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products (INTROP) staff especially to Mr. Harmaen Ahmad Saffian,

the staffs of Faculty of Forestry, Mr. Mohd Rizal Abd. Rahman, Mrs. Halimah Hussien and Miss Siti Fazelin Mahamad, and Mr. Ali Rani Institute of Advanced Technology (ITMA) for kindly providing the facilities and assistance throughout the course of this study.

My appreciation also goes to Dr. Seyoum Kallework Haile, who had advised, gave invaluable ideas, critics and support in assisting me to strive for academic excellent and thanks to my project members Mrs. Aida Suyana Mohamad, Miss Juhaida Md Fadzil, Miss Ismawati Palle and Miss Fauziah Hj. Mohammed, which have always show their commitment from the beginning to the end of this study.

Special thanks to my beloved parents, and friends especially Mr. Mohd Yazid Mohd Noh, Miss Norul Izani Md Allwi, Chow Chew Chin @ Stephanie and Mrs. Rafidah Md Salim who are always concern with my study and have given me support to improve myself. Thanks also to all my friends.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2007 to conduct the final examination of Nor Hafizah Hj. Ab. Wahab on her Master of Science thesis entitled "Development of Multi-layered Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) Board Using Core and Bast Fibres" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Edi Shuhaimi Bakar, PhD**

Lecturer

Faculty of Forestry

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

**H'ng Paik San, PhD**

Lecturer

Faculty of Forestry

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

**Mohd Sapuan Salit, PhD**

Associate Professor

Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

**Rokiah Hashim, PhD**

Associate Professor

School of Industrial Technology

Universiti Sains Malaysia

(External Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor/Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date : 22 MARCH 2007

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Paridah Md. Tahir, PhD**

Associate Professor

Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Jalaluddin Harun, PhD**

Associate Professor

Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Azmi Ibrahim, PhD**

Associate Professor

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi MARA  
(Member)

**Mohd Nor Mohd Yusoff, PhD**

Director

Wood Chemistry Division  
Forest Research Institute Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**

Professor/Dean

School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :



## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

---

**NOR HAFIZAH HJ. AB. WAHAB**

Date : 15 MARCH 2007



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	xi
<b>APPROVAL</b>	xiii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xix
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxii
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b>	xxvi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxviii

## CHAPTER

<b>1      INTRODUCTION</b>	1.1
1.1     Wood-based Panel Industry in Malaysia	1.1
1.2     Wood Raw Material for Panel Industry	1.4
1.3     Kenaf ( <i>Hibiscus cannabinus L.</i> ) for Panel Industry	1.6
1.4     Justification	1.8
1.5     Objectives	1.9
<b>2      LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	2.1
2.1     Rubberwood as a Raw Material for Particleboard Industry in Malaysia	2.1
2.2     Kenaf ( <i>Hibiscus cannabinus L.</i> )	2.6
2.2.1   General	2.6
2.2.2   Properties of Kenaf	2.10
2.2.3   Potential uses of Kenaf	2.12
2.2.4   Retting Process for Fibre Production	2.15
2.3     Kenaf Fibreboard (KFB) and Kenaf Particleboard (KPB)	2.18
2.3.1   Effects of Particle Geometry	2.20
2.3.2   Resins for Kenaf Board	2.22
2.3.3   Multi-layered Kenaf Board (MLKB) and Woven-layered Kenaf Board (WLKB)	2.28
<b>3      FIBRE PRODUCTION FROM KENAF STEM</b>	3.1
3.1     Introduction	3.1

3.2	Sources of Fibres	3.2
3.3	Bast Separation and Retting Process	3.4
3.3.1	Bast Separation	3.4
3.3.2	Retting Process	3.4
3.4	Determination of Physical Properties of Kenaf Bast and Kenaf Core	3.8
3.4.1	Oven-dry Density	3.8
3.4.2	Moisture Content (MC) Determination	3.8
3.5	Evaluation of Fibre Morphology	3.9
3.6	Chemical Properties of Kenaf Bast Fibres	3.11
3.6.1	Determination of Lignin Content	3.12
3.6.2	Determination of Hemicellulose Content	3.14
3.6.3	Determination of Holocellulose Content	3.14
3.6.4	Determination of Alpha-Cellulose Content	3.15
3.7	Determination of pH and Buffering Capacity	3.17
3.8	Determination of Surface Wettability	3.19
3.9	Statistical Analysis	3.22
3.10	Results and Discussions	3.22
3.10.1	Retting and Kenaf Bast Fibre Production	3.22
3.10.2	Physical Properties	3.27
3.10.3	Effects of Sodium Hydroxide Concentration on the Fibre Properties	3.29
3.10.4	Effects of Sodium Hydroxide Concentration on the Chemical Properties	3.38
3.10.5	pH	3.43
3.10.6	Buffering Capacity	3.45
3.10.7	Surface Wettability Test	3.47
3.11	Conclusions	3.53
<b>4</b>	<b>PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF KENAF BOARD</b>	<b>4.1</b>
4.1	Introduction	4.1
4.2	Multi-layered Kenaf Board (MLKB)	4.4
4.2.1	Materials	4.4
4.2.2	Experimental Work	4.5
4.2.3	Fabrication of Kenaf Board	4.9
4.2.4	Board Evaluation	4.19
4.2.5	Statistical Analysis	4.27
4.2.6	Results and Discussions	4.28
4.3	Woven-layered Kenaf Board (WLKB)	4.55
4.3.1	Materials and Methods	4.55
4.3.2	Preparation of Woven Mats	4.56
4.3.3	Fabrication of Kenaf Board	4.58

