

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# PRODUCTION OF CHITINASE BY *TRICHODERMA VIRENS* UKM1 FROM COLLOIDAL CHITIN AND SHRIMP WASTE

**CHRISTINE CHERYL FERNANDEZ** 

IB 2007 11



# PRODUCTION OF CHITINASE BY *TRICHODERMA VIRENS* UKM1 FROM COLLOIDAL CHITIN AND SHRIMP WASTE

# By CHRISTINE CHERYL FERNANDEZ

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

October 2007



For Allah the Almighty and for my parents... for this gift called LIFE...

For my dearest jaan...
the reason for the multitude of colours in my LIFE...



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

# PRODUCTION OF CHITINASE BY TRICHODERMA VIRENS UKM1 FROM COLLOIDAL CHITIN AND SHRIMP WASTE

By

# CHRISTINE CHERYL FERNANDEZ (MARIAM AISHA FATIMA)

#### October 2007

Chairman: Associate Professor Suraini Abdul Aziz, PhD

**Institute:** Institute of Bioscience

Shrimp waste being the main waste from marine industry is a source of surface pollution in coastal areas consisting of mainly protein, calcium carbonate and chitin. Chitin, the second most abundant biopolymer is a β-(1,4)-linked N-acetyl-Dglucosamine (GluNac) heterogeneous polymer that has versatile biological and agrochemical applications. Chitinase a glycosyl hydrolase is produced constitutively as isozymes in fungus for de novo chitin metabolism. Chitin chains are converted into chitooligosaccharides and GluNac reducing sugars by chitinase with specific modes of action at the reducing ends. In this study, shrimp waste was pretreated with chemical and physicochemical methods to determine the best pretreatment before fermentation with a locally isolated fungus, Trichoderma virens UKM1. Experiments in shake flasks and 2 L stirred tank reactor (STR) demonstrated sun dried ground shrimp waste as the best pretreatment, 1 x 10<sup>6</sup> spores/mL as the best total spore concentration and fermentation pH control at pH 6.0 as the most effective for chitinase production. Subsequent optimisation in 2 L STR showed that fermentation at 200 rpm and 0.33 vvm gave the highest chitinase productivity of 4.1 U/L/h and 5.97 U/L/h, respectively. Microbial chitin bioconversion employing optimal

conditions in medium with colloidal chitin and medium with sun dried ground shrimp waste as the sole carbon source showed an increase of 7.25 fold and 1.57 fold in chitinase activity, respectively from shake flasks culture to 2 L STR. The respiration rate ( $Qo_2X$ ) during the highest chitinase productivity was 3.864 mg of DO  $g^{-1}$  of fungal biomass  $h^{-1}$  while the specific respiration rate ( $Qo_2$ ) was 20.337 mg of DO  $g^{-1}$  of fungal biomass  $h^{-1}$  and the maximum specific growth rate,  $\mu_{max}$  was 0.0078  $h^{-1}$  with the corresponding doubling time,  $t_d$  of 88.85 hours. Concentration and partial purification of crude chitinase showed that ammonium sulphate precipitation at 80% saturation gave highest chitinase activity in line with the results of enzymatic chitin bioconversion from DNS chitinase assay and HPLC analysis.