4.3.4	Board Evaluation	4.61
4.3.5	Results and Discussions	4.61
4.4	Conclusions	4.81
<b>5</b>	<b>OVERALL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>5.1</b>
5.1	Conclusion	5.1
5.2	Recommendation	5.3
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>R.1</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		<b>A.1</b>
<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>		<b>B.1</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Major Forest Products in Malaysia, Volume Production in m <sup>3</sup>	1.2
1.2 Average Price of Rubberwood Sawn Timber, Plywood and MDF in Peninsular as of February, 2006	1.5
1.3 Estimated Availability of Rubberwood in Peninsular Malaysia in m <sup>3</sup>	1.6
1.4 Comparison of Mechanical Properties of Kenaf Bast and Softwood Fibre Bundles	1.7
2.1 Export of Malaysian Rubberwood Sawn Timber and Rubberwood Products 1996-2005 (RM million)	2.3
2.2 Planted Hectarage of Natural Rubber on Estates and Smallholding in Malaysia ('000 hectares)	2.4
2.3 Annual Area Replanted to Rubber	2.5
2.4 Rubberwood Sawn Wood and Panel Potential (1,000 m <sup>3</sup> )	2.6
2.5 Fibre Morphology of Bast and Core Fractions of Kenaf Stem	2.10
2.6 Composition of the Whole Stem, Bark and Core of Kenaf Stem	2.11
2.7 Number of Paper-pulp Mills with their Production Capacity using Jute and Kenaf as Main Raw Material	2.14
2.8 Comparison between Normal PF and LPF	2.26
3.1 Properties of Kenaf as Raw Material in the Study	3.3
3.2 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Effects of Alkali Concentration on Yield of Fibre	3.23
3.3 Effects of Alkali Concentration on the Weight and Yield of Fibre	3.24
3.4 Physical Properties of Kenaf Bast and Kenaf Core	3.28

3.5	Fibre Dimensions of Long Fibres	3.29
3.6	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Effect of Alkali Concentration on Fibre Properties of Kenaf Bast Fibres	3.31
3.7	Chemical Composition of Some Common Non-wood Fibre Compared to Wood Fibre	3.40
3.8	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Effect of Alkali Concentration on Chemical Properties of Kenaf Bast Fibres	3.40
3.9	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Effect of Materials	3.44
3.10	pH Mean Values for Different Materials	3.44
3.11	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Effect of Materials on Buffering Capacity	3.45
3.12	Buffering Capacity of Rubberwood and Kenaf Bast and Core	3.46
3.13	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of Surface Wettability	3.48
3.14	Contact Angle of Wettability for Different Materials and Types of Liquid	3.49
4.1	Physical Properties of the Resin used in the Study	4.4
4.2	Experimental Work used for the Study	4.8
4.3	Cutting Pattern of Samples Testing	4.19
4.4	Yield of Kenaf Bast for Raw Material Kenaf Board	4.29
4.5	Yield of Kenaf Core in the Study	4.29
4.6	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Physical Properties	4.30
4.7	Density and Moisture Content of Kenaf Board	4.32
4.8	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Mechanical Properties	4.35
4.9	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Thickness Swelling and Water Absorption	4.43

4.10	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Linear Expansion	4.51
4.11	Effects of Resin Types used on Different Level of Proportions on Linear Expansion Changes in Length and Width	4.53
4.12	Properties of LPF and MUF Resins used for Making Woven-layered Kenaf Board	4.56
4.13	Experimental Work used for the Study	4.58
4.14	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Physical Properties	4.61
4.15	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Mechanical Properties	4.62
4.16	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Thickness Swelling and Water Absorption	4.71
4.17	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Linear Expansion	4.78
4.18	Effects of Arrangement of the Bast Fibres on Linear Expansion Changes in Length and Width	4.79

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
1.1	Utilization of Estates Land by the Type of Crop	1.5
2.1	Kenaf Crop: (a) Planted in a Row and (b) Different Components in Kenaf Stem	2.7
2.2	A Typical Kenaf Processing into Composite (Okudaira, 2005)	2.20
2.3	Different Orientations of Kenaf Bast Fibres as Middle Layer: (a) Multi-layered Kenaf Board (MLKB) and (b) Woven-layered Kenaf Board (WLKB)	2.32
3.1	The Structure of Kenaf Fibres	3.2
3.2	Flow Chart of Fibre Particles Preparation	3.7
3.3	Diagram of Kenaf Fibre Surface Structure: (a) Kenaf Bast and (b) Kenaf Core	3.19
3.4	Contact Angle Measurement	3.20
3.5	Wettability Test: (a) AB Lorentzen and Wettre (L-W) Surface Wettability Tester and (b) Droplet of NaOH on Kenaf Bast Fibre Sample	3.21
3.6	Fibre Length of Kenaf Bast Fibres Obtained from Different Levels of NaOH Concentrations	3.32
3.7	Fibre Diameter of Kenaf Bast Fibres Obtained from Different Levels of NaOH Concentrations	3.33
3.8	Lumen Diameter of Kenaf Bast Fibres Obtained from Different Levels of NaOH Concentrations	3.33
3.9	Cell Wall Thickness of Kenaf Bast Fibres Obtained from Different Levels of NaOH Concentrations	3.34
3.10	Intramolecular and Intermolecular Hydrogen Bonds in Two Adjacent Cellulose Molecules of the 002 Plane	3.38

3.11	Chemical Properties of Kenaf Bast Fibres from Different Level of NaOH Concentrations	3.41
3.12	The Endwise Alkaline Degradation ("Peeling") of 1,4- $\beta$ -D-Glucan (Cellulose). [R = Glucan (Cellulose) Chain. Reaction Steps: Isomerization (1→2), Enediol Formation (2→3), $\beta$ -alkoxy Elimination (3→4), Tautomerization (4→5), and Benzilic Acid Rearrangement (5→6) to Epimeric 3-deoxy-2-C-hydroxymethyl-Pentonic Acids (Glucoisosaccharinic Acid) (6)]	3.43
3.13	Comparative Stability of Kenaf Core, Bast and Rubberwood Towards Acid	3.46
3.14	Comparative Stability of Kenaf Core, Bast and Rubberwood Towards Alkali	3.47
3.15	Contact Angle Measurement for Different Surface Materials	3.48
3.16	Contact Angle of Distilled Water on Different Substrates as a Function Time	3.50
3.17	Contact Angle of HCl on Different Substrates as a Function Time	3.51
3.18	Contact Angle of NaOH on Different Substrates as a Function Time	3.51
4.1	The Structure of Kenaf Board Manufactured in the Study: (a) 30% Core: 40% Bast: 30% Core, (b) 35% Core: 30% Bast: 35% Core, (b) 40% Core: 20% Bast: 40% Core, (d) 100% Kenaf Core, and (e) 100% Rubberwood	4.6
4.2	Cutting Diagram of Test Specimens	4.17
4.3	Process Flow of Kenaf Board Production	4.18
4.4	Modulus of Elasticity of Kenaf Board Corrected to 0.50 / gcm <sup>3</sup> Density	4.37
4.5	Modulus of Rupture of Kenaf Board Corrected to 0.50 / gcm <sup>3</sup> Density	4.39
4.6	Internal Bonding of Kenaf Board Corrected to 0.50 / gcm <sup>3</sup> Density	4.41

4.7	Thickness Swelling of Kenaf Board Corrected to 0.50 / gcm <sup>3</sup> Density	4.44
4.8	Water Absorption of Kenaf Board Corrected to 0.50 / gcm <sup>3</sup> Density	4.46
4.9	Relationships between Internal Bonding and Thickness Swelling and between Internal Bonding and Water Absorption for Different Resin Types and Core-Bast Proportions	4.49
4.10	Changes in Linear Expansion in Length of Kenaf Board at Different Relative Humidity	4.54
4.11	Changes in Linear Expansion in Width of Kenaf Board at Different Relative Humidity	4.55
4.12	Structure of Woven-layered Kenaf Board	4.57
4.13	Modulus of Elasticity of 0.70 g/cm <sup>3</sup> Kenaf Board	4.64
4.14	Modulus of Rupture of 0.70 g/cm <sup>3</sup> Kenaf Board	4.65
4.15	Internal Bonding of 0.70 g/cm <sup>3</sup> Kenaf Board	4.67
4.16	Relationships between Internal Bonding and Modulus of Elasticity for (a) All Boards, (b) 70% Core: 30% Bast Board, and (c) 100% Kenaf Core Board	4.69
4.17	Relationships between Internal Bonding and Modulus of Rupture for (a) All Boards, (b) 70% Core: 30% Bast Board, and (c) 100% Kenaf Core Board	4.70
4.18	Comparison of Thickness Swelling between Proportions of Core : Bast	4.73
4.19	Comparison of Water Absorption between Proportions of Core : Bast	4.74
4.20	Relationships between Internal Bonding and Thickness Swelling and between Internal Bonding and Water Absorption for (a) Internal Bonding and Thickness Swelling All Boards, (b) Internal Bonding and Thickness Swelling 70% Core: 30% Bast Board, (c) Internal Bonding and Thickness Swelling 100% Kenaf Core Board, (d) Internal Bonding and Water Absorption 70% Core: 30% Bast Board, and (e) Internal Bonding and Water Absorption 100% Kenaf Core Board	4.75