Abstrak thesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

## PENGHASILAN KITINASE OLEH TRICHODERMA VIRENS UKM1 DARIPADA KITIN KOLOID DAN SISA UDANG

Oleh

# CHRISTINE CHERYL FERNANDEZ (MARIAM AISHA FATIMA)

#### Oktober 2007

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Suraini Abdul Aziz, PhD

**Institut:** Institut Biosains

Sisa udang ialah sisa utama dari industri marin yang merupakan punca pencemaran permukaan di kawasan persisiran pantai. Ia terdiri daripada sebahagian besarnya protein, kalsium karbonat dan kitin. Kitin, biopolimer kedua terbanyak terdiri daripada polimer heterogenus N-asetil-glukosamin (GluNac) dengan β-(1,4) ikatan glikosidik yang mempunyai ciri-ciri biologi dan kegunaan serbaguna agrokimia. Kitinase merupakan glikosil hidrolase yang dihasilkan secara konstitutif sebagai isozim oleh kulat untuk metabolime de novo kitin. Rantai kitin ditukar kepada gula penurun kito-oligosakarida dan GluNac oleh kitinase melalui mekanisme spesifik di hujung penurun rantai tersebut. Dalam kajian ini, sisa udang telah dirawat terlebih dahulu dengan kaedah kimia dan fisiokimia untuk mengenal pasti prarawatan yang terbaik sebelum fermentasi dengan kulat pencilan tempatan iaitu Trichoderma virens UKM1. Eksperimen di dalam kelalang goncangan dan 2 L reaktor tangki pengaduk (STR) menunjukkan bahawa sisa udang kisar yang dikeringkan di bawah cahaya matahari merupakan prarawatan yang terbaik. Kepekatan spora keseluruhan terbaik adalah 1 x 10<sup>6</sup> spora/mL dan fermentasi dengan pH terkawal pada pH 6.0 adalah paling efektif untuk penghasilan kitinase. Pengoptimuman di dalam 2 L STR



menunjukkan fermentasi pada 200 psm dan 0.33 vvm memberikan hasil kitinase tertinggi iaitu masing-masing sebanyak 4.1 U/L/h dan 5.97 U/L/h. Biopenukaran kitin oleh mikrob menggunakan keadaan optimum untuk medium dengan kitin koloid dan sisa udang kisar yang dikeringkan di bawah cahaya matahari sebagai punca karbon tunggal menunjukkan peningkatan aktiviti kitinase masing-masing sebanyak 7.25 ganda dan 1.57 ganda daripada fermentasi kelalang goncangan ke 2 L STR. Kadar respirasi (Qo<sub>2</sub>X) semasa penghasilan kitinase tertinggi ialah 3.864 mg DO g<sup>-1</sup> biomas kulat jam<sup>-1</sup> manakala kadar respirasi spesifik (Qo<sub>2</sub>) ialah 20.337 mg DO g<sup>-1</sup> biomas kulat jam<sup>-1</sup>. Kadar pertumbuhan spesifik maksimum, μ<sub>max</sub> ialah 0.0078 jam<sup>-1</sup> dengan masa penggandaan, t<sub>d</sub> selama 88.85 jam. Pemekatan dan penulenan separa campuran kitinase menunjukkan bahawa pemendakkan amonium sulfat dengan 80% ketepuan menghasilkan aktiviti kitinase tertinggi bersamaan dengan keputusan analisis DNS dan HPLC biopenukaran kitin secara berenzim.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful

"Take time to work, it is the price of success

Take time to think, it is the source of power

Take time to read, it is the fountain of wisdom

Take time to pray, it is the foundation of everything"

A word of thanks and appreciation is indeed insufficient to express my deepest gratitude to those who have vigilantly educated me with the meaning of perseverance, diligence, and patience through the course of this study as well as to those who have unwearyingly broadened my perspective of the beauty of research in the field of industrial biotechnology.

My foremost appreciation goes to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Suraini Abdul Aziz who has generously and patiently guided me through this project with her suggestions and continuous motivation and has inculcated me with the virtues of a novice researcher; and to co-supervisors, Dr. Madihah Salleh and Prof. Dr. Mohd. Ali Hassan, who have done no less in giving invaluable support and subtle advices to improve the research and myself. And to Mr. Rosli of bioprocess and Mr. Azman of food tech, the two best lab assistants any student could wish for, thank you for the technical aid and advice.

Heartfelt thanks to my fellow postgraduate students of the Institute of Bioscience and Department of Bioprocess Technology, Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, UPM who have been wonderful laboratory mates in times of work, need and play and who have in not one but many ways shared with me the skills and trills of research. Those who have been through those testing times together are Zuraidah, Zulkarami, Mohd Fadly, Farah, Yunus, Teoh Lay Sin, Mumtaz, Siti Wahidah, Azlina Mansor, Shamzi, Sobri, Rizal, Safarul, Azman, Majd, Mojtaba, Sauvaphap, Siti Mariam, Murni, Herman, Azlan, See Leng Min and Helmi. You guys made two years worth the sweet sweat and time, tricky trials and lessons, intellectual discussions and fun. Road trips, evening walks, tea treats, progress meetings, all could have not been better.

My undivided gratitude goes to my parents and dear brother who have supported me. You are the ones providing consolation when the going gets too tough or when the burden gets too heavy; a shoulder to pout and cry on when the world turns a deaf ear. To the one who loves me, my better half who never ceased to have great faith in me, for the tremendous motivation in completion of this thesis and much more, without you, it might have taken longer.

Not forgetting the administrative staff of the Institute of Bioscience, Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, lecturers, my examiners and all those who have aided me directly or indirectly in the completion of this Masters research, you have been invaluable. Thank you.



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.

Members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

### Suraini Abdul Aziz, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

### Mohd. Ali Hassan, PhD

Professor Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### Madihah Salleh, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Science Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (Member)

#### AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 February 2008



### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

**CHRISTINE CHERYL FERNANDEZ** 

Date: 5 December 2007

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|     |                             |         |  | Page                   |
|-----|-----------------------------|---------|--|------------------------|
| DE  | DICATI                      | ON      |  | ii                     |
| AB  | ABSTRACT                    |         |  | iii                    |
| AB  | ABSTRAK<br>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS |         |  |                        |
| AC  |                             |         |  |                        |
| AP  | APPROVAL                    |         |  | viii                   |
|     | DECLARATION                 |         |  |                        |
|     | ST OF TA                    |         |  | xiv                    |
|     | T OF FI                     |         |  | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}$ |
|     |                             | PPENDI  |  | xvii                   |
| LIS | ST OF Al                    | BBREVI  | ATIONS   | xviii                  |
| СН  | APTER                       |         |  |                        |
| 1   | INTI                        | RODUCT  |  | 1                      |
|     | 1.1                         |         |  | 1                      |
|     | 1.2                         | Objecti | ives of the Research                                       | 4                      |
| 2   | LITE                        | ERATUR  | RE REVIEW  | 5                      |
|     | 2.1                         | Introdu |  | 5                      |
|     |                             | 2.1.1   | Shrimp Waste   | 5                      |
|     |                             |         | Environmental Pollution                                    | 8                      |
|     | 2.2                         | Chitin  |  | 11                     |
|     |                             | 2.2.1   | Physical and Chemical Properties of Chitin                 | 12                     |
|     |                             | 2.2.2   | Derivatives of Chitin                                      | 14                     |
|     |                             | 2.2.3   | Applications of Chitin                                     | 14                     |
|     | 2.3                         | Chitina | ase Enzymes  | 15                     |
|     |                             | 2.3.1   | Family Classification of Chitinolytic                      | 18                     |
|     |                             |         | Enzymes  |                        |
|     |                             |         | Nomenclature of Chitinolytic Enzymes                       | 19                     |
|     |                             |         | Sources of Chitinolytic Enzymes                            | 21                     |
|     | 2.4                         |         | ations of Chitinase  | 22                     |
|     |                             | 2.4.1   | Agriculture and Biological Control                         | 22                     |
|     |                             | 2.4.2   | Generation of Fungal Protoplast                            | 22                     |
|     |                             | 2.4.3   | Degradation of Aquaculture Waste                           | 23                     |
|     |                             | 2.4.4   | Production of Chitooligosaccharides,                       | 24                     |
|     | 2.5                         | OI :::  | Glucosamine and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine                     | 25                     |
|     | 2.5                         |         | ase Producing Fungus                                       | 25                     |
|     | 2.6                         | 2.5.1   | Trichoderma spp.   | 25                     |
|     | 2.6                         |         | etion of Fungal Chitinase Enzymes for Bioconversion        | 29                     |
|     | 2.7                         |         | etion of Chitinases Using Batch Fermentation for Fungu     |                        |
|     |                             | 2.7.1   | Agitation and Aeration Rates                               | 31                     |
|     |                             | 2.7.2   | k <sub>L</sub> a Determination                             | 32<br>32               |
|     |                             | 2.7.3   | Effect of Spore Concentration  Effect of Fungal Morphology |                        |
|     |                             | 2.7.4   | Effect of Fungal Morphology                                | 33                     |



| 3 | MATI | ERIALS AND METHODS  | 35 |
|---|------|---|----|
|   | 3.1  | Microorganism and Strain Cultivation                      | 35 |
|   | 3.2  | Preparation of Colloidal Chitin                           | 35 |
|   | 3.3  | General Experimental Overview                             | 36 |
|   | 3.4  | Pretreatment of Shrimp Waste                              | 37 |
|   |      | 3.4.1 Raw Shrimp Waste                                    | 37 |
|   |      | 3.4.2 Sun Dried Shrimp Waste                              | 37 |
|   |      | 3.4.3 Alkaline Treated Shrimp Waste                       | 38 |
|   |      | 3.4.4 Enzyme Treated Shrimp Waste                         | 38 |
|   | 3.5  | Proximate Analysis for Chitin Sources                     | 39 |
|   |      | 3.5.1 Moisture Content                                    | 39 |
|   |      | 3.5.2 Ash Content   | 39 |
|   |      | 3.5.3 Crude Fat Content                                   | 40 |
|   |      | 3.5.4 Crude Fibre Content                                 | 40 |
|   |      | 3.5.5 Crude Nitrogen and Protein Content                  | 41 |
|   |      | 3.5.6 Carbohydrate Content                                | 41 |
|   | 3.6  | Initial Growth Medium                                     | 42 |
|   | 3.7  | Preparation of Spore Inoculum                             | 42 |
|   | 3.8  | Shake Flask Preliminary Experiments                       | 43 |
|   | 3.9  | Pre-germination and Production Media                      | 43 |
|   | 3.10 | Two Litre Stirred Tank Bench-top Reactor                  | 45 |
|   |      | 3.10.1 Static Method of k <sub>L</sub> a Determination    | 49 |
|   |      | 3.10.2 Dynamic Method of Respiration Rate                 | 50 |
|   |      | and k <sub>L</sub> a Determination                        |    |
|   | 3.11 | Analytical Methods  | 52 |
|   |      | 3.11.1 Protein Determination Assay                        | 52 |
|   |      | 3.11.2 Dinitrosalicylic Acid (DNS) Chitinase Assay        | 52 |
|   |      | 3.11.3 Cell Dry Weight and Residual Substrate             | 54 |
|   | 3.12 | Ammonium Sulphate Precipitation                           | 54 |
|   | 3.13 | HPLC  | 55 |
| 4 | RESU | ULTS AND DISCUSSION                                       | 56 |
|   | 4.1  | Introduction  | 56 |
|   | 4.2  | Preliminary Experiments for Chitinase Enzyme Production   | 57 |
|   |      | 4.2.1 Effect of Different Pretreated Shrimp Waste         | 57 |
|   |      | 4.2.2 Effect of Different Medium Composition              | 62 |
|   |      | 4.2.3 Effect of pH 6.0 (Controlled and Initial pH 6.0)    | 66 |
|   |      | 4.2.4 Effect of Different Spore Inoculum Concentration    | 71 |
|   |      | 4.2.5 Proximate Analysis of Best Pretreated Shrimp Waste  | 74 |
|   | 4.3  | Optimisation of 2 L Stirred Tank Reactor (STR) Variables  | 76 |
|   |      | 4.3.1 Effect of Agitation Speed                           | 76 |
|   |      | 4.3.2 Effect of Aeration Rate                             | 82 |
|   |      | 4.3.3 Static k <sub>L</sub> a Determination               | 85 |
|   |      | 4.3.4 Scale Up Considerations                             | 87 |
|   | 4.4  | Production of Chitinase in 2 L STR Using Optimised Medium | 88 |
|   |      | and Parameters  |    |
|   |      | 4.4.1 Microbial Chitin Bioconversion in 2 L STR           | 88 |



|                    |                      | 4.4.2 Dynamic k <sub>L</sub> a Determination and Respiration Rate | 91         |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|------------|
|                    |                      | 4.4.3 Association of Growth and Chitinase Production              | 92         |
|                    | 4.5                  | Enzymatic Chitin Bioconversion                                    | 94         |
|                    |                      | 4.5.1 Concentration and Partial Purification                      | 94         |
|                    |                      | of Crude Enzyme   |            |
|                    | 4.6                  | Products of Chitin Bioconversion by HPLC Analysis                 | 97         |
| 5                  | 5.1                  | ICLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS Conclusion                           | 100<br>100 |
|                    | 5.2                  | Recommendations   | 101        |
| REF                | EREN                 | CES   | 103        |
|                    | ENDIC                |   | 112        |
| BIODATA OF STUDENT |                      | 135   |            |
| LIST               | LIST OF PUBLICATIONS |   | 136        |



# LIST OF TABLES

| Table |  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1   | Protein and mineral composition in shrimp head waste   | 7    |
| 2.2   | Shrimp waste processing via chemical or biological means and the respective end products   | 9    |
| 2.3   | List of review papers over the years on chitin and chitinases and related subjects   | 16   |
| 2.4   | Nomenclature of the chitinolytic enzyme system   | 20   |
| 2.5   | Previous studies on the induction and production of chitinases from several fungal species   | 27   |
| 3.1   | Composition of standard and optimised Media 4 and 5  | 44   |
| 3.2   | Geometrical measurements and components of 2 L STR   | 48   |
| 4.1   | Pretreatment of raw shrimp waste   | 59   |
| 4.2   | Summary of bioreactor runs with and without fermentation pH control at pH 6.0 in M4CC and M5CC   | 63   |
| 4.3   | Proximate analysis of colloidal chitin as reference substrate and sun dried shrimp waste   | 75   |
| 4.4   | Volumetric mass transfer coefficient in different media, agitation and aeration rates in 2 L STR   | 86   |
| 4.5   | Comparison of chitinase enzyme activity in shake flask culture and bioreactor  | 91   |
| 4.6   | Purification table on ammonium sulphate precipitation profiling of crude enzyme from M5CC and M5SDG  | 96   |
| 4.7   | Chitooligosaccharide standards and the respective retention times via HPLC, Merck 10 $\mu m$ NH2 LiChroCART® column, 1 mL/min flow rate    | 97   |
| 4.8   | Comparison between enzymatic chitin bioconversion and microbial chitin bioconversion of colloidal chitin and sun dried ground shrimp waste |      |



# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1    | The structure of shrimp integument or shrimp shell   | 7    |
| 2.2    | Chemical structure of chitin and chitosan  | 13   |
| 2.3    | Various steps of bioconversion screening for chitinase production  | 30   |
| 3.1    | General experimental overview for the induction of chitinase enzymes from <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 for chitin bioconversion  | 36   |
| 3.2    | The schematic diagram of a 2 litre stirred tank reactor with two Rushton turbine impellers   | 47   |
| 4.1    | Comparison between the effects of different pretreated shrimp waste on volumetric chitinase productivity   | 60   |
| 4.2    | General pelleted growth formation of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in submerged fermentation in M5CC at day 2   | 65   |
| 4.3    | Comparison between media 4 and 5 for the effect of fermentation pH 6.0 control and uncontrolled  | 67   |
| 4.4    | Matured <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 on potato dextrose agar after a week of incubation at 30°C  | 71   |
| 4.5    | Comparison of volumetric chitinase productivity vs log of spore concentration per mL of medium 4 and medium 5 with different chitin sources                                | 73   |
| 4.6    | Effect of agitation speed of 120 rpm, 200 rpm, 240 rpm, 480 rpm,   | 77   |
|        | and 600 rpm respectively in a 2 L STR using M5CC   |      |
| 4.7    | Light micrograph of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1in M5CC submerged fermentation day 3 at 400 X magnification (a) 200 rpm and (b) 600 rpm                                  | 78   |
| 4.8    | Light micrograph of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in M5CC submerged fermentation at 40 X magnification showing the comparison of pellet size at different agitation rates | 80   |
| 4.9    | Schematic representation on pelleted growth of filamentous fungi in submerged fermentation   | 81   |



| 4.10 | Effect of aeration rate using M5CC  | 82 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.11 | Light micrograph of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in M5CC submerged fermentation day 3 at 400 X magnification (a) 0.33 vvm and (b) 2.00 vvm                              | 83 |
| 4.12 | Light micrograph of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in M5CC submerged fermentation at 40 X magnification showing the comparison of pellet size at different aeration rates | 84 |
| 4.13 | Specific enzyme activity and net enzyme activity of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in medium 5 with colloidal chitin in 2 L STR employing optimal conditions              | 90 |
| 4.14 | Specific enzyme activity and net enzyme activity of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in medium 5 with sun dried shrimp waste in 2 L STR employing optimal conditions        | 90 |
| 4.15 | Growth profile of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in medium 5 with colloidal chitin in 2 L STR submerged fermentation and the corresponding enzyme activity                | 93 |
| 4.16 | Light micrograph of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in M5SDG at day 3 of submerged fermentation  | 93 |



# LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix |   | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| A        | DNS calibration curve after $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ precipitation for N-acetylglucosamine (GluNac / NAG) | 112  |
| В        | DNS calibration curve of N-acetylglucosamine  | 114  |
| C        | Lowry protein determination calibration curve for bovine serum albumin standard                 | 116  |
| D        | Micrographs of <i>Trichoderma virens</i> UKM1 in submerged fermentation with optimal conditions | 118  |
| Е        | Preparation of sodium phosphate buffer for enzyme assay and dialysis                            | 121  |
| F        | Calibration curve of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine standard for HPLC analysis                          | 123  |
| G        | Preparation of sulphuric acid at specific molarity for pH control                               | 124  |
| Н        | Graph of ln biomass against time for fungal exponential growth                                  | 125  |
| I        | Calculations for $k_{\text{L}}a$ determination by dynamic gassing out technique                 | 127  |
| J        | Estimation of the economic aspects for overall chitinase production                             | 130  |
| K        | Chromatogram of chitin bioconversion  | 132  |
| L        | Set up of 2 L STR   | 134  |

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AG NaOH treated ground shrimp waste

AUG NaOH treated unground shrimp waste

B Baffle width

BSA Bovine serum albumin

CC Colloidal chitin

CCRBB Colloidal chitin treated with Remazol Brilliant Blue dye

C<sub>E</sub> Saturated dissolved oxygen concentration

C<sub>L</sub> Actual dissolved oxygen concentrationC<sub>o</sub> Initial dissolved oxygen concentration

D<sub>i</sub> Impeller diameter

DNS Dinitrosalicyclic acid

DO Dissolved oxygen

DOT Dissolved oxygen transfer

D<sub>t</sub> Vessel diameter

EG Cellobiase treated ground shrimp waste

EUG Cellobiase treated unground shrimp waste

Glu Glucosamine

GluNac N-acetyl-D-glucosamine

h Hour

H<sup>+</sup> Hydrogen ion

H<sub>i</sub> Impeller height from sparger

H<sub>L</sub> Liquid height

HPLC High pressure liquid chromatography  $k_I a$  Volumetric mass transfer coefficient

M4 Optimised medium 4

M4CCpH Optimised medium 4 with colloidal chitin with pH 6.0 control

M4SDGpH Optimised medium 4 with sun dried ground shrimp waste with

pH 6.0 control

M5 Medium 5 or optimised medium 4 without peptone and yeast extract

M5CCpH Medium 5 with colloidal chitin with pH 6.0 control

M5SDGpH Medium 5 with sun dried ground shrimp waste with pH 6.0 control

N Impeller speed in seconds

NAG N-acetyl-D-glucosamine

OTR Oxygen transfer rate

OUR Oxygen uptake rate or respiration rate

PDA Potato dextrose agar

Qo<sub>2</sub> Oxygen uptake rate or respiration rate

Qo<sub>2</sub>X Specific oxygen uptake rate or specific respiration rate

rpm Revolutions per minute

RSG Raw ground shrimp waste

RSM Response surface methodology

RSUG raw unground shrimp waste

S Impeller spacing

SDG Sun dried ground shrimp waste
SDUG Sun dried unground shrimp waste

sf Shake flask

sp. Species (singular)

spp. Species (plural)

STR Stirred tank reactor or stirred tank bioreactor

 $t_L$  Time corresponding to  $C_L$ 

t<sub>o</sub> Initial time

U Unit of enzyme activity

UDP Uridino di-phospho v/v Volume per volume

Vtip Impeller tip speed

vvm Volume of air per minute per volume of solution

w/v Weight per volume

W<sub>i</sub> Impeller height



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

Shrimps have been a popular raw material for the burgeoning marine and food industry contributing to increasing marine waste. Shrimp waste which is rich in organic compounds is an abundant source of chitin, a natural polymer of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GluNac), a reducing sugar. Essentially, shrimp waste constitutes 45 – 60% of the whole shrimp in the form of the head and body carapace and only 25% is recovered as meat (Sachindra and Mahendrakar, 2005 and Coward-Kelly *et al.*, 2006). More importantly Tharanathan and Kittur, (2003) cited that of the organic weight of shrimp cuticle 69.5% on average is chitin.

Chitin and chitinolytic materials are abundant renewable natural resources obtained from marine invertebrates, insects, fungi, yeast and algae. Chitin occurs in nature as ordered crystalline microfibrils forming structural components in the exoskeleton of arthropods or in cell walls of fungi. Although 22 to 44% of fungal cell wall comprises of chitin, its amount in terms of chitin production is negligible in comparison to marine sources (Patil *et al.*, 2000). It is abundantly derived mainly from crustacean waste, the shrimp and crab (Rinaudo, 2006). Almost 10% of the global landings of aquatic products consist of organisms rich in chitinous material (10-55% on dry weight basis). These include shrimps, crabs, squids, oysters, and cuttlefish. It was estimated that the worldwide recovery of chitin from the processing of marine invertebrates alone was 37, 300 tonnes in 1991 (Shaikh and Deshpande,



1993). Approximately 75% of the total weight of shellfish are considered waste. Out of this, 20 – 58% of the dry weight are chitin (Dahiya *et al.*, 2006). Chitin is a polymer of unbranched chains of β-l,4-linked sugar (N-acetyl-D-glucosamine) residues, whereas chitosan, the deacetylated form of chitin, contains glucosamine residues. In fact, chitin is the second most abundant natural biopolymer in the world, behind only cellulose. It is also the most abundant naturally occurring polysaccharide that contains amino sugars. This abundance, combined with the specific chemistry, bioversatility and biocompatibility of chitin and its next best derivative chitosan, make for the array of its potential applications. Owing to its abundant and cheap resource and biocompatibility, chitin has the potential for bioconversion to simpler molecules of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine monomers and chitooligosaccharides by means of enzyme catalyzed reactions or chemical procedures with the ease in production coming from the former (Kumar, 2000, Tharanathan and Kittur, 2003, Rinaudo, 2006).

In Malaysia, aquaculture industry has been one of the emerging industries promoted by the government. Shrimps and prawns are alone harvested to an astounding total of 99, 377 tonnes locally in 2003 (FAOSTAT, 2005). Recent statistical database showed that the import quantity for crustaceans in Malaysia for 2004 alone was 368, 800 tonnes (FAOSTAT, 2006). One of the main issues that need to be resolved is the by-products or waste generated by the shrimp industry. Normally, the shrimp waste would be discarded as mere kitchen waste or some lucrative industries would employ it for conversion to chitosan and chitin through chemical means which involved heavy usage of acid and alkaline in the chemical treatment, creating additional environmental issues. Due to the annual mass volume of shrimps and prawns harvest,

it is only feasible to utilise the waste that is derived from the industry to address environmental issues and to produce industrial viable products using low cost substrates via environmentally friendly processes.

Preliminary work has been done in 2004 on aquaculture waste (especially shrimp waste) processing enzymes, mainly on chitinases in order to develop an environmentally-friendly system for converting shrimp waste into useful industrial specialty chemical products via biotechnological means by shake flask culture using a locally isolated fungus. A number of significant studies have been performed on chitinolytic enzymes from Trichoderma spp. especially on Trichoderma harzianum in which some seven individual chitinases have been elucidated (De La Cruz et al., 1992 and Gokul et al., 2000, Duo-Chuan, 2006). All the studies reported that chitinase production in fungal batch fermentation was carried out in laboratory scale shaker flask and their potential in shellfish waste biodegradation was modestly studied. From most of the bioreactor studies, an investigation utilised shrimp waste as a supplementary carbon source in a rich medium for chitinase production from Verticillium lecanni and another attempted Trichoderma harzianum as their fungus of choice with chitin flakes as the chitinase inducer in a defined salt medium for chitinase production in a 1 L stirred tank reactor (Felse and Panda, 2000b, Liu et al., 2003).

Therefore, the main objective of this research is to increase the production of chitinase by *Trichoderma virens* UKM1, a locally isolated fungus in a 2 L stirred tank reactor (STR) from colloidal chitin and shrimp waste using the optimised conditions previously obtained in prior preliminary studies. At the same time to

identify the different methods of shrimp waste pretreatments that are the best for producing chitinolytic enzymes from *Trichoderma virens* UKM1. After obtaining the optimal parameters from the 2 L STR, further microbial and enzymatic shrimp waste bioconversion shall be expounded with colloidal chitin as the reference substrate. This is to study the concentration of end products of shrimp waste bioconversion which are GluNac, reducing sugars and proteins that may be extrapolated to conclude the significance of this entire study.

Thus, the objectives of this study are as follows:

- 1. To determine the production of chitinase by *Trichoderma virens* UKM1 using various pretreatments of shrimp waste.
- 2. To optimise the 2 L stirred tank reactor variables for chitinase production by *Trichoderma virens* UKM1 from colloidal chitin as reference substrate.
- To compare the microbial and enzymatic chitin bioconversion of colloidal chitin and pretreated shrimp waste.



#### **CHAPTER 2**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Introduction

Rapid increase in the world population has led to the search for alternative forms of protein sources. Consumers being more educated and health conscious prefer organic sources of protein in the forms of seafood rather than the more recent forms being offered via biotechnology in single cell proteins, which spurred minimal interest. Entrepreneurs have seen much potential in the burgeoning marine industry to fulfil this nascent demand (Zeller and Pauly, 2005). Apart from fishes, crustaceans and molluscs are the major raw materials for the marine industry. Shrimps and prawns being one of the more popular of these are alone harvested to an astounding total of 99, 377 tonnes locally in 2003 (FAOSTAT, 2005). Recent statistical database showed that the import quantity for crustaceans in Malaysia for 2004 alone was 368, 800 tonnes (FAOSTAT, 2006).

## 2.1.1 Shrimp Waste

Shrimps come in a myriad of varieties according to its origins from the different continents. Generally, in the biological hierarchy they come under the phylum arthropoda, class crustacea, and subclass malacostrae, however, they differ in their order henceforth according to its fishing origins (Dore and Frimodt, 1987).

